1	No evidence for assortative mating in the Atlantic puffin		
2	Katja H. Kochvar ^{1, 2} , Amy C. Wilson ¹ , Rebecca K. Foote ¹ , Sydney M. Collins ¹ , Juan Pablo Ibañez ¹ , Fiona		
3	C. Le Taro ¹ , Antoine Morel ¹ , Raul Zabala Belenguer ¹ , Pierre-Paul Bitton ^{1, *}		
4			
5	¹ Cognitive and Behavioural Ecology, Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador, St. John's,		
6	Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada		
7	² Current address: Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Princeton University, Princeton, N.		
8	USA		
9			
10	*Corresponding author: Pierre-Paul Bitton, Department of Psychology, Cognitive and Behavioural		
11	Ecology, Memorial University of Newfoundland and Labrador, 232 Elizabeth Avenue, St. John's, NL		
12	A1B 3X9, Canada. Email: <u>pbitton@mun.ca</u>		
13			
14	ORCID		
15	• Pierre-Paul Bitton - 0000-0001-5984-2331		
16	• Katja H. Kochvar – 0000-0002-8342-1307		
17	• Sydney M. Collins – 0000-0003-0059-6900		
18	• Rebecca K. Foote - 0009-0009-1570-0468		
19	• Juan Pablo Ibañez - 0009-0007-9085-813X		
20	• Raul Zabala Belenguer - 0009-0001-2291-1281		
21	• Amy Wilson - 0009-0004-1625-7027		
22	• Fiona Le Taro – 0009-0004-5939-7951		
23	• Antoine Morel - 0009-0003-0216-7365		
24			
25			
26	Acknowledgments - We thank all individuals that assisted in the field or in the lab: April Griffin, Derek		
27	Wadley, Fiona O'Flaherty, Sarah Watkins, Lucia Lake, Noah O'Brien, Robert Blackmore, Lily Bertolo,		
28	Chandler Anstey, and Tori Burt.		
29			
30			

31 Statements and Declarations

Competing Interests - The authors declare that they do not have any competing interests

34	Funding - This work was supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada	
35	Discovery Grant (RGPIN-2019-04984 to P-P.B.) and Discovery Launch Supplement (DGECR-2019-	
36	00029 to P-P.B.). Additional funding came from Memorial University of Newfoundland (MUN), Faculty	
37	of Science Start-up Funds, MUN School of Graduate Studies, the Canada Summer Jobs program, and	
38	Women in Science and Engineering Newfoundland and Labrador Student Summer Employment Program.	
39		
40	Ethics approval statement - The procedures conducted in this study were approved by the Animal Care	
41	Committee of Memorial University of Newfoundland (AUP 19-02-DW and associated amendments).	
42	Federal permission to conduct research was approved by a Migratory Bird Research permit (SC4061) and	
43	the Canadian Bird Banding Office (Permit holder: 10926), and provincial permission to access Witless	
44	Bay Ecological Reserve and sample birds was obtained from the government of Newfoundland and	
45	Labrador (WBER 2018-21).	
46		
47	Data availability - All data and R scripts for the analyses and production of figures are available as	
48	supplementary material. Raw samples (e.g., pictures) are available by request.	
49		
50	Author contributions - KHK, ACW, and PPB conceptualized the study and the main analyses. KHK,	
51	ACW, SMC, RZ, FLT, AM and PPB collected field data. All authors contributed to data extraction from	
52	images, statistical analyses, and writing the manuscript.	

53 Abstract

54 Assortative mating occurs when individuals with similar phenotypes mate together more often than by 55 chance and can contribute to increases in homozygosity, linkage disequilibrium between loci, and premating isolation in a phenotypically divergent population. While this phenomenon has been well 56 57 documented in many avian species, evidence is relatively scarce in seabirds. Most seabirds are long-lived, monogamous, and form multi-year pair bonds, so assortative mating is hypothesized to occur if 58 59 individuals pair early in life and change predictably over time. Furthermore, most seabirds are sexually 60 monomorphic, which may in part result from mutual mate choice on the same traits. In this study, we 61 looked for evidence of assortative mating in Atlantic Puffins across 11 traits: current body condition, 62 structural size (wing length), bill size (depth and culmen length), bill shape (three measures from 63 geometric morphometric analyses), and bill colouration (four regions). Despite a large sample size, we detected only weak support for non-random pairing. Specifically, we found evidence for positive 64 65 assortative mating on cere colour, but no other trait under investigation. We discuss these results in the context of poorly understood mate choice behaviours in Atlantic Puffins and argue that determining the 66 67 individual age of these long-lived animals would help resolve many unanswered questions.

68

69

70 Keywords: Seabird, *Fratercula arctica*, visual ecology, mate choice, ornamentation, elaborate
71 monomorphism

72 Introduction

Assortative mating is the non-random pairing of breeding individuals based on one or more phenotypic traits (Burley, 1983, Jiang et al., 2013). Pairing between individuals with similar trait values (i.e., positive assortative mating) can promote locus homozygosity and linkage disequilibrium between loci, as well as contribute to premating isolation (Coyne and Orr 2004; Janicke et al., 2019). In contrast, pairing between individuals with dissimilar trait values (i.e., negative assortative mating) could increase heterozygosity and limit inbreeding depression (Pusey and Wolf 1996).

79 Correlations between phenotypic trait values such as size, colour, behaviour, and/or diet in mated individuals have been detected in a wide range of taxa including amphibians (Lu et al., 2009; Swierk and 80 81 Langkilde, 2021), fish (Snowberg and Bolnick, 2008; Ward et al., 2004), and birds (Caro et al., 2021; 82 Indykiewicz et al., 2017; Pryke and Griffith, 2007; Tamin and Doligez, 2021). Because of the mechanisms that lead to non-random pairing, positive assortative mating has been reported more often 83 than negative assortative mating (Jiang et al., 2013; also called "disassortative mating", Partridge, 1983). 84 85 Assortative mating often occurs through passive mechanisms, where individuals with similar traits 86 mate based on convenience or availability rather than explicit mate choice (Newton et al., 1981). Indeed, individuals with similar temporal and/or spatial requirements may passively assortatively mate. For 87 example, individuals with similar traits may arrive to the breeding grounds around the same time (Dittrich 88 89 et al., 2018; Village, 1985; Wiebe, 2000), resulting in population segregation where individuals must 90 choose mates that are similar to themselves (Jiang et al., 2013). This has been well described in migratory 91 species in which high quality breeders are the first to arrive at the breeding site (Blums et al., 2005; Limmer and Becker, 2007; Matyjasiak, 2013) and pair immediately with available mates (Dittrich et al., 92 93 2018; Moiron et al., 2020). Territorial defence or site fidelity can also lead to passive assortative mating if 94 individuals with similar traits occupy or prefer the same space (e.g., Ferrer and Penteriani, 2003). For example, ladybird beetle races (Henosepilachna diekei) do not mate assortatively under lab conditions in 95 96 the absence of host plants but exhibit almost total assortative mating by race when presented with a 97 choice to occupy one of two host plant species (Matsubayashi et al., 2013). Similarly, intrasexual

competition for nest sites may also lead to passive assortative mating. High quality individuals generally
can access and defend higher quality breeding sites, thus attracting similarly high quality mates (Bitton et
al., 2008; Harris and Siefferman, 2014). Finally, certain phenotypes change predictably as individuals age
(e.g., colour, Bitton et al., 2008; size, Simmons, 1995; behaviour, Class and Brommer, 2016), so positive
assortative mating may persist in the population if monogamously mated individuals age together
(Woodman et al., 2022).

104 Only mutual mate choice for the same phenotype can be considered an active mechanism of 105 assortative mating (Wiley and Poston, 1996). When phenotypic traits honestly advertise quality and lead 106 to reproductive or survival advantages in both sexes, active assortative mating may occur because higher quality individuals preferentially choose to mate with one another. While it can be challenging to separate 107 passive and active mechanisms solely based on observations of pairing in the wild, experiments have 108 109 provided strong evidence for mutual mate choice in birds based on various traits. Some of these traits are structural (e.g., tail length in bearded tit Panurus biarmicus, Romero-Pujante et al., 2002), but most 110 studies have focused on elaborate, often colourful, ornaments (e.g., crest length, Jones and Hunter 1993; 111 112 UV colour, Nolan et al., 2010). Therefore, active assortative mating has been most actively sought and 113 found in mutually ornamented species.

114 Atlantic Puffins (Fratercula arctica) are elaborate monomorphic seabirds. While sub-adults 115 between age one and three display relatively drab features, adults display a bright red-orange bill, orange 116 rosette, and orange feet during the breeding season. During the winter months, Atlantic Puffins shed their 117 bill sheath and regrow it before the start of each breeding season, such that the colourful bill could reflect 118 nonbreeding condition or serve another signalling role (Lowther et al., 2020). They are long-lived with high adult survival (0.909–0.965 in Sandvik et al. 2008, > 0.915 in five colonies over ~10 years in Harris 119 120 et al. 2005), are socially and genetically monogamous, form multi-year pair bonds, and obligately share 121 parental care to raise a single chick each year (Anker-Nilssen et al., 2008; Creelman and Storey, 1991; 122 Lowther et al., 2020). Breeding attempts in this species are particularly energetically costly and can negatively impact body condition and survival (Erikstad et al., 2009), so mutual choice for a high-quality 123

partner could be critical to ensure successful breeding (Henderson et al., 2013). However, little is known
about pair formation and the criteria by which Atlantic Puffins choose their mates (Lowther et al., 2020).

126 The mutual ornamentation of Atlantic Puffins along with their life-history strategies suggests that assortative mating through passive and/or active mechanisms could be expected. Because of their high 127 128 adult survival and low divorce rate (~7% in long term study by Harris and Wanless, 2011), most newly 129 formed pairs should be composed of young first-time breeding birds, and pairs should be assortatively 130 mated by age at the population level. Since some aspects of their morphology are expected to change 131 predictably with age (e.g., mass in Bond et al., 2016), age-based pairing should passively lead to evidence 132 of assortative mating in these traits. Other traits known to change as individuals age in avian species include structural size (e.g., longer wing length with age, Piliczewski et al., 2018), bill size and shape 133 (wider bill with age in Alisauskas, 1987; longer with age in Petersen, 1976; Piliczewski et al., 2018), and 134 135 ornament size (longer/larger with age, Adámková et al. 2022; Evans et al. 2011; Laucht and Dale, 2012). 136 Thus far, Bond et al. (2016) only found weak evidence for assortative mating in Atlantic puffins based on culmen length in a Newfoundland, Canada, population and head + bill length in a New Brunswick, 137 138 Canada, population. It is unknown if Atlantic Puffins mate assortatively based on other untested 139 morphological traits like bill shape.

140 Alternatively, individuals that are new breeders or have lost their previous mate could actively choose a new mate of high quality among those available. In many study systems, carotenoid-based 141 142 colouration in plumage and fleshy ornaments provide information on aspects of individual quality such as 143 foraging ability and overall health and condition (Caro et al., 2021; Kirschel et al., 2020). In Atlantic 144 Puffins, bill and rosette colouration has been found to correlate with body condition (Doutrelant et al. 2013, but see Kochvar et al. 2024), and chick growth rates (Kochvar and Bitton, 2025). However, no 145 146 studies to date have searched for evidence of assortative mating in Atlantic Puffins based on the shape 147 and colour of their ornaments.

In this study we investigated whether Atlantic Puffins breeding in Newfoundland and Labrador,
Canada, mate assortatively. Premised on the two mechanisms described above, we hypothesized that adult

puffins would show evidence of positive assortative mating based on body condition, structural traits, andbill characteristics.

- 152
- 153 Methods

154 Study site and subjects

This study was conducted on Gull Island in the Witless Bay Ecological Reserve of Newfoundland and 155 156 Labrador, Canada (47.26N, 52.77W) during the chick rearing phases (July and August) of 2019, 2020, 2021. The reserve supports over 300,000 breeding pairs of Atlantic Puffins, 120,000 of which breed on 157 Gull Island (Wilhelm et al., 2015). Our full dataset consisted of 351 individuals including 75 confirmed 158 159 mated adult pairs, as determined by a genetically sexed male and female occupying the same burrow 160 containing a chick within the same breeding season. All 75 pairs were used to investigate assortative mating by morphometrics, and a subsample of 57 mated pairs were used to investigate assortative mating 161 162 by bill colouration.

163

164 Field methods

Individuals were captured in active burrows between the hours of 22:00-03:00. Upon capture, adults were 165 placed inside a small breathable cloth bag and transferred to a banding station to be processed. We banded 166 each puffin with a uniquely numbered Canadian Wildlife Service steel band, measured their weight (600 167 168 g Pesola spring scale to the nearest 5 g) and wing chord (flat wing ruler to the nearest mm), and collected 169 a small blood sample (less than 75 µl) from the brachial vein. Puffins were then taken into a blind where ultraviolet (UV) and visual spectrum RAW images (20 megapixel) were taken of the left and right sides 170 171 of the head with a UV converted Samsung NX1000 (following instructions from Troscianko, 2018) using 172 two 2-inch Baader lens filters (UV: 300-400 nm; visual: 400-700 nm; Figure S1). The puffins were illuminated with a full-spectrum metal halide bulb (150 W; Iwasaki eyeColour MT70D E27 6500K) 173 placed behind a light diffuser to avoid shadows, and all photos included optic grade white (99 % 174 reflectance) and dark (10 % reflectance) standards, a ruler, and a small white board to record the date and 175

band number of the individual. The bill was cleaned of debris with a toothbrush prior to photo capture and
was held in place in a standardized position using a custom-built wooden stabilizer (Figure S1). Three
pictures in the visible range and three pictures in the UV range were obtained using the camera bracketing
function set at -0.5, 0, and 0.5.

180

201

were assigned as females.

181 Molecular sexing

DNA was isolated from blood samples collected in the field on #2 filter paper (Whatman[™], Cytiva,
Maidstone, UK) and stored at room temperature. A ~1 cm² section of the saturated filter paper was
removed with sterilized scissors and placed into a 1.5 ml collection tube. A DNeasy Blood & Tissue kit
(Qiagen Inc., Toronto, ON, CA) was used to extract DNA following standard protocols. All samples
were stored in a freezer at -20 °C for later use.

187 A polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was run on an Eppendorf Mastercycler® ep gradient S for 188 determining sex of the individuals based on the amplification of the chromo-helicase-DNA 1 (CHD1) gene found on the W and Z chromosomes of birds. This protocol is the standard used for sexing seabirds 189 190 (Fridolfsson and Ellegren, 1999). For each sample, this included the use of 12.5 µl Thermo Fisher 191 Promega PCR Master Mix, 2 µl each of primers 2550F and 2718R, and 2 µl of extracted DNA. Each 192 batch also included a no-template control. The PCR was run on a program of initial denaturation at 95 °C for 5 minutes, then 35 cycles of denaturing, annealing, and extension at 94 °C for 30 seconds, 50 °C for 193 30 seconds, 72 °C for 60 seconds, followed by a final extension at 72 °C for 7 minutes and a cooling 194 period of 4 °C for 10 minutes. Samples were stored in a research freezer at -20 °C if not used 195 196 immediately. The amplified samples were run on a RedSafeTM agarose gel (iNtRON Biotechnology, Inc., 197 198 South Korea) along with 100 base pair reference ladders and a no template control on a Thermo Scientific[™] EC 300 XL for 50 minutes at 130 amps. The gels were then imaged and stored digitally. 199 Individuals associated with one band on the gel were assigned as males and individuals with two bands 200

202

203 Bill size and shape through geometric morphometrics

204 Bill size measurements were obtained directly from the visual spectrum images of the left side of puffin 205 bills using ImageJ (Rasband, 2021). After setting the scale using the ruler included in the image, we 206 extracted the culmen length (distance from points 2 to 4; Figure 1) and bill depth (distance from points 2 207 to 6; Figure 1). To quantify the shape of the bill we used geometric morphometric methods (Zelditch et al. 208 2012) applied to our complete dataset of 150 females and 201 males. The inclusion of individuals other 209 than the 150 of interest (75 mated pairs) improves the reliability of the metrics extracted by capturing 210 variation that is more representative of the whole population. Basic operations (i.e., file management, positioning of landmarks and semi-landmarks) were conducted using the series of tps software (i.e., 211 212 tpsUtil, tpsDig2, tpsRelw; Rohlf, 2015) and multivariate analyses on the landmarks were performed in 213 MorphoJ (Klingenberg, 2011). We scaled the images using the ruler in each photograph and placed 214 landmarks and semi-landmarks at locations on the bill that best represented their geometric form and were easily recognisable and repeatable (Figure 1). Landmarks were positioned at: (1) the point where the 215 216 forehead meets the uppermost part of the cere, (2) the uppermost edge of the cere, (3) the point where the 217 upper mandibles' orange colouration dissipates into the lamella, (4) the tip of the nail, (5) the point where 218 the mandibular tomium straightens laterally after its curvature from the nail, (6) the most proximal point 219 of the lower mandible, (7) the commissure, (8) the lowermost part of the cere, (9) the closest point of the 220 rosette to the cere, and (10) the outermost dorsal and (11) outermost posterior edge of the nare (Badikova 221 and Dzerzhynsky, 2015; Lockley, 1962; Petersen, 1976). Semi-landmarks were positioned: (12-14) along 222 the curve of the upper mandible, (15) at the most concave and (16) convex area of the lower mandible, at 223 the middle of the (17) lower and (18) upper lamella, (19) the middle of the cere, and (20) where the 224 lowest point of the lamella meets the cere (Badikova and Dzerzhynsky, 2015; Burnham et al., 2020). 225 Raw landmark coordinates were made comparable using tpsRelw software by removing the 226 variation in digitizing orientation, location and scale, and superimposing them in a common coordinate system (Adams et al., 2004). Distances between landmarks were treated as multivariate data and 227

processed with a Procrustes analysis in MorphoJ (Adams et al., 2004), which finds the transformations
that best superimpose the coordinates of all individuals. This was followed by a principal component
analysis (PCA) to assess the magnitude of shape variation among the landmarks. The resulting PCs
described shape variation with values near zero associated with the average shape of the bills, and high
values, both negative and positive, associated with strong deviations from the average shape (see Results
for details, Klingenberg, 2016; Slice, 2007).

234

235 Bill colour analysis

236 For each individual, a multispectral image of the left side of the bill was generated using the plugin micaToolbox version 2.2 in ImageJ (Troscianko and van den Berg, 2021; van den Berg et al. 2020). One 237 238 visual spectrum and one UV RAW photo were chosen for alignment using the 'Photoscreening' tool, 239 selecting the most illuminated sample without evidence of RGB channel oversaturation. Visual spectrum 240 and UV images were aligned and merged with the 'Affine align' tool by selecting four landmarks along 241 the bill of each image. To evaluate the success of the alignment, we created a false colour image with the 242 'Make Presentation Image' tool, using yellow for the normalised red channel and blue for the normalised 243 uvB channel. False colour images with little to no blue colouration visible around the bill were deemed 244 effectively aligned and suitable for analysis. From the aligned multispectral images, we generated cone 245 catch images using the spectral sensitivity of a violet-sensitive (VS) avian visual system with the peak 246 sensitivity of the four photoreceptors set at 410 nm (SWS1), 450 nm (SWS2), 505 nm (MWS), and 565 nm (LWS) (Endler and Mielke, 2005; Ödeen et al., 2010), and standard illuminant D65 as a typical 247 248 ambient light spectrum. While no data on the photoreceptor sensitivities of Atlantic Puffins are available, closely related species (i.e., common murre Uria aalge and razorbills Alca torda), do not possess a UV 249 250 sensitive photoreceptor (based on SWS1 sequencing, Ödeen et al. 2010).

The average quantum catch values for four regions of interest (ROIs) on the bill were extracted from the cone catch images, each of which consisted of a 30x30 pixel circle. The locations of the four ROIs included the tips of the upper and lower mandible, the semi-fleshy cere, and the fleshy rosette

(Figure 1). The colours represented by the average quantum catch values from the ROIs were modelled in
a tetrachromatic colourspace to obtain Cartesian coordinates (x,y,z) with the 'colspace' function in the R
package pavo (Maia et al., 2013, 2019).

257

258 Statistical analysis

259 The condition of a bird is often calculated using a major axis regression of mass over size (Labocha and 260 Hayes, 2012). However, the mass of Atlantic Puffins is different between the sexes (Lowther et al. 2020) 261 and decreases over the course of the breeding season (unpublished data). Therefore, we calculated an 262 index of condition using ordinary least squares regression residuals obtained from a linear model with mass as the dependent variable and sex, Julian date, and wing chord length as independent variables. We 263 initially included all two-way interactions in a full model and performed stepwise removal of non-264 265 significant terms to achieve a final model. We assessed the assumptions of multiple regression (no 266 multicollinearity, homoscedasticity, no outliers, linear relation) on the initial full model and final model. For morphological traits, we assessed the presence or absence of assortative mating using Pearson 267 correlations between the male and female trait values (i.e., direct measurements on the bill, principal 268 269 components from the geometric morphometric analyses, and body condition). To assess assortative 270 mating based on colouration, we first assessed the pairwise differences between the colours of mated 271 individuals by calculating the Euclidean distance between their Cartesian coordinates in a tetrahedral colourspace. We calculated this separately for each ROI to assess the similarity in colour between mated 272 273 individuals across different regions. We compared the mean Euclidean distance between mated 274 individuals to a null distribution of expected mean values generated by randomly pairing males and females over 1000 iterations. We determined whether assortative mating occurred based on the position 275 276 of the observed mean distance compared to the null distribution; positive assortative mating was defined as observed values smaller than the 25^{th} smallest value in the null distribution (i.e., two-tailed test at p =277 0.05), and negative assortative mating was defined as observed values greater than the 25th largest value 278

- in the null distribution. *P* values were then adjusted with the false discovery rate correction to reduce the
- 280 probability of type 1 error (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995).



281

Figure 1. Exemplar puffin bill image with landmarks (solid circles) and semi-landmarks (solid triangles)
for geometric morphometric analysis. Points 2 and 4 were used to calculate bill length and points 2 and 6
were used to calculate bill depth. Letters A through D represent regions of interest from which colour data
were obtained: A) upper mandible, B) lower mandible, C) cere, and D) rosette.

286

287 Results

288 Our sample size for assortative mating analyses was determined by identifying all the mated pairs of

- 289 puffins sampled within the same year, resulting in an initial sample size of 84 pairs. Pairs that were
- sampled in multiple years were only used once in the analysis. In cases where one member of the pair

changed in a subsequent year, both pairs were included in the analysis. Additional pairs were excluded
from the analyses because one or both individuals were missing a blood sample (4 pairs) or missing
photos (2 pairs), as well as in unusual cases where three adults were sampled from the same burrow (2
pairs) or two individuals of the same sex only were found (1 pair). After the removal of these individuals,
the final sample size was 75 pairs for the morphological analysis and 57 pairs for colour analyses
(available UV and VIS images).

297

298 **Body condition**

All main effect variables (i.e., sex, wing length, Julian date), but none of the interactions, were retained in our final model of body condition (adjusted $R^2 = 0.56$; Table S1). Mass was significantly greater in males than females (parameter estimate: 39.94 ± 3.77 SE, $F_{1,145} = 112.11$, p < 0.001), in individuals with longer wing lengths (parameter estimate: 1.55 ± 0.42 , $F_{1,145} = 13.41$, p < 0.001), and in individuals sampled earlier in the breeding season (parameter estimate: -0.50 ± 0.17 , $F_{1,145} = 8.40$, p = 0.004). We retained the residuals of the full model as the index of the individuals' body condition.

305

306 Geometric morphometrics

The first seven principal components retrieved from the Procrustes analysis captured 83 % of the
variation in bill morphologies. However, the first three, which captured over 50 % of the variation, were

the most easily interpretable based on a visual inspection of the mesh planes (Figure S2, S3, and S4).

The first principal component (PC1; Figure S2) identified variance in the relationship between the depth and culmen length of the bill: individuals with large negative values had a relatively long and shallow bill while individuals with large positive values had relatively short and deep bills. The second principal component (PC2; Figure S3) identified differences in the way the lamella converged on the cere: individuals with large negative values displayed a thick connection between the lamella and the cere whereas individuals with large positive values had a thin (if any) connection between the lamella and the cere. Finally, the third principal component (PC3, Figure S4) pointed to differences in the posterior curvature of the bill (where the bill meets the face): individuals with large negative values displayed a
relatively straight posterior bill curvature, whereas individuals with large positive values had a more
pronounced posterior bill curvature.

320

321 Assortative mating by morphometrics

- 322 We did not find any evidence for assortative mating based on the morphological traits measured. Mated
- individuals did not pair according to body condition (n = 74, r = -0.065, 95% CI: -0.289 0.166, p = -0.166
- 324 0.58), body size (wing length: n = 74, r = -0.346, 95% CI: -0.255 0.202, p = 0.81), or bill size (bill
- depth: n = 75, r = -0.130, 95% CI: -0.346 0.100, p = 0.27; bill length: n = 75, r = -0.087, 95% CI: -0.308
- -0.143, p = 0.46). We also did not find evidence for assortative mating based on the three retained
- 327 descriptors of bill shape (PC1: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, r = 0.103, 95% CI: -0.127 0.322, p = 0.38; PC2: n = 75, n = 75
- 328 0.063, 95% CI: -0.167 0.285, p = 0.59; PC3: n = 75, r = -0.197, 95% CI: -0.406 0.031, p = 0.09).
- 329

330 Assortative mating by bill colour

331 We found evidence for positive assortative mating by cere colour only. The mean distance between male

- and female cere colour was 0.046 (unitless), significantly below the mean of the null distribution ($0.056 \pm$
- 0.003 SD, p = 0.012; Figure 2). For all other regions, there was no sign of positive or negative assortative
- mating (upper mandible: observed mean = 0.060; null distribution mean = 0.062, p = 0.67; lower
- mandible: observed mean = 0.039; null distribution mean = 0.042, p = 0.31; rosette: observed mean =
- 336 0.057; null distribution mean = 0.061, p = 0.31; Figure 2).



Figure 2. Pairs of Atlantic Puffins mated assortatively by cere colour, but not by any other bill colour
trait. Each panel presents a null frequency distribution of Euclidean colour distances between randomly
paired males and females (n = 1000 iterations) in a tetrahedral colourspace. Smaller distances indicate
greater similarity between the colours. The dashed line marks the observed colour distance between
mated individuals.

343 Discussion

344 Evidence of assortative mating has been found in a few Alcid species, including Lesser Auklets [Aethia *pusilla*; plumage colour (positive), tarsus length (positive), and facial plume size (negative); Jones and 345 346 Montgomerie, 1991], Crested Auklets [Aethia cristatella; crest size (positive); Jones and Hunter, 1993], 347 and Little Auks [Alle alle; wing length (positive), extent of white area on upper eyelid (positive), 348 hormonal stress response (positive); Wojczulanis-Jakubas et al., 2018]. Despite a relatively large sample 349 we found only weak evidence for assortative mating. Of the 11 characteristics we explored, we found no 350 relationships between male and female morphology (bill size and shape, wing length), body condition 351 (corrected for covariates), or bill mandible and rosette colours. Only the colour of the fleshy cere was significantly correlated between mated individuals. Thus, our hypotheses predicting passive or active 352 assortative mating are inconsistent with our findings. More detailed investigation of mating behaviours 353 354 across the lifespan is necessary to understand reproductive strategies and emergent mating patterns in this 355 species.

Because of the low adult mortality rate and low reported divorce rate in this species, we 356 hypothesized that Atlantic Puffins pairing for the first time would do so mostly with other young 357 358 individuals. Furthermore, because puffins form life-long pair bonds, we hypothesized that these mated 359 pairs would age together. Detecting assortative mating under these conditions would further require that 360 traits predictably change over time. One or all premises of this passive assortative mating mechanism 361 could be incorrect. Adult puffins disperse to multiple locations during the winter, and individuals that 362 breed together do not necessarily overwinter at the same sites (Guilford et al., 2011, Burnham et al., 2021, 363 Baran et al., 2022, Charrier et al., 2024). While the precise mechanism of how previously mated individuals find each other at the breeding grounds is unclear, some have hypothesized that they reunite 364 365 on the water close to the colony (Haris and Wanless 2011). When, where, and how individuals pairing for 366 the first time initially encounter and court is undocumented (Lowther et al., 2022). Individuals that have 367 lost their mate through mortality or divorce could favour a breeding location, potentially at or near their previous burrow, rather than actively seek a mate that displays specific traits. Fewer than 0.3 % of tracked 368

369 breeding adults have been found to renest further than 100 m away from a previously used burrow (Harris 370 and Wanless, 2011). Furthermore, an annual mortality rate of ~ 3 % combined with a divorce rate of ~ 7 % would lead to 10 % reshuffling of relationships every year, which could be sufficient to eliminate 371 372 evidence of assortative mating even with the initial pairing of young individuals. Whether or not morphological traits change predictably with age is also uncertain. While the size and shape of the bill of 373 374 Atlantic Puffins has been found to change in an expected way over the first six years of life (Petersen 375 1976), this period does not include most adults of breeding age considering their long lifespan (oldest 376 North American puffin aged at 33 years; USGS, 2023). Subsequent research using repeated measures analyses on birds of known age did not find evidence of age-related change continuing later in life (Bond 377 et al. 2016). If morphological traits do not change predictably in older adults, assortative mating on these 378 379 traits would not necessarily be maintained after initial age-based pairing.

380 Our second hypothesis was premised under the mutual mate choice paradigm and predicted 381 assortative mating on traits typically associated with condition and/or quality in individuals. While 382 Atlantic puffin bill colouration is dynamic within the breeding season (Kochvar et al., 2024), evidence 383 that bill colour is correlated with condition is mixed (no relationship, Kochvar et al., 2024; positive 384 relationship stronger in female than males, Doutrelant et al., 2013). Moreover, there is evidence that 385 female colouration, but not male colouration, is positively related to offspring growth rate constants (mass and 10th primary; Kochvar, 2025). For assortative mating to be achieved through mutual mate choice, the 386 387 same traits need to signal some measure of quality in both males and females (Johnstone, 1997), and most pairings at the population level should occur relatively synchronously. Of the 11 traits we evaluated, only 388 389 cere colouration was more similar in mated pairs than expected by chance. In females only, cere hue has 390 been associated with offspring mass at fledging (Kochvar, 2025) but was not associated with body condition in either males or females (Kochvar et al., 2024). The opportunity for mutual mate choice on 391 392 this trait seems limited, but bare part ornament colouration such as the cere and rosette are dynamic and 393 honest signals of current condition in many species (Iverson and Karubian 2017). Since our measurements were obtained from adults caring for nestlings, measurements earlier in the breeding 394

season when pairing typically occurs may provide stronger evidence for mutual mate choice. Basic
studies of mating behaviours when Atlantic Puffins first arrive at the breeding grounds are needed to
better understand the factors that influence individual pairing.

Several of the premises underlying our hypotheses could be resolved with an accurate way to age Atlantic Puffins. For instance, we could assess if paired individuals are generally of similar age and whether divorced individuals choose a mate of similar age. Combined with our multispectral bill images, it would also be possible to determine if the traits we measured (e.g., bill shape, size, and colour) predictably change after the age of six. Ultimately, this information would give us insight into the breeding ecology and mating behaviours of Atlantic Puffins and potentially clarify why there is no evidence of assortative mating in this species.

405 References	405	References
----------------	-----	------------

406 Adámková M, Tomášek O, Albrecht T (2022) An unexpected age-related pattern in feather growth
407 contributes to age-dependent ornament expression in a passerine bird. J Ornithol 163:987-996.

408 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-022-01990-5</u>

409 Adams DC, Rohlf FJ, Slice, DE (2004) Geometric morphometrics: ten years of progress following the

410 'revolution'. Ital J Zool 71:5-16. doi.org/10.1080/11250000409356545

- Anker-Nilssen T, Kleven O, Aarvak T, Lifjeld JT (2008) No evidence of extra-pair paternity in the
 Atlantic Puffin *Fratercula arctica*. Ibis 150:619–622. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-
- 413 <u>919X.2008.00810.x</u>
- 414 Alisauskas RT (1987) Morphometric correlates of age and breeding status in American Coots. The

415 Auk 104:640-646. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/auk/104.4.640</u>

- Badikova AA, Dzerzhynsky FY (2015) Functional morphology and adaptive features of the jaw apparatus
 in puffins (Fraterculini, Alcidae, and Charadriiformes). Biol Bulletin 42:622-632.
- 418 Baran MA, Kress SW, Shannon P, Lyons DE, Major HL, Diamond AW (2022) Overwinter movement of
- 419 Atlantic puffins (*Fratercula arctica*) breeding in the Gulf of Maine: inter-and intra-colony
- 420 effects. Waterbirds 45:1-16. <u>https://doi.org/10.1675/063.045.0103</u>
- 421 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach
- 422 to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Methodological) 57:289–
- 423 300. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2517-6161.1995.tb02031.x</u>
- 424 Bitton P-P, Dawson RD, Ochs CL (2008) Plumage characteristics, reproductive investment and
- 425 assortative mating in Tree Swallows *Tachycineta bicolor*. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 62:1543–1550.
 426 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-008-0583-7
- 427 Blums P, Nichols JD, Hines JE, Lindberg MS, Mednis A (2005) Individual quality, survival variation and
- 428 patterns of phenotypic selection on body condition and timing of nesting in birds. Oecologia

429 143:365–376. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-004-1794-x</u>

430 Bond AL, Standen RA, Diamond AW, Hobson KA (2016) Sexual size dimorphism and discriminant

- 431 functions for predicting the sex of Atlantic Puffins (*Fratercula arctica*). J Ornithol 157:875–883.
 432 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-016-1332-8
- 433 Burley N (1983) The meaning of assortative mating. Ethol Sociobio 4:191–203.

434 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1096-0031.2011.00374.x</u>

- 435 Burnham KK, Burnham JL, Johnson JA (2020) Morphological measurements of Atlantic Puffin
- 436 (*Fratercula arctica naumanni*) in High-Arctic Greenland. Polar Res 39.
- 437 <u>https://doi.org/10.33265/polar.v39.5242</u>
- 438 Burnham KK, Burnham JL, Johnson JA, Huffman A (2021) Migratory movements of Atlantic puffins
- 439 *Fratercula arctica naumanni* from high Arctic Greenland. PLoS ONE 16:e0252055.
- 440 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0252055</u>
- 441 Caro S, Pierre L, Bergès M, Bakker R, Doutrelant C, Bonadonna F (2021) Mutual mate preferences and
- 442 assortative mating in relation to a carotenoid-based color trait in Blue Tits. Behav Ecol 32: 1171-
- 443 1182. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arab080</u>
- 444 Charrier J, Anker-Nilssen T, Fort J, Jessopp M, Strøm H, Espinasse B (2024) Intracolony variability in
- 445 winter feeding and migration strategies of Atlantic puffins and black-legged kittiwakes. Marine
- 446 Biol 171:79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00227-023-04384-0
- Class B, Brommer JE (2016) Senescence of personality in a wild bird. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 70:733-744.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-016-2096-0
- 449 Coyne J, Orr H (2004) Speciation. Sinauer, Sunderland, MA.
- 450 Creelman E, Storey AE (1991) Sex differences in reproductive behavior of Atlantic Puffins. Condor
- 451 93:390–398. https://doi.org/10.2307/1368955
- 452 Dittrich C, Rodríguez A, Segev O, Drakulić S, Feldhaar H, Vences M, Rödel M-O (2018) Temporal
- 453 migration patterns and mating tactics influence size-assortative mating in Rana temporaria. Behav
- 454 Ecol 29:418–428. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arx188
- 455 Doutrelant C, Grégoire A, Gomez D, Staszewski V, Arnoux E, Tveraa T, Faivre B, Boulinier T (2013)
- 456 Colouration in Atlantic Puffins and Black-legged Kittiwakes: Monochromatism and links to body

- 457 condition in both sexes. J Avian Biol 44:451-460. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-
- 458 048X.2013.00098.x
- Endler JA, Miekle PW (2005) Comparing entire colour patterns as birds see them. Biol J Linnean Soc
 86:405–431. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2005.00540.x
- 461 Erikstad KE, Sandvik H, Fauchald P, Tveraa T (2009) Short-and long-term consequences of reproductive
- decisions: an experimental study in the puffin. Ecology 90:3197-3208. https://doi.org/10.1890/081778.1
- 464 Evans SR, Gustafsson L, Sheldon BC (2011) Divergent patterns of age-dependence in ornamental and
- reproductive traits in the collared flycatcher. Evolution 65:1623-1636.
- 466 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2011.01253.x</u>
- 467 Ferrer M, Penteriani V (2003) A process of pair formation leading to assortative mating: passive age-

468 assortative mating by habitat heterogeneity. Anim Behav 66:137-143.

- 469 <u>https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.2003.2158</u>
- 470 Fridolfsson A-K, Ellegren H (1999) A simple and universal method for molecular sexing of non-ratite

471 birds. J Avian Biol 30:116-121. https://doi.org/10.2307/3677252

- 472 Guilford T, Freeman R, Boyle D, Dean B, Kirk H, Phillips R, Perrins C (2011) A dispersive migration in
- the Atlantic puffin and its implications for migratory navigation. PLoS One 6:e21336.

474 <u>https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021336</u>

- 475 Harris MP, Anker-Nilssen T, McCleery RH, Erikstad KE, Shaw DN, Grosbois V (2005) Effect of
- 476 wintering area and climate on the survival of adult Atlantic puffins *Fratercula arctica* in the
- 477 eastern Atlantic. Marine Ecol Prog Series 297:283-296. doi:10.3354/meps297283
- 478 Harris MR, Siefferman L (2014) Interspecific competition influences fitness benefits of assortative ating
- for territorial aggression in Eastern Bluebirds (*Sialia sialis*). PLoS ONE 9:e88668.
- 480 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088668
- 481 Harris MP, Wanless S (2011). The puffin. A&C Black, London
- 482 Henderson LJ, Heidinger BJ, Evans NP, Arnold KE (2013) Ultraviolet crown coloration in female blue

- 483 tits predicts reproductive success and baseline corticosterone. Behav Ecol 241299-1305.
- 484 https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/art066
- 485 Indykiewicz P, Podlaszczuk P, Surmacki A, Kudelska K, Kosicki J, Kamiński M, Minias, P (2017) Scale-
- 486 of-choice effect in the assortative mating by multiple ornamental and non-ornamental characters
- 487 in the black-headed gull. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 71:1-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-017-
- 488 2411-4
- 489 Iverson EN, Karubian J (2017) The role of bare parts in avian signaling. Ornithol Advances, 134:587490 611. <u>https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-16-136.1</u>
- 491 Janicke T, Marie-Orleach L, Aubier TG, Perrier C, Morrow EH (2019) Assortative mating in animals and
- 492 its role for speciation. Am Nat 194:865-875. <u>https://doi.org/10.1086/705825</u>
- Jiang Y, Bolnick DI, Kirkpatrick M (2013) Assortative mating in animals. Am Nat 181 E125-E138.
 https://doi.org/10.1086/670160
- Johnstone RA (1997) The tactics of mutual mate choice and competitive search. Behav Ecol
 Sociobiol 40:51-59. https://doi.org/10.1007/s002650050315
- Jones IL, Hunter FM (1993) Mutual sexual selection in a monogamous seabird. Nature 362:238–239.
- 498 https://doi.org/10.1038/362238a0
- Jones IL, Montgomerie R (1991) Mating and remating of Least Auklets (*Aethia pusilla*) relative to
 ornamental traits. Behav Ecol 2:249–257. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/2.3.249
- 501 Kirschel A, Nwankwo E, Pierce D, Moysi M, Ogolowa B, Monadjem A, and Brelsford A (2020)
- 502 CYP2J19 mediates carotenoid colour introgression across a natural avian hybrid zone. Mol Ecol
- 503 29:4970-4984. https://doi.org/10.22541/au.158880215.54508683/v2
- 504 Klingenberg CP (2011) MorphoJ: an integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. Mol Ecol
- 505 Res 11:353-357. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02924.x
- 506 Klingenberg CP (2016) Size, shape, and form: Concepts of allometry in geometric morphometrics.
- 507 Develop Genes Evol 226:113–137. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-016-0539-2

- 508 Kochvar K, Bitton, P-P (2025) Hatch timing and maternal bill colouration are associated with chick
- 509 growth in a mutually ornamented seabird. Authorea Preprint under review
- 510 DOI:10.22541/au.173707203.31611712/v1
- 511 Kochvar KH, Wilson AC, Bitton P-P (2024) Bill color is dynamic across the breeding season but not
- 512 condition-dependent in Atlantic puffins. Ethology 130:e13417. https://doi.org/10.1111/eth.13417
- 513 Labocha MK, Hayes JP (2012) Morphometric indices of body condition in birds: a review. J
- 514 Ornithol 153:1-22. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-011-0706-1
- 515 Laucht S, Dale J (2012) Correlations of condition, testosterone, and age with multiple ornaments in male
- 516 house sparrows: patterns and implications. Condor 114:865-873.
- 517 https://doi.org/10.1525/cond.2012.110194
- 518 Limmer B, Becker PH (2007) The relative role of age and experience in determining variation in body
- 519 mass during the early breeding career of the Common Tern (*Sterna hirundo*). Behav Ecol
- 520 Sociobiol 61:1885–1896. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-007-0429-8
- 521 Lowther PE, Diamond AW, Kress SW, Robertson GJ, Russell K, Nettleship DN, Kirwan GM, Christie D,
- 522 Sharpe CJ, Garcia E, Boesman PFD (2020) Atlantic Puffin (*Fratercula arctica*), version 1.0.
- 523 Birds of the World. https://doi.org/10.2173/bow.atlpuf.01
- Lu X, Ma X, Li Y, Fan L (2009) Breeding behavior and mating system in relation to body size in *Rana chensinensis*, a temperate frog endemic to northern China. J Ethol 27:391-400.
- 526 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10164-008-0132-x
- 527 Maia R, Eliason CM, Bitton P-P, Doucet SM, Shawkey MD (2013) pavo: An R package for the analysis,
- visualization and organization of spectral data. Methods Ecol Evol 4:906–913.
- 529 https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12069
- 530 Maia R, Gruson H, Endler JA, White TE (2019) pavo 2: new tools for the spectral and spatial analysis of
- 531 colour in R. Methods Ecol Evol 10:1097-1107. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13174
- 532 Matsubayashi KW, Kahono S, Katakura H (2013) Divergent host plant preference causes assortative
- 533 mating between sympatric host races of the ladybird beetle, *Henosepilachna diekei*. Biol J

- 534 Linnean Soc 110:606-614. https://doi.org/10.1111/bij.12150
- Matyjasiak P (2013) Timing of arrival from spring migration is associated with flight performance in the
 migratory Barn Swallow. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 67:91–100. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-
- 537 1429-x
- Moiron M, Araya-Ajoy YG, Teplitsky C, Bouwhuis S, Charmantier A (2020) Understanding the social
 dynamics of breeding phenology: Indirect genetic effects and assortative mating in a long-
- 540 distance migrant. Am Nat 196:566–576. https://doi.org/10.1086/711045
- Newton I, Marquiss M, Moss D (1981) Age and breeding in sparrowhawks. J Anim Ecol 50:839-853.
 https://doi.org/10.2307/4141
- 543 Nolan PM, Stephen Dobson F, Nicolaus M, Karels TJ, McGraw KJ, Jouventin P (2010) Mutual mate
- choice for colorful traits in king penguins. Ethology 116:635-644. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.14390310.2010.01775.x
- 546 Ödeen A, Håstad O, Alström P (2010) Evolution of ultraviolet vision in shorebirds (Charadriiformes).
 547 Biol Letters 6:370–374. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2009.0877
- 548 Partridge L (1983) Mate choice. In: Bateson P (ed) Mate Choice. Cambridge University Press,
- 549 Cambridge, pp 227–256
- Petersen A (1976) Size variables in puffins *Fratercula arctica* from Iceland, and bill features as criteria of
 age. Ornis Scand 7:185-192. https://doi.org/10.2307/3676188
- 552 Piliczewski P, Jankowiak Ł, and Wysocki D (2018) Age-dependent changes in biometrics indicate
- senescence in the European Blackbird *Turdus merula*. Bird Study, 65219-224.
- 554 https://doi.org/10.1080/00063657.2018.1451821
- 555 Pryke SR, Griffith SC (2007) The relative role of male vs. female mate choice in maintaining assortative
- pairing among discrete colour morphs. J Evol Biol 20:1512-1521. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-</u>
- 557 <u>9101.2007.01332.x</u>
- 558 Pusey A, Wolf M (1996) Inbreeding avoidance in animals. Trends Ecol Evol 11:201–206. DOI:
- 559 10.1016/0169-5347(96)10028-8

- 560 Rasband W (2021) ImageJ. National Institutes of Health, USA. http://imagej.nih.gov/ij
- 561 Rohlf FJ (2015) The tps series of software. Hystrix 26:9-12. DOI: 10.4404/hystrix-26.1-11264
- 562 Romero-Pujante M, Hoi H, Blomqvist D, Valera F (2002) Tail length and mutual mate choice in bearded
- 563 tits (*Panurus biarmicus*). Ethology 108:885-895. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-
- 564 0310.2002.00821.x
- 565 Sandvik H, Erikstad KE, Fauchald P, Tveraa T (2008) High survival of immatures in a long-lived seabird:
- insights from a long-term study of the Atlantic puffin (*Fratercula arctica*). Auk 125:723-730.
 https://doi.org/10.1525/auk.2008.07059
- 568 Slice DE (2007) Geometric Morphometrics. Annual Rev Anthropol, 36:261–281.
- 569 https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anthro.34.081804.120613
- 570 Snowberg LK, Bolnick DI (2008) Assortative mating by diet in a phenotypically unimodal but

571 ecologically variable population of stickleback. Am Nat 172:733–739.

- 572 https://doi.org/10.1086/591692
- 573 Swierk L, Langkilde T (2021) Size-assortative mating in explosive breeders: A case study of adaptive
- male mate choice in anurans. Behaviour 158:1–20. https://doi.org/10.1163/1568539X-bja10098
- 575 Tamin T, Doligez B (2022) Assortative mating for between-patch dispersal status in a wild bird
- 576 population: Exploring the role of direct and indirect underlying mechanisms. Journal of
- 577 Evolutionary Biology, 35(4), 561-574. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13925</u>
- 578 Troscianko J, van den Berg C (2021) MicaToolbox (2.2). Empirical Imaging.

van den Berg CP, Troscianko J, Endler JA, Marshall NJ, Cheney KL (2020) Quantitative Colour Pattern

- Analysis (QCPA): A comprehensive framework for the analysis of colour patterns in
 nature. Methods Ecol Evol 11:316-332. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13328
- 582 Village A (1985) Spring arrival times and assortative mating of kestrels in south Scotland. J Anim Ecol
- 583 54:857-868. <u>https://doi.org/10.2307/4383</u>
- 584 Ward AJW, Hart PJB, Krause J (2004) The effects of habitat- and diet-based cues on association
- 585 preferences in three-spined sticklebacks. Behav Ecol 15:925–929.

- 586 https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arh097
- 587 Wiebe KL (2000) Assortative mating by color in a population of hybrid Northern Flickers. Auk 117:525588 529. https://doi.org/10.1093/auk/117.2.525
- Wiley RH, Poston J (1996) Perspective: Indirect mate choice, competition for mates, and coevolution of
 the sexes. Evolution 50:1371–1381. https://doi.org/10.2307/2410875
- 591 Wilhelm SI, Mailhiot J, Arany J, Chardine JW, Robertson GJ, Ryan PC (2015) Update and trends of three
- important seabird populations in the Western North Atlantic using a geographic information
 system approach. Marine Ornithol 43:211–222. http://doi.org/10.5038/2074-1235.43.2.1133
- 594 Wojczulanis-Jakubas K, Drobniak SM, Jakubas D, Kulpińska-Chamera M, Chastel O (2018) Assortative
- 595 mating patterns of multiple phenotypic traits in a long-lived seabird. Ibis 160:464-469.
- 596 https://doi.org/10.1111/ibi.12568
- 597 Woodman JP, Gokcekus S, Beck KB, Green JP, Nussey DH, Firth JA (2024) The ecology of ageing in
- 598 wild societies: linking age structure and social behaviour. Phil Trans B, 379:20220464.
- 599 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2022.0464
- 600 Zelditch M, Swiderski D, Sheets HD (2012) Geometric morphometrics for biologists: a primer. Academic
- 601 Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts