2 3

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11

Why there are so many definitions of fitness in models

- Daniel J.B. Smith¹, Guilhem Doulcier^{2,3}, Pierrick Bourrat^{2,4}, Peter Takacs⁴, Joanna Masel^{1,*}
 - ¹Ecology & Evolutionary Biology, University of Arizona, Tucson AZ 85721, USA.
 ²Philosophy Department, Macquarie University, Sydney, Australia
 ³Theory Department, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Biology, Plön, Germany
 ⁴Philosophy Department & Charles Perkins Centre, Sydney, Australia
 ^{*}corresponding author: masel@arizona.edu
- 1213 Acknowledgements
- 14 We thank the John Templeton Foundation for funding (62220). DJBS was additionally
- 15 supported by the Peter O'Donnell Jr. Postdoc Fellowship. We thank Arvid Ågren, Ben Allen,
- 16 Sam Barnett, Gillian Barker, Jason Bertram, Walter Fontana, Alan Love, Walid Mawass,
- 17 Rose Novick, Manus Patten, Martijn Schenkel, Armin Schulz, Derek Skillings, and Ralf
- 18 Steuer for helpful discussions. Figure 4 was created by Jennifer Yamnitz.

1920 Data availability

- 21 No data were produced in this study. Code is available at:
- 22 https://github.com/DanielSmithEcology/Fitness_Definitions_Code 23

24 Conflicts of interest

- 25 We declare no conflicts of interest.
- 26

27 Author contributions

- 28 The first draft was written by DS and JM and revised by DS and JM following extensive
- discussion and input from GD, PB, and PT. Simulations were performed by DS.
- 30

31 Abstract

32

"Fitness" quantifies the ability to survive and reproduce, but is operationalized in many 33 34 different ways. Generally, short-term fitness (e.g., expected number of surviving offspring) is assigned to genotypes or phenotypes, and used to non-trivially derive longer-term 35 36 operationalizations of fitness (e.g. fixation probability or sojourn time), providing insight as to which organismal strategies tend to evolve due to natural selection. Assigned fitness 37 operationalizations vary, but all summarize currently expected organismal vital rates (i.e. 38 39 births, deaths, organismal growth). Derived operationalizations also depend on assumptions 40 regarding demographic stochasticity, environmental stochasticity, feedbacks whereby births, deaths, and organismal growth cause environmental change, and the impact of migration 41 and niche construction on which environment is experienced. After reviewing existing 42 43 derived fitness operationalizations, we propose a new one that meets the particular challenges posed by balancing selection. Population genetic models generally sidestep 44 ultra-high-dimensional phenotype space and genotype spaces by instead deriving the long-45 46 term evolutionary fate/fitness of a lower-dimensional set of genetically encoded "strategies". 47 Strategies (e.g. costly developmental commitment to producing armaments) are causally upstream from realized phenotypes (e.g. armament size). While selection is best understood 48 49 in terms of differences in organismal vital rates, its derived outcomes are most easily understood as properties of genetic lineages. 50

51

52 Keywords:

53 Invasion fitness, Malthusian parameter, individuality, theoretical population genetics, bet-

- 54 hedging, life history strategy, density-dependent selection
- 55

*"Fitness: Something everyone understands but that no one can define precisely" (Stearns*1976)

58 Introduction

59 Darwin's theory of evolution by natural selection did not launch a professional 60 discipline of evolutionary biology until the Modern Synthesis of the early twentieth century, in which the role of mathematical population genetics was key (Provine 1978). Central to this 61 mathematization was "fitness," which turned intuitions about "the ability to survive and 62 reproduce" or "what tends to be favored in the struggle for existence" into more formal 63 64 quantitative operationalizations. Resulting models are used to derive non-obvious insights 65 (Servedio et al. 2014). One important historical role for fitness models was to deduce that the timescale of evolution by natural selection is fast enough to make selection a 66 predominant factor in evolution, even with reasonably small selection coefficients (Provine 67 1978; Charlesworth 2020). Models of fitness can also be fit to sequence data, e.g. to detect 68 69 loci under recent selection (Enard 2021); this falls outside the scope of the current 70 manuscript.

71 From the outset of its mathematization, fitness has been operationalized in different 72 ways (Ariew & Lewontin 2004; Orr 2009). Haldane (1927) used the expected absolute number of surviving offspring, while the influential Wright-Fisher model used the expected 73 relative contribution to the gene pool in the next generation (Fisher 1930; Wright 1931). 74 75 Theoretical population genetic models assign some version of expected short-term fitness to 76 genotype-environment combinations, from which they mathematically *derive* longer-term 77 outcomes. For example, Haldane (1927) assigned births per generation to a mutant genotype, and then derived its probability of fixation. Each such model thus involves at least 78 79 two operationalizations of fitness: the assigned short-term fitness, and the derived long-term 80 outcome or probability distribution of outcomes.

We review a variety of models and corresponding fitness operationalizations, their motivation, and simplifying assumptions, using annual plants and the Hawk-Dove game (Maynard Smith & Price 1973) as illustrative examples. We first focus on operationalizations that are at least sometimes assigned, then on operationalizations in which fitness is always derived. We then propose a conceptual scheme describing how models give insights into the fate, under natural selection, of the organismal strategies of interest to biologists. We argue that short-term fitness is best operationalized via *organismal* vital rates (births, deaths, organismal growth) plus organismal effects on the experienced environment through migration and/or niche construction, while long-term fitness is best operationalized for *genetic lineages*.

91 Assigned Fitness

92 Absolute fitness

Absolute fitness *W* describes the expected number of surviving offspring that a
(hermaphroditic or asexual) individual produces after reaching reproductive maturity.
Equivalently, it describes a juvenile's expected number of offspring (reversing the order of
survival and reproduction). Either way, it is the expectation over one complete life cycle or
'generation' of both survival and reproduction.

The seminal use of assigned absolute, per-generation fitness was to derive the 98 99 fixation probability of a new beneficial mutation. Haldane (1927) considered a resident (R)population of constant size, such that $W_R = 1$. He then considered the fate of a new lineage 100 produced by a beneficial mutation. Individuals carrying the mutation have $W_I = 1 + s$, where 101 102 the selective advantage s > 0. With some simplifying assumptions, including a Poisson distribution of offspring and $s \ll 1/2$, Haldane (1927) derived the probability that the 103 104 beneficial mutation escapes extinction to "invade" as 2s (Fig. 1). Beyond the Poisson distribution, invasion probability is $2s/\sigma^2$ where σ^2 is the variance in offspring number 105 (Barton et al. 2007, p. 25). 106

107 This example illustrates how the long-term fate of a mutant (probability of extinction) 108 is derived from the short-term probability distribution of offspring number. Evolutionary 109 success under natural selection cannot be reduced, even in a very simple model, to a single 110 number such as *W* (Krimbas 2004). Larger variance in reproduction σ^2 increases the 111 extinction probability, which can loosely be understood in terms of a lower signal (*s*) to noise 112 (σ^2) ratio.

Haldane's assignation of absolute fitness *W* to genotypes is rarely used outside of
this example of a rare beneficial mutant. All biological populations are density regulated,
meaning that high *W* causes an increase in population density, which in turn reduces *W*(Haldane 1956; Nicholson 1957). For assigned constant *W*, the invading mutant lineage
instead experiences unbounded exponential growth.

118 Relative fitness

Assigning *relative fitness* instead of *absolute fitness* sidesteps the issue of unbounded exponential growth. Relative fitness models treat the proportions of variants, rather than their absolute abundances. To motivate this, Crow and Kimura (1970, pp. 25-26) derived relative fitnesses w_k from assignations of absolute fitnesses W_k in the context of exponential population growth or decline. On this basis, they argued for simplified models in which w_k rather than W_k values are directly assigned. Measurement theory has also been invoked to support the use of relative fitness over alternatives (Wagner 2010).

126 In these simplified models, which have become standard within population genetics, 127 relative fitness is defined as proportional to the expected fraction of the next generation that 128 is descended from the focal genotype or individual. In the simple case of asexual 129 reproduction, if $p_i(t)$ is the proportion of the population with genotype *i* at time *t*, and w_i is 130 the relative fitness of type *i*, then its expected proportion in the next generation is

131
$$p_i(t+1) = p_i(t)\frac{w_i}{\overline{w}}$$
. (1).

Normalization by the population mean of relative fitness $\overline{w} = \sum_{\text{all } k} p_k(t) w_k$ is used either to keep the population size constant, or to impose a different demographic model such as exponential growth. Normalization derives absolute fitness *W* in a frequency-dependent way from relative fitness w – the opposite direction of Crow and Kimura's justification for the assignation of relative fitness.

A classic use of relative fitness assignations is in Wright-Fisher models that select 137 among parent genotypes whose expected fecundity is w_i/\overline{w} . All adults then die – a 138 potentially appropriate model for an annual plant. The finite size N of Wright-Fisher 139 140 populations enables the derivation of fixation probabilities also for deleterious mutations, which never avoid extinction under the branching process treatment of Haldane (1927). 141 Finite population size also enables derivation of the expected "sojourn" time prior to 142 extinction or fixation (Charlesworth 2020). Conditional on fixation, $\bar{\tau} \sim 2 (\ln(sN) + \gamma)/s$ 143 generations in a haploid Wright-Fisher model (Fig. 1) where $\gamma \approx 0.5772$ is Euler's constant 144 145 (Hermisson & Pennings 2005). Sojourn times were historically key to proving that natural selection works sufficiently rapidly to be a major cause of evolution (Provine 1978). In the 146 modern era, sojourn times (or, if backward time is considered, coalescence times) are used 147 148 when inferring a population's history of selection and demography from sequence data 149 (Gutenkunst et al. 2009; Keightley & Halligan 2011; Ronen et al. 2013; Charlesworth 2020; 150 Liu & Fu 2020; Excoffier et al. 2021).

A key limitation of models that assign relative fitness to genotypes is that they do not allow the consequences of selection to feed back onto population density. In other words, the population size *N* is externally set, independently of mean population fitness. Problematically, no matter how low population fitness *w* drops, the externally set population size *N* will not decline, contradicting the desired behavior that low fitness should indicate an increased tendency to go extinct. A second, related limitation is that relative fitness cannot

157 be compared across populations.

¹⁵⁸ Vital rates are the "ultimate" assigned values

Vital rates describe rates of organismal growth, deaths, and reproduction. Tocomplete a generation, seeds must germinate and survive to become seedlings, then

161 survive from seedlings until they reach reproductive maturity, and then produce and disperse seeds. This description of three "fitness components" encompasses three vital rates for three 162 163 life history transitions: the first two include both survival and growth, while the third includes 164 only reproduction. Per-generation absolute fitness is the product of fitness components, 165 each describing survival and/or reproduction during a different life history transition, within a 166 fixed sequence. However, when the sequence varies, different values of fitness components 167 are derived from the same vital rates, e.g. for a seed that survives within a seed bank for a 168 variable number of years, each time without growth.

169 Like Metcalf & Pavard (2007), Doebeli et al. (2017), and Matheson et al. (2024), we 170 propose making survival and reproduction core to our scheme, and assigning corresponding values of death rate d and birth rate b, rather than of "fitness", to phenotypes in an 171 environment. On the surface, many models assign relative or absolute fitness values. In fact, 172 173 a classic model such as Wright-Fisher is better seen as assigning a variable birth rate 174 combined with a constant adult death rate, from which per-generation fitness is implicitly and 175 trivially derived. In more complex models, e.g. of populations perturbed away from 176 demographic equilibrium, selection on fecundity/juveniles does not produce the same allele frequency trajectory as selection on adult death rates (Benton & Grant 2000; Bertram & 177 178 Masel 2019).

179 Derived fitness operationalizations

The fitness operationalizations presented so far are sometimes assigned to genotypes (as a function of their current environment). Next, we consider properties that are rarely if ever assigned, but instead derived from assigned fitness operationalizations. To illustrate them, we add a seed bank to our annual plant example.

184 The Malthusian parameter

The Malthusian parameter (Malthus 1798; Fisher 1930) or intrinsic growth rate *r*(Lotka 1907) quantifies how quickly a genetic lineage tends to grow or shrink, in absolute

time units (e.g. days), rather than in the per-generation time units of the relative and absolute fitness operationalizations above. While usually specified as a form of absolute fitness, a relative fitness version can be obtained as $r'_i = r_i - \bar{r}$ where r is the mean Malthusian parameter, with r'_i analogous to w'_i/\bar{w} discussed above. Occasionally, r is an assigned parameter as a technical matter to allow the use of differential equations (Desai & Fisher 2007). In most studies, however, r is a derived fitness operationalization. Doebeli et al. (2017) argue that r should always be derived rather than assigned.

194 For the non-overlapping generations treated by the Wright-Fisher model, r and Wcontain the same information, albeit in different units. However, consider a simple scenario 195 196 of overlapping generations, where individuals produce offspring at rate b and die at rate d. The Malthusian parameter is r = b - d, whereas per-generation absolute fitness is W =197 198 b/d (births occurring during expected lifespan 1/d). For example, when b = 0.2 and d = 0.1, then W = b/d = 2 (average of 2 offspring per generation), while r = b - d = 0.1 (lineage is 199 growing with exponential growth rate 0.1 per external time unit such that $y(t) = y(t)e^{rt}$. 200 201 When generations overlap, neither W nor r can be derived given information only about the other, and they provide information about different things (De Jong 1994). The Malthusian 202 203 parameter tells us what allele frequencies to expect at a specified time in the future (r is a 204 *rate*). For example, sojourn time (Fig. 1, $\bar{\tau}$ is shown for mutation 4) depends on differences in r, whereas differences in W, combined with σ^2 , tell us the *probability* that a rare beneficial 205 206 mutation will escape extinction (Fig. 1, mutations 1-4).

Selection can act on differences in one quantity (r or W) even given equality for the other. For example, consider a trade-off between b and d such that W = b - d remains constant. Importantly, r need not be constant under this constraint. In the wake of a disturbance that kills many individuals from a population previously at equilibrium, selection will favor larger b and d, because this increases r = b - d, enabling the type with the faster life history strategy to more quickly rise back up to carrying capacity (Stearns 1992). More 213 generally, the degree to which selection and density-regulation act on deaths vs. births has 214 implications for r and generation time but not W (Draghi et al. 2024).

The Malthusian parameter generally depends on all three kinds of vital rate: deaths, births, and growth. For example, consider adult plants (A) that die at rate d and give birth at rate b to seeds (S) that grow into reproductively mature adults at rate m. For simplicity, we neglect seed death. This yields the following differential equations:

219
$$\frac{dS(t)/dt}{dA(t)/dt} = \begin{pmatrix} -m & b \\ m & -d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S(t) \\ A(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

220 The Malthusian parameter is the dominant eigenvalue of the 2×2 matrix above: r =

221 $\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{4bm+d^2+m^2-2dm}-d-m)$. This summary of the short-term fitness consequences

of vital rates illustrates the need to include *m*; note that $r \rightarrow b - d$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. In contrast,

223 W = b/d, with no dependence on m.

A common use of the Malthusian parameter is to describe "invasion fitness", meaning whether and at what speed a new mutant genotype *I* deterministically invades a population of "resident" genotype *R* at equilibrium abundance $\widehat{N}_R(t+1) = \widehat{N}_R(t)$ (Metz et al. 1992). To illustrate this, consider an annual plant population in which a seed germinates with probability *g* per year to produce an expected *f* seeds, or else survives with probability 1/*d* in the seed bank. Now our vital rates are *f*, *g*, and *d*. In external timesteps t = 1 (rather than per-generation terms), types k = R, *I* (resident and invader) obey:

231
$$E[N_k(t+1)] = \underbrace{(1/d)(1-g_k)N_k(t)}_{\text{Number of non-germinating seeds that survive}} + \underbrace{N_k f g_k \frac{1}{1+\alpha \sum_{\text{all } j} g_j N_j(t)}}_{\text{New seeds produced by germinating individuals that survive density effects}}$$
(2)

where we capture the dependence of fecundity on seedling density using parameter α . as is common practice in density-dependent annual plants models (Watkinson 1980; Ellner 1987; Stouffer 2022). For a rare invader ($N_I(0) \ll \widehat{N_R}(t)$), invasion fitness is equal to the absolute Malthusian parameter:

236
$$r_I = E\left(\ln\frac{N_I(1)}{N_I(0)}\right)$$
(3)

which depends on resident density $\widehat{N_R}$ via the denominator in the rightmost term of Equation 238 2. Invader *I* tends to invade if and only if $r_I > 0$.

So far, the optimal strategy is always to germinate, i.e. $r_I > 0$ if and only if $g_I > g_R$. This is because there is so far no advantage to being dormant, to offset the risk of dying while in the seed bank. This changes when we consider fluctuating environments below, in which germination is sometimes futile.

243 Fitness across a variable environment

Most organisms experience environmental heterogeneity that affects their vital rates. E.g., plant seed production *f* depends on abiotic (e.g. rainfall), and biotic density-dependent (MacArthur 1962; Tilman 1982; Travis et al. 2023) and frequency-dependent (Tilman et al. 2020) environmental factors. The social environment (e.g. pollinators and/or interference competition) is included within the biotic density-dependent and frequency-dependent factors. Environmental variation can be spatial and/or temporal.

250 Given spatial environmental variation, migration enables organisms to affect which environment(s) they encounter. Some forms of migration, e.g. seed dispersal, are closely 251 252 coupled to a life history transition, but can be conceptually separated into a migration phenotype in the old location, followed by vital rates of birth, death, and growth in the new 253 location. Similarly, organisms can indirectly modify their vital rates via phenotypes that 254 physically alter their local environment (niche construction; Odling-Smee et al. 1996). 255 256 Selection on migration and niche construction phenotypes is included within the Malthusian parameter calculated across spatial environmental variation. I.e., the Malthusian parameter 257 is derived not just from assigned vital rates, but also from assigned migration and niche 258 259 construction rates.

We consider temporal variation in the environment e(t) via an extension of Equation (2) in which germinating seeds produce zero offspring during drought years, such that fecundity

263
$$f(e(t)) = \begin{cases} f \text{ in good years with probability } p \\ 0 \text{ in bad years with probability } 1 - p \end{cases}$$

Instead of the instantaneous Malthusian parameter in a single environment, we take, as invasion fitness, its expected value across the distribution of environments e(t):

266
$$r_{I} = E_{e(t)} \left(\ln \frac{N_{I}(t+1)}{N_{I}(t)} \right).$$
(4)

267 This is known as the geometric mean fitness because it corresponds to the geometric mean of absolute per-generation or per-time-step W (Yoshimura & Jansen 1996). It is equivalent to 268 the arithmetic mean of the Malthusian parameter over environments (Takacs & Bourrat 269 270 2022, 2024). Using the geometric mean of relative fitness can give problematic results; the 271 appropriate geometric mean is that of absolute fitness, e.g. following normalization in 272 Equation (1) (Kim 2023). In more complex scenarios when multiple life stages are affected 273 by the environment, a generalization of the Malthusian parameter known as the Lyapunov 274 exponent can be used (Cohen 1979; Metz et al. 1992; Kussell & Leibler 2005).

275 While germination probability g = 1 maximizes r_I in a constant environment, it results 276 in complete extinction in a bad year, and so a more conservative $g_I < 1$ maximizes r_I in a 277 temporally varying environment. This is an example of evolutionary *bet hedging* (Cohen 278 1966; Seger & Brockmann 1987; Frank 2011a).

In adaptive dynamics (Metz et al. 1995), the standard practice is to assume that evolution moves in the direction that maximizes invasion fitness, given infinitesimal perturbations to parameters controlling strategies (e.g., g_I infinitesimally differs from g_R). In the seed bank model, evolved g_R then achieves $r_I < 0$ for all $g_I \neq g_R$ (an "evolutionary stable strategy"; Geritz et al. 1998). However, the probability that an invader escapes initial stochasticity cannot be predicted from r_I alone (Yoshimura & Jansen 1996).

285 Fixation Probability Ratio

286 Derived fitness operationalizations attempt to capture which strategies will become 287 prevalent, if present, as a consequence of natural selection. Although individuals die within a 288 short timescale, they embody a strategy/type (e.g., germination probability) that lasts over a 289 longer timescale, due to being genetically encoded.

290 Consider a genetic lineage (Akçay & Van Cleve 2016; Graves & Weinreich 2017) consisting of all gene copies descended from a new mutation encoding a change in 291 292 germination probability. Separate lineages can be founded by independent mutations of the 293 same allele. A subsequent reversion mutation to the ancestral allele creates a sublineage that is still part of the original lineage. Due to recombination, different genetic lineages at 294 different loci are nested within a common organismal genealogy (Kelleher et al. 2018). A 295 lineage can even cross species boundaries following a horizontal gene transfer event. In the 296 297 long term, each lineage either fails (goes extinct), or succeeds (fixes in the population). The 298 probabilities of lineage fate can be used to construct a derived operationalization of fitness. 299 In contrast, by equating $r_1 > 0$ with success, invasion fitness (equations 3-4) neglects chance extinction. Recalling that the probability of invasion $2s/\sigma^2$, invasion fitness does 300 nothing to capture genetic variation affecting demographic stochasticity σ^2 . Stochasticity in 301 the series of environments also contributes to extinction (King & Masel 2007; Libby & Ratcliff 302 2019). 303

304 Consider an extension of the annual plant example in which genotype abundance is 305 a discrete random variable *X*:

306

$$N_k(t+1) = X(\mu, \sigma^2).$$
 (5)

307 Equation 2 on its own is sufficient to describe only the special case with $\sigma^2 = 0$. As in 308 Haldane (1927), $N_k(t+1)$ can be 0 even if $\mu > N_k(t)$.

The distinction between invasion fitness and invasion probability has real consequences in the case of bet-hedging; the probability of invader lineage fixation is maximized at a lower value of g_I than the maximal geometric mean growth rate is (Fig. 2A, 312 2B). Larger g causes greater fluctuations in N – increasing g to maximize invasion fitness r therefore also reduces the persistence time of a population and/or the sojourn time before 313 loss of somewhat stable coexistence (Adler & Drake 2008; Gourbière & Menu 2009; Okabe 314 315 & Yoshimura 2022). Beyond pairwise fitness comparisons, demographic stochasticity can 316 modify mean evolved trait values (Gourbière & Menu 2009; DeLong & Cressler 2023). In our 317 seed bank example, iteratively choosing invaders based on fixation probability rather than on r produces a lower evolved value of g (Fig. 2C). Invasion fitness r thus does not fully 318 capture the long-term fates of genetic lineages (Constable et al. 2016) including those 319 representing introduced species (Pande et al. 2020; Pande et al. 2022). 320

321 Fixation of a beneficial variant can be partitioned into "establishment" (reaching high 322 enough abundance such that deterministic dynamics dominate) versus subsequent 323 competitive superiority over competing established lineages (Desai & Fisher 2007). The relative importance of establishment probability vs. invasion speed r in determining the 324 325 outcome of adaptive evolution (i.e. successful fixation) depends on which parameter value 326 regime a population is in. When adaptive mutations are rare, the rate of adaptive 327 substitutions depends on the fixation probability times the beneficial mutation rate, but not at 328 all on the invasion speed (Yampolsky & Stoltzfus 2001). The same is true when 329 recombination is common relative to adaptive mutations, such that each sweep occurs 330 independently, with no clonal interference. When adaptive mutations are common, creating 331 strong clonal interference, the invasion speed becomes more important, albeit not exclusively so (Gomez et al. 2020). 332

We can assess fixation probabilities by comparing them to those of neutral alleles (Nowak et al. 2004). To more fully capture their impact on evolutionary outcomes, we can use the ratio of the probability with which allele 1 invades a population in which allele 2 is resident : the probability with which allele 2 invades a population in which allele 1 is resident (Masel 2005). When mutation between the two alleles is symmetric and rare, the fixation : counterfixation ratio describes the odds with which a population will be found fixed for allele 1 vs. allele 2. This makes it directly applicable to empirical situations such as quantifying preferences among codons (Bulmer 1991; Weibel et al. 2024), in which there is sufficient
data across an ensemble of comparable instances.

342 Note that when mutation is not symmetric, the direction and degree of mutational asymmetry also affect the odds with which a population will be found fixed for allele 1 vs. 343 344 allele 2, which are given by $\mu_{i \to i} p_{\text{fix}}(j \to i) : \mu_{i \to j} p_{\text{fix}}(i \to j)$. This ratio includes both our fitness operationalization $p_{\text{fix}}(j \rightarrow i) : p_{\text{fix}}(i \rightarrow j)$, and mutation bias $\mu_{j \rightarrow i} : \mu_{i \rightarrow j}$. The relative 345 346 mutation rates matter because a variant must first appear in the population before it can be subject to natural selection. Fitness cannot be equated with quantifying "what evolution 347 348 tends to make prevalent", because natural selection is not the only cause of directional evolution (Stoltzfus & Yampolsky 2009). 349

The evolved mutation rate is a good example of an outcome determined in part by mutation bias. There are more mutations that increase the mutation rate (mutators) than decrease it (antimutators). However, indirect selection against deleterious mutation load favors a lower mutation rate (Johnson 1999a, b), which can result in a mutation-selectiondrift balance (Lynch 2008). Operationalizing fitness as the ratio of fixation : counterfixation probabilities readily handles the complexities of indirect selection that arise e.g. during the evolution of mutation rate.

357

358

8 How do we operationalize fitness under balancing selection?

Balancing selection is a challenge to all three derived operationalizations presented above. Sometimes two alleles can each invade an equilibrium population of the other, such that both variants are maintained by balancing selection (Fig. 3A). Characterizing cases of *mutual invasibility* is common in evolutionary game theory (Maynard Smith & Price 1973), adaptive dynamics (Metz et al. 1995), and theoretical community ecology (Turelli 1978; Chesson 2000). While coexisting at equilibrium, both types have a geometric mean fitness of 1. Both fixation probabilities are much lower than the neutral 1/N or 1/2N, and taking the ratio of fixation probabilities contains little information about the outcomes natural selectiontends to produce.

The qualitative intuition that "both types are fit" can be operationalized in stochastic terms by noting that both types invade with a high probability of establishment, sidestepping the rarity of fixation. A "high" establishment probability can be operationalized by comparing an invader's probability of reaching a given frequency to that of a neutral reference invader (i.e. one indistinguishable from the resident).

373 To quantitatively operationalize fitness under balancing selection, we propose taking 374 the time-integral of mutant lineage abundance from introduction into a resident population of 375 the other type, until stochastic extinction. We then take the ratio of these integrals, switching which is the resident and which is the invader. This is illustrated in Fig. 3 for the Hawk-Dove 376 game. The time-integral is only slightly larger than the product of three informative 377 378 components: establishment probability, sojourn time from introduction until extinction conditional on establishment, and mean abundance during its sojourn (Fig. 3B-G). Minor 379 380 deviation of overall fitness from the product of these three components comes from neglecting abundance conditional on non-establishment. As a technical matter to prevent the 381 382 sojourn time from being inflated by fixation events, a model should disallow transitions to the 383 absorbing boundary of invader fixation. Our metric captures the potential vulnerability of an 384 abundant type to extinction e.g. from disturbance (Tilman et al. 1994), which would be missed if we used abundance or biomass (Van Valen 1975) in the corresponding mean field 385 386 model.

387

The role of fitness within evolution by natural selection

390 Fig. 4 illustrates how models describe causality during evolution by natural selection. 391 We distinguish between three aspects of the environment. The selective environment 392 interacts with phenotypes to give rise to a particular organism's vital rates. Note that our use 393 of "selective environment" better corresponds to the "ecological environment" of Brandon 394 (1990). Here, we restrict the term *phenotype* to realized organismal properties (e.g., body 395 size) or behaviors (e.g., migration, aggression). Extended phenotypes (Dawkins 1982) are 396 captured by feedback from phenotype to the environment (Fig. 4). We refer to aspects of the 397 environment that directly change phenotypes as the *developmental environment*. 398 Organismal strategies describe allocation of scarce resources in pursuit of phenotypes. 399 Strategies are shaped by genotype and/or by a plastic response to the informational 400 environment - the cues that organisms respond to, prior to the direct effects of the 401 environment on development. Any responses to the informational environment (e.g., using 402 locally low resource levels as a cue to migrate) reflect the history of adaptation. In contrast, 403 we consider intrinsic effects of the environment on phenotypes (e.g., reactions proceed faster at higher temperatures; Brown et al. 2004) to be part of the developmental 404 environment. Note that the same environmental factor (e.g., temperature) can be part of all 405 406 three aspects of the environment, by giving information, altering development, and imposing selection. 407

408 Different models simplify the Fig. 4 scheme in different ways. Commonly assigned 409 fitness operationalizations, e.g. per-generation absolute fitness W = b/d, summarize the 410 differential vital rates that embody natural selection in the short-term (Fig. 4, small shadow). 411 In the Wright-Fisher model, genotypes vary in b, whereas in Haldane's model and the Moran 412 model (Moran 1958), they can also vary in d. Haldane holds the environment constant, whereas the Wright-Fisher model lets the selective environment (represented by allele 413 frequencies) affect the absolute vital rate *b* produced by a given genotype. 414 More complex fitness operationalizations are then derived to summarize the longer-415

416 term fate of genetic lineages, including the influences of demographic stochasticity,
417 migration, niche construction, and spatial and temporal environmental variation (Fig. 4, large

shadow). Natural selection produces differential vital rates, while the long-term outcomes of
natural selection are embodied in long-term lineage fate. Simple population genetic models
provide insights into the efficacy and timescale over which natural selection may operate,

421 e.g., invasion probability ~ $2s/\sigma^2$, and sojourn time ~ $2(\ln(sN) + \gamma)/s$. However,

422 phenotype-agnostic assigned fitness operationalizations do not provide insights into the423 underlying biological mechanisms through which natural selection favors particular traits.

424 Directly assigning vital rates enables us to ask, for example, how natural selection 425 acts during the evolution of dormancy, operationalized as a genetically encoded 1-locus 426 strategy to germinate with probability g per year. More sophisticated strategies might involve 427 active sensing to exploit the informational environment (Kussell & Leibler 2005). For 428 example, selection might favor a reaction norm of higher g given higher soil moisture. A sufficiently reliable environmental cue begets a shift from bet hedging to plasticity (Botero et 429 430 al. 2015). Selection acts on phenotypes (germinating vs. not) as a function of both biotic 431 environment (population density) and abiotic environment (drought vs. non-drought year), to 432 produce vital rates whose impact on genetic lineages, over time, can be summarized by derived fitness operationalizations. This type of model provides insights into the biological 433 434 mechanism through which a lineage with a mutation (q_1) "wins".

Fromhage (2024) categorize five properties that have motivated fitness 435 436 operationalizations: predictors of short-term (A) phenotypic change and (B) gene-frequency change, (C) "improvement" criteria, and performance measures of (D) phenotypic strategies 437 and (E) individual organisms. We emphasize assigning vital rates (E) in order to derive 438 439 lineage properties (D). B is fulfilled by relative Malthusian fitness, a derived short-term fitness operationalization, while its interpretation as invasion fitness is a short-term approximation of 440 D. Fromhage (2024) argue for the "folk definition of inclusive fitness" to address (C). In 441 contrast, we advocate for a diversity of design principles, rather than one universal design 442 principle of "fitness". Strategies play this role within our scheme. We do not claim that 443

444 evolution by natural selection maximizes fitness in any of its operationalizations (Allen et al. 445 2013; Allen & Nowak 2016; Birch 2016) – we simply ask what strategies tend to evolve. 446 Social interactions are often treated as the key complication for defining fitness; e.g. 447 Fromhage's (2024) scheme is correspondingly focused on debates about the role of 448 inclusive fitness, neglecting e.g. complications from non-overlapping generations. Inclusive 449 fitness is a derived fitness operationalization, traditionally viewed as a short-term organismal 450 property. However, the same inclusive fitness operationalization can be viewed as a lineage 451 property, namely the mean reproductive success of individuals across the probability 452 distribution of lineage fates (Akcay & Van Cleve 2016). In our view, social interactions are simply one aspect of the density- and frequency-dependence of the biotic environment, and 453 our same scheme of deriving lineage properties from organismal vital rates applies. 454

455

456 Strategies

457 Strategies are intermediate between genotype and phenotype. In a broader sense, 458 strategies are a form of phenotype, describing what an organism prioritizes given 459 constraints, often entailing commitment to developmental pathways and/or behaviors. 460 Strategies can be seen as setting organismal goals (at least in organisms capable of 461 cognition). The decision to commit is informed by genotype and by the informational 462 environment, with its success in achieving the anticipated phenotype affected by the 463 developmental environment.

As a simple example, consider a "Hawk" strategy from the Hawk-Dove game in evolutionary game theory (Maynard Smith & Price 1973). Hawks fight for resources, and Doves avoid conflict. In classic game theoretic models, the developmental environment is neglected, and having a Hawk strategy fully specifies behavioral phenotypes. One's opponent (Hawk or Dove) constitutes one's selective environment, and knowledge of their past behavior (if included in the model variant) constitutes the informational environment. In contrast, we conceptualize a Hawk *strategy* not just as behaviors within the narrow confines of game theory, but as a developmental commitment toward *developing a set of phenotypes*(both armaments and behaviors) that are relevant for implementing aggression. This allows
for the possibility that developmental conditions (e.g., insufficient resources) may prevent a
Hawk from e.g., achieving large enough body size or armaments to be successful. The
individual may then switch strategies, treating developmental inputs as part of the
informational environment.

477 Applying our distinction between strategy and phenotype to our seed bank example 478 is more subtle. A seed's realized phenotype is defined by germination (or lack thereof) while 479 its strategy is embodied in the stochastic gene circuitry that is an adaptation for achieving a 480 probability of germination g within the historical range of environments. An organism's 481 realized phenotype arises from the latter via noise within the developmental environment 482 (Frank 2011b). An unanticipated developmental environment (e.g. a prolonged hard freeze) 483 could cause the outcome (germinating with probability g) to deviate from the strategy.

484 Strategies include investing in rapid growth given low population density, or in competitiveness or persistence given high population density (Grime 1988; Bertram & Masel 485 2019). This was originally formalized as r- vs. K-selected "strategies" (MacArthur 1962; 486 487 Roughgarden 1971), where r is the Malthusian parameter at low density (and a prefactor of 488 it also at higher densities), and K describes susceptibility to density-dependence (similar to $1/\alpha$ in equation (2)). A trade-off between investment in r vs. K was assumed, with the 489 490 resulting "strategy" reflecting an organism's position along that trade-off. However, r and Kare often positively correlated with slope near 1 in empirical studies (Luckinbill 1978, 1979; 491 Valle et al. 1989; Kuno 1991; Hendriks et al. 2005; Fitzsimmons et al. 2010), in agreement 492 493 with some process-based theoretical models (Travis et al. 2023). While there does seem to be a fast-slow continuum, contemporary life history theory also categorizes strategies in 494 495 other ways (Salguero-Gómez et al. 2016; Healy et al. 2019; Malik et al. 2020; Bruggeman et 496 al. 2023; Stott et al. 2024).

497 Organisms are capable of an extraordinary variety of phenotypes. The "functional 498 trait" literature in community ecology attempts to reduce this dimensionality, by focusing on 499 phenotypes (e.g., wood density, seed size, metabolic rate) that are most closely tied to strategies and vital rates (McGill et al. 2006; Yang et al. 2018). In contrast, vital rates come 500 501 in only three key varieties, applied to different life history stages. Organismal strategies might have far lower dimensionality than downstream functional traits or other organismal 502 503 phenotypes, in a manner that helps provide generalizable insights. Strategy space might be 504 both small enough and concrete enough to give coherence to the organism's developmental 505 commitments, as well as to scientists studying them. Assigned and derived fitness 506 operationalizations are key components of the models that serve to clarify how natural selection acts on strategies. 507

508 We find the 3-dimensional scheme of Grime to be a promising starting point for 509 characterizing strategies with respect to population density. Grime (1977, 1988, 2001) hypothesized that trade-offs shape species into three types of specialization – "ruderals" 510 tolerate harsh abiotic environments, "competitors" excel at high population density, and 511 512 "colonizers" rapidly disperse to ephemeral resources. Each strategy is closely tied to vital 513 rates. High-dimensional phenotype space among e.g., coral species can be simplified via a space of just these three strategies (Darling et al. 2012). Our simple example of a seed bank 514 illustrates how organismal strategies can be described with reference to vital rates (and 515 potentially also migration and niche construction phenotypes) in order to gain insight into 516 how populations evolve within strategy space. 517

518

519 Conclusion

520 Both genotype space and phenotype space are huge and must be simplified to 521 produce generalizable biological insight. Organismal "strategies", intermediate between 522 genotypes and phenotypes, capture biological questions of interest, and give rise to vital 523 rates, migration rates, and niche construction phenotypes, which influence the fate of genetic

- 524 lineages. Traditional relative fitness and absolute fitness implicitly assign vital rates to
- 525 organisms. From assigned vital rates, other fitness operationalizations (i.e. ways of
- 526 quantifying what natural selection favors) are derived to describe evolutionary outcomes.
- 527 The Malthusian parameter and its variations capture adaptation speed, while the probability
- of invasion is captured by the fixation : counterfixation probability ratio. We build on the latter
- 529 to propose a new, lineage-based fitness operationalization suitable for describing fitness
- 530 under balancing selection.

Figures







534 Fig 1: Fixation probability and sojourn time capture different long-term consequences

of natural selection. Representative Wright-Fisher simulation of a population of size N = 20in which an allele with selection coefficient s = 0.125 appears repeatedly by mutation. The mutant fixes with probability $\approx 2s/\sigma^2 = 0.25$. The sojourn time τ describes the number of

generations before a mutation fixes (given it does not go extinct) with mean $\bar{\tau} =$

539 $2(\ln(sN) + \gamma)/s$. Each color indicates a different mutation. The interval between the dashed 540 lines depicts $\overline{\tau}$; slightly shorter than the realized value of τ in this simulation.

- 541
- 542

543

544



Fig 2: Selection for invasion probability yields a lower germination probability than 546 does selection for invader geometric mean growth r. Each year allows reproductive 547 success with p = 0.95, and 1/d = .95, f = 2.25, $\alpha = 0.075$ throughout. An invader with 548 germination probability q_1 is introduced into an empty community (A) or a resident population 549 550 of $g_R = 0.2$ (B); note the different y-axis scales in green, with negative r possible relative to a resident but not relative to an empty community. Invasion probability (blue) is defined in A as 551 the probability that invader persists for at least 20 generations, and in B as the resident 552 going extinct before the invader does. Invasion fitness r (green) peaks at $g_1 \sim 0.8$, but 553 invasion probability (blue) is highest for moderately low values of g_I . In (B), $g_I < g_B = 0.2$ 554 yields negative $r_{\rm I}$ and invasion probability ≈ 0 . Note that $r_{\rm I}$ peaks at smaller $g_{\rm I}$ in (B) than in 555 (A) – this reflects how density-dependence affects optimal germination rate (Bulmer 1984; 556 557 Gremer & Venable 2014; Kortessis & Chesson 2019). (C) Long-term evolutionary outcomes. Akin to adaptive dynamics models, we simulate a single resident type with germination 558 probability g_R competing against two invading lineages with germination probabilities $g_R \pm$ 559 0.01. With traditional adaptive dynamics, the lineage with higher $r_{\rm I}$ is chosen 560 deterministically, based on a probability distribution for the series of environments. The 561 dashed red line shows the resulting Evolutionary Stable State (ESS) of g_R . The circles show 562 the stationary probability distribution of g_R (i.e., the long-term probability that the resident 563 564 exhibits germination probability g_R) when demographic stochasticity is added to the model. We calculate the stationary distribution from a tridiagonal matrix specifying probabilities of 565 transitioning between two adjacent germination probabilities $0.005 \le g_R \le .995$, treated in 566 increments of 0.01. We simulated pairs of transition probabilities under both demographic 567 568 and environmental stochasticity by simultaneously introducing one individual of each of two invader types via mutation with germination probabilities $g_R + 0.01$ and $g_R - 0.01$. The initial 569 number of resident individuals in each simulation was given its abundance at the end of a 1-570 type simulation of the resident alone, with a reflecting boundary to avoid chance extinctions. 571 We perform 5×10^4 simulations for each g_R , then derive the stationary probability 572 distribution of g_R as the leading eigenvector of the transition matrix. This lowers the evolved 573 germination frequency relative to the adaptive dynamics result. The density dependence 574 term α partially determines the emergent population size N. Adult population size varies with 575 g_R between simulations, where $\overline{N} \sim 80$ and $\overline{N} \sim 25$ for low and high g_R , respectively. We chose 576 values of N this low to exaggerate demographic stochasticity for the purpose of illustration. 577



581 Fig 3: Our proposed operationalization of long-term fitness for a balanced polymorphism. We 582 simulated a discrete-time stochastic Hawk-Dove game, code available on GitHub. A Hawk competing 583 against a Dove always obtains the contested resource and receives a benefit B; a Hawk competing with a Hawk either gains the benefit B, or experiences a cost of fighting C, with equal probability; 584 competing Doves split the benefit B evenly. Each timestep, individuals (1) die with probability d and 585 586 then, if alive (2) produce offspring according to a Poisson distribution. The mean of the Poisson 587 distribution for a type (Hawk or Dove) is determined by a baseline birth rate, payoffs that depend on 588 the frequencies of Hawks and Doves in the population as well as B and C, and a density-dependent parameter such that births decrease with increasing density. A stable polymorphism requires B - C < C589 590 0. All points shown are in the parameter regime for which coexistence occurs under a mean field 591 approximation. (A) Throughout most of the time series, Hawks and Doves coexist with abundances 592 near the corresponding mean (horizontal lines). However, occasionally, one type falls to low 593 abundance, and would go extinct in the absence of the reflecting boundary used in the simulation. 594 The three components of our novel fitness operationalization are illustrated for the Hawk (B) and 595 Dove (C). When a previously absent Hawk or Dove is introduced by mutation or migration, it must 596 establish (increase from rarity when the other type is at equilibrium). We operationalized 597 establishment as reaching the equilibrium frequency in the corresponding mean field model. Establishment probability $(P_H \text{ and } P_D)$ depends on various parameters of the model; gray time series 598 data depict failures to establish. After establishment (colored blue and green time series data), the 599 600 Hawks and Doves persist for a sojourn time (τ_H and τ_D) until eventual extinction. During the sojourn, the abundance of Hawks and Doves fluctuate around the mean $(N_H \text{ and } N_D)$. (D) – (E) show the ratio 601 602 of the fitness components as a function of C/B. Each point shows the ratio of mean values from 7500 603 simulations of the Hawk invading the Dove and vice versa. (D) represents the establishment : counter-establishment probability ratio, which captures the relative tendencies to invade. (E) is the 604 605 ratio of expected sojourn times conditional on establishment, which captures the relative tendency of 606 each type to evade extinction over time. (F) is the ratio of average abundances throughout the sojourn. Our proposed fitness operationalization (G), the ratio of time-integrals from introduction to 607 608 extinction, is negligibly different than the product of its components (D) - (F). 609



611 Fig. 4: Causal diagram of the key components/factors underlying operationalizations of fitness. All arrows imply causality. Evolution by natural selection involves feedback between genes, environment, 612 613 organismal phenotypes, and vital rates. Short-term fitness operationalizations (e.g. traditional assigned relative or absolute fitness, or derived Malthusian parameter) are summaries of current vital 614 615 rates, while long-term, derived fitness operationalizations reflect lineage fate within more complete feedback systems. Both are illustrated here as shadows, indicating projections in a mathematical 616 617 sense. Short-term fitness reflects instantaneous vital rates, while long-term fitness reflects longer-term 618 projections of the fate of genetic lineages. The environment experienced by an organism broadly 619 includes all abiotic factors (mean physical conditions, including the effects of biotic resource depletion and ecosystem engineering) and biotic factors (direct effects of conspecific and heterospecific 620 621 abundances). Births, deaths, and organismal growth all feed back to the environment, because 622 population density and its consequences are important aspects of the environment. Note that all three 623 vital rates feed back into all three aspects of the environment, as do phenotypes. Genotypes and the informational environment (i.e. interpretable cues that organisms plastically respond to, via phenotypic 624 plasticity and epigenetics) give rise to the strategies used by organisms. Strategies consist of 625 investment allocations subject to life history trade-offs such as Grime's CSR triangle (Grime 1977), 626 627 the competition-colonization trade-off (Tilman 1994), and bet-hedging. Phenotypes emerge from 628 strategies deployed within a developmental environment. Niche construction and migration 629 phenotypes affect the environment, or which environment is experienced, respectively. Selection on phenotypes gives rise to differences in vital rates. While the authors differ in their metaphysical 630 interpretations of this figure (i.e., whether the objects shown in 3D are in fact appropriately depicted 631 632 as "real" objects with fitness as a mere shadow (Byerly & Michod 1991; Krimbas 2004), or whether the objects shown in 3D are rather themselves shadow-like, imperfect measures of fitness as a "real" 633 634 property), what the figure shows regarding various considerations for operationalizing fitness and the 635 relationships among alternative operationalizations is compatible with either metaphysical picture 636 (Pence & Ramsey 2013; Walsh et al. 2017).

References 637

638

- Adler, P. B. & Drake, J. M. 2008. Environmental variation, stochastic extinction, and competitive 640 coexistence. The American Naturalist 172:E186-E195. 641 Akçay, E. & Van Cleve, J. 2016. There is no fitness but fitness, and the lineage is its bearer. 642 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 371:20150085. 643 Allen, B. & Nowak, M. A. 2016. There is no inclusive fitness at the level of the individual. Current 644 Opinion in Behavioral Sciences 12:122-128. Allen, B., Nowak, M. A., & Wilson, E. O. 2013. Limitations of inclusive fitness. Proceedings of the 645 646 National Academy of Sciences 110:20135-20139. 647 Ariew, A. & Lewontin, R. C. 2004. The confusions of fitness. The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science 55:347-363. 648 649 Barton, N. H., Briggs, D., Eisen, J., Goldstein, D., & Patel, N. 2007. Models of Evolution. Ch. 28 in 650 Evolution. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY 651 http://evolution-textbook.org/content/free/contents/contents.html. 652 Benton, T. & Grant, A. 2000. Evolutionary fitness in ecology: comparing measures of fitness in 653 stochastic, density-dependent environments. Evolutionary ecology research 2:769-789. 654 Bertram, J. & Masel, J. 2019. Density-dependent selection and the limits of relative fitness. Theoretical population biology 129:81-92. 655 Birch, J. 2016. Natural selection and the maximization of fitness. Biological Reviews 91:712-656 727. 657 Botero, C. A., Weissing, F. J., Wright, J., & Rubenstein, D. R. 2015. Evolutionary tipping points in 658 659 the capacity to adapt to environmental change. Proceedings of the National Academy of 660 Sciences 112:184-189.
- 661 Brandon, R. N. 1990. Adaptation and environment. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ.
- 662 Brown, J. H., Gillooly, J. F., Allen, A. P., Savage, V. M., & West, G. B. 2004. Toward a metabolic 663 theory of ecology. Ecology 85:1771-1789.
- 664 Bruggeman, F. J., Teusink, B., & Steuer, R. 2023. Trade-offs between the instantaneous growth rate and long-term fitness: consequences for microbial physiology and predictive 665 666 computational models. Bioessays 45:2300015.
- 667 Bulmer, M. 1984. Delayed germination of seeds: Cohen's model revisited. Theoretical 668 Population Biology 26:367-377.
- 669 Bulmer, M. 1991. The selection-mutation-drift theory of synonymous codon usage. Genetics 670 129:897-907.
- 671 Byerly, H. C. & Michod, R. E. 1991. Fitness and evolutionary explanation. Biology and 672 Philosophy 6:1-22.
- 673 Charlesworth, B. 2020. How long does it take to fix a favorable mutation, and why should we 674 care? The American Naturalist 195:753-771.
- Chesson, P. 2000. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual review of Ecology 675 676 and Systematics 31:343-366.
- 677 Cohen, D. 1966. Optimizing reproduction in a randomly varying environment. Journal of 678 theoretical biology 12:119-129.
- 679 Cohen, J. E. 1979. Comparative statics and stochastic dynamics of age-structured populations. 680 Theoretical population biology 16:159-171.
- 681 Constable, G. W., Rogers, T., McKane, A. J., & Tarnita, C. E. 2016. Demographic noise can 682 reverse the direction of deterministic selection. Proceedings of the National Academy of 683 Sciences 113:E4745-E4754.
- 684 Crow, J. F. & Kimura, M. 1970. An Introduction to Population Genetics Theory. Pp. 25-26. Harper 685 and Row, New York.

639

- Darling, E. S., Alvarez-Filip, L., Oliver, T. A., McClanahan, T. R., & Côté, I. M. 2012. Evaluating
 life-history strategies of reef corals from species traits. Ecology Letters 15:1378-1386.
- 688 Dawkins, R. 1982. The extended phenotype. Oxford university press.
- De Jong, G. 1994. The fitness of fitness concepts and the description of natural selection. The
 Quarterly Review of Biology 69:3-29.
- DeLong, J. P. & Cressler, C. E. 2023. Stochasticity directs adaptive evolution toward
 nonequilibrium evolutionary attractors. Ecology 104:e3873.
- Desai, M. M. & Fisher, D. S. 2007. Beneficial mutation–selection balance and the effect of
 linkage on positive selection. Genetics 176:1759-1798.
- Doebeli, M., Ispolatov, Y., & Simon, B. 2017. Towards a mechanistic foundation of evolutionary
 theory. Elife 6:e23804.
- 697 Draghi, J. A., McGlothlin, J. W., & Kindsvater, H. K. 2024. Demographic feedbacks during
 698 evolutionary rescue can slow or speed adaptive evolution. Proceedings of the Royal
 699 Society B 291:20231553.
- Ellner, S. 1987. Alternate plant life history strategies and coexistence in randomly varying
 environments. Vegetatio 69:199-208.
- Finance Finace Finance Finance Finance Finance Finance Finance Finance Fi
- Excoffier, L., Marchi, N., Marques, D. A., Matthey-Doret, R., Gouy, A., & Sousa, V. C. 2021.
 fastsimcoal2: demographic inference under complex evolutionary scenarios.
 Bioinformatics 37:4882-4885.
- Fisher, R. A. 1930. The Genetical Theory of Natural Selection. The Clarendon Press.
- Fitzsimmons, J. M., Schoustra, S. E., Kerr, J. T., & Kassen, R. 2010. Population consequences of
 mutational events: effects of antibiotic resistance on the r/K trade-off. Evolutionary
 ecology 24:227-236.
- Frank, S. A. 2011a. Natural selection. I. Variable environments and uncertain returns on
 investment. Journal of evolutionary biology 24:2299-2309.
- Frank, S. A. 2011b. Natural selection. II. Developmental variability and evolutionary rate.
 Journal of evolutionary biology 24:2310-2320.
- Fromhage, L., Jennions, M. D., Myllymaa, L., & Henshaw, J. M. 2024. Fitness as the organismal
 performance measure guiding adaptive evolution. Evolution:qpae043.
- Geritz, S. A., Kisdi, E., Mesze ´ NA, G., & Metz, J. A. 1998. Evolutionarily singular strategies and
 the adaptive growth and branching of the evolutionary tree. Evolutionary ecology 12:3557.
- Gomez, K., Bertram, J., & Masel, J. 2020. Mutation bias can shape adaptation in large asexual
 populations experiencing clonal interference. Proceedings of the Royal Society B
 287:20201503.
- Gourbière, S. & Menu, F. 2009. Adaptive dynamics of dormancy duration variability:
 evolutionary trade-off and priority effect lead to suboptimal adaptation. Evolution
 63:1879-1892.
- Graves, C. J. & Weinreich, D. M. 2017. Variability in fitness effects can preclude selection of the
 fittest. Annual review of ecology, evolution, and systematics 48:399-417.
- Gremer, J. R. & Venable, D. L. 2014. Bet hedging in desert winter annual plants: optimal
 germination strategies in a variable environment. Ecology letters 17:380-387.
- Grime, J. P. 1977. Evidence for the existence of three primary strategies in plants and its
 relevance to ecological and evolutionary theory. The American Naturalist 111:1169 1194.

Grime, J. P. 1988. The CSR model of primary plant strategies—origins, implications and tests. Pp. 371-394 *in* L. D. Gottlieb, and S. K. Jain, eds. Plant evolutionary biology. Springer, Routledge, Chapman and Hall, NY.

- Grime, J. P. 2001. Plant strategies, vegetation processes, and ecosystem properties. John Wiley
 & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Gutenkunst, R. N., Hernandez, R. D., Williamson, S. H., & Bustamante, C. D. 2009. Inferring the
 joint demographic history of multiple populations from multidimensional SNP
 frequency data. PLoS genetics 5:e1000695.
- Haldane, J. B. S. 1927. A mathematical theory of natural and artificial selection, part V:
 selection and mutation. Pp. 838-844. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge
 Philosophical Society. Cambridge University Press.
- Haldane, J. B. S. 1956. The relation between density regulation and natural selection.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B-Biological Sciences 145:306-308.
- Healy, K., Ezard, T. H., Jones, O. R., Salguero-Gómez, R., & Buckley, Y. M. 2019. Animal life
 history is shaped by the pace of life and the distribution of age-specific mortality and
 reproduction. Nature ecology & evolution 3:1217-1224.
- Hendriks, A. J., Maas-Diepeveen, J. L., Heugens, E. H., & Van Straalen, N. M. 2005. Metaanalysis of intrinsic rates of increase and carrying capacity of populations affected by
 toxic and other stressors. Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry: An International
 Journal 24:2267-2277.
- Hermisson, J. & Pennings, P. S. 2005. Soft sweeps: molecular population genetics of adaptation
 from standing genetic variation. Genetics 169:2335-2352.
- Johnson, T. 1999a. The approach to mutation–selection balance in an infinite asexual
 population, and the evolution of mutation rates. Proceedings of the Royal Society of
 London. Series B: Biological Sciences 266:2389-2397.
- Johnson, T. 1999b. Beneficial mutations, hitchhiking and the evolution of mutation rates in
 sexual populations. Genetics 151:1621-1631.
- Keightley, P. D. & Halligan, D. L. 2011. Inference of site frequency spectra from high-throughput
 sequence data: quantification of selection on nonsynonymous and synonymous sites in
 humans. Genetics 188:931-940.
- Kelleher, J., Thornton, K. R., Ashander, J., & Ralph, P. L. 2018. Efficient pedigree recording for
 fast population genetics simulation. PLoS computational biology 14:e1006581.
- Kim, Y. 2023. Partial protection from fluctuating selection leads to evolution towards wider
 population size fluctuation and a novel mechanism of balancing selection. Proceedings
 of the Royal Society B 290:20230822.
- King, O. D. & Masel, J. 2007. The evolution of bet-hedging adaptations to rare scenarios.
 Theoretical population biology 72:560-575.
- Kortessis, N. & Chesson, P. 2019. Germination variation facilitates the evolution of seed
 dormancy when coupled with seedling competition. Theoretical Population Biology
 130:60-73.
- 773 Krimbas, C. B. 2004. On fitness. Biology and Philosophy 19:185-203.
- Kuno, E. 1991. Some strange properties of the logistic equation defined with r and K: Inherent
 defects or artifacts? Population Ecology 33:33-39.
- Kussell, E. & Leibler, S. 2005. Phenotypic diversity, population growth, and information in
 fluctuating environments. Science 309:2075-2078.
- Libby, E. & Ratcliff, W. C. 2019. Shortsighted evolution constrains the efficacy of long-term bet
 hedging. The American Naturalist 193:409-423.
- Liu, X. & Fu, Y.-X. 2020. Stairway Plot 2: demographic history inference with folded SNP
 frequency spectra. Genome biology 21:280.
- Lotka, A. 1907. Studies on the mode of growth of material aggregates. American Journal of
 Science 4:199-216.
- Luckinbill, L. S. 1978. r and K selection in experimental populations of Escherichia coli. Science
 202:1201-1203.

- Luckinbill, L. S. 1979. Selection and the r/K continuum in experimental populations of protozoa.
 The American Naturalist 113:427-437.
- Lynch, M. 2008. The cellular, developmental and population-genetic determinants of mutation rate evolution. Genetics 180:933-943.
- MacArthur, R. H. 1962. Some generalized theorems of natural selection. Proceedings of the
 National Academy of Sciences 48:1893-1897.
- Malik, A. A., Martiny, J. B., Brodie, E. L., Martiny, A. C., Treseder, K. K., & Allison, S. D. 2020.
 Defining trait-based microbial strategies with consequences for soil carbon cycling
 under climate change. The ISME journal 14:1-9.
- Malthus, T. R. 1798. An essay on the principle of population, as it affects the future
 improvement of society. J Johnson, London, UK.
- Masel, J. 2005. Evolutionary capacitance may be favored by natural selection. Genetics
 170:1359-1371.
- Matheson, J., Exposito-Alonso, M., & Masel, J. 2024. Substitution load revisited: a high
 proportion of deaths can be selective. bioRxiv:2021.2011.2001.466728.
- 801 Maynard Smith, J. & Price, G. R. 1973. The logic of animal conflict. Nature 246:15-18.
- McGill, B. J., Enquist, B. J., Weiher, E., & Westoby, M. 2006. Rebuilding community ecology from
 functional traits. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 21:178-185.
- Metcalf, C. J. E. & Pavard, S. 2007. Why evolutionary biologists should be demographers. Trends
 in Ecology & Evolution 22:205-212.
- Metz, J. A., Geritz, S. A., Meszéna, G., Jacobs, F. J., & Van Heerwaarden, J. S. 1995. Adaptive
 dynamics: a geometrical study of the consequences of nearly faithful reproduction. Pp.
 183–231 *in* S. van Strien, and S. Verduyn Lune, eds. Stochastic and Spatial Structures of
 Dynamical System, North Holland, Amersterdam.
- Metz, J. A., Nisbet, R. M., & Geritz, S. A. 1992. How should we define 'fitness' for general
 ecological scenarios? Trends in ecology & evolution 7:198-202.
- Moran, P. A. P. 1958. Random processes in genetics. Pp. 60-71. Mathematical proceedings of
 the cambridge philosophical society. Cambridge University Press.
- Nicholson, A. J. 1957. The self-adjustment of populations to change. Pp. 153-173. Cold spring
 harbor symposia on quantitative biology. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Nowak, M. A., Sasaki, A., Taylor, C., & Fudenberg, D. 2004. Emergence of cooperation and
 evolutionary stability in finite populations. Nature 428:646-650.
- Odling-Smee, F. J., Laland, K. N., & Feldman, M. W. 1996. Niche construction. The American
 Naturalist 147:641-648.
- Okabe, T. & Yoshimura, J. 2022. A new long-term measure of sustainable growth under
 uncertainty. PNAS nexus 1:pgac228.
- Orr, H. A. 2009. Fitness and its role in evolutionary genetics. Nature Reviews Genetics 10:531539.
- Pande, J., Fung, T., Chisholm, R., & Shnerb, N. M. 2020. Mean growth rate when rare is not a
 reliable metric for persistence of species. Ecology letters 23:274-282.
- Pande, J., Tsubery, Y., & Shnerb, N. M. 2022. Quantifying invasibility. Ecology Letters 25:17831794.
- Pence, C. H. & Ramsey, G. 2013. A new foundation for the propensity interpretation of fitness.
 The British Journal for the Philosophy of Science 64:851-881.
- Provine, W. B. 1978. The role of mathematical population geneticists in the evolutionary
 synthesis of the 1930s and 1940s. Studies in history of biology 2:167-192.
- Ronen, R., Udpa, N., Halperin, E., & Bafna, V. 2013. Learning natural selection from the site
 frequency spectrum. Genetics 195:181-193.
- 834 Roughgarden, J. 1971. Density-dependent natural selection. Ecology 52:453-468.
- Salguero-Gómez, R., Jones, O. R., Jongejans, E., Blomberg, S. P., Hodgson, D. J., Mbeau-Ache,
 C., Zuidema, P. A., De Kroon, H., & Buckley, Y. M. 2016. Fast–slow continuum and

837 reproductive strategies structure plant life-history variation worldwide. Proceedings of 838 the National Academy of Sciences 113:230-235. 839 Seger, J. & Brockmann, J. 1987. What is bet-hedging? . Pp. 182-211 in P. Harvey, and L. 840 Partridge, eds. Oxford Surveys in Evolutionary Biology. Oxford University Press. 841 Servedio, M. R., Brandvain, Y., Dhole, S., Fitzpatrick, C. L., Goldberg, E. E., Stern, C. A., Van 842 Cleve, J., & Yeh, D. J. 2014. Not just a theory—the utility of mathematical models in 843 evolutionary biology. PLoS biology 12:e1002017. 844 Stearns, S. C. 1976. Life-history tactics: a review of the ideas. The Quarterly review of biology 845 51:3-47. 846 Stearns, S. C. 1992. The evolution of life histories. Oxford university press, Oxford, UK. 847 Stoltzfus, A. & Yampolsky, L. Y. 2009. Climbing Mount Probable: Mutation as a Cause of 848 Nonrandomness in Evolution. J Hered 100:637-647. 849 Stott, I., Salguero-Gómez, R., Jones, O. R., Ezard, T. H., Gamelon, M., Lachish, S., Lebreton, J.-850 D., Simmonds, E. G., Gaillard, J.-M., & Hodgson, D. J. 2024. Life histories are not just 851 fast or slow. Trends in Ecology & Evolution. 852 Stouffer, D. B. 2022. A critical examination of models of annual-plant population dynamics and 853 density-dependent fecundity. Methods in Ecology and Evolution 13:2516-2530. 854 Takacs, P. & Bourrat, P. 2022. The arithmetic mean of what? A cautionary tale about the use of 855 the geometric mean as a measure of fitness. Biology & Philosophy 37:12. 856 Takacs, P. & Bourrat, P. 2024. Context Matters: A Response to Autzen and Okasha's Reply to 857 Takacs and Bourrat. Biological Theory:1-7. 858 Tilman, A. R., Plotkin, J. B., & Akçay, E. 2020. Evolutionary games with environmental 859 feedbacks. Nature communications 11:915. 860 Tilman, D. 1982. Resource competition and community structure. Monographs in Population 861 Biology 17:1-296. Tilman, D. 1994. Competition and biodiversity in spatially structured habitats. Ecology 75:2-16. 862 863 Tilman, D., May, R. M., Lehman, C. L., & Nowak, M. A. 1994. Habitat destruction and the 864 extinction debt. Nature 371:65-66. 865 Travis, J., Bassar, R. D., Coulson, T., Reznick, D., & Walsh, M. 2023. Density-dependent 866 selection. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 54:85-105. 867 Turelli, M. 1978. Does environmental variability limit niche overlap? Proceedings of the National 868 Academy of Sciences 75:5085-5089. 869 Valle, R. R., Kuno, E., & Nakasuji, F. 1989. Competition between laboratory populations of green 870 leafhoppers, Nephotettix spp.(Homoptera: Cicadellidae). Researches on Population 871 Ecology 31:53-72. 872 Van Valen, L. 1975. Energy and Evolution. Evolutionary Theory 1:179-229. 873 Wagner, G. P. 2010. The measurement theory of fitness. Evolution 64:1358-1376. 874 Walsh, D. M., Ariew, A., & Matthen, M. 2017. Four pillars of statisticalism. Philosophy, Theory & 875 Practice in Biology 9:1. 876 Watkinson, A. 1980. Density-dependence in single-species populations of plants. Journal of Theoretical Biology 83:345-357. 877 878 Weibel, C. A., Wheeler, A. L., James, J. E., Willis, S. M., McShea, H., & Masel, J. 2024. The 879 protein domains of vertebrate species in which selection is more effective have greater 880 intrinsic structural disorder. eLife 12:RP87335. 881 Wright, S. 1931. Evolution in Mendelian populations. Genetics 16:97–159. 882 Yampolsky, L. Y. & Stoltzfus, A. 2001. Bias in the introduction of variation as an orienting factor 883 in evolution. Evolution & development 3:73-83. 884 Yang, J., Cao, M., & Swenson, N. G. 2018. Why functional traits do not predict tree demographic 885 rates. Trends in ecology & evolution 33:326-336. 886 Yoshimura, J. & Jansen, V. A. 1996. Evolution and population dynamics in stochastic 887 environments. Population Ecology 38:165-182.