Dissecting transmission to understand parasite evolution 4 Luís M. Silva  $1,2^*$ 5 Kayla C. King  $^{2,3,4}$ 6 Jacob C. Koella <sup>1</sup> Institute of Biology, University of Neuchâtel, Rue Emile-Argand 11, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland <sup>2</sup> Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, 6270 University Boulevard, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z4, Canada <sup>3</sup> Department of Microbiology & Immunology, University of British Columbia, 1365-2350 Health Sciences Mall, Vancouver, BC V6T 1Z3, Canada <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK 17 \* Corresponding author: luis.silva@ubc.ca (LMS) ORCID LMS: 0000-0002-8203-4006 KCK: 0000-0003-1393-9220 Keywords transmission, virulence, parasite evolution, infection, infectivity 

### **Abstract**

 Parasite transmission is a complex, multi-stage process that significantly impacts host-parasite dynamics. Transmission plays a key role in epidemiology, due to host heterogeneity in transmission, and in virulence evolution, where it is expected to trade-off with virulence. However, the extent to which classical models on virulence-transmission relationships apply in the real world are unclear. In this opinion piece, we propose a novel framework that breaks transmission into three distinct stages: within-host infectiousness, an intermediate between-host stage (biotic or abiotic), and new host infection. Each stage is influenced by intrinsic and extrinsic factors to the parasite, which together will determine its transmission success. We believe that analyzing the transmission stages separately might enhance our understanding of which host-, parasite- or environmental-driven factors might shape parasite evolution and inform us about new effectors to act on when designing disease control strategies.

 Parasites are fundamentally driven to maximize their reproductive success, i.e., transmission to new hosts. This goal drives investment in machinery/traits that maximize transmission and ensures the establishment of successful infections in new hosts. Transmission is thus a key indicator of parasite fitness (A F Read and Schrag 1991; Anderson and May 1982). It reflects the parasite's ability to infect a host, survive and reproduce within it, and then infect a new host. Several factors can influence and maintain variability in transmission, such as the nutritional or dietary status during the development of both host and parasite (Stromberg 1997; Adamson and Caira 1994; Costa et al. 2018; P Schmid- Hempel and Koella 1994; Wong et al. 2015). A poor nutritional status is known to affect the host- parasite interaction, as host immunity might be constrained, and parasite replication slowed down due to competition for resources (Córdoba-Aguilar and Munguía-Steyer 2013; Bize et al. 2008; Zuzarte- Luís and Mota 2018; Tate and Graham 2015; Barber 2005). Parasite transmission is evidently a complex, multi-stage process within and among hosts (Fig. 1). The extent to which a parasite invests in each transmission stage may vary depending on host conditions, parasite life-history or environment. Constraints at any one stage can significantly impact the overall transmission process and, consequently, parasite fitness.

 Research on parasite transmission is vital for understanding and predicting its evolution, which has major consequences for epidemiology and virulence (i.e., detrimental effects of an infection on its host (Andrew F Read 1994)). In recent years, epidemiological studies have integrated transmission heterogeneity into forecasts of parasite evolutionary trajectories. Superspreading, for example, is when a small number of infected individuals cause a disproportionately large number of new infections (Teicher 2023; Wong et al. 2015; Bates, Bolton, and King 2021; Siva-Jothy and Vale 2021). This phenomenon can undermine control measures and contribute to ongoing epidemics by leading to more frequent disease outbreaks (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005; Stein 2011). Research on transmission also plays a vital role in the evolution of virulence, where the two traits are expected to be linked. Most major hypotheses, disease control strategies and predictions regarding virulence evolution (Alizon et al. 2009) are largely based on the prevailing theory of virulence evolution (Anderson and May 1991, 1982; Cressler et al. 2016) due to its easy and broad application. This

 theory postulates a trade-off between a parasite's transmission rate and its infection virulence (Anderson and May 1991), meaning a parasite that evolves to kill the host too quickly may not get the chance to be transmitted. This theory has been crucial to estimate and tackle parasite evolution that might jeopardize the survival of populations and species with low genetic diversity (e.g., cattle, endangered species) and therefore, more susceptible to novel infections (Ganz and Ebert 2010; Sommer 2005). Since its introduction approximately 50 years ago, this trade-off theory has found empirical and theoretical support (Alizon et al. 2009; Leggett et al. 2017; Fenner 1983; Bérénos, Schmid‐Hempel, and Mathias Wegner 2009; Acevedo et al. 2019; Cressler et al. 2016). There are nonetheless questions about its generality across host-parasite systems, with several studies not observing the trade-off or finding that it does not apply to types of infection (e.g., tissue tropism) or transmission modes (e.g., obligate killer parasites) (Alizon and van Baalen 2005; Alizon and Michalakis 2015; Acevedo et al. 2019; Vallée, Faranda, and Arutkin 2023; Turner et al. 2021; Sheen et al. 2024; Godinho et al. 2023; Brown, Cornforth, and Mideo 2012).

 Transmission in standard SIR models is often represented by a single parameter: the basic reproductive number (*R0*). This parameter is defined as the average number of secondary infections 84 caused by a single, infected individual in a completely susceptible population (Anderson and May 1991; Delamater et al. 2019). *R0* is a valuable tool for predicting whether an infectious disease will become an epidemic (Guerra et al. 2017; Achaiah, Subbarajasetty, and Shetty 2020). It does not 87 however account for the variability in transmission among individuals (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005) or the intricate interactions of intrinsic and extrinsic parameters that influence transmission (Adelman 89 and Hawley 2017; Stromberg 1997). To better understand the impact of host heterogeneity in transmission, Lloyd-Smith and colleagues (2005) introduced the concept of "individual reproduction number" (V). This metric represents the expected number of secondary cases caused by each infected individual (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005). By focusing on individual contributions rather than the population average, this concept accounts for variability in transmission among individuals, which can lead to different epidemiological predictions and necessitate more targeted disease control measures (Rushmore et al. 2013; Stein 2011). VanderWaal and Ezenwa (2016) expanded this

 transmission framework to include key aspects of infection and host-parasite interactions that are likely to impact *V*, such as infectiousness, contact rate and the length of the infectious period (VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016). While these (VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016; Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005) and other refinements (McCallum et al. 2017) represent a significant advancement by addressing host heterogeneity and its effects, it still overlooks other important factors that contribute to the complexity of transmission variability (Cressler et al. 2016). These factors can include differences in host contact rate (Rushmore et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2014; Mousa et al. 2021), immunocompetence (Burgan et al. 2019; Krist et al. 2004; Hoang, Read, and King 2024), host, and parasite-specific factors like parasite load and symptom severity (Adelman and Hawley 2017; Burgan et al. 2019), and environmental factors such as population density (Fenton et al. 2002; Arneberg et al. 1998; Godinho et al. 2023). Additionally, other factors such as the protective role of the microbiome (Ford et al. 2016) or age (Izhar and Ben‐Ami 2015), also play a role in influencing a host's infectiousness and parasite reproductive number.

 In this opinion, we address why and how existing frameworks should include the environment outside of the host, and we tackle the ambiguity regarding the different transmission stages. To enhance our understanding of the relationship between transmission and parasite evolution, we propose an advanced framework that breaks down transmission into distinct stages. Each stage is open to its own set of factors that might influence stage-specific transmission metrics or *V*. This framework is designed to be simple enough for broad application across various infection types, yet flexible enough to accommodate different aspects of the parasite's transmission cycle, whether intrinsic or extrinsic. Moreover, we also defined the following transmission stages and respective metrics: 1) initial primary host and infectiousness; 2) time between primary hosts and transmission potential; 3) infection of a new primary host and transmission success (Fig. 1). We believe that by formerly decomposing transmission into its stages we might acquire insights into parasite evolution, the limitations to its evolvability and which factors are responsible for it.



 **Figure 1. Stages of parasite transmission.** Illustration of the different stages for a parasite to successfully transmit into a new host. The rate of production of infective cells in host 1 (*TA*) (Lloyd- Smith et al. 2005; VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016) will impact its transmission potential (*Tp*) after a biotic or abiotic stage outside of the main host, affected by several intrinsic and extrinsic parasite factors. *Tp* will impact the chances of infection success in a new host reflecting the full parasite fitness, or transmission (*V*). Figure produced in biorender.com.

#### *1. Transmissibility and infectiousness*

 Prior to transmission, a parasite must navigate its development within its primary host and address potential constraints imposed by the host. These constraints can arise from the host immune strategy (Costa et al. 2018; Hall et al. 2007; De Roode et al. 2008) to the resources available for the parasite to sequester and then utilize (Macedo da Silva 2021; Andrew F Read, Graham, and Råberg 2008; Megan A M Kutzer and Armitage 2016). Nevertheless, a parasite still can manipulate the host behavior (Koella, Rieu, and Paul 2002) and its physiology (Lacroix et al. 2005; Robinson et al. 2018; Luong, Grear, and Hudson 2014) to enhance their chances of transmission. Among the factors influencing this stage, two are particularly relevant: the parasite load and the duration of the infection (VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016).

 A striking example of how within-host factors can influence parasite dynamics and evolution is through the defense strategy employed. Hosts may opt to resist or tolerate a parasite (Råberg, Graham, and Read 2009; Megan A M Kutzer and Armitage 2016; Medzhitov, Schneider, and Soares 2012; Ford et al. 2016). Resistance involves limiting the number of parasitic cells, while tolerance reduces the damage caused by the infection without directly affecting parasite growth (Megan A M Kutzer and Armitage 2016). Tolerance allows a higher parasite load to accumulate within the host. Parasite load within a host is evidently linked to its infectiousness, and it is fair to expect superspreading to evolve in these circumstances. At its core, superspreading is seen when infected hosts can transmit higher parasite loads with fewer symptoms than others (Bates, Bolton, and King 2021; Siva-Jothy and Vale 2021). This phenomenon might entail a population-wide heterogeneity in transmission and the lack of symptoms in these individuals might lead to a weak disease surveillance. Indeed, this variation has been observed in infections such as SARS-CoV-2 (Kirkegaard and Sneppen 2021; Wong et al. 2015), MERS-CoV (Hui 2016), Q fever (Porten et al. 2006) and tuberculosis (Lee et al. 2020), to name a few. Given the nature of tolerance, it's fair to assume this strategy might lead to more contagious infections than resistance (Gopinath et al. 2014), although there is no empirical evidence for it yet. Differences in how hosts allocate resources or invest into resistance or tolerance (Lefèvre, Williams, and de Roode 2010; Mazé-Guilmo et al. 2014; Zeller and Koella 2016) will result in a mix of highly contagious superspreader hosts and individuals who contribute minimally to transmission. 

 Transmissibility is determined not only by the number of parasite cells produced during a certain infection period but also by their quality and their infectious potential. These factors in turn can be grouped into physiological or behavioral mechanisms (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005; VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016) which may evolve independently or together. Physiological mechanisms involve factors affecting the length of the infectious period (*IP*) and the infectiousness of the parasites 166 produced  $(\beta_p)$ . Behavioral mechanisms include host social aspects, such as population density or increased contact rates (b*c*). For instance, transmission of the parasite *Plasmodium falciparium* is

 associated with its density during its infectious stage, which is regulated physiologically by the host immune system (Lacroix et al. 2005). Nonetheless, the infectious stage also increases the mosquito's attractiveness to humans, increasing the chances of transmission (Lacroix et al. 2005) (so, its infectiousness) behaviorally. Consequently, both types of mechanisms can differently affect parasite reproductive numbers, through variation in some of the main component's transmission: the number and quality of parasites within their host. Measured on an appropriate scale, these can be multiplied to 174 give the ability of transmission  $(T_A)$ .

$$
T_A = \beta_p \times \beta_c \times I_P
$$

 Each of these parameters is affected by numerous environmental and genetic factors, like the host's nutritional status (Costa et al. 2018; Hall et al. 2007; De Roode et al. 2008) and immunocompetence (Burgan et al. 2019; Krist et al. 2004; Hoang, Read, and King 2024), and the parasite's reproductive rate in optimal conditions. Moreover, such factors may depend on each other. For example, hosts with a high parasite load may have a lower contact rate or a shorter infectious period.

### *2. Inter-host stage and transmission potential*

 Most parasites are not immediately transmitted to a new host. Instead, they may be carried over and develop in vector hosts (biotic environment) or sit-and-wait in soil, water or another abiotic environment before infecting a new host. The parasite must survive this intermediate stage to continue its life cycle and be exposed to a new host. The inability to withstand this environmental intermediate stage or develop the infective stage will result in an impaired parasite transmission. The importance of survival is obvious for parasites with free-living stages and vector-borne parasites. Long-lived resting stages are slowly degraded outside the host, and vector-borne parasites must survive the insect immune response long enough to complete development and produce transmission stages. Survival in the outside environment is also critical for parasites which are directly transmitted. SARS-CoV-2 viruses, for example, are transmitted in droplets, and survive for only a short amount of time (Bhardwaj and Agrawal 2020; Chatterjee et al. 2021b, 2021a).

 The intermediate transmission stage outside the primary host can significantly impact the parasite life 196 cycle and transmission potential  $(T_p)$ . We defined  $T_p$  as the number of infective cells that will have the 197 opportunity to infect a new host. It therefore represents the subset of  $T_A$  able to survive the between- host environment. An important aspect of this framework is that the quality of the parasites at this 199 stage  $(O_p)$  is heavily influenced by the environment in which they were produced and their adaptability to specific conditions. *Qp* is affected by parasite taxa and the trade-offs associated with the parasite's development in its initial host. For instance, lines of the parasite *Vavraia culicis* can have a negative correlation between parasite growth within the host and survival outside of the host (Silva and Koella 2024a). Mortality at this stage is also influenced by the favorability of the environment (*Qe*). Using the same model as an example, *V. culicis*, which has a relatively long intermediate stage, is highly sensitive to abiotic factors such as temperature and UV light (Becnel and Weiss 2014), which can significantly reduce its *Tp* (Silva and Koella 2024a). Similarly, in vector- borne diseases, the mosquito's nutrition can impact the development of malaria parasites within the vector (Costa et al. 2018). Both factors can have aggravated costs/benefits with increased time in the environment (t) and therefore, prolonged exposure to the factors. These factors can also be applied to vector-borne diseases if we think of them as generic descriptions of complex processes of vector- borne transmission. Thus, *Qe* can refer to processes like the immune response of a vector or its mortality rate. *Qp* is linked to the growth rate of the parasite in its vector, and *t* is the developmental 213 time of the parasite in its vector. The two latter factors  $(Q_p \text{ and } t)$  may also be linked to the first 214 transmission stage, within the host.

 According to life-history theory (Flatt and Heyland 2011; Stearns 1992), investment in one stage of a parasite's life cycle often involves trade-offs that might affect subsequent stages. So, it is expected that a high parasite load within a primary host is linked to a reduced ability of the parasite to endure different environments. For instance, *Plasmodium* parasites produce more gametocytes increasing 220 their infectiousness to other mosquitoes (Bradley et al. 2018) but this increase comes at the expense of reduced survival and longevity inside a vector (Dawes et al. 2009). A similar result is observed in a

schistosome parasite whereby higher parasite growth in the final mammal host is associated with

lower growth in the intermediate snail host (Davies, Webster, and Woolhouse 2001).

 The importance of such trade-offs is crystallized in the Curse of the Pharaoh hypothesis. The latter posits that infective cells able to live for a long time in the environment can exhibit high levels of virulence (Gandon 1998; Bonhoeffer, Lenski, and Ebert 1996; Rafaluk-Mohr 2019). This hypothesis implies then that in some cases the usual trade-off between virulence and transmission might be less pronounced, or they might be decoupled, challenging the traditional virulence trade-off theory. Furthermore, this hypothesis reinforces the influence of the intermediate between-host environment 231 on the parasite's transmission strategy. Although the Curse of the Pharaoh hypothesis remains relatively unexplored, a meta-analysis has identified examples in nature of such phenomena (Rafaluk- Mohr 2019). This study also concluded that the relationship between virulence and environmental persistence is often taxa-specific (Rafaluk-Mohr 2019), and likely driven by the unique evolutionary histories of each parasite. Nonetheless, this hypothesis suggests that we may be missing important aspects of transmission by not closely examining its stages and how they interact with parasitic traits (McCallum et al. 2017; VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016). Theoretical work indicates that additional factors, such as epidemiological dynamics and within-host competition among parasites, are vital for understanding virulence evolution (Bonhoeffer, Lenski, and Ebert 1996; Gandon 1998). Whether long-lived parasites evolve to be more or less virulent depends on the trade-off between virulence and longevity during their free-living stage (Barrett et al. 2011; Messenger, Molineux, and Bull 1999) and the environment (Mikonranta, Friman, and Laakso 2012). Distinguishing between classical transmission metrics and transmission potential can enhance our understanding of disease spread and virulence evolution. Here, we explicitly describe this intermediate stage of transmission among hosts, and propose a simplified framework adaptable to most parasites:

246  $T_p = T_A [1 - \mu(Q_e, Q_p, t)]$ 

247 where  $\mu$  is the parasite's mortality during the inter-host stage,  $Q_e$  and  $Q_p$  indicate the quality of the The framework proposed here considers the impact of different ecological and evolutionary effectors on transmission potential.

# *3. Susceptibility of new host and transmission success* The last transmission stage covers parasites that survived the intermediate stage between hosts and therefore might be exposed to a new primary host, and potentially successfully infect it. If we call the 254 probability of infecting the next host  $\beta_p$ <sup>'</sup>, overall transmission (thus, *V*) becomes: 255 *V* =  $T_p \times \beta_p'$  or: 257  $V = T_A [1 - \mu(Q_e, Q_p, t)] \times \beta_p$  and ergo: 259  $V = \beta_p \times \beta_c \times I_P [1 - \mu(O_e, O_p, t)] \times \beta_p'$ 260 Note that  $\beta_p$ <sup>'</sup> depends on the susceptibility of the new host (Bates, Bolton, and King 2021), which can be on factors such as life history (Kurtz 2005; Lorenz and Koella 2011), the immune strategy employed (Macedo da Silva 2021; Andrew F Read, Graham, and Råberg 2008), the host's genotype (Howick and Lazzaro 2014; M A M Kutzer, Kurtz, and Armitage 2018; Bates, Bolton, and King 264 2021), and overall parasite fitness.  $\beta_p$ ' can also depend on the quality of the parasites  $(Q_p)$ , which depends on the previous two stages and is affected by, for example, the first host's nutrition, genotype and immune response (Costa et al. 2018; Futo, Armitage, and Kurtz 2015; Silva and Koella 2024b;

Betts, Rafaluk, and King 2016) and the between-host environment (Penczykowski, Laine, and

268 Koskella 2016; Didelot et al. 2016). Finally,  $\beta_p$ ' can depend (non-linearly) on the number of parasites

in the intermediate stage.

## *4. Concluding remarks and future directions*

 Transmission is a critical parameter of infection. Transmission influences parasite fitness, host fitness, and the overall infection process. All of which can determine disease spread and the rate and direction of evolution. We propose that incorporating the parasite's life history across different stages of transmission, rather than relying solely on classical transmission metrics, could improve predictions of infection outcomes in new hosts. The framework developed here is simple and broadly applicable

 to various parasites and transmission types. While factors such as parasite dispersal (Nørgaard et al. 2021; Wild, Gardner, and West 2009), host social aggregation (Paul Schmid-Hempel 2021; Kappeler, Cremer, and Nunn 2015), and multiple biotic environments (e.g., various vector hosts) are often case- specific, they can be integrated into this framework during the intermediate between-host stage. The insights and solutions discussed here have significant implications for epidemiology and disease outbreak management, with implications for how we study virulence evolution. The ongoing debate about virulence and transmission is in part a consequence of the oversimplification of these components. Recent work on decomposing (Acuña-Hidalgo et al. 2022; VanderWaal and Ezenwa 2016) and extensively studying the components of infection (Mark Austin Hanson et al. 2019; Hoang, Read, and King 2024), and their relationships (Mark A Hanson, Lemaitre, and Unckless 2019; Hasik, King, and Hawlena 2023; Silva and Koella 2024b), is crucial. A new era in infection biology has begun. Addressing the different components of transmission – or in particular, transmission potential – we might find more evidence of the trade-offs raised by Anderson and May (Anderson and May 1982). After all, the different dynamics and limitations of parasite life history play a major role in shaping transmissibility. Equally important, such trade-offs might reveal which aspects or stages of transmission will be more efficient to act on when designing disease control strategies. **Author contributions**

 LMS conceptualized the idea. JCK and LMS formalized it. JCK, KCK and LMS discussed and wrote the manuscript.

### **Acknowledgments**

- LMS is supported by SNF grant 310030\_192786. KCK is supported by an NSERC Canada
- Excellence Research Chair.

### **References**

- Acevedo, Miguel A, Forrest P Dillemuth, Andrew J Flick, Matthew J Faldyn, and Bret D Elderd.
- 2019. 'Virulence-Driven Trade-Offs in Disease Transmission: A Meta-Analysis'. *Evolution* 73 (4): 636–47.
- Achaiah, Nithya C, Sindhu B Subbarajasetty, and Rajesh M Shetty. 2020. 'R0 and Re of COVID-19:
- Can We Predict When the Pandemic Outbreak Will Be Contained?' *Indian Journal of Critical*
- *Care Medicine: Peer-Reviewed, Official Publication of Indian Society of Critical Care Medicine*
- 24 (11): 1125.
- Acuña-Hidalgo, Beatriz, Luís M. Silva, Mathias Franz, Roland R. Regoes, and Sophie A.O. Armitage.
- 2022. 'Decomposing Virulence to Understand Bacterial Clearance in Persistent Infections'.
- *Nature Communications* 13 (1): 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32118-1.
- Adamson, M L, and J N Caira. 1994. 'Evolutionary Factors Influencing the Nature of Parasite
- Specificity'. *Parasitology* 109 (S1): S85–95.
- Adelman, James S, and Dana M Hawley. 2017. 'Tolerance of Infection: A Role for Animal Behavior,
- Potential Immune Mechanisms, and Consequences for Parasite Transmission'. *Hormones and Behavior* 88: 79–86.
- Alizon, Samuel, and Minus van Baalen. 2005. 'Emergence of a Convex Trade-off between
- Transmission and Virulence'. *The American Naturalist* 165 (6): E155–67.
- Alizon, Samuel, Amy Hurford, Nicole Mideo, and Minus Van Baalen. 2009. 'Virulence Evolution
- and the Trade‐off Hypothesis: History, Current State of Affairs and the Future'. *Journal of*
- *Evolutionary Biology* 22 (2): 245–59.
- Alizon, Samuel, and Yannis Michalakis. 2015. 'Adaptive Virulence Evolution: The Good Old Fitness-Based Approach'. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 30 (5): 248–54.
- Anderson, Roy M, and R M May. 1982. 'Coevolution of Hosts and Parasites'. *Parasitology* 85 (02): 411–26.
- Anderson, Roy M, and Robert M May. 1991. *Infectious Diseases of Humans: Dynamics and Control*. Oxford university press.
- Arneberg, Per, Arne Skorping, Bryan Grenfell, and Andrew F Read. 1998. 'Host Densities as
- Determinants of Abundance in Parasite Communities'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 265 (1403): 1283–89.
- Barber, Iain. 2005. 'Parasites Grow Larger in Faster Growing Fish Hosts'. *International Journal for*
- *Parasitology* 35 (2): 137–43.
- Barrett, Luke G, Thomas Bell, Greg Dwyer, and Joy Bergelson. 2011. 'Cheating, Trade‐offs and the
- Evolution of Aggressiveness in a Natural Pathogen Population'. *Ecology Letters* 14 (11): 1149– 57.
- Bates, Kieran A, Jai S Bolton, and Kayla C King. 2021. 'A Globally Ubiquitous Symbiont Can Drive Experimental Host Evolution'. *Molecular Ecology* 30 (15): 3882–92.
- Becnel, James J, and Louis M Weiss. 2014. *Microsporidia: Pathogens of Opportunity*. John Wiley & Sons Incorporated.
- 340 Bérénos, C, P Schmid-Hempel, and K Mathias Wegner. 2009. 'Evolution of Host Resistance and
- Trade‐offs between Virulence and Transmission Potential in an Obligately Killing Parasite'.

*Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 22 (10): 2049–56.

- Betts, Alex, Charlotte Rafaluk, and Kayla C King. 2016. 'Host and Parasite Evolution in a Tangled Bank'. *Trends in Parasitology* 32 (11): 863–73.
- Bhardwaj, Rajneesh, and Amit Agrawal. 2020. 'Likelihood of Survival of Coronavirus in a

Respiratory Droplet Deposited on a Solid Surface'. *Physics of Fluids* 32 (6).

- Bize, Pierre, Caroline Jeanneret, Aurélie Klopfenstein, and Alexandre Roulin. 2008. 'What Makes a
- Host Profitable? Parasites Balance Host Nutritive Resources against Immunity'. *The American Naturalist* 171 (1): 107–18.
- Bonhoeffer, Sebastian, Richard E Lenski, and Dieter Ebert. 1996. 'The Curse of the Pharaoh: The
- Evolution of Virulence in Pathogens with Long Living Propagules'. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- *Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 263 (1371): 715–21.
- Bradley, John, Will Stone, Dari F Da, Isabelle Morlais, Alassane Dicko, Anna Cohuet, Wamdaogo M
- Guelbeogo, Almahamoudou Mahamar, Sandrine Nsango, and Harouna M Soumaré. 2018.
- 'Predicting the Likelihood and Intensity of Mosquito Infection from Sex Specific Plasmodium
- Falciparum Gametocyte Density'. *Elife* 7: e34463.
- Brown, Sam P, Daniel M Cornforth, and Nicole Mideo. 2012. 'Evolution of Virulence in
- Opportunistic Pathogens: Generalism, Plasticity, and Control'. *Trends in Microbiology* 20 (7): 336–42.
- Burgan, Sarah C, Stephanie S Gervasi, Leah R Johnson, and Lynn B Martin. 2019. 'How Individual
- Variation in Host Tolerance Affects Competence to Transmit Parasites'. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 92 (1): 49–57.
- Chatterjee, Sanghamitro, Janani Srree Murallidharan, Amit Agrawal, and Rajneesh Bhardwaj. 2021a. 'How Coronavirus Survives for Hours in Aerosols'. *Physics of Fluids* 33 (8).
- ———. 2021b. 'Why Coronavirus Survives Longer on Impermeable than Porous Surfaces'. *Physics*

*of Fluids* 33 (2).

- Chen, Shi, Brad J White, Michael W Sanderson, David E Amrine, Amiyaal Ilany, and Cristina
- Lanzas. 2014. 'Highly Dynamic Animal Contact Network and Implications on Disease Transmission'. *Scientific Reports* 4 (1): 4472.
- Córdoba-Aguilar, Alex, and Roberto Munguía-Steyer. 2013. 'The Sicker Sex: Understanding Male
- Biases in Parasitic Infection, Resource Allocation and Fitness'. *PloS One* 8 (10): e76246.
- Costa, Giulia, M Gildenhard, M Eldering, R L Lindquist, A E Hauser, R Sauerwein, C Goosmann, V
- Brinkmann, P Carrillo-Bustamante, and E A Levashina. 2018. 'Non-Competitive Resource
- Exploitation within Mosquito Shapes within-Host Malaria Infectivity and Virulence'. *Nature*
- *Communications* 9 (1): 3474.
- Cressler, Clayton E, David V McLeod, Carly Rozins, Josée Van Den Hoogen, and Troy Day. 2016.
- 'The Adaptive Evolution of Virulence: A Review of Theoretical Predictions and Empirical
- Tests'. *Parasitology* 143 (7): 915–30.
- Davies, C M, J P Webster, and M E J Woolhouse. 2001. 'Trade–Offs in the Evolution of Virulence in
- an Indirectly Transmitted Macroparasite'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 268 (1464): 251–57.
- Dawes, Emma J, Thomas S Churcher, Shijie Zhuang, Robert E Sinden, and María-Gloria Basáñez.
- 2009. 'Anopheles Mortality Is Both Age-and Plasmodium-Density Dependent: Implications for
- Malaria Transmission'. *Malaria Journal* 8: 1–16.
- Delamater, Paul L, Erica J Street, Timothy F Leslie, Y Tony Yang, and Kathryn H Jacobsen. 2019.
- 'Complexity of the Basic Reproduction Number (R0)'. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* 25 (1): 1.
- Didelot, Xavier, A Sarah Walker, Tim E Peto, Derrick W Crook, and Daniel J Wilson. 2016. 'Within-
- Host Evolution of Bacterial Pathogens'. *Nature Reviews Microbiology* 14 (3): 150–62.
- Fenner, Frank John. 1983. 'The Florey Lecture, 1983-Biological Control, as Exemplified by Smallpox
- Eradication and Myxomatosis'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B.*
- *Biological Sciences* 218 (1212): 259–85.
- Fenton, Andrew, Jonathan P Fairbairn, Rachel Norman, and Peter J Hudson. 2002. 'Parasite
- Transmission: Reconciling Theory and Reality'. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 71 (5): 893–905.
- Flatt, Thomas, and Andreas Heyland. 2011. *Mechanisms of Life History Evolution: The Genetics and Physiology of Life History Traits and Trade-Offs*. OUP Oxford.
- Ford, Suzanne A, Damian Kao, David Williams, and Kayla C King. 2016. 'Microbe-Mediated Host Defence Drives the Evolution of Reduced Pathogen Virulence'. *Nature Communications* 7 (1): 13430.
- Futo, Momir, Sophie A O Armitage, and Joachim Kurtz. 2015. 'Microbiota Plays a Role in Oral
- Immune Priming in Tribolium Castaneum'. *Frontiers in Microbiology* 6.
- Gandon, Sylvain. 1998. 'The Curse of the Pharoah Hypothesis'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 265 (1405): 1545–52.
- Ganz, Holly H, and Dieter Ebert. 2010. 'Benefits of Host Genetic Diversity for Resistance to Infection Depend on Parasite Diversity'. *Ecology* 91 (5): 1263–68.
- Godinho, Diogo P, Leonor R Rodrigues, Sophie Lefèvre, Laurane Delteil, André F Mira, Inês R
- Fragata, Sara Magalhães, and Alison B Duncan. 2023. 'Limited Host Availability Disrupts the
- Genetic Correlation between Virulence and Transmission'. *Evolution Letters* 7 (1): 58–66.
- Gopinath, Smita, Joshua S Lichtman, Donna M Bouley, Joshua E Elias, and Denise M Monack. 2014.
- 'Role of Disease-Associated Tolerance in Infectious Superspreaders'. *Proceedings of the*
- *National Academy of Sciences* 111 (44): 15780–85.
- Guerra, Fiona M, Shelly Bolotin, Gillian Lim, Jane Heffernan, Shelley L Deeks, Ye Li, and Natasha S
- Crowcroft. 2017. 'The Basic Reproduction Number (R0) of Measles: A Systematic Review'.
- *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* 17 (12): e420–28.
- Hall, Spencer R, Lena Sivars‐Becker, Claes Becker, Meghan A Duffy, Alan J Tessier, and Carla E
- Cáceres. 2007. 'Eating Yourself Sick: Transmission of Disease as a Function of Foraging
- Ecology'. *Ecology Letters* 10 (3): 207–18.
- Hanson, Mark A, Bruno Lemaitre, and Robert L Unckless. 2019. 'Dynamic Evolution of
- Antimicrobial Peptides Underscores Trade-Offs between Immunity and Ecological Fitness'. *Frontiers in Immunology* 10: 2620.
- Hanson, Mark Austin, Anna Dostálová, Camilla Ceroni, Mickael Poidevin, Shu Kondo, and Bruno
- Lemaitre. 2019. 'Synergy and Remarkable Specificity of Antimicrobial Peptides in Vivo Using a Systematic Knockout Approach'. *Elife* 8: e44341.
- Hasik, Adam Z, Kayla C King, and Hadas Hawlena. 2023. 'Interspecific Host Competition and Parasite Virulence Evolution'. *Biology Letters* 19 (5): 20220553.
- Hoang, Kim L, Timothy D Read, and Kayla C King. 2024. 'Incomplete Immunity in a Natural
- Animal-Microbiota Interaction Selects for Higher Pathogen Virulence'. *Current Biology*.
- Howick, Virginia M, and Brian P Lazzaro. 2014. 'Genotype and Diet Shape Resistance and Tolerance across Distinct Phases of Bacterial Infection'. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 14 (1): 56.
- Hui, David S. 2016. 'Super-Spreading Events of MERS-CoV Infection'. *Lancet (London, England)* 388 (10048): 942.
- Izhar, Rony, and Frida Ben‐Ami. 2015. 'Host Age Modulates Parasite Infectivity, Virulence and Reproduction'. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 84 (4): 1018–28.
- Kappeler, Peter M, Sylvia Cremer, and Charles L Nunn. 2015. 'Sociality and Health: Impacts of
- Sociality on Disease Susceptibility and Transmission in Animal and Human Societies'.
- *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. The Royal Society.
- Kirkegaard, Julius B, and Kim Sneppen. 2021. 'Superspreading Quantified from Bursty Epidemic Trajectories'. *Scientific Reports* 11 (1): 24124.
- Koella, Jacob C, Linda Rieu, and Richard E L Paul. 2002. 'Stage-Specific Manipulation of a
- Mosquito's Host-Seeking Behavior by the Malaria Parasite Plasmodium Gallinaceum'.
- *Behavioral Ecology* 13 (6): 816–20.
- Krist, A C, Jukka Jokela, J Wiehn, and C M Lively. 2004. 'Effects of Host Condition on
- Susceptibility to Infection, Parasite Developmental Rate, and Parasite Transmission in a Snail– Trematode Interaction'. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 17 (1): 33–40.
- Kurtz, Joachim. 2005. 'Specific Memory within Innate Immune Systems'. *Trends in Immunology* 26 (4): 186–92.
- Kutzer, M A M, J Kurtz, and S A O Armitage. 2018. 'Genotype and Diet Affect Resistance, Survival, and Fecundity but Not Fecundity Tolerance'. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 31 (1): 159–71.
- Kutzer, Megan A M, and Sophie A O Armitage. 2016. 'Maximising Fitness in the Face of Parasites:

A Review of Host Tolerance'. *Zoology* 119 (4): 281–89.

- Lacroix, Renaud, Wolfgang R Mukabana, Louis Clement Gouagna, and Jacob C Koella. 2005.
- 'Malaria Infection Increases Attractiveness of Humans to Mosquitoes'. *PLoS Biology* 3 (9): e298.
- Lee, Robyn S, Jean-François Proulx, Fiona McIntosh, Marcel A Behr, and William P Hanage. 2020.

 'Previously Undetected Super-Spreading of Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Revealed by Deep Sequencing'. *Elife* 9: e53245.

- Lefèvre, Thierry, Amanda Jo Williams, and Jacobus C de Roode. 2010. 'Genetic Variation in
- Resistance, but Not Tolerance, to a Protozoan Parasite in the Monarch Butterfly'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, rspb20101479.
- Leggett, Helen C, Charlie K Cornwallis, Angus Buckling, and Stuart A West. 2017. 'Growth Rate,
- Transmission Mode and Virulence in Human Pathogens'. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
- *Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 372 (1719): 20160094.
- Lloyd-Smith, James O, Sebastian J Schreiber, P Ekkehard Kopp, and Wayne M Getz. 2005.
- 'Superspreading and the Effect of Individual Variation on Disease Emergence'. *Nature* 438 (7066): 355–59.
- Lorenz, Lena M, and Jacob C Koella. 2011. 'Maternal Environment Shapes the Life History and
- Susceptibility to Malaria of Anopheles Gambiae Mosquitoes'. *Malaria Journal* 10: 1–8.
- Luong, Lien T, Daniel A Grear, and Peter J Hudson. 2014. 'Manipulation of Host-Resource Dynamics
- Impacts Transmission of Trophic Parasites'. *International Journal for Parasitology* 44 (10): 737–42.
- Macedo da Silva, Luís Manuel. 2021. 'Pathogen Infection Dynamics and the Evolution of Host Resistance and Tolerance'.
- Mazé-Guilmo, Elise, Géraldine Loot, David J Páez, Thierry Lefèvre, and Simon Blanchet. 2014.
- 'Heritable Variation in Host Tolerance and Resistance Inferred'. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- *Society, B, Biological Sciences* 281: 2013–2567.
- McCallum, Hamish, Andy Fenton, Peter J Hudson, Brian Lee, Beth Levick, Rachel Norman, Sarah E
- Perkins, Mark Viney, Anthony J Wilson, and Joanne Lello. 2017. 'Breaking Beta:
- Deconstructing the Parasite Transmission Function'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal*
- *Society B: Biological Sciences* 372 (1719): 20160084.
- Medzhitov, Ruslan, David S Schneider, and Miguel P Soares. 2012. 'Disease Tolerance as a Defense Strategy'. *Science* 335 (6071): 936–41.
- Messenger, Sharon L, Ian J Molineux, and J J Bull. 1999. 'Virulence Evolution in a Virus Obeys a Trade Off'. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* 266
- (1417): 397–404.
- Mikonranta, Lauri, Ville-Petri Friman, and Jouni Laakso. 2012. 'Life History Trade-Offs and Relaxed Selection Can Decrease Bacterial Virulence in Environmental Reservoirs'.
- Mousa, Andria, Peter Winskill, Oliver John Watson, Oliver Ratmann, Mélodie Monod, Marco Ajelli,
- Aldiouma Diallo, Peter J Dodd, Carlos G Grijalva, and Moses Chapa Kiti. 2021. 'Social Contact
- Patterns and Implications for Infectious Disease Transmission–a Systematic Review and Meta-
- Analysis of Contact Surveys'. *Elife* 10: e70294.
- Nørgaard, Louise S, Giacomo Zilio, Camille Saade, Claire Gougat‐Barbera, Matthew D Hall,
- 491 Emanuel A Fronhofer, and Oliver Kaltz. 2021. 'An Evolutionary Trade-off between Parasite
- Virulence and Dispersal at Experimental Invasion Fronts'. *Ecology Letters* 24 (4): 739–50.
- Penczykowski, Rachel M, Anna‐Liisa Laine, and Britt Koskella. 2016. 'Understanding the Ecology
- and Evolution of Host–Parasite Interactions across Scales'. *Evolutionary Applications* 9 (1): 37–
- 52.
- Porten, Klaudia, Jürgen Rissland, Almira Tigges, Susanne Broll, Wilfried Hopp, Mechthild
- Lunemann, Ulrich Van Treeck, Peter Kimmig, Stefan O Brockmann, and Christiane Wagner-
- Wiening. 2006. 'A Super-Spreading Ewe Infects Hundreds with Q Fever at a Farmers' Market in
- Germany'. *BMC Infectious Diseases* 6: 1–13.
- Råberg, Lars, Andrea L Graham, and Andrew F Read. 2009. 'Decomposing Health: Tolerance and
- Resistance to Parasites in Animals'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B:*
- *Biological Sciences* 364 (1513): 37–49.
- Rafaluk-Mohr, Charlotte. 2019. 'The Relationship between Parasite Virulence and Environmental Persistence: A Meta-Analysis'. *Parasitology* 146 (7): 897–902.
- Read, A F, and S J Schrag. 1991. 'The Evolution of Virulence: Experimental Evidence.'
- Read, Andrew F. 1994. 'The Evolution of Virulence'. *Trends in Microbiology* 2 (3): 73–76.
- Read, Andrew F, Andrea L Graham, and Lars Råberg. 2008. 'Animal Defenses against Infectious
- Agents: Is Damage Control More Important than Pathogen Control'. *PLoS Biology* 6 (12): e1000004.
- Robinson, Ailie, Annette O Busula, Mirjam A Voets, Khalid B Beshir, John C Caulfield, Stephen J
- Powers, Niels O Verhulst, Peter Winskill, Julian Muwanguzi, and Michael A Birkett. 2018.
- 'Plasmodium-Associated Changes in Human Odor Attract Mosquitoes'. *Proceedings of the*
- *National Academy of Sciences* 115 (18): E4209–18.
- Roode, Jacobus C De, Amy B Pedersen, Mark D Hunter, and Sonia Altizer. 2008. 'Host Plant Species Affects Virulence in Monarch Butterfly Parasites'. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 120–26.
- 
- Rushmore, Julie, Damien Caillaud, Leopold Matamba, Rebecca M Stumpf, Stephen P Borgatti, and
- Sonia Altizer. 2013. 'Social Network Analysis of Wild Chimpanzees Provides Insights for
- Predicting Infectious Disease Risk'. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 82 (5): 976–86.
- Schmid-Hempel, P, and J C Koella. 1994. 'Variability and Its Implications for Host-Parasite Interactions'. *Parasitology Today* 10 (3): 98–102.
- Schmid-Hempel, Paul. 2021. 'Sociality and Parasite Transmission'. *Behavioral Ecology and*
- *Sociobiology* 75 (11): 156.
- Sheen, Justin K, Fidisoa Rasambainarivo, Chadi M Saad-Roy, Bryan T Grenfell, and C Jessica E
- Metcalf. 2024. 'Markets as Drivers of Selection for Highly Virulent Poultry Pathogens'. *Nature Communications* 15 (1): 605.
- Silva, Luis M, and Jacob C Koella. 2024a. 'Virulence Evolution: Thinking Outside of the Host'. *BioRxiv*, 2005–24.
- ———. 2024b. 'Complex Interactions in the Life Cycle of a Simple Parasite Shape the Evolution of
- Virulence'. *BioRxiv*, January, 2024.01.28.577571. https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.01.28.577571.
- Siva-Jothy, Jonathon A, and Pedro F Vale. 2021. 'Dissecting Genetic and Sex-Specific Sources of
- Host Heterogeneity in Pathogen Shedding and Spread'. *PLoS Pathogens* 17 (1): e1009196.
- Sommer, Simone. 2005. 'The Importance of Immune Gene Variability (MHC) in Evolutionary

Ecology and Conservation'. *Frontiers in Zoology* 2: 1–18.

- Stearns, Stephen C. 1992. *The Evolution of Life Histories*. Vol. 249. Oxford university press Oxford.
- Stein, Richard A. 2011. 'Super-Spreaders in Infectious Diseases'. *International Journal of Infectious Diseases* 15 (8): e510–13.
- Stromberg, Bert E. 1997. 'Environmental Factors Influencing Transmission'. *Veterinary Parasitology* 72 (3–4): 247–64.
- Tate, Ann T, and Andrea L Graham. 2015. 'Dynamic Patterns of Parasitism and Immunity across
- Host Development Influence Optimal Strategies of Resource Allocation'. *The American Naturalist* 186 (4): 495–512.
- Teicher, Amir. 2023. 'Super-Spreaders: A Historical Review'. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*.
- Turner, Wendy C, Pauline L Kamath, Henriette Van Heerden, Yen-Hua Huang, Zoe R Barandongo,
- Spencer A Bruce, and Kyrre Kausrud. 2021. 'The Roles of Environmental Variation and Parasite
- Survival in Virulence–Transmission Relationships'. *Royal Society Open Science* 8 (6): 210088.
- Vallée, Alexandre, Davide Faranda, and Maxence Arutkin. 2023. 'COVID-19 Epidemic Peaks
- Distribution in the United-States of America, from Epidemiological Modeling to Public Health
- Policies'. *Scientific Reports* 13 (1): 4996.
- VanderWaal, Kimberly L, and Vanessa O Ezenwa. 2016. 'Heterogeneity in Pathogen Transmission'.
- *Functional Ecology* 30 (10): 1606–22.
- Wild, Geoff, Andy Gardner, and Stuart A West. 2009. 'Adaptation and the Evolution of Parasite
- Virulence in a Connected World'. *Nature* 459 (7249): 983–86.
- Wong, Gary, Wenjun Liu, Yingxia Liu, Boping Zhou, Yuhai Bi, and George F Gao. 2015. 'MERS,
- SARS, and Ebola: The Role of Super-Spreaders in Infectious Disease'. *Cell Host & Microbe* 18 (4): 398–401.
- Zeller, Michael, and Jacob C Koella. 2016. 'Effects of Food Variability on Growth and Reproduction
- of A Edes Aegypti'. *Ecology and Evolution* 6 (2): 552–59.
- Zuzarte-Luís, Vanessa, and Maria M Mota. 2018. 'Parasite Sensing of Host Nutrients and
- Environmental Cues'. *Cell Host & Microbe* 23 (6): 749–58.