

Title: Demographic expansion and panmixia in a St. Martin endemic, *Anolis pogus*, coincides with the decline of a competitor

Michael L. Yuan¹, Joost Merjenburgh², Timothy P. van Wagensveld³, Lauren A. Esposito¹, Rayna C. Bell¹, Edward A. Myers¹

¹Institute for Biodiversity Science and Sustainability, California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, California, USA

²Applied Biology, AERES University of Applied Science, Almere, Netherlands

³Reptile, Amphibian & Fish Conservation Netherlands (RAVON), Nijmegen, Netherlands

Corresponding author: Michael L Yuan (myuan@calacademy.org)

Abstract

Understanding patterns of differentiation at microgeographic scales can enhance our understanding of evolutionary dynamics and lead to the development of effective conservation strategies. In particular, high levels of landscape heterogeneity can strongly influence species abundances, genetic structure, and demographic trends. The bearded anole, *Anolis pogus*, is endemic to the topographically complex island of St. Martin and of conservation concern. Here, we examined genetic diversity and inbreeding, assessed which features of the landscape influence population abundances, tested for population genetic structure across St. Martin, and inferred historical demographic trends. We found minimal inbreeding or low genetic diversity in *A. pogus*. We found that suitable habitat occurs broadly across the island and that population abundances were largely predicted by canopy cover. However, there was no signature of population genetic structure across the distribution, in contrast to the co-distributed anole species (*Anolis gingivinus*). Historical demographic trends in *A. pogus* were in sharp contrast to *A. gingivinus*, with effective population sizes of *A. pogus* increasing in the recent past while *A. gingivinus* population sizes have declined. We posit that declines in a competitor species allowed for population size expansion in *A. pogus*. Overall, these analyses suggest that *A. pogus* is unlikely to be of immediate conservation concern. Further, we highlight the role of demographic history and ecological interactions in shaping population structure.

Keywords: Lesser Antilles, Caribbean, population genomics, abundance modeling, conservation genomics, *Anolis gingivinus*

Introduction

Island systems have long contributed to our fundamental understanding of evolution (Grant and Grant 2011; Shaw and Gillespie 2016; Patton et al. 2021) but they are also highly threatened and have become a focus of extensive conservation efforts (Graham et al. 2017; Russell and Kueffer 2019). Gene flow is generally strong over small spatial scales, which is thought to inhibit the formation of landscape-level variation on small islands (Wright 1943; Lenormand 2002). Consequently, species on smaller islands are often treated as homogeneous populations. Yet, a growing literature on ‘microgeographic’ differentiation (i.e., differentiation within dispersal capabilities of a species) in genetics, phenotypes, ecology, and abundance have challenged this paradigm (e.g., Malhotra and Thorpe 1991; Richardson et al. 2014; Denney et al. 2020; Scotti et al. 2023; Yuan et al. 2023). Characterizing this microgeographic differentiation has implications for our understanding of evolutionary dynamics on small islands.

Many oceanic islands are volcanic in origin, which can generate topographically complex landscapes over short spatial distances. Variable topology can in turn lead to high degree of variation in both abiotic and biotic heterogeneity that shapes patterns of abundance, genetic structure, and demography across the landscape (Wang and Bradburd 2014; Chandler and Hepinstall-Cymerman 2016; Gurevitch et al. 2016). For example, mountainous terrain can generate population structure by inhibiting gene flow and increasing genetic drift across the landscape due to topography directly (Wright 1943; Wang 2009; Steinbauer et al. 2012; Irl et al. 2015; Verboom et al. 2015) or because of its impact on other environmental variables such as climate and habitat (Wang 2013; Wang et al. 2013; Wang and Bradburd 2014). Species that respond differently to environmental variation across the landscape are also likely to exhibit variation in local abundance (Renjifo 2001; Young and Carr 2015; Chandler and Hepinstall-

Cymerman 2016; Jesse et al. 2018). Furthermore, community composition also varies in heterogeneous landscapes leading to situations in which local abundances and population structure of a given species are shaped by the local influence of their competitors or predators (Andren 1992; Buckley and Roughgarden 2005; Kahilainen et al. 2014). Finally, islands inhabited by humans are also subject to strong land use pressure, which can alter the existing environmental landscape (Graham et al. 2017). For species with limited urban tolerance, changes in land use can lead to population declines (Selwood et al. 2015) and reduced gene flow from habitat fragmentation (Young et al. 1996; Alcaide et al. 2009; Burriel-Carranza et al. 2024). The ability for any of these environmental features to form population structure is influenced by historical demographic fluctuations which can strengthen or weaken the relative effects of genetic drift, gene flow, and selection (Slatkin and Hudson 1991; Slatkin 1993; Hutchison and Templeton 1999). Understanding how species respond to heterogeneous landscapes is vital to our understanding of evolutionary biology and informing conservation management. Integrative studies drawing from a range of ecological and genomic data can provide valuable insights into how species respond to different levels of landscape level variation.

Here, we assess landscape-level population abundance, genetic structure, and historical demography of the bearded anole, *Anolis pogus*, a species of conservation concern endemic to the island of St. Martin (Powell et al. 2020). *Anolis pogus* is a protected species under both Sint Maarten and French regulations that was recently moved from Vulnerable to Near Threatened on the IUCN Red List (Powell et al. 2020). Still, both Red List assessments were based on limited empirical studies. Given the fluctuating conservation status and consistent patterns of microgeographic variation in its regional congeners (Stenson et al. 2002; Jung et al. 2024), this species presents a compelling opportunity to examine microgeographic drivers of abundance and

genetic structure in a species of conservation concern. Although the island of St. Martin is only ~87 km², the co-distributed *Anolis gingivinus* exhibits isolation-by-distance at this scale (Jung et al. 2024) as do several other Lesser Antillean animals distributed on similarly sized islands (e.g., Stenson et al. 2002; Yuan et al. 2022; Daniel et al. 2023). Furthermore, several Lesser Antillean anoles exhibit variable abundance across the landscape in relation to habitat (Buckley and Roughgarden 2005). Observational reports suggest that *A. pogus* abundances are higher at forested sites (Lazell 1972; Schwartz and Henderson 1991; Powell et al. 2005). However, systematic assessments of potential drivers of abundance variation in *A. pogus* are sparse (Jesse et al. 2018) despite being fundamental for understanding the species' ecology and identifying target areas for potential conservation. Finally, *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* are strong competitors (Pacala and Roughgarden 1982, 1985), with *A. gingivinus* thought to be more open canopy and urban adapted despite the two species overlapping throughout the entirety of their ranges on St. Martin (Eaton et al. 2002; Powell et al. 2005). On St. Kitts and Grenada, habitat partitioning between their two anole species drives differential abundances across the landscape (Buckley and Roughgarden 2005). Because habitat partitioning also occurs on St. Martin with *A. pogus* preferring shaded microhabitats in sympatry (Pacala and Roughgarden 1982, 1985), we expect *A. pogus* abundances to be higher in forest habitats where competition from the more open canopy adapted *A. gingivinus* should be weaker. These dynamics may have shifted over time due to historical changes in landcover, allowing us to compare the effects of competition and urbanization on genetic structure and demographic trends. In particular, we predict that European arrival on St. Martin caused population declines in *A. pogus* due to deforestation associated with sugar plantation agriculture and urbanization (Boldingh 1909; Watts 1993) as well as increased competition with the urban tolerant *A. gingivinus*.

Overall, our study has three main aims. First, we tested the hypothesis that *A. pogus* abundances are higher in forested sites across the landscape. Second, we tested the hypothesis that *A. pogus* exhibits microgeographic population structure similar to other species of Lesser Antillean anoles. Third, we inferred recent demographic history in *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* with the expectation that the former has experienced recent declines due to deforestation whereas the latter did not. We discuss the implications of our results for the conservation of *A. pogus* and for our understanding of the interaction between demography and landscape-level ecology and genomics.

Methods

Abundance-based species distribution modeling

We performed abundance-based species distribution modeling using data collected from 100 plots (80 m²) surveyed between 30 November 2018 until 08 February 2019 and distributed throughout the island of St. Martin (Fig 1B). Surveys followed the protocol of McDiarmid et al. (2012). In brief, plots were 8x10m and visually surveyed by walking parallel 10m transects for 40 person minutes. We surveyed all plots during daylight hours (between 0830 and 1800 hours). All survey plots were separated by a minimum of 100m. We determined abundance as the number of *A. pogus* encountered in each plot. We also included 12 additional absence-only sites that were extensively surveyed (>2 person hours) explicitly for *A. pogus* as part of our genetic sampling. We performed analyses with and without these absence-only sites to determine their influence on our results. As environmental layers, we downloaded global canopy cover (Hansen et al. 2013), mean annual temperature (BIO1) and temperature seasonality (BIO4) from WorldClim2 (Fick and Hijmans 2017), land use from WorldCover2.1 (Zanaga et al. 2022), and

elevation from the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) (Farr and Kobrick 2000). We converted land use data into urban coverage using the proportion of cells classified as ‘built-up’. All predictor rasters were resampled to 1 arc-second resolution. We selected environmental variables based on previous hypotheses about the preferred habitat of *A. pogus*. Specifically, that the species preferred upland, closed canopy, and cooler sites (Lazell 1972). We included urbanization due to our particular interest in the influence of land use on this species. For distribution modeling, we extracted values from each raster layer for all survey plots.

We constructed abundance-based species distribution models using two approaches: random forest (RF) and Generalized Linear Model (GLM). We treated abundance data as per plot using the centroid of the plot as its location. For RF models, we assessed variable importance as the decrease in mean squared error (MSE) and selected important variables using the *Boruta* package (Kursa and Rudnicki 2010). This method iteratively compares each variable importance with random importance generated by permuting across variables. Variables that are less important than random are progressively dropped until only important variables remain. Because urbanization and elevation were negatively correlated ($\rho = -0.432$), we tested their importance both together and separately. Collinearity can influence estimates of importance; however, RF models should otherwise be robust to collinearity (Breiman 2001). Thus, we still included both variables in our model fit. We fit our RF model using 1000 trees and a mtry of 1 (mtry is the number of variables sampled as candidates at each split in a RF model). For our GLM approach, we first assessed dispersion using a Poisson distribution. Because our full model using a Poisson distribution was substantially over-dispersed (dispersion = 3.25), we fit our GLM using a negative binomial distribution (dispersion = 0.93). To allow more direct comparison, we refit each of our RF and GLM models using the inclusive set of variables

determined as important in our RF or significant in our GLM. We used each of our refit models to predict the abundance of *A. pogus* across the landscape of St. Martin. We assessed the accuracy of our models using out-of-bag validation for RF (Breiman 2001) as well as using 20% of our data as validation for both RF and GLM. Accuracy was calculated as root mean squared error (RMSE). Analyses were performed in R v4.3.2. We performed RF analyses using the *randomForest* package (Liaw & Weiner 2002) and GLM analyses using the *MASS* package (Venables and Ripley 2002).

Whole genome sequencing

To characterize population structure and landscape genomics, we implemented a low coverage whole genome sequencing (WGS) approach. Between 2018 and 2023, we sampled 54 tail tips from *A. pogus* on the island of St. Martin (Fig 2). We also included 8 samples of the co-distributed *A. gingivinus* (3 from Anguilla and 5 from St. Martin) to assess comparative historical demography as described below. The population structure and landscape genetics of *A. gingivinus* on St. Martin is described elsewhere (Jung et al. 2024). We extracted whole genomic DNA from these tissues using the Qiagen DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit. We prepared whole genome sequencing libraries using 1/4th reactions of the NEBNext Ultra II FS DNA Library Prep Kit. We then performed 150bp paired-end sequencing on the Illumina Novaseq X platform. Following QC and adapter trimming, we aligned raw reads to the *A. sagrei* genome (AnoSag2.1; Geneva et al. 2022) using BWA-MEM (Li 2013). After removing PCR duplicates, we called SNPs in ANGSD (Korneliussen et al. 2014) retaining SNPs present in a minimum of 90% of samples, having a minimum of 108X pooled coverage, and a *P*-value less than 10⁻⁶. We

estimated SAMtools model genotype likelihoods (Li 2011) which were incorporated into all downstream genomic analyses unless otherwise stated.

Genetic Diversity

We calculated metrics of genetic diversity to assess the conservation status of *A. pogus*. Using the folded SFS calculated in realSFS (Nielsen et al. 2012), we determined genome-wide Tajima's D and nucleotide diversity (π) across all samples. We also assessed average inbreeding coefficients (Jacquard 1974) and pairwise relatedness in ngsRelate (Korneliussen and Moltke 2015). Relatedness estimates were used to determine if sibling, or equivalent, pairs (i.e., $r > 0.5$) were sampled which may influence our other analyses. As another estimate of potential inbreeding, we assessed runs of homozygosity (ROH) using bcftools (Narasimhan et al. 2016).

Population structure and landscape genomics

We assessed overall population structure agnostic of landscape features using several methods. First, we performed a principal component analysis (PCA) using genome-wide allele frequencies in PCAngsd. Second, we performed multidimensional scaling (MDS) using the identity-by-state (IBS) matrix generated in ANGSD. Third, we conducted admixture analyses using NGSadmix for ten iterations of $K = 1$ through $K = 6$. We then selected a best K using the ΔK likelihood method from Evanno et al. (2005).

Next, we used landscape genomics methods to assess the effect of environmental variation on genetic diversity. We then compared the relative effects of isolation-by-distance and isolation-by-environment using multiple matrix regression with randomization (MMRR) (Wang 2013). Following the theoretical framework of Hutchison and Templeton (1999), we graphically

assessed the relationship between genetic and environmental distance to determine if gene flow and genetic drift were in equilibrium using the *graph4lg* package in R (Savary et al. 2021). We calculated genomic distance as both IBS in ANGSD and raw p-distances in ngsDist (Vieira et al. 2016). We converted IBS from a similarity to a dissimilarity matrix using 1-IBS for ease of interpretation. To compare with our abundance modeling results, we selected the same set of predictor variables for tests of IBE.

Additionally, we estimated effective migration surfaces (EEMS) across the island of St. Martin (Petkova et al. 2016). This method models continuous genetic diversity across a specified landscape and is based on a stepping-stone model where migration is modeled between demes with migration rates varying by location. Using the genetic dissimilarity matrix calculated from ngsDist, we ran EEMS using a deme size of 400, with three independent starting chains for 2×10^6 MCMC iterations following an initial burn-in of 1×10^6 and thinning of 9,999. Posterior plots were compared across the three independent runs to ensure convergence, these three runs were then combined and visualized in the *reemplots2* R package (<https://github.com/dipetkov/reemplots2>).

Demographic modeling

To assess the demographic history of *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* on the island of St. Martin, we used ngsPSMC (Shchur et al. 2017). This method allowed us to incorporate genotype likelihood values into our demographic reconstruction. We estimated θ as $2N_0\mu \cdot \text{bin}$ where N_0 was 10,000, bin size was 100, and μ was 1.73×10^{-9} . Mutation rate, μ , was the average estimated rates from the genomes of six species of anoles (Kanamori et al. 2022). We set recombination rate, ρ , as 0.002 (Bourgeois et al. 2019; Moran et al. 2024). We scaled our results using a

generation time of 1 year (Kanamori et al. 2022). PSMC methods produce estimates of demographic history using a single genome. However, because ngsPSMC cannot currently perform bootstrapping, we assessed if demographic patterns were consistent across multiple individuals (e.g., Sarabia *et al.* 2020; Moran *et al.* 2023). Specifically, we analyzed 6 *A. pogus* with the highest coverage (>5X) distributed across the island using 20 iterations each and a PSMC pattern of 4+30*2+4+6+10 (Nadachowska-Brzyska et al. 2015; Kanamori et al. 2022). For *A. gingivinus*, we analyzed 5 individuals from St. Martin and 3 from Anguilla using the same parameters. All *A. gingivinus* individuals had coverage >10X and we selected higher coverage individuals of *A. pogus* to improve performance. Our sample size is consistent with general implementation of these methods (e.g., Sarabia *et al.* 2020; Moran *et al.* 2023).

Mitogenome analyses

To compare with nuclear genomic results, we reconstructed the mitogenome for each individual from our WGS data. We implemented a two-step approach to generating whole reference mitogenomes. First, we aligned reads from a single individual to a whole mitochondrial reference genome for *A. schwartzi* (Yuan ML, unpublished data) using BWA-MEM. Both species are part of the *A. wattsi* species complex and are closely related (Lazell 1972). This process yielded an incomplete and non-contiguous mitochondrial genome spanning ~82% of the *A. schwartzi* mitogenome. Second, we used our first pass assembly as a bait to refine the *A. pogus* mitogenome (1096X coverage) for the same individual using MITObim (Hahn et al. 2013). We then mapped reads for each individual to our final reference mitogenome.

We analyzed our mitochondrial data using consensus genotypes. These mitogenomic analyses were intended to directly complement our nuclear genomic analyses described above.

We calculated π and Tajima's D across all samples. To assess IBD and IBE, we calculated Kimura's three-parameter distance (Kimura 1981) and fit MMRR models following our genomic data analyses. We estimated the mitogenome phylogeny using BEAST2 (Bouckaert et al. 2014). To calculate divergence times, we implemented a random local clock using a 1.3% divergence per million years rate estimated for vertebrate mitochondria (Macey et al. 1998), a GTR+I substitution model selected by PartitionFinder2 (Lanfear et al. 2017), and a coalescent exponential tree prior.

Results

Abundance-based species distribution modeling

Our GLM and RF models were largely concordant with each other. We also found that models were similar whether or not additional absence-only sites were included. Thus, we only report results of our full dataset. For our RF models, we found that urbanization, canopy cover, and elevation were important. Urbanization was marginally supported in the full model (Fig 1A), but significantly supported when excluding collinear elevation (Fig S1). Our full RF model explained 23.59% of variation (out-of-bag RMSE = 3.52; validation RMSE = 2.87). Canopy cover was the primary predictor of *A. pogus* abundance on St. Martin (28.94%) with higher abundance in sites with more closed canopy (Fig 1A-C). Abundance was also higher in higher elevation (17.05%) and less urbanized sites (8.54%). In our GLM models, only canopy cover ($z = 3.28$; $P = 0.001$) and urbanization ($z = -2.55$; $P = 0.011$) were significant predictors of abundance. Specifically, *A. pogus* was more abundant in closed canopy and less urbanized sites. Our validation data estimated an RMSE of 3.42 for our GLM.

Genetic Diversity

Our *A. pogus* WGS data had an average mapped coverage of 3.35X and an average mapping efficiency of 94.9% to the *A. sagrei* genome. Nucleotide diversity per chromosome ranged from 0.014 to 0.016. We recovered low levels of relatedness ranging from 0 to 0.095 across our sampling. Thus, we did not remove any samples for being closely related. Mean pairwise relatedness was 0.0255 ± 0.0004 . Inbreeding coefficients were also low across our sampling with a mean of 0.0024 ± 0.0023 and a range of 0 to 0.122. Our ROH analyses are consistent with our estimated inbreeding coefficients (mean $F_{\text{ROH}} = 0.0037 \pm 0.0020$). We did not find any ROH greater than 1Mbp and only 28 individuals had ROH greater than 100 kbp.

Population structure and landscape genomics

Spatial clustering was not evident in our PCA, MDS, or admixture analyses (best $K = 5$; Fig 2, S2). Consistent with these results, we found no evidence for either IBD or IBE using either p-distances (MMRR: $R^2 = 0.036$, $P = 0.678$, Table 1) or IBS (MMRR: $R^2 = 0.013$, $P = 0.830$, Table 1). Our graphical analyses also showed no pattern of IBD (Fig 3B). Rather, the overall curve followed a case II curve which is characterized by a relatively horizontal slope with a tight overall spread of genetic distances and implies a dominance of gene flow over drift (i.e., panmixia; Hutchison and Templeton 1999). Estimated effective migration surfaces suggest that the variation in gene flow across St. Martin is low (Fig S3). Regions where gene flow is suggested to be reduced correspond to regions inferred to having lower abundances of *A. pogus*, likely associated with lower elevation and less canopy cover in these areas (Fig 1B-C).

Demographic modeling

We found consistent support for recent population expansion in *A. pogus* among our demographic analyses. Tajima's D was negative across chromosomes (range: -1.13 to -1.02). We inferred consistent demographic histories from ngsPSMC across all six individuals suggesting relatively robust results. We inferred two periods of major population expansion (Fig 3A). The older expansion occurred during the Pleistocene from ~55–80 kya and was followed by a major population decline from ~10–55 kya, both during the last glacial period. The most recent population expansion began during the Holocene ~2–3 kya and persists to the present. For *A. gingivinus*, our ngsPSMC analyses inferred historical population declines from ~30–80 kya and ~3000 kya to the present. We also inferred a population expansion from ~3–26 kya. Our results for *A. gingivinus* were similar for the Anguilla and St. Martin populations.

Mitochondrial comparison

Mitogenome nucleotide diversity was 0.004. Consistent with our nuclear results, we recovered no mitochondrial spatial structure across the island of St. Martin for *A. pogus*. Specifically, we did not find evidence of either IBD or IBE (MMRR: $R^2 = 0.006$, $P = 0.951$, Table 1). Our mitogenome phylogeny was well-supported but clades did not correspond to populations or geographic regions (Fig 2). The last common mitochondrial ancestor of our extant samples was estimated to 1.80 Ma [1.62–1.98 Ma]. As with our genomic results, our mitochondrial analyses also detected signatures of a recent population expansion (Tajima's D = -2.19).

Discussion

We did not find evidence of range restriction, population decline, or genetic structure in *A. pogus* on the island of St. Martin. Rather, *A. pogus* was inferred to occur broadly throughout the island, albeit with variable abundances primarily predicted by canopy cover. The species was also inferred to be undergoing a recent demographic expansion that likely has not reached equilibrium with genetic drift, resulting in panmixia. In comparison, the more widespread, urban adapted (Lazell 1972; Schwartz and Henderson 1991; Eaton et al. 2002; Powell et al. 2005), and spatially-structured (Yuan et al. 2023; Jung et al. 2024) *A. gingivinus* exhibited an unexpected signal of recent population decline both on St. Martin and on neighboring Anguilla. We discuss our findings and how they inform our understanding of the interaction between microgeographic adaptation, interspecific competition, and demographic responses to shared stressors in the system.

Landscape ecology and genomics

Although *A. pogus* exhibited microgeographic patterns of increased abundance with increasing canopy cover, we did not find any evidence of population structure or landscape effects in *A. pogus* for either our nuclear genomic or mitogenomic data (Fig 2, Table 1). This lack of microgeographic genomic structure may not be surprising as gene flow is expected to be stronger across short distances (Wright 1943) and St. Martin is only ~87 km². Yet, microgeographic population structure has been documented in several species on small islands (Richardson et al. 2014; Cheek et al. 2022). In particular, several other Lesser Antillean species display patterns of within-island microgeographic structure including other anoles (Stenson et al. 2002; Jung et al. 2024; McGreevy et al. 2024), *Setophaga plumbea* warblers (Daniel et al. 2023), and *Eleutherodactylus* whistling frogs (Yuan et al. 2022; Myers et al. 2024). In particular, our *A.*

pogus results contrast with previous work that found IBD in *A. gingivinus* co-distributed on the island of St. Martin (Jung et al. 2024). It is possible that this difference could arise from greater dispersal propensity (i.e. stronger gene flow) in *A. pogus* compared to *A. gingivinus*. To our knowledge, the relative dispersal capabilities for these species have not yet been characterized. Alternatively, the contrasting spatial genetic patterns between *A. gingivinus* and *A. pogus* may reflect their different recent demographic histories. Notably, we recovered a signal of rapid recent population growth in *A. pogus* that coincides with population decline in *A. gingivinus* (Fig 3A).

Rapid population growth following a bottleneck can result in little to no population structure (Slatkin and Hudson 1991; Slatkin 1993; Milà et al. 2000; Tolley et al. 2005). Following population expansion, gene flow and genetic drift are predicted to be in disequilibrium. Indeed, our observed relationship between genetic and geographic distance is consistent with disequilibrium between gene flow and genetic drift in which gene flow predominates (Fig 3B). Furthermore, we observed a strong potential demographic bottleneck in *A. pogus* beginning during the last Pleistocene glacial period and persisting until ~3000 years ago when the population began to expand (Fig 3A). By contrast, *A. gingivinus* has experienced a dramatic population decline beginning around ~3000 years ago that followed a rapid population expansion exiting the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM). Because population declines often reduce local genetic variation (Frankham 1996), they may heighten rather than lessen population structure by increasing the effect of drift (Young et al. 1996; Alcaide et al. 2009). Thus, population structure in *A. gingivinus* may be reflective of either structure that has accumulated since the LGM or a result of elevated drift during recent population decline. In any case, our data

suggest that accounting for recent demographic histories is likely important for contextualizing extant genomic structuring at a fine geographic scale.

Although, we did not observe genetic structure in either the nuclear or mitochondrial genomic datasets for *A. pogus*, we found that landscape features did predict differences in relative abundances in this species across St. Martin (Fig 1). Our findings that canopy cover best predicted the local abundance of *A. pogus* supports previously reported natural history observations (Lazell 1972; Schwartz and Henderson 1991; Powell et al. 2005) and available survey data (Jesse et al. 2018). On other Lesser Antillean islands with two anole species (i.e., St. Kitts and Grenada), competition suppresses local abundance beyond what would be predicted by bioenergetic models (Buckley and Roughgarden 2005). Thus, the high abundance of *A. gingivinus* in open canopy habitats (Lazell 1972; Schwartz and Henderson 1991; Eaton et al. 2002; Powell et al. 2005) likely further suppresses the population of *A. pogus* that would otherwise occur. Unfortunately, we could not directly assess the influence of competition on *A. pogus* abundances because we lacked survey data for *A. gingivinus* but our results suggest that further investigations of competition between the species may be fruitful.

Like abundances, other ecological features may also respond more rapidly than genetic structure to environmental variation following major demographic events. For example, epigenetics (Wogan et al. 2020), microbiomes (Yuan et al. 2015; Couch and Epps 2022), and morphology (Malhotra and Thorpe 1991; Yuan et al. 2023), can exhibit microgeographic variation despite a lack of concordant genomic structure. Indeed, *A. gingivinus* displays variation in dorsal coloration in response to environment that is not predicted by background population structure (Yuan et al. 2023; Jung et al. 2024). Whether this phenomenon is shared in *A. pogus* has not been formally tested. Expanding our view of these phenotypes are likely to be fruitful

avenues of research for understanding landscape ecology following major demographic expansions or contractions.

Demographic response to the Anthropocene

Our demographic models indicated that *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* do not follow the same population trends. Both species showed population declines during the last glacial period, perhaps due to shared environmental pressures. However, only *A. gingivinus* experienced demographic expansion following the LGM. This pattern is somewhat unexpected given that total land area of St. Martin was larger during the last glacial period and subsequently shrunk throughout the Holocene. Island area effects would generally predict that carrying capacity should be higher for larger islands (Connor et al. 2000); however, other factors such as habitat suitability and competitive interactions may have greater impacts on carrying capacity than simply island size. Because we lack systematic abundance data for *A. gingivinus* and historical habitat data is limited, we cannot assess current or past habitat suitability for this species to compare with that of *A. pogus*. With respect to competition, however, fossil evidence suggests a dramatic increase in relative *A. gingivinus* abundance on Anguilla coincident with the extinction of predatory *Leiocephalus* lizards approximately 9 thousand years ago (Kemp and Hadly 2016). Thus, population expansion in *A. gingivinus* may be due to shifts in the overall community composition during the early Holocene.

More recently, we inferred a decline in *A. gingivinus* and a population expansion in *A. pogus* following human arrival on St. Martin an estimated 5,000 years before present (Napolitano et al. 2019). *Anolis gingivinus* is thought to be better adapted to human commensal living (Powell et al. 2005) and deforestation throughout the Holocene, particularly acute

following European arrival (Boldingh 1909; Watts 1993), would have increased their preferred open canopy area (Lazell 1972; Eaton et al. 2002). Correspondingly, we predicted that *A. gingivinus* should have expanded and *A. pogus* contracted during the human-occupied period. Yet, we recovered the opposite pattern. Recent work has highlighted that tolerance for urbanization does not necessarily mean species are insulated from population declines (Moran et al. 2024; Petrenko et al. 2024). Our study provides further evidence that human activity can have counterintuitive effects on species including leading to declines despite their apparent ability to inhabit urban environments.

With respect to the divergence in the demographic responses of *A. gingivinus* and *A. pogus* to a shared history, one explanation is that ecological partitioning impacted how each species responded to changes in habitat distribution or overall community structure (Pacala and Roughgarden 1982). An alternative explanation is that interspecific competition with *A. gingivinus* drove population trends in *A. pogus*. Evidence for competition between Lesser Antillean anoles is strong and comes from both observational, modeling, and experimental data (Pacala and Roughgarden 1982, 1985; Losos 1990). Further, there is evidence that competition between *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* is the strongest among Lesser Antillean anole communities because they have undergone less niche partitioning. Lesser Antillean anoles exhibit strong body size partitioning on islands with two species, but this pattern is weakest on St. Martin (Losos 1990) and experiments have shown that the fitness consequences of competition between *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus* exceeds that of other Lesser Antillean anole species pairs (Pacala and Roughgarden 1982, 1985).

We found similar recent demographic histories for *A. gingivinus* on Anguilla (where *A. pogus* is absent) and those on St. Martin (where *A. pogus* is present), suggesting that *A. gingivinus*

populations are responding to broader patterns influencing the region rather than responding directly to *A. pogus* (Fig 3A). Previous work by Schall (1992) posited that coexistence between *A. gingivinus* and *A. pogus* may be mediated by *Plasmodium* infection rates. Originally, this hypothesis was supported by greater susceptibility to *Plasmodium* parasites in *A. gingivinus* and greater densities of *A. pogus* in habitats with higher parasite pressure. As anole infecting *Plasmodium* have largely disappeared from St. Martin (Perkins 2001), *A. pogus* should have recently declined if this hypothesis was true. Yet, we observe the opposite pattern of increasing *A. pogus* and decreasing *A. gingivinus* despite the decline of *Plasmodium*. Thus, we argue the countervailing population trends in *A. pogus* are likely due to increased competition due to the expansion of *A. gingivinus* in the early Holocene and the subsequent decline of *A. gingivinus* following human arrival in the region.

Relevance to Conservation

Widespread deforestation for agriculture during the colonial period (Boldingh 1909; Watts 1993) does not appear to have had a major impact on demographic trends in *A. pogus*. Furthermore, the genomic signatures of population expansion into the present are supported by the limited available census data (Schwartz and Henderson 1991; Powell 2006). In addition to population demographic growth, overall genomic diversity appears to be strong and estimated inbreeding is extremely low. Thus, it appears that the population of *A. pogus* on St. Martin is both demographically expanding and exhibits low genome-wide inbreeding. Overall, our data support the recent reclassification of *A. pogus* from Vulnerable to Near Threatened on the IUCN Red List (Powell et al. 2020). Our study, along with the IUCN reclassification, indicates that *A. pogus* does not require the heightened level of protection it is currently afforded. This case study

highlights the value in conducting studies of species assumed to be of conservation concern based on limited empirical data. When conservation resources are scarce, they may be better served on other species. We note, however, that the long-term population stability of *A. pogus* is more likely if forested habitats are preserved given that the species occurs at lower abundances in degraded habitat including urban environments. Conservation of forested habitats should produce positive outcomes beyond *A. pogus* as deforestation appears to have broad negative impacts on many of St. Martin's other native species (Jesse et al. 2018).

Conclusion

All together, we present evidence that *A. pogus* consists of a panmictic island-wide population that is demographically expanding and does not show signs of reduced genetic diversity or high levels of inbreeding that might be expected from a bottleneck. (Fig 2, 3B). The lack of population structure was in contrast to the co-distributed *A. gingivinus* (Jung et al. 2024). We posit that this difference is likely due to different recent demographic histories in which *A. gingivinus* has declined despite being more urban-adapted and *A. pogus* has expanded, perhaps due to its declining competitor (Fig 3A). Furthermore, we show that the landscape of human activity can sometimes be less important than major historical demographic events for determining extant population structure and demography. In particular, we highlight the value in considering species interactions in relation to anthropogenic stressors.

Funding

This work was supported by an Evolutionary, Ecological, and Conservation Genomics Research Award (award number: AGA24EECG010) to MLY; and the California Academy of Sciences' Islands 2030 initiative.

Acknowledgements

All sampling was conducted under permits from the Nature Foundation Sint Maarten and Direction de L'Environnement de l'Aménagement et du Logement (DEAL) de Saint-Barthélemy et de Saint-Martin. Protocols were approved by the California Academy of Sciences and University of California, Berkeley IACUCs. We thank the Nature Foundation Sint Maarten and RAVON for sponsoring abundance survey data. For help in the field, we thank Melanie Meijer zu Schlochtern, Julien Chalifour, Leslie Hickerson, Anna Venema, Jeffrey Frederick, Catherine Jung, Laurel Allen, Lindzy Bivings, Ayanna Browne, Luigie Alequin, and Mauna Desari. For help in the lab, we thank Athena Lam and Grace Kim. Library preparation was conducted at the Center for Comparative Genomics (California Academy of Sciences) and sequencing was performed by the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Lab (University of California, Berkeley). This study was supported by the California Academy of Sciences' Islands 2030 initiative. Additional funding was provided by an Evolutionary, Ecological, and Conservation Genomics Research Award (award number: AGA24EECG010) to MLY.

Data Availability

Raw sequence data are accessioned in SRA (PRJNA1278788). All other data are accessioned in Dryad and code in Zenodo (DOI:10.5061/dryad.59zw3r2mg).

References

- Alcaide, M., D. Serrano, J. J. Negro, J. L. Tella, T. Laaksonen, C. Müller, A. Gal, and E. Korpimäki. 2009. Population fragmentation leads to isolation by distance but not genetic impoverishment in the philopatric Lesser Kestrel: a comparison with the widespread and sympatric Eurasian Kestrel. *Heredity* 102:190–198.
- Andren, H. 1992. Corvid density and nest predation in relation to forest fragmentation: a landscape perspective. *Ecology* 73:794–804.
- Boldingh, I., 1909. *The flora of the Dutch West Indian islands St. Eustatius, Saba and St. Martin*. Brill, Leiden, Netherlands.
- Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C.-H. Wu, D. Xie, M. A. Suchard, A. Rambaut, and A. J. Drummond. 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLOS Comput. Biol.* 10:e1003537.
- Bourgeois, Y., R. P. Ruggiero, J. D. Manthey, and S. Boissinot. 2019. Recent secondary contacts, linked selection, and variable recombination rates shape genomic diversity in the model species *Anolis carolinensis*. *Genome Biol. Evol.* 11:2009–2022.
- Breiman, L. (2001). Random Forests. *Machine Learning*. 45: 5–32
- Buckley, L. B., and J. Roughgarden. 2005. Effect of species interactions on landscape abundance patterns. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 74:1182–1194.
- Burriel-Carranza, B., G. Mochales-Riaño, A. Talavera, J. Els, M. Estarellas, S. Al Saadi, J. D. Urriago Suarez, P. O. Olsson, M. Matschiner, and S. Carranza. 2024. Clinging on the brink: whole genomes reveal human-induced population declines and severe inbreeding in the critically endangered Emirati leaf-toed gecko (*Asaccus caudivolvulus*). *Mol. Ecol.* 33:e17451.

512 Chandler, R., and J. Hepinstall-Cymerman. 2016. Estimating the spatial scales of landscape
513 effects on abundance. *Landsc. Ecol.* 31:1383–1394.

514 Cheek, R. G., B. R. Forester, P. E. Salerno, D. R. Trumbo, K. M. Langin, N. Chen, T. Scott
515 Sillett, S. A. Morrison, C. K. Ghalambor, and W. Chris Funk. 2022. Habitat-linked
516 genetic variation supports microgeographic adaptive divergence in an island-endemic
517 bird species. *Mol. Ecol.* 31:2830–2846.

518 Connor, E. F., A. C. Courtney, and J. M. Yoder. 2000. Individuals–area relationships: the
519 relationship between animal population density and area. *Ecology* 81:734–748.

520 Couch, C. E., and C. W. Epps. 2022. Host, microbiome, and complex space: applying population
521 and landscape genetic approaches to gut microbiome research in wild populations. *J.*
522 *Hered.* 113:221–234.

523 Daniel, A., P. Savary, J.-C. Foltête, A. Khimoun, B. Faivre, A. Ollivier, C. Éraud, H. Moal, G.
524 Vuidel, and S. Garnier. 2023. Validating graph-based connectivity models with
525 independent presence–absence and genetic data sets. *Conserv. Biol.* 37:e14047.

526 Denney, D. A., M. I. Jameel, J. B. Bemmels, M. E. Rochford, and J. T. Anderson. 2020. Small
527 spaces, big impacts: contributions of micro-environmental variation to population
528 persistence under climate change. *AoB PLANTS* 12:plaa005.

529 Eaton, J. M., S. C. Larimer, K. G. Howard, R. Powell, and J. S. Parmerlee. 2002. Population
530 densities and ecological release of the solitary lizard *Anolis gingivinus* in Anguilla, West
531 Indies. *Caribb. J. Sci.* 38:27–36.

532 Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals
533 using the software structure: a simulation study. *Mol. Ecol.* 14:2611–2620.

534 Farr, T. G., and M. Kobrick. 2000. Shuttle radar topography mission produces a wealth of data.
535 Eos Trans. Am. Geophys. Union 81:583–585.

536 Fick, S. E., and R. J. Hijmans. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces
537 for global land areas. Int. J. Climatol. 37:4302–4315.

538 Frankham, R. 1996. Relationship of genetic variation to population size in wildlife. Conserv.
539 Biol. 10:1500–1508.

540 Geneva, A. J., S. Park, D. G. Bock, P. L. H. de Mello, F. Sarigol, M. Tollis, C. M. Donihue, R.
541 G. Reynolds, N. Feiner, A. M. Rasys, J. D. Lauderdale, S. G. Minchey, A. J. Alcala, C.
542 R. Infante, J. J. Kolbe, D. Schluter, D. B. Menke, and J. B. Losos. 2022. Chromosome-
543 scale genome assembly of the brown anole (*Anolis sagrei*), an emerging model species.
544 Commun. Biol. 5:1–13.

545 Graham, N. R., D. S. Gruner, J. Y. Lim, and R. G. Gillespie. 2017. Island ecology and evolution:
546 challenges in the Anthropocene. Environ. Conserv. 44:323–335.

547 Grant, P., and B. R. Grant. 2011. How and Why Species Multiply. Princeton University Press.

548 Gurevitch, J., G. A. Fox, N. L. Fowler, and C. H. Graham. 2016. Landscape demography:
549 population change and its drivers across spatial scales. Q. Rev. Biol. 91:459–485.

550 Hahn, C., L. Bachmann, and B. Chevreux. 2013. Reconstructing mitochondrial genomes directly
551 from genomic next-generation sequencing reads—a baiting and iterative mapping
552 approach. Nucleic Acids Res. 41:e129.

553 Hansen, M. C., P. V. Potapov, R. Moore, M. Hancher, S. A. Turubanova, A. Tyukavina, D.
554 Thau, S. V. Stehman, S. J. Goetz, T. R. Loveland, A. Kommareddy, A. Egorov, L. Chini,
555 C. O. Justice, and J. R. G. Townshend. 2013. High-resolution global maps of 21st-
556 century forest cover change. Science 342:850–853.

557 Hutchison, D. W., and A. R. Templeton. 1999. Correlation of pairwise genetic and geographic
 558 distance measures: inferring the relative influences of gene flow and drift on the
 559 distribution of genetic variability. *Evolution* 53:1898–1914.

560 Irl, S. D. H., D. E. V. Harter, M. J. Steinbauer, D. G. Puyol, J. M. Fernández-Palacios, A.
 561 Jentsch, and C. Beierkuhnlein. 2015. Climate vs. topography – spatial patterns of plant
 562 species diversity and endemism on a high-elevation island. *J. Ecol.* 103:1621–1633.

563 Jacquard, A. 1974. *The Genetic Structure of Populations*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

564 Jesse, W. A. M., J. E. Behm, M. R. Helmus, and J. Ellers. 2018. Human land use promotes the
 565 abundance and diversity of exotic species on Caribbean islands. *Glob. Change Biol.*
 566 24:4784–4796.

567 Jung, C., J. H. Frederick, N. R. Graham, I. J. Wang, C. Fenton, K. de Queiroz, R. C. Bell, and M.
 568 L. Yuan. 2024. Environmentally associated colour divergence does not coincide with
 569 population structure across Lesser Antillean anoles. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* blae047.

570 Kahilainen, A., I. Keränen, K. Kuitunen, J. S. Kotiaho, and K. E. Knott. 2014. Interspecific
 571 interactions influence contrasting spatial genetic structures in two closely related
 572 damselfly species. *Mol. Ecol.* 23:4976–4988.

573 Kanamori, S., L. M. Díaz, A. Cádiz, K. Yamaguchi, S. Shigenobu, and M. Kawata. 2022. Draft
 574 genome of six Cuban *Anolis* lizards and insights into genetic changes during their
 575 diversification. *BMC Ecol. Evol.* 22:129.

576 Kemp, M. E., and E. A. Hadly. 2016. Early Holocene turnover, followed by stability, in a
 577 Caribbean lizard assemblage. *Quat. Res.* 85:255–261.

578 Kimura, M. 1981. Estimation of evolutionary distances between homologous nucleotide
 579 sequences. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 78:454–458.

580 Korneliussen, T. S., A. Albrechtsen, and R. Nielsen. 2014. ANGSD: Analysis of Next
581 Generation Sequencing Data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15:356.

582 Korneliussen, T. S., and I. Moltke. 2015. NgsRelate: a software tool for estimating pairwise
583 relatedness from next-generation sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* 31:4009–4011.

584 Kurs, M. B., and W. R. Rudnicki. 2010. Feature selection with the boruta package. *J. Stat.*
585 *Softw.* 36:1–13.

586 Lanfear, R., P. B. Frandsen, A. M. Wright, T. Senfeld, and B. Calcott. 2017. PartitionFinder 2:
587 new methods for selecting partitioned models of evolution for molecular and
588 morphological phylogenetic analyses. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 34:772–773.

589 Lazell, J. D. 1972. The anoles (Sauria, Iguanidae) of the Lesser Antilles. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.*
590 143:1–115.

591 Lenormand, T. 2002. Gene flow and the limits to natural selection. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 17:183–
592 189.

593 Li, H. 2011. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association mapping
594 and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*
595 27:2987–2993.

596 Li, H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.
597 ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio.

598 Liaw, A. and Wiener, M. (2002). Classification and Regression by randomForest. *R News*, 2:18-
599 22. <https://CRAN.R-project.org/doc/Rnews/>.

600 Losos, J. B. 1990. A phylogenetic analysis of character displacement in Caribbean *Anolis* lizards.
601 *Evolution* 44:558–569.

602 Macey, J. R., J. A. Schulte, A. Larson, Z. Fang, Y. Wang, B. S. Tuniyev, and T. J. Papenfuss.
 603 1998. Phylogenetic relationships of toads in the *Bufo bufo* species group from the eastern
 604 escarpment of the Tibetan plateau: a case of vicariance and dispersal. *Mol. Phylogenet.*
 605 *Evol.* 9:80–87.

606 Malhotra, A., and R. S. Thorpe. 1991. Microgeographic variation in *Anolis oculatus*, on the
 607 island of Dominica, West Indies. *J. Evol. Biol.* 4:321–335.

608 McDiarmid, R. W., M. S. Foster, C. Guyer, J. W. Gibbons, and N. Chernoff (eds). 2012. Reptile
 609 Biodiversity: Standard Methods for Inventory and Monitoring.

610 McGreevy, T.J., N.G. Crawford, P. Legreneur, C.J. Schneider. 2024. Influence of geographic
 611 isolation and the environment on gene flow among phenotypically diverse lizards.
 612 *Heredity*

613 Milà, B., D. J. Girman, M. Kimura, and T. B. Smith. 2000. Genetic evidence for the effect of a
 614 postglacial population expansion on the phylogeography of a North American songbird.
 615 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 267:1033–1040. Royal Society.

616 Moran, P. A., M. Bosse, J. Mariën, and W. Halfwerk. 2024. Genomic footprints of (pre)
 617 colonialism: population declines in urban and forest túngara frogs coincident with
 618 historical human activity. *Mol. Ecol.* 33:e17258.

619 Myers, E.A., Alequín, L., Browne, A., Mulder, K.P., Rivera, D., Esposito, L.A., Bell, R.C., and
 620 S. B. Hedges. 2024. Population divergence in co-distributed Caribbean landfrogs
 621 (Eleutherodactylidae: *Eleutherodactylus*) along the Soufrière volcanic slope of
 622 Guadeloupe Island, Lesser Antilles, *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* 202:zlae128

623 Nadachowska-Brzyska, K., C. Li, L. Smeds, G. Zhang, and H. Ellegren. 2015. Temporal
624 dynamics of avian populations during Pleistocene revealed by whole-genome sequences.
625 Curr. Biol. 25:1375–1380.

626 Narasimhan, V., P. Danecek, A. Scally, Y. Xue, C. Tyler-Smith, and R. Durbin. 2016.
627 BCFtools/RoH: a hidden Markov model approach for detecting autozygosity from next-
628 generation sequencing data. Bioinformatics 32:1749–1751.

629 Napolitano, M.F., R. J. DiNapoli, J. H. Stone, M. J. Levin, N. P. Jew, B. G. Lane, J. T.
630 O’Connor, S. M. Fitzpatrick. 2019. Reevaluating human colonization of the Caribbean
631 using chronometric hygiene and Bayesian modeling. Sci. Adv. 5:eaar7806

632 Nielsen, R., T. Korneliussen, A. Albrechtsen, Y. Li, and J. Wang. 2012. SNP calling, genotype
633 calling, and sample allele frequency estimation from new-generation sequencing data.
634 PLOS ONE 7:e37558.

635 Pacala, S., and J. Roughgarden. 1982. Resource partitioning and interspecific competition in two
636 two-species insular *Anolis* lizard communities. Science 217:444–446.

637 Pacala, S. W., and J. Roughgarden. 1985. Population experiments with the *Anolis* lizards of St.
638 Maarten and St. Eustatius. Ecology 66:129–141.

639 Patton, A. H., L. J. Harmon, M. del R. Castañeda, H. K. Frank, C. M. Donihue, A. Herrel, and J.
640 B. Losos. 2021. When adaptive radiations collide: different evolutionary trajectories
641 between and within island and mainland lizard clades. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 118.

642 Perkins, S. L. 2001. Phylogeography of Caribbean lizard malaria: tracing the history of vector-
643 borne parasites, J. Evol. Biol. 14:34–45

644 Petkova, D., J. Novembre, and M. Stephens. 2016. Visualizing spatial population structure with
645 estimated effective migration surfaces. Nat. Genet. 48:94–100.

646 Petrenko, J. A., P. R. Martin, R. E. Fanelli, and F. Bonier. 2024. Urban tolerance does not protect
 647 against population decline in North American birds. *Biol. Lett.* 20:20230507.
 648 Powell, R. 2006. Conservation of the herpetofauna on the Dutch Windward Islands: St.
 649 Eustatius, Saba, and St. Maarten. *Appl. Herpetol.* 3:293–306.
 650 Powell, R., M. Dewynter, J. C. Daltry, and D. L. Mahler. 2020. *Anolis pogus*. International
 651 Union for the Conservation of Nature Red List of Threatened Species
 652 Powell, R., R. W. Henderson, and J. S. Parmerlee. 2005. The reptiles and amphibians of the
 653 Dutch Caribbean : St Eustatius, Saba, and St Maarten.
 654 Renjifo, L. M. 2001. Effect of natural and anthropogenic landscape matrices on the abundance of
 655 subandean bird species. *Ecol. Appl.* 11:14–31.
 656 Richardson, J. L., M. C. Urban, D. I. Bolnick, and D. K. Skelly. 2014. Microgeographic
 657 adaptation and the spatial scale of evolution. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 29:165–176.
 658 Russell, J. C., and C. Kueffer. 2019. Island biodiversity in the Anthropocene. *Annu. Rev.*
 659 *Environ. Resour.* 44:31–60.
 660 Sarabia, C., vonHoldt, B., Larrasoana, J.C., Uríos, V. and Leonard, J.A. (2021), Pleistocene
 661 climate fluctuations drove demographic history of African golden wolves (*Canis*
 662 *lupaster*). *Mol Ecol*, 30: 6101-6120.
 663 Savary, P., J.-C. Foltête, H. Moal, G. Vuidel, and S. Garnier. 2021. graph4lg: A package for
 664 constructing and analysing graphs for landscape genetics in R. *Methods Ecol. Evol.*
 665 12:539–547.
 666 Schall, J.J. 1992. Parasite-mediated competition in *Anolis* lizards. *Oecologia* **92**:58–64
 667 Schwartz, A., and R. W. Henderson. 1991. Amphibians and Reptiles of the West Indies:
 668 Descriptions, Distributions, and Natural History. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.

669 Scotti, I., H. Lalagüe, S. Oddou-Muratorio, C. Scotti-Saintagne, R. Ruiz Daniels, D. Grivet, F.
 670 Lefevre, P. Cubry, B. Fady, S. C. González-Martínez, A. Roig, I. Lesur-Kupin, F.
 671 Bagnoli, V. Guerin, C. Plomion, P. Rozenberg, and G. G. Vendramin. 2023. Common
 672 microgeographical selection patterns revealed in four European conifers. *Mol. Ecol.*
 673 32:393–411.

674 Selwood, K. E., M. A. McGeoch, and R. Mac Nally. 2015. The effects of climate change and
 675 land-use change on demographic rates and population viability. *Biol. Rev.* 90:837–853.

676 Shaw, K. L., and R. G. Gillespie. 2016. Comparative phylogeography of oceanic archipelagos:
 677 Hotspots for inferences of evolutionary process. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 113:7986–7993.

678 Shchur, V., T. S. Korneliussen, and R. Nielsen. 2017. ngsPSMC: genotype likelihood-based
 679 PSMC for analysis of low coverage NGS. (<https://github.com/ANGSD/ngsPSMC>)

680 Slatkin, M. 1993. Isolation by distance in equilibrium and non-equilibrium populations.
 681 *Evolution* 47:264–279.

682 Slatkin, M., and R. R. Hudson. 1991. Pairwise comparisons of mitochondrial DNA sequences in
 683 stable and exponentially growing populations. *Genetics* 129:555–562.

684 Steinbauer, M. J., R. Otto, A. Naranjo-Cigala, C. Beierkuhnlein, and J.-M. Fernández-Palacios.
 685 2012. Increase of island endemism with altitude – speciation processes on oceanic
 686 islands. *Ecography* 35:23–32.

687 Stenson, A.G., Malhotra, A. and R.S. Thorpe. 2002. Population differentiation and nuclear gene
 688 flow in the Dominican anole (*Anolis oculatus*). *Mol. Ecol.* 11: 1679-1688.

689 Tolley, K. A., J. C. Groeneveld, K. Gopal, and C. A. Matthee. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA
 690 panmixia in spiny lobster *Palinurus gilchristi* suggests a population expansion. *Mar.*
 691 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 297:225–231.

692 Venables, W.N. and Ripley, B.D. (2002). *Modern Applied Statistics with S*, Fourth edition.
693 Springer, New York.

694 Verboom, G. A., N. G. Bergh, S. A. Haiden, V. Hoffmann, and M. N. Britton. 2015. Topography
695 as a driver of diversification in the Cape Floristic Region of South Africa. *New Phytol.*
696 207:368–376.

697 Vieira, F. G., F. Lassalle, T. S. Korneliussen, and M. Fumagalli. 2016. Improving the estimation
698 of genetic distances from next-generation sequencing data. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* 117:139–
699 149.

700 Wang, I. J. 2013. Examining the full effects of landscape heterogeneity on spatial genetic
701 variation: a multiple matrix regression approach for quantifying geographic and
702 ecological isolation. *Evolution* 67:3403–3411.

703 Wang, I. J. 2009. Fine-scale population structure in a desert amphibian: landscape genetics of the
704 black toad (*Bufo exsul*). *Mol. Ecol.* 18:3847–3856.

705 Wang, I. J., and G. S. Bradburd. 2014. Isolation by environment. *Mol. Ecol.* 23:5649–5662.

706 Wang, I. J., R. E. Glor, and J. B. Losos. 2013. Quantifying the roles of ecology and geography in
707 spatial genetic divergence. *Ecol. Lett.* 16:175–182.

708 Watts, D. 1993. Long-term environmental influences on development in islands of the Lesser
709 Antilles. *Scottish Geographical Magazine* 109:133–141

710 Wogan, G. O. U., M. L. Yuan, D. L. Mahler, and I. J. Wang. 2020. Genome-wide epigenetic
711 isolation by environment in a widespread *Anolis* lizard. *Mol. Ecol.* 29:40–55.

712 Wright, S. 1943. Isolation by distance. *Genetics* 28:114–138.

713 Young, A., T. Boyle, and T. Brown. 1996. The population genetic consequences of habitat
714 fragmentation for plants. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 11:413–418.

715 Young, M., and M. H. Carr. 2015. Application of species distribution models to explain and
716 predict the distribution, abundance and assemblage structure of nearshore temperate reef
717 fishes. *Divers. Distrib.* 21:1428–1440.

718 Yuan, M. L., S. H. Dean, A. V. Longo, B. B. Rothermel, T. D. Tuberville, and K. R. Zamudio.
719 2015. Kinship, inbreeding and fine-scale spatial structure influence gut microbiota in a
720 hindgut-fermenting tortoise. *Mol. Ecol.* 24:2521–2536.

721 Yuan, M. L., J. H. Frederick, J. A. McGuire, R. C. Bell, S. R. Smith, C. Fenton, J. Cassius, R.
722 Williams, I. J. Wang, R. Powell, and S. B. Hedges. 2022. Endemism, invasion, and
723 overseas dispersal: the phylogeographic history of the Lesser Antillean frog,
724 *Eleutherodactylus johnstonei*. *Biol. Invasions* 24:2707–2722.

725 Yuan, M. L., C. Jung, J. H. Frederick, C. Fenton, K. de Queiroz, J. Cassius, R. Williams, I. J.
726 Wang, and R. C. Bell. 2023. Parallel and non-parallel phenotypic responses to
727 environmental variation across Lesser Antillean anoles. *Evolution* 77:1031–1042.

728 Zanaga, D., R. Van De Kerchove, D. Daems, W. De Keersmaecker, C. Brockmann, G. Kirches,
729 J. Wevers, O. Cartus, M. Santoro, S. Fritz, M. Lesiv, M. Herold, N. E. Tsendbazar, P. Xu,
730 F. Ramoino, and O. Arino. 2022. ESA WorldCover 10 m 2021 v200. Zenodo.

731

Figure Captions

Fig 1 (A) Boxplots of importance for each variable (%decrease in MSE) in our full RF models. Minimum, mean, and maximum null values are also shown. Variables are colored by importance assessment: green – important, yellow – marginally important, and orange – not important. (B) Predicted abundance across the landscape of St. Martin based on important variable (canopy cover + elevation + urbanization) RF model. Abundance survey plots are shown as magenta points. (C) Predicted abundance across St. Martin based on GLM.

Fig 2 Above: PCA and MDS plots generated from WGS data for *A. pogus* sampled throughout the island of St. Martin. Individuals are labeled by collecting locality which corresponds to the map below. Below: dated mitogenome phylogeny of *A. pogus* on the island of St. Martin. Tips are connected to sampling locality on a canopy cover map of St. Martin. Nodes with a posterior probability above 0.98 are denoted by grey circles.

Fig 3 (A) Inferred demographic history from ngsPSMC for *A. pogus* and *A. gingivinus*. For *A. gingivinus* overall demographic patterns are consistent between Anguilla and St. Martin. Events of potential interest (European arrival, human arrival, and the LGM) are denoted. (B) Relationship between genetic and geographic distance including the 95% confidence interval as determined by *graph4lg* for *A. pogus* on St. Martin. Geographic distance is shown in meters.

Tables

Table 1 MMRR models of correlations between either (I) genomic or (II) mitogenomic distance with geographic and environmental distances. Environmental variables tested are canopy cover, elevation, urbanization, mean annual temperature (BIO1), and isothermality (BIO4). For each model, individual coefficients and P-values for each predictor variables are shown.

I. Genomic		
	Coeff	<i>P</i>
Geographic	-0.073	0.602
Canopy Cover	-0.037	0.468
Elevation	-0.060	0.650
Urbanization	0.149	0.114
Annual Temperature	-0.069	0.662
Isothermality	0.107	0.412
II. Mitogenomic		
	Coeff	<i>P</i>
Geographic	-0.031	0.807
Canopy Cover	0.035	0.353
Elevation	-0.024	0.686
Urbanization	0.014	0.831
Annual Temperature	-0.048	0.699
Isothermality	0.027	0.746