- 1 Arising from Macintosh, A., Butler, D., Larraondo, P. et al. Australian human-induced native forest
- 2 regeneration carbon offset projects have limited impact on changes in woody vegetation cover and
- 3 carbon removals. Commun Earth Environ 5, 149 (2024).
- 4 https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01313-x
- 5 **Title**: National-scale datasets systematically underestimate vegetation recovery in Australian carbon
- 6 farming projects
- 7 Authors:

13

15

16

18

- 8 Tim Moore<sup>1</sup>, Andrew O'Reilly-Nugent<sup>2,3</sup>, Kenneth Clarke<sup>1,4</sup>, Cathleen Waters<sup>5,6</sup>
- 9 1. RegenCo, Rundle Mall, Adelaide, South Australia, 5000, Australia.
- 10 2. Climate Friendly, Level 24, 60 Margaret Street, SYDNEY New South Wales 2000
- Adjunct Fellow, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences & Ecology Research
  Centre, University of New South Wales
  - 4. Adjunct Senior Fellow, School of Agriculture, Food and Wine, University of Adelaide
- 14 5. GreenCollar, The Rocks, Sydney, NSW, 2000, Australia
  - 6. Adjunct Fellow, Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Richmond, NSW, Australia
- 17 Corresponding author: <u>tim.moore@regenco.earth</u>
- 19 Word Limit: 1200; Word count: 1189 (not including Figures, Tables, References)
- 20 Figure limit: 2; No. figures: 2
- 21 Reference limit 15: No. references: 15
- 22 **Competing interests' statement:** the authors receive financial remuneration for administering
- 23 carbon farming projects and monitoring their performance.
- 24 Limiting global warming below 2°C requires nature-based climate solutions which are expected to
- supply more than a third of cost-effective climate mitigation by 2030<sup>1,2</sup>, while prioritising avoiding
- 26 fossil fuel emissions. Regenerating native forests under the Australian Government's Australian
- 27 Carbon Credit Unit (ACCU) program are delivering large-scale carbon storage across approximately
- 28 3.4 million hectares. Projects using the human-induced regeneration (HIR) method<sup>3</sup> aim to restore
- 29 native forests through improved land management, generating ACCUs that underpin both legislated
- 30 emissions reduction and voluntary decarbonisation targets. Scientific rigour must underpin the
- 31 integrity of the ACCU program. Constructive critiques of carbon crediting programs allow refinement
- 32 over time, strengthening climate action<sup>4</sup>. However, flawed analyses that lack necessary analytical
- 33 rigour can undermine investment decisions and diminish real outcomes when they impact critical
- 34 policy decisions<sup>5</sup>.
- 35 Macintosh et al. (2024<sup>6</sup>; hereafter Macintosh) contend that HIR activities are having limited influence
- on changes in woody vegetation cover in Australia. Macintosh analysed the National Forest and
- 37 Sparse Woody Vegetation Dataset (NFSWVD<sup>7</sup>) and, elsewhere<sup>8</sup>, Woody Cover Fraction (WCF<sup>9</sup>) to
- 38 compare vegetation trends between credited HIR areas and adjacent comparison areas. However,
- 39 Macintosh provides no valid empirical support to validate the data sets they applied were fit for
- 40 purpose, a standard scientific convention. Here, we provide evidence that their assessment relies on
- 41 two untested assumptions:

- that publicly available, national-scale datasets can accurately detect and quantify vegetation
  cover at the scale of individual projects, and
  - 2. that adjacent comparison areas represent valid experimental controls.
- We applied high quality reference data, collected on HIR projects as standard practice, as empirical
- 46 evidence that the national-scale datasets (NFSWVD & WCF) used by Macintosh systematically
- 47 underestimate regeneration success on HIR projects in the Australian rangelands, and are therefore
- 48 not fit for purpose as used by Macintosh. We also demonstrate that Macintosh' s experimental
- design has significant fundamental design failures that undermines their findings.

#### NFSWVD is not fit-for-purpose as a standalone tool to examine HIR project performance

- We assessed the accuracy of publicly available national datasets using a reference dataset of high-
- resolution airborne lidar obtained from representative HIR project sites in the Queensland, New
- 53 South Wales and Western Australia rangelands (*Methods*). These direct comparisons reveal
- 54 systematic underestimation of vegetation condition by the national data sets relative to the lidar
- 55 reference data.

44

50

79

- NFSWVD detected 40 % less sparse woody vegetation than reference lidar (Figure 1a), precisely the
- 57 early stage regeneration that characterises successful HIR interventions. Similarly, WCF failed to
- 58 identify most vegetation below 20 % canopy cover, essentially missing early-stage regeneration
- (Figure 1b, c). Overall, WCF was moderately correlated with reference lidar ( $R^2 = 0.6$ ), particularly at
- 60 high canopy cover, however the magnitude of relative errors (126 % relative root mean square
- 61 deviation; relRMSD) indicates substantial disagreement overall.
- 62 Furthermore, NFSWVD predicted sparse woody vegetation in different locations than the reference
- 63 lidar data (Table 1). NFSWVD failed to detect four out of five (4/5) validation plots with
- 64 predominantly sparse vegetation (omission) and only one in four (1/4) areas mapped by NFSWVD as
- sparsely wooded was classified correctly (commission). Commission errors in sparse woody
- 66 vegetation predominantly occur by misclassifying forest as sparse woody vegetation, rather than by
- overestimating vegetation where there is none. More than half of areas classified by NFSWVD as
- bare ground contained measurable tree cover above Australia's 2-meter forest height threshold
- 69 (Figure 1a; Table 1). Finally, the overall accuracy is only 56.4 %, well below the regulated 85%
- accuracy threshold required for HIR project monitoring<sup>10</sup>.
- 71 In summary, the publicly available datasets failed to detect regenerating woody vegetation with
- 72 canopy cover <20 % (NFSWVD and WCF), and commonly misrepresented forest ( > 20 %) cover as
- 73 sparse woody vegetation (NFSWVD) when compared to lidar derived measurements. These findings
- 74 invalidate MacIntosh's assumption that the national-scale datasets are appropriate for project level
- 75 assessment of vegetation cover and change over time, and support a previous ruling that such data
- are not appropriate as standalone tools for the assessment of HIR projects<sup>11</sup>. While the NFSWVD is
- vsed and calibrated for national-scale inventory reporting, the Australian Government recognises
- this product is unsuitable for the detection of early-stage regeneration at a local site scale.

#### Issues with experimental design, analysis and presentation of data

- 80 Macintosh compared NFSWVD forest cover trends within credited areas of HIR projects and
- 81 surrounding comparison areas extending up to three kilometres from the project perimeters.
- 82 However, using fixed-width buffers resulted in dramatically mismatched comparison areas, ranging
- from 4% to 926% of the credited areas size (Supplementary Discussion).

Robust impact evaluation requires the careful section of control sites, matched in character (initial vegetation condition, soil properties, hydrological regimes, fire history, historical and current land management practices<sup>12</sup>). Macintosh's analysis, conducted within a region of high environmental variability<sup>13</sup>, is flawed because it assumes that geographic proximity adequately controls for these often confounding factors. The use of poorly matched comparison areas (differing in size and character) violates basic principles of experimental design and introduces substantial bias. Because these comparison areas may contain land unsuitable for forest growth, already heavily forested, and/or ineligible for undertaking HIR activities, the direction of bias cannot be determined. Macintosh obscured this variability by presenting their findings without confidence intervals or uncertainties (their Figure 3) but it is evident when the data are presented differently (Figure 2).

Finally, the statistical analysis treats year-to-year changes in NFSWVD in projects and adjacent areas as independent samples. Given the variable registration dates of the project cohort, the dataset is artificially inflated and heavily weighted toward early project stages, with very few observations of projects over ten years old. This unbalanced dataset likely underestimates the effect of HIR activities when vegetation change is expected to be gradual and non-linear over time. The absence of a detectable effect, regardless of measurement approach, reflects compounding methodological limitations rather than actual project performance.

#### A 'fit-for-purpose' approach

Best practice in HIR project monitoring requires substantial, high-quality evidence to verify project performance<sup>14</sup>. Project proponents must demonstrate regeneration potential, including proof of young tree cohorts, implementation of management changes, and vegetation maps validated against independent reference data<sup>10</sup>. A high degree of confidence in HIR projects is essential for the ACCU program, given its role in Australia's national mitigation strategy. Even with continued improvements in national products, project-level verification will remain essential given Australia's complex rangeland ecosystems.

While national-scale carbon monitoring presents substantial challenges, advances in model-data fusion can improve assessment of vegetation change over large areas<sup>15</sup>. These products remain important for tracking broad changes in landscapes and land use, and could be enhanced through integration with project-level data. We suggest that the data collected for HIR project monitoring be used as a key input to the development of Australia's national scale forest monitoring system. We have already engaged in discussion with the Australian Government in this regard.

Effective climate action depends on transparency and scientific rigour, and engaging with critique and commentary. Macintosh's analysis lacks the rigor needed for the reliable assessment of HIR project or overall program performance. Future policy development, including program review or new method development, must recognize the value and limitations of national monitoring systems and be cautious when considering the adequacy of such analyses as mentioned here. Systematic underestimation of regenerating vegetation, based on inappropriate data sets and questionable experimental design can easily lead to incorrect conclusions about project effectiveness and discourage investment in nature-based climate solutions precisely when their scaling up is most crucial.

### Methods

We acquired high-resolution airborne laser scanning (ALS) data across five study sites, totalling approximately 59,000 hectares (*Supplementary Figure 2*). Fixed-wing aircraft surveys followed standard forest measurement protocols. Data collection used real-time kinematic (RTK) or post-

128 129 130	processed kinematic (PPK) correction to achieve high relative positional accuracy with absolute precision within ±1.5m. Point cloud density exceeded 10 points/m <sup>2</sup> to ensure reliable canopy characterization. We generated canopy height maps and used a two state height thresholding
131	process to define a binary crown map (Supplementary Methods)
132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142	For comparison with satellite products, we transformed the binary crown maps and averaged the high resolution crowns to 25m resolution to match NFSWVD and WCF coordinate systems and grids. The analysed lidar surveys were collected in 2021 and 2022 and compared against contemporary national-scale estimates from NFSWVD (ver 7.0) and WCF. We randomly selected 100 sample locations from each ALS survey (500 total) to ensure unbiased representation across varying survey sizes. For each location, we extracted 75 x 75 m samples from both datasets, corresponding to 3x3 windows in the satellite products. While these samples represented the same approximate geographic locations, the areas analyzed differ slightly between NFSWVD and WCF comparisons due to CRS transformations. This sampling approach, combined with the 3x3 window size, helped minimize the impact of both geolocation errors and CRS transformation effects. We only included samples where all nine satellite pixels fell completely within the lidar coverage area.
143	
144	Acknowledgements
145 146	The authors thank Sam Shumack for their contributions to the analysis, and the efforts of the editors and three anonymous reviewers whose comments significantly improved the manuscript.
147	
148	Author Contributions
149 150 151	T.M. conceived the study, designed the research, and wrote the manuscript. A.O. conducted the data analysis. All authors contributed to the revision of the manuscript and approved the final version for submission.
152	
153	Data availability statement
154 155 156	The source data for all figures and tables in the current study are available in tabular commaseparated values (CSV) format at <a href="https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.29287811.v1">https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.2519978</a> .
157	
158	Code Availability Statement
159 160	The custom code used to create the tables and figures is available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
161	
162	References
163 164 165	1. United Nations. COP26 Day 7: Sticking points and nature-based solutions (2022). <a href="https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions">https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions</a> , <a href="https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions">https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions</a> , <a href="https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions">https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions</a> , <a href="https://www.un.org/en/climatechange/cop26-day-7-sticking-points-and-nature-based-solutions">2022</a>

- 166 2. B.W. Griscom, J. Adams, P.W. Ellis, R.A. Houghton, et. al. Natural climate solutions, Proc. Natl
- 167 Acad. Sci. 11411645–50 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1710465114.
- 168 3. Carbon Credits (Carbon Farming Initiative) (Human-Induced Regeneration of a Permanent Even-
- 169 Aged Native Forest—1.1) Methodology Determination (2013).
- 170 <a href="https://www.legislation.gov.au/F2013L01189/latest/text">https://www.legislation.gov.au/F2013L01189/latest/text</a>
- 4. Chubb, I., Bennett, A., Gorring, A., Hatfield-Dodds, S., (2022). Independent Review of ACCUs,
- 172 Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water, Canberra, December.
- 173 https://www.dcceew.gov.au/sites/default/files/documents/independent-review-accu-exec-
- 174 <u>summary.pdf</u>
- 175 5. Marcos Martinez, R., Baerenklau, K. Controlling for misclassified land use data: A post-
- 176 classification latent multinomial logit approach, Remote Sensing of Environment, 170, (2015)
- 177 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2015.09.025
- 178 6. Macintosh, A., Butler, D., Larraondo, P. et al. Australian human-induced native forest regeneration
- 179 carbon offset projects have limited impact on changes in woody vegetation cover and carbon
- removals. Comm Earth Environ 5, 149 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-024-01313-x
- 7. Department of Climate Change, Energy, the Environment and Water. National Forest and Sparse
- Woody Vegetation Data (Version 7.0 2022 Release) (Commonwealth of Australia, Canberra, 2023).
- https://researchdata.edu.au/national-forest-sparse-2022-release/2590362
- 184 8. Macintosh A et al. Non- compliance and under-performance in Australian human-induced
- regeneration projects (2024) . The Rangeland Journal 46, RJ24024. https://doi.org/10.1071/RJ24024
- 9. Liao, Z., Van Dijk, A., Binbin He, B., et. al. Woody vegetation cover, height and biomass at 25-m
- 187 resolution across Australia derived from multiple site, airborne and satellite observations. Int J Appl
- 188 Earth Obs Geoinf 93 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2020.102209
- 189 10. Clean Energy Regulator. Guidelines on stratification, evidence and records or projects under the
- 190 Human Induced Regeneration of a Permanent Even Aged Native Forest and Native Forest from
- 191 Managed Regrowth methods (2019). <a href="https://cer.gov.au/node/3783">https://cer.gov.au/node/3783</a>
- 192 11. Emissions Reduction Assurance Committee. Review of the Human-Induced Regeneration and
- 193 Native Forest from Managed Regrowth methods. Final report. Commonwealth of Australia,
- 194 Canberra, 2019.
- 195 12. Adams, VA., Barnes M., Pressey R. Shortfalls in Conservation Evidence: Moving from Ecological
- 196 Effects of Interventions to Policy Evaluation. One Earth, 1,1 (2019).
- 197 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.oneear.2019.08.017
- 198 13. Tongway, D.J. and Ludwig, J.A. Vegetation and soil patterning in semi-arid mulga lands of eastern
- Australia. Australian Journal of Ecology 15 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1442-
- 200 <u>9993.1990.tb01017.x</u>

201 202	14. Brack, C. L. Gateway Regeneration Checks for Human Induced Regeneration projects https://cer.gov.au/document_page/independent-review-gateway-checks-december-2024
<ul><li>203</li><li>204</li><li>205</li></ul>	15. McNicol, I.M., Keane, A., Burgess, N.D. et al. Protected areas reduce deforestation and degradation and enhance woody growth across African woodlands. Commun Earth Environ 4, 392 (2023). <a href="https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01053-4">https://doi.org/10.1038/s43247-023-01053-4</a>
206 207	

## 

**Tables** 

# 

Table 1. Confusion matrix comparing classification results between NFSWVD (rows) and reference lidar dataset (columns) for 500 validation points, with associated omission and commission errors and overall accuracy (OA).

		Reference lidar				
		Non-woody	Sparse woody	Forest	Total	Commission
NFSWVD	Non-woody	131	97	42	270	51.5%
	Sparse woody	4	22	55	81	72.8%
	Forest	6	14	129	149	13.4%
	Total	141	133	226	500	
	Omission	7.1%	83.5%	42.9%		OA: 56.4%

215 216	Figure captions
217 218 219 220	Figure 1. Canopy cover characterization and accuracy assessment. (a) Comparison of class frequencies for NFSWVD against reference lidar. (b) Comparison of WCF canopy cover against reference lidar. The dashed 1:1 line indicates perfect agreement. (c) Absolute and relative bias of WCF by canopy cover.
221	
222	
223 224 225	Figure 2. Median trends (solid lines) and quantiles (50%, 90% and 100%) of NFSWVD forest and sparse woody vegetation proportions within credited and comparison. Reproduced with data from Macintosh et al (2024).



