| 1 | |
|----------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | University herbaria are uniquely important |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | |
| 7 | |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | Erika I. Edwards ^{1*} . Brent D. Mishler ^{2*} . Charles D. Davis ^{3*} |
| 11 | |
| | |
| 12 | |
| | |
| 13 | |
| | |
| 14 | ¹ Department of Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, Yale University Herbarium, Yale |
| 15 | University, PO Box 208105, New Haven, Connecticut 06520, USA |
| 16 | |
| 17 | ² Department of Integrative Biology, University and Jepson Herbaria, University of |
| 18 10 | California, 1001 Valley Life Science Building #2465, Berkeley, California 94720, USA |
| 19 | |
| 20 | ³ Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University Herbaria, 22 |
| 21 | Divinity Avenue Cambridge Massachusetts 02138 USA |
| _ ' | |
| 22 | *Corresponding authors: <u>erika.edwards@vale.edu; bmishler@berkelev.edu</u> : |
| 23 | cdavis@oeb.harvard.edu |
| | |

24 **Abstract**: University herbaria play critical roles in biodiversity research and training and

25 provide an interdisciplinary academic environment that fosters innovative uses of natural

history collections. Universities have a responsibility to steward these important 26

collections in perpetuity, in alignment with their academic missions and for the good of 27 science and society.

- 28
- 29

Duke University, a long-standing pillar of Natural History Collections (NHC)-based 30 biological research, has recently announced plans to close its 100-year-old herbarium, 31 32 citing a reorientation of its funding priorities [1]. This decision has caused an uproar around the world, and for good reason [2,3]. The Duke Herbarium contains nearly one 33 million specimens and is especially rich in records of the southeastern flora of the U.S. and 34 Latin America, capturing important global biodiversity hotspots. Moreover, this decision 35 comes at a moment when herbaria are experiencing a renaissance and becoming 36 increasingly relevant for conservation, climate change, and other larger societal agendas 37 [4]. Herbaria represent a global biodiversity heritage that should be used effectively and 38 ethically and protected for the future [5]. We strongly echo the refrains that Duke's 39 40 decision is a misguided and irresponsible divestment, especially in the current global biodiversity crisis. And we strongly disagree with the premise that simply relocating the 41 collection to another herbarium will solve the problem. The full value of the Duke 42 43 herbarium stems not only from the specimens themselves, but from the environment in which they are situated – a leading research university. Specifically, we argue that world 44 45 class collections at universities like Duke are critically important for advancing science and society (**Figure 1**). They have a distinct role relative to free standing NHCs because they 46 47 are inherently part of the larger academic vision, resources, and mission of a university. 48 49 The immersion of university herbaria within an ecosystem of scholars spanning

50 engineering to art history inspires new research directions that leverage collections in

creative new ways, and enables cutting-edge education of scholars at all levels, both inside 51

52 and outside of the academy. One need look no further than the use of herbaria as precious sources of DNA for reconstructing plant evolutionary history. The extraction of 'antique'

53 54 DNA from herbaria was pioneered by Doyle and Dickson nearly 40 years ago [6] who were

based at the Bailey Hortorium Herbarium at Cornell University. These researchers 55

56 elegantly demonstrated that large molecules of DNA could be harvested and sequenced

- from pressed and dried specimens. This was essential to the meteoric rise in the use of 57
- 58 herbaria and other natural history collections for largescale phylogenetic investigations,

59 which have rewritten our understanding of the Tree of Life. There are countless other

examples of innovation in university herbaria, including: tracing the origin and spread of 60

- crop pathogens, such as potato blight [7]; tracking changes in herbivory in the face of global 61
- 62 warming [8]; timing the introduction and spread of cryptic invasions [9]; developing
- phylogenetic algorithms from specimen locality records to assess biodiversity conservation 63

- 64 priorities on the landscape [10]; and illuminating the colonial legacies of these collections
- 65 [11]. In our own labs in just the last year, we have mentored students utilizing specimens in
- 66 ways that had never occurred to us before, from analyzing stable carbon isotopes of
- 67 specimens to track the fingerprint of fossil fuel consumption on atmospheric CO₂ isotopic
- 68 composition, to sampling pitcher plant specimens for their microbiomes, to a history term
- 69 paper examining how early U.S. plant collectors collaborated extensively with slaveowners
- 70 and plantations in Caribbean colonies.
- 71
- 72 Importantly, it isn't just a diversity of campus scholars who find their way to herbaria;
- 73 herbaria provide connections to the broader communities that surround universities,
- 74 including federal and state land managers, scientists, conservation biologists, local
- 75 naturalists and collaborators worldwide who rely on the critical information that resides in
- resource that is a true public good, as well as a vital
- campus resource, should be part of a university's central mission, especially now, as the
- 78 public mistrust of academia reaches an all-time high.
- 79

80 But perhaps the most salient issue, from our viewpoint as faculty curators of university

- 81 herbaria, is that Duke's outstanding research and training programs in plant and fungal
- 82 systematics and evolution will be forever diminished, or even eliminated. Included in
- 83 Duke's cost-benefit assessment for collection stewardship is the expense of its five faculty
- 84 lines currently dedicated to herbarium-based research. Closing the herbarium just prior to
- 85 the retirement of these faculty increases Duke's flexibility in future hires. By removing the
- 86 foundation on which collections-based research is built, this decision all but ensures the
- 87 allocation of these faculty lines to other biological disciplines. Moreover, this comes at a
- time when our collective global taxonomic expertise is already shrinking at an alarming
- 89 rate [12].
- 90
- 91 A mistaken attitude we often encounter is that herbaria are storage repositories of work 92 that was all finished many decades ago – i.e., the naming and classification of species. On the contrary, the science of taxonomy and phylogenetic systematics is an ever-evolving 93 94 discipline that still faces enormous challenges in documenting and understanding the biodiversity of life on Earth - challenges made even more difficult because species are 95 96 literally disappearing while we work. This research is fundamental to every biological discipline. Every scientist or conservationist who works on a particular plant species starts 97 98 with the (often unconscious) assumption that their species is a valid taxonomic entity; the 99 person who can figure that out is undoubtedly working in an herbarium. 100
- 101 Duke is exceptionally well-positioned to lead the current renaissance of biodiversity and
- 102 climate science that is built on herbarium-based research. We urge Duke leaders to
- 103 reconsider their decision to close the Duke Herbarium. An herbarium is not simply an

| 104 105 106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 | expense collect beyond global that hat scienti institu Duke s affiliat fresh i | sive storage facility– it is an active laboratory for research and teaching. Having a ion of global importance comes with a responsibility to a community that extends far d the college campus. For a century, Duke has been a responsible steward of this resource, which resulted in the development of a world-renowned training program as propelled the field forward and produced multiple generations of biodiversity sts, who are now performing critical work in academic and non-academic tions around the world. The impending retirement of the core herbarium faculty at should be viewed as an exciting opportunity to <i>re-invest</i> in the herbarium and ed research programs - to recruit the next generation of brilliant scientists, with deas and new approaches - and to build on Duke's great legacy. | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 116 | Figure | 1 Fraction of R1 research universities in the United States that also house herbaria | | | |
| 117 | Of the | 146 designated R1 universities (last surveyed in 2021 by | | | |
| 118 | https://carnegieclassifications.acenet.edu/carnegie-classification/classification- | | | | |
| 119 | methodology/basic-classification/). 91 have an associated herbarium, according to the data | | | | |
| 120 | in <i>Index Herbariorum</i> (https://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/). However, most of these | | | | |
| 121 | herbaria are small collections: only 38 R1 institutions – 26% – are home to herbaria | | | | |
| 122 | containing at least 200.000 specimens. Duke University houses the eighth largest R1 | | | | |
| 123 | herbarium in the United States, and as such has served as one of the most significant and | | | | |
| 124 | influential training programs in plant and fungal diversity for many decades | | | | |
| 125 | | | | | |
| 126 | | | | | |
| 127 | | | | | |
| 128 | | | | | |
| 129 | | References | | | |
| 130 | | | | | |
| 131 | 1. | Cranford, C. (2024) <i>The Chronicle</i> 15 February, pp. | | | |
| 132 | | https://www.dukechronicle.com/article/2024/2002/duke-university-plants- | | | |
| 133 | | biodiversity-herbarium-climate-commitment-kathleen-pryer | | | |
| 134 | 2. | Davis, C.C. (2024) Collections are truly priceless. <i>Science</i> 383, 1035-1035. | | | |
| 135 | | 10.1126/science.ado9732 | | | |
| 136 | 3. | Pennisi, E. (2024) <i>Science</i> 16 February, | | | |
| 137 | 4. | Davis, C.C. (2023) The herbarium of the future. <i>Trends Ecol. Evol.</i> 38, 412-423. | | | |
| 138 | 5 | 10.1010/J.tree.2022.11.015 Davis C.C. at al. (2024) The destructive compling conjudging and guidelines for | | | |
| 129 | J. | effective and ethical sampling of herbaria <i>EcoEvoRviv</i> 10 32942/826603 | | | |
| 141 | 6. | Dovle, I.I. and Dickson, E.E. (1987) Preservation of plant samples for DNA restriction | | | |
| 142 | . . | endonuclease analysis. <i>Taxon</i> 36, 715-722 | | | |
| | | - | | | |

| 143 | 7. | Ristaino, J.B. <i>et al.</i> (2001) PCR amplification of the Irish potato famine pathogen |
|-----|-----|---|
| 144 | | from historic specimens. <i>Nature</i> 411, 695-697. |
| 145 | | http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v411/n6838/suppinfo/411695a0 S1.html |
| 146 | 8. | Meineke, E.K. <i>et al.</i> (2019) Biological collections for understanding biodiversity in |
| 147 | | the Anthropocene. <i>Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B</i> 374, 20170386. |
| 148 | | doi:10.1098/rstb.2017.0386 |
| 149 | 9. | Saltonstall, K. (2002) Cryptic invasion by a non-native genotype of the common |
| 150 | | reed, Phragmites australis, into North America. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99, 2445- |
| 151 | | 2449 |
| 152 | 10. | Kling, M.M. et al. (2019) Facets of phylodiversity: evolutionary diversification, |
| 153 | | divergence and survival as conservation targets. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B 374, |
| 154 | | 20170397. 10.1098/rstb.2017.0397 |
| 155 | 11. | Park, D.S. et al. (2023) The colonial legacy of herbaria. Nature Human Behaviour 7, |
| 156 | | 1059-1068. 10.1038/s41562-023-01616-7 |
| 157 | 12. | Löbl, I. <i>et al.</i> (2023) The silent extinction of species and taxonomists—an appeal to |
| 158 | | science policymakers and legislators. <i>Diversity</i> . 10.3390/d15101053 |
| 159 | | |

Figure 1



R1 universities with herbaria > 200,000 specimens