Large-scale spatiotemporal variation in vital rates and population dynamics of an alpine bird

Chloé R. Nater¹, James A. Martin², Erlend B. Nilsen^{1,3}

¹ Department for Terrestrial Biodiversity, Norwegian Institute for Nature Research (NINA), Trondheim,
 Norway

6 ² Warnell School of Forestry & Natural Resources, University of Georgia, Athens, USA

7 ³ Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture (FBA), Nord University, Steinkjer, Norway

8 Abstract

3

9 Quantifying temporal and spatial variation in animal population size and demography is a 10 central theme in ecological research and important for directing management and policy. 11 However, this requires field sampling at large spatial extents and over long periods of time, 12 which is not only prohibitively costly but often politically untenable. Participatory 13 monitoring programs (also called citizen science programmes) can alleviate these 14 constraints by recruiting stakeholders and the public to increase the spatial and temporal 15 resolution of sampling effort and hence resulting data. While the majority of participatory 16 monitoring programs are limited by opportunistic sampling designs, we are starting to see 17 the emergence of structures citizen science programs that employ trained volunteers to 18 collect data according to standardized protocols. Simultaneously, there is much ongoing 19 development of statistical models that are increasingly more powerful and able to make more efficient use of field data. Integrated population models (IPMs), for example, are able 20 21 to use multiple streams of data from different field monitoring programmes and/or 22 multiple aspects of single datasets to estimate population sizes and key vital rates. Here, we 23 developed a multi-area version of a recently developed integrated distance sampling model 24 (IDSM) and applied it to data from a large-scale participatory monitoring program – the 25 "Hønsefuglportalen" – to study spatio-temporal variation in population dynamics of willow 26 ptarmigan (Lagopus lagopus) in Norway. We constructed an open and reproducible

27 workflow for exploring temporal, spatial (latitudinal, longitudinal, altitudinal), and residual 28 variation in recruitment, survival, and population density, as well as relationships between 29 vital rates and relevant covariates and signals of density dependence. Recruitment rates 30 varied more across space than over time, while the opposite was the case for survival. Slower life history patterns (higher survival, lower recruitment) appeared to be more 31 32 common at higher latitudes and altitudes, portending differential effects of climate change 33 on ptarmigan across their range. While there was variation in the magnitude of the effect 34 small rodent occupancy had on recruitment, the relationships were predominantly positive 35 and thus consistent with the alternative prey hypothesis. Notably, the accurate estimation of covariate effect was only made possible by integrating data from several monitoring 36 37 areas for analysis. Our study highlights the potential of participatory monitoring and 38 integrated modelling approaches for estimating and understanding spatio-temporal 39 patterns in species abundance and demographic rates, and showcases how corresponding workflows can be set up in a reproducible and semi-automated way that increases their 40 41 usefulness for informing management and regular reporting towards national and 42 international biodiversity frameworks.

43

44 Introduction

45 There is growing demand for biodiversity indicators from international unions, national 46 governments, local management bodies, and corporate and industry actors. Indicators 47 should ideally represent a wide range of biodiversity's states and functions (e.g. Essential 48 Biodiversity Variables, Pereira et al. 2013; Jetz et al. 2019), yet the development of suitable 49 indicators for certain attributes, such as species abundance and demography, has been 50 more difficult than for others (Schmeller et al. 2018; Waldock et al. 2022). This is at least 51 partially due to challenging requirements regarding spatial scales of useful biodiversity 52 indicators. On one hand, indicators need to be representative at large geographic scales, for 53 example, in the context of countries' reporting towards biodiversity targets (e.g. Feld et al. 54 2009). On the other hand, indicators also ideally have good spatial resolution, as the scales 55 relevant for local-level management and planning are often much smaller (Stevenson et al. 56 2021). This latter requirement is particularly crucial for infrastructure development 57 strategies and for species management and conservation, both of which tend to require 58 knowledge on species abundance and population dynamics (i.e. demographic rates) that is 59 relevant for county- or municipality-level decision making (Christie et al. 2020). Another 60 reason why abundance and population indicators ideally come with good spatial resolution 61 is that there can be substantial amounts of variation in population dynamics and life history 62 of species across space (e.g. Robinson, Morrison, and Baillie 2014; Horswill et al. 2019). 63 This variation needs to be accounted for to develop successful and sustainable strategies for 64 area use, harvest management, and species and biodiversity conservation (Williams, 65 Nichols, and Conroy 2002).

While large-scale, spatially-explicit indicators for species abundance and populations are clearly needed, development and practical implementation are greatly limited due to the reliance of such indicators on the availability of data from large-scale, long-term monitoring programmes (Proença et al. 2017). Consequently, many countries have been working on setting up, maintaining, and improving such monitoring programmes over the last decades. Many now well-established programmes focus on breeding birds and butterflies, and examples include the North American Breeding Bird Survey 73 (https://www.pwrc.usgs.gov/bbs/), the PanEuropean Common Bird Monitoring Scheme

74 (https://pecbms.info/), the UK Butterfly Monitoring Scheme (https://ukbms.org/), the

75 Game and Wildlife Conservation Trust Partridge Count Scheme (Aebischer and Ewald

76 2010), and the Swiss Biodiversity Monitoring (https://www.biodiversitymonitoring.ch/).

77 There is a natural trade-off between quality and quantity of data that can be collected in any 78 monitoring programme: collecting high quality data in a structured manner is costly, 79 requires trained specialists, and hinges on a sufficient degree of top-down control of the 80 programme. This often limits the amount of data that can be collected, while participatory 81 monitoring, i.e. the collection of ecological data by members of the public (also called citizen 82 or community science, Fraisl et al. 2022), allows to greatly reduce costs and extend spatial 83 and taxonomic scales of monitoring at the expense of data quality and risk of bias (Johnston, 84 Matechou, and Dennis 2023). Consequently, many large-scale monitoring programmes are 85 often limited to presence(-absence) or very simple count observations, making them 86 suitable for the development of indicators of species distributions and perhaps population 87 trends, but usually not of abundance, population dynamics, and demographic rates 88 (Dickinson, Zuckerberg, and Bonter 2010; Johnston, Matechou, and Dennis 2023). The 89 exception here are monitoring programmes that succeed in making use of a large number of 90 volunteers that have been trained to collect data and record metadata in a structured 91 manner and according to a carefully designed protocol. For example, in the United States 92 hunters participate in the collection of bands and wings from harvested American 93 Woodcock (Scopolax minor) to estimate survival and age ratios (Zimmerman et al. 2010). At 94 the European level, the recently established initiative "European Observatory of Wildlife" is 95 offering common field- and analyses protocols and aims to establish a network of 96 "observation points" for monitoring wildlife populations at the European level 97 (https://wildlifeobservatory.org/). In Norway there is a monitoring programme for terrestrial game bird species called "Hønsefuglportalen" (= "game bird portal", 98 99 https://honsefugl.nina.no/Innsyn/en). It is a line transect survey programme carried out 100 annually in >120 localities across the country (>2000 transects) by trained volunteers using 101 pointing dogs. The programme has a well developed protocol for recording bird 102 observations, auxiliary data, and relevant metadata and established routines for quality

103 control and annual releases of publicly available data via the Global Biodiversity
104 Information Facility (GBIF). As such, it is particularly well suited to become part of a
105 workflow for producing and updating abundance and population indicators on an annual
106 basis.

107 The line transect data from "Hønsefuglportalen" has been used previously for estimating 108 abundance trends of willow ptarmigan (Lagopus lagopus) across Norway (e.g. Bowler et al. 109 2020; Nilsen and Rød-Eriksen 2020), and to test a range of relevant ecological hypotheses 110 (Bowler et al. 2020; Breisjøberget, Odden, Wegge, et al. 2018). However, large-scale 111 estimation of demographic rates underlying abundance trends has thus far remained as 112 untapped potential of the dataset. Nilsen and Nater (2024) recently developed a novel 113 integrated distance sampling model (IDSM) which successfully uses the age of individuals 114 detected along line transects data coupled with radio-telemetry data to jointly estimate 115 abundance, survival, and recruitment across years. In this study, we adapt and extend the model of Nilsen and Nater (2024) to run not just on a single site but on all areas with 116 117 publicly available line transect data from "Hønsefuglportalen" simultaneously. Unlike several previous studies applying integrated models for population dynamics to multiple 118 119 (sub-) populations separately and comparing results (e.g. Robinson, Morrison, and Baillie 120 2014; Nater et al. 2023), we opt for an approach explicitly integrating across space, thus allowing for sharing of information across locations and - in effect - space-for-time 121 122 substitution (e.g. Horswill et al. 2019; Morrison et al. 2022). We then apply the resulting 123 multi-area IDSM to "Hønsefuglportalen" data on willow ptarmigan to estimate population 124 size, age-structure, survival, recruitment, and impacts of small rodent occupancy across 41 125 reporting districts and 15 years (2007-2021) for this culturally important small-game 126 species. We further embed the modelling workflow in a reproducible, semi-automated 127 pipeline that will greatly facilitate the repeated calculation of abundance and population 128 indicators at different spatial scales as new data are added every year.

129

130 Methods

131 Study species

132 The willow ptarmigan is a tetraoid bird with a circumpolar distribution, mainly inhabiting 133 sub-alpine and arctic ecosystems (see e.g. Fuglei et al. 2020). While the species is currently 134 listed as Least Concern (LC) both in the global and Norwegian Red List of Species, it has 135 undergone rather dramatic declines in abundance in Norway since the turn of the 20th 136 century (Hjeljord and Loe 2022). The main reason for the long-term decline in abundance 137 remain unresolved, but the willow ptarmigan are considered sentinel species that are 138 sensitive to both climate change and land use changes (John-André Henden et al. 2017; 139 Storch 2007). Moreover, being one of only a handful of bird species that spend the winter in 140 mountain ecosystems in Scandinavia, they are important components of the ecosystem as 141 prey species for resident predators, such as the gyrfalcon (Franke et al. 2020). The willow 142 ptarmigan has a relatively fast pace of life (Sandercock, Martin, and Hannon 2005; Steen H. 143 and Erikstad 1996), and can display substantial spatio-temporal variation in demographic 144 rates (Bowler et al. 2020). Their population dynamics are characterized by large inter-145 annual fluctuations in abundance (Hjeljord and Loe 2022), and previous research has tied 146 these fluctuations to rodent cycle through shared predators (Hagen 1952; Bowler et al. 147 2020). This tight relationship between the breeding success of ground nesting birds and the 148 rodent cycle is known as the Alternative Prey Hypothesis (APH) and has been a central part 149 of research on Fennoscandian grouse population dynamics for many decades (Elton 1942; 150 Hagen 1952; Linden 1988; J. B. Steen et al. 1988). In addition, spring weather conditions 151 and phenology is known to have considerable effects on breeding success and recruitment 152 rates (Eriksen et al. 2023; J. B. Steen et al. 1988). Across their distributional range, willow 153 ptarmigan are an iconic species with a high cultural value, partly linked to their popularity 154 as game species. The latter means that information about spatio-temporal variation in 155 demographic rates and population dynamics is particularly important in order to design 156 sustainable harvest strategies (Eriksen et al. 2023). In addition, being a sentinel species, the 157 willow ptarmigan is well suited as an indicator species for ecosystem status; in Norway it is 158 included in both the main national biodiversity (Nature Index for Norway, Jakobsson and

- 159 Pedersen 2020, https://www.naturindeks.no/Indicators/lirype) and ecosystem condition
- 160 (Assessment of the Ecological Condition, Framstad et al. 2022) assessments.

161 Data collection, management, and preparation

162 Line transect sampling

163 The line transect survey data were collected through a structured participatory monitoring 164 program called "Hønsefuglportalen" (https://honsefugl.nina.no/Innsyn/en). In the first 165 three weeks of August each year, trained volunteer fieldworkers collect observations of 166 willow ptarmigan and other grouse species (rock ptarmigan *Lagopus muta*, black grouse 167 *Lyrurus tetrix*, and capercaillie *Tetrao urogallus*) along predefined line transects. To 168 increase the detection probability, fieldworkers use pointing dogs to locate the birds. A 169 survey team typically consist of at least two people (one dog handler and one person 170 responsible for following the transect line) and one dog. Often, more than one dog is used 171 for a survey, but only one dog should be used at a time. The transect lines vary in length, but 172 are typically between 1 and 8 km (range: 0.3-16.2 km, median: 3 km). When birds are 173 observed, the exact location of observation is reported, along with its perpendicular 174 distance from the transect line, as well as the age and sex of the birds. An observation 175 typically includes 1 - 12 birds (mean = 5.6), with groups > 1 typically representing one 176 brood (female and or male with young-of-the-year chicks). When the surveys are conducted 177 in August, the chicks of the year are able to fly but can be distinguished from older birds as 178 they are still of smaller body size. Since 2019, most of the data has been collected using a 179 mobile app tailored to the monitoring program, where the field workers can register and 180 get access to the transect lines allocated to them by the local organizers. Prior to 2019, data 181 were collected on a dedicated fieldwork form, and entered manually in a web portal 182 afterwards. After field data has been registered, it undergoes several steps of quality control 183 carried out by local stakeholders and personnel from the Norwegian Institute for Nature 184 Research (NINA). Surveys are carried out on both public and private land. After an initial 185 embargo period, all data from public land are published and made freely available as a 186 sampling-event data set on GBIF (https://www.gbif.org/sampling-event-data). The 187 published datasets contain both metadata about the transect surveys (survey date, line

transect length and location, study area ID, etc.) and bird observation data (species, number
of birds of different categories (adult males, adult females, juveniles, and birds of unknown
category), perpendicular distance to transect line, exact location, and time of observation).
Formally, the data from public land is published as three distinct data sets, one for each of
the main public land administrators (Statskog, FeFo and Fjellstyrene, respectively).

193 Notably, the program is not designed as a centralized national monitoring programme, but 194 rather a collection of local and regional survey programs. All involved survey areas use a 195 common field protocol and data collection model. In addition, the local study designs are 196 reviewed by staff at NINA, and common recommendations for study design are provided. 197 However, because participation by stakeholders is voluntary, the spatial distribution of 198 transects and sampling effort is not homogeneous across space. In general, sampling effort 199 is higher in South-Eastern and Central Norway, intermediate in Northern Norway, and low 200 in Western and Southern Norway.

In this study we used all publicly available data for the period 2007-2021, which included a
total of 2225 transects in 41 different reporting districts spanning 9 counties and 50
municipalities. Transects on which no willow ptarmigan were observed during the study
period (i.e. species absence likely due to low habitat suitability) were not included. After
this initial filtering, a total of 2077 transects were included in the analyses.

206 Radio-telemetry study in Lierne

207 The model of Nilsen and Nater (2024) integrated line transect data with radio-telemetry 208 data from from an ongoing field study of marked willow ptarmigans in Lierne municipality 209 in Central Norway. From 2015 to 2019, around 50 birds were captured in winter (late 210 February or early March) each year and fitted with VHF collars. The marked birds were 211 then monitored on a regular basis until they either i) died, ii) their transmitter's battery 212 stopped working, or iii) we lost contact with the bird for other reasons. For most of the 213 year, the birds were monitored at least once a month by radio triangulation. Most of the 214 fieldwork was conducted from the ground, but to avoid data gaps, the birds were also 215 triangulated from helicopters in May, September, and November. During the breeding and 216 chick-rearing season (May to July) birds were monitored more often, and during December

- and January we obtained fewer observations due to challenging field work conditions. A
- 218 proportion of the birds were harvest annually in the regular recreational harvest, and birds
- 219 that were harvested were reported as shot to the field personnel. In addition, as the collars
- had mortality switch, we were also able to locate and retrieve a high proportion of birds
- that died for natural causes, resulting in a known-fate mark-recapture dataset. The radio-
- telemetry study is described in detail in Israelsen et al. (2020) and in Arnekleiv et al.
- 223 (2022).
- In this study we used data from years 2015 2020, and the total sample size across these
 years was 139 birds for the Aug-Jan period and 258 birds for the Feb-Jul period.

226 Rodent occupancy data

227 As part of the line transect sampling (see above), observers are also requested to report 228 whether they have seen any small rodents while surveying a transect. For each transect 229 survey, this information is recorded as 1's (small rodents spotted at least once) and 0's (no 230 small rodents spotted). We aggregated this data into area- and year-specific rodent 231 occupancy covariates by averaging the 0 and 1 reports for all transect surveys within a 232 given area and year and subsequently z-standardizing values. We note that while we refer 233 to the covariate as "rodent occupancy" throughout the manuscript, it can be interpreted as 234 an index for rodent abundance.

235 National-scale integrated model

236 Integrated distance sampling model (IDSM) for willow ptarmigan

Nilsen and Nater (2024) recently developed an integrated distance sampling model (IDSM)
which jointly analyses line transect and radio-telemetry data and applied it to willow
ptarmigan in the Western part of Lierne municipality in Norway. The model consists of a
population model with two age classes (juveniles and adults) and four data likelihoods: 1)
likelihood for observation distances from transect lines for estimating detection
probability; 2) likelihood for age-specific counts on transect surveys for estimating
numbers of juveniles and adults present; 3) likelihood for juvenile to adult ratios observed

at the locality level to provide estimate recruitment rate (as juveniles/adult); and 4)

- 245 likelihood for known-fate telemetry data to estimate seasonal and annual survival. Below,
- 246 we describe our new extension of this model to include data from several areas as opposed
- to just one. For more detailed information on the single-site model, including tests of model
- 248 performance, see Nilsen and Nater (2024).

249 Multi-area model extension

- 250 For applying the ptarmigan IDSM across all 41 reporting districts we included an area index
- in all model parameters (Figure 1) and enabled sharing of information among areas by
- explicitly modelling spatial variation alongside shared temporal and residual variation in
- 253 vital rates and detection parameters.



Figure 1: Simplified graphical representation of the ptarmigan life cycle with two age classes and the data sources included in the integrated distance sampling model. The pink "x"'s indicate the added dimension for area. Juv[x,t] = juveniles in area x year t. Ad[x,t] = adults in area x in year t. R[x,t] = recruitment rate in area x in year t. S[x,t] = survival probability from year t to t+1 in area x. Note that the additional site (=transect) dimension, "j", is omitted for the sake of illustration. 254 The spatially-explicit formulation of the two age-class population model can be written as:

255
$$D_{juv,x,j,t+1} = D_{ad,x,j,t+1} * R_{x,t+1}$$
$$D_{ad,x,j,t+1} = S_{x,t} * (D_{juv,x,j,t} + D_{ad,x,j,t})$$

Here, $D_{juv,j,x,t}$ and $D_{ad,j,x,t}$ are the densities of juvenile and adult ptarmigan in survey site (= transect) *j* of area *x* in year *t*, respectively. Both juveniles and adult survive from year *t* to t + 1 with an area- (*x*) and year- (*t*) specific survival probability $S_{x,t}$, and survivors produce the next generation of juveniles according to an area- and year-specific recruitment rate $(R_{x,t})$.

The initial densities, $D_{juv,x,j,1}$ and $D_{ad,x,j,1}$ are modelled for each site (= transect) as random realizations of log normal distributions with area-specific log means (μ_x^{D1}) and log standard deviations (σ_x^{D1}). Survival ($S_{x,t}$) and recruitment ($R_{x,t}$), on the other hand, are assumed to be the same for all sites *j* within a given area *x* and were modelled as:

265
$$logit(S_{x,t}) = logit(\mu^{S}) + \varepsilon_{x}^{X,S} + \varepsilon_{t}^{T,S} + \varepsilon_{x,t}^{R,S}$$
$$log(R_{x,t}) = log(\mu^{R}) + \beta_{x} * rodentOcc_{x,t} + \varepsilon_{x}^{X,R} + \varepsilon_{t}^{T,R} + \varepsilon_{x,t}^{R,R}$$

The global means , μ , and the normally distributed spatial random effects, ε^X , represent the 266 equivalent of what is elsewhere referred to as "hyper-parameter distributions" for sharing 267 268 information on demographic rates across areas (e.g. Horswill et al. 2019, 2021). We also 269 used this same approach for defining the area-specific effects (β_x) of local yearly rodent 270 occupancy ($rodentOcc_{x,t}$) on recruitment. In addition to spatial variation in survival and 271 recruitment, we also included large-scale temporal variation through random year effects that were shared by across all areas (ε_t^T) and otherwise unaccounted for variation through 272 year- and area-specific residual random effects ($\varepsilon_{x,t}^R$). Spatial, temporal, and residual 273 274 random effects were modelled as normally distributed with globally defined (= shared) 275 standard deviations.

The three likelihoods for data resulting from the line transect sampling (observation
distances, age-specific counts, and juvenile to adult ratios, see above) were also formulated
as spatially explicit, with year- and area-specific distance sampling detection parameters
modelled in the same way as survival and recruitment (except the effect of rodent

- 280 occupancy, Figure 1). For the known-fate telemetry data (and the seasonal decomposition
- of survival estimated from it), on the other hand, we did not add an additional area
- dimension as this data was only available for on study area (the Lierne area).

283 Model implementation

We implemented our multi-area IDSM in a Bayesian framework using NIMBLE version 1.0.1

- 285 (Valpine et al. 2017) in R version 4.3.1 (R Core Team 2023). For the likelihood for line
- transect observation distances we used a custom half-normal distribution developed by
- 287 Michael Scroggie in the "nimbleDistance" package
- 288 (https://github.com/scrogster/nimbleDistance). We used non-informative uniform priors
- for all parameters, but used biologically sensible boundaries where possible. We simulated
- 290 complete sets of initial values for all model nodes prior to model running and using pre-
- defined seeds to ensure reproducibility. Using NIMBLE's standard samplers, we then ran 5
- 292 MCMC chains of 150k iterations each. We discarded the first 75k samples of each chain as
- burn-in, and thinned the remainder by a factor 25, resulting in a final joint posterior
- 294 containing a total of 5 x 3k = 15k samples (note that high thinning rates were necessary to
- constrain memory load of the joint posterior, which included 314568 monitored
- 296 parameters).

297 Post-hoc variance decomposition

- 298 Following model fitting, we calculated posterior distributions for the proportions of
- variance in survival probabilities, recruitment rates, and detection decay explained by 1)
- 300 spatial variation (*var_{area}*), 2) temporal variation (*var_{year}*), 3) residual variation
- 301 (*var_{residual}*), and 4) variation in rodent occupancy (*var_{rodent}*). To obtain the proportion
- 302 variance explained by each of the component, we divided it by the sum of all the
- 303 components ($var_{area} + var_{year} + var_{residual} + var_{rodent}$). The spatial, temporal, and
- 304 residual variance components were defined as the square of the estimated corresponding
- random effects standard deviation from the model while *var_{rodent}* was calculated as the
- 306 variance of all area- and year-specific $\beta_x * rodentOcc_{x,t}$ products. This approach for

- 307 variance decomposition is equivalent to that used by Nater et al. (2018) and inspired by
- 308 Nakagawa and Schielzeth (2013).
- **309 Reproducible workflow with**

310 "targets"

- 311 Reproducibility and ease of repeating
- 312 analyses was a key focus when developing
- 313 the multi-area IDSM. To that end, we set
- 314 up the workflow as a "targets pipeline",
- 315 implemented through the R package
- 316 "targets" (Landau 2021). The pipeline
- 317 contains a variety of options for
- 318 controlling modelling decisions in the
- 319 workflow such as the year range of data to
- 320 consider, the level of spatial aggregation
- 321 (i.e. reporting district vs. survey locality),
- 322 whether to model time variation in
- 323 survival and/or effects of rodent
- 324 occupancy, whether to run MCMC chains
- 325 sequentially or in parallel, etc. A visual
- 326 representation of the pipeline is also
- 327 displayed in Figure 2 and for more details
- 328 on pipeline implementation and options,
- 329 we refer the reader to the GitHub
- 330 repository:
- 331 https://github.com/ErlendNilsen/OpenPo
- 332 p_Integrated_DistSamp.



Ptarmigan IDSM Workflow

Figure 2: Graphical representation of the "targets pipeline" for the multi-area modelling setup. Upward facing triangles are functions, downward facing triangles are general options/arguments, circles are objects and outputs (="targets") created as part of the workflow.

334 **Results**

All numerical results in the following are presented as median [95% credible interval]
unless otherwise indicated. Posterior summaries (median, 95% credible interval, mean,
standard deviation, coefficient of variation) for all main parameters are also provided in the
supplementary file "PosteriorSummaries_byAreas.csv". Supplementary figures (SFs) are
provided as .pdf files with captions in "SuppFigures_Captions.txt"; all files are deposited on
OSF (https://osf.io/7326r/).

341 **Population density**

342 Only during the most recent four years (2018-2021) has data been collected regularly for 343 all reporting areas included in the analyses. During this period, estimated population densities varied between 2.205 [1.551, 3.097] birds/km² in the area "Statskog og Klinga 344 utm." close to the coast in central Norway to 55.85 [51.699, 60.003] birds/km² in "Ålen og 345 346 Haltdalen Fjellstyre" further south near the Swedish border. In general, recent population 347 density appeared to be lowest in the northern Norway and highest in the eastern part of 348 central Norway (Figure 3 (a)). Uncertainty in density estimates was relatively consistent, 349 with a few areas (including the one with the lowest estimated density, "Statskog og Klinga 350 utm.") sticking out by having substantially less precise estimates (Figure 3 (b)). Populations 351 fluctuated substantially over time in any given area (SF "TimeSeries_popDens1.pdf") and 352 some years seemed to be indicative of relatively high (e.g. 2011, 2014, 2018) or low 353 (e.g. 2012, 2015) densities across a substantial number of areas.



(a) Median density estimates

(b) Uncertainty in density estimates

Figure 3: Median (a) and coefficient of variation (standard deviation / mean) (b) of posterior estimates of average ptarmigan density in the four most recent years (2018-2021) across 41 reporting areas (summarised at the municipality level) in Norway. Darker colors indicate higher median values and higher uncertainty.

354 **Population growth rate**

- Average population growth rates over the last four years (2018-2021) ranged from
- 356 moderate declines (0.718 [0.639, 0.923] in the "Kongsvoll" area) to > 50% increase (1.553
- 357 [1.262, 1.961] in the "Statskog og Klinga utm." area). In the majority of reporting areas (24
- out of 41), populations of willow ptarmigan have been increasing over the period 2018-
- 359 2021 (Figure 4). Some areas predominantly in central Norway also had declining
- 360 populations, but many of those declines followed upon periods of increase between the
- 361 start of data collection in 2007 and sometime between 2016 and 2018 (SF
- 362 "TimeSeries_popDens1.pdf").



(a) Median population growth rate estimates

(b) Uncertainty in population growth rate estimates

Figure 4: Median (a) and standard deviation (b) of posterior estimates of average annual population growth rate over the four most recent years (2018-2021) across 41 reporting areas (summarised at the municipality level) in Norway. In a), pinkish colors indicate declining populations while greenish colors indicate growing populations (white = stable populations). In b) darker colors indicate higher uncertainty.

363

- 364 The highest recent population growth rates were estimated for areas with relatively low
- 365 recent population densities across latitudes but we did not find evidence for a strong
- association between population growth rates and population densities overall (Figure 5 A).



Figure 5: Posterior summaries (points = posterior medians, lines = 95% credible intervals) of area-specific population growth rate vs. population density over the four most recent years (2018-2021, A), recruitment rate vs. survival probability (B) and rodent effect on recruitment along a longitudinal gradient (C). Color indicates latitude of the midpoint of each area.

367 Survival probabilities and recruitment rates

- Annual survival probabilities ranged from 0.34 [0.265, 0.431] (area "Statskog og Klinga
- utm.") to 0.453 [0.362, 0.569] (area "Eidfjord Fjellstyre") across reporting areas in Norway,
- 370 with the highest values occurring in the far north and in the mountains in the south
- 371 (Figure 6 (a)). The global average survival probability across all areas and years (μ^{S}) was
- estimated at 0.4 [0.347, 0.459]. Spatial variation in survival (random effect SD = 0.169
- 373 [0.079, 0.28]) was relatively low compared to temporal (0.548 [0.291, 1.042]) and residual
- 374 (0.636 [0.577, 0.703]) variation.
- Recruitment rates varied between 1.701 [1.404, 2.02] (area "Gausdal Fjellstyre") and 3.229
- 376 [2.6, 4.018] (area "Statskog og Klinga utm.") and displayed a spatial pattern opposite to that

of annual survival, i.e., lower recruitment rates co-occurring with higher survival rates and
vice-versa (Figure 6 (b); Figure 5 B). Across all areas and years, average recruitment rate
was 2.383 [2.155, 2.835]. Unlike for survival, the model predicted similar magnitudes of
spatial and temporal variation (random effect SDs of 0.167 [0.126, 0.233] and 0.121 [0.069,
0.205], respectively), and about twice as much residual variation (0.331 [0.307, 0.356]).



(a) Median survival probabilities

(b) Median recruitment rates

Figure 6: Posterior medians of average annual survival probabilities (a) and recruitment rates (b) across 41 reporting areas (summarised at the municipality level) in Norway. Darker colors indicate higher median values. Measures for corresponding uncertainty in estimates are visualized in SFs "Avg_pSurv_Map.pdf" and "Avg_rRep_Map.pdf" for survival and recruitment, respectively.

382

- 383 The MCMC chains for many of the area-specific average survival probabilities and
- 384 recruitment rates, as well as for the global averages for both vital rates, were mixing rather
- 385 poorly. Despite that, mixing was good and resulting posteriors well defined for the area-

and vear-specific estimates of survival and recruitment (SF "PostDens tS tR.pdf"). There 386 387 was substantial variation in both vital rates across time (SFs "TimeSeries pSurv.pdf" and 388 "TimeSeries_rRep.pdf"). In a substantial number of areas, the years 2011, 2014, and 2018 389 not only supported high population densities (see above) but were also characterized by 390 both high recruitment and low subsequent survival. The overall low density years 2012 391 and 2015, conversely, often featured lower recruitment and, in some cases, higher survival. 392 Notably, there were also years with very little spatial synchrony, i.e. very different relative 393 yearly survival probabilities and recruitment rates (e.g. 2010 and 2020 for survival and 394 2013, 2016, and 2017 for recruitment).

395 Effects of rodent occupancy

396 The model predicted a positive global effect of rodent occupancy on recruitment rate 397 (average slope on the log scale = 0.067 [-0.004, 0.124]). Nonetheless, spatial variation in the 398 rodent effect was substantial (random effect SD = 0.093 [0.031, 0.153]). This resulted in 399 negative (median) effects in 4 areas, positive (median) effects in 37 areas, and a range of 400 effect sizes from -0.031 [-0.205, 0.1] (area "Selbu Fjellstyre") to 0.154 [0.025, 0.332] (area 401 "Indre Finnmark", Figure 5 C, SF "Rep betaR.R.pdf"). The largest positive rodent effects 402 were estimated for areas in the very North of Norway, as well as in the mountaineous 403 regions in the central and southwestern parts of the country (SF "betaR_Map.pdf"). Effects 404 with negative posterior medians were located mostly at intermediate latitudes, but we note 405 that all of these had posterior distributions featuring substantial overlap with 0 (Figure 5 406 C).

407 **Detection parameters**

408 Detection decay parameters, which determine detection probability in distance sampling

409 surveys, varied across areas from between 69.461 [61.075, 79.231] in "Namskogan

- 410 Fjellstyre" to 125.03 [109.715, 142.31] in "Engerdal Fjellstyre, resulting in detection
- 411 probabilities over the transect sites ranging from 0.435 [0.383, 0.497] to 0.784 [0.688,
- 412 0.892], respectively (truncation distance = 200 m). The global average detection decay was
- 413 95.668 [86.858, 105.263] (detection probability = 0.6 [0.544, 0.66]), and in general, higher

- 414 values were more common in the Southern half of the country than the Northern half
- 415 (SF"Avg_detect_Map.pdf"). Variation in detection over time was modest (SF
- 416 "TimeSeries_pDetect.pdf"), and among-year variation in detection decay (random effect log
- 417 SD = 0.144 [0.097, 0.234]) was almost identical in magnitude to spatial (0.143 [0.109, 0.19])
- 418 and residual (0.142 [0.124, 0.16]) variation.

419 Variance decomposition

- 420 The relative importance of different
- 421 components for explaining parameter
- 422 variation differed among recruitment
- 423 rate, survival probability, and
- 424 detection decay (Figure 7). The
- 425 largest portion of variation in
- 426 recruitment was attributed to
- 427 residual variation (65.3 [53.2, 76] %),
- 428 followed by spatial (16.7 [9.8, 28.5]
- 429 %) and temporal (8.8 [2.9, 22.3] %)
- 430 variation. Rodent occupancy, which
- 431 contains both a spatial and a
- 432 temporal dimension, explained 7.8
- 433 [2.3, 13.6] % of the total variation.
- 434 For survival, there was large
- 435 uncertainty in the estimated
- 436 proportions of variance explained by
- 437 different components. The model
- 438 predicted similar potential
- 439 contributions from temporal (40.5



Figure 7: Posterior distributions for the proportions of parameter variance explained by spatial (blue), temporal (orange), and residual (green) variation, as well as by effects of rodent occupancy (yellow).

- 440 [16.6, 71.2] %) and residual (54.7 [26.7, 80.7] %) variation and suggested that spatial
- 441 variation was only responsible for 3.6 [0.9, 9.6] % of the total variance. Total variance in
- 442 detection decay was attributed evenly to spatial, temporal, and residual variation at 32.8
- 443 [18, 49.6] %, 33.6 [17.5, 58.6] %, and 31.9 [19.5, 45.3] %, respectively.

444 **Discussion**

445 Building on the work of Nilsen and Nater (2024), we applied a novel integrated population 446 model to data collected through a national-scale participatory monitoring programme to 447 estimate spatial and temporal variation in demography of a culturally important game bird 448 species, the willow ptarmigan. While our study was exploratory in nature, it recovered 449 patterns consistent with ecological and life-history theory including trade-offs between 450 survival and recruitment, and a tendency towards slower life histories at higher latitudes 451 and altitudes. Space-for-time substitution also provided the statistical power necessary for 452 the analysis to provide evidence for the alternative prey hypothesis, i.e. ptarmigans 453 benefiting from high abundance of alternative rodent prey for their predators. Taken 454 together, the results highlight the potential of integrating demographic data across large 455 spatial scales in the contexts of both informing management and creating biodiversity 456 indicators for higher-level reporting.

457 Abundance and vital rates across space and time

The wide spatial distribution of the line transect monitoring afforded us the opportunity to
explore variation in population density and vital rates across a relatively large spatial
extent.

461 Ptarmigan densities across the 41 reporting districts included in our analyses varied from 462 around 2 birds/km² to 55 birds/km², with the lowest densities occurring far north in the 463 country, as well as on the west coast and in the mountains in central Norway Figure 3 (a). 464 The same spatial pattern was also evident at the level of the demographic rates: consistent 465 with basic life history theory (Stearns 1992), average recruitment rates were inversely 466 related to average survival probabilities Figure 5, and the slower life histories (higher 467 survival and lower recruitment) were more common in the northern and mountaineous 468 parts of the country. This aligns with previous studies reporting relatively slower bird life 469 histories in alpine / high altitude areas (e.g. Sandercock, Martin, and Hannon 2005; Bears, 470 Martin, and White 2009; Wilson and Martin 2011; Alice Boyle, Sandercock, and Martin 471 2016). In Norway, the northern and mountainous areas are characterized by more extreme climatic conditions, boasting cold temperatures and short growing seasons. Resulting
reduced primary production limits food availability and as ptarmigan are income breeders
that use food resources acquired from nesting areas to supply energy and nutrients for egg
production and incubation (Sandercock, Martin, and Hannon 2005), lower carrying capacity
in such areas is to be expected.

477 We found increasing population trends over recent years in over half of the reporting 478 districts, but population declines were also evident in some areas, particularly in the 479 mountains in central Norway Figure 4 (a). Predominantly increasing population trends are 480 consistent with a recent national-scale analysis by Nilsen and Rød-Eriksen (2020) which 481 found an overall increase in the Norwegian ptarmigan population between 2009 and 2020. 482 While we may speculate that recent population trends could be linked to changes in harvest 483 regulations and/or climatic conditions, considering the whole time-series (2007-2021) 484 illustrated that population densities in all areas were subject to substantial variation across 485 years, featuring periods of stability, increase, and decrease (SF 486 "TimeSeries PopDens1.pdf"). In most areas, there were also strong year-by-year 487 fluctuations in population density on top of longer-term trends. Some of the resulting "high 488 density years" were highly synchronized across large spatial scales, such as the years 2011. 489 2013, and 2018. Taking a closer look, we find that these are years that are characterized by 490 high recruitment (SF "TimeSeries_rRep.pdf"), followed by a low survival the year after (SF

491 "TimeSeries_pSurv.pdf"). This often resulted in steep population declines towards the

following year. The fact that these same years also match up with observed peaks in rodent

abundance in many areas, together with the largely positive effects of rodent occupancy on
recruitment estimated by our model (Figure 5 C), provides evidence for the Alternative

495 Prey Hypothesis [APH; Hagen (1952)]. The APH stipulates that high abundance of

496 alternative prey (rodents, in this case) for common predators leads to population growth,

497 and is well-supported throughout the literature for a range of taxa (e.g., Hagen 1952;

498 Kjellander and Nordström 2003; Reif et al. 2001), including willow ptarmigan (Bowler et al.

499 2020). While Nyström et al. (2006) suggested that gyrfalcons, which are specialized

500 ptarmigan predators, do not respond to rodent populations or switch to alternative prey

501 when ptarmigan populations are low, generalist predators, such as red foxes, are likely to

shift from preying on ptarmigans to rodents when the latter become abundant (e.g.
Breisjøberget, Odden, Wegge, et al. 2018; Bowler et al. 2020). Taking a spatial perspective,
the highest latitude and highest altitude areas stood out once more, sporting the strongest
effects of rodent occupancy (SF "betaR_Map.pdf"). This could be related to warmer areas
generally having larger predator guilds, and consequently more generalists that are able to
maintain relatively stable populations irrespective of small rodent abundance (Bowler et al.
2020).

509 Notably, the conclusive estimation of overall positive effects of rodent occupancy on 510 recruitment in our model was only possible thanks to the integration and sharing of data across multiple areas. When Nilsen and Nater (2024) fit the IDSM to data from only a single 511 512 area, they were unable to obtain a reliable estimate for the rodent effect due to limited 513 statistical power. Consequently, the space-for-time substitution that comes with extending 514 the model across multiple area allows estimation of covariate effects that otherwise cannot 515 be estimated, and opens up for future possibilities for studying effects of not just rodents, but also other environmental drivers on ptarmigan population dynamics. Doing so may also 516 517 help with better understanding the mechanisms underlying the large portion of 518 demographic rate variation that could only be attributed to random variation so far. This is 519 the case especially for the relatively large residual variation Figure 7 but also relevant for 520 constant spatial and shared temporal variation. In previous work based on both marked 521 (Eriksen et al. 2023) and unmarked birds (Bowler et al. 2020; J. A. Henden et al. 2020; 522 Novoa et al. 2016), spring conditions has come out as an important predictor of ptarmigan 523 recruitment rates. In general, warmer and earlier springs seem to favour earlier breeding, 524 larger clutch sizes (Eriksen et al. 2023), and resulting higher recruitment rates measured in 525 the late summer and early fall. Bowler et al. (2020) further reported that the strength of this 526 relationship was not consistent in time and space, but was generally stronger in colder 527 areas, similar to what we found for the effect of rodent occupancy here. In practice, 528 measures representing spring conditions, such as the cover of ericaceous shrubs (a proxy 529 for food availability) or spatially-explicit spring green up dates derived from remote-530 sensing data, thus constitute relevant candidate covariates for future work alongside 531 temperature.

532 Another important determinant of vital rate variation is density dependence, in particular 533 for exploited species like willow ptarmigan (Andrewartha and Birch 1954; Sandercock et al. 534 2011; Aanes et al. 2002; Willebrand and Hörnell 2001). Negative density dependence has 535 been found in several gallinaceous birds such as northern bobwhites *Colinus virginianus* 536 (McConnell et al. 2018), Perdix perdix (Bro et al. 2003), and wild turkeys Meleagris 537 *aallopavo* (McGhee and Berkson 2007)). For willow ptarmigan, evidence for densitydependent population regulation has been mixed. Myrberget (1988), for example, observed 538 539 no change in productivity despite a 50% decrease in abundance, while Pedersen et al. 540 (2004) reported strong negative density-dependence over winter and posited that 541 dispersal may be the vital rate that responded to changes in density most strongly. 542 Similarly, J. A. Henden et al. (2020) reported negative density dependence when using a 543 Gompertz-model to examine how density and a range of environmental covariates affected 544 willow ptarmigan population dynamics in the northernmost parts of Norway. While we did 545 not explicitly model density dependence in this study, our results can provide some 546 preliminary insights into potential density feedbacks from both a spatial (cross-population) 547 and a temporal (within-population) angle. Comparing average population densities and 548 growth rate across areas did not provide evidence for strong density dependence, but there 549 was a tendency towards the highest population growth rates appearing areas with 550 relatively low density, and relatively low growth rates in high-density areas Figure 5. When 551 considering density dependence across years within select areas, however, we found that 552 higher density years were associated with higher recruitment the same year, but followed 553 by lower apparent survival probabilities and, consequently, lower population growth rates 554 (as determined by post-hoc Pearson correlation coefficients, supplementary file 555 "DD corrCoef.csv"). While this seems to support the notion of negative density-dependence, 556 testing for this post-hoc gives results that are confounded with sampling correlation 557 (Freckleton et al. 2006). Hence, formally modelling density-dependence, possibly using 558 different forms and time-lags, could prove to be a promising extension of our modelling 559 framework in the future.

560 Implications for management and monitoring

561 Management decisions made at the resolution of large geopolitical boundaries (e.g., 562 Norway) run a high risk of being inadequate when there is substantial spatial variation in 563 demographic processes and population dynamics, as is the case for willow ptarmigan. In 564 Norway, willow ptarmigan – and small game in general – is managed at the local and/or 565 regional scale, with rather limited national regulation beyond updating the length of the 566 hunting season every fourth year. In effect, management system, regulation type (quota 567 type, season length, number of licences, bag limit etc.), and quota size are governed by the 568 local or regional stakeholders (Eriksen, Moa, and Nilsen 2018; Breisjøberget, Odden, 569 Storaas, et al. 2018). Thus, while national estimates (abundance and/or temporal trend in 570 abundance) might be important for red listing decisions and for setting the maximum 571 hunting season length, remaining decisions about harvest management are taken locally. 572 The results from our study highlight a large degree of spatio-temporal variation in both 573 ptarmigan densities and demographic rates, suggesting that it is indeed suitable for 574 management decisions to be spatially refined and ideally informed by up-to-date 575 knowledge about recent "local" population processes. Accessible and easily repeatable 576 modelling workflows, such as the one we have developed in this study, can thus become a 577 valuable source of information for local decision-makers.

578 Our results also provided some insights into the value, and possibly opportunities for 579 improving the monitoring programme. First and foremost, our study demonstrates the 580 tremendous potential lying in coordinating structured monitoring that employs common 581 sampling protocol, training programmes, and data processing pipelines. These were indeed 582 the prerequisites that allowed us to easily and efficiently integrate data collected across the 583 entire country in a joint analysis, and draw inference on fine-scale spatio-temporal 584 variation in demography and population dynamics at across a large area. While overall less 585 variable across space and time than vital rates, differences in detection probabilities were 586 nonetheless evident (SFs "Avg_detect_Map.pdf" and "TimeSeries_pDetect.pdf") and may 587 help with mapping out potential for improvement in the monitoring programme. 588 Particularly, we found generally lower detection probabilities in the northern half of 589 Norway. This may be related to habitat features, as the transects in the North might be to a

590 larger extent located in birch forests and rugged terrain, which may hamper detectability. 591 Additionally, the slower life histories in the northern areas are reflected as generally 592 smaller bird clusters as well, and smaller clusters have previously been shown to have a 593 lower detectability than larger ones (e.g. Bowler et al. 2020, see also next section). Our 594 modelling framework can be easily adapted for studying the impact of these and other 595 variables on detectability (see below). Additionally, our results could motivate taking a 596 closer look at monitoring challenges and potential improvements in the northern part of the 597 country in particular. Ultimately, increased detection probability would contribute to 598 obtaining more precise estimates of both population density and demographic rates, which 599 - in turn – would be of great value in particular in areas with relatively low population 600 densities, low number of transects, and less years of data.

601 Model limitations and outlook

602 The primary focus of this work was placed on developing an effective pipeline for 603 integrating data and modelling population dynamics across a large number of areas. 604 Consequently, many additional opportunities for improving and refining the modelling 605 framework itself remain. First, the precision and accuracy of model estimates might be 606 increased through better accounting for heterogeneity and potential biases in detection of 607 birds during the line transect surveys. In an earlier study analyzing data from the same 608 monitoring programme, Bowler et al. (2020), found that detection probability was not 609 independent of the size of group birds were part of, resulting in birds in larger groups being 610 more likely to be detected, especially at larger distances. When birds are observed in larger 611 groups, it is also not unlikely that human observers may miscount, i.e. that there is some 612 observation error in the number reported. This could be incorporated by including an 613 additional layer of hierarchy to the observation process (see e.g., Hamilton et al. 2018), and 614 possibly further extended to also account for error in judging the observation distance (e.g., 615 Margues 2004). Another potential source of bias in our IDSM is related to failure to 616 correctly assign the age class of observed birds. Nilsen and Nater (2024) showed that 617 incorrect age assessment can bias (relative) estimates of survival and recruitment, and 618 while they only found a weak bias in their case study on a single area, the problem may be 619 larger in a multi-area setting that may contain areas with different proportions of

620 misclassified observations. If misclassification happened at random, mixture models could 621 be used to determine the likely age class of individuals to whom no age class was assigned 622 during observations (McCrea, Morgan, and Cole 2013). In our case, we might suspect that 623 an observed is more likely to classify an adult bird as juvenile rather than the other way around, and more likely to assign "unknown" age class to juveniles than adults. One reason 624 625 for this is that observers look for specific signs to classifying a bird as adult (e.g. size, male 626 sound), and might default to juvenile or unknown if the signs are not clearly detected. 627 Future studies should investigate to what degree available information on e.g. group 628 composition could be used for this, and what kind of auxiliary data would need to be 629 collected to reliably model misclassification error.

630 The second (and perhaps most attractive) aspect of our modelling framework in the context 631 of future work is its spatio-temporal hierarchical structure. While we included spatial, 632 temporal, and residual variation in our framework here, we treated them as independent. 633 Alternatively, spatial (and temporal) correlations among parameters can be modelled 634 explicitly, something that is commonly done e.g. in modern species distribution models (e.g. 635 Pacifici et al. 2017; Guélat and Kéry 2018). For demographic models, this has rarely been 636 implemented so far, not least due to the fact that few demographic models have sufficient 637 spatial resolution (Schaub and Kéry 2021). The ptarmigan IDSM presented in this study, 638 however, does have sufficient resolution and our results do indeed support that there is 639 spatial clustering in both overall and time-dependent demographic parameters (e.g. 640 Figure 6, SFs "Avg_pSurv_Map.pdf" & "Avg_rRep_Map.pdf"). Furthermore, we did find that 641 mixing of several of the global and area-specific intercept parameters in the current model 642 was suboptimal, suggesting that there may be much to gain from additional structuring, as 643 well as from development of more efficient MCMC sampling strategies for the resulting 644 extended model. One promising framework for approaching this are conditionally 645 autoregressive models (CARs, Ver Hoef et al. 2018). Such models have been used repeatedly 646 for modelling spatial autocorrelation in species occupancy and demographic rates (e.g. 647 Saracco et al. 2010, 2012; Guélat and Kéry 2018) and are straightforward to implement 648 using NIMBLE (Lawson 2020). One possible challenge with using CAR models to explicitly 649 model spatial correlations within our ptarmigan IDSM is that CAR models rely on

"neighborhood" relationships between discrete areas and many "neighbors" are missing in 650 651 our ptarmigan data (e.g. Figure 3). While estimation of latent parameters in missing areas 652 may possible (Perry de Valpine, personal communication; Schaub and Kéry (2021) chapter 653 19), this also provides an opportunity for inclusion of additional data. The line transect 654 survey data included in this study constitutes just the publicly available part of the data 655 collected through "Hønsefuglportalen" but the programme also includes additional surveys 656 on private land. Extending to data from private land would provide better coverage 657 especially in south-eastern and southern parts of Norway, which includes areas where only 658 very limited amounts of data are collected on public land. Exploring to what degree 659 additional data from Hønsefuglportalen could be included in future studies employing an 660 extended IDSM with additional spatial structuring is therefore a worthwhile endeavor.

661 Finally, including further data beyond the line transect surveys may be relevant in the 662 future, and in particular in the context of informing and improving management of 663 ptarmigan hunting. In the present study, we have used auxiliary radio-telemetry data to 664 supplement information on survival, but since this data was available for only one out of 41 665 areas, its influence was likely small. Nonetheless, this illustrates a way for how smaller 666 datasets from single or subsets of areas can be integrated into a large-scale modelling 667 framework. Other relevant data could be included using the same approach, for example 668 data from ongoing nesting success monitoring, data from past studies of marked birds 669 (Sandercock et al. 2011), and data from other monitoring programs for breeding birds based on point counts (see e.g. the Norwegian Breeding Bird Monitoring: 670 671 https://hekkefuglovervakingen.nina.no/). The most relevant source of data to be included 672 into the IDSM framework in the near future, however, is harvest data. Such data might be available with different spatial and temporal resolutions. First, at the municipality level 673 674 there are data with national coverage collected annually by Statistics Norway 675 (https://www.ssb.no/). Second, many public land owners have data with much higher 676 temporal (daily) and spatial (harvest area) resolution, including both harvest bags and 677 harvest effort (number of hunters per area per day). As the IDSM framework is, in essence, 678 an IPM, harvest can be modelled through partitioning of survival into cause-specific 679 mortality in the process model and inclusion of relevant harvest data likelihoods (e.g.,

680 Gamelon et al. 2021; Nater et al. 2021). While harvest effects on willow ptarmigan have 681 been studied previously, much uncertainty remains (Sandercock et al. 2011; Aanes et al. 682 2002; Pedersen et al. 2004; Willebrand and Hörnell 2001). For example, little is known 683 about how harvest pressure and density feedbacks interact on different temporal and 684 spatial scales (Kyasnes et al. 2015), despite this knowledge being crucial for preventing 685 over-exploitation and ensuring sustainable harvest (Williams, Nichols, and Conrov 2002; 686 Breisjøberget, Odden, Storaas, et al. 2018). Additionally, harvest effects often interact with 687 other (emergent) factors such as climate change and habitat degradation, making predictive 688 models that account for harvest alongside other mechanisms invaluable for informing 689 policy changes (Gamelon, Sandercock, and Sæther 2019).

690 Reproducible workflows for a sustainable future

691 Producing a transparent and reproducible workflow for the analysis presented here was a 692 central objective in this study. We have done this by setting up "targets" pipeline (Landau 693 2021), which allows (re-)running the complete workflow from downloading the publicly 694 available data to visualizing the results produced by the IDSM Figure 2. Modern applied 695 ecology needs research to be published not just as scientific papers, but as reproducible and 696 well documented workflows (Lewis, Vander Wal, and Fifield 2018). This is particularly 697 crucial for research that is (to be) closely tied to management and/or used to create 698 biodiversity indicators that are to be reported nationally or internationally, or to be used by 699 industrial partners (Powers and Hampton 2019). This is both because of the enhanced 700 transparency and credibility provided by openly available reproducible workflows and 701 because of their cost-effectiveness, which allows for more sustainable use of funding in the 702 mid- to long-term. Finally, open and reproducible workflows facilitate collaboration and 703 inclusion of stakeholders in the research process, paving the path for the translational 704 science that is required for society to tackle the the biodiversity crisis (Rubert-Nason et al. 705 2021). It is our hope that this study can serve as an example of where to start.

706 Author contributions

- 707 Chloé R. Nater: Conceptualization, Methodology, Software, Formal analysis, Writing 708 Original Draft, Writing Review and editing, Visualization.
- 709 James A. Martin: Conceptualization, Writing Original Draft, Writing review and editing.
- 710 Erlend B. Nilsen: Conceptualization, Methodology, Data curation, Writing Original Draft,
- 711 Writing Review and editing, Project administration, Funding acquisition

712 Acknowledgements

- 713 We are grateful to the numerous volunteer observers (and their canine assistant) who
- collected ptarmigan observations as part of the "Hønsefuglportalen" monitoring effort.
- Additionally, we would like to thank Bernardo Brandão Niebuhr dos Santos for his help
- with spatial data visualization. J. A. Martin was supported by a Fulbright Fellowship and the
- 717 Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, which facilitated this collaboration.

718 Funding

- Funding for both data collection and analytic work was provided by the Norwegian
- 720 Environmental Agency (grant numbers 17010522, 19047014, and 22047004).

721 Conflict of interest disclosure

- The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflicts of
- interest in relation to the content of the article.

724 Data and code availability

- The raw data from the line transect surveys is deposited on GBIF and can be accessed freely
- via the Living Norway Data Portal (https://data.livingnorway.no/). The work presented

- above is based on versions 1.7, 1.8, and 1.12 for the datasets from Fjellstyrene (Nilsen,
- Vang, Kjønsberg, and J. 2022), Statskog (Nilsen, Vang, and I. 2022), and FeFo (Nilsen, Vang,
- 729 Kjønsberg, and E. 2022), respectively.
- 730 The auxiliary radio-telemetry data, rodent occupancy data, posterior summaries, and
- supplementary figures are archived on OSF (Nater, Nilsen, and Martin 2024).
- All code including the "targets pipeline" can be found in the project's repository on GitHub:
- 733 https://github.com/ErlendNilsen/OpenPop_Integrated_DistSamp. The results presented in
- this paper were created using version 2.0 of the code (ChloeRNater et al. 2024).

735

736 **References**

- 737 Aanes, Sondre, Steinar Engen, Bernt-Erik SÆther, Tomas Willebrand, and Vidar Marcström.
- 738 2002. "Sustainable Harvesting Strategies of Willow Ptarmigan in a Fluctuating
- 739 Environment." *Ecological Applications* 12 (1): 281–90.
- Aebischer, Nicholas J., and Julie A. Ewald. 2010. "Grey Partridge Perdix Perdix in the UK:
- Recovery Status, Set-Aside and Shooting." *Ibis* 152 (3): 530–42.
- 742 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-919X.2010.01037.x.
- Alice Boyle, W, Brett K Sandercock, and Kathy Martin. 2016. "Patterns and Drivers of
- 744 Intraspecific Variation in Avian Life History Along Elevational Gradients: A Meta-Analysis."
- 745 *Journal of Animal Ecology* 91 (2): 469–82.
- 746 Andrewartha, Herbert George, and L. Charles Birch. 1954. The Distribution and Abundance
- 747 *of Animals.* University of Chicago press.
- 748 Arnekleiv, Øyvind, Katrine Eldegard, Pål F. Moa, Lasse F. Eriksen, and Erlend B. Nilsen.
- 749 2022. "Drivers and Consequences of Partial Migration in an Alpine Bird Species." Journal
- 750 Article. *Ecology and Evolution* 12 (3): e8690.
- 751 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.8690.
- 752 Bears, H, K Martin, and GC White. 2009. "Breeding in High-Elevation Habitat Results in Shift
- to Slower Life-History Strategy Within a Single Species." *Journal of Animal Ecology* 78 (2):
 365–75.
- 755 Bowler, Diana E, Mikkel AJ Kvasnes, Hans C Pedersen, Brett K Sandercock, and Erlend B
- Nilsen. 2020. "Impacts of Predator-Mediated Interactions Along a Climatic Gradient on the
- Population Dynamics of an Alpine Bird." *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 287 (1941):
- 758 20202653.
- 759 Breisjøberget, Jo Inge, Morten Odden, Torstein Storaas, Erlend B. Nilsen, and Mikkel A. J.
- 760 Kvasnes. 2018. "Harvesting a Red-Listed Species: Determinant Factors for Willow
- 761 Ptarmigan Harvest Rates, Bag Sizes, and Hunting Efforts in Norway." European Journal of
- 762 Wildlife Research 64 (5): 54. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-018-1208-8.

- 763 Breisjøberget, Jo Inge, Morten Odden, Per Wegge, Barbara Zimmermann, and Harry
- 764 Andreassen. 2018. "The Alternative Prey Hypothesis Revisited: Still Valid for Willow
- 765 Ptarmigan Population Dynamics." *PLOS ONE* 13 (6): 1–14.
- 766 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0197289.
- 767 Bro, Elisabeth, Benoît Deldalle, Manuel Massot, François Reitz, and Slaheddine Selmi. 2003.
- 768 "Density Dependence of Reproductive Success in Grey Partridge Perdix Perdix Populations
- in France: Management Implications." *Wildlife Biology* 9 (2): 93–102.
- 770 https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.2003.031.
- 771 ChloeRNater, ErlendNilsen, christofferhohi, Matthew Grainger, and Bernardo Brandão
- 772 Niebuhr. 2024. "ErlendNilsen/OpenPop_Integrated_DistSamp: Ptarmigan IDSM v2.0."
- 773 Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10572340.
- Christie, Alec P, Tatsuya Amano, Philip A Martin, Silviu O Petrovan, Gorm E Shackelford,
- 775 Benno I Simmons, Rebecca K Smith, David R Williams, Claire FR Wordley, and William J
- 776 Sutherland. 2020. "Poor Availability of Context-Specific Evidence Hampers Decision-Making
- in Conservation." *Biological Conservation* 248: 108666.
- 778 Dickinson, Janis L, Benjamin Zuckerberg, and David N Bonter. 2010. "Citizen Science as an
- Ecological Research Tool: Challenges and Benefits." *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 41: 149–72.
- 781 Elton, Charles. 1942. *Voles, Mice and Lemmings: Problems in Population Dynamics*. Clarendon
 782 Press.
- 783 Eriksen, L. F., P. F. Moa, and E. B. Nilsen. 2018. "Quantifying Risk of Overharvest When
- 784 Implementation Is Uncertain." Journal Article. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 55 (2): 482–93.
- 785 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12992.
- 786 Eriksen, L. F., T. H. Ringsby, H. C. Pedersen, and E. B. Nilsen. 2023. "Climatic Forcing and
- 787 Individual Heterogeneity in a Resident Mountain Bird: Legacy Data Reveal Effects on
- Reproductive Strategies." Journal Article. *Royal Society Open Science* 10 (5): 221427.
- 789 https://doi.org/doi:10.1098/rsos.221427.

- 790 Feld, Christian K, Pedro Martins da Silva, José Paulo Sousa, Francesco De Bello, Rob Bugter,
- 791 Ulf Grandin, Daniel Hering, et al. 2009. "Indicators of Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services:
- A Synthesis Across Ecosystems and Spatial Scales." *Oikos* 118 (12): 1862–71.
- 793 Fraisl, Dilek, Gerid Hager, Baptiste Bedessem, Margaret Gold, Pen-Yuan Hsing, Finn
- 794 Danielsen, Colleen B Hitchcock, et al. 2022. "Citizen Science in Environmental and Ecological
- 795 Sciences." *Nature Reviews Methods Primers* 2 (1): 64.
- 796 Framstad, Erik, Nina E Eide, Wenche Eide, Kari Klanderud, Anders Kolstad, Joachim Töpper,
- 797 and Vigdis Vandvik. 2022. "Vurdering Av økologisk Tilstand for Fjell i Norge i 2021." *NINA*798 *Rapport* 2050.
- 799 Franke, Alastair, Knud Falk, Kevin Hawkshaw, Skip Ambrose, David L. Anderson, Peter J.
- 800 Bente, Travis Booms, et al. 2020. "Status and Trends of Circumpolar Peregrine Falcon and
- 601 Gyrfalcon Populations." Journal Article. *Ambio* 49 (3): 762–83.
- 802 https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01300-z.
- 803 Freckleton, R. P., A. R. Watkinson, R. E. Green, and W. J. Sutherland. 2006. "Census Error and
- 804 the Detection of Density Dependence." Journal Article. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 75 (4):
- 805 837–51. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2006.01121.x.
- 806 Fuglei, Eva, John-André Henden, Chris T. Callahan, Olivier Gilg, Jannik Hansen, Rolf A. Ims,
- Arkady P. Isaev, et al. 2020. "Circumpolar Status of Arctic Ptarmigan: Population Dynamics
- 808 and Trends." *Ambio* 49 (3): 749–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13280-019-01191-0.
- 809 Gamelon, Marlène, Chloé R Nater, Éric Baubet, Aurélien Besnard, Laura Touzot, Jean-Michel
- 810 Gaillard, Jean-dominique Lebreton, and Olivier Gimenez. 2021. "Efficient Use of Harvest
- 811 Data: A Size-Class-Structured Integrated Population Model for Exploited Populations."
- 812 *Ecography* 44 (9): 1296–1310.
- 813 Gamelon, Marlène, Brett K. Sandercock, and Bernt-Erik Sæther. 2019. "Does Harvesting
- 814 Amplify Environmentally Induced Population Fluctuations over Time in Marine and
- 815 Terrestrial Species?" *Journal of Applied Ecology* 56 (9): 2186–94.
- 816 https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.13466.

- 817 Guélat, Jérôme, and Marc Kéry. 2018. "Effects of Spatial Autocorrelation and Imperfect
- 818 Detection on Species Distribution Models." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 9 (6): 1614–
- 819 25.
- Hagen, Yngvar. 1952. *Rovfuglene Og Viltpleien*. Gyldendal Norsk forlag.
- 821 Hamilton, Olivia NP, Sophie E Kincaid, Rochelle Constantine, Lily Kozmian-Ledward,
- 822 Cameron G Walker, and Rachel M Fewster. 2018. "Accounting for Uncertainty in Duplicate
- 823 Identification and Group Size Judgements in Mark–Recapture Distance Sampling." *Methods*
- 824 *in Ecology and Evolution* 9 (2): 354–62.
- Henden, J. A., R. A. Ims, N. G. Yoccoz, E. J. Asbjornsen, A. Stien, J. P. Mellard, T. Tveraa, F.
- 826 Marolla, and J. U. Jepsen. 2020. "End-User Involvement to Improve Predictions and
- 827 Management of Populations with Complex Dynamics and Multiple Drivers." Journal Article.
- 828 *Ecol Appl* 30 (6): e02120. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2120.
- 829 Henden, John-André, Rolf Anker Ims, Eva Fuglei, and Åshild Ønvik Pedersen. 2017.
- 830 "Changed Arctic-Alpine Food Web Interactions Under Rapid Climate Warming: Implication
- for Ptarmigan Research" 2017 (SP1): wlb.00240.
- 832 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.00240.
- Hjeljord, Olav, and Leif Egil Loe. 2022. "The Roles of Climate and Alternative Prey in
- 834 Explaining 142 Years of Declining Willow Ptarmigan Hunting Yield." *Wildlie Biology* 2022
- 835 (6): e01058. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/wlb3.01058.
- 836 Horswill, Cat, Holly K Kindsvater, Maria José Juan-Jordá, Nicholas K Dulvy, Marc Mangel, and
- Jason Matthiopoulos. 2019. "Global Reconstruction of Life-History Strategies: A Case Study
- Using Tunas." *Journal of Applied Ecology* 56 (4): 855–65.
- 839 Horswill, Cat, Andrea Manica, Francis Daunt, Mark Newell, Sarah Wanless, Matthew Wood,
- 840 and Jason Matthiopoulos. 2021. "Improving Assessments of Data-Limited Populations Using
- Life-History Theory." *Journal of Applied Ecology* 58 (6): 1225–36.

- 842 Israelsen, M. F., L. F. Eriksen, P. F. Moa, B. R. Hagen, and E. B. Nilsen. 2020. "Survival and
- 843 Cause-Specific Mortality of Harvested Willow Ptarmigan (Lagopus Lagopus) in Central
- 844 Norway." *Ecol Evol* 10 (20): 11144–54. https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.6754.
- Jakobsson, Simon, and Bård Pedersen. 2020. "Naturindeks for Norge 2020. Tilstand Og
- 846 Utvikling for Biologisk Mangfold." *NINA Rapport* 1886.
- 847 Jetz, Walter, Melodie A McGeoch, Robert Guralnick, Simon Ferrier, Jan Beck, Mark J Costello,
- 848 Miguel Fernandez, et al. 2019. "Essential Biodiversity Variables for Mapping and Monitoring
- 849 Species Populations." *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 3 (4): 539–51.
- Johnston, Alison, Eleni Matechou, and Emily B Dennis. 2023. "Outstanding Challenges and
- 851 Future Directions for Biodiversity Monitoring Using Citizen Science Data." *Methods in*
- 852 *Ecology and Evolution* 14 (1): 103–16.
- 853 Kjellander, Petter, and Jonas Nordström. 2003. "Cyclic Voles, Prey Switching in Red Fox, and
- Roe Deer Dynamics a Test of the Alternative Prey Hypothesis." *Oikos* 101 (2): 338–44.
- 855 https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0706.2003.11986.x.
- 856 Kvasnes, Mikkel A. J., Hans Chr. Pedersen, Håkon Solvang, Torstein Storaas, and Erlend B.
- Nilsen. 2015. "Spatial Distribution and Settlement Strategies in Willow Ptarmigan."
- 858 *Population Ecology* 57 (1): 151–61. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-014-0454-1.
- 859 Landau, William Michael. 2021. "The Targets r Package: A Dynamic Make-Like Function-
- 860 Oriented Pipeline Toolkit for Reproducibility and High-Performance Computing." *Journal of*
- 861 *Open Source Software* 6 (57): 2959. https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.02959.
- 862 Lawson, Andrew B. 2020. "NIMBLE for Bayesian Disease Mapping." Spatial and Spatio-
- 863 *Temporal Epidemiology* 33: 100323.
- Lewis, Keith P, Eric Vander Wal, and David A Fifield. 2018. "Wildlife Biology, Big Data, and
- 865 Reproducible Research." *Wildlife Society Bulletin* 42 (1): 172–79.

- 866 Linden, H. 1988. "Latitudinal Gradients in Predator-Prey Interactions, Cyclicity and
- 867 Synchronism in Voles and Small Game Populations in Finland." Journal Article. *Oikos* 52 (3):
- 868 341-49. <Go to ISI>://A1988N893200014.
- 869 Marques, Tiago A. 2004. "Predicting and Correcting Bias Caused by Measurement Error in
- Line Transect Sampling Using Multiplicative Error Models." *Biometrics* 60 (3): 757–63.
- 871 McConnell, Mark D., Adrian P. Monroe, Richard Chandler, William E. Palmer, Shane D.
- 872 Wellendorf, Jr., L. Wes Burger, and James A. Martin. 2018. "Factors Influencing Northern
- 873 Bobwhite Recruitment, with Implications for Population Growth." The Auk 135 (4): 1087–
- 874 99. https://doi.org/10.1642/AUK-18-49.1.
- 875 McCrea, Rachel S, Byron JT Morgan, and Diana J Cole. 2013. "Age-Dependent Mixture Models
- 876 for Recovery Data on Animals Marked at Unknown Age." *Journal of the Royal Statistical*
- 877 Society Series C: Applied Statistics 62 (1): 101–13.
- 878 McGhee, Jay D., and James M. Berkson. 2007. "Estimation of a Nonlinear Density-
- 879 Dependence Parameter for Wild Turkey." *Journal of Wildlife Management* 71 (3): 706–12.
- 880 https://doi.org/10.2193/2005-630.
- 881 Morrison, Catriona A, Simon J Butler, Jacquie A Clark, Juan Arizaga, Oriol Baltà, Jaroslav
- 882 Cepák, Arantza Leal Nebot, et al. 2022. "Demographic Variation in Space and Time:
- 883 Implications for Conservation Targeting." *Royal Society Open Science* 9 (3): 211671.
- 884 Myrberget, S. 1988. "Demography of an Island Population of Willow Ptarmigan in Northern
- Norway.s. 379-419. I Bergerud, a. T, & Gratson, MW [Red.], Adaptive Strategies and
- 886 Population Ecology of Northern Grouse." I. Population Studies. University of Minnesota Press.
- 887 Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA.
- 888 Nakagawa, Shinichi, and Holger Schielzeth. 2013. "A General and Simple Method for
- 889 Obtaining R2 from Generalized Linear Mixed-Effects Models." *Methods in Ecology and*
- 890 *Evolution* 4 (2): 133–42.

- 891 Nater, Chloé R, Malcolm D Burgess, Peter Coffey, Bob Harris, Frank Lander, David Price,
- 892 Mike Reed, and Robert A Robinson. 2023. "Spatial Consistency in Drivers of Population
- B93 Dynamics of a Declining Migratory Bird." *Journal of Animal Ecology* 92 (1): 97–111.
- 894 Nater, Chloé R, Nina E Eide, Åshild Ø Pedersen, Nigel G Yoccoz, and Eva Fuglei. 2021.
- 895 "Contributions from Terrestrial and Marine Resources Stabilize Predator Populations in a
- 896 Rapidly Changing Climate." *Ecosphere* 12 (6): e03546.
- 897 Nater, Chloé R, Erlend B Nilsen, and James Martin. 2024. "Large-Scale Spatiotemporal
- 898 Variation in Vital Rates and Population Dynamics of an Alpine Bird." OSF.
- 899 https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/7326R.
- 900 Nater, Chloé R, Atle Rustadbakken, Torbjørn Ergon, Øystein Langangen, S Jannicke Moe,
- 901 Yngvild Vindenes, Leif Asbjørn Vøllestad, and Per Aass. 2018. "Individual Heterogeneity and
- Early Life Conditions Shape Growth in a Freshwater Top Predator." *Ecology* 99 (5): 1011–
 17.
- 904 Nilsen, E. B., and C. R. Nater. 2024. "An Integrated Open Population Distance Sampling
- 905 Approach for Modelling Age-Structured Populations." *EcoEvoRxiv*.
- 906 https://doi.org/10.32942/X2Q899.
- 907 Nilsen, E. B., and L. Rød-Eriksen. 2020. "Trender i Størrelsen på Den Norske
- 908 Lirypebestanden i Perioden 2009-2020. Analyser Basert på Data Fra hønsefuglportalen."
- 909 *NINA Rapport* 1869.
- 910 Nilsen, E. B., R. Vang, and Breisjøberget J. I. 2022. "Tetraonid Line Transect Surveys from
- 911 Norway: Data from Statskog. Version 1.8." Norwegian Institute for Nature Research.
- 912 Sampling event dataset. https://doi.org/10.15468/q2ehlk.
- 913 Nilsen, E. B., R. Vang, M. Kjønsberg, and Asbjørnsen E. 2022. "Tetraonid Line Transect
- 914 Surveys from Norway: Data from Finnmarkseiendommen (FeFo). Version 1.12." Norwegian
- 915 Institute for Nature Research. Sampling event dataset. https://doi.org/10.15468/s7c8qd.

- 916 Nilsen, E. B., R. Vang, M. Kjønsberg, and Kvasnes M. A. J. 2022. "Tetraonid Line Transect
- 917 Surveys from Norway: Data from Fjellstyrene. Version 1.7." Norwegian Institute for Nature
- 918 Research. Sampling event dataset. https://doi.org/10.15468/975ski.
- 919 Novoa, C., G. Astruc, J. F. Desmet, and A. Besnard. 2016. "No Short-Term Effects of Climate
- 920 Change on the Breeding of Rock Ptarmigan in the French Alps and Pyrenees." Journal
- 921 Article. Journal of Ornithology 157 (3): 797–810. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10336-016-
- 922 1335-5.
- 923 Nyström, J., L. Dalén, P. Hellström, J. Ekenstedt, H. Angleby, and A. Angerbjörn. 2006. "Effect
- 924 of Local Prey Availability on Gyrfalcon Diet: DNA Analysis on Ptarmigan Remains at Nest
- 925 Sites." Journal of Zoology 269 (1): 57–64. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-
- 926 7998.2006.00050.x.
- 927 Pacifici, Krishna, Brian J Reich, David AW Miller, Beth Gardner, Glenn Stauffer, Susheela
- 928 Singh, Alexa McKerrow, and Jaime A Collazo. 2017. "Integrating Multiple Data Sources in
- 929 Species Distribution Modeling: A Framework for Data Fusion." *Ecology* 98 (3): 840–50.
- 930 Pedersen, HC, H Steen, L Kastdalen, H Brøseth, RA Ims, W Svendsen, and NG Yoccoz. 2004.
- 931 "Weak Compensation of Harvest Despite Strong Density–Dependent Growth in Willow
- 932 Ptarmigan." Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences 271
- 933 (1537): 381–85.
- 934 Pereira, Henrique Miguel, Simon Ferrier, Michele Walters, Gary N Geller, Rob HG Jongman,
- 935 Robert J Scholes, Michael William Bruford, et al. 2013. "Essential Biodiversity Variables."
- 936 Science 339 (6117): 277–78.
- Powers, Stephen M, and Stephanie E Hampton. 2019. "Open Science, Reproducibility, and
 Transparency in Ecology." *Ecological Applications* 29 (1): e01822.
- 939 Proença, Vânia, Laura Jane Martin, Henrique Miguel Pereira, Miguel Fernandez, Louise
- 940 McRae, Jayne Belnap, Monika Böhm, et al. 2017. "Global Biodiversity Monitoring: From Data
- 941 Sources to Essential Biodiversity Variables." *Biological Conservation* 213: 256–63.

- 942 R Core Team. 2023. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna,
- 943 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- 944 Reif, Vitali, Risto Tornberg, Sven Jungell, and Erkki Korpimäki. 2001. "Diet Variation of
- 945 Common Buzzards in Finland Supports the Alternative Prey Hypothesis." *Ecography* 24 (3):
- 946 267-74. https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0587.2001.240304.x.
- 947 Robinson, Robert A, Catriona A Morrison, and Stephen R Baillie. 2014. "Integrating
- 948 Demographic Data: Towards a Framework for Monitoring Wildlife Populations at Large
- 949 Spatial Scales." *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5 (12): 1361–72.
- 950 Rubert-Nason, Kennedy, AM Aramati Casper, Matt Jurjonas, Caitlin Mandeville, Rebecca
- 951 Potter, and Kirsten Schwarz. 2021. "Ecologist Engagement in Translational Science Is
- 952 Imperative for Building Resilience to Global Change Threats." *Rethinking Ecology* 6: 65–92.
- 953 Sandercock, Brett K., Kathy Martin, and Susan J Hannon. 2005. "Life History Strategies in
- Extreme Environments: Comparative Demography of Arctic and Alpine Ptarmigan." *Ecology*86 (8): 2176–86.
- 956 Sandercock, Brett K., Erlend B. Nilsen, Henrik Brøseth, and Hans C. Pedersen. 2011. "Is
- 957 Hunting Mortality Additive or Compensatory to Natural Mortality? Effects of Experimental
- 958 Harvest on the Survival and Cause-Specific Mortality of Willow Ptarmigan." *Journal of*
- 959 Animal Ecology 80 (1): 244–58. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-
- 960 2656.2010.01769.x.
- 961 Saracco, James F, J Andrew Royle, David F DeSante, and Beth Gardner. 2010. "Modeling
- 962 Spatial Variation in Avian Survival and Residency Probabilities." *Ecology* 91 (7): 1885–91.
- 963 ———. 2012. "Spatial Modeling of Survival and Residency and Application to the
- 964 Monitoring Avian Productivity and Survivorship Program." *Journal of Ornithology* 152: 469–
 965 76.
- Schaub, Michael, and Marc Kéry. 2021. *Integrated Population Models: Theory and Ecological Applications with r and JAGS*. Academic Press.

- 968 Schmeller, Dirk S, Lauren V Weatherdon, Adeline Loyau, Alberte Bondeau, Lluis Brotons,
- 969 Neil Brummitt, Ilse R Geijzendorffer, et al. 2018. "A Suite of Essential Biodiversity Variables
- 970 for Detecting Critical Biodiversity Change." *Biological Reviews* 93 (1): 55–71.
- 971 Stearns, Stephen C. 1992. *The Evolution of Life Histories*. Vol. 249. Oxford university press972 Oxford.
- Steen, H., and K. E. Erikstad. 1996. "Sensivity of Willow Grouse Lagopus Lagopus Population
 Dynamics to Variations in Demographic Parameters." Journal Article. *Wildlife Biology* 2: 27–
 35.
- 976 Steen, J. B., H. Steen, N. C. Stenseth, S. Myrberget, and V. Marcström. 1988. "Microtine
- 977 Density and Weather as Predictors of Chick Production in Willow Ptarmigan, Lagopus
- 978 Lagopus." Journal Article. *Oikos* 51 (3): 367–73.
- 979 Stevenson, Simone L, Kate Watermeyer, Giovanni Caggiano, Elizabeth A Fulton, Simon
- 980 Ferrier, and Emily Nicholson. 2021. "Matching Biodiversity Indicators to Policy Needs."
- 981 *Conservation Biology* 35 (2): 522–32.
- 982 Storch, Ilse. 2007. "Conservation Status of Grouse Worldwide: An Update." Journal Article.
- 983 Wildlife Biology 13 (sp1): 5–12. https://doi.org/10.2981/0909-
- 984 6396(2007)13[5:csogwa]2.0.co;2.
- 985 Valpine, Perry de, Daniel Turek, Christopher J Paciorek, Clifford Anderson-Bergman,
- 986 Duncan Temple Lang, and Rastislav Bodik. 2017. "Programming with Models: Writing
- 987 Statistical Algorithms for General Model Structures with NIMBLE." *Journal of Computational*
- 988 *and Graphical Statistics* 26 (2): 403–13.
- 989 Ver Hoef, Jay M, Erin E Peterson, Mevin B Hooten, Ephraim M Hanks, and Marie-Josèe
- 990 Fortin. 2018. "Spatial Autoregressive Models for Statistical Inference from Ecological Data."
- 991 *Ecological Monographs* 88 (1): 36–59.
- 992 Waldock, Conor, Rick D Stuart-Smith, Camille Albouy, William WL Cheung, Graham J Edgar,
- 993 David Mouillot, Jerry Tjiputra, and Loïc Pellissier. 2022. "A Quantitative Review of
- Abundance-Based Species Distribution Models." *Ecography* 2022 (1).

- 995 Willebrand, Tomas, and Maria Hörnell. 2001. "Understanding the Effects of Harvesting
- 996 Willow Ptarmigan Lagopus Lagopus in Sweden." *Wildlife Biology* 7 (3): 205–12.
- 997 https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.2001.025.
- 998 Williams, Byron K, James D Nichols, and Michael J Conroy. 2002. *Analysis and Management*
- 999 *of Animal Populations*. Academic Press.
- 1000 Wilson, Scott, and Kathy Martin. 2011. "Life-History and Demographic Variation in an
- 1001 Alpine Specialist at the Latitudinal Extremes of the Range." *Population Ecology* 53 (3): 459–
- 1002 71. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10144-011-0261-x.
- 1003 Zimmerman, G. S., W. A. Link, M. J. Conroy, J. R. Sauer, K. D. Richkus, and G. Scott Boomer.
- 1004 2010. "Estimating Migratory Game-Bird Productivity by Integrating Age Ratio and Banding
- 1005 Data." *Wildlife Research* 37 (7): 612–22. https://doi.org/10.1071/WR10062.