1 **Fluctuating environments favour cooperation among non-kin in birds**

- 2 Christina Hansen Wheat^{1,2}*, Emily O'Connor¹, Philip A. Downing³, Ashleigh Griffin⁴ &
- 3 Charlie K. Cornwallis¹*
- 4 1 Department of Biology, Lund University, Sölvegaten 37, 223 62 Lund, Sweden.
- 5 2 Department of Zoology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 6 ³ Ecology and Genetics Research Unit, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland.
- 7 4 Department of Biology, University of Oxford, United Kingdom.
- 8
- 9 Correspondence to charlie.cornwallis@biol.lu.se or christina.hansen@zoologi.su.se.
- 10
- 11 **Keywords:** cooperative breeding, kin selection, climate change, variable
- 12 environments

Cooperative groups are highly variable in relatedness and size, but whether this

- **influences the environments where species live remains unclear. We test the**
- **prediction that cooperation among nonkin occurs in extreme environments where**
- **the mutual benefits of helping are high. This contrasts to family groups where high**
- **relatedness reduces the direct benefits required for helping to be favoured,**
- **increasing the environments where cooperation persists. Using phylogenetic**
- **analyses of birds, we found that the frequency of cooperation (% nests with 3+**
- **adults) and group size across nonfamily breeders (nspecies=39) increased with**
- **fluctuations in precipitation across years. In contrast, cooperative breeding in**
- 22 **families (n_{species}=128) increased in stable, hot environments and group size did not**
- **change with climate. Nonfamily and family cooperative breeders inhabited more**
- **extreme environments than phylogenetically matched pair breeders. This shows**
- **that cooperative breeding is associated with ecological shifts and that fluctuating**
- **climates favour cooperation among nonkin, a pattern not seen in family groups.**

Introduction

Cooperation over offspring care occurs across the animal radiation from meerkats,

- 30 Suricata suricatta¹, and ants² to African wild dogs, *Lycaon pictus*³ and cichlid fish,
- *Lamprologus brichardi*⁴ . Ecology has long been hypothesized to play an essential role in
- the evolution of cooperative breeding in animals⁵. This includes a range of environmental
- 33 conditions⁶⁻⁹, for example, cooperative breeding has been associated with temperature¹⁰⁻
- and precipitation^{10,11,13,14}. However, cooperative breeding systems are highly variable
- in the way groups form, their genetic structure, group sizes and how frequent cooperation
- 36 is relative to pair breeding^{15–19}. It is currently unclear whether this variation in
- cooperative behaviour is linked to the environments species inhabit, which is important
- for understanding why cooperation evolves and what strategies species use to cope with
- environmental change.
- 40 Cooperative breeding has been shown to evolve via two main routes²⁰. First, cooperation may involve family groups that form when offspring remain in their natal territory or
- 42 dispersal is restricted^{21,22}. As individuals in family groups are related, kin selection has
- 43 been the main explanation for these cooperative breeding systems^{17,23,24}. Second, there
- are species where groups mainly consist of nonfamily members that aggregate after
- 45 dispersing from their natal area^{16,25,26}. Nonfamily groups typically consist of unrelated

 individuals who all attempt to breed at some point during their life and mutually benefit 47 from cooperative care^{2,16,26–28}.

 The different levels of relatedness in family and nonfamily groups are expected to change the relative importance of environmental conditions in selecting for cooperative behaviour²³. Low relatedness in nonfamily groups means that the benefits of breeding in 51 a group must be higher than breeding independently for cooperation to be favoured^{23,29,30}. Consequently, nonfamily groups are expected to occur in extreme environments where breeding is not possible without help, at least in some years, or where mortality is so high 54 it erodes options for cooperating with $\text{kin}^{5,10,15,28,31,32}$. In contrast, family groups most likely evolved under relatively benign conditions, where the costs to independent reproduction are offset by helping relatives, and later colonised more extreme 57 environments^{13,33}.

It is currently unclear if different evolutionary routes to cooperative breeding are

associated with different ecological conditions. This is primarily because the

environments where nonfamily cooperative breeders occur have not been quantified and

formally compared to family cooperative breeders and pair breeders. As a result, it also

remains unclear if environmental conditions explain variation in the size of groups and

the frequency of cooperative breeding relative to pair breeding in species that form

64 nonfamily groups^{34–38}.

 Here we use data across birds to test if species that breed in nonfamily and family groups 66 live in environments with different climatic conditions (Supplementary table 1 $\&$ 2). The breeding systems of cooperative species were classified using data on the presence of cobreeders (pairs versus multiple breeders) and the relatedness of helpers (presence of nonbreeding related, unrelated or both related and unrelated adults. Methods section 'Classification of breeding systems'. Table 1). The climatic niches of species were characterized by intersecting range maps with a global climate database to calculate average, within-breeding season variation and between-breeding season variation in temperature and precipitation. Using Bayesian phylogenetic mixed models (BPMM) we first examined if the environments that nonfamily cooperative breeders inhabit differ from family cooperative breeders and phylogenetically matched pair breeding species (five most closely related species: nspecies=460). Second, we tested whether variation in rates of cooperation (% nests with 3+ adults) and group size were related to climate, and if this differed between nonfamily and family groups.

Results

81 Cooperative breeders occupy more arid environments than pair breeders

- Cooperative breeding species inhabit drier environments than pair breeding species (Fig.
- 1. Fig. S1). Specifically, nonfamily cooperative breeders experience significantly less
- variation in precipitation during their breeding seasons and tend to be in drier habitats
- 85 than pair breeders (Fig. 1. Precipitation: BPMM 95% credible interval (CI) = 0.43 (0.06,
- 86 0.83), pMCMC = 0.026. Within-year variation in precipitation: BPMM (CI) = 0.54 (0.26,
- 0.99), pMCMC = 0.001. Supplementary table 3). Similarly, family cooperative breeders
- occupy areas with lower and less variable precipitation during the breeding season than
- 89 pair breeders (Fig. 1. Precipitation: BPMM (CI) = 0.33 (0.04, 0.61), pMCMC = 0.034.
- 90 Within-year variation in precipitation: BPMM $(CI) = 0.33$ $(0.1, 0.63)$, pMCMC = 0.006.
- Supplementary table 3).

92 Nonfamily and family cooperative breeders occupy similar environments

- Contrary to expectation, nonfamily and family cooperative breeders inhabited similar
- climatic niches (Supplementary table 3). These results were consistent across different
- classifications of cooperative breeding, for example, sub-setting family cooperative
- breeders according to the numbers of breeders, if helpers were a mix of family and
- nonfamily members, and which cutoff was used to assign species to breeding categories
- (e.g. any evidence of cobreeding and helping and 30% nests with cobreeding and
- helping. See methods 'Sensitivity to breeding system classifications' and 'Specific
- analyses' sections. Supplementary table 4-8).
- It is possible that nonfamily and family cooperative breeders do live in different
- environments, but this is only evident when examining interactions between climate
- variables. For example, the benefits of helping when precipitation is low due to reduced
- food supplies may only materialise when temperatures are high and foraging for long
- periods in challenging. Comparing only relationships between precipitation or
- temperature will not detect such effects. We therefore tested if the relationships between
- climatic variables differ across nonfamily, family and pair breeders.
- The direction of correlations between climatic variables was generally similar across
- nonfamily, family and pair breeding species (Fig. 2. Fig. S3. Supplementary table 9).
- However, correlations were much stronger in pair breeders compared to both nonfamily
- and family group cooperative breeders (Fig. 2). In particular, precipitation was more
- negatively related to temperature within and between breeding seasons in pair breeders
- compared to cooperative breeders (BPMM phylogenetic correlations of temperature
- variation between-years versus precipitation (CI): family vs pair = -0.31 (-0.72, 0.18),
- 115 pMCMC = 0.234; nonfamily vs pair = -0.32 (-0.86, 0.15), pMCMC = 0.126). Similar
- correlations were observed for temperature variation within breeding seasons
- (Supplementary table 9). Therefore, pair breeding species living in wet environments
- experience less variation in temperature than either family or nonfamily cooperative
- breeders. These results suggest that cooperative breeders inhabit a greater range of
- environments than pair breeders, and this does not depend on whether groups consist of
- family or nonfamily members (Fig. 2).

122 Cooperative breeders have broader climate niches than pair breeders

 To further examine the climates that nonfamily, family and pair breeding species are adapted to, we analysed differences in the correlation structure between climate variables across breeding systems. This was done by estimating the proportion of variance in eigenvalues explained by each eigenvector. The eigenvectors describe the axes of variation across the matrix of correlations between climate variables and the eigenvalues indicate the amount of variation in each direction. If the first eigenvector explains a greater proportion of variation in the eigenvalues for a particular group of species, such as pair breeders, this indicates that more variation is aligned along a single axis of climatic variation. This showed that a greater proportion of variation in eigenvalues was explained by eigenvector one in pair breeders compared to nonfamily and family cooperative breeders (Fig 2). Eigenvector one was strongly related to temperature and precipitation, showing that pair breeders live in hot, wet areas and cold, dry areas but not hot and dry, which cooperative breeders amanged to inhabit (Fig 2). This is consistent with cooperative breeders having broader climatic niches than pair breeders, irrespective of whether they form nonfamily or family groups (Fig. 2).

138 Contrasting effects of climate on cooperation and group sizes in nonfamily and *family groups*

- Despite the climatic niches of nonfamily and family cooperative breeders generally being
- similar, the associations between climate and rates of cooperation and group sizes were
- quite different. Cooperative breeding in nonfamily groups significantly increased with
- variation in precipitation between breeding seasons and with average temperatures (Fig.
- 3. Fig. S4. % cooperative nests (CI): variation in precipitation between years = 0.35
- 145 $(0.13, 0.55)$, pMCMC = 0.002; temperature = 0.31 (0.1, 0.52), pMCMC = 0.004.
- Supplementary table 11). Similarly, the size of nonfamily groups increased with variation
- in precipitation across breeding seasons and average temperatures (Fig. 4. Fig. S5. group
- 148 size (CI): variation in precipitation between years = 1.73 (0.35, 3.53), pMCMC = 0.022 ;
- 149 temperature = 2.26 (0.42, 3.45), pMCMC = 0.01. Supplementary table 12). In contrast, in
- family groups cooperative breeding increased in hotter environments with low variation
- in temperatures across years (Fig. 3. Fig. S4. BPMM (CI): temperature 0.13 (0.05, 0.28),
- 152 pMCMC = 0.006 ; variation in temperature between years -0.17 (-0.27 , -0.02), pMCMC =
- 0.032. Supplementary table 11). Furthermore, group size in family groups did not vary
- with any climate variables (Fig. 4. Fig. S5. Supplementary table 12).

Discussion

Cooperative breeding systems are highly variable, but whether this is linked to the

- environments where species live has been unclear. Our results show that the formation of
- nonfamily cooperative groups is associated with climates where fluctuating precipitation
- is combined with high temperatures, and these conditions lead to larger group sizes. In
- contrast, cooperative breeding in family groups is associated with stable hot
- environments and group sizes are largely decoupled from climatic variation. Compared
- to pair breeders, both nonfamily and family cooperative breeders occupy a wider range of
- environments, in particular more arid environments. Together these results illustrate the
- importance of social behaviour in understanding the environments where species occur.
- It has previously been shown that family and nonfamily cooperative breeding species
- evolved independently with important consequences for the reproductive divison of
- labour^{19,20,24,39}. Our results now indicate that different mechanisms of group formation
- may also influence the ecological niches of species. Cooperative breeding in nonfamily
- groups was more prevalent in environments with fluctuating precipitation and high
- temperatures, whereas family groups were more prevalent in stable, hot environments.
- Cooperative breeding in vertebrates has repeatedly been associated with high
- temperatures and variable rainfall, but previous analyses have either considered only
- 173 family groups or combined family and nonfamily group species $8-14$. Our results are partly
- aligned with this work, showing that high temperatures are consistently associated with
- cooperative breeding in both nonfamily and family groups. Independent reproduction can
- be difficult in hot environments where extended periods of foraging are required to gain
- enough food for offspring and offspring need protecting from thermal stress and
- 178 predators^{5,32,40–42}. Consequently, both the mutual benefits of cooperating with nonkin and

 the indirect benefits of helping kin are likely high, leading to consistent selection across 180 different cooperative breeding systems²⁸.

 The increase in nonfamily cooperative breeding with environmental fluctuations, but not family groups, offers insights into the evolution of these different systems. Fluctuating environmental conditions can erode population genetic structure by increasing mortality 184 and dispersal, and with it opportunities for cooperating with $kin^{43,44}$. Data is limited on nonfamily cooperative breeders, but in white-winged choughs, *Corcorax melanorhamphos*, and pied babblers, *Turdoides bicolor*, dispersal increases during periods of high rainfall, presumably because of increased breeding opportunities and 188 lower dispersal costs^{45,46}. In pied babblers, dispersal also increases in drought years, 189 potentially due to individuals being forced to find more habitable areas⁴⁶. Consequently, nonfamily cooperative breeding systems may evolve in different environments to family cooperative breeding, not because the benefits of cooperation are different, but because opportunities of associating with kin are.

Similar to frequencies of cooperative breeding, the size of nonfamily groups was linked

to hot climates with fluctuating precipitation, whereas family group size varied

independently of climate. In nonfamily groups of the greater ani, *Crotophaga major* (one

of the species in our dataset with average group sizes), it has been shown that dry years

favour small groups because of competition over food, whereas in wet years larger

198 groups are more successful because of better protection against predators⁴⁰. The benefits

of cooperation among nonkin in hot environments with variable precipitation may

200 therefore lead to increased groups sizes^{$40,47$}. Alternatively, group sizes may be

determined by dispersal, dictated by variation in precipitation in a similar fashion to

frequencies of cooperative breeding. In contrast, family group sizes are rarely found to

203 vary with environmental conditions(e.g.^{32,44}) and may instead be regulated by

diminishing indirect fitness returns as groups get larger and reproductive output is capped

205 by the number of breeders^{15,48}.

 Extreme climatic conditions, where high temperatures are combined with periods of drought, increase reproductive failure and have been linked to the collapse of entire

208 communities $32,44,49$. Cooperative breeding is one way species may cope with such adverse

209 climates^{46,50}. Climate change is expected to magnify environmental variation and it has

been proposed that cooperative breeding can help reduce the impact of such variation on

211 reproductive success⁵¹. Recent work shows, however, that variable environments may

212 not consistently select for cooperative behaviour⁵². In line with this, our results show that

- cooperative breeders are not always in more variable environments, but that variable
- environments may disrupt family associations changing opportunities for cooperating
- with kin.

References

- 1. Clutton-Brock, T. H. *et al.* Costs of cooperative behaviour in suricates (Suricata suricatta). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **265**, 185–190 (1998).
- 2. Bernasconi, G. & Strassmann, J. E. Cooperation among unrelated individuals: The ant foundress case. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* **14**, 477–482 (1999).
- 3. Malcolm, J. R. & Marten, K. Natural selection and the communal rearing of pups in African wild dogs (Lycaon pictus). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **10**, 1–13 (1982).
- 4. Taborsky, M. & Limberger, D. Helpers in fish. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **8**, 143–145 (1981).
- 5. Emlen, S. T. The Evolution of Helping. I. An Ecological Constraints Model. *The American Naturalist* **119**, 29–39 (1982).
- 6. Grimes, L. G. Observations of Group Behaviour and Breeding Biology of the Yellow-Billed Shrike Corvinella Corvina. *Ibis* **122**, 166–192 (1980).
- 7. Ford, H. A., Bell, H., Nias, R. & Noske, R. The Relationship between Ecology and the Incidence of Cooperative Breeding in Australian Birds. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **22**, 239–249 (1988).
- 8. Du Plessis, M. A., Siegfried, W. R. & Armstrong, A. J. Ecological and life- history correlates of cooperative breeding in South African birds. *Oecologia* **102**, 180– 188 (1995).
- 9. Arnold, K. E. Cooperative breeding in birds: The role of ecology. *Behavioral Ecology* **10**, 465–471 (1999).
- 239 10. Rubenstein, D. R. & Lovette, I. J. Temporal Environmental Variability Drives the Evolution of Cooperative Breeding in Birds. *Current Biology* **17**, 1414–1419 (2007).
- 11. Jetz, W. & Rubenstein, D. R. Environmental Uncertainty and the Global
- Biogeography of Cooperative Breeding in Birds. *Current Biology* **21**, 72–78 (2011).
- 12. Firman, R. C., Rubenstein, D. R., Moran, J. M., Rowe, K. C. & Buzatto, B. A.
- Extreme and Variable Climatic Conditions Drive the Evolution of Sociality in Australian
- Rodents. *Current Biology* **0**, (2020).
- 13. Cornwallis, C. K. *et al.* Cooperation facilitates the colonization of harsh
- environments. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**, 1–10 (2017).
- 248 14. Lukas, D. & Clutton-Brock, T. Climate and the distribution of cooperative breeding in mammals. *Royal Society Open Science* **4**, 160897 (2017).
- 15. *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates: Studies of Ecology, Evolution, and*
- *Behavior*. (Cambridge University Press, 2016). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107338357.
- 16. Clutton-Brock, T. Breeding Together: Kin Selection and Mutualism in Cooperative Vertebrates. *Science* **296**, 69–72 (2002).
- 17. *Comparative Social Evolution*. (Cambridge University Press, 2017). doi:10.1017/9781107338319.
- 18. Lukas, D. & Clutton-Brock, T. Social complexity and kinship in animal societies. *Ecology Letters* **21**, 1129–1134 (2018).
- 19. Downing, P. A., Griffin, A. S. & Cornwallis, C. K. Group formation and the evolutionary pathway to complex sociality in birds. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 1–8 (2020) doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1113-x.
- 20. Chak, S. T. C., Duffy, J. E., Hultgren, K. M. & Rubenstein, D. R. Evolutionary transitions towards eusociality in snapping shrimps. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **1**, 1–7 (2017).
- 21. Koenig, W. D., Pitelka, F. A., Carmen, W. J., Mumme, R. L. & Stanback, M. T. The Evolution of Delayed Dispersal in Cooperative Breeders. *The Quarterly Review of*
- *Biology* **67**, 111–150 (1992).
- 22. Hatchwell, B. J. The evolution of cooperative breeding in birds: Kinship,
- dispersal and life history. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **364**, 3217–3227 (2009).
- 23. Hamilton, W. D. The genetical evolution of social behaviour. I & II. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* **7**, 1–16 (1964).
- 24. Boomsma, J. J. Lifetime monogamy and the evolution of eusociality.
- *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **364**, 3191–3207 (2009).
- 25. Bowen, B. S., Koford, R. R. & Vehrencamp, S. L. Dispersal in the Communally Breeding Groove-Billed Ani (Crotophaga sulcirostris). *The Condor* **91**, 52–64 (1989).
- 26. Riehl, C. Living with strangers: Direct benefits favour non-kin cooperation in a communally nesting bird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **278**, 1728–1735 (2011).
- 280 27. Cockburn, A. EVOLUTION OF HELPING BEHAVIOR IN COOPERATIVELY BREEDING BIRDS. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **29**, 141–177 (1998).
- 28. Vehrencamp, S. L. A model for the evolution of despotic versus egalitarian societies. *Animal Behaviour* **31**, 667–682 (1983).
- 29. Hamilton, W. D. Altruism and Related Phenomena, Mainly in Social Insects. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **3**, 193–232 (1972).
- 30. Boomsma, J. J. Kin Selection versus Sexual Selection: Why the Ends Do Not Meet. *Current Biology* **17**, R673–R683 (2007).
- 31. Pruett-Jones, S. G. & Lewis, M. J. Sex ratio and habitat limitation promote delayed dispersal in superb fairy-wrens. *Nature* **348**, 541–542 (1990).
- 32. Bourne, A. R., Cunningham, S. J., Spottiswoode, C. N. & Ridley, A. R. High
- temperatures drive offspring mortality in a cooperatively breeding bird. *Proceedings of*
- *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **287**, 20201140 (2020).
- 33. Griesser, M., Drobniak, S. M., Nakagawa, S. & Botero, C. A. Family living sets the stage for cooperative breeding and ecological resilience in birds. *PLOS Biology* **15**,
- e2000483 (2017).
- 34. Põldmaa, T., Montgomerie, R. & Boag, P. Mating System of the Cooperatively
- Breeding Noisy Miner Manorina melanocephala, as Revealed by DNA Profiling.
- *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **37**, 137–143 (1995).

35. Schmaltz, G., Quinn, J. S. & Lentz, C. Competition and waste in the communally

- breeding smooth-billed ani: Effects of group size on egg-laying behaviour. *Animal Behaviour* **76**, 153–162 (2008).
-
- 36. Sheehan, M. J. *et al.* Different axes of environmental variation explain the presence vs. Extent of cooperative nest founding associations in Polistes paper wasps. *Ecology Letters* **18**, 1057–1067 (2015).
- 37. Bolopo, D., Lowney, A. M. & Thomson, R. L. Helpers improve fledgling body condition in bigger broods of cooperatively breeding African pygmy falcon. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **73**, 16 (2019).
- 38. Johnson, A. E., Welklin, J. F., Hoppe, I. R. & Shizuka, D. Ecogeography of group
- size suggests differences in drivers of sociality among cooperatively breeding fairywrens.
- *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **290**, 20222397 (2023).
- 39. Emlen, S. T. An evolutionary theory of the family. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **92**, 8092–8099 (1995).
- 40. Riehl, C. & Smart, Z. F. Climate fluctuations influence variation in group size in a cooperative bird. *Current Biology* **32**, 4264–4269.e3 (2022).
- 41. Santema, P. & Clutton-Brock, T. Meerkat helpers increase sentinel behaviour and bipedal vigilance in the presence of pups. *Animal Behaviour* **85**, 655–661 (2013).
- 42. Groenewoud, F. *et al.* Predation risk drives social complexity in cooperative
- breeders. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of*
- *America* **113**, 4104–4109 (2016).
- 43. Riehl, C. Evolutionary routes to non-kin cooperative breeding in birds.
- *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **280**, 20132245 (2013).
- 44. D'Amelio, P. B. *et al.* Disentangling climatic and nest predator impact on
- reproductive output reveals adverse high-temperature effects regardless of helper number
- in an arid-region cooperative bird. *Ecology Letters* **25**, 151–162 (2022).
- 45. Leon, C., Banks, S., Beck, N. & Heinsohn, R. Population genetic structure and
- dispersal patterns of a cooperative breeding bird in variable environmental conditions.
- *Animal Behaviour* **183**, 127–137 (2022).
- 46. Nelson-Flower, M. J., Wiley, E. M., Flower, T. P. & Ridley, A. R. Individual
- dispersal delays in a cooperative breeder: Ecological constraints, the benefits of
- philopatry and the social queue for dominance. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **87**, 1227–
- 1238 (2018).
- 47. Kokko, H., Ekman, J. & Strassmann, A. E. J. E. Delayed Dispersal as a Route to
- Breeding: Territorial Inheritance, Safe Havens, and Ecological Constraints. *The American Naturalist* **160**, 468–484 (2002).
- 48. Downing, P. A. Michener's group-size paradox in cooperatively breeding birds.
- *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* **37**, 353–359 (2024).
- 49. Riddell, E. A., Iknayan, K. J., Wolf, B. O., Sinervo, B. & Beissinger, S. R.
- Cooling requirements fueled the collapse of a desert bird community from climate
- change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **116**, 21609–21615 (2019).
- 340 50. Komdeur, J. & Ma, L. Keeping up with environmental change: The importance of sociality. *Ethology* **127**, 790–807 (2021).
- 51. Kennedy, P., Higginson, A. D., Radford, A. N. & Sumner, S. Altruism in a volatile world. *Nature* **555**, 359–362 (2018).
- 52. dos Santos, M., Downing, P. A., Griffin, A. S., Cornwallis, C. K. & West, S. A.
- Altruism and natural selection in a variable environment. *Proceedings of the National*
- *Academy of Sciences* **121**, e2402974121 (2024).
-

breeding species occur. Different breeding systems in relation to (A) median

temperature, (B) median precipitation (mm per second), (C) temperature variation within

- years (SD), (D) precipitation variation within years (SD), (E) temperature variation between years (SD), (F) precipitation variation between years (SD). Nonfamily and
- family cooperative breeders occur in environments with significantly less precipitation
- and significantly less within-year variation in precipitation than pair breeders. Points are
- means \pm SEs and the size represents the number of species in each category. For plots
- with more breeding system classifications that distinguish between species with multiple
- breeders and a combination of family and nonfamily members see figure S2 and S3.

 Figure 2: Nonfamily and family cooperative breeders occupy a broader range of climates than pair breeding species. (A-C) The correlational structure of climate variables across the different breeding systems. Ellipses show the direction and strength of correlations (tighter ellipses represent stronger correlations) with more intense blue colours indicating stronger positive correlations and more intense red colours indicating stronger negative correlations. D) The proportion of variation in eigenvalues explained by each eigenvector across breeding systems. If the first eigenvector explains a greater proportion of variation in the eigenvalues for a particular group of species (e.g. pair breeders, family cooperative breeders or nonfamily cooperative breeders) this indicates that more variation is aligned along a single axis of climatic variation. Lines represent significant differences (95% CI of difference does not included 0) with pMCMC values (proportion of iterations greater or less than 0).

- **with fluctuations in precipitation in nonfamily cooperative breeders, but stable,**
- **high temperatures in family cooperative breeders**. Points represent species with the
- size of circles proportional to the number of nests studied to ascertain % of cooperative

nests. Regression lines with 95% confidence intervals are plotted. For relationships

between % of cooperative nests and all climatic variables see Supplementary figure 5.

Figure 4: Group size (mean, log transformed) increases with fluctuations in

precipitation and temperature in nonfamily cooperative breeders, but not family

cooperative breeders. Points represent species with the size of circles proportional to

the number of nests studied to ascertain % of cooperative nests. Regression lines with

95% confidence intervals are plotted. For relationships between % of cooperative nests

and all climatic variables see Supplementary figure 6.

Methods

To quantify variation across cooperative breeding systems, we used published papers to

collect data on the how groups form, relatedness among group members, the presence of

- cobreeders, the presence of non-reproductive adults that assisted with offspring care, the
- frequency of nests in the population where group breeding was observed and the size of
- cooperative groups. To compare cooperative breeders to pair breeders, we selected the
- five most closely related pair breeding species to each cooperative species using
- 394 phylogenetic information from Jetz *et. al* 2012⁵³ and breeding system information from
- Cockburn 2006⁵⁴. To quantify the environments where species live we extracted climate
- data for breeding and nonbreeding seasons from study sites and breeding ranges
- (i.e. temperature and precipitation) from 1979 to 2018 using the ERA5 global bioclimatic
- indicators dataset derived from reanalysis⁵⁵. We analyzed our data using Bayesian
- phylogenetic mixed models.

Data collection

- We used the species list of cooperative breeders and corresponding literature from
- Downing et al. 2020^{19} , supplemented with additional literature (full list of references in
- Supplementary table 1). To find additional species the following search terms were used:
- "cooperative breeding" OR "helper" OR "related" OR "unrelated" OR "kin" OR
- "nonkin" OR "nonfamily" OR "family" AND "bird" OR "avian". Where required data
- were missing for specific species, we also searched for references using only the
- common and Latin names of the species as given by BirdLife and Handbook of Birds of
- World. For our searches we used Google Scholar, Pub Med and the library database at
- Lund University.

Data on breeding systems, helpers and helper relatedness

Classification of breeding systems

- Species were classified according to the presence of cobreeders in groups (pair or
- multiple breeders) and the presence of related and/or unrelated individuals that helped
- raise offspring (Table 1). Pairs within cooperative species were socially monogamous
- pairs with one or more helpers. Multiple breeders were any species where more than two
- adults contribute offspring to the brood, ascertained using information on joint nesting
- females and rates of within-group multiple paternity. Classifications were based on
- species-specific articles and available information in review articles on avian breeding
- 419 systems (Supplementary tables S1 & S2)^{13,19,26,54}. Data were only included if exact
- 420 percentages of nests with cobreeders (pair versus multiple) and the presence of nonfamily
- 421 and family helpers (yes versus no) were recorded (but see section 'Sensitivity to breeding
- 422 system classficiations' for relaxation of this criteria). This reduced the number of species
- 423 from 39 to 32 for nonfamily cooperative breeders and 128 to 58 for family cooperative
- 424 breeders. Classifications of breeders and helpers were made at a threshold of >10% of
- 425 nests, for example, species were recorded as having multiple breeders if there were more
- 426 than two breeding adults at more than 10% of nests.

427 **Table 1.** Classification of different cooperative breeding systems.

428 *Breeders = reproducing individuals, Helpers = adult individuals foregoing reproduction,*

429 *Group size >2 = cooperative species. Note there were no species with clear evidence of*

430 *a pair of breeders with nonfamily helpers.*

431 *Classification of helpers and helper behaviour*

432 Helpers were defined as adult non-reproducing individuals that assisted with offspring 433 care. Immature individuals were disregarded in this study and not included in any data or

434 analyses. For example, if a species had helpers at 100% of nests but 50% of the helpers

- 435 were juveniles, species were recorded as having helpers at 50% of nests. If it was clear
- 436 that both juvenile and adult helpers were present at nests, but it was not possible to
- 437 disentangle the exact percentage of helper presence for each age group from any
- 438 references, we assummed an estimate of 50% for each helper age group. While helping
- 439 traditionally includes a wide range of behaviours (e.g., nest construction, incubation,
- 440 provisioning of the incubation female, nest and chick defence and provisioning of
- chicks), our data collection only included verified accounts of incubation and/or feeding
- of chicks or fledglings. Studies including other accounts of helping behaviour were
- excluded. Studies in which observations of incubation or feeding were rare (i.e. only for
- one nest or only observed on one occasion) were also excluded.

Relatedness of helpers to breeders

- The relatedness of helpers to the breeding pair and chicks they helped raise was assessed
- as high, medium or low using information on genetic markers and pedigrees constructed
- from ringing data. In cases of multiple studies on the same species using different
- methods, we first used information from genetic markers to assess relatedness, followed
- by pedigree data (Supplementary table 3). For species with polygamous, polyandrous or
- polygynandrous mating systems, we assume that breeding/adult individuals are unrelated
- unless genetic analyses of the population specifically state otherwise. If data on
- relatedness was too sparse to assess if groups members were nonfamily or family, species
- were excluded from analyses.

455 Sensitivity to different breeding system classifications

- The quality of data we were able to extract from the available literature varied across
- 457 species. For the analyses presented in the manuscript we used a threshold of $>10\%$ nests
- where citeria were met. However, to assess the sensitivity of our results to excluding
- species without data on the exact percentages of nests with cobreeders and the presence
- of nonfamily and family helpers, we classified as many species as possible using a
- summary of available information from all references for a given species (Supplementary
- table 1). In cases of discrepancies between references, we implemented a hierarchical
- decision-making process with advantage given to information based genetic data, then
- ringing data, then observational data and lastly anecdotal or referred to information
- (i.e. information based on other studies, unpublished data, personal communication or
- references of unclear origin).
- We also examined the sensitivity of our results to classifying species at a 10% threshold
- by reclassifying breeding systems at a threshold of >30% of nests (Supplementary table
- 1). For example, if a species had multiple breeders at 15% of nests, nonfamily helpers at
- 20% of nests and family helpers at 40% of nests it would be classified at the 10%
- 471 threshold as "multiple mixed" (main analyses) whereas it would be reclassfied at a $>30\%$
- threshold as "pair family".

Breeding seasons

- As some cooperative species have widespread distributions, breeding seasons can vary
- widely between study sites. We therefore applied a two-step approach in assessing
- breeding seasons. First, we assessed breeding seasons for the specific study site for each
- species given in references (Supplementary table 1). In the few cases where a breeding
- season was not stated in the reference, we used breeding seasons given for the same
- species at identical study sites, or sites within reasonable proximity in different
- 480 references, or lastly from Handbook of Birds of the World⁵⁶. In cases where breeding
- seasons given for species at the same location did not match across references, we
- recorded all months where breeding was reported to occur across references. For the
- 483 breeding seasons of pair breeding species we used Handbook of Birds of the World⁵⁶
- (Supplementary table S2).

Climate data

- Information on temperature and precipitation was extracted from the ERA5 global
- bioclimatic indicators dataset⁵⁵. This dataset combines multiple sources of observational
- data with forecast models to accurately reconstruct the global weather conditions
- between 1979 to 2018 at a resolution of 0.5[∘] x 0.5[∘] . We extracted data on monthly mean
- air temperature at 2m above the surface in units of Kelvin and monthly mean
- precipitation as accumulated liquid and frozen water, comprising rain and snow, falling
- onto the Earth's surface in meters per second.
- The primary benefit of using the ERA5 reanalysis data over observational data is gap-
- free coverage in both space and time. This approach also assimilates a vast array of
- observational weather data from different sources into a model that accounts for how
- different climatic variables interact, resulting in highly reliable estimates of past climatic
- conditions⁵⁷. Precipitation datasets based purely on in-situ direct observations, such as
- the CRU dataset (one of the longest running observational climate datasets that has
- 499 previously been used in studies of cooperative breeding e.g. $11,13,58$, are more influenced
- by individual rain-gauge estimates, which are sensitive to factors such as local
- topological features⁵⁹. Reanalysis data, in contrast, assimilates multiple sources of
- observational data e.g. from satellites and weather stations, into a forecast model to
- generate estimates. Consequently, reanalysis data may be less impacted by anomalies in
- 504 single sources of observational data^{57,59,60}.

 For all species we extracted information on temperature and precipitation for study sites for cooperative breeding species and centroid coordinates for pair breeding species. We also examined temperature and precipitation values across entire distributions by 508 intersecting climate data with range maps from BirdLife International⁶¹ and Handbook of Birds of the World⁵⁶. The map shapefiles contain information on the seasonal distribution of each species, allowing us to separate breeding and wintering ranges. To extract the climatic data for the relevant coordinates for each species from the gridded ERA5 dataset 512 the R package 'Raster' was used⁶². This resulted in datasets containing monthly mean estimates of temperature and precipitation for each species between 1979-2018. For the data extracted using species ranges (ii) we then calculated a median value across the range for each time point. From each of these datasets, we selected only the breeding season months for each species (see 'Breeding seasons'). Three summary values were then calculated for each dataset for each species: (i) the median breeding season temperature and precipitation across the full 40 year period (calculated from yearly medians); (ii) the within breeding season variation in temperature or precipitation as the median of the standard deviation within years of each of these variables; and (iii), the between breeding season variation in temperature or precipitation as the standard deviation of the yearly medians of each of these variables.

- There was strong correspondence between measurements at study sites/centroid values
- and measurements across whole ranges (correlation coefficients across datasets (*r*):
- 525 temperature median and variation $r > 0.79$; precipitation median and variation $r > 0.73$.
- 526 See R script "data nonfam.R"). Therefore we only analysed climate data from study
- sites/centroid values.

Data compilation

- Raw data on cooperative breeding species is presented in Supplementary table 1 with
- references. Data on breeding seasons and climate data for all species, together with
- summarised information on cooperative breeders, is presented in Supplementary table 2.
- Datasets were compiled using the R script 'data_nonfam.R'.
- **Statistical analyses**
- *General Overview*
- Three sets of analyses were conducted using multi-response Bayesian Phylogenetic
- mixed models (MR-BPMM) with Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) estimation
- 537 implemented in the R package $MCMCg$ mm⁶³. First, we tested if there were mean
- differences in the climates occupied by different types of cooperative breeders and pair
- breeders. Second, we examined if the relationships between climate variables differed
- between cooperative and pair breeders. Third, we analysed if the percentage of nests with
- cooperative breeding and the number of individuals in groups were related to climate
- across nonfamily and family cooperative breeders.

Model settings

- For MR-BPMMs default priors were used for fixed effects (independent normal priors 545 with zero mean and large variance $(10^{\wedge}10)$ and for random effects inverse-gamma priors 546 were used (V = diag(n), $nu = n - 1 + 0.002$, where nu is the degree of belief and n was equivalent to the number of response traits). Phylogenetic relationships were modelled by fitting a variance-covariance matrix constructed from the phylogeny as a random effect. To account for uncertainty in phylogenetic relationships, we ran models across a sample of 1500 trees. Estimates from the last iteration from tree i were used as starting values for tree i+1. Estimates from the last iteration of each tree were saved, with samples from the first 500 trees being discarded as a burn-in. Each tree was sampled for 2000 iterations with a burn-in of 1999 and a thinning interval of 1. Model convergence was examined by repeating each analysis three times and examining the correspondence between chains 555 using the R package 'coda^{'64} in the following ways: (i) visually inspecting the traces of the MCMC posterior estimates and their overlap; (ii) calculating the autocorrelation and
- effective sample size of the posterior distribution of each chain; and (iii) using Gelman and Rubin's convergence diagnostic test that compares within- and between- chain variance using a potential scale reduction factor (PSR). PSR values substantially higher
- than 1.1 indicate chains with poor convergence properties.

Parameter estimation

 The global intercept was removed from MR-BPMMs to allow trait specific intercepts to be estimated. Parameter estimates from models are presented as posterior modes (PM) with 95% credible intervals (CIs). P values (pMCMC) were estimated as the number of posterior samples above or below a specified value divided by the total number of posterior samples, corrected for the finite number of MCMC samples. For correlations and fixed effects, the specified value was 0, and for testing differences between fixed effect levels (e.g. breeding systems) it was the number of posterior samples where one level was greater than the other.

- Phylogenetic and residual correlations between traits were calculated using the variance
- and covariance estimates from the unstructured phylogenetic and residual variance-
- covariance matrices. We estimated the amount of variation in response variables
- explained by random effects (RE), including phylogenetic effects, as the intraclass
- correlation coefficient (ICC) estimated as:

575 $V_i / V_{RE} + V_e$

576 where V_i is the focal random effect, V_{RE} is the sum of all random effects and V_e is the 577 residual variance on the latent scale $65,66$.

Specific analyses

579 Median climatic differences across cooperative breeders and pair breeders

To test for climatic differences across breeding systems a MR-BPMM was used with the

responses of median, variation within years and variation between years for temperature

and precipitation (six responses) and breeding system (nonfamily cooperative breeders,

family cooperative and pair breeders) as a fixed effect. The "at.level" notation in

MCMCglmm was used to estimate each response variable at the level of each breeding

system. For details see model m3 in R code.

To verify that our results were not dependent on how breeding systems were

classifications we re-ran model m3 with the breeding system defined as: i) 'pair

breeders', 'pair with family helpers', 'pair with family and nonfamily helpers', 'multiple

breeders with family helpers' and 'nonfamily' (Table 1. Rcode model m4. Supplmentary

table 4); ii) 'pair breeders', 'pair with helpers', 'multiple breeders' (Rcode model m5.

Supplmentary table 5); and iii) 'pair breeders', 'family helpers', 'family and nonfamily

helpers' and 'nonfamily' (Rcode model m6. Supplmentary table 6). We also tested

whether classifying species as having multiple breeders, family helpers and nonfamily

helpers using all information and a 30% threshold influenced our results by re-running

model m3 including all species (Rcode models m7.Supplmentary table 7) and 30%

- classifications (Rcode models m8. Supplmentary table 8). Across all these analyses we
- found qualitatively and quantitatively similar results (Supplementary tables 3 to 9. Model
- numbers correspond to table numbers).

599 Differences in the relationships between climate variables across cooperative *and pair breeders*

 To examine if the correlations between climatic variables differed across breeding systems we re-ran model m3 including separate phylogenetic variance-covariance matrices for each breeding system (nonfamily cooperative breeders, family cooperative and pair breeders). This was done using the "at.level" notation in MCMCglmm and variance-covariance estimates were used to calculated phylogenetic correlations between all climate variables for each breeding system (Rcode model m9).

- It is possible that including more pair breeding species (460 versus 39 nonfamily and 128 family) may bias variance and covariance estimates across climate variables. We therefore verified that our phylogenetic variance-covariance estimates for pair breeders
- were not different from cooperative breeders by down-sampling our data to the same
- number of species as family cooperative breeders and re-running analyses (Rcode model
- m10). The results were qualitatively and quantitatively similar (Supplementary table
- S10).
- To analyse the structure of the phylogenetic covariance matrices across breeding systems
- we calculated the eigenvectors and their eigenvalues for each posterior sample from
- model m9 for each breeding system using the R function 'eigen'. To test if the structure
- of the covariances between climate variables was different across breeding systems, we
- calculated the posterior mode and 95% CIs of the pairwise differences between pair,
- family cooperative and nonfamily cooperative breeders in the proportion of variance in
- eigenvalues explained by each eigenvector (Fig. 2). Differences where the 95% CIs did
- not span 0 and less than 5% of iterations was greater or less than 0 were considered
- statistically significant.

623 Differences in the % of nests with cooperative breeder and number of *individuals in groups in relation to climate*

- To test if the proportion of nests where there was cooperative breeding was related to the
- climate, we re-ran model m3 including the proportion of nests with more than two
- individuals (logit transformed) as a covariate interacted with each climate variable
- separately for nonfamily and family cooperative breeders using the 'at.level' notation in
- MCMCglmm (Rcode model m11). Finally, the relationship between the number of
- individuals in groups and climate across family and nonfamily cooperative breeders was
- estimated using the same setup as model m11, but including the number of individuals in
- groups instead of the proportion of cooperative nests (Rcode model m12).

Data and code availability

- All code, data and analysis results are available at the open science framework (osf.io
- project number qhvs5) and can be located at doi.org using the doi number
- 636 (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/QHVS5). Full citations of references in supplementary
- 637 tables are given in the method references⁶⁵⁻⁴⁹⁸.

Method references

- 53. Jetz, W., Thomas, G. H., Joy, J. B., Hartmann, K. & Mooers, A. O. The global
- diversity of birds in space and time. *Nature* **491**, 444–448 (2012).
- 54. Cockburn, A. Prevalence of different modes of parental care in birds.
- *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **273**, 1375–1383 (2006).
- 55. Wouters, H. Global bioclimatic indicators from 1979 to 2018 derived from
- reanalysis. Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) Climate Data Store (CDS). (2021).
- 56. Hoyo, J. del, Elliott, A., Sargatal, J. & Cabot, José. *Handbook of the birds of the world*. (Lynx Edicions, 1992).
- 57. Hersbach, H. *et al.* The ERA5 global reanalysis. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **146**, 1999–2049 (2020).
- 58. Vincze, O. *et al.* Parental cooperation in a changing climate: Fluctuating
- environments predict shifts in care division. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **26**, 347– 358 (2017).
- 59. Hassler, B. & Lauer, A. Comparison of Reanalysis and Observational
- Precipitation Datasets Including ERA5 and WFDE5. *Atmosphere* **12**, 1462 (2021).
- 60. Lavers, D. A., Simmons, A., Vamborg, F. & Rodwell, M. J. An evaluation of
- ERA5 precipitation for climate monitoring. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **148**, 3152–3165 (2022).
- 61. International, B. Bird species distribution maps of the world. (2021).

- 87. Benson, F. M. 10. FIELD-NOTES FROM NYASALAND. *The Ostrich* **17**, 297– 319 (1946).
- 88. Berg, E. C. Parentage and reproductive success in the white-throated magpie-jay,
- Calocitta formosa, a cooperative breeder with female helpers. *Animal Behaviour* **70**, 375–385 (2005).
- 89. Berg, E. C., Eadie, J. M., Langen, T. A. & Russell, A. F. Reverse sex-biased philopatry in a cooperative bird: Genetic consequences and a social cause. *Molecular*
- *Ecology* **18**, 3486–3499 (2009).
- 90. Berthier, K., Leippert, F., Fumagalli, L. & Arlettaz, R. Massive Nest-Box
- Supplementation Boosts Fecundity, Survival and Even Immigration without Altering
- Mating and Reproductive Behaviour in a Rapidly Recovered Bird Population. *PLoS ONE* **7**, e36028 (2012).
- 91. Bertran, J. & Margalida, A. Social organization of a trio of bearded vultures (Gypaetus barbatus): Sexual and parental roles. *Journal of Raptor Research* **36**, 66–70 (2002).
- 92. Best, B., Checker, M., Thewlis, R., Best, A. & Duckworth, W. New breeding data from Sothwestern Ecuador. *Ornithologica Neotropical* **7**, 69–73 (1996).
- 93. Bierregaard, R., Kirwan, G. & Christie, D. Galapagos Hawk (Buteo galapagoensis). (2020).
- 94. Blackmore, C. J. & Heinsohn, R. Variable mating strategies and incest avoidance in cooperatively breeding grey-crowned babblers. *Animal Behaviour* **75**, 63–70 (2008).
- 95. Blanchard, L. An Investigation of the Communal Breeding System of the Smooth-billed Ani (Crotophaga ani). (McMaster University, 2000).
- 738 96. Blomqvist, D., Fessl, B., Herbert, H. & Kleindorfer, S. High frequency of extra- pair fertilisations in the moustached warbler, a songbird with a variable breeding system. *Behaviour* **142**, 1133–1148 (2005).
- 97. Boehm, E. Extension of range of the Ground Cuckoo-shrike. *South Australian Ornithologist* **29**, 74 (1983).
- 98. Boles, W. Eastern Yellow Robin (Eopsaltria australis). (2020).
- 99. Brekke, P., Cassey, P., Ariani, C. & Ewen, J. G. Evolution of extreme-mating
- behaviour: Patterns of extrapair paternity in a species with forced extrapair copulation. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **67**, 963–972 (2013).
- 100. Briskie, J. V., Montgomerie, R., Poldmaa, T. & Boag, P. T. Paternity and paternal care in the polygynandrous Smith's longspur. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **43**, 181–190 (1998).
- 101. Briskie, J. Smith's Longspur (Calcarius pictus). (2020).
- 102. Brooke, M. de L. & Hartley, I. R. Nesting Henderson Reed-Warblers
- (Acrocephalus vaughani taiti) Studied by DNA Fingerprinting: Unrelated Coalitions in a Stable Habitat? *The Auk* **112**, 77–86 (1995).
- 103. Brooker, M. G., Rowley, I., Adams, M. & Baverstock, P. R. Promiscuity: An
- inbreeding avoidance mechanism in a socially monogamous species? *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **26**, (1990).
- 104. Brouwer, L., Pol, M. V. D., Atema, E. & Cockburn, A. Strategic promiscuity
- helps avoid inbreeding at multiple levels in a cooperative breeder where both sexes are philopatric. *Molecular Ecology* **20**, 4796–4807 (2011).
- 105. Brown, J. L. Communal feeding of nestlings in the Mexican jay (Aphelocoma ultramarina): Interflock comparisons. *Animal Behaviour* **20**, 395–403 (1972).
- 106. Brown, J. L. Cooperative breeding and altruistic behaviour in the mexican jay, Aphelocoma ultramarina. *Animal Behaviour* **18**, 366–378 (1970).
- 107. Brown, J. L. & Brown, E. R. Extended Family System in a Communal Bird.
- *Science* **211**, 959–960 (1981).
- 108. Brown, J. & Brown, E. Mexican Jays: Uncooperative breeding. in *Cooperative*
- *Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavio* (Cambridge: Cambridge
- University Press, 1990).
- 109. Browning, L. E. *et al.* Carer provisioning rules in an obligate cooperative breeder:
- Prey type, size and delivery rate. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **66**, 1639–1649
- (2012).
- 110. Browning, L. E., Patrick, S. C., Rollins, L. A., Griffith, S. C. & Russell, A. F. Kin
- selection, not group augmentation, predicts helping in an obligate cooperatively breeding
- bird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **279**, 3861–3869 (2012).
- 111. Bruce, J. P., Quinn, J. S., Sloane, S. A. & White, B. N. DNA Fingerprinting
- Reveals Monogamy in the Bushtit, a Cooperatively Breeding Species. *The Auk* **113**, 511– 516 (1996).
- 112. Burke, T., Davies, N. B., Bruford, M. W. & Hatchwell, B. J. Parental care and mating behaviour of polyandrous dunnocks Prunella modularis related to paternity by DNA fingerprinting. *Nature* **338**, 249–251 (1989).
- 113. Burt, D. B. SOCIAL AND BREEDING BIOLOGY OF BEE-EATERS IN
- THAILAND. *The Wilson Bulletin* **114**, 275–279 (2002).
- 114. Cadena, C. D., Cuervo, A. S. M. & Lanyon, S. M. PHYLOGENETIC
- RELATIONSHIPS OF THE RED-BELLIED GRACKLE (ICTERIDAE:
- HYPOPYRRHUS PYROHYPOGASTER) INFERRED FROM MITOCHONDRIAL
- DNA SEQUENCE DATA. *The Condor* **106**, 664–670 (2004).
- 115. Caffrey, C. Female-Biased Delayed Dispersal and Helping in American Crows. *The Auk* **109**, 609–619 (1992).
- 116. Cale, P. The spatial dynamics of the White-browed Babbler in a fragmented agricultural landscape. (Univ. of New England, Armidale, 1999).
- 117. Callens, T. Genetic and demographic signatures of population fragmentation in a cooperatively-breeding bird from south-east Kenya. (Ghent University, Belgium, 2012).
- 118. Canário, F., Matos, S. & Soler, M. Environmental Constraints and Cooperative Breeding in the Azure-Winged Magpie. *The Condor* **106**, 608–617 (2004).
- 119. Carboneras, C. & Kirwan, G. Magpie Goose (Anseranas semipalmata. (2020).
- 120. Castro, I., Mason, K. M., Armstrong, D. P. & Lambert, D. M. Effect of Extra-Pair
- Paternity on Effective Population Size in a Reintroduced Population of the Endangered
- Hihi, and Potential for Behavioural Management. *Conservation Genetics* **5**, 381–393
- (2004).
- 800 121. Castro, I., Minot, E. O., Fordham, R. A. & Birkhead, T. R. Polygynandry, face-
- to-face copulation and sperm competition in the Hihi Notiomystis cincta (Aves:
- Meliphagidae). *Ibis* **138**, 765–771 (1996).
- 122. Cerboncini, R. A. S., Braga, T. V., Roper, J. J. & Passos, F. C. Southern Lapwing Vanellus chilensis cooperative helpers at nests are older siblings. *Ibis* **162**, 227–231 (2020).
- 123. Chantler, P. Family Apodidae (Swifts). in *Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol 5. Barn-Owls to Hummingbirds* 388–457 (Lynx Editions: Barcelona, 1999).
- 808 124. Chiver, I., Stutchbury, B. J. M. & Morton, E. S. The function of seasonal song in
- a tropical resident species, the Red-throated Ant-tanager (Habia fuscicauda). *Journal of*
- *Ornithology* **156**, 55–63 (2015).
- 125. Chiver, I. & Morton, S. Red-throated Ant-Tanager (Habia fuscicauda). (2020).
- 126. Clarke, R., Boulton, R. & Clarke, M. Translocation of the socially complex
- Black-eared Miner Manorina melanotis: A trial using hard and soft release techniques.
- *Pacific Conservation Biology* **8**, 223–234 (2002).
- 127. Clutton-Brock, T. h. *et al.* Individual contributions to babysitting in a cooperative
- mongoose, Suricata suricatta. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B:*
- *Biological Sciences* **267**, 301–305 (2000).
- 128. Cody, M. Española Mockingbird (Mimus macdonaldi). (2020).
- 129. Cody, M. & Sharpe, C. Floreana Mockingbird (Mimus trifasciatus). (2020).
- 130. Cody, M. Tropical Mockingbird (Mimus gilvus). (2020).
- 131. Colbourne, R. Incubation behaviour and egg physiology of kiwi (Apteryx spp.) in natural habitats. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **26**, 129–138 (2022).
- 132. Collar, N., del Hoyo, J., Robson, C. & Christie, D. Jungle Babbler (Argya striata). (2020).
- 133. Collar, N., Robson, C. & Bonan, A. Taiwan Yuhina (Yuhina brunneiceps). (2020).
- 134. Collias, N. E. & Collias, E. C. Behavior of the Grey-Capped Social Weaver (Pseudonigrita arnaudi) in Kenya. *The Auk* **97**, 213–226 (1980).
- 135. Conrad, K. F., Clarke, M. F., Robertson, R. J. & Boag, P. T. Paternity and the
- Relatedness of Helpers in the Cooperatively Breeding Bell Miner. *The Condor* **100**, 343– 349 (1998).
- 136. Cousseau, L., Van de Loock, D., Githiru, M., Vangestel, C. & Lens, L. Female
- need for paternal care shapes variation in extra-pair paternity in a cooperative breeder. *Behavioral Ecology* **31**, 548–558 (2020).
- 137. Cousseau, L. *et al.* Habitat fragmentation shapes natal dispersal and sociality in
- an Afrotropical cooperative breeder. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
- *Sciences* **287**, 20202428 (2020).
- 138. Covas, R., Dalecky, A., Caizergues, A. & Doutrelant, C. Kin associations and
- direct vs indirect fitness benefits in colonial cooperatively breeding sociable weavers
- Philetairus socius. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **60**, 323–331 (2006).
- 139. Craig, A. J. F. & Feare, C. African Pied Starling (Lamprotornis bicolor). (2020).
- 140. Craig, A. J. F. K. Allofeeding and dominance interactions in the cooperatively breeding pied starling. *Animal Behaviour* **36**, 1251–1253 (1988).
- 141. Craig, A. & Feare, C. Cape Starling (Lamprotornis nitens). (2020).
- 142. Craig, A. Co-operative breeding in two African starlings, Sturnidae. *Ibis* **125**, 114–115 (1983).
- 143. Craig, A. J. F. K. CO-OPERATIVE BREEDING IN THE PIED STARLING. *The Ostrich* **58**, 176–180 (1987).
- 144. Craig, A. J. F. Gray-headed Social-Weaver (Pseudonigrita arnaudi). (2020).
- 145. Craig, A. J. F. Red-billed Buffalo-Weaver (Bubalornis niger). (2020).
- 146. Craig, A. & Christie, D. Sociable Weaver (Philetairus socius). (2020).
- 147. Craig, A. & Feare, J. Superb Starling (Lamprotornis superbus). (2020).
- 148. Craig, A. J. F. Yellow-billed Oxpecker (Buphagus africanus). (2020).

 149. Crick, H. Q. P. & Fry, C. H. Effects of Helpers on Parental Condition in Red- Throated Bee-Eaters (Merops bullocki). *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **55**, 893 (1986). 150. Curry, R. L. & Grant, P. R. Demography of the Cooperatively Breeding Galapagos Mockingbird, Nesomimus parvulus, in a Climatically Variable Environment. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **58**, 441 (1989). 151. Curry, R. & Grant, P. Galapagos mockingbirds: Territorial cooperative breeding in a climatically variable environment. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990). 152. Curry, R. L. Geographic variation in social organization of Galfipagos mockingbirds: Ecological correlates of group territoriality and cooperative breeding. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* 144–160 (1989). 153. Curry, R. L. Group structure, within-group conflict and reproductive tactics in cooperatively breeding Gal~pagos mockingbirds, Nesomimus parvulus. *Animal Behaviour* **36**, 1708–1728 (1988). 154. Curry, R. L. Influence of kinship on helping behavior in Galapagos mockingbirds. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **22**, 141–152 (1988). 155. Danner, J. E. *et al.* Temporal patterns of extra-pair paternity in a population of Grasshopper Sparrows (Ammodramus savannarum) in Maryland. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* **130**, 40–51 (2018). 156. Davies, N. *Dunnock Behaviour and Social Evolution*. (Oxford University Press, 1992). 157. Davies, N. B. & Lundberg, A. Food Distribution and a Variable Mating System in the Dunnock, Prunella modularis. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **53**, 895–912 (1984). 158. Davies, N. B., Hartley, I. R., Hatchwell, B. J., Desrochers, A. & Skeer, J. The polygynandrous mating system of the alpine accentor, Prunella collaris. I. Ecological causes and reproductive conflicts. *Animal Behaviour* 20. 159. Davis, D. E. Social Nesting Habits of the Smooth-Billed Ani. *The Auk* **57**, 179– 218 (1940).

- 160. Dawson, J. W. & Mannan, R. W. Dominance Hierarchies and Helper
- Contributions in Harris' Hawks. *The Auk* **108**, 649–660 (1991).
- 161. de Juana, E. Family Coliidae (Mousebirds). in *Handbook of the birds of the world Vol 6: Mousebirds to hornbills* (Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, Spain, 2001).
- 162. Debus, S. J. S. Breeding and population parameters of robins in a woodland remnant in northern New South Wales, Australia. *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **106**, 147– 156 (2006).
- 163. Decoux, J. Coliidae, mousebirds or colies. in *The Birds of Africa. Vol III* 197–242 (Academic Press: London, 1988).
- 164. Decoux, J. Les particularites demographiques et socioecologiques du coliou strie dans le nord-est du gabon 1. - donnees d'observation. **36**, 37–78 (1982).
- 165. del Hoyo, J., Remsen Jr, J., Kirwan, G. & Collar, N. Rufous-fronted Thornbird (Phacellodomus rufifrons). (2020).
- 166. DeLay, L. S. *et al.* Paternal Care in the Cooperatively Polyandrous Galapagos Hawk. *The Condor* **98**, 300–311 (1996).
- 167. Dexter, R. W. EXTRA-PARENTAL COOPERATION IN THE NESTING OF CHIMNEY SWIFTS. *THE WILSON BULLETIN* **64**, 133–139 (1952).
- 168. Dias, R. I., Macedo, R. H., Goedert, D. & Webster, M. S. Cooperative Breeding in the Campo Flicker II: Patterns of Reproduction and Kinship. *The Condor* **115**, 855– 862 (2013).
- 169. Dias, R. I., Webster, M. S., Goedert, D. & Macedo, R. H. Cooperative Breeding
- in the Campo Flicker I: Breeding Ecology and Social Behavior. *The Condor* **115**, 847– 854 (2013).
- 905 170. Dickinson, J. L. & Akre, J. J. Extrapair paternity, inclusive fitness, and within-group benefits of helping in western bluebirds. *Molecular Ecology* **7**, 95–105 (1998).
- 907 171. Dickinson, J., Haydock, J., Koenig, W., Stanback, M. & Pitelka, F. Genetic
- monogamy in single-male groups of acorn woodpeckers, Melanerpes formicivorus.
- *Molecular Ecology* **4**, 765–770 (1995).
- 172. Dickinson, J., Akçay, Ç., Ferree, E. & Stern, C. Western bluebirds: Lessons from
- a marginal cooperative breeder. in *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates* 19–38
- (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- 173. Doerr, E. D. & Doerr, V. A. J. Comparative demography of treecreepers:
- Evaluating hypotheses for the evolution and maintenance of cooperative breeding.
- *Animal Behaviour* **72**, 147–159 (2006).
- 174. Dornak, L. L., Burt, D. B., Coble, D. W. & Conner, R. N. Relationships Between
- Habitat and Snag Characteristics and the Reproductive Success of the Brown-headed
- Nuthatch (Sitta pusilla) in Eastern Texas. *Southeastern Naturalist* **3**, 683–694 (2004).
- 919 175. Dos Santos, L. R. & Marini, M. Â. Breeding biology of White-rumped Tanagers
- in central Brazil. *Journal of Field Ornithology* **81**, 252–258 (2010).
- 176. Double, M. C., Peakall, R., Beck, N. R. & Cockburn, A. DISPERSAL,
- PHILOPATRY, AND INFIDELITY: DISSECTING LOCAL GENETIC
- SWTRUCTURE IN SUPERB FAIRY-WRENS (MALURS CYANEUS). *Evolution;*
- *international journal of organic evolution* **59**, 625–635 (2005).
- 177. Double, M. & Cockburn, A. Pre–dawn infidelity: Females control extra-pair
- mating in superb fairy–wrens. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B:*
- *Biological Sciences* **267**, 465–470 (2000).
- 178. Double, M. C. & Cockburn, A. Subordinate superb fairy-wrens (*Malurus*
- *cyaneus*) parasitize the reproductive success of attractive dominant males. *Proceedings*
- *of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **270**, 379–384 (2003).
- 931 179. Doutrelant, C., Dalecky, A. & Covas, R. Age and relatedness have an interactive
- effect on the feeding behaviour of helpers in cooperatively breeding sociable weavers.
- *Behaviour* **148**, 1393–1411 (2011).
- 934 180. Dow, D. & Whitmore, M. Noisy Miners: Variations on the theme of
- communality. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and*
- *behavior* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 937 181. Driskell, A. C. *et al.* A multigene phylogeny examining evolutionary and
- ecological relationships in the Australo-papuan wrens of the subfamily Malurinae
- (Aves). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **60**, 480–485 (2011).
- 940 182. Du, B. & Lu, X. Bi-parental vs. Cooperative breeding in a passerine: Fitness-
- maximizing strategies of males in response to risk of extra-pair paternity? *Molecular*
- *Ecology* **18**, 3929–3939 (2009).
- 183. du Pleiss, M. Behavioural ecology of the Redbilled Woodhoopoe Phoeniculus Purpureus in South Africa. (University of Cape Town, 1989).
- 184. Dunn, P. O., Cockburn, A. & Mulder, R. A. Fairy-wren helpers often care for young to which they are unrelated. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **259**, 339–343 (1995).
- 185. Durrant, K. L. & Hughes, J. M. Differing rates of extra-group paternity between
- two populations of the Australian magpie (Gymnorhina tibicen). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **57**, 536–545 (2005).
- 186. Dyrcz, A. & Sharpe, C. Seychelles Warbler (Acrocephalus sechellensis),. (2020).
- 187. Eason, P. & Sherman, P. Dominance status, mating strategies and copulation
- successin cooperatively polyandrous white-winged trumpeters, Psophia leucopteva
- (Aves: Psophiidae. *Animal Behaviour* **49**, 725–736 (1995).
- 188. Ebert, D. Social Behaviour and Breeding Biology of the Yeliow-Rumped Thornbill. (The Australian National Univeristy, 2004).
- 189. Eguchi, K., Yamagishi, S., Asai, S., Nagata, H. & Hino, T. Helping does not
- enhance reproductive success of cooperatively breeding rufous vanga in Madagascar.
- *Journal of Animal Ecology* **71**, 123–130 (2002).
- 190. Eguchi, K., Amano, H. E. & Yamagishi, S. Roosting, range use and foraging
- behaviour of the Sickle-billed Vanga, *Falculea palliata,* in Madagascar. *The Ostrich* **72**, 127–133 (2001).
- 191. Eguchi, K. *et al.* Social structure and helping behaviour of the Grey-crowned
- Babbler Pomatostomus temporalis. *Journal of Ornithology* **148**, 203–210 (2007).
- 192. Eising, C. M., Komdeur, J., Buys, J., Reemer, M. & Richardson, D. S. Islands in
- a desert: Breeding ecology of the African Reed Warbler Acrocephalus baeticatus in
- Namibia. *Ibis* **143**, 482–493 (2001).
- 968 193. Ekstrom, J. M. M., Burke, T., Randrianaina, L. & Birkhead, T. R. Unusual sex
- roles in a highly promiscuous parrot: The Greater Vasa Parrot Caracopsis vasa. *Ibis* **149**, 313–320 (2007).
- 194. Emlen, S. T. & Wrege, P. H. The role of kinship in helping decisions among white-fronted bee-eaters. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **23**, 305–315 (1988).
- 195. Emlen, S. T. White-fronted Bee-eaters: Helping in a colonially nesting species. in
- *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 976 196. Enbody, E. D. *et al.* Social organisation and breeding biology of the White-
- shouldered Fairywren (*Malurus alboscapulatus*). *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **119**, 274– 285 (2019).
- 979 197. Ens, B. J., Kruijt, J. P., Jenkins, L., Burke, T. & Heg, D. Why Does the Typically
- Monogamous Oystercatcher (Haematopus Ostralegus) Engage in Extra-Pair
- Copulations? *Behaviour* **126**, 247–289 (1993).
- 198. Ewen, J. G., Armstrong, D. P. & Lambert, D. M. Floater males gain reproductive success through extrapair fertilizations in the stitchbird. *Animal Behaviour* **58**, 321–328 (1999).
- 199. Ewen, J. G. A genetic and behavioural investigation of extra-pair copulation in stitchbirds (Notiomystis cincta) breeding on Tiritiri Matangi Island. (Massey Univeristy, 1998).
- 200. Ewen, J. G. *et al.* Primary sex ratio bias in an endangered cooperatively breeding
- bird, the black-eared miner, and its implications for conservation. *Biological*
- *Conservation* **101**, 137–145 (2001).
- 201. Faaborg, J., Parker, P. G., DeLay, L. & de Vries, T. Confirmation of cooperative
- polyandry in the Galapagos hawk (Buteo galapagoensis{}. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **36**, 83–90 (1995).
- 202. Faaborg, J. & Bednarz, J. Galapagos and Harris' Hawks: Divergent causes of sociality in two raptors. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 203. Feare, C. & Craig, A. *Starlings and mynas*. (Christopher Helm: London, 1998).

998 204. Ferree, E. D. & Dickinson, J. L. Natural extrapair paternity matches receptivity patterns in unguarded females: Evidence for importance of female choice. *Animal Behaviour* **82**, 1167–1173 (2011). 205. Fessl, B., Kleindorfer, S. & Hoi, H. Extra Male Parental Behaviour: Evidence for an Alternative Mating Strategy in the Moustached Warbler Acrocephalus melanopogon. *Journal of Avian Biology* **27**, 88–91 (1996). 206. Finn, P. G. & Hughes, J. M. Helping behaviour in Australian Magpies, *Gymnorhina tibicen*. *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **101**, 57–63 (2001). 1006 207. Fleischer, R. C., Tarr, C. L. & Pratt, T. K. Genetic structure and mating system in the palila, an endangered Hawaiian honeycreeper, as assessed by DNA fingerprinting. *Molecular Ecology* **3**, 383–392 (1994). 208. Fletcher, K. Parentage and relatedness patterns in the cooperatively breeding southern ant-eating chat (Myrmecocichla formicivora). (Uppsala Univeristy, 2011). 209. Folch, A., Jutglar, F. & Garcia, E. Southern Brown Kiwi (Apteryx australis). (2020). 210. Ford, J. Breeding behaviour of the yellow-tailed thornbill in south-western Australia. *The Emu* **63**, 185–20 (1963). 211. Franklin, D., Smales, I., Miller, M. & Menkhorst, P. The reproductive biology of the helmeted honeyeater, Lichenostomus melanops cassidix. *Wildlife Research* **22**, 173 (1995). 212. Fremgen, A. Puerto Rican Tody (Todus mexicanus). (2020). 213. Friedmann, H. Colombian birds collected by brother Niceforo. *Caldasia* **4**, 471– 494 (1947). 214. Frith, H. & Davies, S. Ecology of the magpie goose, Anseranas semipalmata Latham (Anatidae). *CSIRO Wildlife Research* **6**, 91–105 (1961). 215. Fry, C., Keith, S. & Urban, E. *The Birds of Africa vol VI*. vol. VI (Academic Press: London, 2000). 216. Fry, H. & Boesman, P. European Bee-eater (Merops apiaster). (2020).

- 217. Fry, C. H. The Social Organisation of Bee-Eaters (meropidae) and Co-Operative Breeding in Hot-Climate Birds. *Ibis* **114**, 1–14 (1972).
- 218. Fry, H. & Boesman, P. White-fronted Bee-eater (Merops bullockoides). (2020).
- 219. Furness, R., Boesman, P. & Garcia, E. Brown Skua (Stercorarius antarcticus. (2020).
- 220. Gao, L.-F., Xian, L.-L., Luo, J.-J. & Du, B. High level of extrapair fertilization in
- individual Tibetan azure-winged magpies and their adaptive responses. *Journal of Avian Biology* **49**, e01739 (2018).
- 221. Gaston, A. J. Demography of the Jungle Babbler, Turdoides striatus. *The Journal of Animal Ecology* **47**, 845 (1978).
- 222. Gaston, A. J. ECOLOGY OF THE COMMON BABBLER TURDOIDES CAUDATUS. *Ibis* **120**, 415–432 (1978).
- 223. Geldard, R. *et al.* Black-throated Tit (Aegithalos concinnus). (2020).
- 224. Gibbons, D. W. Brood parasitism and cooperative nesting in the moorhen, GMlinula chloropus. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **19**, 221–232 (1986).
- 225. Gibbs, H. L., Goldizen, A. W., Bullough, C. & Goldizen, A. R. Parentage
- analysis of multi-male social groups of tasmanian native hens (Tribonyx mortierii{}:
- Genetic evidence for monogamy and polyandry. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **35**, 363–371 (1994).
- 226. Gill, B. J. & McLean, I. G. Population Dynamics of the New Zealand Whitehead (Pachycephalidae): A Communal Breeder. *The Condor* **94**, 628–635 (1992).
- 227. Gill, B. Rifleman (Acanthisitta chloris). (2020).
- 228. Goetz, J., McFarland, K., Rimmer, C. & Murphy, M. Multiple paternity and multiple male feeders in Bicknell's Thrush (Catharus bicknelli). *The Auk* **120**, 1044– 1053 (2003).
- 229. Goldizen, A. W., Buchan, J. C., Putland, D. A., Goldizen, A. R. & Krebs, E. A.
- Patterns of mate-sharing in a population of Tasmanian Native Hens Gallinula mortierii. *Ibis* **142**, 40–47 (2000).
- 230. Gosper, D. G. Contributions to the reproductive effort in a group of plural-
- breeding Pied Butcherbirds Cracticus nigrogularis. *Australian Field Ornithology* **83**, 857–864 (2012).
- 231. Grant, P. R., Curry, R. L. & Grant, B. R. A remnant population of the Floreana mockingbird on Champion island, Gala pagos. *Biological Conservation* 6 (2000).
- 232. Gregory, P. Buff-rumped Thornbill (Acanthiza reguloides). (2020).
- 233. Gregory, P. White-browed Scrubwren (Sericornis frontalis). (2020).
- 234. Haig, S. M., Waiters, J. R. & Plissner, J. H. Genetic evidence for monogamy in the cooperatively breeding red-cockaded woodpecker. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **34**, 295–303 (1994).
- 235. Han, K.-L., Cox, J. A. & Kimball, R. T. Uncommon Levels of Relatedness and

Parentage in a Cooperatively Breeding Bird, the Brown-Headed Nuthatch (*Sitta pusilla*

-). *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* **127**, 593–600 (2015).
- 236. Harris, T. & Franklin, K. *Shrikes and bush-shrikes including wood-shrikes, helmet-shrikes, flycatcher-shrikes, philentomas, batises and wattle-eyes*. (Christopher Helm: London, 2000).
- 237. Harrison, X. A., York, J. E., Cram, D. L., Hares, M. C. & Young, A. J. Complete reproductive skew within white-browed sparrow weaver groups despite outbreeding opportunities for subordinates of both sexes. *Behavioral ecology and sociobiology* **67**, 1915–1929 (2013).
- 238. Hartley, I. R. *et al.* The polygynandrous mating system of the alpine accentor, Pruneh collaris. II. Multiple paternity and parental effort. *Animal Behaviour* **49**, 789–803 (1995).
- 239. Hatchwell, B. Brown Accentor (Prunella fulvescens). (2020).
- 240. Hatchwell, B. Dunnock (Prunella modularis). (2020).
- 1079 241. Hatchwell, B. J., Sharp, S. P., Beckerman, A. P. & Meade, J. Ecological and
- demographic correlates of helping behaviour in a cooperatively breeding bird. *Journal of*
- *Animal Ecology* **82**, 486–494 (2013).

242. Hatchwell, B. Japanese Accentor (Prunella rubida). (2020).

 243. Hatchwell, B. J., Ross, D. J., Chaline, N., Fowlie, M. K. & Burke, T. Parentage in the cooperative breeding system of long-tailed tits, Aegithalos caudatus. *Animal Behaviour* **64**, 55–63 (2002).

- 244. Haydock, J., Parker, P. G. & Rabenold, K. N. Extra-pair paternity uncommon in the cooperatively breeding bicolored wren. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **38**, 1– 16 (1996).
- 245. Haydock, J., Koenig, W. D. & Stanback, M. T. Shared parentage and incest avoidance in the cooperatively breeding acorn woodpecker. *Molecular Ecology* **10**, 1515–1525 (2001).
- 246. Heer, L. Cooperative breeding by alpine accentors Prunella collaris: Polygynandry, territoriality and multiple paternity. *Journal fur Ornithologie* **137**, 35–51 (1996).
- 1095 247. Heg, D. & Treuren, R. van. Female–female cooperation in polygynous oystercatchers. *Nature* **391**, 687–691 (1998).
- 248. Heinsohn, R. & Legge, S. Breeding biology of the reverse-dichromatic, co-operative parrot *Eclectus roratus*. *Journal of Zoology* **259**, 197–208 (2003).
- 249. Heinsohn, R., Dunn, P., Legge, S. & Double, M. Coalitions of relatives and
- reproductive skew in cooperatively breeding white-winged choughs. *Proceedings of the*
- *Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **267**, 243–249 (2000).
- 250. Heinsohn, R., Ebert, D., Legge, S. & Peakall, R. Genetic evidence for cooperative
- polyandry in reverse dichromatic Eclectus parrots. *Animal Behaviour* **74**, 1047–1054 (2007).
- 251. Heredia, R. & Donázar, JoséA. High frequency of polyandrous trios in an
- endangered population of lammergeiers Gypaetus barbatus in Northern Spain. *Biological Conservation* **53**, 163–171 (1990).
- 252. Hidalgo Aranzamendi, N., Hall, M. L., Kingma, S. A., Sunnucks, P. & Peters, A.
- Incest avoidance, extrapair paternity, and territory quality drive divorce in a year-round
- territorial bird. *Behavioral Ecology* **27**, 1808–1819 (2016).
- 253. Higgins, P., Christidis, L. & Ford, H. Bell Miner (Manorina melanophrys). (2020).
- 254. Higgins, P., Christidis, L. & Ford, H. Black-eared Miner (Manorina melanotis). (2020).
- 255. Higgins, P. & Peter, J. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds. Volume 6. Pardalotes to Figbird*. (Oxford University Press: Melbourne, 2002).
- 256. Hiiggins, P., Peter, J. & Steele, W. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and*
- *Antarctic Birds. Volume 5: Tyrant-flycatchers to Chats*. (Oxford University Press: Melbourne, 2001).
- 257. Hiiggins, P. & Peter, J. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic*
- *Birds. Volume 6. Pardalotes to Figbird*. (Oxford University Press: Melbourne, 2002).
- 258. Hockey, P., Kirwan, G. & Boesman, P. Eurasian Oystercatcher (Haematopus ostralegus). (2020).
- 259. Holmes, R. T., Frauenknecht, B. D. & Du Pleiss, M. A. Breeding System of the Cape Rockjumper, a South African Fynbos Endemic. *The Condor* **104**, 188–192 (2002).
- 260. Horn, P. L., Rafalski, J. A. & Whitehead, P. J. Molecular Genetic (RAPD) Analysis of Breeding Magpie Geese. *The Auk* **113**, 552–557 (1996).
- 261. Horning, C. L., Hutchins, M. & English, W. Breeding and management of the common trumpeter (Psophia crepitans). *Zoo Biology* **7**, 193–210 (1988).
- 262. Huang, J., Liu, J., Li, G., Yan, H. & Li, S. Breeding biology and mating system of
- Brown Accentors *Prunella fulvescens* on the Tibet Plateau. *Bird study : the journal of the*
- *British Trust for Ornithology* **67**, 232–238 (2020).
- 263. Hughes, J. M. *et al.* High levels of extra-group paternity in a population of
- Australian magpies Gymnorhina tibicen: Evidence from microsatellite analysis.
- *Molecular Ecology* **12**, 3441–3450 (2003).
- 1136 264. Hunter, L. A. Cooperative breeding in purple gallinules: The role of helpers in feeding chicks. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **20**, 171–177 (1987).
-
- 265. Hunter, L. A. The effects of helpers in cooperatively breeding purple gallinules.
- *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **18**, 147–153 (1985).
- 266. Jacobs, A. C., Fair, J. M. & Zuk, M. Coloration, Paternity, and Assortative
- Mating in Western Bluebirds. *Ethology : formerly Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* **121**, 176–186 (2015).
- 267. Jamieson, I., Quinn, J., Rose, P. & White, B. Shared paternity among non-
- relatives is a result of an egalitarian mating system in a communally breeding bird, the
- pukeko. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* **257**, 271–277 (1994).
- 268. Jamieson, I. G. Testing reproductive skew models in a communally breeding bird,
- the pukeko, *Porphyrio porphyrio*. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B:*
- *Biological Sciences* **264**, 335–340 (1997).
- 269. Jaramillo, A. & Christie, D. Common Cactus-Finch (Geospiza scandens). (2020).
- 1150 270. Johnson, A. E. & Pruett-Jones, S. Reproductive promiscuity in the variegated
- fairy-wren: An alternative reproductive strategy in the absence of helpers? *Animal*
- *Behaviour* **139**, 171–180 (2018).
- 271. Jones, P. et al. Behaviour, natural history, and annual cycle of the Henderson Island rail Porzana atra (Aves: Rallidae). *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **56**, 167–183 (1995).
- 272. Jones, M. Brown Jay (Psilorhinus morio). (2020).
- 273. Jones, C., Lessells, C. & Krebs, J. Helpers-at-the-nest in European Bee-eaters
- (Merops apiaster): A Genetic Analysis. in *DNA Fingerprinting: Approaches and*
- *Applications* vol. 58 (Birkhäuser Basel, 1991).
- 274. Kaiser, S. A. *et al.* Within-group relatedness and patterns of reproductive sharing and cooperation in the tropical chestnut-crested yuhina. *Animal Behaviour* **158**, 89–99 (2019).
- 275. Kaspari, M. & O'Leary, H. Nonparental Attendants in a North-temperate Migrant. *The Auk* **105**, 792–793 (1988).
- 276. Kepler, A. K. *Comparative study of todies (Todidae), with emphasis on the*
- *Puerto Rican tody, Todus mexicanus*. (Nuttall Ornithological Club, 1977).
- 277. Kepler, K. & Kirwan, G. Puerto Rican Tody (Todus mexicanus). in *Handbook of the Birds of the World Alive.* (Lynx Edicions, Barcelona, 2017).
- 278. Keyser, A., Keyser, M. & Promislow, D. LIFE-HISTORY VARIATION AND
- DEMOGRAPHY IN WESTERN BLUEBIRDS (SIALIA MEXICANA) IN OREGON.
- *The Auk* **121**, 118–133 (2004).
- 279. Kiltie, R. A. & Fitzpatrick, J. W. Reproduction and Social Organization of the Black-Capped Donacobius (Donacobius atricapillus) in Southeastern Peru. *The Auk* **101**, 804–811 (1984).
- 280. Kingery, H. & Ghalambor, C. Pygmy Nuthatch (Sitta pygmaea). (2020).
- 1176 281. Kingma, S. A., Hall, M. L. & Peters, A. Multiple Benefits Drive Helping
- Behavior in a Cooperatively Breeding Bird: An Integrated Analysis. *The American*
- *Naturalist* **177**, 486–495 (2011).
- 282. Kingma, S. A., Hall, M. L., Segelbacher, G. & Peters, A. Radical loss of an extreme extra-pair mating system. *BMC Ecology* **9**, 15 (2009).
- 283. Kingma, S. A., Hall, M. L. & Peters, A. Sperm storage reflects within- and extra-
- pair mating opportunities in a cooperatively breeding bird. *Behavioral Ecology and*
- *Sociobiology* **66**, 1115–1123 (2012).
- 284. Kingma, S. A., Bebbington, K., Hammers, M., Richardson, D. S. & Komdeur, J.

Delayed dispersal and the costs and benefits of different routes to independent breeding

- in a cooperatively breeding bird. *Evolution* **70**, 2595–2610 (2016).
- 285. Klauke, N., Jansen, J., Kramer, J. & Schaefer, H. M. Food allocation rules vary
- with age and experience in a cooperatively breeding parrot. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **68**, 1037–1047 (2014).
- 1190 286. Klauke, N., Segelbacher, G. & Schaefer, H. M. Reproductive success depends on the quality of helpers in the endangered, cooperative El Oro parakeet (*Pyrrhura orcesi*).
- *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 2011–2027 (2013).
- 287. Koenig, W., Walters, E., Stacey, P., Stanback, M. & Mumme, R. Acorn
- Woodpecker (Melanerpes formicivorus). (2020).

 288. Koenig, W. D., Mumme, R. L., Stanback, M. T. & Pitelka, F. A. Patterns and consequences of egg destruction among joint-nesting acorn woodpeckers. *Animal Behaviour* **50**, 607–621 (1995). 289. Koenig, W. & Mumme, R. *Population Ecology of the Cooperatively Breeding Acorn Woodpecker*. (Princeton University Press, 1987). 290. Rubenstein, D. R., Koenig, W. & Dickinson, J. Superb starlings: Cooperation and conflict in an unpredictable environment. in *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates* (eds. Koenig, W. D. & Dickinson, J. L.) 181–196 (Cambridge University Press, 2016). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107338357.012. 291. Shen, S.-F., Yuan, H.-W. & Liu, M. Taiwan yuhinas: Unrelated joint-nesters cooperate in unfavorable environments. in *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates* (eds. Koenig, W. D. & Dickinson, J. L.) 237–256 (Cambridge University Press, 2016). doi:10.1017/CBO9781107338357.015. 1208 292. Komdeur, J. The effect of kinship on helping in the cooperative breeding Seychelles warbler (Acrocephalus sechellensis). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **256**, 47–52 (1994). 293. Komdeur, J. Experimental evidence for helping and hindering by previous offspring in the cooperative-breeding Seychelles warbler AcrocephMus sechellensis. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **34**, 175–186 (1994). 294. Krekorian, C. O. Alloparental Care in the Purple Gallinule. *The Condor* **80**, 382– 390 (1978). 295. Krištín, A. & Kirwan, A. Eurasian Hoopoe (Upupa epops. (2020). 296. Kroodsma, D. & Brewer, D. Family Troglodytidae (Wrens). in *Handbook of the birds of the world. Vol. 10. Cuckoo-shrikes to thrushes* 356–447 (Lynx edicions: Barcelona, 2005). 297. Kroodsma, D. & Brewer, D. Fasciated Wren (Campylorhynchus fasciatus). (2020). 298. Kroodsma, D. & Brewe, D. Stripe-backed Wren (Campylorhynchus nuchalis). (2020).

- 299. Laranjeiras, T. Golden Parakeet (Guaruba guarouba). (2020).
- 300. LeFranc, N. & Worfolk, T. *Shrikes: A guide to shrikes of the world*. (Pica Press: Sussex, 1997).
- 301. Legge, S., Legge, S., Heinsohn, R. & Heinsohn, R. Kingfishers in paradise: The breeding biology of Tanysiptera sylvia at the Iron Range National Park, Cape York. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **49**, 85 (2001).
- 1230 302. Legge, S. & Cockburn, A. Social and mating system of cooperatively breeding laughing kookaburras (Dacelo novaeguineae). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **47**, 220–229 (2000).
- 303. Leitão, A. V., Hall, M. L., Venables, B. & Mulder, R. A. Ecology and breeding
- biology of a tropical bird, the Lovely Fairy-Wren (*Malurus amabilis*). *Emu - Austral*
- *Ornithology* **119**, 1–13 (2019).
- 304. Lessells, C. Helping at the nest in European bee-eaters: Who helps and why? in *Population biology of passerine birds: An integrated approach* 357–368 (Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1990).
- 305. Lewis, D. M. Cooperative Breeding in a Population of Whitebrowed Sparrow Weavers Plocepasser Mahali. *Ibis* **124**, 511–522 (1982).
- 306. Li, J., Lv, L., Wang, Y., Xi, B. & Zhang, Z. Breeding biology of two sympatric Aegithalos tits with helpers at the nest. *Journal of Ornithology* **153**, 273–283 (2012).
- 307. Li, J. *et al.* Context-dependent strategies of food allocation among offspring in a facultative cooperative breeder. *Behavioral Ecology* **30**, 975–985 (2019).
- 308. Li, J., Liu, Y., Wang, Y. & Zhang, Z. Extra-pair paternity in two sympatric
- Aegithalos tits: Patterns and implications. *Journal of Ornithology* **155**, 83–90 (2014).
- 309. Li, S.-H. & Brown, J. L. High frequency of extrapair fertilization in a plural
- breeding bird, the Mexican jay, revealed by DNA microsatellites. *Animal Behaviour* **60**, 867–877 (2000).
- 1250 310. Li, J., Zhang, Z., Lv, L., Gao, C. & Wang, Y. Do Parents and Helpers Discriminate between Related and Unrelated Nestlings in the Cooperative Breeding
- Silver-Throated Tit? *Ethology : formerly Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* **120**, 159–168 (2014).
- 311. Ligon, J. D. & Ligon, S. H. Communal breeding in green woodhoopoes as a case for reciprocity. *Nature* **276**, 496–498 (1978).
- 312. Ligon, J. & Ligon, S. Green Woodhoopoes: Life history traits and sociality. in
- *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge:
- Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 313. Ligon, J. & Kirwan, G. Green Woodhoopoe (Phoeniculus purpureus). (2020).
- 314. Lima, M. R., Macedo, R. H., Muniz, L., Pacheco, A. & Graves, J. A. Group
- Composition, Mating System, and Relatedness in the Communally Breeding Guira
- Cuckoo (*Guira guira*) in Central Brazil. *The Auk* **128**, 475–486 (2011).
- 315. Lippke von, I. Ecology and evolution of cooperation in the Española
- mockingbird, *Nesomimus macdonaldi*, Galápagos ProQuest. (University of California, Los Angeles, 2008).
- 316. Liu, Q. *et al.* Characterization of Cooperative Breeding for the Buff-throated Partridge (Tetraophasis szechenyii) Based on Parentage Testing. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology* **53**, (2021).
- 317. Lloyd, P., Andrew Taylor, W., Du Plessis, M. A. & Martin, T. E. Females
- increase reproductive investment in response to helper-mediated improvements in allo-
- feeding, nest survival, nestling provisioning and post-fledging survival in the Karoo
- scrub-robin Cercotrichas coryphaeus. *Journal of Avian Biology* **40**, 400–411 (2009).
- 318. Lowther, P., Fraga, R. & Garcia, E. Grayish Baywing (Agelaioides badius. (2020).
- 319. Luck, G. W. The demography and cooperative breeding behaviour of the rufous treecreeper, Climacteris rufa. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **49**, 515 (2001).
- 320. Lundy, K. J., Parker, P. G. & Zahavi, A. Reproduction by subordinates in
- cooperatively breeding Arabian babblers is uncommon but predictable. *Behavioral*
- *Ecology and Sociobiology* **43**, 173–180 (1998).
- 321. Macedo, R. Guira cuckoos: Cooperation, infanticide, and female reproductive
- investment in a joint-nesting species. in *Cooperative Breeding in Vertebrates* 257–27
- (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2016).
- 322. Madge, S. Carrion Crow (Corvus corone). (2020).
- 323. Madge, S. Taiwan Blue-Magpie (Urocissa caerulea). (2020).
- 1285 324. Magrath, R. D. & Whittingham, L. A. Subordinate males are more likely to help if unrelated to the breeding female in cooperatively breeding white-browed scrubwrens. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **41**, 185–192 (1997).
- 325. Maguire, G. Behavioural Ecology of the Southern Emu-wren (Stipiturus malachurus). (University of Melbourne, 2005).
- 326. Maguire, G. S. & Mulder, R. A. Breeding biology and demography of the
- southern emu-wren (Stipiturus malachurus). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **52**, 583 (2004).
- 327. Maguire, G. S. & Mulder, R. A. Low levels of extra-pair paternity in southern emu-wrens (Aves : Maluridae). *Australian Journal of Zoology* **56**, 79 (2008).
- 328. Malan, G., Crowe, T. M., Biggs, R. & Herholdt, J. J. The social system of the
- Pale Chanting Goshawk Melierax canorus; monogamy v polyandry and delayed
- dispersal. *Ibis* **139**, 313–321 (1997).
- 329. Malan, G. & Jenkins, A. R. Territory and nest defence in polyandrous pale chanting goshawks: Do co-breeders Help? *South African Journal of Zoology* **31**, 170–176 (1996).
- 330. Manica, L. T. & Marini, M. Â. Helpers at the nest of White-banded Tanager
- Neothraupis fasciata benefit male breeders but do not increase reproductive success. *Journal of Ornithology* **153**, 149–159 (2012).
- 331. Marchant, S. & Higgins, P. *Handbook of Australian, New Zealand and Antarctic Birds, Vol. 1. Parts A and B*. (Oxford University Press, Melbourne, 1990).
- 332. Martín-Vivaldi, M., Martínez, J. G., Palomino, J. J. & Soler, M. Extrapair
- paternity in the Hoopoe Upupa epops: An exploration of the influence of interactions
- between breeding pairs, non-pair males and strophe length. *Ibis* **144**, 236–247 (2002).
- 333. Marzluff, J. & Balda, R. Pinyon Jays: Making the best of a bad situation by
- helping. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior*
- 189–237 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 334. Marzluff, J. & Balda, R. *The pinyon jay: Behavioral ecology of a colonial and cooperative corvid*. (London, T & AD Poyser Ltd, 1992).
- 335. McCormack, J. & Brown, J. Mexican Jay (Aphelocoma wollweberi. (2020).
- 336. McGowan, P., Kirwan, G. & de Juana, E. Buff-throated Monal-Partridge (Tetraophasis szechenyii). (2020).
- 337. McLean, I. & Gill, B. Breeding of an Island-endemic Bird: The New Zealand
- Whitehead Mohoua albicilla; Pachycephalinae. *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **88**, 177–182 (1988).
- 338. McRAE, S. B. Family values: Costs and benefits of communal nesting in the moorhen. *Animal Behaviour* **52**, 225–245 (1996).
- 339. McRae, S. B. & Burke, T. Intraspecific brood parasitism in the moorhen: Parentage and parasite-host relationships determined by DNA fingerprinting. *Behavioral*
- *Ecology and Sociobiology* **38**, 115–129 (1996).
- 340. Mermoz, M. E., Villarruel, C., de la Colina, A. & Mahler, B. Fledgling sex-ratio
- is biased towards the helping sex in a Neotropical cooperative breeder, the brown-and-
- yellow marshbird (Pseudoleistes virescens). *Behaviour* **158**, 135–160 (2021).
- 341. Mermoz, M. E. & Reboreda, J. C. Nesting Success in Brown-and-Yellow
- Marshbirds: Effects of Timing, Nest Site, and Brood Parasitism. *The Auk* **115**, 871–878 (1998).
- 342. Merola, M. Fruit in the Diet of Nestlings of the Puerto Rican Tody, a Tropical Insectivore. *The Wilson Bulletin* **107**, 181–182 (1995).
- 343. Millar, C. D. *et al.* Patterns of reproductive success determined by DNA
- fingerprinting in a communally breeding oceanic bird. *Biological Journal of the Linnean*
- *Society* **52**, 31–48 (1994).
- 344. Morton, E. S., Stutchbury, B. J. M. & Piper, W. H. COOPERATIVE BREEDING
- IN THE TROPICAL MOCKINGBIRD (MIMUS GILVUS) IN THE PANAMA CANAL
- ZONE. *Ornitología Neotropical* **15**, 417–421 (2004).
- 345. Mulder, R. A., Dunn, P. O., Cockburn, A., Lazenby-Cohen, K. A. & Howell, M.
- J. Helpers liberate female fairy-wrens from constraints on extra-pair mate choice.
- *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **255**, 223–229 (1994).
- 346. Müllner, A. Breeding Ecology and Related Life-History Traits of the Hoatzin,
- Opisthocomus hoazin, in a Primary Rainforest Habitat. (Bayerischen Julius-Maximilians-Universität Würzburg, 2004).
- 347. Mundy, P. J. & Cook, A. W. Observations of the Yellow-Billed Oxpecker
- Buphagus Africanus in Northern Nigeria. *Ibis* **117**, 504–506 (1975).
- 348. Nelson-Flower, M. J. *et al.* Monogamous dominant pairs monopolize
- reproduction in the cooperatively breeding pied babbler. *Behavioral Ecology* **22**, 559– 565 (2011).
- 1351 349. Nelson-Flower, M. J. & Ridley, A. R. Nepotism and subordinate tenure in a cooperative breeder. *Biology Letters* **12**, 20160365 (2016).
- 350. Noske, R. A. Black-tailed Treecreeper (Climacteris melanurus). (2020).
- 351. Noske, R. A. Cooperative Breeding by Treecreepers. *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **80**, 35–36 (1980).
- 352. Noske, R. A. A Demographic Comparison of Cooperatively Breeding and Non-
- Cooperative Treecreepers (Climacteridae). *The Emu* **91**, 73–86 (1991).
- 353. Noske, R. A. Family Climacteridae (Australasian treecreepers). in *Handbook of*
- *the Birds of the World Vol 12: Picathartes to Tits and Chickadees* 642–660 (Lynx
- Edicions, Barcelona, Spain, 2007).
- 354. Ocampo, D. *et al.* Breeding Biology of the Red-Bellied Grackle (Hypopyrrhus
- pyrohypogaster): A Cooperative Breeder of the Colombian Andes. *The Wilson Journal of*
- *Ornithology* **124**, 538–546 (2012).
- 355. Oppenheimer, S. Endocrine correlates of social and reproductive behaviours in a group-living Australian passerine, the white-browed babbler. (University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia., 2005). 356. Oren, D. C. & Novaes, F. C. Observations on the Golden Parakeet Arathga guarouba in Northern Brazil. *Biological Conservation* **36**, 329–337 (1986). 357. Orians, G., Orians, C. & Orians, K. Helpers at the nest in some Argentine blackbirds. in *Evolutionary ecology* 137–151 (University Park Press, Baltimore, MD, 1977). 358. Patch-Highfill, L. Estimating genetic diversity of Palila (Loxioides bailleui) and familial relationships of helper males. (University of Hawai'i at Hilo, 2008). 359. Petren, K., Grant, B. R. & Grant, P. R. Low Extrapair Paternity in the Cactus Finch (Geospiza scandens). *The Auk* **116**, 252–256 (1999). 360. Pierce, A. J., Tokue, K., Pobprasert, K. & Sankamethawee, W. COOPERATIVE BREEDING IN THE PUFF-THROATED BULBUL ALOPHOIXUS PALLIDUS IN THAILAND. *Raff Bull Zool* **55**, 187–189 (2007). 361. Poldmaa, T., Montgomerie, R. & Boag, P. Mating system of the cooperatively breeding noisy miner Manorina melanocephala, as revealed by DNA profiling. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **37**, 137–143 (1995). 362. Potter, A. Gray-winged Trumpeter (Psophia crepitans). (2020). 363. Power, L. & Ghalambor, C. Purplish-backed Jay (Cyanocorax beecheii). (2020). 364. Preston, S. A. J., Briskie, J. V., Burke, T. & Hatchwell, B. J. Genetic analysis reveals diverse kin-directed routes to helping in the rifleman Acanthisitta chloris. *Molecular Ecology* **22**, 5027–5039 (2013). 365. Price, T., Millington, S. & Grant, P. Helping at the Nest in Darwin's Finches as Misdirected Parental Care. *The Auk* **100**, 192–194 (1983).
- 366. Putland, D. & Goldizen, W. Juvenile helping behaviour in the Dusky Moorhen, Gallinula tenebrosa. *The Emu* **101**, 265–267 (2001).

 367. Pywell, S. & Lill, A. BREEDING BIOLOGY OF THE DUSKY MOORHEN IN A SUBURBAN PARK. *Corella* **27**, 24–30 (2003).

 368. Quinn, J. S., Woolfenden, G. E., Fitzpatrick, J. W. & White, B. N. Multi-locus DNA fingerprinting supports genetic monogamy in Florida scrub-jays. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **45**, 1–10 (1999).

- 369. Rabenold, K. Campylorhynchus wrens: The ecology of delayed dispersal and cooperation in the Venezuelan savanna. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 370. Rabenold, K. N. Cooperation in breeding by nonreproductive wrens: Kinship, reciprocity, and demography. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **17**, 1–17 (1985).

1401 371. Rabenold, K. N. Cooperative Enhancement Of Reproductive Success in Tropical Wren Societies. *Ecology* **65**, 871–885 (1984).

372. Rabenold, K. N. & Austad, S. N. DEMOGRAPHY AND THE EVOLUTION OF

COOPERATIVE BREEDING IN THE BICOLORED WREN, CAMPYLORHYNCHUS

GRISEUS. *Behaviour* **97**, 308–324 (1986).

 373. Rabenold, P. P., Rabenold, K. N., Piper, W. H., Haydock, J. & Zack, S. W. Shared paternity revealed by genetic analysis in cooperatively breeding tropical wrens.

Nature **348**, 538–540 (1990).

- 374. Radford, J. Conservation ecology and breeding biology of the White-browed Treecreeper Climacteris affinis. (University of Melbourne, 2002).
- 375. Ragusa-Netto, J. Nestling development, size and juvenile survival in Donacobius atricapillus (Passeriformes: Troglodytidae. *Ararajuba* **4**, 81–85 (1996).
- 376. Raitt, R. J., Winterstein, S. R. & Hardy, J. W. Structure and Dynamics of Communal Groups in the Beechey Jay. *The Wilson Bulletin* **96**, 206–227 (1984).
- 377. Raj Pant, S., Komdeur, J., Burke, T. A., Dugdale, H. L. & Richardson, D. S.
- Socio-ecological conditions and female infidelity in the Seychelles warbler. *Behavioral Ecology* **30**, 1254–1264 (2019).
- 378. Rathburn, M. K. & Montgomerie, R. Breeding biology and social structure of White-winged Fairy-wrens (*Malurus leucopterus*): Comparison between island and
- mainland subspecies having different plumage phenotypes. *Emu - Austral Ornithology*
- **103**, 295–306 (2003).

 379. Restrepo, C. Cooperative Breeding in the Frugivorous Toucan Barbet (Semnornis ramphastinus). *The Auk* **115**, 4–15 (1998).

380. Reyer, H.-U. Flexible helper structure as an ecological adaptation in the pied

 kingfisher (Ceryle rudis rudis L.). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **6**, 219–227 (1980).

- 381. Reynolds, G. Golden Conure research will aid its survival. *PsittaScene* **15**, 10–13 (2003).
- 382. Reynolds, J. F. NOTES ON BIRDS OBSERVED IN THE VICINITY OF
- TABORA, TANZANIA WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO BREEDING DATA.
- *Journal of East African Natural History* 117–139 (1968).
- 383. Ribeiro, Â. M., Lloyd, P., Feldheim, K. A. & Bowie, R. C. K. Microgeographic
- socio-genetic structure of an African cooperative breeding passerine revealed: Integrating
- 1434 behavioural and genetic data: ASYMMETRIC DISPERSAL IN A COOPERATIVE
- BREEDING BIRD. *Molecular Ecology* **21**, 662–672 (2012).
- 384. Ribeiro, B. A., Carrara, L. A., Santos, F. R. & Rodrigues, M. Sex-biased help and
- possible facultative control over offspring sex ratio in the Rufous-fronted Thornbird,
- Phacellodomus rufifrons. *Revista Brasileira de Ornitologia* **15**, 561–568 (2007).
- 385. Richardson, D. S., Burke, T. & Komdeur, J. Direct Benefits and the Evolution of
- Female-Biased Cooperative Breeding in Seychelles Warblers. *Evolution; international*
- *journal of organic evolution* **56**, 2313–2321 (2002).
- 386. Richardson, D. S., Jury, F. L., Blaakmeer, K., Komdeur, J. & Burke, T. Parentage
- assignment and extra-group paternity in a cooperative breeder: The Seychelles warbler
- (Acrocephalus sechellensis). *Molecular Ecology* **10**, 2263–2273 (2001).
- 387. Ridley, A. R. & Raihani, N. J. Task partitioning increases reproductive output in a cooperative bird. *Behavioral Ecology* **19**, 1136–1142 (2008).
- 388. Riehl, C. Egg ejection risk and hatching asynchrony predict egg mass in a
- communally breeding cuckoo, the Greater Ani (Crotophaga major). *Behavioral Ecology*
- **21**, 676–683 (2010).
- 389. Riehl, C. Mating system and reproductive skew in a communally breeding
- cuckoo: Hard-working males do not sire more young. *Animal Behaviour* **84**, 707–714 (2012).
- 390. Riehl, C. & Jara, L. Natural History and Reproductive Biology of the
- Communally Breeding Greater Ani (Crotophaga major) at Gatún Lake, Panama. *The*
- *Wilson Journal of Ornithology* **121**, 679–687 (2009).
- 391. Robertson, J. K. *et al.* Male parental effort predicts reproductive contribution in the joint-nesting, Smooth-billed Ani (Crotophaga ani). *Journal of Ornithology* **159**, 471– 481 (2018).
- 392. Rodrigues, M. & Carrara, L. Co-operative breeding in the rufous-fronted
- thornbird Phacellodomus rufifrons: A Neotropical ovenbird. *Ibis* **146**, 351–354 (2004).
- 393. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. Apostlebird (Struthidea cinerea). (2020).
- 394. Rowley, I. Co-operative breeding in Australian birds. in *Proceedings of the 16th*
- *International Ornithological Congress* 657–666 (Australian Academy of Science: Canberra, 1976).
- 395. Rowley, I. CO-OPERATIVE BREEDING BY BLACK-FACED WOODSWALLOWS. *Corella* **23**, 63–66 (1999).
- 396. Rowley, I. Communal Activities Among White-Winged Choughs Corcorax Melanorhamphus. *Ibis* **120**, 178–197 (1978).
- 397. Rowley, I. Cooperative breeding by dusky woodswallows. *Canberra Bird Notes* **25**, 49–58 (2000).
- 398. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. Emperor Fairywren (Malurus cyanocephalus. (2020).
- 399. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. *Fairy-wrens and Grasswrens: Maluridae*. (Oxford University Press: Oxford, 1997).
- 400. Rowley, I. NESTING BY BLACK-FACED WOODSWALLOWS Artamus cinereus IN THE. *Corella* 65–69 (2002).
- 1476 401. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. A population study of the Blue-breasted Fairy-wren,
- *Malurus pulcherrimus* , at Dryandra, Western Australia. *Emu - Austral Ornithology* **102**, 127–135 (2002).
- 402. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. Red-winged Fairywren (Malurus elegans). (2020).
- 403. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. Splendid Fairywren (Malurus splendens). (2020).
- 404. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. Variegated Fairywren (Malurus lamberti). (2020).
- 405. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. White-shouldered Fairywren (Malurus alboscapulatus). (2020).
- 406. Rowley, I. & Russell, E. White-winged Chough (Corcorax melanorhamphos). (2020).
- 407. Rubenstein, D. R. Female extrapair mate choice in a cooperative breeder: Trading 1487 sex for help and increasing offspring heterozygosity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*:
- *Biological Sciences* **274**, 1895–1903 (2007).
- 408. Russell, E., Rowley, I. & Christie, D. Australian Magpie (Gymnorhina tibicen). (2020).
- 409. Russell, E. & Rowley, I. Demography and social organisation of the red-winged fairy-wren, Malurus elegans. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **48**, 161–200 (2000).
- 410. Russell, A. F. & Hatchwell, B. J. Experimental evidence for kin-biased helping in
- a cooperatively breeding vertebrate. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **268**, 2169–2174 (2001).
- 411. Russell, E. & Rowley, I. Helper contributions to reproductive success in the splendid fairy-wren (MMurussplendens). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **22**, 131– 140 (1988).
- 412. Russell, E. M., Brown, the late R. J. & Brown, M. N. Life history of the white- breasted robin, Eopsaltria georgiana (Petroicidae), in south-western Australia. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **52**, 111 (2004).
- 413. Russell, E. & Rowley, I. Pied Butcherbird (Cracticus nigrogularis). (2020).
- 414. Saito, D., Nishiumi, I. & Nakamura, M. Characterization of nine polymorphic
- microsatellite loci from the alpine accentor Prunella collaris. *Molecular Ecology Notes* **1**, 258–259 (2001).
- 415. Sankamethawee, W., Hardesty, B. D. & Gale, G. A. Sex-bias and timing of natal dispersal in cooperatively breeding Puff-throated Bulbuls Alophoixus pallidus. *Journal of Ornithology* **151**, 779–789 (2010).
- 416. Santos, L. R. D. BIOLOGIA REPRODUTIVA E COMPORTAMENTO
- COOPERATIVO EM NINHOS DE CYPSNAGRA HIRUNDINACEA. (2008).
- 417. Santos, E. S. A., Santos, L. L. S., Lagisz, M. & Nakagawa, S. Conflict and
- cooperation over sex: The consequences of social and genetic polyandry for reproductive
- success in dunnocks. *Journal of Animal Ecology* **84**, 1509–1519 (2015).
- 418. Saracura, V., Macedo, R. H. & Blomqvist, D. GENETIC PARENTAGE AND
- VARIABLE SOCIAL STRUCTURE IN BREEDING SOUTHERN LAPWINGS. *The*
- *Condor* **110**, 554–558 (2008).
- 1517 419. Seddon, N., Tobias, J. A. & Butchart, S. H. M. Group living, breeding behaviour and territoriality in the Subdesert Mesite Monias benschi. *Ibis* **145**, 277–294 (2003).
- 420. Seddon, N. *et al.* Mating system, philopatry and patterns of kinship in the cooperatively breeding subdesert mesite Monias benschi. *Molecular Ecology* **14**, 3573– 3583 (2005).
- 421. Severinghaus, L. Flocking and cooperative breeding of Formosan blue magpie. *Bulletin of the Institute of Zoology, Academica Sinica* **26**, 27–37 (1987).
- 422. Sharma, A. Effect of helpers on breeding success of the Common Babbler (Turdoides caudatus). *Current Science* **82**, 391–392 (2002).
- 423. Shen, S.-F., Emlen, S. T., Koenig, W. D. & Rubenstein, D. R. The ecology of cooperative breeding behaviour. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 708–720 (2017).
- 424. Sherman, P., Kirwan, G. & Sharpe, C. Grey-winged Trumpeter (Psophia crepitans). (2020).
- 425. Sherman, P. T. Reproductive biology and ecology of white-winged trumpeters
- (Psophia leucoptera) and recommendations for the breeding of captive trumpeters. *Zoo*
- *Biology* **19**, 65–84 (2000).
- 426. Sherman, P. T. Social Organization of Cooperatively Polyandrous White-Winged Trumpeters (Psophia leucoptera). *The Auk* **112**, 296–309 (1995).
- 427. Short, L. & Horne, J. *Toucans, barbets and honeyguides: Ramphastidae,*
- *Capitonidae and Indicatoridae*. (Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2001).
- 428. Silva e Silva, R. Breeding behavior, distribution, and conservation of the Sharp-
- tailed Tyrant Culicivora caudacuta (Vieillot, 1818) (Aves: Tyrannidae), a South
- American grassland specialist. *Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia* **61**, e20216165 (2021).
- 429. Sloane, S. A. Incidence and Origins of Supernumeraries at Bushtit (Psaltriparus minimus) Nests. *The Auk* **113**, 757–770 (1996).
- 430. Sridhar, S. & Praveen Karanth, K. Helpers in cooperatively breeding small green bee-eater (Merops orientalis). *Current Science* **65**, 489–490 (1993).
- 431. Stacey, P. B. Kinship, promiscuity, and communal breeding in the acorn
- woodpecker. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **6**, 53–66 (1979).
- 432. Steeves, T., Kearney-McGee, S., Rubega, M., Cink, C. & Collins, C. Chimney Swift (Chaetura pelagica). (2020).
- 433. Storer, B. E. Aspects of the breeding ecology of the pygmy nuthatch (Sitta
- pygmaea) and the foraging ecology of wintering mixed-species flocks in western Montana. (The University of Montana, 1977).
- 434. Strahl, S. D. The social organization and behaviour of the Hoatzin Opisthocomus hoazin in central Venezuela. *Ibis* **130**, 483–502 (1988).
- 435. Strickland, D. & Ouellet, H. Canada Jay (Perisoreus canadensis). (2020).
- 1554 436. Stutterheim, C. J. BREEDING BIOLOGY OF THE REDBILLED OXPECKER IN THE KRUGER NATIONAL PARK. *The Ostrich* **53**, 79–90 (1982).
- 437. Sydeman, W. J., Güntert, M. & Balda, R. P. Annual Reproductive Yield in the Cooperative Pygmy Nuthatch (Sitta pygmaea). *The Auk* **105**, 70–77 (1988).
- 438. Tang, S. *et al.* Social organization, demography and genetic mating system of a Tibetan cooperative breeder. *Ibis* **159**, 687–692 (2017).
- 439. Tárano, Z. GROUP TERRITORIALITY AND PLURAL BREEDING IN THE
- PURPLE GALLINULE (PORPHYRULA MARTINICA) IN A SEASONALLY
- FLOODED SAVANNA IN VENEZUELA. *Ornitologia neotropical* **19**, 43–53 (2008).
- 440. Tarboton, W. R. COOPERATIVE BREEDING AND GROUP TERRITORIALITY IN THE BLACK TIT. *The Ostrich* **52**, 216–225 (1981).
- 441. Taylor, B. Dusky Moorhen (Gallinula tenebrosa). (2020).
- 442. Taylor, B. Ground Cuckooshrike (Coracina maxima). (2020).
- 443. Taylor, B. & Sharpe, C. Henderson Island Crake (Zapornia atra). (2020).
- 444. Taylor, B. Tasmanian Nativehen (Tribonyx mortierii). (2020).
- 445. Theuerkauf, J., Rouys, S., Mériot, J. M., Gula, R. & Kuehn, R. Cooperative breeding, mate guarding, and nest sharing in two parrot species of New Caledonia. *Journal of Ornithology* **150**, 791–797 (2009).
- 446. Thompson, T. Breeding biology and the nature and importance of helper contributions in the cooperative breeding brown-headed nuthatch in a texas urban population. (Stephen F. Austin State University, 2000).
- 447. Tingay, R., Culver, M., Hallerman, E., Fraser, J. & Watson, R. Subordinate males sire offspring in Madagascar fish-eagle (Haliaeetus vociferoides) polyandrous breeding groups. *Journal of Raptor Research* **36**, 280–286 (2002).
- 448. Townsend, A. K., Bowman, R., Fitzpatrick, J. W., Dent, M. & Lovette, I. J. Genetic monogamy across variable demographic landscapes in cooperatively breeding Florida scrub-jays. *Behavioral Ecology* **22**, 464–470 (2011).
- 449. Townsend, A. K., Clark, A. B., McGowan, K. J. & Lovette, I. J. Reproductive partitioning and the assumptions of reproductive skew models in the cooperatively breeding American crow. *Animal Behaviour* **77**, 503–512 (2009).
- 450. Ursino, C. A., De Mársico, M. C., Sued, M., Farall, A. & Reboreda, J. C. Brood parasitism disproportionately increases nest provisioning and helper recruitment in a
- cooperatively breeding bird. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **65**, 2279–2286 (2011).
- 451. Ursino, C. A., De Mársico, M. C., Reboreda, J. C. & Riehl, C. Kinship and
- genetic mating system of the Grayish Baywing (*Agelaioides badius*), a cooperatively breeding Neotropical blackbird. *The Auk* **134**, 410–420 (2017).
- 452. Valencia, J., de la Cruz, C. & González, B. Flexible Helping Behaviour in the
- Azure-Winged Magpie: Helping in the Azure-Winged Magpie. *Ethology : formerly*
- *Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* **109**, 545–558 (2003).
- 453. Van de Loock, D. Life-history strategies of a facultative cooperative breeder in a fragmented Afrotropical cloud forest. (Ghent University, 2019).

1596 454. Vargas-Soriano, J., Ortíz, J. S. & Segura, G. E. Breeding Phenology and Nesting Success of the Yucatan Wren in the Yucatan Peninsula, Mexico. *The Wilson Journal of Ornithology* **122**, 439–446 (2010).

- 455. Varian-Ramos, C. W., Lindsay, W. R., Karubian, J. & Webster, M. S. Female Red-backed Fairy-Wrens (*Malurus melanocephalus*) do not appear to pay a cost for high rates of promiscuity. *The Auk* **129**, 529–536 (2012).
- 456. Varian-Ramos, C. W., Karubian, J., Talbott, V., Tapia, I. & Webster, M. S.
- Offspring sex ratios reflect lack of repayment by auxiliary males in a cooperatively
- breeding passerine. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **64**, 967–977 (2010).
- 457. Vehrencamp, S. L. The adaptive significance of communal nesting in Groove- billed anis (Crotophaga sulcirostris). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **4**, 1–33 (1978).
- 458. Vehrencamp, S. L., Bowen, B. S. & Koford, R. R. Breeding roles and pairing patterns within communal groups of groove-billed anis. *Animal Behaviour* **34**, 347–366 (1986).
-
- 459. Vernon, C. J. OBSERVATIONS ON THE WINTER BEHAVIOUR OF THE WHITE HELMET SHRIKE, *PRIONOPS PLUMATA*. *The Ostrich* **37**, 3–5 (1966).
- 460. Vickery, P. Grasshopper Sparrow (Ammodramus savannarum). (2020).
- 461. Waite, T. A. & Strickland, D. Cooperative Breeding in Gray Jays: Philopatric
- Offspring Provision Juvenile Siblings. *The Condor* **99**, 523–525 (1997).

 462. Walters, J. & Walters, B. F. Co-Operative Breeding by Southern Lapwings Vanell Us Chilensis. *Ibis* **122**, 505–509 (1980).

 463. Walters, J. R., Doerr, P. D. & Carter III, J. H. The Cooperative Breeding System of the Red-cockaded Woodpecker. *Ethology : formerly Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie* **78**, 275–305 (1988).

- 464. Walters, J. Red-cockaded Woodpeckers: A 'primitive' cooperative breeder. in
- *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior* (Cambridge:
- Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 1624 465. Wang, C. & Lu, X. Extra-pair paternity in relation to breeding synchrony in
- ground tits: An individual-based approach. *Journal of Avian Biology* **45**, 561–565 (2014).
- 466. Warren, C. C., Motyka, P. J. & Mounce, H. L. Home range sizes of two Hawaiian
- honeycreepers: implications for proposed translocation efforts. *Journal of Field Ornithology* **86**, 305–316 (2015).
-
- 467. Warrington, M. H., Rollins, L. A., Russell, A. F. & Griffith, S. C. Sequential

polyandry through divorce and re-pairing in a cooperatively breeding bird reduces

helper-offspring relatedness. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **69**, 1311–1321

- (2015).
- 468. Watson, R. T., Razafindramanana, S., Thorstrom, R. & Rafanomezantsoa, S.
- Breeding biology, extra-pair birds, productivity, siblicide and conservation of the
- Madagascar Fish Eagle. *The Ostrich* **70**, 105–111 (1999).
- 469. Webster, M. S., Varian, C. W. & Karubian, J. Plumage color and reproduction in
- the red-backed fairy-wren: Why be a dull breeder? *Behavioral Ecology* **19**, 517–524
- (2008).
- 470. Webster, M. S., Tarvin, K. A., Tuttle, E. M. & Pruett-Jones, S. Reproductive
- promiscuity in the splendid fairy-wren: Effects of group size and auxiliary reproduction.
- *Behavioral Ecology* **15**, 907–915 (2004).
- 471. Whittingham, L. A., Dunn, P. O. & Magrath, R. D. Relatedness, polyandry and
- extra-group paternity in the cooperatively-breeding white-browed scrubwren (Sericornis
- frontalis). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **40**, 261–270 (1997).
- 472. Wilkinson, R. & Brown, A. E. Effect of Helpers on the Feeding Rates of
- Nestlings in the Chestnut-Bellied Starling Spreo pulcher. *The Journal of Animal Ecology*
- **53**, 301 (1984).
- 473. Wilkinson, R. Social organization and communal breeding in the chestnut-bellied starling (Spreo pulcher). *Animal Behaviour* **30**, 1118–1128 (1982).
- 474. Williams, D. A. Female control of reproductive skew in cooperatively breeding
- brown jays (Cyanocorax morio). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **55**, 370–380 (2004).
- 475. Williams, D. A. & Hale, A. M. Helper effects on offspring production in cooperatively breeding brown jays (Cyanocorax morio). *The Auk* **123**, 847–857 (2005).
- 476. Williams, D. Routes to fitness in cooperatively breeding brown jays. (Purdue Univeristy, 2000).
- 477. Willis, E. A Study of Nesting Ant-Tanagers in British Honduras. *The Condor* **63**, 479–503 (1961).
- 478. Winterbottom, M., Burke, T. & Birkhead, T. The phalloid organ, orgasm and sperm competition in a polygynandrous bird: The red-billed buffalo weaver (Bubalornis
- niger). *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **50**, 474–482 (2001).
- 479. Winterbottom, M. & Birkhead, T. The Red-billed Buffalo-Weaver: Observations of anatomy and behaviour. *The Ostrich* **74**, 237–240 (2003).
- 480. Winterstein, S. R. & Raitt, R. J. Nestling Growth and Development and the Breeding Ecology of the Beechey Jay. *The Wilson Bulletin* **95**, 256–268 (1983).
- 481. Woodall, P. Blue-winged Kookaburra (Dacelo leachii). (2020).
- 482. Woodall, P. Family Alcedinidae (Kingfishers). in *Handbook of the birds of the*
- *world. Vol. 6. Mousebirds to hornbills* 130–249 (Lynx edicions: Barcelona, 2001).
- 483. Woodall, P. Pied Kingfisher (Ceryle rudis). (2020).
- 484. Woolfenden, G. & Fitzpatrick, J. Florida Scrub Jays: A synopsis after 18 years of
- study. in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior.*
- (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).
- 485. Woxvold, I. A. Breeding ecology and group dynamics of the apostlebird.
- *Australian Journal of Zoology* **52**, 561–581 (2004).
- 486. Woxvold, I. A. & Mulder, R. A. Mixed mating strategies in cooperatively
- breeding apostlebirds Struthidea cinerea. *Journal of Avian Biology* **39**, 50–56 (2008).
- 1677 487. Wrege, P. H. & Emlen, S. T. Biochemical determination of parental uncertainty in white-fronted bee-eaters. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology* **20**, 153–160 (1987).
- 488. Wright, J., McDonald, P. G., te Marvelde, L., Kazem, A. J. N. & Bishop, C. M.
- Helping effort increases with relatedness in bell miners, but 'unrelated' helpers of both

sexes still provide substantial care. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*

- *Sciences* **277**, 437–445 (2010).
- 1683 489. Wright, J. Helping-at-the-Nest and Group Size in the Arabian Babbler Turdoides squamiceps. *Journal of Avian Biology* **29**, 105 (1998).
- 490. Wright, J., Parker, P. G. & Lundy, K. J. Relatedness and chick-feeding effort in the cooperatively breeding Arabian babbler. *Animal Behaviour* **58**, 779–785 (1999).
- 491. Xu, Y., Yang, N., Zhang, K., Yue, B. & Ran, J. Cooperative breeding by Buff-
- throated Partridge Tetraophasis szechenyii: A case in the Galliformes. *Journal of*
- *Ornithology* **152**, 695–700 (2011).
- 492. Yamagishi, S., Urano, E. & Eguchi, K. Group composition and contributions to breeding by Rufous Vangas Schetba rufa in Madagascar. *Ibis* **137**, 157–161 (1995).
- 493. Yamagishi, S. & Nakamura, M. Sickle-billed Vanga (Falculea palliata). (2020).
- 494. Young, E. C. Dispersal from Natal Territories and the Origin of Cooperatively Polyandrous Breeding Groups in the Brown Skua. *The Condor* **100**, 335–342 (1998).

 495. Young, L. C., Zaun, B. J. & VanderWerf, E. A. Successful same-sex pairing in Laysan albatross. *Biology Letters* **4**, 323–325 (2008).

- 496. Zack, S. Behaviour and breeding biology of the cooperatively breeding Grey-
- backed Fiscal Shrike Lanius excubitorius in Kenya. *Ibis* **128**, 214–233 (1986).
- 497. Zack, S. & Ligon, J. D. Cooperative Breeding in Lanius Shrikes. II. Maintenance
- of Group-Living in a Nonsaturated Habitat. *The Auk* **102**, 766–773 (1985).
- 498. Zahavi, A. Arabian Babblers: The quest for social status in a cooperative breeder.
- in *Cooperative Breeding in Birds: Long-term studies of ecology and behavior*
- (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1990).

Acknowledgments

- **Funding**: We are grateful to Lisandro Milocco for advice on covariance matrix
- comparisons and to funding from the Knut and Alice Wallenberg Foundation
- (Wallenberg Academy fellowship 2018.0138 to CKC) and the Swedish Research Council
- (VR grant number 2017-03880 to CKC; VR postdoc grant to CHW).

Author contributions

- Conceptualization CKC, CHW, EO, PD, ASG. Methodology: CKC, CHW, EO, PD.
- Investigation: CKC, CHW, EO. Visualization: CKC, EO. Funding acquisition: CKC,
- CHW. Project administration: CKC, CHW. Supervision: CKC. Writing original draft:
- CKC, CHW. Writing review & editing: CKC, CHW, EO, PD, ASG.

Corresponding authors

1715 Correspondence to charlie.cornwallis@biol.lu.se or christina.hansen@zoologi.su.se.

Ethics declarations

Competing interests

The authors have no competing interests.

Supplementary Information

- Supplementary tables 1 to 12 are provided in xlsx and html format in the files
- "SupplementaryTables.html" and "SupplementaryTables.xlsx". Full citations of
- references in supplementary tables are given in the method references 65-498