Satellite observations reveal a positive relationship between trait-based diversity and drought response in temperate forests

Isabelle S. Helfenstein^{*a**}, Joan T. Sturm^{*a*}, Bernhard Schmid^{*a*}, Alexander Damm^{*a*,*b*}, Meredith C. Schuman^{*a*,*c*}, Felix Morsdorf^{*a*}

^bEawag, Swiss Federal Institute of Aquatic Science and Technology, Surface Waters – Research and Management, Duebendorf, Switzerland

*Corresponding author. Email address: isabelle.helfenstein@geo.uzh.ch

Climate extremes such as droughts are expected to increase in frequency and intensity with global change. Therefore, it is important to map and predict ecosystem responses to such extreme events to maintain ecosystem functions and services. Alongside abiotic factors, biotic factors such as the proportion of needle and broadleaf trees were found to affect forest drought responses, corroborating results from biodiversity-ecosystem functioning (BEF) experiments. Yet it remains unclear to what extent the behavior of non-experimental systems at large scales corresponds to the relationships discovered in BEF experiments. Using remote sensing, the trait-based functional diversity of forest ecosystems can be directly quantified. We investigated the relationship between remotely-sensed functional richness and evenness and forest drought responses using data from temperate mixed forests in Switzerland, which experienced an extremely hot and dry summer in 2018. We used Sentinel-2 satellite data to assess aspects of functional diversity and quantified drought response in terms of resistance, recovery, and resilience from 2017 to 2020 in a scalable approach. We then analyzed the BEF relationship between functional diversity measures and drought response for different aggregation levels of richness and evenness of three physiological canopy traits (chlorophyll, carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio, equivalent water thickness). Forest stands with greater trait richness were more resistant and resilient to the drought event, and the relationship of trait evenness with resistance or resilience was hump-shaped or negative, respectively. These results suggest forest functional diversity can support forests to such drought response via a mixture of complementarity and dominance effects, the first indicated by positive richness effects and the second by negative evenness effects. Our results link ecosystem functioning and biodiversity at large scales and provide new insights into the BEF relationships in non-experimental forest ecosystems.

KEYWORDS Biodiversity–ecosystem functioning (BEF), ecological monitoring, functional diversity, plant traits, remote sensing, Sentinel-2

^aRemote Sensing Laboratories, Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

^cDepartment of Chemistry, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

1 Introduction

Global climate change is expected to increase both the frequency and intensity of climate extremes (Smith, 2011), and so it is of growing importance to study ecosystem responses to these extremes. Rising temperatures due to global change and related evapotranspiration dynamics are predicted to amplify drought stress in Europe (Jacob *et al.*, 2014) and increasingly challenge the capacity of ecosystems to maintain high levels of ecosystem functioning (EF). Understanding how changing environmental conditions influence processes across levels of ecological organization is critical for predicting EF and impacts on ecosystem service provisioning (Suding *et al.*, 2008). For example, the extreme 2018 summer drought in central Europe caused unprecedented forest mortality, highlighting the need for a monitoring network to track climate change impacts (Schuldt *et al.*, 2020).

Drought occurs through a deficit in ecosystem water availability below a vulnerability threshold that affects ecosystem services (Crausbay *et al.*, 2017). Drought responses can be divided into resistance — performance during drought, recovery — performance after drought, and resilience — the similarity of the performance before and after the event (Lloret *et al.*, 2011). Multiple abiotic factors may influence ecosystem responses to drought, such as topography, soil, and weather conditions (Rita *et al.*, 2020). Recent studies suggest that alongside multiple abiotic factors such as topography, biotic factors such as the proportion of needle and broadleaf trees are explanatory variables for drought responses (Sturm *et al.*, 2022).

Evidence from experiments shows that biodiversity enhances stability, the ability of ecosystems to maintain functioning under stressful environmental conditions (Isbell *et al.*, 2015). Studies focusing on resistance and resilience found that forest stands containing multiple species were less affected by drought than mono-specific stands (Lebourgeois *et al.*, 2013), whereas others found no differences in drought responses of trees with different neighboring species (Forrester *et al.*, 2016). There is growing recognition of the importance of trait-based diversity to understand the influence of biodiversity on forest functioning, and trait diversity is expected to promote EF (Ruiz-Benito *et al.*, 2014). Rather than the number of species alone, the dissimilarity of functions can positively impact forest drought responses (Cadotte *et al.*, 2011). This dissimilarity of functions can be represented by, e.g., leaf ecophysiological traits representing the leaf economics spectrum (Díaz *et al.*, 2016) or morphological traits, such as tree

height or wood density (Gazol & Camarero, 2016). It is conceivable that a particular combination of traits causes biodiversity effects such as resistance and resilience to stress; it is, however, not clear which trait combination might link to biodiversity effects and whether they are consistent across different environmental and community contexts, including multiple species mixtures (Huang *et al.*, 2018; Luo *et al.*, 2023). In one study, functional diversity in tree height, wood density, seed mass, and seed dispersal did not relate to drought responses (Espelta *et al.*, 2020). Recent evidence suggests that biodiversity–ecosystem functioning (BEF) relationships in forest ecosystems are modulated by differences in leaf traits (Feng *et al.*, 2022). In their analysis of forest drought responses across Switzerland, Sturm and colleagues (Sturm *et al.*, 2022) found that mixed stands of broadleaf and needle trees could cope better with drought than pure broadleaf or needle stands, but they could not measure functional or taxonomic diversity at a finer scale than the difference between angiosperms and gymnosperms.

Trait-based diversity is a widely used approach for quantifying the functional contributions of individuals or species to ecosystem properties (Cadotte et al., 2011). Thus, sampled objects (pixels, individuals, species) can be classified using traits, defining these objects' functional roles within communities or responses to environmental variables (Petchey & Gaston, 2006). With increasing functional diversity, a greater range of functional trait values is present, providing opportunities for efficient resource use (Díaz & Cabido, 2001). Trait-based diversity can be quantified with diversity metrics describing the multidimensional trait space. Predicting how ecosystems and the services they provide will respond to accelerating environmental change will benefit from comprehensive, globally consistent, and repeated data on the patterns and dynamics of functional diversity (Jetz et al., 2016). Remote sensing (RS) allows the diversity of temperate forest ecosystems to be quantified directly at landscape scales through physiological canopy traits (Schneider et al., 2017; Helfenstein et al., 2022), which is particularly relevant as resource management decisions are generally made at these scales (Nelson et al., 2009). While the spatial resolution of satellite sensors may limit the detection of subtle trait variations across species and individuals (Petibon *et al.*, 2021; Schneider et al., 2017), RS complements detailed but spatially limited field measurements by providing spatially contiguous and multi-scale information on certain traits (e.g., pigments, water content) and their dynamics throughout the phenological cycle, provided sufficient spectral and temporal resolution (Homolová et al., 2013). However, satellite-based observations primarily capture the uppermost canopy layers, while lower forest strata and soil background are not consistently represented (Damm et al.,

2020), likely reducing information on 3D canopy variation and below-ground variables. Despite these limitations, canopy trait-based diversity is considered an effective measure for mapping biodiversity and detecting its effects on ecosystem functioning (EF) using RS data (Jetz *et al.*, 2016; Zheng *et al.*, 2023), without requiring additional information on tree species composition.

Beyond initial studies such as the ones mentioned above (Sturm *et al.*, 2022; Schneider *et al.*, 2017; Zheng *et al.*, 2023), the sensitivity of satellite-derived measures of trait-based functional diversity and the linkage between these and EF in general or ecosystem drought responses, in particular, have not been rigorously assessed (Jetz *et al.*, 2016). Filling this gap could advance our understanding of climate change impacts on forest ecosystems and pave the way toward large-scale assessment and long-term forest diversity and resilience monitoring. Here, we used Sentinel-2-derived trait-based functional diversity measured at landscape scales in 2017, and Sentinel-2-derived drought response assessments using changes in the normalized difference water index (NDWI, a measure of forest canopy water content (Lloret *et al.*, 2011; Sturm *et al.*, 2022)) from 2017–2020, to study the link between trait-based functional diversity as biodiversity measure and drought response as an EF measure for the cantons of Aargau and Zurich on the Swiss Plateau. We chose this area because abiotic factors (e.g., topography-related air temperature and illumination, precipitation) are far less variable across the Swiss plateau than throughout the entire country (Sturm *et al.*, 2022), allowing us to focus on relationships between variation in tree diversity and variation in forest drought response. To account for the remaining abiotic variability in the study region, we divided the region into 21 geographic sub-regions.

The summer weather in 2018 in central Europe was dominated by large precipitation deficits, high temperatures, and sunny conditions over large areas (MeteoSchweiz, 2018). In Switzerland, the mean precipitation between April and September was just above 500 mm (the lowest since 1962) and the mean temperature was the highest since measurements started in 1864 (MeteoSchweiz, 2018). In Swiss temperate forests, the drought resulted in early wilting, decreased forest health, and widespread tree mortality (Sturm *et al.*, 2022). Secondary drought effects followed; for example, in 2019, the amount of wood infested by bark beetles (*Ips typographus*) in Switzerland reached over one million m³ for the first time since 2005 (Stroheker *et al.*, 2020).

We compared the changes in NDWI between pre-drought conditions in 2017, drought conditions in 2018, and post-drought conditions in 2019 and 2020. All four years had dry and warm summer conditions

compared to the long-term reference period (Rakovec *et al.*, 2022), but the severity of the drought in 2018 contrasts with the other years (Sturm *et al.*, 2022). 2018 was marked by the most severe drought of the analyzed years, with the highest summer temperature maxima and prolonged periods of below-average precipitation. These conditions were described in detail by Sturm *et al.* (2022) and are further illustrated in Figure S1, using precipitation and temperature data from MeteoSwiss (Scherrer *et al.*, 2022, Figure S1). Although warm, 2019 and 2020 experienced more precipitation, resulting in relatively favorable growing conditions (MeteoSchweiz, 2020, 2021). In particular, 2020 was characterized by a prolonged dry spell in spring and moderate heatwaves later in the season. Precipitation increased toward the end of the summer, alleviating drought impacts (MeteoSchweiz, 2021).

The aim of this study is to investigate the relationships between trait-based functional diversity metrics and forest drought responses using Sentinel-2-derived canopy traits. We aim to provide insights into how satellite-based functional diversity influences forest stability under drought conditions, advancing our understanding of BEF relationships at landscape scales. We focused on how forest drought responses (resistance, recovery, and resilience) across the years of 2017–2020 were related to trait-based functional diversity metrics (richness and evenness).

We used three leaf traits that can be assessed at the canopy level using spectral indices: chlorophyll content (CHL), carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio (CCR), and equivalent water thickness (EWT) (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022; Schneider *et al.*, 2017). The two diversity metrics we used, richness and evenness, are commonly used in BEF research (Mammola *et al.*, 2021). We calculated two complementary metrics to gain distinct insights into the separate aspects of diversity and their relationships with drought responses. Richness relates to the hypervolume of the trait space occupied by a community of a certain unit area at a certain time. The larger the richness, the greater the extent of the hypervolume, measured e.g. using convex hulls (Villéger *et al.*, 2008). Functional richness is different from other functional diversity measures, like Rao's Q, that use mean differences between species and which are therefore independent of species richness, whose effects are commonly studied in field-based BEF research (Liu *et al.*, 2024). Evenness measures the regularity of the observations' distribution within the hypervolume (Mammola *et al.*, 2021). If used with species diversity metrics, evenness refers to the similarity of species abundance values independent of species number. Conceptually, evenness reflects how equally different functional trait

values are distributed in a community (Villéger *et al.*, 2008). When the occupation of the hypervolume is skewed toward some specific trait values, then those traits are dominant within the community and evenness is low (Mammola *et al.*, 2021). Conversely, high evenness (i.e., more uniform occupation of the hypervolume) implies weak or no dominance of specific trait values and thus species carrying those trait values.

Relating functional richness and evenness to species richness and evenness suggests that with high richness, it is possible to have complementarity and selection (i.e., dominance) effects as defined by the additive partitioning method of biodiversity net effects (Loreau *et al.*, 2001). In a forest with high realized evenness, complementarity effects strongly contribute to biodiversity net effects, while dominance effects necessarily reduce realized evenness. At intermediate levels of realized evenness (and high richness), both effects can contribute positively to net biodiversity effects. Therefore, we hypothesized a positive relationship between functional richness and drought response and a hump-backed relationship between evenness can be high even within a small hypervolume in trait space, i.e., low richness. Thus, we expected the relationship between functional richness and drought response to be stronger than the relationship between functional richness and drought response.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Study area

The study area comprises the cantons Aargau and Zurich in Switzerland (Figure 1). The cantonal borders in Figure 1 are based on swissBOUNDARIES3D by swisstopo (2021). Both cantons are located on the northern central plateau, subject to different forest management practices, containing different forest communities. The canton Aargau has a total area of 1403.80 km², of which 35% or 490.70 km² is forested. The main tree species in canton Aargau are European beech (*Fagus sylvatica*) with 32% of the cantonal stocks, followed by Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) with 26%, silver fir (*Abies alba*) with 14%, and sycamore maple (*Acer pseudoplatanus*) with 5% (Aargau, 2018). The canton Zurich covers an area of 1728.87 km², of which forests cover 29.1% or 503.73 km². The main tree species in canton Zurich are *P. abies*, with

35% of the cantonal stocks, *F. sylvatica* with 24%, *A. alba*, with 12%, and ash (*Fraxinus excelsior*) with 8% (Baudirektion Kanton Zürich, 2020).

We grouped the forests in the study area according to the intersection of cantonal forest districts and geographical regions into 21 subregions. The subdivision of Switzerland into geographical regions was based on similar ecological characteristics (BAFU, 2022). These geographical regions were the eastern and western Swiss plateau, pre-Alps, Rhine plains, and Jura mountains. The territorial authority of the cantonal forest service regulates forest districts (AGIS, 2023). The forest-district data were provided by the cantons (AGIS, 2023; GIS-ZH, 2019a). Aargau is divided into four and Zurich into seven forestry districts. Management can be assumed to be similar in one district but might differ between districts. The intersection of geographical regions and forestry districts resulted in 21 subregions with forested areas between 3.5 km² and 100 km².

2.2 Satellite data

We used a composite of Sentinel-2 data from three dates in June/July 2017 to generate the diversity maps, i.e. Sentinel-2A images from June 19th and 26th and Sentinel-2B data from July 4th. Monthly composites from August in the years 2017–2020 were used to assess the drought response (see Table 1). In August, the drought impacts should be at their full strength, whereas the senescence due to the natural phenological cycle is still absent (MeteoSchweiz, 2018). We ensured the assessments of diversity and drought response were based on independent observations from the independent times of acquisition.

2.3 Satellite data pre-processing

All data were collected using ESA's Scihub and atmospherically corrected using Sen2Cor v.2.9.0. in the ESA Sentinel Application Platform SNAP v9.0. We derived all Sentinel-2 bands available in 10-m or 20-m native spatial resolution. The 10-m bands were resampled to 20 m using mean resampling. In all images, we flagged all pixels with < 5% reflectance in band B2 (blue) and > 15% in band B8A (NIR) as cloud- and cloud-shadow-free, following the approach of Sturm et al. (Sturm *et al.*, 2022). Additionally, we applied the cantonal polygon forest masks available in LV95 reference system and warped them using gdal to match the projection of the Sentinel-2 data in WGS 84/UTM 32N (AGIS, 2019; GIS-ZH, 2019b). To calculate forest traits in June/July 2017, we excluded pixels covering forest gaps, dead canopies, and

shadows to tailor the assessment of canopy traits on living forest canopies only. We therefore derived a forest mask for the scene in June/July 2017, which was then applied to all composites. We set a threshold for the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) (bands B4 and B8A) within the forest area. We calculated a median outlier for the forested area, resulting in NDVI thresholds of 0.795 for 19 June, 0.8003 for 26 June, and 0.81 for 4 July 2017. Lastly, we applied shadow masks based on the bands B6 and B12, excluding the darkest pixels in these bands, defined as median outliers from the overall distribution (Rüfenacht *et al.*, 2013). We calculated three forest maps based on the three acquisitions in June/July 2017. Pixels needed to be valid in two out of three images to be included in the final forest mask using a mean calculation. The resulting forest mask contained 2'293'752 valid pixels and covered a total forest area of 917.5 km².

2.4 Leaf ecophysiological traits at canopy level

Trait-based functional diversity from RS can be derived for ecophysiological, morphological, or phenological features of plants (Homolová *et al.*, 2013). We focused on ecophysiological traits and related them to forest drought responses since previous studies have shown that ecophysiological traits were closely linked to drought-sensitive soil variables as well as different stages of forest development and local management (Schneider *et al.*, 2017). Based on the functional diversity approach from ecophysiological traits initially suggested and applied to APEX imaging spectroscopy data by Schneider *et al.*, 2022), we mapped three spectral indices at the canopy level.

We used a red-edge chlorophyll index (CIre) to measure leaf chlorophyll content (CHL), a carotenoid/ chlorophyll index (CCI) to measure leaf carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio (CCR), and a normalized difference infrared index (NDII) to measure leaf equivalent water thickness (EWT). All index maps were rescaled to 0–1.

CHL was obtained using CIre according to Clevers & Gitelson (Clevers & Gitelson, 2013) as

$$CIre := \frac{\rho_{783}}{\rho_{704}} - 1 \tag{1}$$

where ρ stands for the top-of-canopy reflectance at a specific wavelength in nm. We used Sentinel-2 bands B7 and B5. CIre from Sentinel-2 correlated strongly with canopy CHL measured for field-collected leaves and needles in a mixed mountain forest (Ali *et al.*, 2020).

CHL affects photosynthesis, resource strategies (Croft *et al.*, 2017), and growth potential by influencing how efficiently a plant can convert light into biomass. High chlorophyll content indicates a higher potential for photosynthesis and links to productivity.

CCI was developed for MODIS data to describe CCR and was successfully applied to Sentinel-2 data (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022). CCI was calculated according to Gamon (Gamon *et al.*, 2016) as

$$CCI := \frac{\rho_{560} - \rho_{664}}{\rho_{560} + \rho_{664}} \tag{2}$$

We used Sentinel-2 bands B3 and B4 for this calculation.

CCR reflects strategies for protecting photosynthesis against excess light input, which can impact growth rates and survival under stress.

The Normalized Difference Infrared Index (NDII) was used for the retrieval of EWT. We used the narrow infrared bands B8A and B11 (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022) and calculated the NDII according to Hardisky (Hardisky *et al.*, 1983).

$$NDII := \frac{\rho_{865} - \rho_{1614}}{\rho_{865} + \rho_{1614}} \tag{3}$$

EWT is influenced by the structure (for example, in broadleaf vs. needle trees), functions, and age of leaves and is affected by water availability, resource use, and conservation strategies.

We selected indices related to ecophysiological canopy traits for both ecological and technical reasons. Ecophysiological canopy traits are linked to growth, reproduction, and survival (Violle *et al.*, 2007; Liu *et al.*, 2016). They can be related to the leaf economic spectrum (Díaz *et al.*, 2016) through their influence on photosynthetic efficiency, photoprotection, and water use strategies. The combination of the three selected traits, chlorophyll, carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio, and water content, describes canopy productivity and the tradeoff between productivity and protection important for response to disturbance. For example, young, productive forests and trees typically show high CHL and EWT as they invest energy in growth (Finegan, 1984; Day *et al.*, 2001; Acker *et al.*, 2002). They tend to be less robust to stress, with most

energy allocated to growth rather than defense, health, and reproduction (Loehle, 1988; Obeso, 2002). Carotenoids serve as antioxidants, ensuring the protection of leaves against high radiation and increased temperatures (Demmig-Adams & Adams, 1996; Lichtenthaler, 2007).

We tried to avoid potential correlations among the selected indices, as a high correlation of the traits in the n-dimensional trait space can negatively affect the calculation of the diversity metrics (Schneider *et al.*, 2017; Blonder *et al.*, 2018). All three selected indices show a low correlation with each other, which is a technical prerequisite for the functional diversity calculation. Furthermore, as seen in the selection of bands, the chosen indices do not overlap along the electromagnetic spectrum, providing independent measurements while using a broad variety of the spectral information provided by Sentinel-2. In addition, it was discussed that the three traits investigated represent different plant responses to water limitation, each acting at a different temporal scale (e.g., Damm *et al.*, 2018).

2.5 Functional diversity measures and maps

Trait-based functional diversity measures were derived from the per-pixel trait values using a moving window approach with a circular calculation mask. Based on a previous scaling analysis, we used a three-pixel calculation radius (i.e., 60 m when working with 20-m pixels) to represent the patchy forest in the study area with a minimized risk of calculation-based edge effects (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022). Figure 2 shows the calculation and the resulting mask for the moving window. A 60 m radius results in a calculation area of 28.3 pixels or 1.131 ha (Supplementary Section 2 showing the outcome of a multiscale analysis). The calculation radius of 60 m has previously been used to represent variation on the ecosystem to landscape scale (Zheng *et al.*, 2023).

We used two metrics of trait-based functional diversity (Figure 2), namely functional richness and evenness calculated in the three-dimensional space of the selected traits (Mammola *et al.*, 2021; Villéger *et al.*, 2008). These represent distinct dimensions of diversity (Rossi *et al.*, 2020) and allow testing of the two hypotheses stated at the end of our Introduction section. Our functional richness and evenness measures were independent of each other (coefficient of determination of $r^2 = 0.001$ in the study area). We calculated functional richness using concave hulls based on α -shapes around the data points to reduce sensitivity to outliers compared to convex hulls (Gruson, 2020). We complemented this with functional evenness to represent the regularity dimension of the data in the trait space. Evenness was calculated

based on the minimum spanning tree (MST) using Euclidean distances between all points in trait space (Schneider *et al.*, 2017; Villéger *et al.*, 2008). Functional evenness measures the regularity of the shape of the occupied trait space from the length of the branches in the MST and the evenness in their abundance. The index is derived by normalizing edge weights in the MST and accumulating a sum of minimum partial weighted evenness across vertices, normalized against theoretical minima (Villéger *et al.*, 2008).

2.6 Drought response maps

Our approach to quantifying drought response in forests was based on Sturm et al. (Sturm *et al.*, 2022). We calculated the normalized difference water index (NDWI) after Gao (1996) using the reflectance in bands B8 NIR and B11 SWIR1 as

$$NDWI := \frac{\rho_{833} - \rho_{1614}}{\rho_{833} + \rho_{1614}} \tag{4}$$

Change in NDWI has been shown to be sensitive to water stress (Marusig *et al.*, 2020). The August NDWI values were calculated for each year from 2017–2020 by taking the median NDWI value from the images in Table 1.

We assessed the response of forests to the 2018 drought year by comparing the relative pixel-wise percentual change between base NDWI conditions in August 2017 and conditions during the drought (2018) or post-drought (2019, 2020) years (Figure 3). Similar to van Moorsel *et al.* (2021), we defined resistance as the NDWI change ratio between 2017 and 2018 [(NDWI₂₀₁₈-NDWI₂₀₁₇)/NDWI₂₀₁₇] to assess immediate changes happening during the event, and we defined recovery as the change ratio between 2018 and 2019 [(NDWI₂₀₁₉-NDWI₂₀₁₈)/NDWI₂₀₁₈] to assess post-drought changes. Additionally, we defined resilience as the change ratio between 2017 and 2020 [(NDWI₂₀₂₀-NDWI₂₀₁₇)/NDWI₂₀₁₇]. We used the second (2020) rather than the first post-drought year (2019) to avoid a linear combination of resilience and recovery (Gazol & Camarero, 2016) and to partially account for potential time-lagged drought response effects, which might be visible in additional physiological changes or secondary drought effects like bark beetle outbreaks (Stroheker *et al.*, 2020).

NDII and NDWI are two different indices related to canopy water content, however, they share bands in their definition. Both the diversity measures and the drought response measures were mapped using satellite data from the same platform, which might introduce spurious correlations. However, we designed the experiment to minimize potential effects. We differentiated between NDWI and NDII using the NIR band 8 for NDWI and the overlapping band 8A for NDII and used Sentinel-2 data at different times of measurement (Table 1). For further analysis, we mapped functional diversity using the spatial distribution of EWT from NDII combined with two other ecophysiological traits to describe diversity (spatial dimension) and pixel-based annual relative change using NDWI to describe drought response (temporal dimension). Therefore, while we used water content values for diversity and drought response as part of their calculation (spatial distribution and relative annual change), diversity and drought response are based on independent observations.

2.7 Separate analysis of drought responses to functional richness and evenness

Small and isolated patches of forest were excluded from the calculation following Helfenstein et al. (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022) because their functional diversity measures were affected by edges. This step removed 14.35 km² or 1.57% of the forest area. We then applied binning to the diversity data to examine the spatial distribution of diversity values. The binning process over the whole study area reduces potential autocorrelation effects, because adjacent pixels with similar values will be combined, and pixels with different values will be separated. We formed 1000 bins of equal range within diversity metrics and averaged drought response values within each bin. Before binning, we conducted image preprocessing by rescaling to a range of 0–1, with the lowest 0.1% set to 0 and the highest 0.1% set to 1. This approach avoided generating empty or small bins that could introduce bias to our subsequent analysis. After the binning process, we excluded bins that contained less than 1% of the maximum pixel number per bin. Functional richness was divided into 861 bins with values ranging between 0.6974 and 0.8698. Results without exclusions of bins were very similar and presented in Figure S9. We then used the binned values to investigate the drought responses to functional richness or evenness in separate linear regression models. The numbers of pixels per bin were used as weights.

2.8 Combined analysis of drought responses to functional richness and evenness

We employed linear models to examine the relationships between drought response (resistance, recovery, and resilience) and the two functional diversity measures, treating the latter as explanatory variables. For this combined analysis, we discretized the explanatory variables into 20 bins and incorporated 21 geographic subregions to account for geographical variation. This resulted in a dataset comprising 8400 strata (20 richness bins x 20 evenness bins x 21 subregions) (Figure 5). Note that this procedure ensures that the three variables functional richness, functional evenness, and subregion are more or less orthogonal to each other, with correlations among them only due to the potential occurrence of empty bins.

We directly analyzed the mean NDWI change for each bin while considering forested pixels per bin (N) as a weighting variable to emphasize bins with more data. We used the linear models to obtain percentages of total sum of squares (SS) for the different explanatory terms and their interactions in the model (increments of multiple $r^2 * 100$). In all models, we used the drought response measures as continuous variables, functional diversity measures binned into 20 bins, and subregion (*REG*) as a categorical variable with 21 levels representing the spatial variation. We iteratively refined the models, fitting subregion, functional diversity measures, and interactions (x).

Richness (*ric*) showed a linear relationship with resilience (*rsl*) and a non-linear relationship with resistance (*rst*) and recovery (*rcv*), necessitating a logarithmic transformation (*logric*) in the latter case. Similarly, because evenness (*eve*) showed a hump-shaped relationship in all models, we fitted a polynomial (*eve*²). Non-significant explanatory terms ($p \ge .05$) or explanatory terms with SS < 1% were excluded from the models. We used the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC) and r^2 to determine the optimal model from linear, quadratic, and logarithmic regressions. AIC helps balance goodness of fit with model complexity, while r^2 helps assess how well each model describes the variance in the data. This procedure resulted in the following linear models using R notation (R Core Team, 2024):

- (i) lm(terms(rst ~ logric + (eve + eve2) + REG + logricxREG + evexREG + eve2xREG, keep.order = T), weight = N)
- (ii) lm(terms(rcv ~ logric + (eve + eve2) + REG + logricxREG + evexREG + eve2xREG, keep.order = T), weight = N)
- (iii) $lm(terms(rsl \sim ric + eve + REG + ricxREG + evexREG, keep.order = T), weight = N)$

Here ric = richness, eve = evenness, logric = log(richness), eve2 = evenness squared, REG = subregion, x = interaction operator (indicating how the effect of one variable depends on another, e.g., how diversity effects vary across subregions), and N = the number of forested pixels per bin.

We also tested the functional diversity effects using their interactions with subregions as error terms to obtain a more conservative F-ratio (F2 in Supplementary Tables S2–S4). Note that this corresponds to a data analysis using linear mixed models with the interactions as random terms (Nelder & Lane, 1995; Schmid *et al.*, 2017).

In the above analyses, functional richness effects are tested across subregions, with the interaction term testing for differences in functional richness effects between subregions. For Figure 5, richness effects corrected for subregions were calculated by fitting subregions first in the above linear models. For plotting the corrected data, we added the residuals from a linear-model fit with subregions as explanatory term to the overall mean.

3 Results

3.1 Biodiversity data

We calculated diversity maps based on the three canopy traits chlorophyll content, carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio, and equivalent water thickness as assessed from spectral reflectance indices (Figure S2, S3). The three trait maps only showed weak linear correlation with each other, with coefficients of determination of $r^2 = 0.215$ for CHL and CCR, $r^2 = 0.185$ for CHL and EWT, and $r^2 = 0.055$ for CCR and EWT (Figure S2). The scatterplot in Figure 4 shows the distribution of richness and evenness among the 21 subregions. The northern subregions of the study area had higher richness than the southern regions. Richness was highest in subregions of the Rhine plain (6, 7, 17, 20, 21), and the lowlands of the Swiss Plateau (2, 13, 16, 19). Subregions of lower richness were found towards the south (4, 11, 12). Regarding evenness, subregions in the south (1, 3) and southeast (11, 12, 14) showed high values, with the northern subregions (5–7, 21) showing lower values. The three Jura subregions (8–10), with low richness and evenness values, differ from the rest of the study region. Although these differences in mean richness and evenness between subregions were significant, variation in richness and evenness within subregions was also large (Figure S6).

3.2 Drought-Response Metrics

We derived drought response values across the study area based on the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) data. The entire study area was strongly affected by the drought in 2018, which was visible in a reduction of the NDWI from 2017 to 2018 (see Figure 3). From 2018 to 2019, the forest in the study area showed an increase in NDWI, followed by a second but weaker decrease in 2020 to a level slightly lower than in 2017 but higher than in 2018. Low resistance values (< -7.5% in 38.6% of the area, see Supplementary Table S1) occurred in the northern lowlands (Figure S5, top). Most of the forested area (73.5%) showed a > 7.5% increase in NDWI from 2018–2019 (Figure S5, middle). Resilience values < -7.5% occurred across 28.5% of the area, especially in the southern regions (Figure S5, bottom). We validated the 2020 resilience maps using a classified dataset based on visual interpretation of aerial images (see Supplementary Section 1). Visually damaged areas showed a significantly different RS-derived drought response than visually non-damaged areas (Figure S12).

3.3 Relationships between diversity metrics and drought responses

We first analyzed the relationship between drought resistance, recovery, or resilience and diversity metrics separately for functional richness and evenness, grouping these measurements into 1000 bins each. The correlation between the satellite-derived maps before and after binning is illustrated in Figure S7. Using AIC and r^2 to determine the optimal model from linear, quadratic, and logarithmic regressions, we found approximately logarithmic relationships between resistance or recovery and functional richness, while relationships between resilience and functional richness and evenness were approximately linear (Figure S8). Resistance increased, and recovery decreased with richness at low values of richness, and then tempered off, whereas resilience generally increased with richness, but with a plateau at intermediate richness levels (Figure S8, top row). Resistance and recovery also increased and decreased, respectively, with evenness at low values of evenness, but at high values, the relationship reversed; resilience generally decreased with increasing evenness (Figure S8, bottom row).

We then analyzed the relationships between drought responses and functional richness or evenness in combined models, aggregating data using 20 bins each for the two diversity metrics crossed with the 21 subregions, yielding a data table with $20 \times 20 \times 21 = 8400$ rows. All bins showed a reduction in NDWI in 2018 (i.e., no bins were fully resistant) and an increase in 2019 (i.e., positive recovery) (Figure 5).

The best-fitting linear models showed the primary role of functional richness as a predictor for both resistance and recovery, yet similar roles for functional richness and evenness as predictors for resilience (Figure 6, Supplementary Tables S2–S4). The overall relationships between drought responses and functional richness or evenness were similar when fitted before or after, i.e. corrected for, differences between subregions (the latter was used to display the results in Figure 5). When we compared the BEF relationships between subregions, significant differences were detected, but these were small compared with the average overall relationship (Figure S10 and Supplementary Tables S2–S4). That is, if mean squares for the diversity metrics were all significant (Supplementary Tables S2–S4). The regional slopes of resilience as a function of richness and evenness are shown in Figure S11.

4 Discussion

Our results show an overall positive relationship between RS-derived functional diversity in leaf physiological traits and RS-measured drought responses of forests across an area of 3133 km² in northern Switzerland, assessed in a scalable approach from satellite remote sensing. Based on results from plotscale BEF experiments in grassland and forest ecosystems (Schmid *et al.*, 2009), we hypothesized that more diverse forests should have suffered less from an extreme drought event occurring in 2018 across central Europe. We hypothesized a positive relationship between drought response and functional richness and a hump-shaped relationship between drought response and functional evenness, the latter due to dominance effects being correlated with less than maximum evenness. Both hypotheses were broadly supported by our satellite-derived dataset. Furthermore, richness effects were generally stronger than evenness effects as expected from BEF experiments.

Similar to BEF experiments (Isbell *et al.*, 2015), drought resistance in our observational study increased linearly with the logarithm of functional richness across 18 subregions, with only three subregions showing non-positive relationships. Recovery was negatively related to the logarithm of richness, but resilience overall increased linearly with untransformed richness, although five out of the 21 subregions showed negative responses. The direct link of functional richness with EF is in agreement with other studies, e.g. it was found that structural complexity, rather than species diversity alone, explains positive tree richness–productivity relationships in BEF experiments (Ray *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, recent studies

point out the importance of functional traits for understanding forest drought responses, as observed response patterns to drought vary widely among studied species (Pardos *et al.*, 2021). High functional richness likely increases the probability for complementary drought reactions among tree species, thus leading to higher resistance and resilience at the level of entire forest stands. In addition, with higher functional richness it is more likely that a forest stand includes tree species that can contribute strongly to the drought response of the stand and that this will be reflected in uneven abundance distributions among species and thus reduced trait evenness. These two effects resemble complementarity and selection (dominance) effects obtained in additive-partitioning schemes for net biodiversity effects in BEF experiments (Isbell *et al.*, 2018; Loreau *et al.*, 2001).

The hump-shaped or negative relationships of drought resistance or resilience, respectively, with functional evenness indicated that a certain level of dominance was beneficial for forest stands of a given trait richness under drought. In our study, richness and evenness effects were uncorrelated because functional evenness was calculated as regularity within a given hypervolume reflecting functional richness. Thus, functional evenness could not account for differences in functional richness and vice versa (see Figure S4 and S7). Furthermore, functional richness and evenness effects were additive, that is, there were no interactive effects of the two on drought responses. Thus, the highest drought resistance was observed in forests with high functional richness and intermediate levels of functional evenness, and the highest drought resilience was observed in forests with high functional richness and low functional evenness (see Figure 5). This suggests that a combination of complementarity and dominance effects underpin the relationships of forest drought responses with trait-based functional diversity in the studied temperate forests. Dominant species play a major role in the stability of dry grasslands (Wang et al., 2022), but how this is related to functional richness and evenness is unknown. A caveat that remains is that in our study functional evenness was measured before the extreme drought in 2018 and thus could not be a response to it. However, it is conceivable that for some forest stands the earlier, less extreme drought events occurring in 2011 and 2015 (MeteoSchweiz, 2020) had led to trait dominance of trees with more resistant and resilient drought responses. This could then have predisposed these stands to show more resistant and resilient responses to the extreme drought event in 2018.

Additionally, the years 2017, 2019, and 2020 were also comparatively warm and dry, although they lacked the prolonged drought phases observed in 2018 (see Figure S1). While these years serve as a reference in

this study, physiological responses might already have influenced observed resistance and resilience, and limited recovery. Ideal (i.e., closer to average conditions observed between 1961 and 1990 (MeteoSchweiz, 2019)) reference years that might have occurred before the Sentinel-2 satellite record started (Immitzer *et al.*, 2016) would provide a potentially better pre-drought baseline. However, the use of recent and thus warmer and/or dryer reference years in our study should not have diminished the overall robustness of our results because ideal reference years would likely have led to even larger estimates of forest responses to drought stress. Furthermore, given the increased frequency of dry and warm conditions in recent years across central Europe (Jacob *et al.*, 2014), our reference years might be considered the new "normal." Because we focused on the analysis of change in canopy water content, we here did not isolate secondary drought effects (e.g., dieback, pests) from direct drought damage. This would be an important consideration for future studies incorporating longer temporal ranges, including post-2020 data, to better isolate secondary drought effects and improve reference year selection. This will introduce additional complexity when attributing damage to a specific drought event.

In contrast to other ecosystem functions such as primary productivity and resistance to other disturbances such as pest outbreaks (Jactel *et al.*, 2020), evidence about the impact of mixed forests on drought damage so far has been largely lacking (Bauhus *et al.*, 2017), although evidence is available from grassland biodiversity experiments (Pfisterer & Schmid, 2002; Isbell *et al.*, 2015). Challenges in understanding the biodiversity–drought response relationships may arise from the large scale and low selectivity at which droughts occurs, driven by broad climate impacts across extensive forested areas (Jactel *et al.*, 2017).

We observed a clear dependence between resistance and recovery when stratified for different diversity metrics, i.e., bins with lower resistance in 2018 showed increased recovery in 2019. This observation indicates a compensatory response and is consistent with previous findings by Sturm et al. (Sturm *et al.*, 2022), who speculated that reduced competition following tree die-back in 2018 may have caused it. Resistance and recovery have also been shown to be negatively related in previous experimental and observational studies (Gazol *et al.*, 2017). This negative correlation dampens variation in resilience, yet similarities between the resistance and resilience responses to the drought in our studies indicate that the recovery responses could only partly compensate for low resistance. Similar observations have previously been made in diverse forests (Anderegg *et al.*, 2018; Gazol & Camarero, 2016; Pardos *et al.*, 2021; Sturm *et al.*, 2022) and suggest that ecosystem stability may generally be more strongly related to resistance than

to recovery, with the latter being a "passive partial compensation" of the former. Therefore, we suggest focusing on resistance for predicting stability responses to extreme events such as the 2018 drought across central Europe. To disentangle resilience from this compensatory effect, we added an additional post-drought year to assess resilience in addition to the post-drought year 2019 used by Sturm et al. (Sturm *et al.*, 2022).

High biodiversity is suggested to promote forest resilience to climate change (Messier *et al.*, 2019), although field-based evidence is still scarce, especially regarding trait-based functional diversity (Mori *et al.*, 2017). However, studies suggest that intraspecific variation in functional traits plays a crucial role in regulating drought resilience in forests (Andrés *et al.*, 2021). Here, we present an approach to link trait-based forest drought responses to functional diversity at landscape scales using satellite data in a scalable manner. This approach is promising for assessing and predicting forest drought responses in other regions and over time, as the spectral indices used to calculate functional diversity can be measured in near-real-time. The thus obtained functional diversity measures for 20 m pixels may be only indirectly related to field-based measures of diversity in leaf ecophysiological traits (Schneider *et al.*, 2014). The 20 m resolution of the Sentinel-2 imagery leads to an averaging of multiple trees' intra-individual and inter-individual trait values within each pixel (see Figure S12), leading to an underestimation of the trait variance and resulting functional diversity. Previous research using high-resolution airborne spectroscopy data in the research area has shown that coarser resolutions reduce observed trait variance but still capture meaningful patterns of functional diversity relevant to ecosystem processes (Helfenstein *et al.*, 2022).

Furthermore, our results suggest that these trait measures derived from Sentinel-2 imagery pick up relevant components of biodiversity related to forest drought responses. Forests with greater functional diversity as assessed from Sentinel-2 imagery are better protected against drought than are forests with lower RS-derived functional diversity. The mechanisms underlying this relationship need further investigation. Functional diversity in leaf ecophysiological traits might also link to drought-sensitive soil variables (Schneider *et al.*, 2017). The stabilizing effect of this functional diversity might emerge from asynchronous drought responses of functional types of species or individuals (Schnabel *et al.*, 2021). Leaf and canopy ecophysiological diversity might also link to functional diversity of plant hydraulic traits, such as stem water potential, which were found to explain drought-induced tree mortality (Anderegg

et al., 2018). Our understanding of these mechanisms will benefit the integration of both field-based and RS approaches to obtain a comprehensive understanding of how trait-based diversity explains or predicts forest resilience across various contexts.

Trait-based functional diversity of forest canopies, as derived from satellite data, differs from typical field-based functional diversity measures calculated from species means or individual-tree values. There is a need for a systematic evaluation of the links between RS-derived and field-based functional diversity measures. Extensive trait sampling within a pixel area would be important to represent the community level as measured by satellites. Validation datasets optimized to capture the spatial, temporal, and species representativeness of satellite data would enable better validation of RS-based trait estimates (Cavender-Bares et al., 2022). Furthermore, additional work is needed to fill the information gap between leaf measurements and satellite data. Trait measurements using close-range RS (e.g., from drones or airborne platforms) might be helpful, as well as upscaling of leaf-level optical properties to canopy spectra using radiative transfer models (RTMs) (Schneider et al., 2014). Still, the availability of global satellite data indicates that the method presented here can be applied to other temperate forest regions, provided that temporal and spatial coverage are sufficient. RS-derived functional diversity measures hold the promise that they can be obtained without the need to distinguish species and individuals and could thus enable generalization across the forest ecosystems of the world and their highly diverse species compositions (Kunstler et al., 2016). The impact of droughts varies greatly in biomes of different climatic regions (Liu et al., 2021). Using the RS-derived functional diversity measures and drought responses introduced here, the stability of ecosystems to other disturbances such as pathogen outbreaks or fires could also be investigated (Jetz et al., 2016). Advances in approaches to analyze satellite RS products to map forest disturbances at large scales and analyze patterns in disturbance size, frequency, and severity will support this work (Senf & Seidl, 2021). Forest masks needed for this approach can either be derived from governmental maps, as used here, or from LiDAR-derived vegetation height (Helfenstein et al., 2022). However, availability is usually geographically limited. Standardized inventories or frameworks for combining Sentinel-2 data and 3D information could support the upscaling of the approach to global applications (Valbuena et al., 2020).

The adaptability of the presented approach highlights the potential for translation to landscapes worldwide. Switzerland's small-scale heterogeneity and availability of detailed environmental data allowed for the use of finely resolved subregions and facilitated analysis of the variability of the observed patterns on smaller scales. Similar patterns over larger geographic extents may require alternative subregion definitions, such as bioclimatic zones, ecoregions, or clustering methods, to effectively capture spatial variation in functional diversity and responses.

Multispectral sensors like Sentinel-2 offer limited spectral bands compared with sensors of high spectral resolution, reducing the dimensions available to derive vegetation properties. The three traits derived from Sentinel-2 imagery in our study show a link with drought response, but a more diverse set of traits could provide a more comprehensive understanding. Imaging spectroscopy expands possibilities for deriving vegetation traits and drought-sensitive indicators, spanning from specific leaf ecophysiological traits to mapping functional or phylogenetic diversity (Meireles et al., 2020). Recent and upcoming spaceborne imaging spectrometers will advance spaceborne diversity and forest-health monitoring (Cawse-Nicholson et al., 2021). Furthermore, various diversity measures for remotely-sensed data were presented in recent years, such as Rao's Q (Botta-Dukát, 2005; Pangtey et al., 2023; Rocchini et al., 2017). We used a complementary set of diversity metrics, richness, and evenness to provide clear insights into how these distinct facets of diversity relate to drought responses. A single measure incorporating aspects of these metrics may be useful for a concise indicator of diversity, for example, Petchey & Gaston's functional diversity measure based on branch lengths in a dendrogram calculated from species trait values (Petchey & Gaston, 2002). However, this measure could not be calculated in the absence of species identification. Furthermore, Rao's Q as a measure based on mean distances does not account for important richness effects of functional diversity. For these reasons, we here decided not to complement the presented metrics with further measures.

There is a need to study EF within the global biodiversity monitoring framework using satellite RS (Pettorelli *et al.*, 2018). Existing field-based datasets show geographic and temporal biases, mainly focusing on temperate ecosystems (Proença *et al.*, 2017). Our scalable approach builds toward assessing large-scale BEF relationships from satellite data, independently of the study area and over time. A major advantage of high-resolution public satellite data is repeated and standardized information enabling monitoring of BEF relationships. The relationships between RS-derived functional diversity measures and forest drought responses assessed in the present paper might change over time or depending on the

season the drought takes place. Monitoring these relationships using satellite data can reveal valuable information for adaptive management.

Insights presented here advance large-scale assessments of the stability and resilience of non-experimental ecosystems using satellites toward global monitoring of the impacts of biodiversity on EF. Our results indicate that trait-based functional diversity at the canopy level supports forest responses to drought regardless of other stand characteristics and environmental context within a relatively homogenous region on the Swiss plateau. Increasing drought resistance positively relates to forest functional richness, while the observed hump-shaped relationship of drought resistance with functional evenness suggests an optimum diversity in terms of functional evenness. Increasing drought resilience positively relates to functional richness and negatively relates to functional evenness. Our work explores and confirms the link between trait-based functional diversity and forest drought response assessed using satellite data, contributes to understanding climate change impacts on forests, and provides the basis for further research on landscape-scale BEF relationships. Derived insights contribute to establishing large-scale assessment and long-term monitoring of forest diversity and BEF using satellite data.

Acknowledgements

This research project and related results were made possible with the support of the NOMIS Foundation and the University Research Priority Program on Global Change and Biodiversity of the University of Zurich. The authors thank the cantons Aargau and Zurich for valuable forest and airborne RS data, the Nature Reserve Sihlwald for valuable discussions, and the editors and anonymous reviewers for their constructive comments and feedback.

Data availability statement

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available. The Sentinel-2 data were accessed via ESA's Copernicus Open Access Hub scihub.copernicus.eu. The aerial data used for validation was provided by the canton Zurich and is publicly available as Open Government Data (OGD) via opendata.swiss. The transformed diversity and drought response data, as well as the R code to link these according to the description in the manuscript, are openly available in zenodo at doi:10.5281/zenodo.14678089 and in the GitHub repository ihelfens/RS-TraitDiv-DroughtResp.

References

- Aargau, (2018) Zustand und Entwicklung des Aargauer Waldes. Ergebnisse der 2. Aargauer Waldinventur 2016. Tech. rep., Departement Bau, Verkehr und Umwelt, Abteilung Wald, Aarau.
- Acker, S.A., Halpern, C.B., Harmon, M.E. & Dyrness, C.T. (2002) Trends in bole biomass accumulation, net primary production and tree mortality in Pseudotsuga menziesii forests of contrasting age. *Tree Physiology*, **22**, 213–217. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.2-3.213.
- AGIS, (2019) Waldareal.
- AGIS, (2023) 76-AG Forstkreise und Forstreviere.
- Ali, A.M., Darvishzadeh, R., Skidmore, A., Gara, T.W., O'Connor, B., Roeoesli, C., Heurich, M. & Paganini, M. (2020) Comparing methods for mapping canopy chlorophyll content in a mixed mountain forest using Sentinel-2 data. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 87, 102037.
- Anderegg, W.R.L., Konings, A.G., Trugman, A.T., Yu, K., Bowling, D.R., Gabbitas, R., Karp, D.S., Pacala, S., Sperry, J.S., Sulman, B.N. & Zenes, N. (2018) Hydraulic diversity of forests regulates ecosystem resilience during drought. *Nature*, **561**, 538–541. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0539-7.
- Andrés, E.G.d., Rosas, T., Camarero, J.J. & Martínez-Vilalta, J. (2021) The intraspecific variation of functional traits modulates drought resilience of European beech and pubescent oak. *Journal of Ecology*, 109, 3652–3669. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.13743.
- BAFU, (2022) Die biogeografischen Regionen der Schweiz. 1. aktualisierte Auflage 2022. Erstausgabe 2001. Bundesamt für Umwelt, Bern. Umwelt-Wissen, **2214**, 28.
- Baudirektion Kanton Zürich, (2020) Zwischenbericht Waldentwicklung 2020. Tech. rep., Zürich.
- Bauhus, J., Forrester, D.I., Gardiner, B., Jactel, H., Vallejo, R. & Pretzsch, H. (2017) Ecological Stability of Mixed-Species Forests. In: *Mixed-Species Forests. Ecology and Management* (eds. Pretzsch, H., Forrester, D.I. & Bauhus, J.), pp. 337–382. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Blonder, B., Morrow, C.B., Maitner, B., Harris, D.J., Lamanna, C., Violle, C., Enquist, B.J. & Kerkhoff, A.J. (2018) New approaches for delineating n-dimensional hypervolumes. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, 9, 305–319. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.12865.

- Botta-Dukát, Z. (2005) Rao's quadratic entropy as a measure of functional diversity based on multiple traits. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **16**, 533–540. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2005.tb02393.x.
- Cadotte, M.W., Carscadden, K. & Mirotchnick, N. (2011) Beyond species: Functional diversity and the maintenance of ecological processes and services. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **48**, 1079–1087. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2011.02048.x.
- Cavender-Bares, J., Schneider, F.D., Santos, M.J., Armstrong, A., Carnaval, A., Dahlin, K.M., Fatoyinbo, L., Hurtt, G.C., Schimel, D., Townsend, P.A., Ustin, S.L., Wang, Z. & Wilson, A.M. (2022) Integrating remote sensing with ecology and evolution to advance biodiversity conservation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 6, 506–519. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01702-5.
- Cawse-Nicholson, K., Townsend, P.A., Schimel, D., Assiri, A.M., Blake, P.L., Buongiorno, M.F., Campbell, P., Carmon, N., Casey, K.A., Correa-Pabón, R.E., Dahlin, K.M., Dashti, H., Dennison, P.E., Dierssen, H., Erickson, A., Fisher, J.B., Frouin, R., Gatebe, C.K., Gholizadeh, H., Gierach, M., Glenn, N.F., Goodman, J.A., Griffith, D.M., Guild, L., Hakkenberg, C.R., Hochberg, E.J., Holmes, T.R., Hu, C., Hulley, G., Huemmrich, K.F., Kudela, R.M., Kokaly, R.F., Lee, C.M., Martin, R., Miller, C.E., Moses, W.J., Muller-Karger, F.E., Ortiz, J.D., Otis, D.B., Pahlevan, N., Painter, T.H., Pavlick, R., Poulter, B., Qi, Y., Realmuto, V.J., Roberts, D., Schaepman, M.E., Schneider, F.D., Schwandner, F.M., Serbin, S.P., Shiklomanov, A.N., Stavros, E.N., Thompson, D.R., Torres-Perez, J.L., Turpie, K.R., Tzortziou, M., Ustin, S., Yu, Q., Yusup, Y., Zhang, Q. & Group, t.S.A.W. (2021) NASA's surface biology and geology designated observable: A perspective on surface imaging algorithms. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 257, 112349. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112349.
- Clevers, J.G.P.W. & Gitelson, A.A. (2013) Remote estimation of crop and grass chlorophyll and nitrogen content using red-edge bands on Sentinel-2 and -3. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 23, 344–351. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2012.10.008.
- Crausbay, S.D., Ramirez, J.A., Carter, S.L., Cross, M.S., Hall, K.R., Bathke, D.J., Betancourt, J.L., Colt, S., Cravens, A.E., Dalton, M.S., Dunham, J.B., Hay, L.E., Hayes, M.J., McEvoy, D.J., Mc-Nutt, C.A., Moritz, M.A., Nislow, K.H., Raheem, N. & Sanford, T. (2017) Defining Ecological Drought for the Twenty-First Century. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 98, 2543–2550. https://doi.org/10.1175/bams-d-16-0292.1.

- Croft, H., Chen, J.M., Luo, X., Bartlett, P., Chen, B. & Staebler, R.M. (2017) Leaf chlorophyll content as a proxy for leaf photosynthetic capacity. *Global Change Biology*, **23**, 3513–3524. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13599.
- Damm, A., Paul-Limoges, E., Haghighi, E., Simmer, C., Morsdorf, F., Schneider, F., Tol, C.v.d., Migliavacca, M. & Rascher, U. (2018) Remote sensing of plant-water relations: An overview and future perspectives. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 227, 3–19. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jplph.2018.04.012.
- Damm, A., Paul-Limoges, E., Kükenbrink, D., Bachofen, C. & Morsdorf, F. (2020) Remote sensing of forest gas exchange: Considerations derived from a tomographic perspective. *Global Change Biology*, 26, 2717–2727. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15007.
- Day, M.E., Greenwood, M.S. & White, A.S. (2001) Age-related changes in foliar morphology and physiology in red spruce and their influence on declining photosynthetic rates and productivity with tree age. *Tree Physiology*, **21**, 1195–1204. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/21.16.1195.
- Demmig-Adams, B. & Adams, W.W. (1996) The role of xanthophyll cycle carotenoids in the protection of photosynthesis. *Trends in Plant Science*, **1**, 21–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1360-1385(96)80019-7.
- Díaz, S. & Cabido, M. (2001) Vive la différence: plant functional diversity matters to ecosystem processes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **16**, 646–655. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0169-5347(01)02283-2.
- Díaz, S., Kattge, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Wright, I.J., Lavorel, S., Dray, S., Reu, B., Kleyer, M., Wirth, C., Prentice, I.C., Garnier, E., Bönisch, G., Westoby, M., Poorter, H., Reich, P.B., Moles, A.T., Dickie, J., Gillison, A.N., Zanne, A.E., Chave, J., Wright, S.J., Sheremet'ev, S.N., Jactel, H., Baraloto, C., Cerabolini, B., Pierce, S., Shipley, B., Kirkup, D., Casanoves, F., Joswig, J.S., Günther, A., Falczuk, V., Rüger, N., Mahecha, M.D. & Gorné, L.D. (2016) The global spectrum of plant form and function. *Nature*, 529, 167–171. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16489.
- Espelta, J.M., Cruz-Alonso, V., Alfaro-Sánchez, R., Hampe, A., Messier, C. & Pino, J. (2020) Functional diversity enhances tree growth and reduces herbivory damage in secondary broadleaf forests, but does not influence resilience to drought. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 57, 2362–2372. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1365-2664.13728.
- Feng, Y., Schmid, B., Loreau, M., Forrester, D.I., Fei, S., Zhu, J., Tang, Z., Zhu, J., Hong, P., Ji, C., Shi, Y., Su, H., Xiong, X., Xiao, J., Wang, S. & Fang, J. (2022) Multispecies forest plantations outyield mono-

cultures across a broad range of conditions. *Science*, **376**, 865–868. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. abm6363.

Finegan, B. (1984) Forest succession. Nature, 312, 109–114. https://doi.org/10.1038/312109a0.

- Forrester, D.I., Bonal, D., Dawud, S., Gessler, A., Granier, A., Pollastrini, M. & Grossiord, C. (2016) Drought responses by individual tree species are not often correlated with tree species diversity in European forests. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 53, 1725–1734. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12745.
- Gamon, J.A., Huemmrich, K.F., Wong, C.Y.S., Ensminger, I., Garrity, S., Hollinger, D.Y., Noormets, A. & Peñuelas, J. (2016) A remotely sensed pigment index reveals photosynthetic phenology in evergreen conifers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 13087–13092. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.1606162113.
- Gao, B.C. (1996) NDWI—A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **58**, 257–266. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0034-4257(96) 00067-3.
- Gazol, A. & Camarero, J.J. (2016) Functional diversity enhances silver fir growth resilience to an extreme drought. *Journal of Ecology*, **104**, 1063–1075. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2745.12575.
- Gazol, A., Camarero, J.J., Anderegg, W.R.L. & Vicente-Serrano, S.M. (2017) Impacts of droughts on the growth resilience of Northern Hemisphere forests. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 26, 166–176. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12526.
- GIS-ZH, (2019a) Forstkreise (OGD).
- GIS-ZH, (2019b) Waldareal (OGD).
- Gruson, H. (2020) Estimation of colour volumes as concave hypervolumes using alpha-shapes. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **11**, 955–963. https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210x.13398.
- Hardisky, M.A., Klemas, V. & Smart, R.M. (1983) The Influence of Soil Salinity, Growth Form, and Leaf Moisture on-the Spectral Radiance of Spartina alterniflora Canopies. *Photogrammetric Engineering and Remote Sensing*, 49, 77–83.
- Helfenstein, I.S., Schneider, F.D., Schaepman, M.E. & Morsdorf, F. (2022) Assessing biodiversity from space: Impact of spatial and spectral resolution on trait-based functional diversity. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 275, 113024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2022.113024.

- Homolová, L., Malenovský, Z., Clevers, J.G., García-Santos, G. & Schaepman, M.E. (2013) Review of optical-based remote sensing for plant trait mapping. *Ecological Complexity*, **15**, 1–16. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.ecocom.2013.06.003.
- Huang, Y., Chen, Y., Castro-Izaguirre, N., Baruffol, M., Brezzi, M., Lang, A., Li, Y., Härdtle, W., Oheimb, G.v., Yang, X., Liu, X., Pei, K., Both, S., Yang, B., Eichenberg, D., Assmann, T., Bauhus, J., Behrens, T., Buscot, F., Chen, X.Y., Chesters, D., Ding, B.Y., Durka, W., Erfmeier, A., Fang, J., Fischer, M., Guo, L.D., Guo, D., Gutknecht, J.L.M., He, J.S., He, C.L., Hector, A., Hönig, L., Hu, R.Y., Klein, A.M., Kühn, P., Liang, Y., Li, S., Michalski, S., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Schmidt, K., Scholten, T., Schuldt, A., Shi, X., Tan, M.Z., Tang, Z., Trogisch, S., Wang, Z., Welk, E., Wirth, C., Wubet, T., Xiang, W., Yu, M., Yu, X.D., Zhang, J., Zhang, S., Zhang, N., Zhou, H.Z., Zhu, C.D., Zhu, L., Bruelheide, H., Ma, K., Niklaus, P.A. & Schmid, B. (2018) Impacts of species richness on productivity in a large-scale subtropical forest experiment. *Science*, 362, 80–83. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aat6405.
- Immitzer, M., Vuolo, F. & Atzberger, C. (2016) First Experience with Sentinel-2 Data for Crop and Tree Species Classifications in Central Europe. *Remote Sensing*, **8**, 166. https://doi.org/10.3390/rs8030166.
- Isbell, F., Cowles, J., Dee, L.E., Loreau, M., Reich, P.B., Gonzalez, A., Hector, A. & Schmid, B. (2018)
 Quantifying effects of biodiversity on ecosystem functioning across times and places. *Ecology Letters*, 21, 763–778. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12928.
- Isbell, F., Craven, D., Connolly, J., Loreau, M., Schmid, B., Beierkuhnlein, C., Bezemer, T.M., Bonin, C., Bruelheide, H., Luca, E.d., Ebeling, A., Griffin, J.N., Guo, Q., Hautier, Y., Hector, A., Jentsch, A., Kreyling, J., Lanta, V., Manning, P., Meyer, S.T., Mori, A.S., Naeem, S., Niklaus, P.A., Polley, H.W., Reich, P.B., Roscher, C., Seabloom, E.W., Smith, M.D., Thakur, M.P., Tilman, D., Tracy, B.F., Putten, W.H.v.d., Ruijven, J.v., Weigelt, A., Weisser, W.W., Wilsey, B. & Eisenhauer, N. (2015) Biodiversity increases the resistance of ecosystem productivity to climate extremes. *Nature*, 526, 574–577. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature15374.
- Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O.B., Bouwer, L.M., Braun, A., Colette, A., Déqué, M., Georgievski, G., Georgopoulou, E., Gobiet, A., Menut, L., Nikulin, G., Haensler, A., Hempelmann, N., Jones, C., Keuler, K., Kovats, S., Kröner, N., Kotlarski, S., Kriegsmann, A., Martin, E., Meijgaard, E.v., Moseley, C., Pfeifer, S., Preuschmann, S., Radermacher, C., Radtke, K., Rechid, D., Rounsevell, M., Samuelsson, P., Somot, S., Soussana, J.F., Teichmann, C., Valentini, R., Vautard, R., Weber, B.

& Yiou, P. (2014) EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research. *Regional Environmental Change*, **14**, 563–578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0499-2.

- Jactel, H., Bauhus, J., Boberg, J., Bonal, D., Castagneyrol, B., Gardiner, B., Gonzalez-Olabarria, J.R., Koricheva, J., Meurisse, N. & Brockerhoff, E.G. (2017) Tree Diversity Drives Forest Stand Resistance to Natural Disturbances. *Current Forestry Reports*, 3, 223–243. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40725-017-0064-1.
- Jactel, H., Moreira, X. & Castagneyrol, B. (2020) Tree Diversity and Forest Resistance to Insect Pests: Patterns, Mechanisms and Prospects. *Annual Review of Entomology*, **66**, 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1146/ annurev-ento-041720-075234.
- Jetz, W., Cavender-Bares, J., Pavlick, R., Schimel, D., Davis, F.W., Asner, G.P., Guralnick, R., Kattge, J., Latimer, A.M., Moorcroft, P., Schaepman, M.E., Schildhauer, M.P., Schneider, F.D., Schrodt, F., Stahl, U. & Ustin, S.L. (2016) Monitoring plant functional diversity from space. *Nature Plants*, 2, 16024. https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2016.24.
- Kunstler, G., Falster, D., Coomes, D.A., Hui, F., Kooyman, R.M., Laughlin, D.C., Poorter, L., Vanderwel, M., Vieilledent, G., Wright, S.J., Aiba, M., Baraloto, C., Caspersen, J., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Gourlet-Fleury, S., Hanewinkel, M., Herault, B., Kattge, J., Kurokawa, H., Onoda, Y., Peñuelas, J., Poorter, H., Uriarte, M., Richardson, S., Ruiz-Benito, P., Sun, I.F., Ståhl, G., Swenson, N.G., Thompson, J., Westerlund, B., Wirth, C., Zavala, M.A., Zeng, H., Zimmerman, J.K., Zimmermann, N.E. & Westoby, M. (2016) Plant functional traits have globally consistent effects on competition. *Nature*, 529, 204–207. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16476.
- Lebourgeois, F., Gomez, N., Pinto, P. & Mérian, P. (2013) Mixed stands reduce Abies alba tree-ring sensitivity to summer drought in the Vosges mountains, western Europe. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 303, 61–71. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.04.003.
- Lichtenthaler, H.K. (2007) Biosynthesis, accumulation and emission of carotenoids, α-tocopherol, plastoquinone, and isoprene in leaves under high photosynthetic irradiance. *Photosynthesis Research*, **92**, 163–179. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11120-007-9204-y.
- Liu, X., Swenson, N.G., Lin, D., Mi, X., Umaña, M.N., Schmid, B. & Ma, K. (2016) Linking individual-level functional traits to tree growth in a subtropical forest. *Ecology*, 97, 2396–2405. https://doi.org/10.1002/ ecy.1445.

- Liu, Y., Hogan, J.A., Lichstein, J.W., Guralnick, R.P., Soltis, D.E., Soltis, P.S. & Scheiner, S.M. (2024) Biodiversity and productivity in eastern US forests. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **121**, e2314231121. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2314231121.
- Liu, Y., Zhou, R., Wen, Z., Khalifa, M., Zheng, C., Ren, H., Zhang, Z. & Wang, Z. (2021) Assessing the impacts of drought on net primary productivity of global land biomes in different climate zones. *Ecological Indicators*, **130**, 108146. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108146.
- Lloret, F., Keeling, E.G. & Sala, A. (2011) Components of tree resilience: effects of successive low-growth episodes in old ponderosa pine forests. *Oikos*, **120**, 1909–1920. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0706. 2011.19372.x.
- Loehle, C. (1988) Tree life history strategies: the role of defenses. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*, **18**, 209–222. https://doi.org/10.1139/x88-032.
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J.P., Hector, A., Hooper, D.U., Huston, M.A., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D. & Wardle, D.A. (2001) Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning: Current Knowledge and Future Challenges. *Science*, **294**, 804–808. https://doi.org/10.1126/science. 1064088.
- Luo, S., Phillips, R.P., Jo, I., Fei, S., Liang, J., Schmid, B. & Eisenhauer, N. (2023) Higher productivity in forests with mixed mycorrhizal strategies. *Nature Communications*, **14**, 1377. https://doi.org/10.1038/ s41467-023-36888-0.
- Mammola, S., Carmona, C.P., Guillerme, T. & Cardoso, P. (2021) Concepts and applications in functional diversity. *Functional Ecology*, **35**, 1869–1885. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13882.
- Marusig, D., Petruzzellis, F., Tomasella, M., Napolitano, R., Altobelli, A. & Nardini, A. (2020) Correlation of Field-Measured and Remotely Sensed Plant Water Status as a Tool to Monitor the Risk of Drought-Induced Forest Decline. *Forests*, **11**, 77. https://doi.org/10.3390/f11010077.
- Meireles, J.E., Cavender-Bares, J., Townsend, P.A., Ustin, S., Gamon, J.A., Schweiger, A.K., Schaepman, M.E., Asner, G.P., Martin, R.E., Singh, A., Schrodt, F., Chlus, A. & O'Meara, B.C. (2020) Leaf reflectance spectra capture the evolutionary history of seed plants. *New Phytologist*, 228, 485–493. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.16771.

- Messier, C., Bauhus, J., Doyon, F., Maure, F., Sousa-Silva, R., Nolet, P., Mina, M., Aquilué, N., Fortin, M.J.
 & Puettmann, K. (2019) The functional complex network approach to foster forest resilience to global changes. *Forest Ecosystems*, 6, 21. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40663-019-0166-2.
- MeteoSchweiz, (2018) Hitze und Trockenheit im Sommerhalbjahr 2018 eine klimatologische Übersicht. Tech. rep., Bundesamt für Meteorologie und Klimatologie MeteoSchweiz.
- MeteoSchweiz, (2019) Klimabulletin Jahr 2018. Tech. rep., Bundesamt für Meteorologie und Klimatologie MeteoSchweiz.
- MeteoSchweiz, (2020) Klimabulletin Jahr 2019. Tech. rep., Bundesamt für Meteorologie und Klimatologie MeteoSchweiz.
- MeteoSchweiz, (2021) Klimabulletin Jahr 2020. Tech. rep., Bundesamt für Meteorologie und Klimatologie MeteoSchweiz.
- Mori, A.S., Lertzman, K.P. & Gustafsson, L. (2017) Biodiversity and ecosystem services in forest ecosystems: a research agenda for applied forest ecology. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **54**, 12–27. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12669.
- Nelder, J.A. & Lane, P.W. (1995) The Computer Analysis of Factorial Experiments: In Memoriam-Frank Yates. *The American Statistician*, **49**. https://doi.org/10.2307/2684580.
- Nelson, E., Mendoza, G., Regetz, J., Polasky, S., Tallis, H., Cameron, D., Chan, K.M., Daily, G.C., Goldstein, J., Kareiva, P.M., Lonsdorf, E., Naidoo, R., Ricketts, T.H. & Shaw, M. (2009) Modeling multiple ecosystem services, biodiversity conservation, commodity production, and tradeoffs at landscape scales. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 7, 4–11. https://doi.org/10.1890/080023.
- Obeso, J.R. (2002) The costs of reproduction in plants. *New Phytologist*, **155**, 321–348. https://doi.org/10. 1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00477.x.
- Pangtey, D., Padalia, H., Bodh, R., Rai, I.D. & Nandy, S. (2023) Application of remote sensing-based spectral variability hypothesis to improve tree diversity estimation of seasonal tropical forest considering phenological variations. *Geocarto International*, **38**, 2178525. https://doi.org/10.1080/10106049.2023. 2178525.
- Pardos, M., Río, M.d., Pretzsch, H., Jactel, H., Bielak, K., Bravo, F., Brazaitis, G., Defossez, E., Engel, M., Godvod, K., Jacobs, K., Jansone, L., Jansons, A., Morin, X., Nothdurft, A., Oreti, L., Ponette, Q., Pach, M., Riofrío, J., Ruíz-Peinado, R., Tomao, A., Uhl, E. & Calama, R. (2021) The greater resilience of mixed

forests to drought mainly depends on their composition: Analysis along a climate gradient across Europe. *Forest Ecology and Management*, **481**, 118687. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2020.118687.

- Petchey, O.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2002) Functional diversity (FD), species richness and community composition. *Ecology Letters*, **5**, 402–411. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1461-0248.2002.00339.x.
- Petchey, O.L. & Gaston, K.J. (2006) Functional diversity: Back to basics and looking forward. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 741–758. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2006.00924.x.
- Petibon, F., Ghielmetti, G., Hueni, A., Kneubühler, M., Petibon, F., Czyż, E.A., Schaepman, M.E. & Schuman, M.C. (2021) Uncertainties in measurements of leaf optical properties are small compared to the biological variation within and between individuals of European beech. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 264, 112601. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2021.112601.
- Pettorelli, N., Bühne, H.S.t., Tulloch, A., Dubois, G., Macinnis-Ng, C., Queirós, A.M., Keith, D.A., Wegmann, M., Schrodt, F., Stellmes, M., Sonnenschein, R., Geller, G.N., Roy, S., Somers, B., Murray, N., Bland, L., Geijzendorffer, I., Kerr, J.T., Broszeit, S., Leitão, P.J., Duncan, C., Serafy, G.E., He, K.S., Blanchard, J.L., Lucas, R., Mairota, P., Webb, T.J. & Nicholson, E. (2018) Satellite remote sensing of ecosystem functions: opportunities, challenges and way forward. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 4, 71–93. https://doi.org/10.1002/rse2.59.
- Pfisterer, A.B. & Schmid, B. (2002) Diversity-dependent production can decrease the stability of ecosystem functioning. *Nature*, **416**, 84–86. https://doi.org/10.1038/416084a.
- Proença, V., Martin, L.J., Pereira, H.M., Fernandez, M., McRae, L., Belnap, J., Böhm, M., Brummitt, N., García-Moreno, J., Gregory, R.D., Honrado, J.P., Jürgens, N., Opige, M., Schmeller, D.S., Tiago, P. & Swaay, C.A.v. (2017) Global biodiversity monitoring: From data sources to Essential Biodiversity Variables. *Biological Conservation*, **213**, 256–263. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.07.014.
- Rakovec, O., Samaniego, L., Hari, V., Markonis, Y., Moravec, V., Thober, S., Hanel, M. & Kumar, R. (2022) The 2018–2020 Multi-Year Drought Sets a New Benchmark in Europe. *Earth's Future*, **10**. https://doi.org/10.1029/2021ef002394.
- Ray, T., Delory, B.M., Beugnon, R., Bruelheide, H., Cesarz, S., Eisenhauer, N., Ferlian, O., Quosh, J., Oheimb, G.v. & Fichtner, A. (2023) Tree diversity increases productivity through enhancing structural complexity across mycorrhizal types. *Science Advances*, 9, eadi2362. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. adi2362.

- Rita, A., Camarero, J.J., Nolè, A., Borghetti, M., Brunetti, M., Pergola, N., Serio, C., Vicente-Serrano, S.M., Tramutoli, V. & Ripullone, F. (2020) The impact of drought spells on forests depends on site conditions: The case of 2017 summer heat wave in southern Europe. *Global Change Biology*, 26, 851–863. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.14825.
- Rocchini, D., Marcantonio, M. & Ricotta, C. (2017) Measuring Rao's Q diversity index from remote sensing: An open source solution. *Ecological Indicators*, **72**, 234–238. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind. 2016.07.039.
- Rossi, C., Kneubühler, M., Schütz, M., Schaepman, M.E., Haller, R.M. & Risch, A.C. (2020) From local to regional: Functional diversity in differently managed alpine grasslands. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 236, 111415. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2019.111415.
- Ruiz-Benito, P., Gómez-Aparicio, L., Paquette, A., Messier, C., Kattge, J. & Zavala, M.A. (2014) Diversity effects on forest carbon storage and productivity. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 23, 311–322. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12126.
- R Core Team, (2024) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Tech. rep., Vienna, Austria.
- Rüfenacht, D., Fredembach, C. & Süsstrunk, S. (2013) Automatic and Accurate Shadow Detection Using Near-Infrared Information. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, **36**, 1672–1678. https://doi.org/10.1109/tpami.2013.229.
- Scherrer, S.C., Hirschi, M., Spirig, C., Maurer, F. & Kotlarski, S. (2022) Trends and drivers of recent summer drying in Switzerland. *Environmental Research Communications*, 4, 025004. https://doi.org/10.1088/ 2515-7620/ac4fb9.
- Schmid, B., Balvanera, P., Cardinale, B.J., Godbold, J., Pfisterer, A.B., Raffaelli, D., Solan, M. & Srivastava, and Diane S., (2009) Consequences of species loss for ecosystem functioning: meta-analyses of data from biodiversity experiments. In: *Biodiversity, Ecosystem Functioning, and Human Wellbeing* (eds. Naeem, S., Bunker, D.E., Hector, A., Loreau, M. & Perrings, C.), pp. 14 29. Oxford University Press.
- Schmid, B., Baruffol, M., Wang, Z. & Niklaus, P.A. (2017) A guide to analyzing biodiversity experiments. *Journal Of Plant Ecology*, **10**, 91–110. https://doi.org/10.1093/jpe/rtw107.
- Schnabel, F., Liu, X., Kunz, M., Barry, K.E., Bongers, F.J., Bruelheide, H., Fichtner, A., Härdtle, W., Li, S., Pfaff, C.T., Schmid, B., Schwarz, J.A., Tang, Z., Yang, B., Bauhus, J., Oheimb, G.v., Ma, K. & Wirth, C.

(2021) Species richness stabilizes productivity via asynchrony and drought-tolerance diversity in a large-scale tree biodiversity experiment. *Science Advances*, **7**, eabk1643. https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv. abk1643.

- Schneider, F.D., Leiterer, R., Morsdorf, F., Gastellu-Etchegorry, J.P., Lauret, N., Pfeifer, N. & Schaepman, M.E. (2014) Simulating imaging spectrometer data: 3D forest modeling based on LiDAR and in situ data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **152**, 235–250. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2014.06.015.
- Schneider, F.D., Morsdorf, F., Schmid, B., Petchey, O.L., Hueni, A., Schimel, D.S. & Schaepman, M.E. (2017) Mapping functional diversity from remotely sensed morphological and physiological forest traits. *Nature Communications*, 8, 1441. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-01530-3.
- Schuldt, B., Buras, A., Arend, M., Vitasse, Y., Beierkuhnlein, C., Damm, A., Gharun, M., Grams, T.E., Hauck, M., Hajek, P., Hartmann, H., Hiltbrunner, E., Hoch, G., Holloway-Phillips, M., Körner, C., Larysch, E., Lübbe, T., Nelson, D.B., Rammig, A., Rigling, A., Rose, L., Ruehr, N.K., Schumann, K., Weiser, F., Werner, C., Wohlgemuth, T., Zang, C.S. & Kahmen, A. (2020) A first assessment of the impact of the extreme 2018 summer drought on Central European forests. *Basic and Applied Ecology*, 45, 86–103. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.baae.2020.04.003.
- Senf, C. & Seidl, R. (2021) Mapping the forest disturbance regimes of Europe. *Nature Sustainability*, **4**, 63–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41893-020-00609-y.
- Smith, M.D. (2011) The ecological role of climate extremes: current understanding and future prospects. *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 651–655. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2011.01833.x.
- Stroheker, S., Forster, B. & Queloz, V. (2020) Zweithöchster je registrierter Buchdruckerbefall (Ips typographus) in der Schweiz. *Waldschutz aktuell*, **1/2020**, 2.
- Sturm, J., Santos, M.J., Schmid, B. & Damm, A. (2022) Satellite data reveal differential responses of Swiss forests to unprecedented 2018 drought. *Global Change Biology*, 28, 2956–2978. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.16136.
- Suding, K.N., Lavorel, S., Chapin III, F.S., Cornelissen, J.H.C., Díaz, S., Garnier, E., Goldberg, D., Hooper, D.U., Jackson, S.T. & Navas, M.L. (2008) Scaling environmental change through the communitylevel: a trait-based response-and-effect framework for plants. *Global Change Biology*, 14, 1125–1140. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01557.x.

swisstopo, (2021) swissBOUNDARIES3D.

- Valbuena, R., O'Connor, B., Zellweger, F., Simonson, W., Vihervaara, P., Maltamo, M., Silva, C., Almeida, D., Danks, F., Morsdorf, F., Chirici, G., Lucas, R., Coomes, D. & Coops, N. (2020) Standardizing Ecosystem Morphological Traits from 3D Information Sources. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 35, 656–667. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2020.03.006.
- van Moorsel, S.J., Hahl, T., Petchey, O.L., Ebeling, A., Eisenhauer, N., Schmid, B. & Wagg, C. (2021) Co-occurrence history increases ecosystem stability and resilience in experimental plant communities. *Ecology*, **102**, e03205. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecy.3205.
- Villéger, S., Mason, N.W.H. & Mouillot, D. (2008) New Multidimensional Functional Diversity Indices for a Multifaceted Framework in Functional Ecology. *Ecology*, 89, 2290–2301. https://doi.org/10.1890/ 07-1206.1.
- Violle, C., Navas, M., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I. & Garnier, E. (2007) Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, **116**, 882–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0030-1299.2007.15559.x.
- Wang, Y., Wang, S., Zhao, L., Liang, C., Miao, B., Zhang, Q., Niu, X., Ma, W. & Schmid, B. (2022) Stability and asynchrony of local communities but less so diversity increase regional stability of Inner Mongolian grassland. *eLife*, **11**, e74881. https://doi.org/10.7554/elife.74881.
- Zheng, Z., Schmid, B., Zeng, Y., Schuman, M.C., Zhao, D., Schaepman, M.E. & Morsdorf, F. (2023) Remotely sensed functional diversity and its association with productivity in a subtropical forest. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **290**, 113530. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2023.113530.

Tables

Table 1: Acquisition dates (left) and sensor type (Sentinel-2A/B, right) of the satellite data used for the composites to calculate the diversity data (June/July 2017) and the drought response data (August 2017–2020) to create the drought response maps.

Diversity data		Drought response composite data							
Jun–Jul 2017		Aug 2017		Aug 2018		Aug 2019		Aug 2020	
Date	Sensor	Date	Sensor	Date	Sensor	Date	Sensor	Date	Sensor
Jun 19	А	Aug 15	А	Aug 03	А	Aug 08	А	Jul 30	А
Jun 26	А	Aug 18	А	Aug 05	В	Aug 18	А	Aug 07	В
Jul 04	В	Aug 23	В	Aug 20	А	Aug 25	А	Aug 09	А
		Aug 25	А	Aug 23	А	Aug 28	А	Aug 12	А
		Aug 30	В	Aug 28	В	Aug 30	В	Sep 03	В

List of Figure captions

Figure 1: Study area of canton Aargau (west) and canton Zurich (east) and location in Switzerland (top left). Highlighted on the map is the Sihlwald site, where we validated the drought response results. The true-color composite shows the study area in summer 2017, based on June/July Sentinel-2 data. Map lines delineate study areas and subregions and do not necessarily depict accepted national boundaries. Figure 2: Calculation of diversity metrics from traits within the calculation area (top left). Shown is an example translation of the 60-m radius (blue circle) neighborhood area to a mask for the calculation (bottom left). The numbers indicate the weighting of each pixel in calculating the value of the center pixel. Concepts of diversity metrics (right) in three-dimensional trait space. Richness (Ric) (top right) and evenness (Eve) (bottom right). The traits considered include chlorophyll content (CHL), carotenoid/chlorophyll ratio (CCR), and equivalent water thickness (EWT).

Figure 3: Trend of the mean Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the study area between 2017 and 2020. The numbers in the legend represent the mean percent changes for the three drought-response measures (change 2017 to 2018 resistance, change 2018 to 2019 recovery, change 2017 to 2020 resilience) across the entire study area in northern Switzerland.

Figure 4: Average diversity of 21 subregions with the plot on the left showing their median richness and evenness. It is important to note that the variation within the regions is large, and the differences between regions are comparatively small (see Figure S6). The subregions shown on the right were obtained by grouping the forests of the study area according to the intersection of 1) canton (Aargau (AG) and Zurich (ZH)), 2) geographical regions (Central Plateau (Eastern & Western), Rhine plains, Jura, and Pre-Alps), and 3) four, respectively seven, cantonal forest districts. Blue-green colors represent canton AG, and red-yellow colors represent canton ZH. The color gradients range from southern to northern regions within cantons.

Figure 5: Subregion-corrected drought resistance, recovery, and resilience (left to right) in relation to functional richness and evenness. The data were binned into 20 bins along richness and evenness and into the 21 subregions, resulting in 8400 bins. We then fitted subregion to correct for subregion differences and finally related the thus corrected drought responses to functional richness and evenness using multiple

regression (as described in the methods section). Resistance and resilience increased with richness. Resistance showed a hump-backed relationship with evenness, while resilience decreased with evenness. Figure 6: Variance explained by the linear model combining the influence of the diversity metrics richness and evenness on resistance (change in NDWI during the drought 2017–2018, Supplementary Table S2), recovery (change in NDWI after the drought 2018–2019, Supplementary Table S3) and resilience (change in NDWI after the full two-year observation period 2017–2020, Supplementary Table S4). The bars from top to bottom in each panel are the contributions to the r^2 values of linear richness (ric), log-transformed richness (logric), evenness (eve), evenness squared (eve2), the 21 subregions (REG), and interactions of the diversity metrics and subregions (ric x REG, eve x REG). Note that all contributions are significantly larger than zero. The formulae for the fitted linear models are listed in R notation, with N representing the number of pixels per bin.