1	Evolution under fluctuating conditions and exposure to
2	heatwaves in the seed beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus
3	
4	Edward R. Ivimey-Cook <sup>1@</sup> , Sarah Glavan <sup>2,</sup> Sophie Bricout <sup>2,</sup> Claudio Piani <sup>2,</sup> and Elena C.
5	Berg <sup>2@</sup>
6	
7	<sup>@</sup> corresponding author(s):
8	Edward R. Ivimey-Cook: e.ivimeycook@gmail.com
9	Elena C. Berg: eberg@aup.edu
10	
11	
12	<sup>1</sup> School of Biodiversity, One Health, and Veterinary Medicine, University of Glasgow, UK
13	<sup>2</sup> The American University of Paris, Paris, France
14	
15	Keywords: thermal adaptation, heatwaves, fluctuating temperatures, climate
16	change, fitness, Callosobruchus maculatus
17	
18	
19	
20	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
20 27	
21	
28	
29	

30 Abstract

31 Heatwaves, temporary periods of elevated temperatures, are increasing both in 32 magnitude and in frequency and have been shown to have devastating negative 33 effects on a wide range of taxa. However, to date, most studies investigating the 34 impacts of heatwaves have either focus on populations that have evolved under 35 constant conditions prior to assaying or, more importantly, only investigated the 36 short-term outcomes of periods of elevated temperatures. Here, using the seed 37 beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus, we investigate both the short- and long-term 38 effects of evolution after 43 generations of fluctuating temperature with added 39 heatwave exposure (a +2°C increase in diurnal temperature peaking at 42°C) on two 40 important life history traits, development time and lifetime reproductive success 41 (LRS). We found that when individuals were assayed under fluctuating conditions, 42 those that evolved under heatwave conditions developed at a similar rate but had 43 reduced LRS than those evolved and assayed under the same fluctuating conditions. 44 In contrast, when individuals were assayed under a novel benign temperature of 45 29°C, both thermal regimes developed slower and had a similar LRS that was 46 significantly greater than the number produced when treatments were assayed in the 47 stressful fluctuating environment. All together, this suggests that long-term evolution 48 under periods of elevated temperatures may lead to increased resilience both in the 49 long-term with exposure to repeated heatwaves, but also in the short-term when 50 individuals are exposure to rapid environmental change. This study further 51 underscores the importance of using natural diel fluctuations to enhance our 52 understanding of organisms' responses to climate change. Additionally, it 53 emphasises the potency of investigating long-term multigenerational exposure to 54 heatwaves.

55

#### 58 Introduction

59 Natural populations are responding to global temperature increases by 60 shifting ranges, declining in abundance, or ultimately, going extinct (Parmesan & 61 Yohe, 2003; Thomas et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2011). Not only are average annual 62 temperatures rising, but extreme climatic events (ECEs) such as heatwaves are 63 becoming far more frequent (Wang et al., 2024). Heatwaves, defined as three or 64 more consecutive days of temperature above the 90th percentile for each 65 calendar day (Perkins & Alexander, 2013), have been shown to negatively affect 66 the persistence and adaptation of natural populations (Stillman, 2019; Ma et al., 67 2021; Murali et al., 2023), including plant and animal life (Smith, 2011; Smale & 68 Wernberg, 2013), with broad-scale simultaneous effects on marine and terrestrial 69 ecosystems (Ruthrof et al., 2018). These effects are particularly alarming given 70 that heatwaves are predicted to continue to increase across all scales and impact 71 all inhabited regions of the globe (Perkins-Kirkpatrick & Lewis, 2020; 72 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2023). 73 Much of the research investigating the effects of heatwaves has been 74 conducted under controlled laboratory conditions. Such studies have been 75 particularly helpful in highlighting the devasting impact that exposure to extreme 76 elevated temperatures can have on organismal function. For instance, exposure 77 to these periods of persistent elevated temperature has been shown to reduce 78 growth and biomass in plants and reduce reproductive success and increase 79 mortality in a wide variety of animals. In the two tree species Pinus taeda and 80 Quercus rubra, monthly heatwaves of +12 °C significantly reduced total growth as 81 well as leaf, stem and root biomass (Bauweraerts et al., 2012). In male 82 stickleback fish (Gasterosteus aculeatus), short-term heatwaves at 23°C for five 83 days were found to suppress parental care, delay hatching, reduce hatching

success, and negatively impact offspring body condition and swimming
performance (Barrett & Stein, 2024). In the zebra finch (*Taeniopygia guttata*),
exposure of males to 30°C or 40°C temperatures daily for 14 consecutive days
led to an increase in cloacal temperature and a reduction in the proportion of
sperm with normal morphology (Hurley *et al.*, 2018).

89 In insects, extreme high temperatures (EHTs) are known to alter fitness-90 related life history traits such as survival, development, and reproduction (Ma et 91 al., 2021). Among these traits, reproductive potential is predicted to be the most 92 susceptible to impacts from EHTs (Zhang et al., 2015; Walsh et al., 2019). The 93 fruit fly, Drosophila melanogaster, is known to display reproductive sensitivity to 94 temperature, with the over half of males (above 50% median) becoming sterile 95 when temperatures exceed 30°C (Rohmer et al., 2004; David et al., 2005). In the 96 flour beetle, Tribolium castaneum, experimental heatwave conditions reduce male 97 fertility and sperm competitiveness, resulting in reduced reproduction and a 98 decrease in the lifespan of offspring (Sales et al., 2018).

99 Most laboratory studies investigating the impact of heatwaves have used 100 populations that have evolved under constant temperature conditions rather than 101 exposing them to realistic daily temperature fluctuations (Hurley et al., 2018; 102 Sales et al., 2018, 2024; Breedveld et al., 2023; Barrett & Stein, 2024; although 103 see Weaving et al., 2024). Fortunately, the need to consider the effects of thermal 104 fluctuation is now well documented (Vasseur et al., 2014; Colinet et al., 2015; 105 Sinclair et al., 2016; Bagni et al., 2024), and a number of studies have 106 incorporated realistic thermal regimes into experimental designs, including 107 changes to both the mean and variability of temperatures (Hokanson et al., 1977; 108 Niehaus et al., 2012; Paaijmans et al., 2013; Vasseur et al., 2014; Bozinovic et 109 al., 2016; Matsubara, 2018; Schaum et al., 2018, 2022; Buckley & Kingsolver, 110 2021; but see Bagni et al., 2024). For instance, a study by Weaving et al., (2024) 111 investigated the effects of heatwaves on male and female tsetse flies (Glossina

112 *pallidipes*) by exposing the flies to fluctuating temperatures peaking at 36°C, 113 38°C, or 40°C for two hours. At all heatwave temperatures, males and females 114 experienced equivalent fertility loss. At 38°C in particular, the combination of 115 declining mortality and fertility resulted in a 10.8% population decline compared to 116 the control treatment. In contrast, 40°C resulted in 100% mortality of individuals. 117 Despite this, there is still a lack of data on the long-term evolutionary impacts of 118 exposure to heatwaves (Gutschick & BassiriRad, 2003; van de Pol et al., 2017). 119 Some evidence suggests that populations exposed to realistic fluctuating 120 conditions may end up responding better to both short- and long-term changes in 121 environmental conditions (lvimey-Cook et al., 2024). This underscores the need 122 to study the long-term evolution of population exposed to heatwaves in 123 combination with realistic diel fluctuations.

124 Here, we investigated the effect of long-term exposure to heatwaves on 125 the lifetime reproductive success (hereafter, LRS) and development time of the 126 seed beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus, after multiple generations of evolving 127 under realistic fluctuating conditions in a laboratory setting. In a previous 128 experiment, we examined the impacts of short- and long-term exposure to 129 fluctuating temperatures (with no exposure to heatwaves) on C. maculatus life 130 history (lvimey-Cook et al., 2024). Briefly, we found that evolving within a 131 fluctuating environment leads to increased reproductive performance upon 132 exposure to constant benign conditions. In contrast, we found no difference in 133 LRS between those that evolved and were assayed under fluctuating or constant 134 conditions (i.e. experienced no change in environment). In this follow-up study, 135 we exposed these same populations to periods of elevated extreme 136 temperatures. Although evolving under realistic diel fluctuations can promote a 137 broadening of thermal niche (lvimey-Cook et al., 2024), we might predict that 138 exposure to short-term extreme heat will ultimately contribute to a reduction in 139 reproductive fitness and impaired development (Sales et al., 2018, 2021;

140 Weaving et al., 2024). Alternatively, beetle populations exposed to long-term 141 repeated heatwaves might exhibit improved tolerance and resistance to elevated 142 temperatures, resulting in reproductive fitness and rates of development that are 143 similar to populations evolving under purely fluctuating temperatures (French et 144 al., 2019; Ahrens et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). Furthermore, as shown in lvimey-145 Cook et al., (2024) and Ketola et al., (2013) evolving under fluctuating conditions 146 may have beneficial effects on reproductive performance when individuals are 147 exposed to a constant benign environment. We may therefore expect a similar 148 increase in fitness upon exposure to a constant environment for those that 149 evolved under fluctuating conditions with repeated heatwaves.

150

153

#### 151 Methods

152 Study system

Callosobruchus maculatus is a globally widespread agricultural pest native to Africa 154 and Asia. Females of this species deposit their eggs on the surface of dried legumes, 155 such as mung beans (Vigna radiata) or black-eyed beans (Vigna unguiculata). Upon 156 emergence, the larvae burrow into the bean, eclosing as adults 21-27 days later. 157 Adult seed beetles are facultatively aphagous, obtaining all necessary resources 158 during their larval stage within the bean. Adults without food or water can live up to 159 two weeks, while adults with access to nutrients can live three weeks or more (Fox, 160 1993; Ursprung et al., 2009). As soon as they emerge from their beans, adult 161 females of this species can start mating and laying eggs immediately (Beck & 162 Blumer, 2014). 163 In this experiment, we used a strain of *C. maculatus* originating from South 164 India (SI). The original SI beetles were collected in Tirunelveli, India, in 1979 165 (Mitchell, 1991) and were subsequently raised at the University of Kentucky USA 166 (hereafter referred to as SI USA). We obtained this strain from Uppsala University in

167 Sweden in 2015 and have since maintained it at the American University of Paris. 168 Stock populations have been cultured exclusively on mung beans and kept in climate 169 chambers at a constant 29°C, 50% relative humidity, and 12:12h light:dark cycle. 170 Prior to the experiment, beetles were kept in one liter jars containing 250 171 grams of beans, and approximately 250-350 newly hatched beetles were moved to 172 fresh jars with beans every 24 days. Adequate beans were provided to allow each 173 female to lay just one egg per bean, thus preventing competition among multiple 174 larvae within a single bean (Berg & Maklakov, 2012; Berg et al., 2019). This is 175 important as larval competition has been found to significantly reduce fitness (Vamosi

176 & Lesack, 2007) and body mass (Colegrave, 1993; Vamosi, 2005) at emergence.

177 Indeed, within populations with high larval competition, females readily avoid laying

additional eggs on seeds that have already been used (Fox & Messina, 2018).

179

#### 180 Thermal evolution lines and assay conditions

181 See Fig. S1 for a detailed diagram. Before establishing treatment groups, we 182 maintained a single ancestral population of SI USA beetles in two distinct climate 183 chambers. These chambers were maintained under identical humidity and light 184 conditions but differed in their long-term thermal regime. One population was 185 maintained at a fluctuating thermal regime (hereafter, "Fluctuating") for 130 186 generations whilst another was maintained for 43 generations at the same fluctuating 187 thermal regime but experienced regular heatwaves (hereafter, "Heatwave"). This 188 provided ample time for the populations to adapt to these conditions. For the 189 "Fluctuating" thermal regime, the seed beetles were subjected to a daily temperature 190 cycle consisting of 12 separate 2 hour periods of constant temperature  $T_i$ ,

193 
$$T_i = T_{mean} + \Delta T \sin\left(\frac{i-12}{12}\pi\right),$$

191 where  $T_{mean} = 33^{\circ}$ C,  $\Delta T = 7^{\circ}$ C, and i = 0,1.... 11. This was a stepwise sinusoidal 192 temperature cycle with  $T_{max} = 40^{\circ}$ C and  $T_{min} = 26^{\circ}$ C which mimics typical late spring condition in Southern India, where this species evolved. For the "Heatwave" thermal regime, the beetles were exposed to an increase of 2°C over the entire diurnal cycle, reaching a  $T_{max}$  of 42°C, consistent with a heatwave in Southern India.

197 
$$T^{h}{}_{i} = T_{i} + 2 = T_{mean} + \Delta T \sin\left(\frac{i-12}{12}\pi\right) + 2$$

Here,  $T_{i}^{h}$  is the temperature of the chamber during the heatwave regime at step "i", over a period of 7 days starting at 6:00 am (the point of  $T_{min}$ ). To mimic the random character of the occurrences, the "Heatwave" regime was initiated on different days during the lifecycle of the beetles. Specifically, during each generation the "heatwave" regime was initiated a day earlier relative to the prior one.

203 Prior to conducting experimental assays, we subdivided beetles from the two 204 different thermal regimes into three replicates each (n = 6, 2 thermal regimes x 3 205 replicates). For both thermal regimes, beetles from each of the three replicates were 206 used to create a final six groups, which were either kept at their ancestral conditions 207 or were acclimatized for two generations without selection to Constant conditions at 208 29°C (with a sample size of 50 beetle pairs each; total n of treatments = 12, 2 209 thermal regimes x 3 replicates x 2 environmental conditions). The assay conditions 210 were as follows:

2111. Fluctuating-Constant: Beetles that had evolved under Fluctuating

conditions and then kept for two generations at Constant conditions of 29°C
prior to assaying. This was done in order to control for any possible parental
effects and to separate genetic adaptation from phenotypic plasticity (Lind *et al.*, 2014; Lymbery *et al.*, 2020).

- Heatwave–Constant: Beetles that had evolved under Heatwave conditions
   and then kept for two generations at Constant conditions of 29°C prior to
   assaying (see above).
- 3. Heatwave–Fluctuating: Beetles that had evolved under Heatwave conditions
  and remained in these conditions prior to assaying. Note that, Fluctuating and

Heatwave conditions are the same except when a periodic heatwave is 222 happening. No heatwaves were applied during the assay period (see below).

- 223 4. Fluctuating–Fluctuating: Beetles that had evolved under Fluctuating 224 conditions and remained in these conditions prior to assaying.
- 225

226 For the Fluctuating-Constant and the Heatwave-Constant assays, the following 227 steps were performed. First, we transferred beans containing fertilized eggs from jars 228 into a 48-well virgin chamber (aerated plastic culture plates with a separate well for 229 each individual) with one bean allocated per well. The virgin chambers were then 230 moved to the Constant climate chamber where they were kept at constant 29°C. 231 Once at least 50 males and 50 females from each virgin chamber had hatched, 232 we randomly paired one-day-old males and females and placed them in petri dishes 233 with 100 beans and returned these to the Constant chamber. C. maculatus females 234 lay a maximum of 100 beans in their lifetime (Berg & Maklakov, 2012) and we 235 ensured that each petri dish contained a sufficient number of beans to allow females 236 to lay only one egg per bean, thereby minimizing larval competition. To further 237 reduce larval competition, we removed adult beetle pairs from the petri dishes after 72 hours (Berg & Maklakov, 2012; Berg et al., 2019). 19 days later, before any 238 offspring eclosed, we transferred up to 48 beans with eggs from each dish into 239 240 separate, labelled 48-well virgin chambers. One day following eclosion, we randomly selected and paired 50 females and 50 males (taking care not to pair full siblings) 241 242 and placed them into 50mm petri dishes, each containing 80 beans. After 72 hours, 243 the adult males and females were removed from the petri dishes. We repeated this 244 process for one additional generation: once again, before eclosion, beans containing 245 fertilized eggs from each petri dish were transferred into separate 48-well virgin 246 chambers. We used the offspring that hatched out of these chambers for the 247 development time (time from laying until hatching) and reproductive fitness assays 248 (below).

249 For the Fluctuating-Fluctuating and Heatwave-Fluctuating treatments, we 250 omitted the acclimatization steps, as controlling for the influence of parental effects 251 was unnecessary here (see above) and directly transferred beans containing 252 fertilized eggs into virgin chambers prior to hatching. These were kept at the same 253 conditions that the beetles had evolved in, however, for the "Heatwave" thermal 254 regime, we avoided applying heatwaves during the assay period to avoid any 255 unintended effects of elevated heat exposure on larval development. This allowed us 256 to test the effects of heatwaves on adult reproductive fitness specifically. For all 257 assays, one-day-old males and females were randomly selected.

258

### 259 Development time and reproductive fitness assays

260 Development time and fitness assays were conducted simultaneously. We paired 50 261 one-day-old male and female beetles and placed them into 60-mm Petri dishes 262 containing 70 beans. After 24 hours, each pair was transferred together into a fresh 263 60-mm petri dish containing 60 beans, while the initial dish was set aside in the same 264 climate chamber. The following day, this procedure was repeated. The beetles were 265 left in the third and last set of dishes, containing 50 beans, until their death. The number of beans in the dishes always exceeded the total number of eggs any female 266 could lay in a single day at that age. The initial dishes for each pair were labeled as 267 268 "Day 0," the second dishes were labeled as "Day 1," and the final set of dishes were labeled as "Day 2+." 18 days after each dish was set up, before any new beetles 269 270 could hatch, all beans with fertilized eggs were transferred into virgin chambers. 271 These were then monitored daily to record the date of eclosion and the sex of all 272 offspring. To calculate development time, we counted the number of days between 273 the date that an egg was laid and the date the adult offspring eclosed. LRS was 274 calculated as the total number of offspring that emerged from each pair, across all 275 three reproductive days (and dishes; Days 0, 1, and 2+).

### 277 Statistical Analysis

278 All code was run using R v.4.4.2 (R Core Team, 2021) and is available on GitHub at 279 ElvimeyCook/Heatwave Beetles. Linear and generalized linear mixed were run 280 initially in {glmmTMB} v.1.1.10 (Brooks et al., 2017; Magnusson et al., 2017) with 281 residual diagnostics including detection of zero-inflation and dispersion using 282 {DHARMa} v.0.4.7 (Hartig, 2017). Bias-corrected estimated marginals means were 283 then extracted from these models using {emmeans} v.1.10.7 (Lenth et al., 2019) 284 corrected for by a multivariate t distribution (mvt). Data was imported, cleaned, and 285 tidied using {readr} v.2.1.5, {tidyr} v.1.3.1, {dplyr} v1.1.4 (Wickham et al., 2019), 286 {hablar} v.0.3.2 (Sjoberg, 2020), {janitor} v.2.2.1 (Firke, 2020), and {data.table} 287 v.1.17.0 (Dowle et al., 2019). Data was then visualised using {ggplot2} v.3.5.1 288 (Wickham, 2011). Heteroscedasticity was detected in the linear model for 289 development time even after adding a predictor into the dispersion formula of the 290 gImmTMB model so was instead run using {robustImm} v.3.3-1 (Koller, 2016). All 291 other packages used are found in the "renv" folder stored using the {renv} v.1.0.7 292 (Ushey, 2023) package and contained within the session info section of the code 293 README within the repository.

294

For the model of lifetime reproductive success (or total reproduction across Days 0, 1, 2+) we added fixed categorical predictors of thermal regime (fluctuating and heatwave) in a two-way interaction with assay environment (constant and fluctuating). A 16-level random effect of population (see above) was also added. The following model procedure was followed. Three models were initially fitted (a Poisson model, with and without an object-level random effect, and a Conway-Maxwell Poisson model) and tested for overdispersion and zero-inflation. If significant zero-

302 inflation was still detected, we instead compared a zero-inflated Poisson model (with

303 and without an object-level random effect) to a zero-inflated Conway-Maxwell

304 Poisson model and compared these via Akaike Information Criterion. Lastly, if

significant dispersion or heterogeneity of residuals were still detected after
accounting for zero-inflation, we performed model selection on parameters in the
dispersion formula (including adding a 12-level fixed factor of group, as random
effects are not allowed within the dispersion formula). Note, no model selection
occurred on the main part of the model.

310

311 Development time was log-transformed and ran in a Gaussian model with the same 312 random (albeit with an additional random intercept of pair ID nested within the 313 random factor of group to account for non-independence) and fixed effects with 314 interactions as above. Similar model selection took place on the dispersion formula of 315 the model. However, even with this added dispersion parameter, significant 316 heteroscedasticity was identified in residuals. This led to the use of a robust linear 317 mixed effect model (see above). P values for the robust linear model were obtained 318 using the {sjPlot} v. 2.8.17 package (Lüdecke, 2013). The qualitative results did not 319 vary between either method.

320

#### 321 **Results**

322 Lifetime reproductive success

323 Lifetime reproductive success was significantly influenced by the interaction between

324 thermal regime (Heatwave and Fluctuating) and assay environment (Constant and

325 Fluctuating; Fig 1; *p*<0.001: Table S2). More specifically, when assayed under

326 fluctuating conditions, individuals that evolved under heatwave conditions had

327 reduced LRS compared to those that evolved under fluctuating conditions (*p*<0.001;

328 Table S3). This difference in LRS disappeared when assayed under constant benign

329 conditions (*p* = 0.701; Fig. 1: Table S3). For both thermal regimes, LRS under

330 constant conditions was higher than fluctuating conditions (both p<0.001; Fig. 1;

331 Table S3).



**Figure 1.** Lifetime reproduction success of individuals from heatwave (orange) and

334 fluctuating (purple) thermal regimes assayed in constant or fluctuating environments.

335 Points with error bars represent mean values with accompanying 95% asymptotic

336 confidence levels taken from the final model of lifetime reproductive success.

337

# 338 Development time

- In a similar manner to LRS, the development time of individuals was influenced by
- 340 the interaction between assay environment and thermal regime (p = 0.001; Fig. 2;
- 341 Table S4). However, estimated marginal means corrected for by a multivariate *t*
- 342 distribution indicated no significant difference between Fluctuating and Heatwave
- regimes within the Constant or Fluctuating assay environments (p = 0.565 and 0.098,

- 344 respectively; Fig. 2; Table S5). However, there were differences between
- 345 environments, with all individuals, regardless of thermal regime, developing slower
- under the constant conditions (p = 0.050 and <0.001; Table S5), although this effect
- 347 appeared larger for heatwave individuals (Fig. 2)



- 349 Figure 2. Development time (in days) of individuals from heatwave (orange) and
- 350 fluctuating (purple) thermal regimes assayed in constant or fluctuating environments.
- 351 Points with error bars represent mean values with accompanying 95% asymptotic
- 352 confidence levels taken from the final model of development time.

# 354 Discussion

355 The aim of this study was to investigate the effects of long-term heatwave exposure 356 on seed beetles (C. maculatus) that had evolved under natural diel temperature 357 fluctuations. Beetles adapted to fluctuating temperatures were exposed for 43 358 generations to periodic heatwaves and then assayed in either the ancestral 359 fluctuating conditions or in a novel constant environment. We hypothesized that long-360 term exposure to heatwaves would result in either 1) decreased fitness and impaired 361 or delayed development relative to beetles that had not evolved with heatwaves 362 (Sales et al., 2018, 2024), or conversely 2) improved resistance and tolerance to 363 elevated temperatures (French et al., 2019; Ahrens et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021). 364 Additionally, we hypothesized that individuals from both the fluctuating and heatwave 365 conditions would react in a similar manner when exposed to a novel constant (or 366 benign) environment, similar to what lvimey-Cook et al (2024) found in a previous 367 experiment.

368

369 In fact, we found that individuals from populations exposed to regular heatwaves had 370 significantly lower fitness than individuals from populations without this exposure. 371 This negative effect of heatwave exposure aligns with previous research across a 372 variety of species across both sexes (Sales et al., 2018; Martinet et al., 2021; Siegle 373 et al., 2022; Ratz et al., 2024; Weaving et al., 2024). This decline is to be expected if 374 there is negative effect of repeated and sustained elevation of heat shock proteins 375 (Feder & Hofmann, 1999; Sørensen et al., 2003; Siegle et al., 2022). Among males, 376 reproductive success might decline if heatwaves lead to reduced sperm count or 377 motility or alter sperm morphology (Sales et al., 2021; Ratz et al., 2024). We may 378 also expect this decrease if females suffered reproductive abnormalities, such as 379 those found in the female tsetse fly after exposure to 30°C heat (Mellanby, 1937;

380 Weaving et al., 2024). Furthermore, given that the occurrence of heatwaves was 381 random, this difference in LRS could be a result of temporal misalignment between 382 required phenotype and environment, or from the cost of evolving the necessary 383 mechanisms to detect changes within the environment (such as heatwaves) and to 384 produce appropriate phenotypes sufficiently rapidly (Burggren, 2020; Hoffmann & 385 Bridle, 2021). However, it should be noted that the LRS between these two groups, 386 although significant, is not markedly different (i.e., heatwave individuals exposed are 387 still able to reproduce), suggesting either some form of reproductive recovery or 388 repair after prolonged heatwaves exposure (Ma et al., 2018; Sales et al., 2021), or 389 the evolution of improved tolerance and resistance to elevated temperatures (French 390 et al., 2019). Future work involving these long-term evolution lines should therefore 391 aim to compare the physiological differences, such as levels of expression of heat 392 shock proteins or reproductive impairment, after one generation of heatwave 393 exposure versus after multiple generations.

394

395 Although long-term exposure to heatwaves appeared to have a negative impact on 396 reproduction when the beetles were assayed at fluctuating conditions, we found that 397 individuals from both fluctuating and heatwave conditions had increased reproductive 398 fitness when assayed in a novel but constant 29°C environment. This is analogous to 399 the results found in lvimey-Cook et al., (2024), where two different strains of C. 400 maculatus (SI USA and Leicester) that had evolved under diel fluctuations had higher 401 LRS when assayed within a constant 29°C environment than when assayed under 402 fluctuating conditions. This suggests that evolution in a fluctuating environment can 403 select for individuals with a broadened thermal niche that are more robust after 404 generations of mutation removal and selective mortality (Rankin & Sponaugle, 2011; 405 Ketola et al., 2013, lvimey-Cook et al 2024). Also, if an individual has narrowed their 406 thermal niche and postponed critical functions that only occur within a specific 407 temperature window, as in the diel narrowing hypothesis (Kefford et al., 2022), then

408 reproductive performance should increase when individuals are placed within a 409 constant and benign environment (Gilchrist, 1995; Kefford *et al.*, 2022). This is an 410 important result, which further highlights how evolution under fluctuating 411 environments can lead to an improved response to short-term changes in 412 environment, regardless of heatwave exposure.

413

414 Lastly, we found no negative effect of heatwave exposure on individual development 415 time. Beetles from both thermal backgrounds developed more quickly (and equally 416 quickly) in fluctuating environments, where the average mean temperature was 4°C 417 hotter than constant conditions. This is similar to the result found in the previous 418 experiment involving the same thermal conditions (lvimey-Cook et al., 2024), with the 419 fastest development occurring within a fluctuating environment. The lack of a 420 detrimental effect of long-term heatwave exposure on development time is counter to 421 what has been found previously. Some studies have shown a positive effect of 422 elevated temperature exposure, such as in the parasitoid Cotesia glomerata, which 423 developed twice as quickly in higher temperatures (Chen et al., 2019). Other studies 424 have shown negative effects, for instance in the solitary bee Osmia lignaria, which 425 developed more slowly under 37°C heatwave conditions than the no-heatwave 426 control (Melone et al., 2024). However, in both of these cases, individuals were 427 exposed to just one generation of heatwave rather than testing them after multiple (in 428 our case 43) generations. Therefore, we may see a reduction in effect over time 429 when individuals are exposed to more repeated heatwaves and become more 430 resilient to environmental change (Ahrens et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2021).

431

In conclusion, we found that populations evolving under repeated heatwaves within
naturally fluctuating environments can not only become resilient to exposure in the
long term, but are also able to cope with rapid environmental change, reaching
maximal performance when conditions suddenly become benign. This study

436	highlights the importance of considering long-term diel fluctuations together with
437	multi-generational exposure to heatwaves in order to better understand natural
438	population responses to climatic warming.
439	
440	Acknowledgements
441	We thank Ani Gonzalez Ward, Emma Kelly and Maya Golub for their help in the
442	laboratory and The American University of Paris for funding E.B.'s research.
443	
444	Conflict of Interest
445	The authors declare no conflict of interest.
446	
447	
448	References
449 450 451	Ahrens, C.W., Challis, A., Byrne, M., Leigh, A., Nicotra, A.B., Tissue, D., <i>et al.</i> 2021. Repeated extreme heatwaves result in higher leaf thermal tolerances and greater safety margins. <i>New Phytol.</i> 232: 1212–1225.
452 453 454	Bagni, T., Siaussat, D., Maria, A., Fuentes, A., Couzi, P. & Massot, M. 2024. Fitness under high temperatures is overestimated when daily thermal fluctuation is ignored. J. Therm. Biol. 119: 103806.
455 456	Barrett, R. & Stein, L.R. 2024. Short-term heat waves have long-term consequences for parents and offspring in stickleback. <i>Behav. Ecol.</i> <b>35</b> : arae036.
457 458 459 460	Bauweraerts, I., Wertin, T.M., Ameye, M., McGuire, M.A., Teskey, R.O. & Steppe, K. 2012. The effect of heat waves, elevated [ <scp><scp>CO</scp></scp> _2] and low soil water availability on northern red oak ( <i>Quercus rubra</i> L.) seedlings. <i>Glob. Change Biol.</i> <b>19</b> : 517–528.
461 462 463	Beck, C.W. & Blumer, L.S. 2014. A handbook on bean beetles, Callosobruchus maculatus. <i>Natl. Sci. Found. URL Httpwww Beanbeetles Orghandbook Pdf Last Accessed 16 June 2015.</i>
464 465 466	Berg, E.C., Lind, M.I., Monahan, S., Bricout, S. & Maklakov, A.A. 2019. Kin but less than kind: within-group male relatedness does not increase female fitness in seed beetles. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 286: 20191664. Royal Society.
467 468	Berg, E.C. & Maklakov, A.A. 2012. Sexes suffer from suboptimal lifespan because of genetic conflict in a seed beetle. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> <b>279</b> : 4296–4302.

469 Bozinovic, F., Medina, N.R., Alruiz, J.M., Cavieres, G. & Sabat, P. 2016. Thermal 470 tolerance and survival responses to scenarios of experimental climatic change: 471 changing thermal variability reduces the heat and cold tolerance in a fly. J. 472 Comp. Physiol. B 186: 581-587. 473 Breedveld, M.C., Devigili, A., Borgheresi, O. & Gasparini, C. 2023. Reproducing in 474 hot water: Experimental heatwaves deteriorate multiple reproductive traits in a 475 freshwater ectotherm. Funct. Ecol. 37: 989-1004. 476 Brooks, M., E., Kristensen, K., Benthem, K., J., van, Magnusson, A., Berg, C., W., 477 Nielsen, A., et al. 2017. glmmTMB Balances Speed and Flexibility Among 478 Packages for Zero-inflated Generalized Linear Mixed Modeling. R J. 9: 378. 479 Technische Universitaet Wien. 480 Buckley, L.B. & Kingsolver, J.G. 2021. Evolution of Thermal Sensitivity in Changing 481 and Variable Climates. Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 52: 563-586. 482 Burggren, W.W. 2020. Phenotypic Switching Resulting From Developmental 483 Plasticity: Fixed or Reversible? Front. Physiol. 10. Frontiers. 484 Chen, C., Donner, S.H., Biere, A., Gols, R. & Harvey, J.A. 2019. Simulated heatwave 485 conditions associated with global warming affect development and 486 competition between hyperparasitoids. Oikos 128: 1783–1792. 487 Chen, I.-C., Hill, J.K., Ohlemüller, R., Roy, D.B. & Thomas, C.D. 2011. Rapid Range 488 Shifts of Species Associated with High Levels of Climate Warming. Science 489 333: 1024–1026. American Association for the Advancement of Science. 490 COLEGRAVE, N. 1993. Does larval competition affect fecundity independently of 491 its effect on adult weight? Ecol. Entomol. 18: 275-277. 492 Colinet, H., Sinclair, B.J., Vernon, P. & Renault, D. 2015. Insects in Fluctuating Thermal Environments. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 60: 123-140. 493 494 DAVID, J.R., ARARIPE, L.O., CHAKIR, M., LEGOUT, H., LEMOS, B., PÉTAVY, 495 G., et al. 2005. Male sterility at extreme temperatures: a significant but 496 neglected phenomenon for understanding Drosophila climatic adaptations. J. 497 *Evol. Biol.* **18**: 838–846. 498 Dowle, M., Srinivasan, A., Gorecki, J., Chirico, M., Stetsenko, P., Short, T., et al. 499 2019. Package 'data. table.' Ext. 'data Frame 596: 952. Citeseer. 500 Feder, M.E. & Hofmann, G.E. 1999. HEAT-SHOCK PROTEINS, MOLECULAR 501 CHAPERONES, AND THE STRESS RESPONSE: Evolutionary and 502 Ecological Physiology. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 61: 243-282. Annual Reviews. 503 Firke, S. 2020. janitor: Simple tools for examining and cleaning dirty data. R package 504 version 2.0. 1. Available form https://github. com/sfirk e/janitor. 505 Fox, C.W. 1993. Multiple Mating, Lifetime Fecundity and Female Mortality of the Bruchid Beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). Funct. 506 507 *Ecol.* 7: 203. JSTOR.

508 Fox, C.W. & Messina, F.J. 2018. Evolution of larval competitiveness and associated 509 life-history traits in response to host shifts in a seed beetle. J. Evol. Biol. 31: 510 302-313. 511 French, K., Jansens, I.B., Ashcroft, M.B., Ecroyd, H. & Robinson, S.A. 2019. High 512 tolerance of repeated heatwaves in Australian native plants. Austral Ecol. 44: 513 597-608. 514 Gilchrist, G.W. 1995. Specialists and Generalists in Changing Environments. I. 515 Fitness Landscapes of Thermal Sensitivity. Am. Nat. 146: 252-270. The 516 University of Chicago Press. 517 Gutschick, V.P. & BassiriRad, H. 2003. Extreme events as shaping physiology, 518 ecology, and evolution of plants: toward a unified definition and evaluation of 519 their consequences. New Phytol. 160: 21-42. 520 Hartig, F. 2017. Package 'DHARMa.' R Package. 521 Hoffmann, A.A. & Bridle, J. 2021. The dangers of irreversibility in an age of 522 increased uncertainty: revisiting plasticity in invertebrates. Oikos 2022: 523 e08715. 524 Hokanson, K.E.F., Kleiner, C.F. & Thorslund, T.W. 1977. Effects of Constant 525 Temperatures and Diel Temperature Fluctuations on Specific Growth and 526 Mortality Rates and Yield of Juvenile Rainbow Trout, Salmo gairdneri. J. 527 Fish. Res. Board Can. 34: 639-648. 528 Hurley, L.L., McDiarmid, C.S., Friesen, C.R., Griffith, S.C. & Rowe, M. 2018. 529 Experimental heatwaves negatively impact sperm quality in the zebra finch. 530 Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci. 285: 20172547. Royal Society. 531 Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) (ed). 2023. Weather and Climate 532 Extreme Events in a Changing Climate. In: Climate Change 2021 – The 533 Physical Science Basis: Working Group I Contribution to the Sixth Assessment 534 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, pp. 1513–1766. 535 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. 536 Ivimey-Cook, E.R., Piani, C., Hung, W.-T. & Berg, E.C. 2024. Genetic background 537 and thermal regime influence adaptation to novel environment in the seed 538 beetle, Callosobruchus maculatus. J. Evol. Biol. 37: 1-13. Kefford, B.J., Ghalambor, C.K., Dewenter, B., Poff, N.L., Hughes, J., Reich, J., et al. 539 540 2022. Acute, diel, and annual temperature variability and the thermal biology 541 of ectotherms. Glob. Change Biol. 28: 6872-6888. Ketola, T., Mikonranta, L., Zhang, J., Saarinen, K., Örmälä, A.-M., Friman, V.-P., et 542 543 al. 2013. FLUCTUATING TEMPERATURE LEADS TO EVOLUTION OF 544 THERMAL GENERALISM AND PREADAPTATION TO NOVEL 545 ENVIRONMENTS. Evolution n/a-n/a. 546 Koller, M. 2016. robustlmm: An R Package for Robust Estimation of Linear Mixed-547 Effects Models. J. Stat. Softw. 75.

548 549	Lenth, R., Singmann, H., Love, J., Buerkner, P. & Herve, M. 2019. Package 'emmeans.'
550 551 552	Lind, M.I., Berg, E.C., Alavioon, G. & Maklakov, A.A. 2014. Evolution of differential maternal age effects on male and female offspring development and longevity. <i>Funct. Ecol.</i> 29: 104–110.
553	Lüdecke, D. 2013. sjPlot: Data Visualization for Statistics in Social Science.
554 555 556	Lymbery, S.J., Wyber, B., Tomkins, J.L. & Simmons, L.W. 2020. No evidence for divergence in male harmfulness or female resistance in response to changes in the opportunity for dispersal. <i>J. Evol. Biol.</i> <b>33</b> : 966–978.
557 558 559	Ma, C., Wang, L., Zhang, W. & Rudolf, V.H.W. 2018. Resolving biological impacts of multiple heat waves: interaction of hot and recovery days. <i>Oikos</i> 127: 622– 633.
560 561	Ma, CS., Ma, G. & Pincebourde, S. 2021. Survive a Warming Climate: Insect Responses to Extreme High Temperatures. <i>Annu. Rev. Entomol.</i> <b>66</b> : 163–184.
562 563	Magnusson, A., Skaug, H., Nielsen, A., Berg, C., Kristensen, K., Maechler, M., et al. 2017. Package 'glmmTMB.' <i>R Package Version 02 0</i> .
564 565 566	Martinet, B., Zambra, E., Przybyla, K., Lecocq, T., Anselmo, A., Nonclercq, D., <i>et al.</i> 2021. Mating under climate change: Impact of simulated heatwaves on the reproduction of model pollinators. <i>Funct. Ecol.</i> <b>35</b> : 739–752.
567 568	Matsubara, S. 2018. Growing plants in fluctuating environments: why bother? J. Exp. Bot. 69: 4651–4654.
569 570	Mellanby, H. 1937. Experimental work on reproduction in the tsetse fly, Glossina palpalis. <i>Parasitology</i> <b>29</b> : 131–141.
571 572 573	Melone, G.G., Stuligross, C. & Williams, N.M. 2024. Heatwaves increase larval mortality and delay development of a solitary bee. <i>Ecol. Entomol.</i> 49: 433– 444.
574 575 576	Mitchell, R. 1991. The traits of a biotype of Callosobruchus maculatus (F.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) from South India. <i>J. Stored Prod. Res.</i> 27: 221–224. Elsevier.
577 578	Murali, G., Iwamura, T., Meiri, S. & Roll, U. 2023. Future temperature extremes threaten land vertebrates. <i>Nature</i> <b>615</b> : 461–467. Nature Publishing Group.
579 580 581	Niehaus, A.C., Angilletta, M.J., Sears, M.W., Franklin, C.E. & Wilson, R.S. 2012. Predicting the physiological performance of ectotherms in fluctuating thermal environments. <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> 215: 694–701.
582 583 584	Paaijmans, K.P., Heinig, R.L., Seliga, R.A., Blanford, J.I., Blanford, S., Murdock, C.C., <i>et al.</i> 2013. Temperature variation makes ectotherms more sensitive to climate change. <i>Glob. Change Biol.</i> <b>19</b> : 2373–2380.

585 586	Parmesan, C. & Yohe, G. 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. <i>Nature</i> <b>421</b> : 37–42. Nature Publishing Group.
587 588	Perkins, S.E. & Alexander, L.V. 2013. On the Measurement of Heat Waves. J. Clim. 26: 4500–4517.
589 590	Perkins-Kirkpatrick, S.E. & Lewis, S.C. 2020. Increasing trends in regional heatwaves. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> <b>11</b> : 3357. Nature Publishing Group.
591 592	R Core Team. 2021. <i>R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing</i> . R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
593 594 595	<ul> <li>Rankin, T.L. &amp; Sponaugle, S. 2011. Temperature Influences Selective Mortality during the Early Life Stages of a Coral Reef Fish. <i>PLOS ONE</i> 6: e16814.</li> <li>Public Library of Science.</li> </ul>
596 597 598	Ratz, T., Chechi, T.S., Dimopoulou, AI., Sedlmair, S.D. & Tuni, C. 2024. Heatwaves inflict reproductive but not survival costs to male insects. <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> 227: jeb246698.
599 600 601	Rohmer, C., David, J.R., Moreteau, B. & Joly, D. 2004. Heat induced male sterility in <i>Drosophila melanogaster</i> : adaptive genetic variations among geographic populations and role of the Y chromosome. <i>J. Exp. Biol.</i> <b>207</b> : 2735–2743.
602 603 604	Ruthrof, K.X., Breshears, D.D., Fontaine, J.B., Froend, R.H., Matusick, G., Kala, J., et al. 2018. Subcontinental heat wave triggers terrestrial and marine, multi- taxa responses. Sci. Rep. 8: 13094. Nature Publishing Group.
605 606 607 608	Sales, K., Gage, M.J.G. & Vasudeva, R. 2024. Experimental evolution reveals that males evolving within warmer thermal regimes improve reproductive performance under heatwave conditions in a model insect. <i>J. Evol. Biol.</i> voae116.
609 610 611 612	Sales, K., Vasudeva, R., Dickinson, M.E., Godwin, J.L., Lumley, A.J., Michalczyk, Ł., <i>et al.</i> 2018. Experimental heatwaves compromise sperm function and cause transgenerational damage in a model insect. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 9: 4771. Nature Publishing Group.
613 614 615	Sales, K., Vasudeva, R. & Gage, M.J.G. 2021. Fertility and mortality impacts of thermal stress from experimental heatwaves on different life stages and their recovery in a model insect. <i>R. Soc. Open Sci.</i> 8: 201717. Royal Society.
616 617 618 619	Schaum, CE., Buckling, A., Smirnoff, N., Studholme, D.J. & Yvon-Durocher, G. 2018. Environmental fluctuations accelerate molecular evolution of thermal tolerance in a marine diatom. <i>Nat. Commun.</i> 9: 1719. Nature Publishing Group.
620 621 622	Schaum, CE., Buckling, A., Smirnoff, N. & Yvon-Durocher, G. 2022. Evolution of thermal tolerance and phenotypic plasticity under rapid and slow temperature fluctuations. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B</i> 289: 20220834. The Royal Society.

623	Siegle, M.R., Taylor, E.B. & O'Connor, M.I. 2022. Heat Wave Intensity Drives
624	Sublethal Reproductive Costs in a Tidepool Copepod. <i>Integr. Org. Biol.</i> 4:
625	obac005.
626	Sinclair, B.J., Marshall, K.E., Sewell, M.A., Levesque, D.L., Willett, C.S., Slotsbo, S.,
627	et al. 2016. Can we predict ectotherm responses to climate change using
628	thermal performance curves and body temperatures? <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> <b>19</b> : 1372–
629	1385.
630	Sjoberg, D. 2020. hablar: Non-Astonishing Results in R.
631	Smale, D.A. & Wernberg, T. 2013. Extreme climatic event drives range contraction of
632	a habitat-forming species. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> 280: 20122829. Royal
633	Society.
634 635	Smith, M.D. 2011. The ecological role of climate extremes: current understanding and future prospects. <i>J. Ecol.</i> <b>99</b> : 651–655.
636 637	Sørensen, J.G., Kristensen, T.N. & Loeschcke, V. 2003. The evolutionary and ecological role of heat shock proteins. <i>Ecol. Lett.</i> <b>6</b> : 1025–1037.
638	Stillman, J.H. 2019. Heat Waves, the New Normal: Summertime Temperature
639	Extremes Will Impact Animals, Ecosystems, and Human Communities.
640	<i>Physiology</i> 34: 86–100. American Physiological Society.
641	Thomas, C.D., Cameron, A., Green, R.E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L.J., Collingham,
642	Y.C., <i>et al.</i> 2004. Extinction risk from climate change. <i>Nature</i> 427: 145–148.
643	Nature Publishing Group.
644	Ursprung, C., den Hollander, M. & Gwynne, D.T. 2009. Female seed beetles,
645	Callosobruchus maculatus, remate for male-supplied water rather than
646	ejaculate nutrition. <i>Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.</i> <b>63</b> : 781–788. Springer.
647	Ushey, K. 2023. renv: Project Environments.
648 649	Vamosi, S. & Lesack, T. 2007. Direct effects of larval competition on development time and fecundity in seed beetles. <i>Evol. Ecol. Res.</i> <b>9</b> : 1131–1143.
650 651 652	VAMOSI, S.M. 2005. Interactive effects of larval host and competition on adult fitness: an experimental test with seed beetles (Coleoptera: Bruchidae). <i>Funct. Ecol.</i> <b>19</b> : 859–864.
653	van de Pol, M., Jenouvrier, S., Cornelissen, J.H.C. & Visser, M.E. 2017. Behavioural,
654	ecological and evolutionary responses to extreme climatic events: challenges
655	and directions. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> <b>372</b> : 20160134. Royal
656	Society.
657 658 659	Vasseur, D.A., DeLong, J.P., Gilbert, B., Greig, H.S., Harley, C.D.G., McCann, K.S., <i>et al.</i> 2014. Increased temperature variation poses a greater risk to species than climate warming. <i>Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.</i> <b>281</b> : 20132612.

- Walsh, B.S., Parratt, S.R., Hoffmann, A.A., Atkinson, D., Snook, R.R., Bretman, A., *et al.* 2019. The Impact of Climate Change on Fertility. *Trends Ecol. Amp Evol.* 34: 249–259.
- Wang, C., Li, Z., Chen, Y., Li, Y., Ouyang, L., Zhu, J., *et al.* 2024. Changes in Global
  Heatwave Risk and Its Drivers Over One Century. *Earths Future* 12:
  e2024EF004430.
- Weaving, H., Terblanche, J.S. & English, S. 2024. Heatwaves are detrimental to
  fertility in the viviparous tsetse fly. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 291: 20232710.
  Royal Society.
- 669 Wickham, H. 2011. ggplot2. *WIREs Comput. Stat.* **3**: 180–185. Wiley Online Library.
- Wickham, H., Averick, M., Bryan, J., Chang, W., McGowan, L., François, R., *et al.*2019. Welcome to the Tidyverse. *J. Open Source Softw.* 4: 1686.
- Ku, Y., Zhang, Y., Liang, J., He, G., Liu, X., Zheng, Z., *et al.* 2021. Impacts of
  marine heatwaves on pearl oysters are alleviated following repeated exposure. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 173: 112932.
- Zhang, W., Chang, X.-Q., Hoffmann, AryA., Zhang, S. & Ma, C.-S. 2015. Impact of
  hot events at different developmental stages of a moth: the closer to adult
  stage, the less reproductive output. *Sci. Rep.* 5: 10436. Nature Publishing
  Group.