Drivers of phenotypic variation along a Late Pleistocene range

expansion route

3 Roberta Bisconti¹, Andrea Chiocchio^{1,*}, David Costantini¹, Claudio Carere¹, Daniele **Canestrelli**

 Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences, Tuscia University, Largo dell'Università s.n.c., 01100

Viterbo, Italy

*Address corresponding to Andrea Chiocchio, E-mail: a.chiocchio@unitus.it

ABSTRACT

 Understanding how interindividual variation within populations drives the evolution of biodiversity patterns is a major challenge in ecology and evolutionary biology. By reshuffling species distribution in space and time, historical biogeographic processes have dramatically affected the structure of biodiversity. While the genetic legacy left by these historical processes within populations has been widely investigated, their effects on phenotypic diversity remain relatively unexplored. Here, we investigate whether dispersal-driven processes of historical biogeographic relevance, such as late Pleistocene range dynamics, have contributed to shape the geographic patterns of phenotypic trait variation. We focus on dispersal-related personality, morphological and performance traits in the Tyrrhenian tree frog, *Hyla sarda,* which underwent a northward range expansion from the Sardinia Island to the Corsica Island during the Last Glacial Maximum, when a temporary land-bridge connected these islands. We collected tree frogs from four geographic areas along the past expansion route, controlling for altitude, local habitat, demographic factors, and bioclimatic differences between geographic areas. Then, we scored variation in two personality traits, two performance traits, along with morphological traits likely involved in the dispersal process. Tree frogs from the northern area in Corsica were more prudent in a novel environment, they had significantly larger body sizes, longer limbs, wider heads, and displayed stronger take-off and adhesion performances compared to individuals from the source area in Sardinia. The results of our study suggest a non-random spatial sorting of the intraspecific variation in multiple phenotypic traits along the range expansion route. They also suggest that population differentiation in phenotypic traits associations might be a legacy of past biogeographic dynamics, identifying a potential driver of the current phenotypic architecture of animal populations.

LAY SUMMARY

 Climatic oscillations of the Pleistocene have had dramatic impacts on species range dynamics and the structure of genetic diversity within them. However, did they also contribute to mould interindividual phenotypic variation? To help answer this question, in this study we examined spatial patterns of variation in personality, performance, and morphological traits along the Late Pleistocene range expansion route of the Tyrrhenian tree frog, *Hyla sarda*. We focused on these traits as they have been recently implicated in contemporary dispersal- driven processes, such as biological invasions. Our results show that individuals from newly established populations are shyer, take longer to make decisions, have a stronger tendency to jump when exploring new environments, are larger, have longer limbs and wider heads, and perform better in jumping and adhesion. Once other sources of variation are controlled, these spatial patterns of phenotypic variation appear as the result of non-random sorting processes occurring during the species' historical range expansion. Future studies should explore the genomic architecture of this phenotypic trait variation, to characterize further the intimate link between historical processes and the rising of intraspecific variation. **SHORT TUNNING TITLE**: Phenotypic variation along a range expansion route

KEYWORDS: Pleistocene range expansion, dispersal, personality, locomotory performance, morphology,

phenotypic variation, *Hyla sarda*

INTRODUCTION

 The geography of interindividual variation within species has long fascinated ecologists and evolutionary biologists(Holt 2003; Des Roches et al., 2018; Raffard et al., 2019). With the advent and increasing availability of genetic and genomic resources, the study of this variation and the processes contributing to its shaping have boosted in the last decades (Hewitt 2000, 2004; Schmitt, 2007; Weiss & Ferrand, 2007; Fonseca et al., 2023). By analysing patterns of variation within organisms spanning the entire tree-of-life and all the continents, hundreds of phylogeographic and population genetic studies have identified the Pleistocene glacial– interglacial cycles as a major factor in this respect (Hewitt 2000, 2004; Schmitt, 2007; Weiss & Ferrand, 2007; Fonseca et al., 2023). These climatic cycles triggered periodic contractions and expansions of species' ranges and size of populations, leaving multiple imprints on their current genetic structure (Hewitt, 2004; Schmitt, 2007; Weiss & Ferrand, 2007; Fonseca et al., 2023). While the link between these historical biogeographic processes and current spatial patterns of intraspecific genetic diversity has been widely investigated, the contribution of these past processes to the evolution of phenotypic variation within species is understudied (Simmons & Thomas, 2004; Cote et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2010; Shine et al., 2011). Understanding the drivers of phenotypic diversity is essential to unravel the ecological and evolutionary processes that shape biodiversity and drive its evolution across space and time.

 Recent studies have shown that inter-individual differences in dispersal ability can directly affect the success of colonisation into new areas and the establishment of new populations (Bowler & Benton, 2005; Cote et al., 2007; Clobert et al., 2009; Canestrelli et al., 2016a). The study of contemporary biogeographic processes (*e.g.* range variations in response to the ongoing climate change, and biological invasions) suggest that dispersers are a non-random sample of individuals characterised by specific phenotypic profiles (Fraser et al., 2001; Phillips et al., 2008; Cote et al., 2010; Canestrelli et al., 2016a; Louppe et al., 2017). Evolutionary and ecological processes experienced by any expanding population might drive the directional evolution of phenotypic traits at the range-edge of an expansion, particularly of those traits that directly influence dispersal (Pintor et al., 2008; Atwell et al., 2012; Brodin et al., 2013; Myles-Gonzalez et al., 2015; Gruber et al., 2017). Some personality traits are known to influence individual dispersal propensity (Cote et al., 2010; Canestrelli et al., 2016a). Both theoretical and experimental studies found that individuals from the range-edge of an expansion are bolder and more exploratory than those from the core population (Atwell et al., 2012; Myles-

 Gonzalez et al., 2015; Canestrelli et al., 2016a; Gruber et al., 2017). These traits are predicted to influence all dispersal stages (*i.e.,* departure, transience, and settlement; Dingemanse et al., 2003; Cote and Clobert, 2007; Cote et al., 2010; Canestrelli et al., 2016a), resulting in a rapid evolution of divergent personalities along an expansion route. Locomotory performance is also a key factor for expansion dynamics. During a range expansion, individuals may be spatially sorted based on their locomotor abilities (Phillips, et al., 2010; Shine 77 et al., 2011). Evidence suggests that this sorting often drives rapid evolution in locomotory traits (Travis $\&$ Dytham, 2002; Phillips et al., 2010; Shine et al., 2011), leading to morphological characteristics that enhance dispersal rates compared to individuals in long-invaded areas (Phillips et al., 2006; Phillips et al., 2008; Llewellyn et al., 2010; Louppe et al., 2017). For example, in the cane toad (*Rhinella marina*), a fast dispersal rate with straighter paths and longer legs has been documented at the edge of the invaded Australian range (Phillips et al., 2008; Alford et al., 2009; Llewellyn et al., 2010). When seen from a historical perspective, these studies of contemporary biogeographic processes indicate that the existing knowledge gap regarding the 84 phenotypic legacy of past biogeographic events within species likely represents the 'great missing' piece in our understanding of the structure of biodiversity and its evolution over time.

 In this study we aim to fill in this gap, by exploring how dispersal-driven processes may have shaped the contemporary geographic patterns of intraspecific variation in personality, performance, and morphological traits along the past expansion route of the Tyrrhenian tree frog *Hyla sarda* (Bisconti et al., 2011a, Spadavecchia et al., 2021). Previous phylogeographic and population genetic investigations on this amphibian species have addressed its Late Pleistocene range dynamics and historical demography (Bisconti et al., 2011a; Spadavecchia et al., 2021). During the last Glacial Maximum, when the sea levels were about 120m lower than at present, a temporary land-bridge connected the islands of Sardinia and Corsica (Van Andel & Shackleton, 1982; Shackleton et al., 1984), and this event allowed a northward range expansion of the Tyrrhenian tree frog, from Sardinia to Corsica (Bisconti et al., 2011a; Spadavecchia et al., 2021). After the last Glacial Maximum, when the sea levels rose, the newly established populations in Corsica were separated from their source populations in Sardinia, thus impeding the subsequent flow of individuals from the rear into the newly colonised areas. Recent studies investigating spatial patterns of variation along the historical expansion route in this species, showed a clear differentiation in rates of physiological ageing, different telomere

 dynamics, and differences in anti-predator strategies (Liparoto et al., 2020; Canestrelli et al., 2021; Spadavecchia et al., 2023), among ancestral and derived populations.

 This study tested the hypothesis that historical biogeographic events of dispersal contribute to shape patterns of phenotypic diversity. We expect that Sardinia and Corsica treefrogs would differ in dispersal-related phenotypic traits, such as personality, morphology, and locomotor performance. We also tested the hypothesis that this legacy of past events could be detected in the geographic pattern of variation of these dispersal-related phenotypic traits. Specifically, if dispersers are a non-random sample of individuals, we predict that, compared to Sardinia tree frogs, Corsica tree frogs should be bolder, and have longer limbs and better jumping 107 performance because these traits would enhance dispersal capability

METHODS

Sampling and housing

 Sample collection of tree frogs was carried out along a latitudinal transect from the ancestral area of the glacial refuge in central-eastern Sardinia to the northern part of the island of Corsica (Figure 1 and Table 1). A total of 93 tree frogs were collected in spring 2018 from four areas (Figure 1; Table 1). Individuals were captured with hand nets during the first hours of the night, after acoustic and visual localization and then transported to the housing facilities at Department of Ecological and Biological Sciences, Tuscia University. Housing conditions are described in detail in Bisconti et al., 2023.

 The sampling design was purposefully planned to reduce possible confounding effects linked to local habitat, kinship or inbreeding between individuals: a) two areas per island were sampled, and two breeding sites for each area, collecting individuals from different ponds within each site (see Table 1 and Figure 1); b) all the sampling areas were located on the coasts on the eastern side of species distribution, to avoid both current and past bioclimatic differences among sampling sites, as shown by previous species distribution model (Bisconti et al., 2011a); c) tree frogs were collected from ponds exclusively located in coastal areas to avoid any effect linked to environmental conditions correlated to the altitude or the distance from the coast; d) finally, to avoid any effect due to demographic bottlenecks usually associated with colonisation processes through jump dispersal (Frankham, 1998) rather than through spatial diffusion processes, other islands inhabited by *H. sarda* were intentionally excluded from this study (i.e. Elba and Capraia islands; see Bisconti et al., 2011a,b; Bisconti et al., 2023).

Ethical note

 All the procedures were performed under the approval of the Institute for Environmental Protection and Research 'ISPRA' (protocol #5944), Ministry of Environment 'MATTM' (protocol #8275), Regione Sardegna (#12144) and Prefecture of Corsica (#2A20180206002 and #2B20180206001).

 Permission to temporarily house amphibians was granted by the Local Health and Veterinary Centre, with license code 050VT427. All handling procedures were approved by the Ethical Committee of the Tuscia University for the use of live animals. During captivity the animals were monitored daily. No adverse effects on the overall health of tree frogs were observed during the procedures. The animals were released in the original sampling locations at the end of the experimentation.

Behavioural tests

 To address geographic variation in individual behaviour of *H. sarda*, the study was focused on two personality traits that are commonly associated with dispersal propensity: exploration attitude in a novel environment and boldness (Canestrelli et al., 2016a). Exploratory activity is considered crucial in promoting dispersal, as individuals with a greater propensity toward exploration are expected to crowd at the expanding range edge (Dingemanse et al., 2003; Cote et al., 2010). Exploration activity was investigated by measuring the latency to exploring a novel environment, and the time spent in exploration. Propensity to disperse was also assessed, by investigating boldness, that is, an individual's propensity to take risks (Réale et al., 2007; Canestrelli et al., 2016a). Bolder individuals are expected to be more willing to accept the intrinsic risks of dispersal (Stamps, 1985; Bonte et al., 2012), and thus likely to disperse earlier than shyer conspecific. To assess these personality traits, two distinct behavioural tests were performed, as described below, and each test was repeated after 10 days to measure temporal consistency. All the tests were performed in the housing room, to avoid any changes in humidity and temperature that could affect the analysed behavioural features (Duellman & Trueb, 1994).

Exploration in a novel environment

 Considering the arboreal lifestyle of *H. sarda*, exploration tests were carried out in a cylindric arena allowing both vertical and horizontal movements. The arena was enriched with plants (*Epipremnum aureum*) and an oakwood that allows individuals to hide, eliminating the possibility that the measured behaviours reflected abnormal fear or anxiety rather than a spontaneous exploratory behaviour (see Bisconti et al., 2023). This test has been described in detail Bisconti et al., (2023). Briefly: after a 5-minute acclimatation period, individuals

 were left to explore the arena for 10 minutes, and the individual exploration behaviour was recorded using an HD video camera (Nova Germany model DVR AHD-7908). The following variables were extract using Boris 5.1.3 (Friard & Gamba, 2016): 1) latency to explore (s); 2) duration of activity (expressed as percentage of duration of test); 3) duration of time spent on the arena ground floor; 4) jumping activity, expressed as percentage of time in activity (moving) spent jumping.

Boldness

 This test was performed to assess the individual behaviour across the boldness-shyness behavioural axis. The latency to exit from a shelter was considered as a proxy of the individual propensity to take risk. A HD video camera (Nova Germany model DVR AHD-7908) was used to record a rectangular arena where all animals were caged into a cylindrical dark shelter for a 6-minute acclimatation period and then left free to exit (Bisconti et al., 2023). The software Boris 5.1.3 was used to measure the time it took for an individual to exit from the shelter.

Locomotory performance tests

 To investigate the geographic variation in locomotory performances of *H. sarda*, we assessed two traits that are expected to play a crucial role in an arboreal tree frog dispersal: jumping force, and adhesion force. The jumping force was measured at take-off (Marsh & John-Alder 1994; Nauwelaerts & Aerts 2006 and references therein). The adhesion force was also considered a proxy of dispersal abilities because it plays a main role during the landing phase (Duellman & Trueb, 1994; Bisconti et al., 2023; Emerson & Diehl 1980; Federle et al. 2006; Smith et al. 2006; Bijma et al., 2016). The jumping force was quantified by means of jumping tests, while the adhesion force was quantified by a stickiness tests, as described below. Before each test, all the individuals were hydrated for 1 minute, and then weighted using a scale Acculab model (ATILON ATL-224-

I).

Jumping test

 Jumping tests were carried out in a rectangular arena, videotaped with a video camera (Panasonic DMC- FZ300) placed laterally to the setup. Each tree frog was fitted with an accelerometer data logger (xy-4 units, Technosmart, Rome, 9.15 x 15 x 4 mm, 1 gr weight including battery) set to record triaxial acceleration (0 - 4 g) at 100 Hz, and the tree frog was induced to jump by stimulating its caudal region (Mitchell & Bergmann, 2016). Five jumps were collected for each individuals (see Bisconti et al., 2023 for a detailed description of this test). The dynamic body acceleration for each dimension was extracted from the downloaded data (x, y, z; Wilson et al., 2006; Shepard et al., 2008). The logger's x axis recorded sway, the y axis recorded surge, and the z axis recorded heave (Halsey et al., 2008). Framework 4 software were used (version 2.5) to add these 186 values and get the Vector sum of Dynamic Body Acceleration [VeDBA = $(Ax2 + Ay2 + Az2)$]. Five VeDBA values for each individual were quantified, one for each jump, and the highest value of VeDBA was retained as the individual maximum jumping force at take-off [VeDBA x mass] and considered for downstream analyses.

Stickiness test

 A smooth plastic rotating wheel with a moderate and constant angular speed (3 revolutions per minute) was used to test the maximum adhesiveness force. Five falls for each individual were recorded using Nova Germany model DVR AHD-7908. The software Tracker (version 4.11.0) was used to extract the angle of fall (radian) for each of the five falls, and the largest value was selected for the subsequent analyses. The maximum 195 adhesion force was calculated as $[\cos(\alpha) \times \text{mass} \times \text{g}]$ using Barnes et al. (2006)'s standard protocol (see Bisconti et al., 2023, for further details).

Morphological traits

 Anurans with an arboreal lifestyle are characterised by morphological adaptations to better perform during jumps and landings (Kamada et al., 2017; Bijma et al., 2016). Those morphological traits that typically play a direct role in these two phases of locomotion were investigated, that is, body size and limb lengths (Phillips et al., 2006). However, data on another morphological trait that is intrinsically linked to jumping performance in tree frogs were also collected: the head width. Indeed, there is evidence that the head width plays a crucial role improving the ability of tree frogs in performing jumps, especially by maintaining the posture during the jumping phase, and during the landing phase by hitting the substrate first (Reilly et al., 2016; Kamada et al., 2017).

 Measures of the following morphological traits were collected: (1) snout–vent length (SVL; mm); (2) head width (mm); (3) forelimb length (mm); (4) hindlimb length (mm). To obtain standardised measures, the tree frogs were anaesthetised by a two-minute submersion in a solution of MS-222 (0.05% m/v), placed in the 209 prone position on 1x1 cm grid paper, parallel to the plane of a camera lens, and photographed using a Panasonic LUMIX (DMC-FZ300) digital camera. All photographs were taken with the same camera settings and under 211 the same lighting conditions. After the photographs, each individual was placed in a humid box until it fully recovered, and then reintroduced into its own fauna box. The measures were then extracted by the photographs 213 and analysed by using ImageJ 1.52 (National Institutes of Health, USA).

Data analysis

215 All statistical analyses were performed using R software version 4.3.1 (R Core Team 2023). To evaluate the repeatability of the behavioural traits, we run Generalized Linear Mixed effect (GLMM) based repeatability models using the "rpt" function of the *rptR* package (Schielzeth & Nakagawa, 2011; Stoffel et al., 2017), setting as Poisson distribution datatype; duration of activity and duration of time spent on the arena floor level were treated as proportion datatype. We entered each behavioural trait as a dependent variable and individual as a random factor. We considered the behavioural variables as personality traits when their repeatability value 221 R is > 0.2 , and the lower bound of the CI was > 0.0 (Brodin et al., 2013; Kelleher et al., 2017; Kelleher et al., 222 2018 and references therein). Preliminary models showed that entering sampling location within each island as a random factor did not improve the fit of the model (i.e. the AIC value was not reduced beyond 2); thus, it was not subsequently considered. Also, running the models with or without females did not change at all both the model fit and the results, and thus we retained females for all the subsequent analyses.

 We tested the hypothesis of a phenotypic change across the past expansion route of *Hyla sarda* from Sardinia to Corsica. First, we assessed the differences in personality, performance, and morphological traits between the two islands by running Generalized Linear Models (GLMs; "lm" function in the basic package *stats*) entering each variable singly as dependent variables, and island as fixed factor. We also run the analyses including sampling location within each island as a random factor in generalized linear mixed-effect models (GLMMs; "lmer" function in the *lme4* package); AIC values comparison was applied to select the best-fit modelling approach. For repeatable personality traits, we used the mean between the two repetitions; the variables were square-root (frequency of jumping events) or log10 (all the others) transformed to meet the assumption of residuals normality. To exclude the effect of the body size on the morphological traits, for each measured trait we extracted the residuals of the regression with the SVL. Normality and homoscedasticity of model residuals were evaluated to ascertain the goodness of model fit. For the selected best-fit models, we contrasted the estimated marginal means and standard errors from the models as computed using the *emmeans* r package.

 Then, we tested the association of phenotypic variation with the distance from the estimated area of origin of the range expansion from Sardinia to Corsica as estimated in Spadavecchia et al. (2021). We set a distinct linear regression model between each behavioural, performance and morphological variable and the distance from the area of origin, measured in km using the Google Earth (Google Inc.) "ruler" function.

RESULTS

 The repeatability coefficients of the behavioural traits with the respective CI and p-value of are reported in 245 Table 2. Three out of the five investigated traits resulted significantly repeatable: boldness $(R = 0.40)$, the 246 frequency of jumping events during the arena exploration $(R = 0.35)$, and the latency to explore a novel 247 environment $(R = 0.31)$. Conversely, activity and duration of time spent on the arena floor were not significantly repeatable and thus they were excluded from subsequent analyses.

 We found substantial differences between Sardinia and Corsica populations for all the analysed traits. The AIC model comparison (Supplementary Table 1) showed that entering sampling location within each island as a random factor did not improve the fit of the model (*i.e.* the AIC value was not reduced) for all the traits but two (performance traits), supporting the general independence of the pattern found from local sampling site conditions.

 We found significant differences in personality traits between Sardinia and Corsica individuals (Table 3), with Corsica individuals showing a shyer profile and higher jumping frequencies. The latency to exit from the shelter and the latency to explore were longer for Corsica individuals than those showed by Sardinia 257 individuals ($p = 0.032$ and $p < 0.001$, respectively). The jumping frequency during exploration was 258 significantly higher in Corsica than in Sardinia ($p = 0.001$). The estimated marginal means and standard errors for personality differences between Sardinia-Corsica are shown in Figure 2A-2C.

 Sardinia and Corsica populations differed also in jumping force at the take-off and in stickiness, with tree frogs from Corsica showing higher performance values than individuals from Sardinia (Table 3 and Figure 2). Entering sampling location within each island as a random factor improved the model fit for both the performance traits (lowest AIC; see Supplementary Table 1). However, whereas between-island differences in stickiness were significant both in GLM and GLMM (p <0.001), jumping force at the take-off resulted 265 significant in GLM ($p = 0.001$) but not significant when entering sampling location as a random factor ($p =$

 0.094). The estimated marginal means and standard errors for performance differences between Sardinia-Corsica are shown in Figure 2D-2E.

 All morphological traits showed significant differences between Sardinia and Corsica populations 269 (Table 3 and Figure 2F-2I). Corsica tree frogs were larger in body size ($p \le 0.001$), with longer forelimbs ($p =$ 270 0.044) and hindlimbs ($p = 0.026$), and had wider head size ($p = 0.011$).

 Finally, we found significant associations between phenotypic variation and the distance from the estimated area of origin of the range expansion from Sardinia to Corsica (see Spadavecchia et al., 2021) for all the analysed traits, except for hind limb length and head width (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

 We found substantial and significant differences between *H. sarda* individuals from the two islands. Corsica tree frogs showed a shyer behavioural profile, a longer decision-making time, a significant attitude to jump 277 during exploration, and a more efficient dispersal-related performance and different morphological traits pared to Sardinian tree frogs.

 The spatial patterns of phenotypic variation observed could be explained by several scenarios. Variation in bioclimatic conditions between the two islands could contribute to shape the differences found. Climatic conditions do, indeed, affect habitat features, that in turn, could differentially influence dispersal and the ability to avoid predators, especially for an arboreal species (Martin et al. 2005). However, previous studies showed comparable bioclimatic conditions between the coastal areas considered in this study (Bisconti et al., 284 2011; see section 2.1), which makes a contribution of climatic variation highly improbable in explaining the observed patterns of phenotypic differentiation (Bisconti et al., 2011a). An alternative explanation for these patterns might stem from differences in the ecological community between the two islands. In particular, differences in the predatory environment encountered within the two islands could contribute much to promote local adaptations and mould phenotypic variation (Kang et al. 2017; Gavriilidi et al., 2022). Corsica and Sardinia are continental islands that share their geological origin and most of the Pleistocene history (Blondel et al., 2010) and, consequently, the ecological communities of coastal environments largely overlap between these islands (Blondel et al., 2010). There are not noticeable differences between islands in the presence of organisms that are known to predate the Tyrrhenian tree frog (mostly birds and snakes; Lanza et al., 2007). Lastly, in principle, some genetic divergence between Sardinia and Corsica populations might contribute to

 explaining the observed pattern of phenotypic differences (Lipshutz, et al., 2017; Stamp et al., 2020). However, previous phylogeographic and population genetic structure analyses showed the absence of any remarkable differences in the levels of genetic diversity, and the lack of a spatial genetic structure between Sardinia and Corsica populations, disproving this potential contribution to the observed pattern of phenotypic variation (Bisconti et al., 2011a,b).

 In the absence of clear influences from environmental or genetic factors on the geographic patterns of phenotypic variation, our results provide support to the hypothesis that the formation of these geographic patterns has been promoted by a non-random spatial sorting of individuals by dispersal propensity/ability, during the past range expansion phase along the south-north axis (Figure 2). In the following sections, we will discuss the putative implications of our findings for the evolution of each phenotypic trait, during the range expansion phase from Sardinia to the Corsica Island.

 The shyer behavioural profile shown by the Corsica individuals appears counterintuitive, as dispersers at the range edge have frequently been described as bolder and more exploratory than those living in long- established areas (*e.g.* Pintor et al., 2008; Liebl & Martin, 2012; Atwell et al., 2012; Myles-Gonzalez et al., 2015; Canestrelli et al., 2016a; Gruber et al., 2017). However, recent empirical studies showed that a shy and more prudent behavioural profile is an efficient alternative strategy to navigate in a novel environment, where the density of conspecific individuals is lower than in core populations (Gavriilidi et al., 2022; Mazza & Eccard, 2023; Eccard et al., 2023). On the contrary, a shyer profile in expanding populations could enable a more careful assessment of the surrounding environment, thereby reducing risks and ultimately increasing the chances of survival (Groothuis & Carere 2005; Réale et al. 2010; Mazza & Eccard, 2023). By requiring less energy investment in exploration, this behavioural profile could favour metabolic resource allocation to other critical functions pivotal for a successful colonisation, such as foraging, growth, and reproduction (Verbeek et al., 1994; Deerenberg et al., 1998; Cockrem, 2007; Coppens et al., 2010). Besides, the higher propensity of Corsica tree frogs to jump during their overall activity duration, compared to individuals from the source island, may have facilitated a cost-benefit optimization for the dispersers. Despite the energetic costs associated with a jumping locomotory mode, such strategy could enable individuals to cover longer distances with a single jump, interspersed with pauses for energy recovery and for predatory and environmental context assessment, before exploring again. The higher propensity to jump shown by tree frogs from Corsica is

 reflected in their better locomotory performance than those from Sardinia. As key traits in determining species dispersal ability, locomotory performance traits play a direct role in dispersal processes and, consequently, in the overall success of expansion (Phillips et al., 2006; Phillips et al., 2008; Llewellyn et al., 2010; Louppe et al., 2017). The performance trait analysis revealed that Corsica tree frogs exhibit greater jumping and adhesion forces than those from Sardinia. In such an arboreal species, both traits could significantly enhance dispersal ability, playing a crucial role during both the initial jumping phase and the subsequent landing phase. This higher jumping performance may contribute to covering longer distances with each jump while minimizing the risk of missing the target during landing (see material and methods; Duellman and Trueb, 1994; Kosmala et al., 2017). More performant profiles shown by Corsica individuals during dispersal are in line with findings from several other studies exploring locomotory performance traits in contemporary expanding populations (Phillips et al., 2008; Alford et al., 2009; Llewellyn et al., 2010). Recent studies on invasive species have investigated the evolution of locomotory performance traits at the range-edge of expanding populations, revealing a significant improvement in the locomotor abilities of dispersers, thus suggesting that, during an expansion, individuals may be spatially sorted based on their dispersal-related performance ability (Phillips et al., 2008, Alford et al., 2009; Llewellyn et al., 2010; Shine et al., 2011; Louppe et al., 2017).

 Morphological analyses showed that Corsica tree frogs have a larger body size, longer limbs, and a wider head width than those from Sardinia. All these features imply a better performance of these individuals during the dispersal and the colonisation of new environments. A larger body size would confer several advantages to individuals during an expansion. Studies on amphibians showed that larger individuals have a better locomotory performance both on land and in water (Cabrera-Guzman et al., 2013). Moreover, a larger size plays an important role in other essential functions particularly crucial for an amphibian during colonisation, such as avoiding dehydration (Kosmala et al., 2020), allowing to be more performant in capturing prey (Cabrera-Guzman et al., 2013) and also increasing the reproductive success, whereby the larger male would be more successful both in intraspecific competition and during the amplexus (Bowcock et al., 2013; Herrel et al., 2012; Clarke et al., 2019). Similarly, longer limbs improve locomotion, and, thus, the dispersal ability (Phillips et al., 2006; Clarke et al., 2019). Longer legs allow a greater propulsive force in jumping (Kamada et al., 2017; Bijma et al., 2016). For example, in the invasive *Rhinella marina*, individuals at the edge of the Australian invasion front had longer legs, a trait significantly enhancing invasion success (Phillips et al.,

 2006). In the context of jumping amphibians like tree frogs, longer forelimbs could also contribute to improving landings, while dampening the energy of jumps upon touchdown (Bijma et al., 2016). Lastly, colonising individuals with a wider head would likely adapt better to landings after jumps. The increased propulsion resulting from longer legs might lead to more forceful landings and given that the head is the first part of the body to arrive on a surface, a larger head width could confer better management of shock absorption during collisions.

CONCLUSION

 This study provides novel evidence that historical range expansion could have played a role in explaining the geographic patterns of intraspecific phenotypic variation in natural populations. Rapid, substantial, and directional evolution in dispersal-enhancing traits had been already documented in populations currently undergoing range expansion (Phillips et al., 2006; Liebl and Martin, 2012; Gruber et al., 2017; Kosmala et al., 2017). Although studies of ongoing invasion provide an excellent opportunity to investigate the causes and consequences of dispersal dynamics, they do not enable the investigation of their long-term legacies, in an evolutionary perspective (Canestrelli et al., 2016a, b). The selective advantages associated with adaptive, dispersal-enhancing, traits during an expansion phase may be transient, as suggested by several empirical evidence (Travis & Dytham, 2002; Brown et al., 2007; Phillips et al., 2008; Brown et al., 2014). Recently established populations may indeed restore variation (phenotypic and genotypic) through a slower flow of individuals from the rear or may evolve novel trait states in response to demographic density increases in newly colonised areas (Cobben et al., 2015; Canestrelli et al., 2016a; Perkins et al., 2016). To date, we are not aware of studies addressing if the predicted directional changes in dispersal-enhancing traits persist in populations long after the expansion stops, and the demographic conditions promoting spatial sorting processes vanish (Cobben et al., 2015; Canestrelli et al., 2016a; Perkins et al., 2016). In this frame, the expansion history of the Tyrrhenian tree frogs could offer the opportunity to learn about the long-term legacy of non-neutral processes at play during a range expansion. Finally, to investigate the genomic underpinnings of the phenotypic evolution promoted by historical range expansions appears the next mandatory step to reach a more complete understanding of the eco-evolutionary processes moulding spatial and temporal patterns of variation of biological diversity (see Libro et al., 2022).

Daniele Canestrelli, Claudio Carere, and Roberta Bisconti designed research; Daniele Canestrelli, and Roberta

- Bisconti performed research; Andrea Chiocchio, David Costantini and Claudio Carere analyzed data, Roberta
- Bisconti, Andrea Chiocchio, and Daniele Canestrelli wrote the paper with inputs from the other authors.
-

Acknowledgments

 We warmly thank all the collaborators that assisted in sampling and housing: Anita Liparoto, Armando Macali, Alessandro Carlini, Cinzia Mastrogiovanni, Ambra Pazzani, Francesco Ciabattoni and Lorenzo Latini. We also thank Giacomo Dell'Omo and the entire staff of Technosmart for having provided the accelerometer and the kind advise on its use.

Funding

 This work was supported by a grant from the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (PRIN project 2017KLZ3MA) and by the National Recovery and Resilience Plan (NRRP), Mission 4 Component 2 Investment 1.5, funded by the European Union—Next Generation EU, Project code ECS 0000024 Rome Technopole, CUP B83C22002820006. **Data and code availability:** archival location upon acceptance.

Conflict of interest: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Alford, R. A., Brown, G. P., Schwarzkopf, L., Phillips, B. L., & Shine, R. (2009). Comparisons through time and space suggest rapid evolution of dispersal behaviour in an invasive species. *Wildlife Research*, *36* (1), 23–28. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR08021
- Atwell, J. W., Cardoso, G. C., Whittaker, D. J., Campbell-Nelson, S., Robertson, K. W., & Ketterson, E. D.
- (2012). Boldness behavior and stress physiology in a novel urban environment suggest rapid correlated evolutionary adaptation. *Behavioral Ecology*, *23* (5), 960–969. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/ars059
- Barnes, W. J. P., Oines, C., & Smith, J. M. (2006). Whole animal measurements of shear and adhesive forces in adult tree frogs: insights into underlying mechanisms of adhesion obtained from studying the effects
- of size and scale. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, *192* (11), 1179–1191. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-006-0146-1
- Bijma, N. N., Gorb, S. N., & Kleinteich, T. (2016). Landing on branches in the frog *Trachycephalus resinifictrix* (Anura: Hylidae). *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, *202* (4), 267–276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-016-1069-0
- Bisconti, R., Canestrelli, D., Colangelo, P., & Nascetti, G. (2011a). Multiple lines of evidence for demographic and range expansion of a temperate species (*Hyla sarda*) during the last glaciation. *Molecular Ecology*, *20* (24), 5313–5327. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2011.05363.x
-
- Bisconti, R., Canestrelli, D., & Nascetti, G. (2011b). Genetic diversity and evolutionary history of the Tyrrhenian treefrog *Hyla sarda* (Anura: Hylidae): adding pieces to the puzzle of Corsica–Sardinia biota. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *103* (1), 159–167. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-
- 8312.2011.01643.x
- Bisconti, R., Carere, C., Costantini, D., Liparoto, A., Chiocchio, A., & Canestrelli, D. (2023). Evolution of personality and locomotory performance traits during a late Pleistocene island colonization in a tree frog. Current zoology, 69(5), 631-641. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoac062
- Blondel, J., Aronson, J., Bodiou, J.Y., & Boeuf, G. (2010) The Mediterranean Region: Biological Diversity in Space and Time, 2nd edn. Oxford University Press, New York.
-
- Bonte, D., Van Dyck, H., Bullock, J.M., Coulon, A., Delgado, M., Gibbs, M., Lehouck, V., Matthysen, E.,
- Mustin, K., Saastamoinen, M., Schtickzelle, N., Stevens, V.M., Vandewoestijne, S., Baguette, M.,
- Barton, K., Benton, T.G., Chaput-Bardy, A., Clobert, J., Dytham, C., Hovestadt, T., Meier, C.M.,
- Palmer, S.C., Turlure, C. & Travis, J.M.. 2012. Costs of dispersal. Biological Reviews 87:290–312. htpps:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00201.x.
- Bonte, D., & Dahirel, M. (2017). Dispersal: a central and independent trait in life history. *Oikos, 126* (4), 472– 479. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03801
- Bowcock, H., Brown, G. P., & Shine, R. (2013). Sexual selection in cane toads *Rhinella marina*: A male's body size affects his success and his tactics. *Current Zoology*, 59(6), 747-753. https://doi.org/10.1093/czoolo/59.6.747
- Bowler, D. E., & Benton, T. G. (2005). Causes and consequences of animal dispersal strategies: relating individual behaviour to spatial dynamics. *Biological Reviews*, *80* (2), 205–225. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1464793104006645
- Brodin, T., Lind, M.I., Wiberg, M.K., & Johansson, F. (2013). Personality trait differences between mainland and island populations in the common frog *Rana temporaria*. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*,
- 67:135–143. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-012-1433-1
- Brown, C., Burgess, F., & Braithwaite, V. A. (2007). Heritable and experiential effects on boldness in a tropical poeciliid. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 62 (2), 237–243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265- 007-0458-3
- Brown, G. P., Phillips, B. L., & Shine, R. (2014). The straight and narrow path: the evolution of straight-line dispersal at a cane toad invasion front. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 281 (1795), 20141385. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2014.1385
- Cabrera-Guzmán, E., Crossland, M. R., Brown, G. P., & Shine, R. (2013). Larger body size at metamorphosis enhances survival, growth and performance of young cane toads (*Rhinella marina*). *PLoS One*, *8*(7), e70121. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0070121
- Canestrelli, D., Bisconti, R., & Carere, C. (2016a). Bolder Takes All? The Behavioural Dimension of Biogeography. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, *31* (1), 35–43. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2015.11.004
- Canestrelli, D., Porretta, D., Lowe, W. H., Bisconti, R., Carere, C., & Nascetti, G. (2016b). The tangled evolutionary legacies of range expansion and hybridization. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(9), 677-688. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.06.010
- Canestrelli, D., Bisconti, R., Liparoto, A., Angelier, F., Ribout, C., Carere, C., & Costantini, D. (2021). Biogeography of telomere dynamics in a vertebrate. *Ecography*, 44: 453–455. https://doi.org/ /10.1111/ecog.05286
- Clarke, G. S., Shine, R., & Phillips, B. L. (2019). May the (selective) force be with you: spatial sorting and natural selection exert opposing forces on limb length in an invasive amphibian. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *32*(9), 994-1001. https://doi.org/10.1111/jeb.13504
- Clobert, J., Danchin, E., Dhondt, A. A., & Nichols, J. (2001). *Dispersal.* Oxford University Press, New York. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2540.2001.0963a.x
- Clobert, J., Le Galliard, J. F., Cote, J., Meylan, S., & Massot, M. (2009). Informed dispersal, heterogeneity in animal dispersal syndromes and the dynamics of spatially structured populations. *Ecology letters*, *12* (3), 197–209. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01267.x
- Cobben, M. M. P., Verboom, J., Opdam, P. F. M., Hoekstra, R. F., Jochem, R., & Smulders, M. J. M. (2015). Spatial sorting and range shifts: consequences for evolutionary potential and genetic signature of a dispersal trait. *Journal of Theoretical Biology*, *373*, 92–99. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2015.03.019
- Cockrem, J. F. (2007). Stress, corticosterone responses and avian personalities. *Journal of Ornithology*, 148(Suppl 2), 169-178. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-007-0175-8
- Coppens, C. M., de Boer, S. F., & Koolhaas, J. M. (2010). Coping styles and behavioural flexibility: towards underlying mechanisms. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 365(1560), 4021-4028. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0217
- Cote, J., & Clobert, J. (2007). Social personalities influence natal dispersal in a lizard. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *274* (1608), 383–390. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3734
- Cote, J., Clobert, J., Brodin, T., Fogarty, S., & Sih, A. (2010). Personality–dependent dispersal: Characterization, ontogeny and consequences for spatially structured populations. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, *365* (1560), 4065–4076.
- https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0176
- Deerenberg, C., Overkamp, G. J. F., Visser, G. H., & Daan, S. (1998). Compensation in resting metabolism for experimentally increased activity. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*, 168, 507-512. https://doi.org/10.1007/s003600050171
- Des Roches, S., Post, D.M., Turley, N.E. Bailey, J. K., Hendry, A. P., Kinnison, M. T., Schweitzer, J. A. & Palkovacs, E. P. (2018). The ecological importance of intraspecific variation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 2, 57–64. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0402-5
- Dingemanse, N. J., Both, C., van Noordwijk, A. J., Rutten, A. L., & Drent, P. J. (2003). Natal dispersal and personalities in great tits (*Parus major*). *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *270* (1516), 741–747. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2300
- Duellman, W. E., & Trueb, L. (1994). *Biology of amphibians*. JHU press.
- Eccard, J. A., Mazza, V., Holland, C., & Stuart, P. (2023). The timid invasion: behavioural adjustments and range expansion in a non-native rodent. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B*, *290*(2003), 20230823. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2023.0823
- Emerson, S.B., Diehl, D. (1980). Toe pad morphology and mechanisms of sticking in frogs. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 13:199–216. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1980.tb00082.x
- Federle, W., Barnes, W.J.P., Baumgartner, W., Drechsler, P., & Smith, J.M. (2006). Wet but not slippery: boundary friction in tree frog adhesive toe pads. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 3:689–697. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsif.2006.0135
- Fonseca, E. M., Pelletier, T. A., Decker, S. K., Parsons, D. J., & Carstens, B. C. (2023). Pleistocene glaciations caused the latitudinal gradient of within-species genetic diversity. *Evolution Letters*, 7(5), 331-338. https://doi.org/10.1093/evlett/qrad030
- Frankham, R. (1998). Inbreeding and extinction: island populations. *Conservation biology*, *12*(3), 665-675. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.1998.96456.x
- Fraser, D. F., Gilliam, J. F., Daley, M. J., Le, A. N. & Skalski, G. T. (2001). Explaining leptokurtic movement
- distributions: intrapopulation variation in boldness and exploration. *The American Naturalist*, *158* (2),
- 124–135. https://doi.org/10.1086/321307
- Friard, O., & Gamba, M. (2016. BORIS: a free, versatile open-source event-logging software for video/audio coding and live observations. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 7:1325–1330. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12584
- Gavriilidi, I., De Meester, G., Van Damme, R., & Baeckens, S. (2022). How to behave when marooned: the behavioural component of the island syndrome remains underexplored. *Biology Letters*, 18(4), 20220030. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2022.0030
- Groothuis, T. G., & Carere, C. (2005). Avian personalities: characterization and epigenesis. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, 29(1), 137-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2004.06.010
- Gruber, J., Brown, G., Whiting, M. J., & Shine, R. (2017). Geographic divergence in dispersal–related behaviour in cane toads from range–front versus range–core populations in Australia. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, *71,* (2), 38. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-017-2266-8
- Halsey, L. G., Green, J. A., Wilson, R. P., & Frappell, P. B. (2008). Accelerometry to estimate energy expenditure during activity: best practice with data loggers. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology*, *82* (4), 396–404. https://doi.org/10.1086/589815
- Herrel, A., Gonwouo, L. N., Fokam, E. B., Ngundu, W. I., & Bonneaud, C. (2012). Intersexual differences in body shape and locomotor performance in the aquatic frog, *Xenopus tropicalis*. *Journal of Zoology*, 287(4), 311-316. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.2012.00919.x
- Hewitt, G. M. (2000). The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. *Nature*, *405* (6789), 907. https://doi.org/10.1038/35016000
- Hewitt, G. M. (2004). Genetic consequences of climatic oscillations in the Quaternary. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *359* (1442), 183–195. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2003.1388
- Holt, R. D. (2003). On the evolutionary ecology of species' ranges. *Evolutionary Ecology Research* 5, 159–178
- Kamada, K., Tachibanagi, R., & Nakagawa, H. (2017). Strategy of landing behavior of the tree frog *Hyla*
- *japonica*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology*, 327(10),
- 600-610. https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2148
- Kang, C., Sherratt, T.N., Kim, Y. E., Shin, Y., Moon J., Song, U., Kang J.Y., Kim, K., & Jang Y. (2017). Differential predation drives the geographical divergence in multiple traits in aposematic frogs. Behavioral Ecology 28:1122–1130. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arx076
- Kelleher, S.R., Silla, A.J., & Byrne, P.G. (2018). Animal personality and behavioral syndromes in amphibians: a review of the evidence, experimental approaches, and implications for conservation. *Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology*, 72: 79. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00265-018-2493-7
- Kelleher, S. R., Silla, A. J., Dingemanse, N. J., & Byrne, P. G. (2017). Body size predicts between-individual differences in exploration behaviour in the southern corroboree frog. *Animal behaviour*, 129, 161-170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.05.013
- Kosmala, G. K., Brown, G. P., Shine, R., & Christian, K. (2020). Skin resistance to water gain and loss has changed in cane toads (*Rhinella marina*) during their Australian invasion. *Ecology and Evolution*, 10(23), 13071-13079. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6895
- Kosmala, G., Christian, K., Brown, G., & Shine, R. (2017). Locomotor performance of cane toads differs between native-range and invasive populations. *Royal Society Open Science*, 4(7), 170517. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.170517>
- Libro, P., Bisconti, R., Chiocchio, A., Spadavecchia, G., Castrignanò, T., & Canestrelli, D. (2022). First brain de novo transcriptome of the Tyrrhenian tree frog, Hyla sarda, for the study of dispersal behavior. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*, 10. https://doi.org/ 947186.
- Liebl, A. L., & Martin, L. B. (2012). Exploratory behaviour and stressor hyper-responsiveness facilitate range expansion of an introduced songbird. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 279(1746), 4375-4381. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.1606
- Liparoto, A., Canestrelli, D., Bisconti, R., Carere, C., & Costantini, D. (2020). Biogeographic history moulds population differentiation in ageing of oxidative status in an amphibian. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 223(21), jeb235002. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.235002
- Llewellyn, J., Phillips, B. L., Alford, R. A., Schwarzkopf, L., & Shine, R. (2010). Locomotor performance in an invasive species: cane toads from the invasion front have greater endurance, but not speed, compared to conspecifics from a long-colonised area. *Oecologia*, *162*, 343-348. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-009-1471-1
- Louppe, V., Courant, J., & Herrel, A. (2017). Differences in mobility at the range edge of an expanding invasive population of *Xenopus laevis* in the west of France. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, *220*(2), 278-283. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.146589
- Lowe, W. H., & McPeek, M. A. (2014). Is dispersal neutral? *Trends in ecology and evolution*, *29* (8), 444– 450. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.05.009
- Marsh, R.L., & John-Alder, H.B. (1994). Jumping performance of hylid frogs measured with high-speed cine film. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 188:131–141. https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.188.1.131
- Mazza, V., & Eccard, J. A. (2023). Expanding through the Emerald Isle: exploration and spatial orientation of non-native bank voles in Ireland. Current Zoology, zoad038. https://doi.org/10.1093/cz/zoad038
- Mitchell, A., & Bergmann, P. J. (2016). Thermal and moisture habitat preferences do not maximize jumping performance in frogs. *Functional Ecology*, *30*, 733–742. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12535
- Myles–Gonzalez, E., Burness, G., Yavno, S., Rooke, A., & Fox, M. G. (2015). To boldly go where no goby
- has gone before: boldness, dispersal tendency, and metabolism at the invasion front. *Behavioral Ecology*, *26* (4), 1083–1090. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arv050
- Nauwelaerts, S., & Aerts, P. (2006). Take-off and landing forces in jumping frogs. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 209:66–77. https://doi.org/doi:10.1242/jeb.01969
- Perkins, T. A., Boettiger, C., & Phillips, B. L. (2016). After the games are over: Life-history trade-offs drive dispersal attenuation following range expansion. *Ecology and Evolution*, 6, 6425–6434. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2314
- Phillips, B. L., Brown, G. P., and Shine, R. (2010). Evolutionarily accelerated invasions: The rate of dispersal evolves upwards during the range advance of cane toads. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, *23* (12), 2595–2601. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2010.02118.x
- Phillips, B. L., Brown, G. P., Travis, J. M., & Shine, R. (2008). Reid's paradox revisited: the evolution of dispersal kernels during range expansion. *The American Naturalist*, *172* (S1), S34–S48. https://doi.org/10.1086/588255
- Phillips, B. L., Brown, G. P., Webb, J. K., & Shine, R. (2006). Invasion and the evolution of speed in toads. *Nature*, *439*, 803. https://doi.org/10.1038/439803a
- Pintor, L. M., Sih, A., & Bauer, M. L. (2008). Differences in aggression, activity and boldness between native and introduced populations of an invasive crayfish. *Oikos*, *117* (11), 1629–1636. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706.2008.16578.x
- Raffard, A., Santoul, F., Cucherousset, J., & Blanchet, S. (2019). The community and ecosystem consequences
- of intraspecific diversity: A meta‐analysis. *Biological Reviews*, *94*(2), 648-661. https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12472
- Réale, D., Reader, S.M., Sol, D., McDougall, P.T., Dingemanse, N.J. (2007). Integrating animal temperament within ecology and evolution. *Biological Reviews*, 82:291–318. 10.1111/j.1469-185X.2007.00010.x
- Réale, D., Dingemanse, N. J., Kazem, A. J., & Wright, J. (2010). Evolutionary and ecological approaches to the study of personality. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 365(1560), 3937-3946. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2010.0222
- Reilly, S. M., Montuelle, S. J., Schmidt, A., Krause, C., Naylor, E., & Essner Jr, R. L. (2016). Functional evolution of jumping in frogs: Interspecific differences in take‐off and landing. *Journal of Morphology*, 277(3), 379-393. https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.20504
- Ronce, O. (2007). How does it feel to be like a rolling stone? Ten questions about dispersal evolution. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics*, *38*, 231–253. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.ecolsys.38.091206.095611
- Schielzeth, H., & Nakagawa, S. (2011). rptR: Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data. R package.
- Schmitt, T. (2007). Molecular biogeography of Europe: Pleistocene cycles and postglacial trends. *Frontiers in zoology*, *4* (1), 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1742-9994-4-11
- Shackleton, J. C., Van Andel, T. H., & Runnels, C. N. (1984). Coastal paleogeography of the central and western Mediterranean during the last 125,000 years and its archaeological implications. *Journal of field Archaeology*, *11* (3), 307–314. https://doi.org/10.2307/52928
- Shepard, E. L., Wilson, R. P., Quintana, F., Laich, A. G., Liebsch, N., Albareda, D. A. and Newman, C. (2008).
- Identification of animal movement patterns using tri–axial accelerometery. *Endangered Species Research*, *10*, 47–60. https://doi.org/10.3354/esr00084
- Shine, R., Brown, G. P., & Phillips, B. L. (2011). An evolutionary process that assembles phenotypes through space rather than through time. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *108*(14), 5708-5711. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1018989108
- Simmons, A. D., and Thomas, C. D. (2004). Changes in dispersal during species' range expansions. *The American Naturalist*, *164* (3), 378–395. https://doi.org/10.1086/423430
- Smith, J.M., Barnes, W.J.P., Downie, J.R., Ruxton, G.D. (2006). Structural correlates of increased adhesive efficiency with adult size in the toe pads of hylid tree frogs. *Journal of Comparative Physiology A*, 192:1193–1204. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00359-006-0151-4
- Spadavecchia, G., Chiocchio, A., Bisconti, R., & Canestrelli, D. (2021). Paso doble: a two-step Late Pleistocene range expansion in the Tyrrhenian tree frog (*Hyla sarda*). Gene 780:145489. ttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2021.145489
- Spadavecchia, G., Chiocchio, A., Costantini, D., Liparoto, A., Bisconti, R., & Canestrelli, D. (2023). Spatial differentiation of background matching strategies along a Late Pleistocene range expansion route. *Evolutionary Ecology*, 37(2), 291-303. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10682-022-10216-2
- Stamps, J.A., & Buechner, M. (1985). The territorial defense hypothesis and the ecology of insular vertebrates. Q Rev Biol. 60:155–181. https://doi.org/10.1086/414314
- Stoffel, M.A., & Nakagawa, S., & Schielzeth, H. (2017). rptR: Repeatability estimation and variance decomposition by generalized linear mixed‐effects models. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 8(11): 1639–1644. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12797
- Thiede, J., 1978. A glacial Mediterranean. *Nature* 276, 680–683. https://doi.org/10.1038/276680a0
- Travis, J. M., & Dytham, C. (2002). Dispersal evolution during invasions. *Evolutionary Ecology Research*, *4* (8), 1119–1129.
- Van Andel, T. H., and Shackleton, J. C. (1982). Late Paleolithic and Mesolithic coastlines of Greece and the Aegean. *Journal of field archaeology*, *9* (4), 445–454. https://doi.org/10.1179/009346982791504454
- Verbeek, M. E., Drent, P. J., & Wiepkema, P. R. (1994). Consistent individual differences in early exploratory
- behaviour of male great tits. *Animal Behaviour*, 48(5), 1113-1121. https://doi.org/10.1006/anbe.1994.134
- Weiss, S., & Ferrand, N. (2007). Phylogeography of southern European refugia. Dordrecht (Netherlands): Springer.
- Wilson, R. P., White, C. R., Quintana, F., Halsey, L. G., Liebsch, N., Martin, G. R., & Butler, P. J. (2006).
- 643 Moving towards acceleration for estimates of activity-specific metabolic rate in free-living animals:
- the case of the cormorant. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *75* (5), 1081–1090.
- https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01127.x.

646 **FIGURES AND TABLES**

647 **Table 1.** Geographic coordinates and sample size of the sites sampled to study phenotypic variation within

- 648 *Hyla sarda* populations.
- 649

650

651 **Table 2.** Summary of GLMM-based repeatability (R) estimates from multiplicative model. Parametric 652 bootstrapping (number of iterations = 1,000) was used to calculate the CI interval and the likelihood ratio test 653 to estimate the P-value of the repeatability distribution. Significant traits are shown in bold.

654

661

 Table 3. Linear models showing differences between Corsica and Sardinia Island populations in behavioural, performance, morphological traits. Coefficient estimates (±*SE*) of these models are shown with both behavioural and performance traits as dependent variable and island as fixed factor. Significant contrasts are shown in bold.

667

668

- **Figure 1.** Geographical distribution of the eight sampled populations of the Tyrrhenian tree frog (*Hyla sarda*).
- The dashed line indicates the approximate coastline location during the Last Glacial Maximum (Thiede, 1978).
-

 Figure 2. Estimated marginal means and standard errors from linear models are shown for each island for all phenotypic traits studied in the Tyrrhenian tree frog (*Hyla sarda*). Personality traits include A) latency to explore (in seconds), B) latency to exit (in seconds), and C) frequency of jump events (%). Performance traits include D) jumping force at take-off (in Newton) and E) adhesion force (in Newton). Morphological traits include F) snout-vent length (SVL), G) forelimb length, H) hindlimb length, and I) head width (all expressed in millimetres). Morphological measurements are indicated by red lines within each panel.

-
-
-
-
-

 Figure 3. Phenotypic trait variation along the inferred historical range expansion route of the Tyrrhenian tree frog (*Hyla sarda*). Results are based on linear regression models examining the relationship between distance from the area of origin (in km) and each behavioural, performance, and morphological trait analysed in this study.

