1 Interplay of abiotic conditions, density, and body size in shaping demography in a high-

2 *elevation toad population*

- 3 Omar Lenzi¹, Kurt Grossenbacher², Silvia Zumbach³, Beatrice Lüscher⁴, Sarah Althaus⁴, Marco
- 4 Thoma⁵, Daniela Schmocker⁶, Helmut Recher⁷, Arpat Ozgul¹, Benedikt R. Schmidt^{1,3}
- ⁵ ¹Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich,
- 6 Winterthurerstrasse 190, 8057 Zurich, Switzerland
- 7 ²Independent Researcher, 3027 Bern, Switzerland
- 8 ³Info Fauna Karch, Bellevaux 51, 2000 Neuchâtel, Switzerland
- 9 ⁴ Independent Researcher, 3110 Münsingen, Switzerland
- ⁵ Independent Researcher, 3014 Bern, Switzerland
- 11 ⁶Impuls AG, Seestrasse 2, 3600 Thun, Switzerland
- 12 ⁷Abteilung Biodiversität und Landschaft, Bundesamt für Umwelt, Worblentalstrasse 68, 3063
- 13 Ittigen, Switzerland
- 14 Corresponding author's email address: <u>omar.lenzi@ieu.uzh.ch</u>
- 15

16 **Open research statement**

- 17 Extra figures and tables are available in the Supporting Information. All data and scripts used for
- this publication are available online on Zenodo: doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10908913 (Lenzi et al.,
- 19 2024).

20 Keywords

21 Alpine environment; amphibian; capture-mark-recapture; demography; growth; vital rate

22 Abstract

23	In natural populations, vital rates such as survival and reproduction are influenced by a
24	complex interplay of abiotic conditions (e.g., environment), density dependence, and
25	individual factors (e.g., phenotypic traits). Studies at the extremes of species distributions,
26	particularly high elevations, offer unique insights due to the intensified effects of abiotic
27	stressors, which can amplify both direct and indirect effects on vital rates.
28	
29	In this study, we focus on a high-elevation population of the common toad (Bufo bufo)
30	located near the upper limit of its elevational range in the Swiss Alps. This setting provides a
31	critical context for examining how extreme abiotic conditions interact with density
32	dependence and individual factors to influence life-history traits. Utilizing 28 years of
33	capture-mark-recapture data and individual body size measurements from nearly 2500 toads,
34	we applied in a Bayesian statistical framework a Cormack-Jolly-Seber model for estimating
35	male survival probabilities, and a multistate model for assessing female survival and
36	breeding probabilities, alongside sex-specific growth curves.
37	
38	Our analysis indicates that survival probabilities are significantly impacted by interactions
39	between abiotic conditions such as the active season length and temperature at emergence
40	from hibernation, density dependence, and individual phenotypic traits such as body size.
41	The breeding patterns of females showed a biennial cycle, with temperature at hibernation
42	emergence influencing the likelihood of skipping breeding events, and density affecting the
43	resumption of breeding. These results highlight the role of abiotic conditions and density in
44	shaping physiological and reproductive strategies in a high-stress ecological niche.

46	Moreover, we uncovered indications of indirect effects, where both abiotic conditions and
47	density potentially affect asymptotic growth and thus survival, mediated through changes in
48	body size. Our findings illustrate the complex dynamics at play in high-elevation populations
49	and the importance of long-term, individual-based data in studying these processes.
50	
51	This study underscores the value of integrating multiple sources of variation to understand
52	population dynamics comprehensively, particularly in under-studied, extreme environments
53	where traditional ecological models may not fully capture the nuanced interdependencies of
54	natural systems.

55 Introduction

56 Fluctuations in population size have historically been ascribed to the effects of abiotic (i.e. 57 environmental) conditions as well as density dependence on vital rates (Lawton, 1994; Hanski et 58 al., 1997). Abiotic conditions and density can have similar, opposite, or interacting effects on 59 vital rates (Gamelon et al., 2017; Conquet et al., 2023). In addition, the effects of individual traits 60 such as body size on vital rates also matter in driving population dynamics (Easterling et al., 61 2000; Rees et al., 2014). Abiotic conditions and density, aside from their direct effect on vital 62 rates, can influence these individual traits as well, leading to indirect (i.e., trait-mediated) effects 63 on vital rates and on population dynamics (e.g. Amarillo-Suárez et al., 2011). 64 Studies in the past often have focused on one or multiple factors at a time, but a more complete understanding of vital rates and population dynamics and their drivers could be 65 66 obtained when incorporating all factors in demographic analyses (Benton et al., 2006; Ehrlén et 67 al., 2016). Investigating these factors and their effects on adult survival and breeding probability, 68 two key vital rates that can contribute to population growth (e.g. Sæther & Bakke, 2000; Schmidt 69 et al., 2005; Desprez et al., 2018), can give important insights into the causes of population 70 fluctuations. Populations at high elevations are exposed to harsh environmental conditions (e.g., 71 cold temperatures), with known physiological effects (e.g., lower metabolic rate) that usually 72 result in a slower life cycle (Laiolo & Obeso, 2017). We expect in these populations a stronger 73 effect of abiotic conditions on vital rates compared with density and individual factors, given 74 how severely the environment acts as a selective force (e.g. Bassar et al., 2016; Paquette & 75 Hargreaves, 2021).

Although there are many studies on these factors in birds and mammals, we are lacking
studies about amphibians, which are an often understudied taxon (Conde et al., 2019), despite

their important role in ecosystems (Whiles et al., 2006), and their worrying status at the global
scale (Houlahan et al., 2000; Luedtke et al., 2023). Thus, a lack of knowledge hampers an indepth understanding of vertebrate demography and negatively affects conservation (Conde et al.,
2019).

82 We used 28 years of capture-mark-recapture (CMR) data on a high-elevation population 83 of common toad (Bufo bufo) to quantify the relative importance of density, abiotic conditions, 84 and individual factors in shaping the dynamics of amphibian populations (Figure 1). The 85 implemented analytical framework has two parts. The first part is a model where we quantified 86 the effect of abiotic conditions and density on individual body size. The second part is a CMR model that we used to describe the direct and indirect effects of abiotic conditions and density 87 88 and the direct effects of size, that is, an individual factor, on survival and breeding probabilities 89 (the latter only for females).

We used a von Bertalanffy (VB) growth model to obtain information on body size, an
individual factor to be used in the CMR analysis. Hemelaar (1988) estimated growth curves
using 3 years of data for the same population through skeletochronology; we expected to obtain
similar growth curves. We investigated the effect of density and the length of the active season in
the previous year (an abiotic factor) on the VB model coefficients, the growth rate *k* and the
asymptotic size *a*.

In the second part of the analytical framework (i.e., the CMR model) we quantified the
direct and indirect effect of abiotic conditions, density and individual size on survival and
breeding probabilities. The latter were estimated only for females because they breed
intermittently in this population (Grossenbacher, 2002). Intermittent breeding can arise where
environmental conditions are unfavorable. In these conditions, individuals can or must forfeit

101 breeding in a year. Skipping breeding can be an adaptive response when environmental 102 conditions are pessimal for successful breeding and therefore a way to avoid wasting energy in 103 bad years (Bull & Shine, 1979). In capital breeders, individuals can skip breeding due to the 104 impossibility of collecting enough resources to build a clutch (Jönsson, 1997; Houston et al., 105 2007). This can happen when the active season is short due to poor environmental conditions, 106 and therefore, opportunities for foraging are limited. Skipping breeding happens commonly in 107 females, for whom reproduction is more demanding energetically (Jørgensen, 1992; Hayward & 108 Gillooly, 2011). For female toads, we thus estimated survival and breeding probabilities using a 109 multistate model, defining breeder and non-breeder as the two states (Schaub et al., 2004). For 110 males, we used a Cormack-Jolly-Seber (CJS) model. We investigated the effects of density, 111 active season length (abiotic factor), and individual body size (individual factor) on survival and, 112 in females, breeding probabilities. For the latter, we also tested for the effect of average 113 minimum temperature around the timing of emergence. The latter covariate was included 114 because the decision to skip breeding might also be due to the environmental conditions 115 experienced at emergence from hibernation (Muths et al., 2013).

116 Assessing the effect of individual factors on vital rates while accounting for the effect of 117 abiotic conditions and density on the individual factor (in the growth model) enables us to 118 quantify the indirect effect that they can have on vital rates. We expect that factors have similar 119 direct and indirect effects. For instance, the expected negative direct effect of density on survival 120 should be matched by the negative effect of density on body size, which then could lead to a 121 decrease in survival due to smaller sizes. Similarly, a longer active season could both directly 122 and indirectly increase survival, due to its positive effect on body size, and the positive 123 relationship between body size and survival, but could also show asynchrony if it has a negative

- 124 effect on survival. This comprehensive analysis of growth and key vital rates, encompassing
- direct and indirect influences of multiple factors, offers novel insights into amphibian population
- 126 dynamics, informing conservation efforts in high-elevation habitats.

127 Methods

128 <u>Toad mark-recapture and body size data</u>

129 To estimate survival rates for male and female toads, as well as breeding probabilities for 130 females, we used data on 1615 male and 933 female common toads (Bufo bufo) collected from 131 1993 to 2020. Within each breeding season, we performed multiple capture nights at the 132 breeding site, at a pond in the proximity of the Grosse Scheidegg pass (Canton Bern, 133 Switzerland, 1850 m elevation, 46°39' N and 08°05' E). Data have been collected for this 134 population since 1982, but unique marking of individuals has been consistently applied starting 135 in 1993, by implanting subcutaneous passive integrated transponders (PIT tags). Every toad 136 captured during a capture night is measured (snout-to-vent length [SVL]; in millimeters), 137 weighed, sexed, and uniquely marked. At the end of the capture night all toads are released back 138 at the pond. For a more precise description of the study site and methods, see Hemelaar (1988) 139 and Grossenbacher (2002). We use as a proxy of density the breeding population size (hereafter 140 population size) which consists in the number of individuals caught every year at the pond. This 141 proxy works well because detection probability in this population is high (mean = 0.89 and 142 standard deviation = 0.06; Wood et al., 1998). Since the measuring of the toads over the study 143 period has been done by different people, we tested for the presence of a possible measurement 144 difference by running a Tukey test for pairwise mean comparisons, finding a biologically 145 insignificant difference among fieldworkers (Appendix S1: Section S1).

146

147 <u>*Climatic data*</u>

148 We used climatic data for the period 1993–2020 obtained from the DaymetCH dataset (Land

149 Change Science group, WSL, Switzerland). DaymetCH consists of interpolated estimates of

150 weather variables over a grid of a 100-metre resolution covering Switzerland. The estimates are 151 obtained using meteorological data from ground stations and the Daymet software (Thornton et 152 al., 1997). We extracted data for the cell containing the breeding site for daily minimum 153 temperatures and based on previous work (Muths et al., 2017), we calculated for each year the 154 approximate length of the active season (i.e. the period between the end of hibernation in spring 155 and the start of hibernation in autumn, which includes both the short breeding season followed 156 by the non-breeding feeding season). We define the start of the active season as the end of the 157 winter season, which corresponds to the day of the last killing frost (minimum temperature < -4.4158 °C), while the end of the active season is defined as the start of the coming winter season, which 159 corresponds to the first day with a killing frost in autumn (minimum temperature < -4.4 °C) 160 (Muths et a., 2017).

161

162 <u>Hypotheses</u>

163 Concerning growth, we hypothesize that a long active season in the previous year has a positive 164 effect on individual growth due to individuals feeding and growing for a longer period of time 165 (Eastman et al., 2012). Moreover, we expect high density to have a negative effect on growth, as 166 there will be less resources per capita available (Berven, 2009).

Regarding vital rates, we expect the length of the active season in the previous year to be positively associated with survival and the probability of resuming breeding, as well as negatively associated with the probability of skipping breeding, as the individuals are able to feed for longer and accumulate resources to prepare for the next breeding season (Bull & Shine, 170 1979; Sainmont et al., 2014). On the other hand, longer active seasons might increase mortality, as toads are more exposed to mortality risks compared to the hibernation period. Also,

173 individuals from high-elevation populations, where active seasons are shorter, tend to have 174 higher survival and live longer, probably due to the reduced "speed" of the life cycle (e.g., 175 Hemelaar, 1988). Additionally, we expect colder temperatures around the time of emergence 176 from hibernation to increase the probability of skipping breeding and decrease the probability of 177 resuming breeding, as toads might be able to perceive the unfavorable environmental conditions, 178 and forfeit breeding (Muths et al., 2013). Regarding density, we hypothesize a lower survival at 179 higher population sizes due to density-dependent regulation mechanisms (Kissel et al., 2020), as 180 well as a lower probability of resuming breeding since fewer females will attain the necessary 181 energetic requirements to breed (Hamel et al., 2010). Concerning the individual factors, we 182 expect bigger individuals to survive better, as a bigger body size is generally linked to increased 183 fitness (Peters, 1986; Hernández-Pacheco et al., 2020). Alternatively, intermediate sizes might be 184 correlated with higher survival (e.g. Weinbach et al., 2018), because bigger individual might be 185 older and suffer from senescence. Finally, we hypothesize that there might be a weak correlation 186 between body size and breeding probabilities. Bigger females might in fact be more successful in 187 accessing resources (Hin & de Roos, 2019). On the other hand, bigger individuals have higher 188 energetic requirements (Hin & de Roos, 2019), therefore a better access to resources does not 189 necessarily reflect a higher chance of resuming breeding or a lower chance of skipping breeding. 190

191 *Growth model*

To include individual body size as a covariate for survival in the CMR model we needed to impute missing size data when toads were not captured (e.g. because they skipped breeding in a year). To do this, we fit a VB (von Bertalanffy, 1938) growth model on common toad size data following Rose et al. (2022) and Armstrong and Brooks (2013). Previous work on the study

196 population suggests that a VB model is appropriate to model toad size data (Hemelaar, 1988).

197 The VB growth model is defined by the following equation (Equation 1):

198
$$EL_{i,t} = a_i - (a_i - L_{i,t-1})exp\left[-\frac{k_{i,t}}{a_i}(\Delta t)\right]$$
(1)

199 Where $EL_{i,t}$ is the expected SVL of a toad *i* at time *t*, a_i is the asymptotic size of a toad *i*, $k_{i,t}$ is the 200 growth rate parameter of toad *i* at time *t*, and Δt is the time interval between two captures, in 201 days. We substituted *k* with k/a, to let individual variation in growth rate *k* be independent from 202 individual variation in asymptotic size *a*. The observed SVL $L_{i,t}$ is obtained by sampling from a 203 normal distribution with mean EL and standard deviation ε , to account for imperfect 204 measurement and individual variation (Equation 2).

205
$$L_{i,t} \sim N(mean = EL_{i,t}, SD = \varepsilon)$$
 (2)

206 To better understand the effect of abiotic conditions and density, we modeled asymptotic size a 207 of a toad at year t as a function of population size and the length of the active season of the year 208 before (t-1). In other words, we calculated for each year the asymptotic size that a toad would 209 reach if the length of the active season and the population size of that year would remain 210 constant over the toad's lifetime. We note that toads are exposed to different abiotic conditions 211 and densities each year. Therefore, growth depends on these yearly values and sets the growth 212 trajectory to a new asymptotic size. We also modeled the log of the growth rate as a function of 213 population size and the length of the active season, with an individual random effect, drawn from 214 a normal distribution with a mean of zero and a standard deviation estimated in the Bayesian 215 framework. Moreover, we included temporal (i.e., "year") random effects on both asymptotic 216 size and growth rate, drawing them from a bivariate normal distribution, therefore estimating the 217 among-year correlation between both parameters. To account for differences in sex we modeled 218 two sex-specific VB growth models. Additionally, once the parameters a and k were estimated,

we could build two sex-specific growth curves, using as a starting size (size at metamorphosis) a
value drawn from a random normal distribution with a mean of 12 mm and standard deviation of
1, to encompass the sizes of newly metamorphosed toads measured by Craioveanu et al. (2019).

223 <u>CMR models</u>

224 Since the data suggests that males show no (or only very limited) intermittent breeding, we 225 estimated breeding probabilities for females only. Therefore, we could model the capture-226 recapture histories of males with a CJS, as we assumed that they will always attempt breeding 227 when alive, and thus, we estimated only survival and detection probability (Lebreton et al., 1992). On the other hand, given that females might skip breeding in a given year (Schmidt et al., 228 229 2002; Loman & Madsen, 2010), we modeled the capture histories of females with a multistate 230 model, with the two states being *nonbreeder* and *breeder* (the phenomenon is often called 231 "temporary emigration" in the mark-recapture literature; Schaub et al., 2004). Since nonbreeders 232 do not show up at the pond, they are not available for capture and the state *nonbreeder* is 233 unobservable (Schaub et al., 2004). In this model we estimated survival, detection, and the 234 probability of changing state (becoming a nonbreeder or becoming a breeder).

235

We were interested in the effect of density (population size), abiotic conditions (environment) and individual factors (body size) on the different vital rates (Figure 1). For both males and females, for survival probability ($\phi_{i,t}$) in year *t* we added as covariates the length of the active season at year *t*, the population size at year *t*, and the body mass of that individual at year *t* obtained from the growth model (Table 1). In the estimation of the probability that a female will skip breeding if it bred the year before ($\Psi_{i,t}^{B,NB}$) and the probability that a female will resume

242 breeding if it did not breed the year before $(\Psi_{i,t}^{NB_B})$ we included the effects of the length of the 243 active season and the population size of the year before, as well as the weather conditions (i.e., 244 average minimum temperature) in the two weeks before the first capture night (Table 1). The 245 latter was included based on the hypothesis that female toads might skip breeding once out of 246 hibernation based on the conditions they experience at awakening (Muths et al., 2013). Muths et 247 al. (2013) looked at conditions in the two months around breeding time (March and April), while 248 we focused on the two-week period prior to the first capture night. The first capture night is done 249 as soon as possible after the first signs of toads migrating to the breeding pond are detected if the 250 weather conditions allow it. The people responsible for the fieldwork routinely check the snow 251 cover at the study site either in person or using a webcam. Therefore, this two-week period 252 should cover well the precise moment of the end of the hibernation, without covering too much 253 of the hibernation period, where the external environmental conditions should not influence the 254 below-ground toads. Finally, we included a random effect of year on the detection probability p_t 255 (mean μ_p and standard deviation σ_p) which was shared between the two sex-specific models to 256 avoid identifiability issues. We standardized all the covariates by subtracting the mean value and 257 dividing by the standard deviation. Correlation coefficients between population size, length of 258 the active season, and the two-week average minimum temperature were low (-0.20 < r < 0.43). 259 Given that for males we fitted a CJS model to the data, we could test model assumptions using 260 goodness-of-fit (GoF) tests (Pollock et al., 1985). We used the R2Ucare package in RStudio 261 (Gimenez et al., 2018). The tests indicated the presence of a transience effect and overdispersion. 262 We are not aware of the existence of similar GoF tests for multistate models with one 263 unobservable state, therefore we assumed that we had to account for transience and 264 overdispersion for the female data as well. If transience is not accounted for, survival or

265	detection probabilities can be underestimated (Pradel et al., 1997). The solution we applied here
266	was to estimate a specific survival probability for the first year after capture (Genovart & Pradel,
267	2019). Overdispersion leads to narrower standard errors around the estimated parameters, but the
268	estimate itself is not biased (Schmidt et al., 2002). To assess the magnitude of overdispersion we
269	calculated \hat{c} (the variance inflation factor), by dividing the chi-squared test statistic by the
270	number of degrees of freedom (Pradel et al., 1997). We obtained a value of 2.48 which indicates
271	overdispersion (Choquet et al., 2009). We addressed overdispersion by including an individual
272	random effect in the detection probability (Abadi et al., 2013).
273	We implemented the growth model and the CMR model in a unified Bayesian framework
274	using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling in Nimble (version 0.11.1, de Valpine et
275	al., 2017). We conducted all the analyses in R (R version 4.1.1; R Core Team, 2020) with
276	RStudio (version 2022.7.1.554; R Studio Team, 2022). We ran four chains for 770'000 sampling
277	iterations each, including an initial burn-in of 70'000 iterations and a thinning value of 7,
278	resulting in 100'000 iterations per chain. We assessed model convergence by visually inspecting
279	MCMC trace plots and calculating the Brooks–Gelman–Rubin statistic \hat{R} (Brooks & Gelman,
280	1998) and by looking at prior-posterior overlaps. To further assess the GoF of our model we
281	conducted posterior predictive checks (Conn et al., 2018) on both sections of the model. For the
282	growth model we compared two sums of squared residuals to obtain a Bayesian p-value. The
283	first sum compared observed and expected SVL values, the second sum compared simulated and
284	expected SVL values (Rose et al., 2022). For the CMR models (i.e., CJS for males and multistate
285	model for females), we performed posterior predictive checks following Nater et al. (2020). We
286	chose 500 evenly spaced samples from the posterior distributions of the estimated parameters
287	and used them to simulate 10 replicate capture-history datasets per sample. We then calculated

- the number of recaptures in each set of capture history and obtained a distribution of 5000 values
- for the number of recaptures. We then compared the observed number of recaptures to the rest of
- the distribution both visually (Appendix S2: Figure S1) and by calculating a Bayesian p-value.

291 **Results**

All parameters showed good convergence ($\hat{R} < 1.1$). The Bayesian p-values for the growth model for females and males were 0.55 and 0.46 respectively, indicating overall a good fit. The posterior predictive checks on the capture-mark-recapture models showed a good fit as well, with Bayesian p-values of 0.31 for males and 0.57 for females. Also the prior-posterior overlaps were satisfactory (Appendix S2).

297

298 Direct effects on growth and body size

We estimated sex-specific growth parameters and estimated size when individuals were not measured. By providing initial size at metamorphosis, and using the parameters estimated from the model, we built sex-specific growth curves (Figure 2). We tested for the effects of population size and the length of the active season on both the coefficient of growth *k* and the asymptotic size *a*. We did not find significant effects of either factor on either growth model parameter, but the parameter estimates suggest positive and negative effects on *k* and *a*, respectively (Table 2).

306 Direct effects on vital rates

307 We modeled CMR data of 1615 male toads and 933 female toads to estimate male survival with

308 a Cormack-Jolly-Seber model, and female survival and breeding probabilities with a multistate

model. The mean detection probability was 0.89 and varied little among years (SD = 0.06).

Survival ϕ of males and females did not vary much across the study period, with male survival

fluctuating more (mean values were 0.74 and 0.73 respectively). Both showed a decrease in the

last years (Appendix S3: Figure S1). Survival in the year after the first capture ϕ' showed a

similar pattern, but with lower values (Appendix S3: Figure S2). Finally, the probability of skipping breeding if a female bred the year before $\Psi^{B_{_}NB}$ was high, with an average of 0.66 over the study period, but the probability of resuming breeding if a female skipped breeding the year before $\Psi^{NB_{_}B}$ was higher, with an average of 0.80 (Appendix S3: Figure S3). This indicates that there is a strong Markovian pattern in breeding, with the breeding status in the previous year strongly determining whether a female will attempt breeding.

319 We analyzed the effect of individual body size, population size and length of the active season 320 on survival and breeding probabilities, with also weather at emergence from hibernation for the 321 latter (Table 3). For abiotic conditions, we found evidence of a negative effect of the active 322 season length on male survival (Figure 3). Moreover, we found a negative effect of the minimum 323 temperature at emergence on the probability of skipping breeding (i.e., the colder it is, the higher 324 the probability of skipping breeding if a female bred the year before, Figure 4). We also found 325 evidence of a negative effect of population size on both male and female survivals, as well as a 326 positive effect on the probability of resuming breeding (Figure 5 and 4 respectively). Finally, for 327 individual effects (i.e., body size) we found evidence of a negative effect of body size on male 328 survival and a clear negative trend on female survival (Figure 5).

329

330 Indirect effects on vital rates

Although strictly not significant, we could find indications for an effect of both active season
length and population size on body size. This means, along with the negative association of body
size with survival, that there might be also indirect (i.e. trait-mediated) effects of abiotic
conditions and density on vital rates.

335 **Discussion**

We found evidence that density, abiotic conditions, and individual factors had direct effects on vital rates. We also found indications for indirect effects of density and abiotic conditions on vital rates mediated by individual traits. These findings highlight the complexity of amphibian demography and the importance of a comprehensive analysis of the network of factors affecting vital rates.

341

342 The VB model enabled us to obtain growth curves similar to Hemelaar's (1988) curves for the 343 same population. Males grow faster than females and to smaller asymptotic sizes, which based 344 on the model's prediction are reached at about 10 years of age, on average a year later than 345 estimated by Hemelaar (1988). Females seem to reach their asymptotic size at around 17 years of 346 age (Figure 2; Hemelaar (1988) reported the same age). These findings indicate that growth 347 patterns are not varying much over time in this population. Given the annual survival rates 348 (~ 0.75) , few will reach asymptotic size and will therefore grow during their entire lifespan, 349 suggesting that there might be a trade-off between growth and reproduction, which could partly 350 explain why females show intermittent breeding.

351 Direct effects on growth and body size

As hypothesized, we found indication of an effect (although not strictly significant) of an abiotic factor (length of the active season) on growth, with longer seasons associated with bigger asymptotic sizes. Longer active seasons allow for a longer growth period, and possibly higher availability of resources. We also found indications for a negative density effect on the asymptotic size, but a positive one on the growth rate *k* indicating that at higher densities

357 individuals might grow faster but to smaller sizes (Green & Middleton, 2013). This is what is 358 generally expected, given the predicted lower per capita resource availability at higher densities 359 and the need to grow faster to outcompete other individuals. Moreover, smaller females might 360 have lower fecundity due to smaller and fewer eggs (Reading, 1986; Hernández-Pacheco et al., 361 2020), which could be a way density dependence acts on the population. There are many studies 362 showing spatial variation in body size, but only a few studies showed that the determinants of 363 asymptotic size could be pinpointed to context-specific situations such as density and active 364 season length (e.g. Paterson & Blouin-Demers, 2018). Concerning the other growth parameter, k_{i} 365 the absence of strong effects on it could also mean that k is an important fitness trait and is 366 buffered against factors like environment or density (environmental canalization, Gaillard & 367 Yoccoz, 2003). Indeed, growing faster might be more important than growing to larger sizes. 368 Despite the large body of literature on the effect of density and the environment on amphibian 369 larval growth (Reading & Clarke, 1999; Ruthsatz et al., 2018), we found no studies on their 370 effects on the parameters of the VB growth model that covers the growth period 371 postmetamorphosis in amphibians, highlighting the importance of our study.

372

373 Direct effects on vital rates

The relatively high male and female survival rates that we found over the study period (0.74 and 0.73 respectively, at average body size) are typical of populations living at higher elevations, where generally the pace of life is slow, mostly due to energy constraints. Our values are in line with previous studies on *B. bufo* populations (Table 4 of Muths et al., 2013), where populations living in similar conditions had a higher survival rate than lowland populations. The estimates of our breeding probabilities (mean $\Psi_{i,t}{}^{B_{-}NB} = 0.66$ and mean $\Psi_{i,t}{}^{NB_{-}B} = 0.80$) revealed a Markovian

380	breeding pattern in females with a relatively regular biennial cycle of breeding as previously
381	observed in this population (Grossenbacher, 2002). This is expected as they are capital breeders
382	living in harsh conditions (Bull & Shine, 1979). This outcome has been found in previous studies
383	on amphibians (e.g. Muths et al., 2013; Cayuela et al., 2014), and further supports the hypothesis
384	that individuals attempt breeding only upon reaching a certain energy threshold, which females
385	often can reach only every two years, especially at higher elevations (Houston et al., 2007;
386	McNamara & Houston, 2007). In more recent years, more females are reproducing in
387	consecutive years, indicating that there might be other factors influencing the probability of
388	skipping breeding that were not properly identified.

Concerning abiotic conditions, we found that the length of the active season was 389 390 negatively associated with adult survival of males. This could be explained by the intrinsic 391 higher mortality of being more active (Werner & Anholt, 1993; Houston et al., 1997), which 392 exposes the animals to more risks, such as diseases, predation, road kill and higher susceptibility 393 to extreme weather events (e.g., drought). On the other hand, we did not find a meaningful 394 correlation between the active season length and female survival or the breeding probability. 395 Females might be more cautious than males (e.g., in rats, Jolles et al., 2015) and it is possible 396 that even the longest active season lengths observed in our system are not long enough to enable 397 most females to build up the energy necessary to breed in consecutive years.

We also found evidence of the minimum temperature around emergence from hibernation negatively affecting the probability of skipping breeding. This supports the hypothesis that under harsh weather conditions females might forfeit breeding to not risk unsuccessful breeding or dying (Muths et al., 2013), especially in alpine habitat where environmental conditions are highly variable. In fact, the ability to voluntarily forfeit breeding when conditions at the start of

403 the breeding season are not optimal can increase lifetime fitness of both the female and tadpoles, 404 as they would be exposed to bad conditions upon hatching. We therefore expect this trait to be 405 under selection (Erikstad et al., 1998; Cubaynes et al., 2010). Muths et al. (2013) could not find 406 strong support for their hypothesis in their study. One of the reasons they pointed out was the 407 relatively short study period (six years). It is possible that given our longer study period we were 408 able to successfully find this association.

409 Moreover, we found evidence of population size negatively affecting both male and more 410 weakly, female survival. This suggests the presence of density-dependence effects in the adult 411 stage of amphibians. We expect density regulation due to a decrease in the per capita resource 412 availability or an increased competition for shelters, especially in a context where habitats might 413 be less productive, and shelters scarcer than at lower elevations. There are only a few studies 414 investigating this aspect in adult amphibians, in contrast to the large number of studies on density 415 dependence in the larval aquatic stage (e.g. Reading & Clarke, 1999). Density dependence in the 416 adult stage could play a significant role in regulating the population (Hellriegel, 2000). Previous 417 studies tested density dependence in adults in an experimental setting (Altwegg, 2003; Harper & 418 Semlitsch, 2007), showing that density-dependent processes can indeed operate, while our study 419 is one of the few that investigated this aspect in a wild population, indicating that density 420 dependence acts also in the wild, within the limits of observational field studies (see also Berven, 421 2009; Kissel et al., 2020). Counter to our hypothesis, we found a strong positive association 422 between population size and the probability of resuming breeding, indicating that the bigger the 423 population, the higher the probability of resuming breeding. A possible mechanism explaining 424 this relationship is the decision by females to breed rather than delaying due to increased 425 mortality at higher densities (McNamara et al., 2004). Another possible explanation is that

nonbreeding females operate under a positive feedback loop scenario, whereby higher densities
experienced during the feeding season in the previous year imply increased mating opportunity
in the following year and thus stimulate their return to breeding. Alternatively, this finding might
indicate just a correlation and not causation, hinting at a common cause that is affecting both
population size and the probability of resuming breeding. If in general the conditions
experienced by this population are getting better, we could expect both an increase in population
size, and a higher probability of resuming breeding in females.

433 Finally, regarding individual factors, we found a negative association between body size 434 and male survival, and an almost significant negative association also with female survival. This 435 result can be explained by actuarial senescence (Hamilton, 1966), with older (and thus bigger) 436 individuals more likely to die, as growth slows down but does not stop over the lifetime of 437 individuals (Duellman & Trueb, 1994). It could also be explained by the higher costs in 438 maintaining bigger body sizes. Previous studies also looked at the role that body condition can 439 have on survival and breeding, hypothesizing that for breeding to happen, body condition must 440 reach a threshold value (Baron et al., 2013; Reading & Jofré, 2021). In our case, we did not find 441 any correlation between increased body condition and a lower chance of skipping breeding, or a 442 higher chance of resuming breeding (Appendix S1: Section S2).

443

444 Indirect effects on vital rates

Our findings suggest the presence of direct and indirect (body-size mediated) effects of
population density and active season length on survival and breeding probabilities. The
magnitudes of these effects do not seem to be so different to warrant a claim about their relative
importance when estimating vital rates. Interestingly, we could observe the synchrony of the

direct and indirect effects of the active season length on male survival. Longer active seasons are
associated with decreased survival (direct effect) and an increased body size, which in turn is
negatively associated with male survival (indirect effect). On the other hand, the negative
associations between population size and body size, and between body size and survival
(globally a positive indirect effect) seems to indicate that density might buffer the negative effect
of body size on survival, while still maintaining itself a direct negative effect.

456 <u>Conclusion</u>

457 We could not only demonstrate the presence of intermittent breeding for females in this 458 population living at high elevation, but also estimate key vital rates and assess the importance 459 that abiotic conditions, density, and individual factors can have on these rates. The fact that 460 females show strong intermittent breeding seems to further indicate that the major onus 461 energetically speaking is on them, and this seems to apply to most anurans (Jørgensen, 1992; 462 Hayward & Gillooly, 2011). Even though we could not find a clear effect of the active season 463 length on the breeding probabilities, we can hypothesize that under current climate scenarios 464 future active seasons will be long enough to lead to a more frequent annual breeding. However, 465 current effects of climate change on this population are not as strong and predictable as expected. 466 For instance, winter and spring temperatures are not constantly increasing, nor is snow cover 467 constantly decreasing (Lenzi et al., 2023), perhaps due to topologically driven microclimatic 468 conditions (Scherrer & Körner, 2011). If indeed females slowly move more consistently towards 469 an annual breeding, there might be consequences for the population, as many more individuals 470 will be produced. On the other hand, we expect stronger regulatory effects due to density

471 dependence at both the larval and the adult stage, with a decrease in survival, compensating the472 increase in reproduction.

473 This study is an important addition to the literature on demography of amphibians, especially on 474 populations living at the elevational edge of the species distribution, in a highly threatened 475 habitat (Diaz et al., 2003). Our main findings indicate that multiple factors act on adult survival 476 and breeding probability, and proper estimates of these vital rates can be obtained only with a 477 comprehensive approach. In particular, we found a possible strong effect of population density 478 on the survival of the adult stage, which in amphibians has been rarely detected. Moreover, the 479 relationships we found between environment and vital rates, combined with the ongoing and 480 predicted scenarios of climate change, show the importance of integrating the outcome of such 481 analyses into population models to obtain important insights on the dynamics and persistence of 482 amphibian populations under changing environmental conditions.

483 Acknowledgements

484 We thank all the people responsible for data collection and management, and who made fieldwork 485 possible since 1982. This study is funded by the Federal Office for the Environment (contract 486 numbers: 20.0001.PJ/46DBED0F1 and 06.0126-PZ Artenförderung / N494-1379), Stiftung 487 Temperatio and supported by the Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies 488 of the University of Zurich. All procedures in the field such as capture, handling and marking of 489 toads were done under animal welfare permits issued by the Veterinäramt des Kantons Bern. 490 Moreover, we thank Dirk Schmaltz and the Land Change Science group of the Swiss Federal 491 Research Institute WSL for providing us with the climate dataset DaymetCH, which is comprised 492 of data obtained from Bioclimatic maps of Switzerland © WSL, based on station data from the 493 Federal Office of Meteorology and Climatology MeteoSwiss. We also thank Rose et al. (2022) for 494 their open data policy, which enabled us to access code and data used in their study, with 495 significant benefits for this study. Finally, we thank an anonymous reviewer and Brian Halstead 496 for the constructive comments on a previous version of this manuscript.

497 Authors contribution

O.L. and B.R.S. conceived the study. K.G., S.Z., B.L., S.A., M.T., D.S., and H.R. collected data.
O.L. prepared and analyzed the data. B.R.S and A.O. provided feedback on the analyses. O.L.
wrote the paper with input from all authors.

501 **Conflict of interest**

502 We declare no conflicts of interest.

504 **References**

- 505 Abadi, F., Botha, A., & Altwegg, R. (2013). Revisiting the Effect of Capture Heterogeneity on
- 506 Survival Estimates in Capture-Mark-Recapture Studies: Does It Matter? *PLOS ONE*,
- 507 8(4), e62636. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0062636
- Altwegg, R. (2003). Multistage density dependence in an amphibian. *Oecologia*, *136*(1), 46–50.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-003-1248-x
- 510 Amarillo-Suárez, A. R., Stillwell, R. C., & Fox, C. W. (2011). Natural selection on body size is
- 511 mediated by multiple interacting factors: A comparison of beetle populations varying
- 512 naturally and experimentally in body size. *Ecology and Evolution*, 1(1), 1–14.
- 513 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.1
- Armstrong, D. P., & Brooks, R. J. (2013). Application of hierarchical biphasic growth models to
 long-term data for snapping turtles. *Ecological Modelling*, 250, 119–125.
- 516 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2012.10.022
- 517 Baron, J.-P., Le Galliard, J.-F., Ferrière, R., & Tully, T. (2013). Intermittent breeding and the
- 518 dynamics of resource allocation to reproduction, growth and survival. *Functional*

519 *Ecology*, 27(1), 173–183. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.12023

- 520 Bassar, R. D., Letcher, B. H., Nislow, K. H., & Whiteley, A. R. (2016). Changes in seasonal
- 521 climate outpace compensatory density-dependence in eastern brook trout. *Global Change*522 *Biology*, 22(2), 577–593. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13135
- 523 Benton, T. G., Plaistow, S. J., & Coulson, T. N. (2006). Complex population dynamics and
- 524 complex causation: Devils, details and demography. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 525 *Biological Sciences*, 273(1591), 1173–1181. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3495
- 526 Berven, K. A. (2009). Density Dependence in the Terrestrial Stage of Wood Frogs: Evidence
- 527 from a 21-Year Population Study. *Copeia*, 2009(2), 328–338.

- 528 https://doi.org/10.1643/CH-08-052
- Brooks, S. P., & Gelman, A. (1998). General Methods for Monitoring Convergence of Iterative
 Simulations. *Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics*, 7(4), 434–455.
- 531 https://doi.org/10.2307/1390675
- Bull, J. J., & Shine, R. (1979). Iteroparous Animals that Skip Opportunities for Reproduction. *The American Naturalist*, *114*(2), 296–303. https://doi.org/10.1086/283476
- 534 Cayuela, H., Besnard, A., Bonnaire, E., Perret, H., Rivoalen, J., Miaud, C., & Joly, P. (2014). To
- 535 breed or not to breed: past reproductive status and environmental cues drive current
- 536 breeding decisions in a long-lived amphibian. *Oecologia*, *176*(1), 107–116.
- 537 https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3003-x
- 538 Choquet, R., Lebreton, J.-D., Gimenez, O., Reboulet, A.-M., & Pradel, R. (2009). U-CARE:
- 539 Utilities for performing goodness of fit tests and manipulating CApture–REcapture data.
- 540 *Ecography*, 32(6), 1071–1074. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2009.05968.x
- 541 Conde, D. A., Staerk, J., Colchero, F., da Silva, R., Schöley, J., Baden, H. M., Jouvet, L., Fa, J.
- 542 E., Syed, H., Jongejans, E., Meiri, S., Gaillard, J.-M., Chamberlain, S., Wilcken, J.,
- 543 Jones, O. R., Dahlgren, J. P., Steiner, U. K., Bland, L. M., Gomez-Mestre, I., ... Vaupel,
- 544 J. W. (2019). Data gaps and opportunities for comparative and conservation biology.
- 545 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *116*(19), 9658–9664.
- 546 https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1816367116
- 547 Conn, P. B., Johnson, D. S., Williams, P. J., Melin, S. R., & Hooten, M. B. (2018). A guide to
- 548 Bayesian model checking for ecologists. *Ecological Monographs*, 88(4), 526–542.
- 549 https://doi.org/10.1002/ecm.1314
- 550 Conquet, E., Ozgul, A., Blumstein, D. T., Armitage, K. B., Oli, M. K., Martin, J. G. A., Clutton-

551	Brock, T. H., & Paniw, M. (2023). Demographic consequences of changes in
552	environmental periodicity. Ecology, 104(3), e3894. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3894
553	Craioveanu, O., Craioveanu, C., Ghira, I., Mireșan, V., & Hartel, T. (2019). Does carnivory pay
554	off? Experiments on the effects of different types of diet on growth and development of
555	Bufo bufo (Linnaeus, 1758) tadpoles and carry-over effects after metamorphosis.
556	Herpetozoa, 32, 21–31. https://doi.org/10.3897/herpetozoa.32.e35627
557	Cubaynes, S., Doherty, P. F., Schreiber, E. A., & Gimenez, O. (2010). To breed or not to breed:
558	A seabird's response to extreme climatic events. <i>Biology Letters</i> , 7(2), 303–306.
559	https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2010.0778
560	de Valpine, P., Turek, D., Paciorek, C. J., Anderson-Bergman, C., Lang, D. T., & Bodik, R.
561	(2017). Programming With Models: Writing Statistical Algorithms For General Model
562	Structures With NIMBLE. Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics, 26(2),
563	403-413. https://doi.org/10.1080/10618600.2016.1172487
564	Desprez, M., Gimenez, O., McMahon, C. R., Hindell, M. A., & Harcourt, R. G. (2018).
565	Optimizing lifetime reproductive output: Intermittent breeding as a tactic for females in a
566	long-lived, multiparous mammal. Journal of Animal Ecology, 87(1), 199–211.
567	https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12775
568	Diaz, H. F., Grosjean, M., & Graumlich, L. (2003). Climate Variability and Change in High
569	Elevation Regions: Past, Present and Future. <i>Climatic Change</i> , 59, 1–4.
570	https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-015-1252-7_1
571	Duellman, W. E., & Trueb, L. (1994). Biology of Amphibians. JHU Press.
572	Easterling, M. R., Ellner, S. P., & Dixon, P. M. (2000). Size-specific sensitivity: Applying a new
573	structured population model. Ecology, 81(3), 694–708. https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-

- 574 9658(2000)081[0694:SSSAAN]2.0.CO;2
- Eastman, L. M., Morelli, T. L., Rowe, K. C., Conroy, C. J., & Moritz, C. (2012). Size increase in
- 576 high elevation ground squirrels over the last century. *Global Change Biology*, 18(5),
- 577 1499–1508. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02644.x
- Ehrlén, J., Morris, W. F., von Euler, T., & Dahlgren, J. P. (2016). Advancing environmentally
 explicit structured population models of plants. *Journal of Ecology*, *104*(2), 292–305.
- 580 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12523
- 581 Erikstad, K. E., Fauchald, P., Tveraa, T., & Steen, H. (1998). On the cost of reproduction in
- 582 long-lived birds: The influence of environmental variability. *Ecology*, 79(5), 1781–1788.
- 583 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(1998)079[1781:OTCORI]2.0.CO;2
- Gaillard, J.-M., & Yoccoz, N. G. (2003). Temporal Variation in Survival of Mammals: A Case
 of Environmental Canalization? *Ecology*, *84*(12), 3294–3306. https://doi.org/10.1890/020409
- 587 Gamelon, M., Grøtan, V., Nilsson, A. L. K., Engen, S., Hurrell, J. W., Jerstad, K., Phillips, A. S.,
- 588 Røstad, O. W., Slagsvold, T., Walseng, B., Stenseth, N. C., & Sæther, B.-E. (2017).
- 589 Interactions between demography and environmental effects are important determinants
- 590 of population dynamics. *Science Advances*, *3*(2), e1602298.
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1602298
- 592 Genovart, M., & Pradel, R. (2019). Transience effect in capture-recapture studies: The
- 593 importance of its biological meaning. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(9), e0222241.
- 594 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0222241
- 595 Gimenez, O., Lebreton, J.-D., Choquet, R., & Pradel, R. (2018). R2ucare: An r package to
- 596 perform goodness-of-fit tests for capture–recapture models. *Methods in Ecology and*

597	Evolution, 9(7), 1749–1754. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13014
598	Green, D. M., & Middleton, J. (2013). Body size varies with abundance, not climate, in an
599	amphibian population. <i>Ecography</i> , 36(8), 947–955. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-
600	0587.2013.00063.x
601	Grossenbacher, K. (2002). First results of a 20-year-study on Common Toad Bufo bufo in the
602	Swiss Alps. Biota, 3(1–2), 43–48.
603	Hamel, S., Côté, S. D., & Festa-Bianchet, M. (2010). Maternal characteristics and environment
604	affect the costs of reproduction in female mountain goats. <i>Ecology</i> , 91(7), 2034–2043.
605	https://doi.org/10.1890/09-1311.1
606	Hamilton, W. D. (1966). The moulding of senescence by natural selection. Journal of
607	Theoretical Biology, 12(1), 12-45. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5193(66)90184-6
608	Hanski, I. A., Hassell, M. P., & May, R. M. (1997). Density dependence, regulation and
609	variability in animal populations. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of
610	London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 330(1257), 141–150.
611	https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1990.0188
612	Harper, E. B., & Semlitsch, R. D. (2007). Density dependence in the terrestrial life history stage
613	of two anurans. <i>Oecologia</i> , 153(4), 879–889. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0796-x
614	Hayward, A., & Gillooly, J. F. (2011). The Cost of Sex: Quantifying Energetic Investment in
615	Gamete Production by Males and Females. PLOS ONE, 6(1), e16557.
616	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016557
617	Hellriegel, B. (2000). Single- or Multistage Regulation in Complex Life Cycles: Does It Make a
618	Difference? Oikos, 88(2), 239–249. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2000.880202.x
619	Hemelaar, A. (1988). Age, Growth and Other Population Characteristics of Bufo bufo from
	30

- 620 Different Latitudes and Altitudes. *Journal of Herpetology*, 22(4), 369.
- 621 https://doi.org/10.2307/1564332
- 622 Hernández-Pacheco, R., Plard, F., Grayson, K. L., & Steiner, U. K. (2020). Demographic
- 623 consequences of changing body size in a terrestrial salamander. *Ecology and Evolution*,
- 624 0, 1–12. https://doi.org/DOI: 10.1002/ece3.6988
- Hin, V., & de Roos, A. M. (2019). Evolution of size-dependent intraspecific competition predicts
 body size scaling of metabolic rate. *Functional Ecology*, *33*(3), 479–490.
- 627 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13253
- 628 Houlahan, J. E., Findlay, C. S., Schmidt, B. R., Meyer, A. H., & Kuzmin, S. L. (2000).
- 629 Quantitative evidence for global amphibian population declines. *Nature* 404, 752–755.
 630 doi.org/10.1038/35008052
- Houston, A. I., McNamara, J. M., & Hutchinson, J. M. C. (1997). General results concerning the
- 632 trade-off between gaining energy and avoiding predation. *Philosophical Transactions of*
- *the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, *341*(1298), 375–397.
- 634 https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1993.0123
- Houston, A. I., Stephens, P., Boyd, I., Harding, K., & McNamara, J. M. (2007). Capital or
- 636 income breeding? A theoretical model of female reproductive strategies. *Behavioral*

637 *Ecology*, *18* (*1*), 241–250. https://doi.org/10.1093/beheco/arl080

- Jolles, J. W., Boogert, N. J., & van den Bos, R. (2015). Sex differences in risk-taking and
- associative learning in rats. *Royal Society Open Science*, 2(11), 150485.
- 640 https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.150485
- 641Jönsson, K. I. (1997). Capital and Income Breeding as Alternative Tactics of Resource Use in
- 642 Reproduction. *Oikos*, 78(1), 57–66. https://doi.org/10.2307/3545800

- Jørgensen, C. B. (1992). Growth and Reproduction. In M. E. Feder & W. W. Burggren (Eds.),
- 644 *Environmental Physiology of the Amphibians* (pp. 439–466). University of Chicago
- 645 Press. https://press.uchicago.edu/ucp/books/book/chicago/E/bo3636401.html
- 646 Kissel, A. M., Tenan, S., & Muths, E. (2020). Density Dependence and Adult Survival Drive
- 647 Dynamics in Two High Elevation Amphibian Populations. *Diversity*, *12*(12), 478.
- 648 https://doi.org/10.3390/d12120478
- Laiolo, P., & Obeso, J. R. (2017). Life-History Responses to the Altitudinal Gradient. In J.
- 650 Catalan, J. M. Ninot, & M. M. Aniz (Eds.), *High Mountain Conservation in a Changing*
- 651 *World* (pp. 253–283). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-
- **652** 319-55982-7_11
- Lawton, J. H. (1994). Population dynamic principles. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences*, 344(1307).
- 655 http://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.1994.0052
- 656 Lebreton, J.-D., Burnham, K. P., Clobert, J., & Anderson, D. R. (1992). Modeling Survival and
- Testing Biological Hypotheses Using Marked Animals: A Unified Approach with Case
 Studies. *Ecological Monographs*, 62(1), 67–118. https://doi.org/10.2307/2937171
- 659 Lenzi, O., Grossenbacher, K., Zumbach, S., Lüscher, B., Althaus, S., Schmocker, D., Recher, H.,
- 660Thoma, M., Ozgul, A., & Schmidt, B. R. (2023). Four decades of phenology in an alpine
- amphibian: Trends, stasis, and climatic drivers. *Peer Community Journal*, *3*, e15.
- 662 https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.240
- Lenzi, O., Grossenbacher, K., Zumbach, S., Lüscher, B., Althaus, S., Thoma, M., Schmocker, D.,
- 664 Recher, H., Ozgul, A., & Schmidt, B. R. (2024). Data for: Interplay of abiotic conditions,
- density, and body size in shaping demography in a high-elevation toad population [Data

- 666 set]. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10908913
- Loman, J., & Madsen, T. (2010). Sex ratio of breeding Common toads (*Bufo bufo*)—Influence of
 survival and skipped breeding. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, *31*, 509–524.
- 669 https://doi.org/10.1163/017353710X524705
- 670 Luedtke, J. A., Chanson, J., Neam, K., Hobin, L., Maciel, A. O., Catenazzi, A., Borzée, A.,
- Hamidy, A., Aowphol, A., Jean, A., Sosa-Bartuano, Á., Fong G., A., de Silva, A.,
- 672 Fouquet, A., Angulo, A., Kidov, A. A., Muñoz Saravia, A., Diesmos, A. C., Tominaga,
- A., ... Stuart, S. N. (2023). Ongoing declines for the world's amphibians in the face of
- 674 emerging threats. *Nature*, 622(7982), Article 7982. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-023-
- 675 06578-4
- McNamara, J. M., & Houston, A. I. (2007). Optimal annual routines: Behaviour in the context of
 physiology and ecology. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological*

678 *Sciences*, *363*(1490), 301–319. https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.2141

- 679 McNamara, J. M., Welham, R. K., Houston, A. I., Daan, S., & Tinbergen, J. M. (2004). The
- 680 effects of background mortality on optimal reproduction in a seasonal environment.
- 681 *Theoretical Population Biology*, 65(4), 361–372.
- 682 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tpb.2003.10.006
- 683 Muths, E., Chambert, T., Schmidt, B. R., Miller, D. A. W., Hossack, B. R., Joly, P., Grolet, O.,
- 684 Green, D. M., Pilliod, D. S., Cheylan, M., Fisher, R. N., McCaffery, R. M., Adams, M. J.,
- 685 Palen, W. J., Arntzen, J. W., Garwood, J., Fellers, G. M., Thirion, J.-M., Besnard, A., &
- 686 Grant, E. H. C. (2017). Heterogeneous responses of temperate-zone amphibian
- 687 populations to climate change complicates conservation planning. *Scientific Reports*,
- 688 7(1), 17102. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-17105-7

- 689 Muths, E., Scherer, R. D., & Bosch, J. (2013). Evidence for plasticity in the frequency of skipped
- breeding opportunities in common toads. *Population Ecology*, 55(4), 535–544.
 https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-013-0381-6
- 692 Nater, C. R., Vindenes, Y., Aass, P., Cole, D., Langangen, Ø., Moe, S. J., Rustadbakken, A.,
- Turek, D., Vøllestad, L. A., & Ergon, T. (2020). Size- and stage-dependence in cause-
- 694 specific mortality of migratory brown trout. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, 89(9), 2122–

695 2133. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13269

- 696 Paquette, A., & Hargreaves, A. L. (2021). Biotic interactions are more often important at
- 697 species' warm versus cool range edges. *Ecology Letters*, 24(11), 2427–2438.
- 698 https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.13864
- Paterson, J. E., & Blouin-Demers, G. (2018). Tree lizard (*Urosaurus ornatus*) growth decreases
 with population density, but increases with habitat quality. *Journal of Experimental*
- 701 *Zoology Part A: Ecological and Integrative Physiology*, *329*(10), 527–535.
- 702 https://doi.org/10.1002/jez.2216
- 703 Peters, R. H. (1986). *The Ecological Implications of Body Size*. Cambridge University Press.
- Pollock, K. H., Hines, J. E., & Nichols, J. D. (1985). Goodness-of-Fit Tests for Open CaptureRecapture Models. *Biometrics*, 41(2), 399–410. https://doi.org/10.2307/2530865
- 706 Pradel, R., Hines, J. E., Lebreton, J.-D., & Nichols, J. D. (1997). Capture-Recapture Survival
- 707 Models Taking Account of Transients. *Biometrics*, *53*(1), 60–72.
- 708 https://doi.org/10.2307/2533097
- 709 R Core Team. (2020). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R foundation for
- 710 *statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. URL https://www.R-project.org/.* [Computer
- 711 software].

- **712** R Studio Team. (2022). *RStudio: Integrated Development for R* [Computer software]. RStudio,
- **713PBC.**, Boston, MA.
- Reading, C. J. (1986). Egg production in the Common toad, *Bufo bufo. Journal of Zoology*,

715 208(1), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-7998.1986.tb04712.x

- 716 Reading, C. J., & Clarke, R. T. (1999). Impacts of climate and density on the duration of the
- tadpole stage of the common toad *Bufo bufo. Oecologia*, *121*(3), 310–315.

718 https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050933

- Reading, C. J., & Jofré, G. M. (2021). The cost of breeding for male common toads. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *134*(3), 568–576.
- 721 https://doi.org/10.1093/biolinnean/blab040
- Rees, M., Childs, D. Z., & Ellner, S. P. (2014). Building integral projection models: A user's
 guide. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, *83*(3), 528–545. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-
- 724 2656.12178
- Rose, J. P., Kim, R., Schoenig, E. J., Lien, P. C., & Halstead, B. J. (2022). Integrating growth
- and survival models for flexible estimation of size-dependent survival in a cryptic,

endangered snake. *Ecology and Evolution*, *12*(4), e8799.

- 728 https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8799
- 729 Ruthsatz, K., Peck, M. A., Dausmann, K. H., Sabatino, N. M., & Glos, J. (2018). Patterns of
- temperature induced developmental plasticity in anuran larvae. *Journal of Thermal*

731 *Biology*, 74, 123–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtherbio.2018.03.005

732 Sæther, B.-E., & Bakke, Ø. (2000). Avian Life History Variation and Contribution of

733 Demographic Traits to the Population Growth Rate. *Ecology*, *81*(3), 642–653.

734 https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2000)081[0642:ALHVAC]2.0.CO;2

735	Sainmont, J., Andersen, K. H., Varpe, Ø., & Visser, A. W. (2014). Capital versus Income
736	Breeding in a Seasonal Environment. The American Naturalist, 184(4), 466-476.
737	https://doi.org/10.1086/677926
738	Schaub, M., Gimenez, O., Schmidt, B. R., & Pradel, R. (2004). Estimating survival and
739	temporary emigration in the multistate capture-recapture framework. Ecology, 85(8),
740	2107-2113. https://doi.org/10.1890/03-3110
741	Scherrer, D., & Körner, C. (2011). Topographically controlled thermal-habitat differentiation
742	buffers alpine plant diversity against climate warming. Journal of Biogeography, 38(2),
743	406–416. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2699.2010.02407.x
744	Schmidt, B. R., Feldmann, R., & Schaub, M. (2005). Demographic Processes Underlying
745	Population Growth and Decline in Salamandra salamandra. Conservation Biology,
746	19(4), 1149–1156. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00164.x
747	Schmidt, B. R., Schaub, M., & Anholt, B. (2002). Why you should use capture-recapture
748	methods when estimating survival and breeding probabilities: On bias, temporary
749	emigration, overdispersion, and common toads. Amphibia-Reptilia, 23(3), 375-388.
750	https://doi.org/10.1163/15685380260449234
751	Thornton, P. E., Running, S. W., & White, M. A. (1997). Generating surfaces of daily
752	meteorological variables over large regions of complex terrain. Journal of Hydrology,
753	190(3), 214–251. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(96)03128-9
754	von Bertalanffy, L. (1938). A quantitative theory of organic growth (inquiries on growth laws.
755	II). <i>Human Biology</i> , 10(2), 181–213.
756	Weinbach, A., Cayuela, H., Grolet, O., Besnard, A., & Joly, P. (2018). Resilience to climate
757	variation in a spatially structured amphibian population. Scientific Reports, 8, 14607.

- 758 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-33111-9
- 759 Werner, E. E., & Anholt, B. R. (1993). Ecological Consequences of the Trade-Off between
- 760 Growth and Mortality Rates Mediated by Foraging Activity. *The American Naturalist*,
- 761 *142*(2), 242–272. https://doi.org/10.1086/285537
- 762 Whiles, M. R., Lips, K. R., Pringle, C. M., Kilham, S. S., Bixby, R. J., Brenes, R., Connelly, S.,
- 763 Colon-Gaud, J. C., Hunte-Brown, M., Huryn, A. D., Montgomery, C., & Peterson, S.
- 764 (2006). The effects of amphibian population declines on the structure and function of
- 765 Neotropical stream ecosystems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 4(1), 27–34.
- 766 https://doi.org/10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0027:TEOAPD]2.0.CO;2
- 767 Wood, K. V., Nichols, J. D., Percival, H. F., & Hines, J. E. (1998). Size-Sex Variation in
- 768Survival Rates and Abundance of Pig Frogs, Rana grylio, in Northern Florida Wetlands.
- 769 *Journal of Herpetology*, *32*(4), 527–535. https://doi.org/10.2307/1565206

- **Table 1.** List of vital rates and demographic parameters estimated in the capture-mark-recapture
- 772 models with the covariates of interest.

Sex	Vital rate / demographic parameter	Abiotic covariate	Density covariate	Individual covariate
	Survival $\phi_{i,t}$	Length of active season	Population size	Body size
Males	Survival first year $\phi'_{i,t}$	Length of active season	Population size	Body size
	Detection $p_{i,t}$	-		
	Survival $\phi_{i,t}$	Length of active season	Population size	Body size
	Survival first year $\phi'_{i,t}$	Length of active season	Population size	Body size
Females	Detection $p_{i,t}$	-		
	Skip breeding $\Psi_{i,t}^{B_NB}$	Length of active season, Mean minimum temperature in two weeks before first capture night	Population size	Body size
	Resume breeding $\Psi_{i,t}^{NB_B}$	Length of active season, Mean minimum temperature in two weeks before first capture night	Population size	Body size

Table 2. Summary of the effects of population size (PopSize) and active season length

Name	Mean	SD	2.5% limit of CRI	97.5% limit of CRI	Proportion	Ŕ
PopSize on k	0.066	0.053	-0.034	0.175	0.907	1.00
ActiveSeason on k	-0.038	0.058	-0.159	0.072	0.246	1.00
PopSize on <i>a</i>	-0.583	0.408	-1.404	0.208	0.070	1.00
ActiveSeason on a	0.469	0.421	-0.369	1.294	0.875	1.00

775 (ActiveSeason) on the coefficient of growth k and the asymptotic size a.

776

777 Notes: We show the mean coefficient value, its standard deviation (SD), and the 95% credible

intervals (CRI). The proportion column shows the proportion of samples from the posterior

distribution with a value above zero. Values close to 0 or 1 suggest the presence of a negative or

780 positive effect respectively. \hat{R} values show convergence of the MCMC chains.

Table 3. Summary of the intercepts for the focal vital rates (logit scale) and of the effects of
abiotic conditions (length of the active season and the average minimum temperature around
emergence; ActiveSeason and EmergenceMinT in the table), density (population size; PopSize),
and individual factors (body size; BodySize) on survival, the probability of resuming breeding,
and the probability of skipping breeding.

Name	Mean	SD	2.5% limit of CRI	97.5% limit of CRI	Proportion
Male survival	1.065	0.054	0.961	1.171	1
Male survival in the first year	0.5	0.065	0.374	0.627	1
Female survival	0.99	0.078	0.836	1.143	1
Female survival in the first year	0.342	0.116	0.123	0.577	0.9991
Skipping breeding	0.694	0.094	0.509	0.879	1
Resuming breeding	1.855	0.446	1.15	2.879	1
ActiveSeason on male survival	-0.161	0.039	-0.239	-0.085	0.000013
ActiveSeason on female survival	-0.023	0.078	-0.177	0.130	0.383
ActiveSeason on resuming breeding	-0.278	0.268	-0.839	0.222	0.137
ActiveSeason on skipping breeding	0.101	0.094	-0.083	0.287	0.859
EmergenceMinT on resuming breeding	0.188	0.279	-0.341	0.766	0.761
EmergenceMinT on skipping breeding	-0.186	0.094	-0.372	-0.003	0.023
PopSize on male survival	-0.146	0.031	-0.207	-0.085	0
PopSize on female survival	-0.156	0.047	-0.249	-0.063	0.00054
PopSize on resuming breeding	1.24	0.473	0.488	2.339	0.99989
PopSize on skipping breeding	-0.101	0.086	-0.271	0.068	0.121
BodySize on female survival	-0.101	0.058	-0.215	0.013	0.041
BodySize on male survival	-0.103	0.037	-0.176	-0.031	0.0026
BodySize on resuming breeding	0.516	0.452	-0.263	1.509	0.891
	Male survival Male survival in the first year Female survival Female survival in the first year Female survival in the first year Skipping breeding Resuming breeding ActiveSeason on male survival ActiveSeason on female survival ActiveSeason on resuming breeding ActiveSeason on skipping breeding EmergenceMinT on skipping breeding PopSize on female survival PopSize on female survival PopSize on skipping breeding BodySize on female survival	Nale survival1.065Male survival in the first year0.5Female survival0.9Female survival in the first year0.342Skipping breeding0.694Resuming breeding1.855ActiveSeason on female survival0.101ActiveSeason on female survival0.101ActiveSeason on female survival0.101KativeSeason on resuming breeding0.101ChenegenceMinT on subpring breeding0.138PopSize on female survival0.134PopSize on female survival0.134PopSize on skipping breeding0.136PopSize on skipping breeding0.101BodySize on female survival0.101SudySize on female survival0.101BodySize on female survival0.101BodySize on female survival0.101Survival0.101Survival0.101BodySize on female survival0.101BodySize on female survival0.101BodySize on female survival0.101Survival0.101	Male survival1.0650.054Male survival in the first year0.50.065Female survival0.990.078Female survival in the first year0.3420.116Skipping breeding0.6940.094Resuming breeding1.8550.446ActiveSeason on male survival0.0160.078ActiveSeason on female survival0.0230.078ActiveSeason on female survival0.0230.078ActiveSeason on skipping breeding0.1010.094ActiveSeason on skipping breeding0.1010.094EmergenceMinT on resuming breeding0.1380.279PopSize on female survival0.1360.031PopSize on female survival0.1360.047PopSize on skipping breeding0.1360.031PopSize on skipping breeding0.1360.036BodySize on female survival0.1010.058BodySize on female survival0.1010.058	Imit of CRIMale survival1.0650.0540.961Male survival in the first year0.500.0780.374Female survival0.990.0780.3620.374Female survival in the first year0.3420.1160.123Skipping breeding0.6940.0940.0040.004Resuming breeding1.8550.4461.15ActiveSeason on male survival-0.0230.0780.171ActiveSeason on resuming breeding0.0230.0780.171ActiveSeason on resuming breeding0.1010.0940.031ActiveSeason on skipping breeding0.1010.0940.031BengenceMinT on resuming breeding0.1880.2790.341PopSize on female survival0.1460.0310.207PopSize on female survival0.1240.1430.249PopSize on skipping breeding0.1010.0860.217BodySize on female survival0.1010.0550.215	Image: Note of the series of

786	
787	Notes: We show the mean coefficient value, its standard deviation (SD) and the 95% credible
788	intervals (CRI). The proportion column shows the proportion of samples from the posterior
789	distribution with a value above zero. Values close to 0 or 1 suggest the presence of a negative or
790	positive effect respectively. All \hat{R} values were equal to 1 and thus indicated convergence of the
791	MCMC chains. For density, abiotic conditions, and individual factors we highlighted in bold the
792	variables whose 95% CRI did not overlap zero, therefore showing strong evidence for an effect
793	in a certain direction.

794 Figure 1. Framework of the study. To obtain estimates of body sizes for the years when the 795 toads were not captured, we ran a von Bertalanffy (VB) growth model including a density proxy 796 (population size) and an abiotic factor (the length of the active season) as covariates on the two 797 VB parameters: growth rate and asymptotic size. Subsequently, we ran two sex-specific capture-798 mark-recapture (CMR) models to estimate adult survival and breeding probabilities (i.e., the 799 probability of skipping and resuming breeding), while including the effect of density (population 800 size), an individual factor (body size), and an abiotic factor (length of the active season). For the 801 breeding probabilities we also included an additional abiotic factor (MinT; average minimum 802 temperature in the two weeks around the emergence from hibernation). Following this 803 framework, we are also able to assess the indirect effect of density and abiotic conditions through 804 their effect on body size.

805

806 Figure 2. Growth curves for males (left) and females (right), for low population size (red) and 807 high population size (blue). We looked at realistic population size values, using a value of -1 on 808 the standardized scale for the low population size scenario (corresponding to 73 individuals) and 809 a value of 2 for the high population size (521 individuals). We show the median growth 810 trajectory, while the shaded ribbons represent the 95% credible intervals. These curves represent 811 a situation with an average year effect, and an average length of the active season. The vertical 812 dotted lines indicate the age where 50% of males and females are sexually mature according to 813 Hemelaar (1988).

814

Figure 3. Relationship between the length of the active season (in days) and the survival of males
(blue) and females (red), based on the predictions of the model. The lines represent the mean value,

while the shaded ribbons the 95% credible intervals. The relationships shown assumed averagebody size and population size. The tick marks on the x-axis show the observed values.

819

831

820 Figure 4. Relationship between the mean minimum temperature (in degrees Celsius) in the two 821 weeks around emergence from hibernation and the probabilities of skipping (blue) and resuming 822 (red) breeding of females, based on the predictions of the model, at low (left panel) and high 823 (right panel) population sizes. We defined low population size as 100 individuals and high 824 population size as 500 individuals. The lines represent the mean value, while the shaded ribbons 825 the 95% credible intervals. The relationships shown assumed average body size and active 826 season length. The tick marks on the x-axis show the observed values. 827 828 Figure 5. Relationship between body size (in mm) and survival for two different measures of 829 population size for males (left panel) and females (right panel). We defined low population size 830 as 100 individuals and high population size as 500 individuals. The solid lines represent the

assumed average active season length. The tick marks on the x-axis show the observed values forbody size.

mean value, while the shaded ribbons the 95% credible intervals. The relationships shown