# 1 Subterranean environments contribute to three-quarters of 2 classified ecosystem services

3

Stefano Mammola<sup>1,2,3,‡,\*</sup>, David Brankovits<sup>1,‡</sup>, Tiziana Di Lorenzo<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>, Isabel R. Amorim<sup>7</sup>, 4 Raluca I. Bancila<sup>5,8</sup>, Adrià Bellvert<sup>1</sup>, Enrico Bernard<sup>9</sup>, Anna S. Blomberg<sup>2</sup>, Paulo A.V. 5 Borges<sup>7,10,11</sup>, Martina Cappelletti<sup>12,13</sup>, Rodrigo Ferreira<sup>14</sup>, Rosalina Gabriel<sup>7</sup>, Diana M. P. 6 7 Galassi<sup>15</sup>, Laura Garzoli<sup>1</sup>, Vasilis Gerovasileiou<sup>16,17</sup>, Grant C Hose<sup>18</sup>, Kathryn L Korbel<sup>18</sup>, Youness Mabrouki<sup>19</sup>, Simone Martino<sup>20</sup>, Ana Z Miller<sup>21, 22</sup>, Nataša Mori<sup>23</sup>, Veronica Nanni<sup>1</sup>, 8 Giuseppe Nicolosi<sup>24</sup>, Mattia Saccò<sup>25,26,27</sup>, Troy S. Sakihara<sup>28</sup>, Marconi Souza Silva<sup>14</sup>, Anne E. 9 Tamalavage<sup>29</sup>, Maja Zagmajster<sup>30</sup>, Efraín Chávez<sup>31,32</sup>, Christian Griebler<sup>33,^</sup>, Pedro Cardoso<sup>2,6,^</sup>, 10 11 Alejandro Martínez<sup>1,^</sup>

12

- 13 ‡ Shared first
- 14 <sup>^</sup> Shared last
- 15 \* Corresponding: <u>stefano.mammola@cnr.it</u>
- Molecular Ecology Group (MEG), Water Research Institute, National Research Council of Italy (CNR-IRSA), Verbania Pallanza, Italy
- 19 2. Finnish Museum of Natural History, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland
- 20 3. NBFC, National Biodiversity Future Center, Palermo, Italy
- 4. Research Institute on Terrestrial Ecosystems, National Research Council (CNR-IRET), Via Madonna
   del Piano 10, 50019 Sesto Fiorentino, Florence, Italy
- 5. "Emil Racoviţă" Institute of Speleology, Cluj-Napoca Department, Str. Clinicilor, Nr. 5-7, 400006 Cluj Napoca, Romania
- 6. Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes & CHANGE Global Change and
   Sustainability Institute, and Departamento de Biologia Animal, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade de
   Lisboa, Campo Grande 1749-016, Lisbon, Portugal
- 7. University of Azores, CE3C—Centre for Ecology, Evolution and Environmental Changes, Azorean
   Biodiversity Group, CHANGE —Global Change and Sustainability Institute, Rua Capitão João d'Ávila,
   Pico da Urze, 9700-042 Angra do Heroísmo, Azores, Portugal
- 31 8. "Emil Racoviță" Institute of Speleology, Calea 13 Septembrie, Nr. 13, 050711 Bucharest, Romania
- 32 9. Laboratório de Ciência Aplicada à Conservação da Biodiversidade, Departamento de Zoologia,
   33 Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife PE, Brazil
- 34 10. IUCN SSC Atlantic Islands Invertebrate Specialist Group, 9700-042 Angra do Heroísmo, Azores,
   35 Portugal
- 36 11. IUCN SSC Species Monitoring Specialist Group, 9700-042 Angra do Heroísmo, Azores, Portugal
- 37 12. Department of Pharmacy and Biotechnology, University of Bologna, Bologna 40126, Italy
- 38 13. La Venta Geographic Explorations Association, Treviso 31100, Italy
- 14. Center for Studies in Subterranean Biology, Department of Ecology and Conservation, Institute of
   Natural Sciences, Federal University of Lavras, Lavras, Minas Gerais, Brazil
- 41 15. Department of Life, Health & Environmental Sciences, University of L'Aquila, L'Aquila, Italy
- 42 16. Department of Environment, Faculty of Environment, Ionian University, Zakynthos, Greece
- 43 17. Institute of Marine Biology, Biotechnology and Aquaculture (IMBBC), Hellenic Centre for Marine
  44 Research (HCMR), Heraklion, Greece
- 45 18. School of Natural Sciences, Macquarie University, NSW 2109, Australia
- 46 19. Biotechnology, Conservation and Development of Bioresources Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences
- 47 Dhar El Mehraz, University Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah, Fez, Morocco.
- 48 20. The James Hutton Institute, Craigiebuckler, Aberdeen, AB15 8QH, Scotland

- 49 21. BIOGEOCOM Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (IRNAS-CSIC), Avenida
   50 Reina Mercedes 10, 41012 Seville, Spain
- 51 22. HERCULES Lab, University of Évora, Largo Marquês de Marialva 8, 7000-809 Évora, Portugal.
- 52 23. Department of Organisms and Ecosystem Research, National institute of Biology, Večna pot 121,
   53 1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 54 24. Department of Biological, Geological and Environmental Sciences, Section Animal Biology,
   55 University of Catania, 95124 Catania, Italy
- 56 25. Subterranean Research and Groundwater Ecology (SuRGE) Group, Trace and Environmental DNA
   57 (TrEnD) Lab, School of Molecular and Life Sciences, Curtin University, Perth, WA 6102, Australia
- 58 26. Department of Chemistry, Life Sciences and Environmental Sustainability, University of Parma,
   59 Parco Area delle Scienze 11/A, 43124 Parma, Italy;
- 27. Laboratoire de Biologie des Organismes et des Écosystèmes Aquatiques-BOREA. Muséum
   national d'Histoire naturelle, SU, CNRS, IRD, UA, F-75005 Paris, France
- 62 28. Department of Land and Natural Resources, Division of Aquatic Resources, Hilo, Hawai'i, USA
   63 29. Smithsonian Environmental Research Center, Edgewater, Maryland USA
- 64 30. University of Ljubljana, Biotechnical Faculty, Department of Biology, Subterranean Biology Lab 65 (SubBioLab), Jamnikarjeva 101, 1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia
- 66 31. Unidad Multidisciplinaria de Docencia e Investigación, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Nacional
  67 Autónoma de México, Sisal, Yucatán, México
- 68 32. Secretaria de Ciencia, Humanidades, Tecnología e Innovación, Mexico City, Mexico.
- 69 33. Department for Functional and Evolutionary Ecology, University of Vienna, Vienna, Austria

### 70 Abstract

Beneath the Earth's surface lies a network of interconnected caves, voids, and 71 72 systems of fissures forming in rocks of sedimentary, igneous, or metamorphic origin. 73 Though largely inaccessible to humans, this hidden realm supports and regulates 74 services critical to ecological health and human well-being. Subterranean ecosystems 75 are integral to major biogeochemical cycles, sustain diverse surface habitats, and 76 serve as the primary source of irrigation and drinking water. They also offer non-77 material benefits, including scientific discovery, education, and cultural practices. Yet, these contributions often go unrecognized, partly due to the lack of a unified synthesis 78 of ecosystem services across terrestrial, freshwater, and marine subterranean 79 compartments. This gap limits effective communication of their value to scientists, 80 81 practitioners, and the public. Through a systematic expert-based review, we show that subterranean ecosystems contribute to up to 75% of classified ecosystem services. 82 Notably, many of these contributions are described only qualitatively, lacking 83 numerical or economic quantification. Next, we provide examples of the main services 84 to offer a global overview of their multifaceted value and vulnerability to environmental 85 change. We believe this synthesis provides researchers and practitioners with 86 concrete examples and targeted metaphors to more effectively communicate the 87 importance of subterranean ecosystems to diverse audiences. 88

89

Keywords: Groundwater; Hypogean; Nature value; Drinking water; Food production;
 Biotechnology; Geothermal energy; Sustainability; Ecotourism; Cultural heritage

92 Introduction

93 Whether engaging in high-stakes discussions with policymakers or navigating casual conversations at social gatherings, scientists studying subterranean biodiversity may 94 find themselves in the uncomfortable position of defending the very essence of their 95 work. Questions like, "Why waste your time in a muddy cave to count tiny beetles?", 96 "Are we really worried about some blind shrimp no one's ever seen?" or "What's next— 97 national parks for glow-in-the-dark worms?" are all too common. They reflect a deep 98 misunderstanding of the hidden world beneath our feet, the fragile ecosystems it 99 100 sustains, and the profound influence it has on the surface environments where 101 humans live.

102 Studying "unremarkable" species thriving beneath the Earth surface might 103 seem like an indulgent pursuit, far removed from the pressing concerns of modern life. After all, how could the presence of a whitish shrimp in a remote cave pond possibly 104 105 contribute to global challenges such as economic growth, public health, or 106 technological development? Far from trivial, these discussions reflect a broader 107 struggle to spotlight the invisible services provided by nature. The challenge, then, is 108 not merely defending one's research but broadening collective understanding of 109 biodiversity's essential functions—its intrinsic value and its critical role in maintaining a 110 healthy, habitable planet. The public cannot grasp what is at risk if scientists fail to 111 communicate these values.

112 When the concept of ecosystem services gained momentum after 1997, it 113 offered biodiversity scientists a powerful framework to articulate the societal relevance 114 of their work. Ecosystem services encompass all the functions and products of ecosystems that benefit humans and contribute to societal welfare. Initially conceived 115 116 as a metaphor, the concept quickly evolved into a robust research agenda focused on 117 cataloging, quantifying, and mapping humanity's reliance on nature<sup>1-3</sup>. For example, 118 ecosystem services are frequently categorized into: provisioning services (e.g., food, water), regulation and maintenance services (e.g., climate regulation, pollination, air 119 120 and water quality), and cultural services (e.g., recreational, traditional practices and 121 spiritual well-being). Notwithstanding the inherent risk of putting a price tag on

nature<sup>10</sup>, many of these services are also often measured economically. This reflects
 the need to highlight the value of services that are, in part, subjective and difficult to
 perceive outside of academic contexts<sup>11,12</sup>.

125 While the quantification of ecosystem services has occupied the research 126 community for decades, knowledge remains incomplete for subterranean ecosystems. 127 Despite their hidden nature, subterranean ecosystems (Box 1) provide and regulate 128 services that are as critical to human well-being and ecological health as those in 129 surface ecosystems (Figure 1). The benefits derived from subterranean ecosystems 130 are remarkably diverse, with direct and indirect links to essential functions such as 131 freshwater provisioning, food production, and the regulation of diverse biogeochemical and physical processes<sup>13–16</sup>. Subterranean ecosystems also contribute to essential 132 "non-material" values, including scientific research and inspiration<sup>17–19</sup>, ecotourism<sup>20,21</sup>, 133 aesthetic appreciation<sup>22,23</sup>, and cultural practices<sup>24,25</sup>. 134

The questions driving this review are straightforward yet important: What 135 136 services do subterranean ecosystems provide, and how many of these have been 137 quantified to some extent? Answering these questions is urgent because, paraphrasing the common adage, "you can't manage what you can't see and 138 139 measure". Currently, information on the benefits provided by subterranean ecosystems is scattered across numerous sources, many of which remain 140 141 inaccessible to the public. While a handful of reviews have compiled subsets of these services for specific subterranean ecosystems<sup>16,26–30</sup> or species<sup>31</sup>, a comprehensive 142 143 scheme that unifies all services across terrestrial, freshwater, and marine 144 subterranean compartments is still lacking. Moreover, integrating quantitative rigor into 145 this mapping exercise could enhance the perceived importance of these services and 146 help establish connections to the eco-evolutionary processes that sustain them. Such 147 an understanding could shift the narrative—from viewing subterranean ecosystems merely as sources of water, geothermal energy, and minerals to recognizing their 148 149 broader ecological value. This, in turn, would reinforce the importance of even partial data in designing conservation strategies that prioritize ecosystem functions over 150 isolated species or habitats<sup>32</sup>. 151



Figure 1. A visual summary of the main services associated with subterranean ecosystems. Original
 illustration by Jagoba Malumbres-Olarte.

Box 1. What do we mean by "subterranean ecosystem"? Subterranean 156 157 ecosystems are globally distributed and vary widely in extent and type of matrix. Following the function-based classification of Earth's ecosystems<sup>33,34</sup>, we considered 158 159 ecosystems belonging to these biomes in terrestrial, freshwater, and marine domains: 'Subterranean' (S) [including the 'Subterranean lithic' (S1) and 'Anthropogenic 160 161 subterranean voids' (S2) biomes], 'Subterranean-freshwater' (SF) [including the 162 'Subterranean freshwater' (SF1) and 'Anthropogenic subterranean freshwater' (SF2) biomes], and 'Subterranean tidal' (SM1). These include various types of caves (e.g., 163 164 aerobic caves, lava tubes, volcanic pits, anchialine caves, sea caves) and other voids (e.g., fissure systems, deep scree strata), groundwater ecosystems and their ecotones 165 166 (e.g., aquifers, underground streams, ponds, lakes, subterranean estuaries, 167 anchialine pools, sinkholes, cenotes, blueholes, springs, hyporheic systems), as well 168 as anthropogenic subterranean voids (e.g., mines, underground bunkers and tunnels, water pipes, subterranean canals, wells). Conversely, excluded 'Endolithic systems' 169 (S1.2)<sup>33</sup> or, in other words, the deep biosphere-microbial communities occurring 170 171 kilometers beneath the Earth's surface-due to the limited ecological data available 172 and the uncertainty regarding their connectivity to shallower ecosystems and ecosystem service provision<sup>35,36</sup>. 173

#### 174 Subterranean ecosystems services in numbers

175 To map subterranean ecosystem services, we used the Common International 176 Classification of Ecosystem Services (CICES Version 5.1). CICES is a classification scheme designed to measure, account for, and assess ecosystem services <sup>9</sup>. The 177 178 services are categorized into three main "Sections" (Provisioning, Regulation & 179 Maintenance, and Cultural services) and two broad types within each section (biotic 180 and abiotic), with further breakdowns into levels of Division, Group, and Class. 181 Conveniently, CICES is interoperable with other ecosystem service classification 182 systems by providing equivalency across various schemes. CICES lists 90 primary 183 services—63 biotic and 27 abiotic. Using this backbone classification, we assessed 184 whether subterranean ecosystems contribute to the various ecosystem services listed 185 in CICES based on our expert knowledge and the literature. To strengthen our evaluation, we conducted a literature review for each service to assess guantitative 186 187 estimates of the services provided by subterranean ecosystems.

188 According to our mapping exercise (see Data availability statement), 189 subterranean ecosystems contribute to up to 75% (68 out of 90) of the ecosystem 190 services classified by CICES. This contribution is higher than the estimations for 191 ecosystem services provided by grasslands (36%)<sup>37</sup>, urban water bodies (43%)<sup>38</sup>, 192 mangrove ecosystems (33% of the biotic services)<sup>39</sup>, or vineyards (64%)<sup>40</sup>. When 193 considering specific systems, terrestrial, freshwater, and saltwater subterranean compartments match 48%, 57%, and 54% of the services classified by CICES, 194 195 respectively.

196 Of all the 68 matching services, between one third and a half have been 197 quantified (i.e., measured numerically) (Figure 2), primarily by local case studies. Most 198 of the identified services benefit society at large, although specific services appear to 199 be most important for specific economic sectors (Figure 3). Groundwaters, particularly 200 freshwater systems, dominate in the percentage of measured ecosystem services. 201 This is likely both due to their accessibility and measurability compared to terrestrial 202 and marine systems and the crucial importance of groundwater for drinking and 203 irrigation. Indeed, human settlements are often located where there is access to

aquifers, through springs, caves, wells and boreholes. These features allow for direct
 sampling and regular monitoring. In comparison, terrestrial and marine subterranean
 ecosystems are less accessible, often requiring specialized and costly technologies
 for exploration<sup>41-43</sup>.



Figure 2. The number of Provisioning, Regulation & Maintenance, and Cultural services provided by terrestrial, freshwater, and saltwater subterranean ecosystems (colored bars) compared to the total services mapped by the Common International Classification of Ecosystem Services (white bars). Darker shades indicate the fraction of subterranean services that have been quantitatively assessed in at least one study.

214

## 4 **Provisioning services**

215

Provisioning ecosystem services are the tangible goods and resources that ecosystems provide to humans<sup>9</sup>. These services are the direct products we obtain from nature, such as freshwater, food, raw materials, medicinal resources, and energy. Subterranean ecosystems contribute to as many as 63% of the provisioning ecosystem services classified by CICES (Figure 3).

- 221
- 222 Water supply
- 223

224 Groundwater, the largest unfrozen continental reserve of freshwater globally<sup>44,45</sup>, is a prime source of water for drinking, irrigation, and industrial use<sup>13</sup> (Figure 4A, 4B). It is 225 226 estimated that groundwater constitutes approximately 95% of the planet's accessible liquid freshwater resources, including drinking water. Over a guarter of the global 227 228 population relies on this resource, either partially or entirely<sup>46</sup>. Current human 229 groundwater use is estimated to exceed the capacity of aguifers by about 3.5 times and groundwater decline is accelerating at the global scale<sup>47</sup>. About 43% of irrigation 230 water and 49% for domestic use is sourced from groundwater<sup>48</sup>, and this figure is likely 231 232 to become even more pronounced due to continuous population growth and increasing frequency of droughts and extreme events connected with climate change 233 intensity<sup>48,49</sup>. 234

Still, there are large uncertainties in global estimations of the total volume of groundwater<sup>44,45</sup>, where it is distributed<sup>45</sup>, its depth<sup>50</sup>, recharge rates<sup>51</sup>, and patterns of human extractions<sup>52</sup>. Besides quantity, water quality is very relevant, which primarily depends on geochemical processes and anthropogenic impacts but also, at least partially, on the presence of subterranean organisms (see section "Regulation & Maintenance Services").



Figure 3. Importance of ecosystem services provided by terrestrial, freshwater, and marine subterranean ecosystems to socio-economic sectors (primary: resource extraction; secondary: manufacturing; tertiary: services; quaternary: knowledge-based activities). "All society" represents services with transversal benefits, not limited to a single sector.

#### 247 Energy production

248 Subterranean ecosystems are increasingly used for heating, cooling, and direct energy production. Geothermal heat pump systems, which use heat from shallow 249 underground sources, are the fastest-growing segment of geothermal technology and 250 251 one of the fastest-growing renewable energy options in the world. Other direct uses, 252 such as heating buildings, bathing, swimming, industrial processes, farming 253 (especially greenhouses), and fish farming, are generally based on deep hydrothermal resources<sup>53</sup>. Deep geothermal energy plants produce hot water, directly used for 254 255 heating purposes (e.g., via district heating networks) or turn the heat into electrical power. The global geothermal energy production of 95 TWh yr<sup>-1</sup> represents about 10% 256 of the sustainable electricity generated annually. Among renewables, geothermal 257 258 power has the highest potential in the future accounting for about 67%. Solar power, wind power, power from biomass, and hydropower account for 21%, 8%, 3.5%, and 259 0.1%, respectively 53-55. 260

261 When considering subterranean ecosystems, it is the use of shallow 262 geothermal energy that requires the most attention. In geology, the boundary between 'shallow' and 'deep' is typically set at a depth of 400–500 meters, which corresponds, 263 264 with only a few exceptions, to the deepest known occurrence of subterranean fauna<sup>56</sup>. 265 Most geothermal heat pump systems operate with relatively shallow closed-loop 266 borehole heat exchangers, often complemented by open, groundwater-based systems. The shallow subsurface is warmer in winter and cooler in summer compared 267 268 to the outside air. By using geothermal heat pump systems, this temperature difference can provide heating in winter and cooling in summer. However, it is 269 270 important to note that extracting heat or cold from the subsurface can alter thermal 271 conditions in ways that may be harmful from an ecological perspective. It can also 272 induce temperature fluctuations resembling surface seasonality, though with much smaller temperature differences. Among these effects, warming is the main factor that 273 274 puts pressure on subterranean communities <sup>57</sup>. Warming also accelerates the 275 metabolism of both microbes and fauna, leading to faster consumption of dissolved oxygen and potentially resulting in hypoxic or anoxic conditions. These oxygen-276 277 depleted conditions can cause the disappearance of fauna and are followed by a

decline in water quality<sup>58</sup>. Thus, energy production through geothermal use can be in
 conflict with the health of subterranean ecosystems—alongside other global driver of
 subsurface warming such as climate change<sup>59</sup> and urbanisation<sup>60,61</sup>.

281

283

## 282 Food production

284 Groundwater is critical for global food security, supplying over 40% of the water used for irrigation and supporting approximately 13% of total food production<sup>62</sup> (Figure 4B). 285 286 Groundwater enables both large- and small-scale farmers to enhance agricultural output, particularly in regions where rainfall is insufficient to meet crop water 287 demands<sup>63</sup>. Even though the negative effect of irrigation can be mitigated<sup>64,65</sup>, 288 groundwater resources are increasingly being overexploited, especially in major 289 290 agricultural regions such as California's Central Valley, the High Plains Aguifer in the 291 U.S. Midwest, the Middle East, the Indus and Ganges Basins, and the North China 292 Plain<sup>66</sup>. Currently, India is the world's largest consumer of groundwater, supplying approximately 60% of its irrigation needs<sup>67</sup>. Among internationally traded crops, rice is 293 294 the most groundwater-intensive, accounting for 29% of global usage, followed by 295 wheat (12%), cotton (11%), maize (4%), and soybeans (3%). Citrus and sugar crops also account for approximately 5% of groundwater use for irrigation each<sup>68</sup>. 296

297 Beyond these agricultural trends, subterranean environments have been central to food production and foraging practices for millennia. Shepherds have 298 299 historically used caves and caverns as shelters to protect livestock from harsh weather conditions<sup>69,70</sup>. Additionally, caves were integral to traditional food preservation and 300 301 production, particularly in cheese and wine-making, as well as mushroom cultivation, 302 where their stable temperatures and humidity make them natural analogs to 303 cellars<sup>71,72</sup>. A case-in-point is *Penicillium roqueforti*, a fungus discovered in the limestone caves above Roquefort, France, where the mold accidentally transformed 304 305 cheese into a flavorful delicacy, now renowned as Roquefort cheese.

306 Subterranean ecosystems and their ecotones also contribute to the service of 307 food production by enabling aquaculture or as habitat for commercially or culturally 308 important species. For example, anchialine pools have been used to keep fish for fresh 309 consumption or even to cultivate fish bait used in traditional mackerel fisheries<sup>73</sup>, as in

the case of the red shrimp *Halocaridina rubra* ('opae'ula) in Hawai'i<sup>74</sup>. The nests and 310 eggs of cave swiftlets (Collocalia linchi) and Cory's shearwaters (Calonectris 311 *diomedea*) are harvested for their nutritional <sup>75</sup> or traditional medical value<sup>76</sup>. Oilbirds 312 313 (Steatornis caripensis) are exploited in South America for their flesh and fat (oil), used 314 for cooking and lighting<sup>77</sup>. Bats are hunted as a meat source in Asia and Africa<sup>78</sup>. Depending on species and locations, bats are either considered a delicacy or an 315 affordable source of protein during times of food scarcity<sup>79,80</sup>. However, such practices 316 may threaten endangered species and their habitats<sup>81</sup>. 317



319 320 321

321 Figure 4. Global mapping of major subterranean ecosystem services based on proxy variables. 322 A) Groundwater depth and human population density, illustrating potential hotspots where there will be 323 pressure in terms of groundwater extraction. Pink areas indicate regions where groundwater is deeply 324 underground and difficult to access, with low population densities. Dark orange areas represent regions 325 where groundwater is also difficult to access but have high population densities. B) Groundwater depth 326 and irrigation intensity, illustrating potential hotspots where there will be pressure in terms of 327 groundwater extraction. Dark blue areas indicate regions that are highly irrigated and face greater 328 difficulty accessing groundwater. In both A and B, groundwater availability is measured as the depth 329 from the land surface to the point where groundwater begins (source: ref. <sup>82</sup>). A shallow depth means 330 groundwater is close to the surface, whereas a greater depth indicates it is further underground. Dark 331 orange and dark blue areas highlight regions where accessing groundwater is particularly challenging, 332 especially in the absence of shallow water resources. C) Global mining pressure, illustrating potential 333 hotspots where mining activities may reduce subterranean habitat availability. Mining intensity is 334 calculated based on the percentage of each country's area occupied by mines (source: ref. 83) and the 335 total extracted tonnage of target minerals (source: World Mineral Statistics contributed by permission of 336 the British Geological Survey). D) Annual number of show cave visitors per country and associated 337 income, estimated based on cave entrance fees (in dollars) (source: ref.<sup>20</sup>).

338 Raw materials

339

Rock, mineral, and materials extracted from subterranean ecosystems account for a major part of the global economy (Figure 4C). The effects of mining, including rock or mineral extraction itself and all the infrastructure involved, potentially influences 50 million km<sup>2</sup> of the planet's surface<sup>84</sup>. In 2025, the global production of minerals is expected to reach 15 billion tons<sup>85</sup>, with a value exceeding 7 trillion USD in 2024 and constituting an important part of the national GDP in many countries<sup>86</sup>.

Many of the mining areas coincide with protected, key biodiversity and 346 wilderness areas. Hence, mining activities impact subterranean ecosystems, either 347 directly (e.g., loss of habitat) or indirectly (aquifer contamination)<sup>87,88</sup>. For example, iron 348 ore production in Brazil accounts for approximately 1.6% of the country's GDP, 349 350 generating around 31 billion US\$ in 2022. With thousands of caves associated with iron ore landscapes, mining activities severely threaten these unique subterranean 351 352 ecosystems, which are recognized for their significant diversity of cave-restricted species<sup>89,90</sup>. 353

A special case of mining involves bat and bird guano, which can be locally abundant—millions of bats gathering in cave colonies can produce guano piles as high as 10 meters<sup>91</sup>. Guano is widely used as a fertilizer due to its high nitrogen and phosphorus content<sup>92</sup>, or as a source of chitin and chitosan for cosmetics, pharmaceutics, and textiles<sup>93,94</sup>. Bat guano fertilizer typically costs US\$ 2.50–24.00 per 1 kg <sup>92</sup>.

- 360
- 361 362

## Biomolecular resources and emerging technologies

363 Subterranean ecosystems are a promising source of molecules and compounds with 364 biotechnological applications, though bioprospective activities are still in their early 365 stages. Subterranean microbial biofilms often influence mineral precipitation and 366 dissolution<sup>95</sup>, particularly through polymeric substances that are produced and 367 secreted by microbes (mediating microbial adhesion on surfaces) and may serve as 368 nucleation sites for mineral precipitation, promoting the growith of cave formations 369 (speleothems)<sup>96–98</sup>. Secondary metabolites produced by microbes within those biofilms

370 may have biotechnological and pharmaceutical applications as well, including use as 371 enzymes, biosurfactants, or as antitumoral, immunosuppressive, and immunostimulatory agents<sup>98–105</sup>. Some subterranean microorganisms with extracellular 372 hydrolytic activity and antimicrobial compound production may be relevant against 373 multidrug-resistant pathogens<sup>99,106,107</sup>. For example, extracts of bacterial isolates from 374 lava tubes of Lanzarote (Canary Islands) showed antimicrobial activity against the 375 376 pathogenic strains Staphylococcus aureus, Escherichia coli, and Pseudomonas 377 aeruginosa, and exhibited antiproliferative activity against human breast cancer cells<sup>104</sup>. 378

Beyond microbes, larger subterranean organisms have also been explored for their biomolecular potential. For example, many sessile invertebrates in marine caves (e.g., sponges, anthozoans, bryozoans, and tunicates) contain or secrete compounds with significant application potential<sup>108–112</sup>. This biotechnological potential may also arise from more subtle interactions between microscopic and macroscopic organisms. For instance, animal excrement in caves, which often harbors pathogenic viruses, may stimulate microorganisms to produce antiviral substances<sup>113</sup>.

Finally, the unique biological adaptations of several subterranean species hold promise for biomimicry, particularly in developing sensors, biomaterials, adhesives, and biologically inspired robotic movement<sup>19</sup>. In recent years, medical applications inspired by subterranean adaptations have also gained attention, ranging from potential treatments for diabetes<sup>114</sup> and autism<sup>115</sup> to innovations in blindness research<sup>116</sup>. Despite these possibilities, this potential remains largely untapped, with most studies still far from yielding concrete applications.

393

## 394 **Regulation & Maintenance services**

395

Regulation & Maintenance services provide the abiotic and biotic processes and environmental conditions that benefit living organisms, including humans <sup>9</sup>. Hence, these services offer stability, safety, and resilience to both ecosystems and human societies, and subterranean ecosystems contribute to as many as 82% of these (Figure 3).

401

402 *Regulation of physico-chemical conditions* 

403

404 Subterranean ecosystems are central to global water and (bio)geochemical cycles, 405 including carbon, nitrogen, and other key elements (e.g., phosphorus, sulphur, and iron)<sup>13,36,117</sup>. Given their role in maintaining freshwater, seawater, and atmospheric 406 balance, subterranean ecosystems are increasingly recognized as vital to global 407 408 sustainability efforts. In particular, subterranean environments may be integral to Earth 409 System governance frameworks such as the planetary boundaries, where 410 groundwater has already been proposed as a key component<sup>13</sup>. The planetary 411 boundaries define a set of critical biogeophysical processes that collectively regulate 412 the stability and resilience of the Earth System<sup>118,119</sup>.

Hotspots for these biogeochemical processes are typically located along 413 414 environmental gradients, redox interfaces, ecotones, and other transition zones in both terrestrial (e.g., subsurface-surface atmosphere, sediment/rock-atmosphere 415 416 interfaces) and aquatic settings (e.g., land-sea, sediment-water, and water-417 atmosphere interfaces)<sup>120</sup>. These environmental gradients span micro (< mm) to regional scales (>km), and their role in regulating chemical fluxes and ecosystem 418 functioning is often disproportionately large relative to their size<sup>121</sup>. Some of these 419 processes may also be mediated within the so-called "deep biosphere" (Box 1)-420 following the recognition that bacteria and archaea can occur kilometers deep in the 421 422 Earth's crust<sup>35</sup>. Yet, major gaps remain in our understanding of their extent, function, and role in global biogeochemical cycling<sup>36</sup>. 423

424 Biogeochemical processes associated with subterranean ecosystems primarily regulate the chemical conditions of freshwater and marine habitats. Natural and 425 anthropogenic inputs of nutrients and organic matter from the surface into the 426 427 groundwater increase dissolved organic carbon (DOC) and nitrate concentrations-428 important indicators of water quality-that are then attenuated through microbial 429 activity<sup>16</sup>. For instance, redox-driven microbial processes under aerobic or anaerobic 430 conditions (e.g., denitrification and iron reduction) can substantially consume nitrate 431 and reduce or transform DOC as groundwater migrates through subterranean freshwater environments<sup>15</sup> or discharges into the sea<sup>122</sup>. Marine caves and cavities in 432

tropical regions are also areas of heterotrophic DOC consumption<sup>123</sup>, which depletes
 dissolved oxygen<sup>124</sup>.

Fresh groundwater discharge only accounts for a minor portion (~0.6%) of the 435 total freshwater input to the world's oceans<sup>125</sup>, but it can be critical locally for coastal 436 ecosystem functioning due to its high solute and nutrient loads<sup>126</sup>. At the land-sea 437 438 interface, the region of a coastal aguifer where seawater and groundwater mix-the 439 subterranean estuary—is a biogeochemical reaction zone that modulates nutrient and carbon fluxes from rocky, sandy, and muddy coastlines to marine ecosystems and 440 fisheries<sup>122,127</sup>. For example, microbial activity reduces nitrate and methane 441 concentrations in groundwater discharged from sandy coasts<sup>128,129</sup>, and methane and 442 DOC in groundwater discharging from karstic coastlines<sup>130,131</sup>. Moreover, sinkholes 443 along karst coastlines are hotspots for carbon burial<sup>132</sup>, highlighting their potential for 444 445 inclusion in blue carbon stocks. Given that approximately 40% of the world's population lives within 100 km of the coast, understanding these dynamics is of 446 447 growing global importance<sup>133</sup>.

448 Beyond biogeochemical cycles, subterranean ecosystems regulate key physical conditions in the environment. For example, hydrogeological conditions in 449 aquifers control land subsidence, a phenomenon mainly driven by excessive 450 451 groundwater extraction and aquifer compaction, a process that occurs when an 452 aquifer's volume and storage capacity decreases due to the removal of water, often resulting in land subsidence. Globally, land subsidence leads to the loss of aguifer 453 storage (~17 km<sup>3</sup>/year) and affects mainly cropland and urban areas (73%)<sup>134</sup>. 454 455 Consequences include damage to infrastructure, increased flood hazards, and substantial economic and human impacts<sup>135,136</sup>. 456

457 Groundwater also supports ecosystem services provided by groundwaterdependent ecosystems<sup>137</sup>, such as water storage, purification, and flood control. In 458 turn, groundwater-fed vegetation controls erosion rates<sup>138</sup>, buffers and attenuates 459 460 mass movement, and regulates the overall hydrological cycle and water flow, and 461 contributes to flood control and coastal protection. For example, tidal marsh vegetation stabilizes sediment and prevents shoreline erosion<sup>139</sup>, while riparian trees like willows 462 (Salix spp.) reduce erosion along riverbanks during heavy flow<sup>140</sup>. The value of 463 groundwater-fed vegetation in flood control has been estimated at about €16 billion in 464

the EU alone<sup>141</sup>. Moreover, groundwater provides essential baseflow to rivers, particularly during dry seasons, sustaining river flow and influencing nutrient cycling and contaminant transport. Globally, baseflow is estimated to account for  $59\% \pm 7\%$  of river flow<sup>142</sup>.

469

## 470 *Regulation of biological conditions*

471

472 Subterranean ecosystems largely support surface vegetation<sup>137,143</sup> and marine habitats<sup>122,144</sup>. Approximately 37% of the world's vegetation depends on groundwater to 473 474 some extent<sup>145,146</sup>. The quality and availability of groundwater influence the distribution, 475 diversity, functioning, and resilience of these plant communities<sup>143</sup>. This dependency is particularly pronounced in drought-prone regions, where threshold levels of 476 477 groundwater availability serve as indicators of potential drought refugia<sup>147</sup>. Groundwater discharged into the marine environment delivers nutrients and affects 478 479 water quality in estuaries, coral reefs, lagoons, mangroves, and saltmarshes<sup>122,148</sup>.

Subterranean ecosystems also act as temporary, daily, or seasonal habitats for 480 many surface animals and plants, all of which are integral to interconnected 481 subterranean-surface food webs<sup>17</sup>. Surface vertebrates shelter or nest in cave 482 entrances<sup>149–151</sup>, while bats mate near entrances, but breed and hibernate in deeper 483 sections<sup>152</sup>. Different vertebrates and invertebrates move in and out of terrestrial 484 caves, often guided by circadian rhythms or seasonal cues<sup>151,153–155</sup>. Aquatic insects, 485 crustaceans, and fish seek refuge in the hyporheic zone of rivers during droughts<sup>156</sup>. 486 487 Groundwater inputs also heavily influence freshwater fish behavior, migration, spawning, and distribution<sup>156</sup>. Similarly, marine caves host diverse sessile 488 invertebrates (e.g., sponges, corals, bryozoans and brachiopods), fishes, and 489 490 crustaceans, including many economically and ecologically valuable species such as the precious red coral Corallium rubrum<sup>157</sup>. As climate become more unpredictable, 491 492 these subterranean refugia are expected to grow in importance because of their 493 environmental stability<sup>57</sup>.

494 Arguably, cave-dwelling bats represent the best-studied example of biological 495 regulation by subterranean ecosystems. Bats provide critical pollination and seed 496 dispersal services for economically important plants, including figs, durian, mango,

and agave<sup>31,158</sup>. For instance, the pollination services of *Eonycteris spelaea* to durian
farmers in Sulawesi, Indonesia, were valued at US\$117 per hectare during each
fruiting season<sup>159</sup>. Another notable example is the mutualistic relationship between
bats and agave. The pollination of agave relies on bats, particularly the cave-dwelling *Leptonycteris nivalis*, which, in turn, depend on agave during their seasonal
migrations<sup>160</sup>. Agave holds cultural and economic significance in Mexico as a source of
food, spirits (tequila and mezcal), and fiber.

504 Insectivorous bats are also key biological controllers due to their hunting 505 efficiency. For example, the cave-dwelling species Pteronotus gymnonotus and P. personatus consume 5–28% of their body weight in insects each night<sup>161</sup>. At least 81 506 507 species of insectivorous bats, including several obligate or facultative cave-dwellers, 508 prey on over 760 species of insect pests that affect economically important crops such 509 as corn, coffee, cotton, rice, apples, macadamia nuts, cocoa, and grapes<sup>162</sup>. Some of these species form massive colonies. For example, Mexican free-tailed bats (Tadarida 510 511 brasiliensis) can form colonies of millions of individuals. During the summer, when bat populations peak in Bracken Cave, Texas, they can remove approximately 100 tons of 512 insects per night, with the annual value of this pest suppression estimated at US\$3.42 513 million<sup>31</sup>. The economic importance of insectivorous bats in Northern America has 514 been estimated to be as high as exceeding US\$3.7 billion per year<sup>163</sup>. 515

516

### 517 *Mitigation of pollutants*

518

519 The Chemical Abstracts Service lists >200 million organic and inorganic synthesized 520 compounds, with 20,000–30,000 new entries added daily<sup>164</sup>. Many of these chemicals, 521 especially those produced in large volumes, are released into the environment and 522 eventually make their way underground, either passively (e.g., through percolating 523 water) or intentionally (historically, shallow aquifers and caves were often used as 524 waste disposal sites)<sup>165,166</sup>.

525 Against this backdrop, a critical service is self-purification—the removal or 526 immobilization of pollutants by natural processes<sup>27</sup>. Subterranean microorganisms are 527 key actors in this process, transforming harmful substances into more stable or less 528 toxic forms. For example, bacteria such as *Alcaligenes, Acinetobacter,* and

529 *Pseudomonas* can immobilize heavy metals or dissolve phosphate minerals, aiding in the removal of contaminants<sup>167</sup>. As for organic pollutants, microbes can degrade or 530 531 mineralize compounds like petroleum hydrocarbons and halogenated solvents, particularly in point-source contamination scenarios<sup>168</sup>. However, these processes are 532 often slow, as microbial activity in subsurface environments is limited, and 533 groundwater contamination can persist for years<sup>169,170</sup>. For example, nitrates persist in 534 groundwater for decades unless hypoxic or anoxic conditions and an appropriate 535 536 electron donor (e.g., organic matter, pyrite) are present<sup>171</sup>.

537 Self-purification processes may be stimulated via amendment of electron acceptors (e.g., dissolved oxygen), electron donors (e.g., molasses), and bacterial 538 539 strains (termed bioaugmentation)<sup>172</sup>. Managed aquifer recharge systems can effectively remove contaminants<sup>173</sup>, including pharmaceuticals and antibiotics, through 540 degradation processes that depend on the aquifer's redox state and temperature<sup>174</sup>. 541 542 However, biotransformation processes can sometimes produce byproducts that are 543 recalcitrant to further degradation or more toxic than their parent compounds, highlighting the complexity of chemical regulation in groundwater systems<sup>175</sup>. 544

Beyond microorganisms, larger subterranean fauna may also contribute to 545 water purification through bioturbation of sediments and filtration<sup>176,177</sup>. Based on 546 consumption rates and rough density estimates of the isopod *Phreatoicus typicus* in 547 548 New Zealand, it has been estimated that a population of 100 individuals can process approximately 7–28 tonnes of sediment per hectare annually and assimilate 120–650 549 grams of organic carbon per hectare annually<sup>176</sup>. Synergistic effects with 550 551 microorganisms appear to be particularly important in this context. Amphipods, isopods, and other invertebrates bioturbate and aerate sediments, creating favorable 552 conditions for microbial communities to degrade contaminants<sup>176–178</sup>. For example, the 553 554 isopod Coecidotaea tridentata enhances both planktonic and sedimentary bacterial 555 abundance and activity through the excretion of nitrogen, which promotes microbial 556 growth, the disturbance of sediments, and the direct consumption of bacteria<sup>179</sup>.

- 557
- 558

559 Potential for climate change mitigation

561 Subterranean ecosystems-particularly karst environments and caves-play a surprisingly important yet understated role in locally regulating atmospheric 562 563 composition. Microbially-mediated formation of speleothems, such as moonmilks, sequesters and stores CO<sub>2</sub><sup>180,181</sup>. Furthermore, aerobic caves act as net sinks for 564 atmospheric methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), actively consuming this greenhouse gas through 565 microbial oxidation mediated by methane-feeding (methanotrophic) bacteria<sup>182–184</sup> or 566 through other processes<sup>185</sup>. Within flooded caves of a karst subterranean estuary, it is 567 568 estimated that ~1.4 tons of methane was consumed during 6 months across a ~100 569 km<sup>2</sup> catchment region in the Yucatán Peninsula<sup>131</sup>. It is unlikely that this magnitude of methane removal would affect global greenhouse gas budgets, but it quantifies the 570 571 contribution of a critical energy source for an anchialine food web<sup>130</sup>.

572 Beyond gas fluxes, subterranean ecosystems exert influence on microclimatic 573 conditions. Their ability to buffer temperature and maintain high humidity levels creates 574 stable environments that interact with aboveground climates, especially in regions with 575 extensive karst topography<sup>186,187</sup>. In terrestrial systems, this kind of regulation is often aided by bryophyte cushions (mosses and liverworts) developing in the entrance-zone 576 of caves, which function as living sponges, intercepting rainfall, fog, and dew and 577 retaining water volumes several times their dry mass. By slowly releasing this stored 578 moisture into the substrate and underlying fissures, they buffer hydrological extremes 579 at the subterranean-surface interface, sustain high local humidity for microbial and 580 faunal communities, and contribute measurably to the water-storage service of 581 groundwater-dependent ecosystems<sup>188</sup>. In aquatic and marine settings, flooded caves 582 583 and other subterranean environments have an important role in heat transfer through 584 groundwater transport. Aquifers in rocky coastlines, such as karstic and volcanic 585 platforms, are distinct from others, because the fissures and conduits enhance hydraulic transport and exchange of material with the sea through diffuse processes or 586 submarine springs<sup>144,189,190</sup>. Tidal driven oscillation of fresh groundwater discharge has 587 588 been shown to transport heat to the sea from a volcanic platform<sup>191</sup>. On the contrary, 589 tropical carbonate platforms may cool the nearby sea through fresh groundwater 590 discharge while facilitating the marine-derived saline water to import heat from the coast to inland<sup>192</sup>. 591

592 Beyond caves, groundwater-dependent ecosystems such as groundwater-fed wetlands, fens, riparian forests, and woodlands facilitate atmospheric CO, uptake 593 through photosynthesis, root respiration, bicarbonate formation in soil, and the 594 595 subsequent storage of carbon in groundwater or its precipitation as calcium 596 carbonate<sup>193</sup>. Vegetation supported by groundwater, such as the redwood forests of 597 Northern California, grows more robustly and for longer periods compared to 598 vegetation without groundwater access, sequestering significantly more carbon<sup>194</sup>. 599 Notably, areas with groundwater-dependent ecosystems store approximately 790 600 million tons of CO<sub>2</sub>—nearly double California's annual emissions<sup>194</sup>. However, these benefits can be counterbalanced by the dewatering of groundwater-dependent 601 602 ecosystems. For example, estimates suggest that wetlands could emit ~408 gigatons of CO, between 2021 and 2100 if degraded or drained<sup>195</sup>. 603

604

#### 605 Cultural services

#### 606

607 Cultural ecosystem services are the non-material benefits people derive from 608 ecosystems, contributing to cultural identity, spirituality, scientific endeavors, and 609 quality of life<sup>9</sup>. Subterranean ecosystems contribute to all (Figure 3).

610

#### 611 *Tourism and recreation*

612

613 Terrestrial and marine caves are among the most frequently visited geo- and 614 ecotourism attractions worldwide. A recent synthesis identified 1,223 show caves 615 across 95 countries, involving an estimated 79 million visitors in 2019<sup>20</sup>. This generates 616 around 800 million euros in entrance fees, with an even greater economic impact when 617 considering related tourist activities-souvenir shops, restaurants, bars, and local transport (Figure 4D). Inevitably, this level of tourism comes not without impacts, 618 619 including structural damage to caves, alterations to local climatic conditions, the introduction of external organic matter and non-native fungi, bacteria, and animals, 620 621 and the growth of photosynthetic organisms due to artificial lighting<sup>21</sup>.

622 Furthermore, geothermal phenomena linked to subterranean ecosystems— 623 such as boiling lakes, mud ponds, and geysers—serve as striking natural attractions,

624 drawing visitors to destinations that blend wonder with recreation. Some of these features also fuel the wellness sector. Thermal springs, long used by humans-and 625 other apes<sup>196</sup>—for health and wellness, are increasingly being transformed into 626 modern hot spring resorts and water parks. Similarly, speleotherapy, particularly 627 628 speleoclimatotherapy and radon therapy, offers drug-free therapeutic benefits. For 629 example, the unique microclimate of salt caves and mines-characterized by fine 630 aerosols of NaCl, K<sup>+</sup>, and Mg<sup>2+</sup>, high humidity, low radiation, light air ions, 631 hypoallergenic air, and stable temperature—effectively alleviates different respiratory 632 syndromes<sup>197</sup>.

Terrestrial and aquatic caves are popular recreational sites for activities such as caving, snorkeling, scuba diving, and boat tours<sup>198,199</sup>. These activities range from spontaneous experiences lasting a few hours, undertaken solo or in groups, to more structured expeditions and cave trips that require advanced speleological knowledge and skills. Often this kind of tourism brings visitors to caves that would be closed to humans otherwise, which may cause local impact to the ecosystems but also enhance scientific knowledge by citizens, amateur scientists, and speleologists.

Finally, subterranean-related ecotourism offers opportunities for wildlife enthusiasts to observe animals in their natural habitats. For instance, bat-watching is increasingly popular worldwide<sup>200</sup>. The nightly emergence of millions Mexican freetailed bats from caves in the Southwestern US is estimated to attract over 240,000 visitors each year, conservatively valued at \$6.5 million annually<sup>201</sup>. Such activities support local economies and provide unique educational experiences for the public, raising awareness about the ecological significance of subterranean ecosystems.

647

### 648 Aesthetic and artistic value

649

Subterranean landscapes inspire and support a range of artistic expressions<sup>22,23</sup>. For
 instance, artistic practices have explored groundwater as a theme through creative
 expressions of its sensory qualities—tastes, smells, sounds, textures, and movements
 —as well as its landscapes, cultural significance, and community connections<sup>23</sup>.
 Contemporary abstract art frequently draws from the textures and patterns of
 speleothems, as seen in the cave-inspired works of artist Ana Teresa Barboza.

656 Literature has frequently embraced subterranean themes, such as Jules Verne's Journey to the Center of the Earth and Haruki Murakami's Hard-Boiled Wonderland 657 658 and the End of the World. Music, too, draws inspiration from subterranean acoustics, 659 with composers like John Luther Adams creating pieces that echo the resonant and 660 mysterious gualities of caves. Architecture has similarly demonstrated how caves and 661 sinkholes can be reimagined into cultural and artistic venues, with spaces like Los 662 Jameos del Agua in Lanzarote, shaped by César Manrique and Jesús Soto. These are just a few examples among many<sup>22,23</sup>. 663

664 It has been argued that subterranean-related art may improve scientific communication and support the conservation of subterranean ecosystems<sup>22,23,202</sup>. For 665 example, projects such as the virtual reconstructions of cave art by the Chauvet Cave 666 667 team not only preserve these fragile environments but also educate the public about their ecological and historical significance. Likewise, the Cenoteando initiative 668 (https://cenoteando.mx/) in Mexico, has developed several educational materials that 669 670 combine scientific accuracy with artistic expression to promote environmental awareness and proper stewardship of cenotes, promoting a sustainable interaction 671 with these fragile environments. Similarly, artworks and photography that highlight the 672 673 fragility of subterranean ecosystems, such as those by environmental artists like Agnes Denes and Martin Broen, can galvanize support and financial backing for 674 675 conservation campaigns. Lastly, there is a practical significance to exploring aesthetics of subterranean features. For example, groundwater aesthetics-taste, 676 677 odor, color, and clarity—is essential in shaping cultural perceptions and public trust in water supplies<sup>203</sup>. 678

679

### 680 Scientific research

Terrestrial caves have long been regarded as model systems for scientific research across various fields<sup>18,204,205</sup>. The convergent adaptations of subterranean organisms make subterranean ecosystems a rich subject for evolutionary research, with a lineage of studies tracing back to Charles Darwin<sup>206</sup>. Several cave-adapted species, such as cavefish and crustaceans, serve as established model organisms for evolutionary studies and beyond<sup>42</sup>. Furthermore, due to their climatic stability, low biological diversity, simple habitat structure, and often isolated nature, caves allow researchers

to minimize many confounding factors that typically complicate ecological studies in
 surface environments<sup>17</sup>. Similarly, marine caves in the littoral zone have been
 described as "deep-sea mesocosms", providing direct human access to deep-sea-like
 conditions<sup>207</sup>.

692 Importantly, this expanding research agenda builds upon the observations 693 made by individuals who regularly explore subterranean environments, often driven by 694 personal passion and a deep appreciation for nature. Speleological and cave diving 695 clubs are typically composed of highly experienced, non-scientific explorers who 696 possess the technical expertise necessary to access and map these underground 697 spaces. Scientific research is also increasingly supported by dedicated subterranean 698 research facilities, such as the Moulis Experimental Ecology Station in France and the 699 Boulby Underground Laboratory in the UK, which provide controlled environments for ecological and evolutionary experiments<sup>42</sup>. Other underground laboratories, including 700 701 Gran Sasso (Italy) and SNOLAB (Canada), further highlight the broader scientific 702 value of caves, extending beyond biology to fields such as astroparticle physics.

703 Caves play a crucial role in archaeology and paleontology by safeguarding 704 fossils, sediments, prehistoric artifacts, and even recently extinct species-such as 705 certain birds known only from cave deposits in Macaronesia<sup>208,209</sup>—as well as 706 numerous human remains discovered in caves around the world<sup>210,211</sup>. Stalagmites are 707 archives for paleoclimate research, offering high-resolution records of past climatic fluctuations through isotopic and geochemical analyses<sup>212</sup>, while sediment deposits 708 within cave systems record paleoenvironmental history, such as changes in sea 709 level<sup>213-215</sup>. All these archives provide clues into past ecosystems useful for 710 711 reconstructing paleoenvironments and their biodiversity-yielding important 712 implications for establishing baseline references for conservation and restoration 713 efforts<sup>216</sup>. For example, the analysis of speleothems has provided evidence of past 714 environmental changes and the anthropogenic impacts that contributed to the well-715 documented ecocide on Easter Island<sup>217</sup>. Similarly, speleothems from lava tubes in the Galapagos Islands have revealed biomarkers of surface vegetation changes and 716 717 human-induced pollution, emphasizing the need for robust conservation policies to 718 mitigate the impact of anthropogenic activities<sup>218</sup>.

The inspirational value of caves may even extend beyond Earth<sup>219–221</sup>. The 719 detection of volcanic caves on Mars and their protective properties against surface 720 721 radiation, extreme temperatures, and atmospheric variability, have led researchers to 722 explore caves on Earth from planetary science and astrobiological perspectives. A rich 723 research agenda is shaping up, showing that these subterranean environments could serve as analogs for space exploration and planetary research<sup>220,222</sup>, and offer insights 724 into the possibility of extraterrestrial life<sup>223,224</sup>. Specifically, microbial metabolism and 725 726 mineral interactions in caves and lava tubes on Earth generate a variety of biosignatures<sup>222,225-227</sup>, which provide reference models for potentially detecting 727 extraterrestrial microbial life<sup>228</sup>. Moreover, deep caves offer polygons for training for 728 729 astronauts (programme by European Space Agency), allowing them to practice 730 behavior and tasks in harsh environments that resemble conditions in space.

731

#### 732 Education

#### 733

Subterranean ecosystems offer vast educational potential, especially for fostering 734 scientific literacy and environmental awareness. Every cave provides visitors with an 735 736 unforgettable experience, combining natural beauty with rich site-specific educational 737 opportunities. Cave interpretation centers, guided tours, and interactive activities can 738 help students and visitors appreciate the uniqueness of cave ecosystems and the importance of their conservation. Similarly, groundwater-fed springs enhance the 739 740 natural beauty of their surroundings and serve as ideal settings for educational school trips. These sites allow students and teachers to observe firsthand the interactions 741 742 between groundwater systems, biodiversity, and human activities<sup>229</sup>. Activities such as 743 water quality testing, species identification, and habitat mapping can transform these visits into living labs, offering hands-on learning experiences that reinforce classroom 744 745 lessons.

This interplay between natural and cultural elements creates opportunities for educational projects that explore connections across disciplines such as biology, earth sciences, history, and even art. For example, studying speleothems can teach students about geological processes, offering a concrete visual representation of time accumulation, while analyzing the unique adaptations of cave-dwelling organisms can

illustrate fundamental evolutionary principles. Importantly, these educational activities
can be reinforced through citizen science initiatives. A recent citizen science project
collected biological samples from over 300 municipal groundwater sites across
Switzerland. This initiative bridged educational objectives with research goals, leading
to the discovery of new species<sup>230</sup> and enabling the mapping of macroecological
patterns at unprecedented resolutions<sup>231–233</sup>.

757

## 758 *Cultural heritage and identity*

759 Subterranean ecosystems often shape traditions, customs, and identities, influencing 760 both positive and negative cultural narratives. Historically, caves were often perceived as liminal spaces-thresholds between the world of the living and the underworld. In 761 762 European folklore, they often symbolize fear of the unknown and are believed to be 763 entrances to Hell or lairs for dragons, trolls, and other sinister beings. This is illustrated 764 in 17th-century engravings published in the monograph on the Duchy of Carniola by J. 765 V. Valvasor, a Slovenian scientist, which depicts the beliefs of local inhabitants at the time<sup>234</sup>. Yet, caves have also held positive associations, for example by serving as 766 places of refuge<sup>25</sup>. Quintessential examples are underground cities in the 767 768 Mediterranean region, such as Matera (Italy), Bulla Regia (Tunisia), and Cappadocia 769 (Turkey), with tunnels, living quarters, and even chapels carved into the rock. Similarly, 770 Coober Pedy, South Australia, is renowned for its man-made "dugouts", subterranean residences bored into the hillsides of the desert. Beyond human-accessible cavities, 771 772 features such as springs, anchialine pools, and oases played vital roles in community life, fostering social interaction and cohesion. 773

774 Specific organisms, such as bats, are often protagonists of these cultural 775 narratives<sup>235</sup>. In some traditions, bats are feared as harbingers of darkness and death, 776 a view perpetuated by Gothic literature and popular media. However, bats are also revered as symbols of luck, fertility, or protection. For example, in Chinese culture, 777 778 bats are associated with happiness and prosperity, as the word for bat (fu) sounds like 779 the word for good fortune. In the Americas, indigenous communities such as the Maya 780 often incorporate bats into their mythology, viewing them as powerful guardians of the 781 underworld.

782 Slovenia offers a prime example of how, even today, subterranean landscapes and their fauna can be deeply intertwined with national identity. The country is home to 783 784 the renowned Postojna Cave, a UNESCO-listed site that has become a source of national pride<sup>236</sup>. This is the cave where the first scientific descriptions of exclusive 785 cave-dwelling animals originated, beginning with the beetle Leptodirus hochenwartii, 786 which marks the start of speleobiological research in 1832<sup>237</sup>. Slovenia is also the land 787 788 where the discovery and scientific description of the olm (Proteus anguinus)—a blind, 789 pale groundwater salamander-took place. Proteus has achieved iconic status, celebrated across various facets of Slovenian culture, from beer labels and public 790 street art to the textile industry and contemporary art projects. 791

792

794

### 793 Spiritual and religious significance

795 Caves, anchialine pools, subterranean rivers, springs, and cenotes were often regarded as sacred or spiritually significant<sup>24,238</sup>. For example, the caves of Crete were 796 797 religious sites for the ancient Minoans, while Zeus was believed to have been born in a 798 cave. In Greek mythology, the river Styx delineated Hades, the underworld (the prefix 799 "stygo-" is still used today for "stygobionts", a technical term referring to groundwater-800 dwelling organisms). Similarly, the cenotes of the Yucatán Peninsula were viewed by 801 the Maya as both gateways to Xibalba, the underworld, and essential sources of lifegiving water<sup>239,240</sup>. Likewise, many anchialine pools in Hawai'i are revered as wahi pana 802 803 (celebrated places), or strictly reserved for various uses, including royal baths, rituals, ceremonies, and other daily activities<sup>241</sup>. 804

805 Countless rock-cut churches and monasteries worldwide further highlight the spiritual dimensions of subterranean sites<sup>25</sup>. Likewise, groundwater provides spiritual 806 807 and religious services through sacred water sites, often linked to natural features such 808 as trees, stones, caves, and hills. These places offer a sensory connection to spiritual 809 practices, with holy wells and springs frequently serving as focal points for rituals and supernatural engagement. While not all water sources are considered sacred, many 810 811 cultures believe in offering gifts to water spirits to sustain their blessings. Springs emerging from caves hold particular significance, often seen as miraculously pure and 812 813 ritually powerful, with evidence of reverence spanning from prehistoric times to contemporary cultures worldwide<sup>238</sup>. In Australia, many Aboriginal nations consider groundwater sites fundamental to their Dreamtime creation stories, in which the Rainbow Serpent is believed to have shaped landforms, springs, and river upwelling zones. Many sacred sites associated with fertility, teachings of lore, and cultural customs are linked to groundwater, holding immeasurable value for these communities<sup>242</sup>.

820

#### 821

### Subterranean ecosystem disservices

822

Alongside their many positive contributions, ecosystems can also have effects that are 823 824 perceived as harmful, unpleasant, or unwanted—termed "ecosystem disservices"<sup>243</sup>. 825 While research on subterranean ecosystem disservices is virtually non-existent and 826 beyond the scope of this assessment, it is important to briefly mention the potential human health and infrastructural risks associated with these environments. For 827 828 instance, subterranean ecosystems can serve as reservoirs of pathogens and facilitate disease transmission. They harbor harmful microbes, fungi, and viruses, 829 which may exist freely or be associated with specific organisms. Cave-roosting bats, in 830 particular, are significant vectors of pathogens, including Histoplasma fungi found in 831 bat guano, which can cause histoplasmosis in humans<sup>244</sup>. Additionally, subterranean 832 environments can accumulate potentially toxic gases such as carbon dioxide, 833 methane, hydrogen sulfide, and radon. These gases pose risks of asphyxiation or 834 835 poisoning, while radon may increase lung cancer risk for frequent visitors.

836 At the same time, the public's fascination with the underworld has often led to unfortunate accidents, particularly when individuals engage in caving or cave diving 837 without adequate training or equipment—as in the famous Thailand cave rescue<sup>245</sup> or 838 the harrowing account of Sheck Exley in the Túnel de la Atlántida<sup>246</sup>. Subterranean 839 840 ecosystems can also evoke some of the most common human phobias, as ranked by 841 ref.<sup>247</sup>. These environments are often dark (nyctophobia), enclosed (claustrophobia), contain deep pits or abysses (acrophobia/vertigo), and host fear-inducing organisms 842 such as spiders (arachnophobia) and bats (chiroptophobia), potentially causing 843 psychological distress in visitors. Beyond direct health risks, subterranean 844 environments also pose threats to human infrastructure. Natural underground erosion, 845

- combined with human activities such as mining and groundwater extraction, can lead
  to cave collapses and sinkholes, damaging buildings and roads.
- This discussion of disservices is far from exhaustive. Yet, it serves as a placeholder for further research in this area. Indeed, studying ecosystem disservices has been proposed as a way to better balance the benefits and drawbacks of nature, ultimately leading to a more objective evaluation of its net impact on human wellbeing<sup>248</sup>.

### Box 2. The economic dimension of subterranean ecosystem services

Valuation of services provided by subterranean ecosystems is still in its early stages. A recent review of over 1,300 studies, yielding more than 9,400 monetary value estimates, found that subterranean ecosystems accounted for only 0.08% of the sample<sup>12</sup>. Similarly, ref. <sup>249</sup> reported negligible research effort toward subterranean ecosystems when analyzing the correlation between ecological and economic assessments of 15 regulating services across 32 ecosystem types. While ecological roles such as nutrient cycling, soil formation, and groundwater provision are well-documented, their economic valuation remains limited, with groundwater being the most studied<sup>249</sup>.

In subterranean ecosystems, most valuation efforts focus on provisioning services, particularly groundwater. Methods include market prices, replacement costs, and production functions that measure the marginal impact of water on economic outputs like agricultural crops<sup>250</sup>. However, market prices often fail to capture the full social value of groundwater due to distortions like subsidies, requiring adjustments to reflect true economic value<sup>251</sup>. Replacement cost methods, which estimate the expenses needed to restore lost services, offer an alternative approach<sup>252</sup>.

Regulating services, though frequently reported for subterranean ecosystems, are rarely valued economically. For example, studies on erosion control, flood protection, and water quality regulation typically focus on surface ecosystems rather than subterranean ones<sup>253,254</sup>. Similarly, cultural services like geo- and ecotourism are gaining attention, with examples including the recreational value of mining heritage and willingness-to-pay estimates for geo-guided tours<sup>255–258</sup>.

877

#### Outlook: Communicating the value of subterranean ecosystems

878

879 Although still emerging, research on subterranean ecosystem services is likely to expand rapidly<sup>28</sup>. We now have reasonable estimates of the global distribution and 880 volume of certain types of subterranean ecosystems<sup>44,45</sup>, a growing understanding of 881 subterranean biodiversity patterns<sup>259,260</sup>, and insights into how much of these 882 ecosystems and their biodiversity are protected<sup>32,261</sup>. Increasingly available open 883 data<sup>262</sup> and emerging technologies—from omics tools<sup>263</sup> and environmental DNA<sup>264</sup> to 884 terrestrial laser scanning<sup>265</sup> and computer simulations<sup>42</sup>—enable us to map and 885 quantify subterranean ecosystems at unprecedented resolutions. Simultaneously, 886 887 state-of-the-art economic theory provides a set of approaches to quantify the socio-888 economic relevance of these services at meaningful scales (Box 2). If harnessed 889 effectively, these tools could bridge critical knowledge gaps in subterranean 890 ecosystem services research.

Yet the importance of subterranean ecological processes to support surface ecosystems and human societies often goes unnoticed. Why do we celebrate climbing the highest mountains, yet overlook the exploration of the deepest caves? Why are so many unaware of the remarkable biodiversity thriving underground? And why do we study distant galaxies while Earth's subterranean environments may hold solutions to today's ecological and societal challenges?

897 Considering the importance of communicating these findings to inform real-898 world decision-making, this review aims to equip researchers and practitioners with a 899 comprehensive vade mecum of examples, concepts, and ideas for conveying the importance of subterranean ecosystems. Effective communication requires tailoring 900 901 messages to specific target audiences, using the right metaphors and psychological triggers. For some, subterranean biodiversity can be framed as a form of "life 902 903 insurance," emphasizing its role in maintaining ecosystem stability and resilience<sup>2</sup>. 904 Others may respond to economic metaphors, recognizing the monetary value of 905 services like water filtration, carbon sequestration, and raw material provision (Box 2). 906 At the same time, indigenous cultures, which have depended on subterranean 907 ecosystems for centuries, offer invaluable traditional ecological knowledge and biocultural values that can enrich natural resource management strategies <sup>241</sup> These 908

perspectives often tap into metaphors related to the spiritual connection with these
 places, whereas the aesthetic allure and sense of mystery inherent to subterranean
 ecosystems can captivate audiences drawn to the unknown<sup>266</sup>.

- 912 By integrating these diverse perspectives, we can foster a deeper appreciation 913 for subterranean ecosystems and their role in sustaining life on Earth. Subterranean 914 biodiversity is not just a scientific curiosity—it is a cornerstone of planetary health, a 915 source of resilience in the face of environmental change, and a testament to the interconnectedness of all ecosystems. With this knowledge, we can transform 916 917 awkward questions about subterranean ecosystems into opportunities for inspiration 918 and advocacy. As the world rallies to address environmental change and biodiversity 919 loss, acknowledging and valuing the vital services provided by nature is essential to 920 driving meaningful progress toward a more sustainable future. Ultimately, ensuring 921 that subterranean ecosystems receive the attention and protection they deserve 922 begins with one simple act: shifting the attitude of the next listener from indifference to 923 appreciation.
- 924

930

#### 925 Author contribution

SM, CG, and PC conceived the main idea, with suggestions by all authors. All authors
contributed to the classification of services. SM and AB analyzed the data. SM wrote
the first draft. All authors contributed to the writing of specific sections, and provided
suggestions and additions to the overall text.

### 931 Fundings

This research was funded by Biodiversa+, the European Biodiversity Partnership 932 under the 2021–2022 BiodivProtect joint call for research proposals, co-funded by the 933 934 European Commission (GA N°101052342) and with the funding organisations Ministry 935 of Universities and Research (Italy), Agencia Estatal de Investigación – Fundación 936 Biodiversidad (Spain), Fundo Regional para a Ciência e Tecnologia (Azores, 937 Portugal), Suomen Akatemia – Ministry of the Environment (Finland), Belgian Science 938 Policy Office (Belgium), Agence Nationale de la Recherche (France), Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft e.V. (Germany), Schweizerischer Nationalfonds (Grant N° 939 31BD30 209583, Switzerland), Fonds zur Förderung der Wissenschaftlichen 940
941 Forschung (Austria), Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Innovation (Slovenia), and the Executive Agency for Higher Education, Research, Development and 942 Innovation Funding (Romania). Additional support is provided by the P.R.I.N. 2022 943 projects "DEEPCHANGE" (2022MJSYF8) and "ANCHIALOS" (2022LLNF3N), funded 944 945 by the Ministry of Universities and Research (Italy), by project "FRAGGLE" (PID2021-124640NB-I00), funded by MCIN/AEI/10.13039/501100011033 and by "ERDF A way 946 of making Europe". S.Mam. and T.D.L. acknowledge additional support of NBFC, 947 funded by the Italian Ministry of University and Research, P.N.R.R., Missione 4 948 Componente 2, "Dalla ricerca all'impresa", Investimento 1.4, Project CN00000033. 949 V.G. was supported by the project "SponBIODIV - Marine sponge biodiversity from 950 951 genes to ecosystems: delivering knowledge and tools for sustainable management and conservation", funded by Biodiversa+, the European Biodiversity Partnership 952 953 under the 2021-2022 BiodivProtect joint call for research proposals, co-funded by the European Commission (GA N°101052342) and the funding organisation General 954 955 Secretariat for Research and Innovation (GSRI) under the Hellenic Ministry of C. 956 Development Ρ. funded cE3c (FFBIO-0559429). is bv 957 (https://doi.org/10.54499/UIDB/00329/2020) and CHANGE (https://doi.org/10.54499/la/p/0121/2020). S.Mar. acknowledges the project HJI-D5-1 958 959 "Bringing in participatory approaches to widen the scope of natural capital valuation", RESAS SRP 2022-2027, Scottish Government. I.R.A. was funded by Portuguese 960 national funds through FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the 961 Norma Transitória - https://doi.org/10.54499/DL57/2016/CP1375/CT0003. The 962 963 MICROCENO project (https://doi.org/10.54499/PTDC/CTA-AMB/0608/2020), funded by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT), and the 964 MICROLAVA project (PROYEXCEL 00185) funded by the Regional Government of 965 Andalusia, are also acknowledged. 966

967

## 968 Conflict of Interest

969 None declared.

970

971	Data	and code availability
972	Data	and code to reproduce the analysis is available in Github
973	(https	s://github.com/StefanoMammola/Subterranean-ecosystem-services).
974		
975	Refe	rences
976 977	1.	Rieb, J. T. <i>et al.</i> When, where, and how nature matters for ecosystem services: Challenges for the next generation of ecosystem service models. <i>Bioscience</i> <b>67</b> , 820–833 (2017).
978 979	2.	Loreau, M. <i>et al.</i> Biodiversity as insurance: from concept to measurement and application. <i>Biol. Rev.</i> <b>96</b> , 2333–2354 (2021).
980 981 982	3.	Bekessy, S. A., Runge, M. C., Kusmanoff, A. M., Keith, D. A. & Wintle, B. A. Ask not what nature can do for you: A critique of ecosystem services as a communication strategy. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> <b>224</b> , 71–74 (2018).
983 984	4.	Costanza, R. <i>et al.</i> The value of the world's ecosystem services and natural capital. <i>Nature</i> <b>387</b> , 253–260 (1997).
985 986	5.	Lele, S., Springate-Baginski, O., Lakerveld, R., Deb, D. & Dash, P. Ecosystem services: origins, contributions, pitfalls, and alternatives. <i>Conserv. Soc.</i> <b>11</b> , 343–358 (2013).
987 988	6.	Norgaard, R. B. Ecosystem services: From eye-opening metaphor to complexity blinder. <i>Ecol. Econ.</i> <b>69</b> , 1219–1227 (2010).
989 990	7.	Benra, F. <i>et al.</i> National ecosystem restoration pledges are mismatched with social-ecological enabling conditions. <i>Commun. Earth Environ.</i> <b>5</b> , 731 (2024).
991	8.	Chaplin-Kramer, R. et al. Wildlife's contributions to people. Nat. Rev. Biodivers. 1, 68-81 (2025).
992 993	9.	Haines-Young, R. & Potschin-Young, M. B. Revision of the Common International Classification for Ecosystem Services (CICES V5.1): A Policy Brief. <i>One Ecosyst.</i> <b>3</b> , e27108 (2018).
994 995	10.	Temel, J., Jones, A., Jones, N. & Balint, L. Limits of monetization in protecting ecosystem services. <i>Conserv. Biol.</i> <b>32</b> , 1048–1062 (2018).
996 997	11.	Chee, Y. E. An ecological perspective on the valuation of ecosystem services. <i>Biol. Conserv.</i> <b>120</b> , 549–565 (2004).
998 999	12.	Brander, L. M. <i>et al.</i> Economic values for ecosystem services: A global synthesis and way forward. <i>Ecosyst. Serv.</i> <b>66</b> , 101606 (2024).
1000 1001	13.	Gleeson, T., Cuthbert, M., Ferguson, G. & Perrone, D. Global groundwater sustainability, resources, and systems in the Anthropocene. <i>Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci.</i> <b>48</b> , 431–463 (2020).
1002 1003	14.	Siebert, S. <i>et al.</i> Groundwater use for irrigation – a global inventory. <i>Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.</i> <b>14</b> , 1863–1880 (2010).
1004 1005	15.	Chapelle, F. H. The significance of microbial processes in hydrogeology and geochemistry. <i>Hydrogeol. J.</i> <b>8</b> , 41–46 (2000).
1006 1007	16.	Griebler, C. & Avramov, M. Groundwater ecosystem services: a review. <i>Freshw. Sci.</i> <b>34</b> , 355–367 (2015).

- 100817.Mammola, S. Finding answers in the dark: caves as models in ecology fifty years after Poulson1009and White. *Ecography (Cop.).* 42, 1331–1351 (2019).
- 1010 18. Mammola, S. *et al.* Fundamental research questions in subterranean biology. *Biol. Rev.* **95**, 1011 1855–1872 (2020).
- 101219.Hesselberg, T. The biomimetic potential of novel adaptations in subterranean animals. Front.1013Ecol. Evol. 11, 1127728 (2023).
- 101420.Chiarini, V., Duckeck, J. & De Waele, J. A Global Perspective on Sustainable Show Cave1015Tourism. Geoheritage 14, 82 (2022).
- Piano, E., Mammola, S., Nicolosi, G. & Isaia, M. Advancing tourism sustainability in show caves.
   *Cell Reports Sustain.* 1, 100057 (2024).
- 101822.Mammola, S. *et al.* On art, science, and the conservation of subterranean ecosystems. Subterr.1019Biol. 51, 1–19 (2025).
- 102023.Gleeson, T. Groundwater connected art: practicing arts-based research to enrich how1021hydrogeology engages people, place and other disciplines. (2024).
- 102224.Moyes, H. Sacred Darkness: A Global Perspective on the Ritual Use of Caves. (University Press1023of Colorado, 2012).
- 102425.Bertini, A. Underground cities, cave dwelling, cave homes: yesterday, to day, tomorrow. Reg.1025Archit. Mediterr. Area 104 (2010).
- 102626.Herman, J. S., Culver, D. C. & Salzman, J. Groundwater ecosystems and the service of water1027purification. Stanford Environ. Law J. 20, 479 (2001).
- 102827.Griebler, C., Avramov, M. & Hose, G. Groundwater ecosystems and their services: current status1029and potential risks. Atlas Ecosyst. Serv. drivers, risks, Soc. responses 197–203 (2019).
- 103028.Canedoli, C. *et al.* Integrating landscape ecology and the assessment of ecosystem services in1031the study of karst areas. *Landsc. Ecol.* **37**, 347–365 (2022).
- 103229.Iliopoulos, V. G. & Damigos, D. Groundwater Ecosystem Services: Redefining and1033Operationalizing the Concept. *Resources* **13**, 13 (2024).
- 103430.Charchousi, D., Goula, A. & Papadopoulou, M. P. Mapping and Assessment of Groundwater1035Dependent Ecosystems (GDEs) Services–An Expert-based Land Use/Land Cover Scoring1036Approach. Environ. Process. 12, 2 (2025).
- 103731.Medellin, R. A., Wiederholt, R. & Lopez-Hoffman, L. Conservation relevance of bat caves for1038biodiversity and ecosystem services. *Biol. Conserv.* 211, 45–50 (2017).
- 103932.Mammola, S. *et al.* Perspectives and pitfalls in preserving subterranean biodiversity through1040protected areas. *npj Biodivers.* **3**, 2 (2024).
- 104133.Keith, D. A., Ferrer-Paris, J. R., Nicholson, E. & Kingsford, R. T. The IUCN Global Ecosystem1042Typology 2.0: Descriptive Profiles for Biomes and Ecosystem Functional Groups. (IUCN., Gland,1043Switzerland, 2020). doi:10.2305/IUCN.CH.2020.13.en.
- 104434.Keith, D. A. *et al.* A function-based typology for Earth's ecosystems. Nature 610, 513–5181045(2022).

- 104635.Pedersen, K. Exploration of deep intraterrestrial microbial life: current perspectives. FEMS1047Microbiol. Lett. 185, 9–16 (2000).
- 104836.Edwards, K. J., Becker, K. & Colwell, F. The Deep, Dark Energy Biosphere: Intraterrestrial Life1049on Earth. Annu. Rev. Earth Planet. Sci. 40, 551–568 (2012).
- 1050 37. Richter, F. *et al.* A guide to assess and value ecosystem services of grasslands. *Ecosyst. Serv.*1051 52, 101376 (2021).
- 105238.Jakubiak, M. & Chmielowski, K. Identification of urban water bodies ecosystem services. Acta1053Sci. Pol. Form. Circumiectus 19, 73–82 (2020).
- 105439.Mukherjee, N. *et al.* Ecosystem service valuations of mangrove ecosystems to inform decision1055making and future valuation exercises. *PLoS One* **9**, e107706 (2014).
- 105640.Winkler, K. J., Viers, J. H. & Nicholas, K. A. Assessing ecosystem services and multifunctionality1057for vineyard systems. Front. Environ. Sci. 5, 15 (2017).
- 105841.Kreamer, D. K. *et al.* The future of groundwater science and research. in *Global Groundwater*1059503–517 (Elsevier, 2021).
- 106042.Mammola, S. *et al.* Collecting eco-evolutionary data in the dark: Impediments to subterranean1061research and how to overcome them. *Ecol. Evol.* **11**, 5911–5926 (2021).
- 106243.Navarro-Barranco, C. et al. Conservation of dark habitats. in Coastal habitat conservation 147–1063170 (Elsevier, 2023).
- 106444.Ferguson, G. *et al.* Crustal groundwater volumes greater than previously thought. *Geophys.*1065*Res. Lett.* **48**, e2021GL093549 (2021).
- 106645.Gleeson, T., Befus, K. M., Jasechko, S., Luijendijk, E. & Cardenas, M. B. The global volume and1067distribution of modern groundwater. *Nat. Geosci.* 9, 161–167 (2016).
- 1068 46. Taylor, R. G. et al. Ground water and climate change. Nat. Clim. Chang. 3, 322–329 (2013).
- 1069 47. Jasechko, S. *et al.* Rapid groundwater decline and some cases of recovery in aquifers globally.
   1070 *Nature* 625, 715–721 (2024).
- 107148.Kuang, X. *et al.* The changing nature of groundwater in the global water cycle. Science (80-. ).1072383, eadf0630 (2024).
- 107349.Wu, W.-Y. *et al.* Divergent effects of climate change on future groundwater availability in key mid-1074latitude aquifers. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 3710 (2020).
- 107550.Reinecke, R. *et al.* Uncertainty in model estimates of global groundwater depth. *Environ. Res.*1076Lett. (2024).
- 107751.Reinecke, R. *et al.* Uncertainty of simulated groundwater recharge at different global warming1078levels: a global-scale multi-model ensemble study. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci.* 25, 787–810 (2021).
- 107952.Loaiciga, H. A. & Doh, R. Groundwater for People and the Environment: A Globally Threatened1080Resource. Groundwater 62, 332–340 (2024).
- 108153.Rybach, L. Global status, development and prospects of shallow and deep geothermal energy.1082Int. J. Terr. Heat Flow Appl. Geotherm. 5, 20–25 (2022).
- 108354.Huttrer, G. W. Geothermal power generation in the World 2015-2020 Update report. in1084Proceedings World Geothermal Congress vol. 1 (2020).

- 108555.Murdock, H. E. et al. Renewables 2021-Global Status Report. https://www.ren21.net/wp-1086content/ uploads/2019/05/GSR2021\_Full\_Report.pdf (2021).
- 108756.Fišer, C., Pipan, T. & Culver, D. C. The Vertical Extent of Groundwater Metazoans: An1088Ecological and Evolutionary Perspective. *Bioscience* 64, 971–979 (2014).
- 108957.Vaccarelli, I. *et al.* A global meta-analysis reveals multilevel and context-dependent effects of1090climate change on subterranean ecosystems. *One Earth* **6**, 1510–1522 (2023).
- 109158.Griebler, C. et al. Potential impacts of geothermal energy use and storage of heat on1092groundwater quality, biodiversity, and ecosystem processes. Environ. Earth Sci. 75, 13911093(2016).
- 109459.Benz, S. A. *et al.* Global groundwater warming due to climate change. *Nat. Geosci.* 17, 545–5511095(2024).
- 109660.Bayer, P. *et al.* Extracting past atmospheric warming and urban heating effects from borehole1097temperature profiles. *Geothermics* 64, 289–299 (2016).
- 109861.Becher, J., Englisch, C., Griebler, C. & Bayer, P. Groundwater fauna downtown Drivers,1099impacts and implications for subsurface ecosystems in urban areas. J. Contam. Hydrol. 248,1100104021 (2022).
- 110162.de Graaf, I. E. M., Gleeson, T., Van Beek, L. P. H., Sutanudjaja, E. H. & Bierkens, M. F. P.1102Environmental flow limits to global groundwater pumping. *Nature* **574**, 90–94 (2019).
- 110363.Davis, K. F., Rulli, M. C., Seveso, A. & D'Odorico, P. Increased food production and reduced1104water use through optimized crop distribution. *Nat. Geosci.* **10**, 919–924 (2017).
- 110564.Fišer, C. *et al.* Toward sustainable irrigation practices safeguarding groundwater biodiversity and1106ecosystem services. *Bioscience* biaf016 (2025) doi:10.1093/biosci/biaf016.
- 110765.Carlson, G. *et al.* Intensive irrigation buffers groundwater declines in key European breadbasket.1108Nat. Water (2025) doi:10.1038/s44221-025-00445-4.
- 1109 66. Famiglietti, J. S. The global groundwater crisis. *Nat. Clim. Chang.* **4**, 945–948 (2014).
- 111067.Rodell, M., Velicogna, I. & Famiglietti, J. S. Satellite-based estimates of groundwater depletion in1111India. Nature 460, 999–1002 (2009).
- 111268.Dalin, C., Wada, Y., Kastner, T. & Puma, M. J. Groundwater depletion embedded in international1113food trade. *Nature* 543, 700–704 (2017).
- 111469.Hernández-Marrero, J.-C. *et al.* An approach to prehistoric shepherding in La Gomera (Canary1115Islands) through the study of domestic spaces. *Quat. Int.* **414**, 337–349 (2016).
- 111670.Delhon, C., Martin, L. & Thiébault, S. Neolithic shepherds and sheepfold caves in Southern1117France and adjacent areas: An overview from 40 years of bioarchaeological analyses. Quat. Int.1118683, 61–75 (2024).
- 111971.Pardo, J. M. F. & Guerrero, I. C. Subterranean wine cellars of Central-Spain (Ribera de Duero):1120An underground built heritage to preserve. *Tunn. Undergr. Sp. Technol.* 21, 475–484 (2006).
- 112172.Krishnan, K. *et al.* Effects of temperature, relative humidity, and protective netting on1122Tyrophagus putrescentiae (schrank)(sarcoptiformes: Acaridae) infestation, fungal growth, and1123product quality of cave-aged Cheddar cheese. J. Stored Prod. Res. 83, 44–53 (2019).

- 112473.Maly, K. & Maly, O. Ka Hana Lawai'a a Me Nā Ko'a O Na Kai 'ewalu: A History of Fishing1125Practices and Marine Fisheries of the Hawaiian Islands. (Kumu Pono Associates, 2003).
- 112674.Keliipuleole, K. Aia Ke Kumu Waiwai Ma Makalawena: Analysis of the Natural Resources within1127the Makalawena Loko Waiʿōpae Complex. (University of Hawai'i at Manoa, 2022).
- 112875.Yan, T. H., Babji, A. S., Lim, S. J. & Sarbini, S. R. A Systematic Review of Edible Swiftlet's Nest1129(ESN): Nutritional bioactive compounds, health benefits as functional food, and recent1130development as bioactive ESN glycopeptide hydrolysate. *Trends Food Sci. Technol.* **115**, 117–1131132 (2021).
- 113276.Rathi, B. S., Kumar, P. S. & Vo, D.-V. N. Critical review on hazardous pollutants in water1133environment: Occurrence, monitoring, fate, removal technologies and risk assessment. Sci.1134Total Environ. 797, 149134 (2021).
- 1135 77. Brinkløv, S. & Warrant, E. Oilbirds. *Curr. Biol.* 27, R1145–R1147 (2017).
- 1136
   78.
   Mickleburgh, S., Waylen, K. & Racey, P. Bats as bushmeat: a global review. *Oryx* 43, 217–234

   1137
   (2009).
- 1138 79. Jenkins, R. K. B. & Racey, P. A. Bats as bushmeat in Madagascar. *Madagascar Conserv. Dev.*1139 3, (2008).
- 114080.Kamins, A. O. *et al.* Uncovering the fruit bat bushmeat commodity chain and the true extent of1141fruit bat hunting in Ghana, West Africa. *Biol. Conserv.* 144, 3000–3008 (2011).
- 114281.Tanalgo, K. C., Sritongchuay, T., Agduma, A. R., Cruz, K. C. Dela & Hughes, A. C. Are we1143hunting bats to extinction? Worldwide patterns of hunting risk in bats are driven by species1144ecology and regional economics. *Biol. Conserv.* **279**, 109944 (2023).
- 114582.Verkaik, J., Sutanudjaja, E. H., Oude Essink, G. H. P., Lin, H. X. & Bierkens, M. F. P. GLOBGM1146v1. 0: a parallel implementation of a 30 arcsec PCR-GLOBWB-MODFLOW global-scale1147groundwater model. Geosci. Model Dev. 17, 275–300 (2024).
- 114883.Tang, L. & Werner, T. T. Global mining footprint mapped from high-resolution satellite imagery.1149Commun. Earth Environ. 4, 134 (2023).
- 115084.Sonter, L. J., Dade, M. C., Watson, J. E. M. & Valenta, R. K. Renewable energy production will1151exacerbate mining threats to biodiversity. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4174 (2020).
- 115285.Statista.Mining-Worldwide.Statista(n.d.)1153https://www.statista.com/outlook/io/mining/worldwide#production (2025).
- 1154 86. Reichl, C. & Schatz, M. *World Data Mining 2024.* (2024).
- 115587.Mammola, S. et al. Climate change going deep: The effects of global climatic alterations on cave1156ecosystems. Anthr. Rev. 6, 98–116 (2019).
- 115788.Nanni, V., Piano, E., Cardoso, P., Isaia, M. & Mammola, S. An expert-based global assessment1158of threats and conservation measures for subterranean ecosystems. *Biol. Conserv.* 283, 1101361159(2023).
- 116089.Ferreira, R. L., de Oliveira, M. P. A. & Silva, M. S. Subterranean biodiversity in ferruginous1161landscapes. *Cave Ecol.* 435–447 (2018).
- 1162 90. Ferreira, R. L. et al. Brazilian cave heritage under siege. Science (80-. ). 375, 1238–1239 (2022).

- 116391.Cleary, D. M. *et al.* Guano stable isotopic evidence of Anthropocene climate change influence on<br/>aridity and vegetation dynamics. *Ecol. Indic.* **145**, 109710 (2022).
- 1165 92. Sakoui, S. *et al.* The Life Hidden Inside Caves: Ecological and Economic Importance of Bat 1166 Guano. *Int. J. Ecol.* **2020**, 9872532 (2020).
- 116793.Rinaudo, M. Chitin and chitosan: Properties and applications. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* **31**, 603–6321168(2006).
- 116994.Kaya, M., Seyyar, O., Baran, T. & Turkes, T. Bat guano as new and attractive chitin and chitosan1170source. Front. Zool. 11, 59 (2014).
- 117195.Riquelme, C. *et al.* Actinobacterial diversity in volcanic caves and associated geomicrobiological1172interactions. *Front. Microbiol.* 6, 1342 (2015).
- 117396.Miller, A. Z. *et al.* Biogenic Mn oxide minerals coating in a subsurface granite environment.1174Chem. Geol. 322, 181–191 (2012).
- 117597.Paar, D. et al. Geochemical and mineralogical characterization of speleothems from the karst of1176Croatia as potential sources of data for environmental researches. J. geochemical Explor. 167,117720–37 (2016).
- 1178 98. Sauro, F. *et al.* Microbial diversity and biosignatures of amorphous silica deposits in 1179 orthoquartzite caves. *Sci. Rep.* **8**, 17569 (2018).
- 118099.Zada, S. *et al.* Cave microbes as a potential source of drugs development in the modern era.1181*Microb. Ecol.* 1–14 (2021).
- 1182100.Zhu, H.-Z. *et al.* Bacteria and metabolic potential in karst caves revealed by intensive bacterial1183cultivation and genome assembly. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **87**, e02440-20 (2021).
- 1184101.Ghezzi, D. *et al.* Insights into the microbial life in silica-rich subterranean environments: microbial1185communities and ecological interactions in an orthoquartzite cave (Imawarì Yeuta, Auyan Tepui,1186Venezuela). Front. Microbiol. **13**, 930302 (2022).
- 1187102.Ghezzi, D. *et al.* Ancient and remote quartzite caves as a novel source of culturable microbes1188with biotechnological potential. *Microbiol. Res.* 286, 127793 (2024).
- 1189103.Pipite, A. *et al.* Isolation, antibacterial screening, and identification of bioactive cave dwelling1190bacteria in Fiji. *Front. Microbiol.* **13**, 1012867 (2022).
- 1191104.Gatinho, P. *et al.* From cultural and natural heritage to reservoir of biomedicine: Prospection of1192bioactive compounds produced by bacterial isolates from caves. Int. Biodeterior. Biodegradation1193190, 105773 (2024).
- 1194105.Salazar-Hamm, P. S. *et al.* Subterranean marvels: microbial communities in caves and1195underground mines and their promise for natural product discovery. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* (2025).
- 1196106.Cheeptham, N. *et al.* Cure from the cave: Volcanic cave actinomycetes and their potential in drug1197discovery. *Int. J. Speleol.* 42, 35–47 (2013).
- 1198107.Kosznik-Kwaśnicka, K., Golec, P., Jaroszewicz, W., Lubomska, D. & Piechowicz, L. Into the<br/>unknown: microbial communities in caves, their role, and potential use. *Microorganisms* **10**, 2221200(2022).
- 1201108.Uriz, M. J. *et al.* An approach to the ecological significance of chemically mediated bioactivity in1202Mediterranean benthic communities. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 175–188 (1991).

- 1203109.Turon, X., Martí, R. & Uriz, M. J. Chemical bioactivity of sponges along an environmental1204gradient in a Mediterranean cave. Sci. Mar. 73, 387–397 (2009).
- 1205110.Audoin, C. *et al.* Balibalosides, an original family of glucosylated sesterterpenes produced by the1206Mediterranean sponge Oscarella balibaloi. *Mar. Drugs* **11**, 1477–1489 (2013).
- 1207 111. Rotter, A. et al. The essentials of marine biotechnology. Front. Mar. Sci. 8, 629629 (2021).
- 1208112.Suárez-Moo, P. *et al.* Exploring the microbial community and biotechnological potential of the1209sponge Xestospongia sp. from an anchialine cave in the Yucatán Peninsula. *Ciencias Mar.* 50,1210(2024).
- 1211113.Gatinho, P., Salvador, C., Silva, A. M. & Caldeira, A. T. Prokaryotic communities from pristine<br/>cave environments: biotechnological potential with sustainable production. Sustainability 15,<br/>7471 (2023).
- 1214 114. Riddle, M. R. *et al.* Insulin resistance in cavefish as an adaptation to a nutrient-limited 1215 environment. *Nature* **555**, 647 (2018).
- 1216 115. Yoshizawa, M. *et al.* The evolution of a series of behavioral traits is associated with autism-risk genes in cavefish. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **18**, 89 (2018).
- 1218 116. Gore, A. V *et al.* An epigenetic mechanism for cavefish eye degeneration. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 1155–1160 (2018).
- 1220 117. Griebler, C. & Lueders, T. Microbial biodiversity in groundwater ecosystems. *Freshw. Biol.* **54**, 649–677 (2009).
- 1222118.Rockström, J. *et al.* Planetary boundaries: exploring the safe operating space for humanity. *Ecol.*1223Soc. 14, (2009).
- 1224119.Steffen, W. et al. Planetary boundaries: Guiding human development on a changing planet.1225Science (80-. ). 347, 1259855 (2015).
- 1226120.McClain, M. E. *et al.* Biogeochemical hot spots and hot moments at the interface of terrestrial and1227aquatic ecosystems. *Ecosystems* 301–312 (2003).
- 1228121.Schmidt, S. I., Cuthbert, M. O. & Schwientek, M. Towards an integrated understanding of how1229micro scale processes shape groundwater ecosystem functions. Sci. Total Environ. 592, 215–1230227 (2017).
- 1231 122. Santos, I. R. *et al.* Submarine groundwater discharge impacts on coastal nutrient 1232 biogeochemistry. *Nat. Rev. Earth Environ.* **2**, 307–323 (2021).
- 1233123.de Goeij, J. M., van den Berg, H., van Oostveen, M. M., Epping, E. H. G. & Van Duyl, F. C. Major1234bulk dissolved organic carbon (DOC) removal by encrusting coral reef cavity sponges. *Mar.*1235*Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **357**, 139–151 (2008).
- 1236124.Young, C. *et al.* Effects of short-term variations in sea level on dissolved oxygen in a coastal1237karst aquifer, Quintana Roo, Mexico. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **63**, 352–362 (2018).
- 1238 125. Luijendijk, E., Gleeson, T. & Moosdorf, N. Fresh groundwater discharge insignificant for the 1239 world's oceans but important for coastal ecosystems. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 1260 (2020).
- 1240 126. Slomp, C. P. & Van Cappellen, P. Nutrient inputs to the coastal ocean through submarine 1241 groundwater discharge: controls and potential impact. *J. Hydrol.* **295**, 64–86 (2004).

- 1242127.Moore, W. S. The subterranean estuary: a reaction zone of ground water and sea water. Mar.1243Chem. 65, 111–125 (1999).
- 1244 128. Santos, I. R. S. *et al.* Nutrient biogeochemistry in a Gulf of Mexico subterranean estuary and 1245 groundwater-derived fluxes to the coastal ocean. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **53**, 705–718 (2008).
- 1246129.Schutte, C. A., Wilson, A. M., Evans, T., Moore, W. S. & Joye, S. B. Methanotrophy controls1247groundwater methane export from a barrier island. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **179**, 242–2561248(2016).
- 1249 130. Brankovits, D. *et al.* Methane-and dissolved organic carbon-fueled microbial loop supports a 1250 tropical subterranean estuary ecosystem. *Nat. Commun.* **8**, 1–12 (2017).
- 1251 131. Brankovits, D. *et al.* Hydrologic controls of methane dynamics in karst subterranean estuaries.
  1252 *Global Biogeochem. Cycles* 32, 1759–1775 (2018).
- 1253132.Adame, M. F., Santini, N. S., Torres-Talamante, O. & Rogers, K. Mangrove sinkholes (cenotes)1254of the Yucatan Peninsula, a global hotspot of carbon sequestration. *Biol. Lett.* **17**, 202100371255(2021).
- 1256 133. UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). Coastal Resilience. (2021).
- 1257 134. Hasan, M. F., Smith, R., Vajedian, S., Pommerenke, R. & Majumdar, S. Global land subsidence
  1258 mapping reveals widespread loss of aquifer storage capacity. *Nat. Commun.* 14, 6180 (2023).
- 1259 135. Erkens, G., Bucx, T., Dam, R., De Lange, G. & Lambert, J. Sinking coastal cities. *Proc. Int.* 1260 *Assoc. Hydrol. Sci.* **372**, 189–198 (2015).
- 1261 136. Connor, R. & Miletto, M. *The United Nations World Water Development Report 2022:* 1262 *Groundwater: Making the Invisible Visible.* (UNESCO Publishing, 2022).
- 1263 137. Saccò, M. *et al.* Groundwater is a hidden global keystone ecosystem. *Glob. Chang. Biol.* **30**, e17066 (2024).
- 1265 138. Lowry, C. S. & Loheide, S. P. Groundwater □ dependent vegetation: Quantifying the groundwater
  1266 subsidy. *Water Resour. Res.* 46, (2010).
- 1267 139. Temmerman, S. *et al.* Impact of vegetation on flow routing and sedimentation patterns: Three-1268 dimensional modeling for a tidal marsh. *J. Geophys. Res. Earth Surf.* **110**, (2005).
- 1269140.Borysiak, J., Czyryca, P. & Stępniewska, M. Erosion control ecosystem service provided by Salix1270acutifolia Willd. Neophyte on the South Baltic coast: Insights from Wolin Island, Poland. Quaest.1271Geogr. 43, 5–19 (2024).
- 1272141.Vallecillo, S. *et al.* Accounting for changes in flood control delivered by ecosystems at the EU1273level. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 44, 101142 (2020).
- 1274 142. Xie, J. *et al.* Majority of global river flow sustained by groundwater. *Nat. Geosci.* **17**, 770–777 (2024).
- 1276 143. Glanville, K., Sheldon, F., Butler, D. & Capon, S. Effects and significance of groundwater for vegetation: A systematic review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **875**, 162577 (2023).
- 1278 144. Moore, W. S. The effect of submarine groundwater discharge on the ocean. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.*1279 2, 59–88 (2010).

- 1280145.Barbeta, A. & Peñuelas, J. Relative contribution of groundwater to plant transpiration estimated1281with stable isotopes. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 10580 (2017).
- 1282 146. Evaristo, J. & McDonnell, J. J. Prevalence and magnitude of groundwater use by vegetation: a 1283 global stable isotope meta-analysis. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 44110 (2017).
- 1284 147. Rohde, M. M. *et al.* Establishing ecological thresholds and targets for groundwater management.
   1285 *Nat. water* 2, 312–323 (2024).
- 1286148.Moosdorf, N. *et al.* A State-Of-The-Art Perspective on the Characterization of Subterranean1287Estuaries at the Regional Scale. *Front. Earth Sci.* Volume 9-, (2021).
- 1288149.Baker, G. M. Quantifying wildlife use of cave entrances using remote camera traps. J. Cave1289Karst Stud. 77, 200–210 (2015).
- 1290150.dos Santos, T., de Souza, A. M., Bondezan, F. L. & Eterovick, P. C. Going underground: What1291the natural history traits of cave users can tell us about cave use propensity. J. Herpetol. 56,1292153–163 (2022).
- 1293 151. Tuniyev, B. S., Koval, A. G. & Vargovitsh, R. S. Amphibians and reptiles in the caves of the 1294 Greater Caucasus. *Nat. Conserv. Res.* **6**, 1–16 (2021).
- 1295152.Furey, N. M. & Racey, P. A. Conservation Ecology of Cave Bats. in Bats in the Anthropocene:1296Conservation of Bats in a Changing World (eds. Voigt, C. C. & Kingston, T.) 463–500 (Springer1297International Publishing, Cham, 2016). doi:10.1007/978-3-319-25220-9\_15.
- 1298 153. Novak, T., Thirion, C. & Janžekovič, F. Hypogean ecophase of three hymenopteran species in 1299 Central European caves. *Ital. J. Zool.* **77**, 469–475 (2010).
- 1300154.Mammola, S. & Isaia, M. Day-night and seasonal variations of a subterranean invertebrate1301community in the twilight zone. Subterr. Biol. 27, 31–51 (2018).
- 1302155.Moog, O., Christian, E. & Eis, R. Increased cave use by butterflies and moths: a response to1303climate warming? Int. J. Speleol. 50, 15–24 (2021).
- 1304 156. Land, E. & Peters, C. N. Groundwater impacts on stream biodiversity and communities: a review.
   1305 *J. Freshw. Ecol.* 38, 2260801 (2023).
- 1306157.Gerovasileiou, V. & Bianchi, C. N. Mediterranean marine caves: a synthesis of current1307knowledge. Oceanogr. Mar. Biol. An Annu. Rev. 59, 1–88 (2021).
- 1308 158. Ramírez-Fráncel, L. A. *et al.* Bats and their vital ecosystem services: a global review. *Integr.* 1309 *Zool.* 17, 2–23 (2022).
- 1310
  159. Sheherazade, Ober, H. K. & Tsang, S. M. Contributions of bats to the local economy through durian pollination in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Biotropica* 51, 913–922 (2019).
- 1312160.Trejo-Salazar, R. *et al.* Historical, temporal, and geographic dynamism of the interaction1313between Agave and Leptonycteris nectar□feeding bats. *Am. J. Bot.* **110**, e16222 (2023).
- 1314 161. Pimentel, N. T., da Rocha, P. A., Pedroso, M. A. & Bernard, E. Estimates of insect consumption 1315 and guano input in bat caves in Brazil. *Mammal Res.* **67**, 355–366 (2022).
- 1316 162. Tuneu-Corral, C. *et al.* Pest suppression by bats and management strategies to favour it: a global review. *Biol. Rev.* **98**, 1564–1582 (2023).

- 1318163.Boyles, J. G., Cryan, P. M., McCracken, G. F. & Kunz, T. H. Economic Importance of Bats in1319Agriculture. Science (80-. ). 332, 41–42 (2011).
- 1320 164. Chemical Abstracts Service. (n.d.). CAS Content. (2025).
- 1321165.Misstear, B., Vargas, C. R., Lapworth, D., Ouedraogo, I. & Podgorski, J. A global perspective on1322assessing groundwater quality. *Hydrogeol. J.* **31**, 11–14 (2023).
- 1323166.Lapworth, D. J., Boving, T. B., Kreamer, D. K., Kebede, S. & Smedley, P. L. Groundwater quality:1324Global threats, opportunities and realising the potential of groundwater. Science of the Total1325Environment vol. 811 152471 at (2022).
- 1326167.Putilina, V. S. & Yuganova, T. I. The Role of Microbiological Processes in the Formation of1327Geochemical Barriers and Redox Zones under Conditions of Contamination of Soils and1328Aquifers with Metals Near MSW Disposal Sites. Water Resour. 49, S83–S93 (2022).
- 1329168.Das, N. & Chandran, P. Microbial degradation of petroleum hydrocarbon contaminants: an1330overview. *Biotechnol. Res. Int.* 2011, 941810 (2011).
- 1331169.Tatti, F. *et al.* Experimental and numerical evaluation of Groundwater Circulation Wells as a1332remediation technology for persistent, low permeability contaminant source zones. *J. Contam.*1333*Hydrol.* **222**, 89–100 (2019).
- 1334 170. Li, P., Karunanidhi, D., Subramani, T. & Srinivasamoorthy, K. Sources and Consequences of 1335 Groundwater Contamination. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **80**, 1–10 (2021).
- 1336 171. Basu, N. B. *et al.* Managing nitrogen legacies to accelerate water quality improvement. *Nat.* 1337 *Geosci.* 15, 97–105 (2022).
- 1338172.Logeshwaran, P., Megharaj, M., Chadalavada, S., Bowman, M. & Naidu, R. Petroleum1339hydrocarbons (PH) in groundwater aquifers: An overview of environmental fate, toxicity,1340microbial degradation and risk-based remediation approaches. *Environ. Technol. Innov.* 10,1341175–193 (2018).
- 1342173.Laws, B. V, Dickenson, E. R. V, Johnson, T. A., Snyder, S. A. & Drewes, J. E. Attenuation of1343contaminants of emerging concern during surface-spreading aquifer recharge. Sci. Total1344Environ. 409, 1087–1094 (2011).
- 1345174.Burke, V., Duennbier, U. & Massmann, G. The effect of aeration on the removal of wastewater-<br/>derived pharmaceutical residues from groundwater-A laboratory study. Water Sci. Technol. 67,<br/>658–666 (2013).
- 1348175.Postigo, C. & Barceló, D. Synthetic organic compounds and their transformation products in<br/>groundwater: occurrence, fate and mitigation. *Sci. Total Environ.* **503**, 32–47 (2015).
- 1350176.Boulton, A. J. A., Fenwick, G., Hancock, P. J. A. & Harvey, M. S. Biodiversity, functional roles1351and ecosystem services of groundwater invertebrates. *Invertebr. Syst.* 22, 103–116 (2008).
- 1352177.Hose, G. C. & Stumpp, C. Architects of the underworld: bioturbation by groundwater1353invertebrates influences aquifer hydraulic properties. Aquat. Sci. 81, 20 (2019).
- 1354 178. Malard, F. & Hervant, F. Oxygen supply and the adaptations of animals in groundwater. *Freshw.*1355 *Biol.* 41, 1–30 (1999).
- 1356 179. Edler, C. & Dodds, W. K. The ecology of a subterranean isopod, Caecidotea tridentata. *Freshw.*1357 *Biol.* 35, 249–259 (1996).

- 1358180.Martin-Pozas, T. *et al.* Role of subterranean microbiota in the carbon cycle and greenhouse gas1359dynamics. Sci. Total Environ. 831, 154921 (2022).
- 1360181.Ghezzi, D. *et al.* The microbiota characterizing huge carbonatic moonmilk structures and its1361correlation with preserved organic matter. *Environ. Microbiome* **19**, 25 (2024).
- 1362 182. Waring, C. L. *et al.* Seasonal total methane depletion in limestone caves. *Sci. Rep.* **7**, 8314 (2017).
- 1364183.Webster, K. D. *et al.* Subterranean karst environments as a global sink for atmospheric methane.1365*Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **485**, 9–18 (2018).
- 1366184.Ojeda, L. *et al.* Methane sources and sinks in karst systems: The Nerja cave and its vadose1367environment (Spain). *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **259**, 302–315 (2019).
- 1368 185. Fernandez-Cortes, A. *et al.* Subterranean atmospheres may act as daily methane sinks. *Nat.* 1369 *Commun.* 6, 7003 (2015).
- 1370 186. Caldwell, T. G. *et al.* Spring discharge and thermal regime of a groundwater dependent 1371 ecosystem in an arid karst environment. *J. Hydrol.* **587**, 124947 (2020).
- 1372 187. Goldscheider, N. *et al.* Global distribution of carbonate rocks and karst water resources.
  1373 *Hydrogeol. J.* 28, 1661–1677 (2020).
- 1374 188. Cedrés-Perdomo, R. D., Polaíno-Martín, C., Jennings, L. & Gabriel, R. Seeking a hideout: Caves as refuges for various functional groups of bryophytes from Terceira Island (Azores, Portugal).
  1376 Diversity 16, 58 (2024).
- 1377189.Fleury, P., Bakalowicz, M. & De Marsily, G. Submarine springs and coastal karst aquifers: a1378review. J. Hydrol. 339, 79–92 (2007).
- 1379190.Moosdorf, N. & Oehler, T. Societal use of fresh submarine groundwater discharge: An1380overlooked water resource. *Earth-Science Rev.* **171**, 338–348 (2017).
- 1381191.Taniguchi, M., Ishitobi, T. & Shimada, J. Dynamics of submarine groundwater discharge and1382freshwater-seawater interface. J. Geophys. Res. Ocean. 111, (2006).
- 1383192.Beddows, P. A., Smart, P. L., Whitaker, F. F. & Smith, S. L. Decoupled fresh-saline groundwater1384circulation of a coastal carbonate aquifer: Spatial patterns of temperature and specific electrical1385conductivity. J. Hydrol. 346, 18–32 (2007).
- 1386
  193. Singh, P., Jiroušek, M., Hájková, P., Horsák, M. & Hájek, M. The future of carbon storage in calcareous fens depends on the balance between groundwater discharge and air temperature.
  1388 *CATENA* 231, 107350 (2023).
- 1389194.Howard, J. K., Dooley, K., Brauman, K. A., Klausmeyer, K. R. & Rohde, M. M. Ecosystem1390services produced by groundwater dependent ecosystems: a framework and case study in1391California. Front. Water 5, 1115416 (2023).
- 1392 195. Zou, J. *et al.* Rewetting global wetlands effectively reduces major greenhouse gas emissions.
   1393 *Nat. Geosci.* **15**, 627–632 (2022).
- 1394196.Matsuzawa, T. Hot-spring bathing of wild monkeys in Shiga-Heights: origin and propagation of a1395cultural behavior. *Primates* **59**, 209–213 (2018).
- 1396197.Munteanu, C. SPELEOTHERAPY-scientific relevance in the last five years (2013–2017)–A1397systematic review. Balneo Res. J. 8, 252–254 (2017).

- 1398 198. Gunn, J. Diving in caves. *Encyclopedia of caves and karst science* 653–655 (2004).
- 1399 199. Wilson, J. M. Recreational caving. *Encyclopedia of Caves* 861–870 (2019).
- 1400 200. Kunz, T. H., Braun de Torrez, E., Bauer, D., Lobova, T. & Fleming, T. H. Ecosystem services
  1401 provided by bats. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.* **1223**, 1–38 (2011).
- 1402 201. Bagstad, K. J. & Wiederholt, R. Tourism values for Mexican free-tailed bat viewing. *Hum.*1403 *Dimens. Wildl.* 18, 307–311 (2013).
- 1404202.Danielopol, D. L. Conservation and protection of the biota of karst: assimilation of scientific ideas1405through artistic perception. J. Cave Karst Stud. 60, 67 (1998).
- 1406203.Burlingame, G. A., Dietrich, A. M., Adams, H. & Bartrand, T. A. Managing Drinking Water1407Aesthetics With Water Safety Planning. Journal American Water Work. Assoc. 116, 6–171408(2024).
- 1409 204. Poulson, T. L. & White, W. B. The Cave Environment. Science (80-. ). 165, 971–981 (1969).
- 1410 205. Martinez, A. & Mammola, S. Let research on subterranean habitats resonate! *Subterr. Biol.* 36, 1411 63–71 (2020).
- 1412 206. Juan, C., Guzik, M. T., Jaume, D. & Cooper, S. J. B. Evolution in caves: Darwin's 'wrecks of 1413 ancient life' in the molecular era. *Mol. Ecol.* **19**, 3865–3880 (2010).
- Harmelin, J.-G. & Vacelet, J. Clues to deep-sea biodiversity in a nearshore cave. *Vie Milieu/Life Environ.* 351–354 (1997).
- 1416 208. Rando, J. C., Alcover, J. A., Olson, S. L. & Pieper, H. A new species of extinct scops owl (Aves:
  1417 Strigiformes: Strigidae: Otus) from São Miguel island (Azores archipelago, north Atlantic ocean).
  1418 Zootaxa 3647, 343–357 (2013).
- 1419209.Rando, J. C., Pieper, H., Olson, S. L., Pereira, F. & Alcover, J. A. A new extinct species of large1420bullfinch (Aves: Fringillidae: Pyrrhula) from Graciosa Island (Azores, North Atlantic Ocean).1421Zootaxa (2017).
- 1422210.Chatters, J. C. *et al.* Late Pleistocene Human Skeleton and mtDNA Link Paleoamericans and1423Modern Native Americans. *Science (80-. ).* **344**, 750–754 (2014).
- 1424211.Berger, L. R. *et al.* Homo naledi, a new species of the genus Homo from the Dinaledi Chamber,1425South Africa. *Elife* 4, e09560 (2015).
- 1426212.Fairchild, I. J. & Baker, A. Speleothem Science: From Process to Past Environments. (John1427Wiley & Sons, 2012).
- van Hengstum, P. J., Reinhardt, E. G., Beddows, P. A. & Gabriel, J. J. Linkages between
  Holocene paleoclimate and paleohydrogeology preserved in a Yucatan underwater cave. *Quat. Sci. Rev.* 29, 2788–2798 (2010).
- 1431 214. van Hengstum, P. J., Scott, D. B., Gröcke, D. R. & Charette, M. A. Sea level controls 1432 sedimentation and environments in coastal caves and sinkholes. *Mar. Geol.* **286**, 35–50 (2011).
- van Hengstum, P. J., Cresswell, J. N., Milne, G. A. & Iliffe, T. M. Development of anchialine cave
  habitats and karst subterranean estuaries since the last ice age. *Sci. Rep.* 9, 11907 (2019).
- 1435 216. Hughes, A. C. *et al.* Reconstructing cave past to manage and conserve cave present and future.
  1436 *Ecol. Indic.* 155, 111051 (2023).

- 1437217.Miller, A. Z. *et al.* Analytical pyrolysis and stable isotope analyses reveal past environmental<br/>changes in coralloid speleothems from Easter Island (Chile). *J. Chromatogr. A* **1461**, 144–1521439(2016).
- 1440 218. Miller, A. Z. *et al.* Organic geochemistry and mineralogy suggest anthropogenic impact in speleothem chemistry from volcanic show caves of the Galapagos. *iScience* **25**, 104556 (2022).
- 1442219.Titus, T. N. *et al.* A roadmap for planetary caves science and exploration. *Nat. Astron.* 5, 524–1443525 (2021).
- 1444 220. Sauro, F. *et al.* Speleology as an analogue to space exploration: The ESA CAVES training 1445 programme. *Acta Astronaut.* **184**, 150–166 (2021).
- Sauro, F. *et al.* Training astronauts for scientific exploration on planetary surfaces: The ESA
  PANGAEA programme. *Acta Astronaut.* 204, 222–238 (2023).
- 1448 222. Wynne, J. J. *et al.* Fundamental science and engineering questions in planetary cave 1449 exploration. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* **127**, e2022JE007194 (2022).
- 1450223.Northup, D. E. *et al.* Lava Cave Microbial Communities Within Mats and Secondary Mineral1451Deposits: Implications for Life Detection on Other Planets. *Astrobiology* **11**, 601–618 (2011).
- 1452224.Popa, R., Smith, A. R., Popa, R., Boone, J. & Fisk, M. Olivine-Respiring Bacteria Isolated from1453the Rock-Ice Interface in a Lava-Tube Cave, a Mars Analog Environment. Astrobiology 12, 9–181454(2011).
- Westall, F. *et al.* Biosignatures on Mars: what, where, and how? Implications for the search for
  martian life. *Astrobiology* **15**, 998–1029 (2015).
- 1457226.Palma, V. *et al.* Connecting molecular biomarkers, mineralogical composition, and microbial<br/>diversity from Mars analog lava tubes. *Sci. Total Environ.* **913**, 169583 (2024).
- 1459 227. Palma, V. *et al.* Decoding organic compounds in lava tube sulfates to understand potential biomarkers in the Martian subsurface. *Commun. Earth Environ.* **5**, 530 (2024).
- 1461228.Macalady Jennifer, L. *et al.* Dominant Microbial Populations in Limestone-Corroding Stream1462Biofilms, Frasassi Cave System, Italy. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **72**, 5596–5609 (2006).
- 1463229.Reinfried, S., Aeschbacher, U., Kienzler, P. M. & Tempelmann, S. The model of educational1464reconstruction-a powerful strategy to teach for conceptual development in physical geography:1465the case of water springs. Int. Res. Geogr. Environ. Educ. 24, 237–257 (2015).
- 1466 230. Alther, R., Bongni, N., Borko, Š., Fišer, C. & Altermatt, F. Citizen science approach reveals groundwater fauna in Switzerland and a new species of Niphargus (Amphipoda, Niphargidae).
  1468 Subterr. Biol. 25, 1–31 (2021).
- 1469231.Schneider, A. S., Knüsel, M. & Altermatt, F. Assessment of occurrence, diversity, and biomass of1470macroinvertebrates in Swiss groundwater systems using citizen science data. Subterr. Biol. 46,1471147–164 (2023).
- 1472 232. Knüsel, M. *et al.* Systematic and highly resolved modelling of biodiversity in inherently rare 1473 groundwater amphipods. *J. Biogeogr.* **51**, 2094–2108 (2024).
- 1474233.Knüsel, M., Alther, R. & Altermatt, F. Pronounced changes of subterranean biodiversity patterns1475along a Late Pleistocene glaciation gradient. *Ecography (Cop.).* e07321 (2024).
- 1476 234. Crane, R. & Fletcher, L. Cave: Nature and Culture. (Reaktion Books, 2015).

- 1477235.Sieradzki, A. & Mikkola, H. Bats in folklore and culture: a review of historical perceptions around1478the world. Bats–Disease-prone But Benef. (2022).
- 1479236.Zagmajster, M., Polak, S. & Fišer, C. Postojna-Planina Cave System in Slovenia, a Hotspot of1480Subterranean Biodiversity and a Cradle of Speleobiology. Diversity vol. 13 at1481https://doi.org/10.3390/d13060271 (2021).
- 1482 237. Schmidt, F. Beitrag zu Krain's Fauna. *Illyrisch- es Blatt* **3**, 9–10 (1832).
- 1483 238. Ray, C. Holy wells and sacred springs. in Sacred Waters 1–31 (Routledge, 2020).
- 1484239.Munro, P. G. & de Lourdes Melo, M. The role of cenotes in the social history of Mexico's Yucatan1485Peninsula. *Environ. Hist. Camb.* 17, 583–612 (2011).
- 1486240.Melo Zurita, M. de L. Holes, subterranean exploration and affect in the Yucatan Peninsula.1487*Emot. Sp. Soc.* **32**, 100584 (2019).
- 1488 241. Gibson, V. L., Bremer, L. L., Burnett, K. M., Lui, N. K. & Smith, C. M. Biocultural values of 1489 groundwater dependent ecosystems in Kona, Hawai'i. *Ecol. Soc.* **27**, (2022).
- 1490242.Moggridge, B. J. Aboriginal people and groundwater. Proc. R. Soc. Queensland, 126, 11–271491(2020).
- 1492243.Blanco, J., Dendoncker, N., Barnaud, C. & Sirami, C. Ecosystem disservices matter: Towards1493their systematic integration within ecosystem service research and policy. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 36,1494100913 (2019).
- 1495244.Gugnani, H. C. & Denning, D. W. Infection of bats with Histoplasma species. *Med. Mycol.* 61,1496myad080 (2023).
- 1497245.Beech, H., Paddock, R. C. & Suhartono, M. Still can't believe it worked. The story of the Thailand1498cave rescue. New York Times (2018).
- 1499 246. Exley, S. Caverns Measureless to Man. (Cave Books, 1994).
- 1500247.Correia, R. A. & Mammola, S. The searchscape of fear: A global analysis of internet search1501trends for biophobias. *People Nat.* 6, 958–972 (2024).
- 1502248.Schaubroeck, T. A need for equal consideration of ecosystem disservices and services when1503valuing nature; countering arguments against disservices. *Ecosyst. Serv.* 26, 95–97 (2017).
- 1504 249. Kadykalo, A. N., Kelly, L. A., Berberi, A., Reid, J. L. & Findlay, C. S. Research effort devoted to regulating and supporting ecosystem services by environmental scientists and economists.
   1506 *PLoS One* **16**, e0252463 (2021).
- 1507250.Aziz, T. *et al.* Monetizing the role of water in sustaining watershed ecosystem services using a1508fully integrated subsurface–surface water model. *Hydrol. Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss.* 2023, 1–341509(2023).
- 1510 251. Singh, S., Kaur, P., Sachdeva, J. & Bhardwaj, S. Profitability analysis of major crops in punjab:
  1511 some evidence from cost of cultivation survey data. *Indian J. Econ. Dev.* **13**, 71–78 (2017).
- 1512 252. Carrera-Hernández, J. J. & Gaskin, S. J. Water management in the Basin of Mexico: current 1513 state and alternative scenarios. (2009).

- 1514253.Lundin-Frisk, E. *et al.* Improved assessments of subsurface projects: Systematic mapping of1515geosystem services and a review of their economic values. *J. Environ. Manage.* **365**, 1215621516(2024).
- 1517254.Patault, E. *et al.* Analysis of off-site economic costs induced by runoff and soil erosion: Example1518of two areas in the northwestern European loess belt for the last two decades (Normandy,1519France). Land use policy **108**, 105541 (2021).
- 1520 255. Pérez-Álvarez, R., Torres-Ortega, S., Díaz-Simal, P., Husillos-Rodríguez, R. & De Luis-Ruiz, J.
  1521 M. Economic valuation of mining heritage from a recreational approach: Application to the case
  1522 of El Soplao Cave in Spain (Geosite UR004). *Sustainability* 8, 185 (2016).
- 1523256.Khalaf, E. E. D. A. H. Geoheritage and cultural-religious heritage of Samalute-Minia area in1524North Egypt. Geoheritage 16, 5 (2024).
- 1525 257. Kubalíková, L. Cultural ecosystem services of geodiversity: A case study from Stranska skala
  1526 (Brno, Czech Republic). *Land* 9, 105 (2020).
- 1527258.Cheung, L. T. O., Fok, L. & Fang, W. Understanding geopark visitors' preferences and1528willingness to pay for global geopark management and conservation. J. Ecotourism 13, 35–511529(2014).
- 1530259.Zagmajster, M., Ferreira, R. L., Humphreys, W. F., Niemiller, M. L. & Malard, F. Patterns and1531determinants of richness and composition of the groundwater fauna. in *Groundwater ecology*1532and evolution 141–164 (Elsevier, 2023).
- 1533260.Martínez, A. *et al.* Stygofauna Mundi: a comprehensive global biodiversity database of1534groundwater-related habitats across marine and freshwater realms. 69–73 at (2024).
- 1535261.Sánchez-Fernández, D., Galassi, D. M. P., Wynne, J. J., Cardoso, P. & Mammola, S. Don't1536forget subterranean ecosystems in climate change agendas. Nat. Clim. Chang. 11, 458–4591537(2021).
- 1538262.Huggins, X. *et al.* A review of open data for studying global groundwater in social-ecological1539systems. *EarthArXiv* (2025) doi:10.31223/X5XB07.
- 1540263.Pérez-Moreno, J. L., Iliffe, T. M. & Bracken-Grissom, H. D. Life in the Underworld: Anchialine1541cave biology in the era of speleogenomics. *Int. J. Speleol.* **49**, 149–170 (2016).
- 1542264.Saccò, M. *et al.* eDNA in subterranean ecosystems: Applications, technical aspects, and future1543prospects. Sci. Total Environ. 820, 153223 (2022).
- 1544265.Idrees, M. O. & Pradhan, B. A decade of modern cave surveying with terrestriallaser1545scanning: A review of sensors, method and application development. Int.J. Speleol.154645, 71–88 (2016).
- 1547266.Ryan-Davis, J. & Scalice, D. Co-Creating Ethical Practices and Approaches for Fieldwork. AGU1548Adv. 3, e2022AV000762 (2022).