# **1** Parasitism and the tradeoffs of social grouping: The role of parasite

# 2 transmission mode

- 3 Lauren E. Nadler<sup>1</sup>, Jolle W. Jolles<sup>2</sup>, Sandra A. Binning<sup>3</sup>, Jeremy De Bonville<sup>3</sup>, Paolo Domenici<sup>4</sup>,
- 4 Shaun S. Killen<sup>5</sup>, Matthew J. Silk<sup>6,7</sup>
- <sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup> School of Ocean and Earth Science, University of Southampton, National Oceanography
- 6 Centre, European Way, Southampton, SO14 3ZH, UK
- 7 <sup>2</sup> Centre for Advanced Studies Blanes (CEAB-CSIC), 17300 Blanes, Spain
- 8 <sup>3</sup> Groupe de Recherche Interuniversitaire en Limnologie (GRIL), Département de Sciences
- 9 Biologiques, Université de Montréal, Complexe des Sciences, 1375 Ave. Thérèse-Lavoie-Roux,
- 10 Montréal, Canada H2V 0B3
- <sup>4</sup> Consiglio Nazionale delle Richerche, Istituto di Biofisica, Pisa, Italy
- <sup>5</sup> University of Glasgow, Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine,
- 13 Graham Kerr Building, Glasgow G12 8QQ, UK
- 14 <sup>6</sup> CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France
- <sup>15</sup> <sup>7</sup> Institute of Ecology and Evolution, School of Biological Sciences, University of Edinburgh,
- 16 Edinburgh, United Kingdom, EH9 3FL
- 17 \*Corresponding author: l.nadler@soton.ac.uk (Lauren Nadler)
- 18 Keywords: collective behavior, disease ecology, ecology of fear, epidemiology, landscape of
- 19 disgust, optimal group size, parasitism, sociability, transmission dynamics

# 20 Abstract

21 Animals use social grouping for numerous fitness-enhancing processes, such as foraging, social 22 learning, defense, and energy expenditure. One broadly referenced negative consequence of 23 social grouping is the increased risk of exposure to parasites, which are defined broadly here as 24 organisms with obligate, persistent, and harmful consumer associations with a host. However, 25 there is growing evidence that group living can also act as a defensive mechanism against 26 parasites. Here, we present a conceptual framework that explores host sociability in the context 27 of parasite life history, arguing that the positive or negative impact of a social lifestyle on 28 infection risk is strongly linked to the parasite's transmission mode. We discuss the link between 29 host sociability and infection risk with respect to common, non-mutually exclusive differences in 30 transmission: direct vs. indirect, density- vs. frequency-dependent, and simple vs. complex life 31 cycles. We then use our framework to discuss the mechanisms for active parasite avoidance, 32 passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes, and their impacts on host social networks. 33 Further, we highlight additional important factors that can modulate these dynamics (e.g., 34 parasite virulence, infection intensity, co-infection by multiple parasites, and environmental 35 factors). The goal of this broad, comparative approach is to provide researchers from multiple 36 disciplines with a unified framework to better understand the relationship between social 37 grouping and host-parasite interactions across diverse systems.

38

40 1. INTRODUCTION

41 Parasites make up approximately half of all species on the planet and a substantial proportion of 42 its biomass (Dobson et al. 2008, Kuris et al. 2008), and thereby play a fundamental role in 43 ecological processes, from the individual to the ecosystem (Mouritsen and Poulin 2002, Wood et 44 al. 2007, Hechinger 2015). Despite growing evidence that links parasitism with many important 45 animal processes, such as physiology, morphology, behavior, and cognition (e.g., Hamilton and 46 Zuk 1982, Wisenden et al. 2009, Øverli et al. 2014, Binning et al. 2018), the role of parasites in 47 generating intra- and interspecific variation in their hosts is understudied (Poulin and Thomas 48 1999, Thomas et al. 2011). Furthermore, while parasites can have diverse impacts on their hosts, 49 studies rarely account for or even acknowledge the possibility of parasites' presence (Chretien et 50 al. 2023), which may in some instances lead to unrecognized biases and incorrect conclusions 51 (Timi and Poulin 2020). The reciprocal nature of parasitism and animal behavior is particularly 52 underappreciated, as host infection risk and behavior are inextricably linked (Hawley and 53 Ezenwa 2022).

54 Many animals engage in a social lifestyle, which we broadly define here as the tendency 55 to live in groups for prolonged periods of time at some point in the animal's life history (Ward 56 and Webster 2016). Group living exposes animals to a greater diversity of contagious parasites 57 on a more frequent basis, through parasites that are transmissible via direct contact between 58 individuals ('directly transmitted parasites'). This risk could influence individuals' tendency to 59 socialize, their preferred characteristics in social partners, and in turn the dynamics within and 60 among groups (e.g., group size, composition, assortment; Rifkin et al. 2012, Patterson and 61 Ruckstuhl 2013). Parasites can also be transmitted through the environment or interactions with 62 other species ('indirectly transmitted parasites') (May and Anderson 1979). For these parasites,

63	social grouping could aid hosts in reducing infection (Mooring and Hart 1992, Stockmaier et al.
64	2023), by reducing the per capita host attack rate by parasites (Duncan and Vigne 1979),
65	increasing detection of free-living parasites (Stumbo et al. 2012), and promoting cost-sharing of
66	anti-parasite defensive strategies (Brutsch et al. 2017). Fifty years after the link between host
67	sociability and parasitism was first proposed (Alexander 1974), the role of parasite transmission
68	mode in the tradeoffs of group living remains an area of active and ongoing research (Keiser
69	2022, Stockmaier et al. 2023), as disentangling the complex feedback loop between parasites and
70	social grouping remains difficult (Ezenwa et al. 2016b).
71	Here, we look at the role of parasitism in host group living through the lens of parasite
72	transmission mode and present a conceptual framework to help researchers from diverse
73	disciplines to understand how parasites can alter the tradeoffs of group living. We define parasite
74	transmission mode through dichotomies commonly used by disease ecologists, eco-
75	immunologists, and parasitologists (Figure 1). We discuss the mechanisms for active parasite
76	avoidance, passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes, and their combined impacts on host
77	social networks. We also highlight the importance of considering additional factors that
78	modulate these dynamics, including parasite virulence, infection intensity, co-infection, and the
79	environment. We aim to connect research at the intersection of behavioral ecology, physiology,
80	immunology, parasitology, and disease ecology, and thereby reach a more mechanistic
81	understanding of the effects of parasite transmission mode on social grouping of animal hosts.
82	Given the framework's multidisciplinary focus, we define a parasite broadly as any organism
83	with an obligate and persistent consumer association with an individual of another species,
84	which, upon associating with a host, causes it harm (e.g., viruses, bacteria, fungi, protozoa, and
85	metazoan; Anderson and May 1978, Combes 2001, Parmentier and Michel 2013).





Figure 1. Venn diagram of parasite transmission modes that may impact the tradeoffs of host
sociability. Transmission mode refers to the method that a parasite uses to infect a susceptible
host. Overlap represents that certain routes of transmission may be found in multiple
transmission mode categories.

91

## 92 2. PARASITE TRANSMISSION MODE

93 Transmission is a function of interacting processes among the parasite, its host, and their shared 94 environment (Francl 2001, Antonovics et al. 2017). Transmission mode refers to the method by 95 which a parasite moves between susceptible hosts in a population (Antonovics 2017) and must 96 be considered for a comprehensive understanding of the ecological impacts of parasites across 97 biological levels (e.g., population, community, ecosystem) (Cortez and Weitz 2013). Below, we 98 describe common transmission dichotomies that influence the ecological and evolutionary 99 processes that shape social grouping (Figure 1). These dichotomies include direct versus indirect 100 transmission, density- versus frequency-dependent transmission, and simple versus complex

lifecycle (presented and described here as a sub-category of indirect transmission). Note that
while we present dichotomies as distinct categories for clarity, arguably, host-parasite systems
may fall on a continuum between each category depending on, for example, the host and
parasite's life history (Roche et al. 2011, Antonovics et al. 2017, Hopkins et al. 2020). Further,
while the hierarchical ordering of these dichotomies varies depending on the host-parasite system
(Antonovics et al. 2017), this classification provides a framework to hypothesize about the
ecological implications of parasite infection risk on a host's social preferences.

109 2.1. Direct versus indirect transmission

110 In direct transmission (DT), infection occurs through physical contact or close proximity 111 between infectious and susceptible individuals (Anderson and May 1979). As group living 112 promotes close proximity among individuals, it increases the transmission risk for any DT 113 parasites present within a social group. Tactile transmission of DT parasites in groups includes, 114 for example, ectoparasite transfer (skin-skin; Hillgarth 1996), ingestion of infectious agents 115 (skin-oral; Hernandez and Sukhdeo 1995), and transmission through sexual activity (genital-116 genital; Mansuy et al. 2016). If the parasite is droplet- or air-borne (Ghosh et al. 2015), 117 transmission can occur through proximity without tactile contact between hosts, when droplets 118 contact the host's eyes, nose, or mouth (McCallum et al. 2001). Given the connection between 119 social interactions and infection risk by DT parasites, DT parasites present a substantial potential 120 cost of social grouping, and often reduce individual social tendencies in the short term (Hawley 121 et al. 2021, Stockmaier et al. 2023).

Parasites with an indirect transmission mode (IT) are transmitted either through freeliving infectious stages present in the environment or interactions with infected hosts from other

taxa (Begon et al. 2002, Antonovics et al. 2017). IT parasites undergo a developmental phase
outside their final host before infecting a new susceptible host (Lafferty and Kuris 2002). This
developmental phase can take place outside of the host in a particular environmental medium
(simple life cycle) or in intermediate host species (complex life cycle) (Chernin 2000). As
transmission of IT parasites is a multi-step process, gregarious species may use social grouping
as a mechanism to dilute their individual infection risk (Mooring and Hart 1992).

130

# 131 2.2. Density- versus frequency-dependent transmission

132 Density-dependent (DD) transmission rate increases with host population density as susceptible 133 hosts are more likely to encounter an infectious host, but these types of parasites require a 134 minimum host population size: below this population threshold, the parasite cannot be sustained 135 (Anderson and May 1981, McCallum et al. 2001). For example, for crucian carp (Carassius 136 carassius) hosts, both the abundance and species richness of monogenean ectoparasites vary 137 directly with the host's population size (Bagge et al. 2004). Hence, DD parasites likely present 138 the greatest cost of social grouping as both larger groups and a higher group density will result in 139 higher transmission rates and more easily sustain parasites, with reductions to group size being a 140 potential strategy that leads to parasite extinction (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005a).

Frequency-dependent transmission (FD) occurs when the rate of contact between
susceptible and infectious individuals is independent of population density (Best et al. 2011,

143 Antonovics 2017). For example, FD transmission becomes a better representation at a population

144 level if the overall population is subdivided into smaller groups of varying sizes (Antonovics

145 2017), as in human villages (Sauvage et al. 2007) and territorial pack animals (White et al.

146 2017). Sexually transmitted diseases also fall under this type of transmission whereby the mating

147 system dictates the number of partners that a susceptible individual encounters (Thrall et al. 148 1993), and the rate of infections can even be determined by a single promiscuous infected host 149 (Best et al. 2011). Given the nature of FD transmission, the role of FD parasites on social 150 grouping varies depending on the social trait examined and the life history of each specific 151 parasite and host. Further, while DD and FD transmission have traditionally been considered 152 distinct categories, principles associated with both have been identified within host-parasite 153 systems, varying depending on the patterns of social behavior through time (e.g., seasonal 154 variation and across contexts) (Smith et al. 2009). Thus, rather than a true dichotomy, host-155 parasite systems lie on the spectrum from pure DD to pure FD (Hopkins et al. 2020).

156

## 157 2.3. Simple versus complex life cycle

158 In parasites with a simple life cycle (SLC), parasites pass from one host to another through 159 infectious propagules deposited in the environment. After a developmental phase (Roche et al. 160 2011, Sih et al. 2018), which can vary from days to months (Brooker et al. 2006), these 161 propagules can be transmitted to a new, susceptible host via a specific medium, such as water or 162 feces, and/or via shared habitat (Caraco and Wang 2008, Sih et al. 2018). While SLC parasites 163 often lack mechanisms for active movement, there are some mobile SLC parasites, which 164 overcome physical processes in their environment to seek new hosts. For example, SLC-165 transmitted isopod parasites have a swimming stage as juveniles, which aids them in finding a 166 suitable fish host (Bunkley-Williams and Williams 1998). For sedentary SLC parasites, the encounter rate with susceptible hosts is generally directly proportional to the propagules' density 167 168 and distribution in the environment (Boldin and Kisdi 2012). If transmission of sedentary SLC 169 parasites to new hosts relies on spatial overlap, the timing must occur in parallel with the

170 parasite's infectious period following its developmental phase (Wohlfiel et al. 2013). For 171 parasites with a long developmental period, the timing could decouple the connection between 172 social grouping and SLC infection risk (Sih et al. 2018), such that these parasites have a lower or 173 negligible impact on host social grouping. However, social individuals may be at higher risk of 174 encountering infectious SLC parasites that have a short developmental phase (Rifkin et al. 2012). 175 In contrast, complex life cycle (CLC) parasites require one or more intermediate hosts for 176 growth and development prior to reaching the final host, where the parasite reproduces (Parker et 177 al. 2015). At each developmental stage, parasites may specialize in infecting just one host 178 species (i.e., host specialist) or be able to infect multiple species (i.e., host generalist). Various 179 factors can alter this host specificity, such as the temporal and spatial overlap of hosts and 180 parasites, sensory mechanisms for the parasite to detect a suitable host, and strategies for the 181 parasite to evade host anti-parasite defenses (Adamson and Caira 1994, Poulin et al. 2011, 182 Doherty et al. 2022). Transmission of CLC parasites between host species can occur via multiple 183 routes, including passive transport, active movement, vector-borne, and trophic interactions 184 (Haas 2003, Grear et al. 2013, Hobart et al. 2022). With passive transport, the infectious 185 propagules are transmitted through the environment via physical processes, such as wind and 186 water currents (Akullian et al. 2012, Behringer et al. 2018). In these parasites, transmission 187 requires some action by the host to facilitate encounters, such as suspension or filter feeding 188 (Ben-Horin et al. 2015, Hobart et al. 2022). Many CLC parasites have a mobile phase that can 189 actively seek out a subsequent host, allowing them to overcome environmental obstacles to 190 transmission (e.g., air or water turbulence) and rely less on host-parasite spatiotemporal overlap 191 (Fingerut et al. 2003a, Buck and Lutterschmidt 2016). Vectors (often haematophagous 192 arthropods like mosquitos and ticks) carry disease-causing infectious agents from infected to

193 susceptible individuals (Rappole and Hubalek 2003, Wilson et al. 2017). In trophically-

194 transmitted parasites, infectious propagules are transmitted through predation events where the

next host consumes the previous intermediate host (Grear et al. 2013, Buck and Ripple 2017).

196 For each CLC transmission route, an individual's infection risk may be diluted through group

197 living, as the infectious propagules in a particular area are divided among more available

susceptible hosts and thus reduces the per capita risk (Mooring and Hart 1992, Cote and Poulin

199 1995).

200

# 201 3. SOCIAL CONSEQUENCES OF PARASITES

202 As parasitism is ubiquitous, most social animals inevitably harbor parasites, and often many

203 different species of parasite (McCabe et al. 2015). To properly understand the role of parasite

204 transmission mode on host social behavior, the mechanisms for active parasite avoidance,

205 passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes, and its role in social networks need to be

```
206 considered (Table 1; Hawley et al. 2021).
```

Mechanism	Host	Parasite	Transmission Mode	Impact on social grouping	References
			SLC (stationary, 1-2	Uninfected individuals only avoid infected	
Active	House mouse Mus	Eimeria vermiformis	day development	group-mates once infection is transmissible	Kavaliers & Colwell 1995;
avoidance	musculus	(protozoa)	period)	despite earlier cues of infection.	Kavaliers et al. 1997
		Varied gut	SLC (stationary,		
Active	Mandrill Mandrillus	protozoans	rapid development	Uninfected individuals avoid grooming	
avoidance	sphinx	(protozoa)	period)	infected groupmates.	Poirotte et al. 2017
	Dampwood termite				
Active	Zootermopsis	Metarhizium		Infected individuals alert others in the colony	
avoidance	angusticollis	anisopliae (fungus)	DD	of parasite exposure using mechanical cues.	Rosengaus et al. 1999
Activo	Caribbaan aniny labatar	Damulimus anous		Individuals avoid don sharing with infacted	Pahringar at al. 2006.
Active	Panulinus arous	r anulirus argus	מס	conspecifies	Behringer and Butler 2010
avoluance		Ornithodinlostomum		Individuals increase shoal cohesion by up to	Beninger and Butter 2010
Active	Fathead minnows	ntychochailus		15 times in response to free living parasites	
Active	Pimanhalas promalas	(metazon)	CI C (mobile)	(i.e. cercoriae)	Stumbo et al. 2012
avoluance	Western fence lizerd	(Inctazoa)	SLC (mobile		
Dossiva	Selaroporus	Irodas nacificus	develop over several	Infaction reduces male success in intrasevual	
effects	occidentalis	(metazoa)	months)	contests	Lanser et al. 2021
cilects	occidentalis	Diplostomum		Reduced vision following infection of the eve	
Dassive	Rainbow trout	spathacoum		lens reduces the social group's ability to	
effects	Oncorhynchus mykiss	(metazoa)	CI C (mobile)	maintain cohesion	Seppälä et al. 2008
cifects	One of mynetius myness	(Inctazoa) Chrysomalohia		Infected males interact with other males and	
Passive	Milkweed leaf beetles	labidomerae		steal females from established mating pairs	
effects	Labidomera clivicollis	(metazoa)	FD	more than uninfected males	Abbott & Dill 2001
Circles	Three-spined	(incluzou)			
Passive	stickleback	Schistocenhalus	CLC (trophically	Mixed-infection groups take greater risks and	Demandt et al. 2018
effects	Gasterosteus aculeatus	solidus (metazoa)	transmitted)	reduce cohesion	2020: Jolles et al. 2020b
		Southans (metallou)	(iunsinitica)		2020, 001105 00 011 20200
Social	Guppy Poecilia	Gyrodactylus spp.		Introduction of infected newcomers increases	Croft et al. 2011;
network	reticulata	(metazoa)	DD	the frequency of fission-fusion events.	Stephenson et al. 2018
a		Trichostrongylus	FD, SLC (stationary,		
Social	Giraffe Giraffa	spp., Trichuris spp.	5-10 day	Sub-grouping within populations relies on	VanderWaal and Ezenwa
network	camelopardalis	(metazoa)	development period)	weak ties among cliques for transmission.	2016
		Tick Amblyomma		Highly connected lizards with consistent	
Social	Sleepy lizard Tiliqua	limbatum	SLC (mobile, 8 day	refuge sharing had higher tick loads than less	
network	rugosa	(metazoan)	development period)	connected individuals.	Leu et al. 2010
				Parasite exposure caused increased social	
Social	Black garden ant Lasius	Metarhizium		network modularity, clustering and	
network	niger	brunneum (fungus)	DD	assortativity.	Stroeymeyt et al. 2018

Table 1. Examples of the impact of parasite transmission mode on social grouping, based on a subset of the studies compiled in this review. Additional information is provided for indirectly transmitted parasites, as the nature of the parasite's life cycle can influence its social impact. For simple life cycle parasites, the parasite's mobility (stationary, mobile) and length of environmental development period are noted, while routes of transmission are included for complex life cycle (e.g., mobile, trophically transmitted) parasites.

215 *3.1. Active parasite avoidance* 

216 Once cues of parasites or infection are detected, individuals may use active avoidance 217 mechanisms to minimize their risk of parasite exposure and infection (Lopes et al. 2022), 218 including choosing to associate with or avoid certain individuals, substances, and/or (micro-) 219 habitats where parasites or infected group-mates are found (Curtis 2014). Similar to the non-220 consumptive physiological and behavioral effects that predators impose on their prey (i.e., 221 landscape of fear) (Clinchy et al. 2013), parasites can impact susceptible hosts over diverse 222 spatial and temporal scales (Buck et al. 2018) (i.e., landscape of disgust; Weinstein et al. 2018), 223 with wide-ranging consequences for the dynamics and structure of animal groups (Doherty and 224 Ruehle 2020).

225

## 226 <u>3.1.1. Sensory basis for parasite and infection detection</u>

227 Detection of infections that can be transmitted among group-mates through tactile contact or

spatial overlap (i.e., DT, DD, FD, and some SLC parasites) involve using multiple senses,

229 including visual, olfactory, auditory, and mechanical cues (Behringer et al. 2018). Visual cues of

230 infections can either be physical changes in a host's appearance (Rahn et al. 2015) or

231 recognizable behaviors associated with "sickness" (Hart and Hart 2021). Rahn et al. (2015) show 232 that uninfected three-spined sticklebacks (Gasterosteus aculeatus) avoid conspecifics with a 233 Gyrodactylus aculeatus DD ectoparasite infection, due to their visibly poorer body condition. 234 Conversely, sickness behaviors, such as reduced overall activity, exploration, and grooming, are 235 visible symptoms induced by infection (Lopes et al. 2021), which may cause uninfected group 236 members to avoid close contact with an infectious individual until they recover (Hart and Hart 237 2021). In the canary Serinus canaria domestica, visual cues of infection by the DD parasite 238 Mycoplasma galliseptum stimulated multiple immune responses in uninfected group mates that 239 enhance readiness to fight a potential infection (Love et al. 2021). Parasite infection can also 240 alter an individual's odor, which may be used to actively discriminate between infected and 241 uninfected individuals (Stephenson et al. 2018), even in the absence of cues typically attributed 242 to "sickness" (Kavaliers et al. 2004). These changes in chemical profile can stem from 243 fluctuations in an individual's commensal microbial community, release of metabolic by-244 products associated with the immune response, or suppression of androgenic hormones (Penn 245 and Potts 1998). The ability to distinguish infected from uninfected group-mates based 246 exclusively on olfactory cues has been demonstrated in mice (Kavaliers and Colwell 1995), 247 bullfrogs (Kiesecker et al. 1999), guppies (Stephenson et al. 2018), mongooses (Mitchell et al. 248 2017), and mandrill monkeys (Poirotte et al. 2017). Infection status may even be transmitted 249 through auditory cues, such as vocal signals (Lopes et al. 2022). For example, when yellow-250 bellied marmots are infected with Eimeria (SLC parasite with a 1-2 day environmental 251 development phase), individuals exhibit noisier and less structured vocal signals than uninfected 252 conspecifics (Nouri and Blumstein 2019). These sensory cues of infection may not be isolated to

a single modality, as sensory redundancy can ensure communication of infection risk to groupmates (Reichert et al. 2023).

255

#### 256 <u>3.1.2. Avoidance of infected group-mates by uninfected individuals</u>

257 Once an infectious group-mate is detected, uninfected individuals may actively avoid socializing 258 with them (Figure 3; Barber et al. 2000, Kavaliers and Choleris 2018). This aversion is more 259 likely towards the infected individual than the parasite. Dugatkin et al. (1994) showed that 260 uninfected three-spined sticklebacks avoid conspecifics infected with the mobile SLC 261 ectoparasite Argulus canadensis but show no aversion to the parasite itself. Such aversive 262 behavioral responses can arise even before hosts become visibly symptomatic. For example, the 263 social Caribbean spiny lobster (Panulirus argus) can discriminate between uninfected 264 individuals and those infected with the DD *Panulirus argus* virus 1 (PaV1), avoiding den-sharing 265 with infected conspecifics even when they lack any visible signs of infection (Behringer et al. 266 2006, Behringer and Butler 2010), potentially due to olfactory signals of infection (Penn and 267 Potts 1998). Susceptible individuals can also modulate the strength of their avoidance behavior 268 depending on an associate's infection stage (Stephenson et al. 2018). In the mouse (Mus 269 muscululus domesticus), avoidance of individuals infected by the stationary SLC parasite 270 *Eimeria vermiformis* (2-7 day environmental development period; Fayer 1980) is lower prior to 271 the infection being transmissible, even if infected individuals exhibit detectable cues of the 272 infection (Kavaliers et al. 1997). Effective infection avoidance behaviors can be associated with 273 improved fitness outcomes, such as greater fecundity (Newey and Thirgood 2004). 274 Changes in social preference in response to parasites can also alter the tendency for

275 groups to split (fission) and merge (fusion). For DT parasites, individuals that would typically

276 maintain fidelity to a single group may choose to leave groups when infection risk becomes high 277 (Freeland 1976) or initiate a fission event following the introduction of an infected newcomer 278 (Croft et al. 2011). This wariness of newcomers may be advantageous. Newly immigrated 279 members of European badger (Meles meles) groups are more likely to be infected by the parasite 280 *Mycobacterium bovis* (which can be transmitted both through tactile contact and spatial overlap) 281 than existing group members (Woodroffe et al. 2009). Reducing group size may also be an 282 effective strategy to reduce the transmission rate of a DT parasite (Figure 2). Ritchie et al. (2021) 283 showed that the transmission rate of the DT-transmitted fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis 284 is significantly faster in larger groups of the California slender salamander (Batrachoseps 285 attenuatus) than smaller ones, even when infection prevalence was initially low in the group. 286 While, intuitively at least, parasites that are transmitted through social interactions or spatial 287 overlap (e.g., DT, some SLC parasites) may increase the frequency of fission events, we know 288 little about how environmentally transmitted parasites (e.g., IT, CLC) alter fission-fusion 289 dynamics and if these changes are driven by active avoidance or passive processes due to the 290 phenotypes expressed following infection. 291



293

294 Figure 2. A potential theoretical relationship between host group size and fitness under varied 295 parasite risk, assuming all other factors kept constant (e.g., foraging efficiency, competition for 296 resources, predator defense, and parasite avoidance). Curve A represents social contexts in which 297 reducing social contacts through lower group sizes would be the most effective strategy to reduce 298 transmission, such as directly transmitted parasites. Curve C illustrates environments in which 299 larger group sizes dilute the risk of parasite infection, including indirectly transmitted parasites 300 with a simple (mobile or have a long environmental development phase) or complex (mobile, 301 vector, or trophically transmitted route of infection) life cycle. Curve B represents environments 302 in which risk of any parasite infection is low. Note that curve shape is for illustrative purposes 303 only.

304

# 305 <u>3.1.3. Avoidance initiated by infected group-mates</u>

306 Infected individuals may also actively spend less time with uninfected group mates or disperse to

307 a new area, particularly when species live in societies with high levels of kin structure (e.g.,

- 308 social groups with high genetic relatedness; Iritani and Iwasa 2014). For example, nestling cliff
- 309 swallows (Hirundo pyrrhonota) disperse to non-natal breeding colonies following heavy DD-

310 ectoparasite infection (Brown and Brown 1992). Alternatively, some social species have evolved 311 'warning' signals of infection. In single or mixed familial dampwood termite (Zootermopsis 312 angusticollis) colonies, individuals infected by the DT fungus Metarhizium anisopliae use a 313 mechanical alarm signal to warn nest-mates to stay away (Rosengaus et al. 1999). While social 314 isolation protects uninfected group-mates, it can be associated with higher mortality risk in 315 infected individuals. For example, when the European earwig *Forficula auricularia* were 316 experimentally isolated following infection by the DD fungus *Metarhizium brunneum*, they 317 experienced higher mortality than those that were socialized (Kohlmeier et al. 2016). Whether 318 infected individuals initiate avoidance will likely depend on a combination of factors related to 319 the parasite's transmissibility, the severity of infection costs, and inclusive fitness benefits 320 associated with leaving the group (Iritani and Iwasa 2014).

321

# 322 <u>3.1.4. Use of social grouping to reduce infection and its severity</u>

323 To reduce infection from some DT parasites, individuals in a cooperative social group can mount 324 a stronger anti-parasite defense when working together, using behavioral and physiological 325 mechanisms (i.e., social immunity; Cremer et al. 2007, Cotter and Kilner 2010, Cremer et al. 326 2018). For example, ants indiscriminately partake in allogrooming regardless of infection status 327 to achieve frequent, low-level exposure to fungal parasites, increasing both individual and 328 colony-level survival following outbreaks (Konrad et al. 2012). When risk of mobile parasite 329 stages typical of CLC parasites is high, individuals may choose to stay with a group that they 330 would otherwise leave, as even brief periods of isolation while moving between groups could 331 expose them to large numbers of free-living parasites (Figure 3). Stumbo et al. (2012) illustrated 332 this effect in fathead minnows *Pimephales promelas*, in which individual risk of infection by

333 free-living Ornithodiplostomum ptychocheilus larval trematode parasites (i.e., cercaria) was three 334 times higher for solitary versus shoaling individuals. In their meta-analysis, Patterson and 335 Ruckstuhl (2013) show that infection intensity by mobile parasites decreases as group size 336 increases in diverse host and parasite taxa, likely due to the encounter-dilution effect (Mooring 337 and Hart 1992). While this dilution effect of group behavior has historically been applied to 338 predation risk (Foster and Treherne 1981), the same principles apply to attacks by mobile 339 (typically CLC) parasites, such as aquatic free-living trematode parasites (i.e., cercariae) that 340 latch onto then penetrate the epidermis of second intermediate fish hosts (e.g., Stumbo et al. 341 2012, Buck and Lutterschmidt 2016). Individual risk of parasite attack is diluted if the parasite 342 cannot attack a greater number of individuals in a larger group (Hart 1994, Patterson and 343 Ruckstuhl 2013), suggesting that social grouping or plasticity in group size could evolve in 344 response to mobile parasite prevalence (Figure 2). Individuals may also use social grouping to 345 reduce infection risk through social learning, which can promote more effective parasite 346 avoidance behaviors (Kavaliers et al. 2019). In mice, a single observation of another mouse 347 being attacked by a mobile, blood-feeding fly promoted the learning of defensive behaviors and 348 mounting of comparable endocrine, corticosterone stress responses following future encounters 349 (Kavaliers et al. 2001, 2003). In theory, an individual's parasite exposure history and variation in 350 the prevalence of parasites with different transmission modes could lead to the evolution of 351 behavioral plasticity in social preferences through time but remains an unexplored area that 352 requires investigation.





Figure 3. Predictions for how parasites with varying transmission modes could influence host behavior along the sociability continuum from a solitary to a group lifestyle because of active parasite avoidance ('active') and passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes ('passive'). Arrows marked 'yes' indicate the directionality that the mechanism pushes the individual along the sociability continuum.

360

361 Parasite risk can also alter the tradeoffs associated with different positions in a social 362 group, resulting in altered position shuffling. Individuals at the front of a mobile group generally 363 benefit from having first access to any food encountered (Krause et al. 1998, Domenici et al. 364 2017), but may also be associated with higher predation risk (Morrell and Romey 2008). Thus, 365 these positions may also come with a higher risk for parasites with a mobile phase. Newsom et 366 al. (1973) showed that leaders in herds of East African Zebu cattle (Bos indicus) had a higher 367 infection intensity of the mobile SLC brown ear tick (Rhipicephalus appendiculatus) than 368 followers. As leader positions provide individuals with first access to food, these positions, in

theory, may also come with a higher risk of acquiring trophically-transmitted CLC parasites, but this idea has yet to be studied. Conversely, central positions in groups may provide safety from mobile parasites in a similar way as has been documented in groups attacked by predators with certain hunting strategies (Krause and Ruxton 2002, Ward and Webster 2016), with individuals at the group's periphery more susceptible to infection by mobile parasites than individuals in the center (Stumbo et al. 2012).

375 Group cohesion varies in response to parasite exposure and risk, but the directionality of 376 the change (i.e., increasing or decreasing) depends on the parasite's transmission mode and 377 mobility. When mobile parasite stages are attacking (e.g. CLC), the cohesion of social groups 378 increases in a similar way to when predators attack (Stumbo et al. 2012). When encountering DT 379 or SLC parasites with limited mobility and short developmental periods, individuals can reduce 380 their infection risk through reduced group cohesion. Conversely, as risk of these types of 381 parasites decreases, group cohesion may increase. Friant et al. (2016) found that social cohesion 382 of red-capped mangabeys (*Cercocebus torquatus*) increased in response to antiparasitic treatment 383 that killed off DT and SLC protozoan and helminthic parasites, presumably due to reduced 384 infection risk from social grouping following treatment. Taken together, the limited available 385 evidence suggests that mobile CLC parasites may impact group dynamics similarly to predators, 386 while parasites that can be transmitted among group members reduce group cohesion and 387 coordination as group members try to limit their infection risk. Yet, these ideas have been tested 388 in a limited number of host-parasite systems and warrant broader investigation. 389 *3.2. Passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes* 

390 Passive effects of infection-induced phenotypes on social grouping result indirectly due to the

391 phenotype expressed following infection, rather than in direct response to the infection itself.

Even minor differences in host physiology or movement behavior can have substantial social
repercussions (Jolles et al. 2020a). The tradeoffs of group membership will be linked to the
pressures of the animal's unique environment, such as its need to avoid predators and parasites,
acquire resources, and minimize energy expenditure during movement (Krause and Ruxton
2002, Ward and Webster 2016).

397 Parasite infections can also alter host behavioral phenotypes and responses to sensory 398 cues, either due to impairments imposed by the infection or manipulations by the parasite to 399 enhance transmission. In several DT host-parasite systems (e.g., Bouwman and Hawley 2010, 400 Lanser et al. 2021), reduced host aggression has been observed (Figure 3), with a range of 401 consequences for social interactions, including reduced host competitive abilities (Lanser et al. 402 2021) and enhancing group-mate collective foraging (Bouwman and Hawley 2010). Some 403 parasite infections, particularly endoparasites typical of IT infections, can alter sensory abilities 404 (e.g., visual acuity) that individuals need to maintain social cohesion (Seppälä et al. 2008). In 405 addition to impairment, individual behavior may also change as the parasite hijacks its host's 406 phenotype to enhance its own fitness (Godfrey and Poulin 2022), with impacts on host social 407 grouping. For example, males of the milkweed leaf beetles Labidomera clivicollis that are 408 infected by the FD-transmitted subelytral mite Chrysomelobia labidomerae interact more with 409 other males and are more likely to steal a female from an established mate pair than uninfected 410 males, which likely enhances transmission to both males and females (Abbott and Dill 2001). In 411 trophically-transmitted CLC parasites, infection may alter host behaviors that increase 412 detectability by predators (e.g., increasing activity or conspicuous behaviors) and reduce their 413 host's anti-predator responses (Godfrey and Poulin 2022). Conversely, as particularly DT 414 parasites require close proximity between social group-mates for transmission, the parasite may

415 increase their host's social tendencies to promote transmission (Godfrey and Poulin 2022). These 416 changes in the host social tendencies result from a change in their behavioral phenotype due to 417 parasite infection, rather than being an active choice by the host, and thus have passive effects on 418 the social phenotype at both the individual and group level.

419 Parasites can alter host behavior and physiology in a range of ways that alter their host's 420 interactions with their group-mates. Hosts may experience energetic consequences following 421 infection, such as: 1) increased maintenance metabolic rate as parasites extract resources from 422 their host; 2) reduced maximum metabolic rate and aerobic capacity as host tissues involved in 423 metabolically-costly processes are damaged; and 3) altered locomotor performance due to host 424 responses to the parasite and host manipulation by the parasite (Binning et al. 2017). Any 425 increase in maintenance metabolic rate can shift the tradeoff from prioritizing defense to 426 acquiring food despite risk. The CLC tapeworm Schistocephalus solidus consumes much of their 427 three-spined stickleback intermediate host's overall food intake (Giles 1983), which causes more 428 risk-taking behavior as the host prioritizes foraging over defense. Both endo- and ectoparasites 429 (often DT or SLC) may alter their host's ability to stay with a social group through impaired 430 locomotor performance (Allan et al. 2020) and increased energetic costs of movement (Binning 431 et al. 2013; Figure 3). These changes can lead individuals to occupy positions towards the back 432 of groups or break up and join others with a slower preferred locomotor pace (Killen et al. 2017). 433 Jolles et al. (2020b) showed that when only one individual in a three-spined stickleback pair is 434 infected with the tapeworm S. solidus, the infected individual primarily assumes the follower 435 role while the uninfected individual leads. Although infected individuals may either occupy 436 follower positions at the back of the group or be unable to keep pace with the rest of the group,

437 the consequences of parasite infection for collective movement of social groups remains438 understudied.

439 Individual-level changes often scale up to shifting collective phenotypes at the whole-440 group level. This effect has been illustrated for multiple behavioral traits in three-spined 441 stickleback groups that contain at least one individual infected with the CLC tapeworm S. 442 solidus, even if the group contains uninfected group-members. Groups of three-spined 443 sticklebacks that contain S. solidus-infected individuals engage in more risky behaviors overall 444 and reduce social information transmission about risk (Demandt et al. 2018, Demandt et al. 445 2020). Similarly, Jolles et al. (2020b) found that mixed infection groups are slower, less 446 cohesive, and less aligned than uninfected groups.

447

448 3.3. Role of parasite transmission mode in social networks

Host social networks (i.e., structure of social interactions and/or social associations in a population or group) and parasite transmission are closely interlinked (Mistrick et al. 2022). Not only does social network structure play a fundamental role in the epidemiological dynamics of many parasites, but parasite spread and prevalence can also influence social network structure (Ezenwa et al. 2016a). This bidirectional relationship has important implications not only for ongoing parasite transmission, but also for other ecological and evolutionary processes, such as information transmission and behavioral contagions within groups (Evans et al. 2020).

The direct role of social networks in shaping parasite spread is clearest for parasites that are transmissible among social group-mates (e.g., DT, some SLC parasites), as specific types of social association or interactions offer the primary route of transmission (White et al. 2017). Variation in the network connectivity of individuals (degree heterogeneity) can generate more

460 explosive infectious disease outbreaks, with onward infection dominated by particular highly-461 connected individuals (Lloyd-Smith et al. 2005b). Simultaneously, sub-divisions in the social 462 network that result in a more modular structure can limit parasite spread, by trapping infections 463 within subsets of the overall group or population (Sah et al. 2017). Correspondingly, the social 464 network position of individuals is correlated with their infection status (VanderWaal and Ezenwa 465 2016). While well-connected individuals may be at greater infection risk due to a higher 466 frequency of social encounters, it is also possible that less socially integrated individuals can be 467 more susceptible to parasites when they encounter them, if these social network positions are 468 associated with poor condition and reduced immune performance (Balasubramaniam et al. 2016, 469 Ezenwa et al. 2016b). For DT parasites, the sickness behaviors of infected individuals can reduce 470 social network connectivity in some host-parasite systems (Lopes et al. 2016) but could also 471 increase connectivity to facilitate parasite persistence in others, such as the increased number of 472 contacts of dogs with the furious form of rabies (Brookes et al. 2019).

473 While social networks may not play a direct role in transmission of IT parasites, they will 474 often shape which individuals use similar habitats or share food and water sources, meaning that 475 social network connections may still be correlated with routes of infection. Because of this 476 difference between DT and IT parasites, network approaches can therefore help tease apart the 477 relative importance of each transmission mode for parasite species with varied transmission. For 478 example, network approaches have shown the greater relative importance of IT ticks (Leu et al. 479 2010) than DT Salmonella (Bull et al. 2012) in sleepy lizard hosts (Tiliqua rugosa). While time-480 delay networks are often correlated with SLC parasite transmission (due to the varied length of 481 the environmental development phase in SLC parasites) (Sih et al. 2018), this asynchronicity is 482 typically not found in networks associated with CLC parasite transmission (Grear et al. 2013).

However, past studies have only examined CLC parasites with a trophically-transmitted route of infection (Grear et al. 2013). No studies, to the best of our knowledge, have examined how social networks impact transmission of mobile stages typical of CLC parasites (e.g., vectors, trematode cercariae), which would be an area of potential interest for future empirical research.

487 The types of passive and active social responses to parasites described in previous 488 sections can have implications for social network dynamics. For example, infection avoidance 489 behaviors against DT parasites can lead to predictable changes to social network structure, such 490 as uninfected individuals having a higher and more variable number of social interactions than 491 infected individuals (Shaw and Schwartz 2008) and being assorted or clustered together within 492 the network (Gross et al. 2006). These changes can shape longer-term parasite dynamics by 493 promoting disease re-emergence or persistence (Gross et al. 2006). Empirical studies have 494 investigated these parasite-driven network dynamics in non-human animals. An experimental 495 manipulation of the DT ectoparasite Gyrodactyalus sp. load in guppies Poeclia reticulata 496 showed that avoidance of an infected individual resulted in treatment groups having less 497 clustered social networks than control groups (Croft et al. 2011). In contrast, in the ant Lasius 498 *niger*, parasite exposure caused behavioral changes that increased modularity, clustering and 499 assortativity in colony social networks, and reduced network efficiency, in a manner that reduced 500 parasite transmission in the colony (Stroeymeyt et al. 2018). The latter study is particularly 501 important, as it suggests that changes in individual behavior in response to infection can lead to 502 adaptive group or population-level changes in social network structure that match theoretical 503 models in network science.

504The consequences of active avoidance behaviors on social network structure may change505for the DT-IT and SLC-CLC transmission mode dichotomies. Importantly, variation in the

506 landscape of disgust according to transmission mode (see "Active parasite avoidance" section) 507 may cause different changes to social network structure. For IT parasites, any active infection 508 avoidance behavior will be tied more to the ecological (e.g., habitat, food resource) than social 509 environment (see previous sections). For some CLC parasites, avoidance behaviors are either 510 ineffective or the impact of the parasite on the host is so minimal that no pressures would exist to 511 promote avoidance, in which case no landscape of disgust would be predicted to evolve (e.g., 512 trophically transmitted CLC parasites; Øverli and Johansen 2019). However, assuming there are 513 cues for parasite presence in the environment (e.g., cues of fecal matter for SLC parasites or 514 chemical alarm cues for mobile cercariae parasites; Poulin et al. 1999, Hutchings et al. 2000), 515 avoidance of extended risky or contaminated areas could influence network structure, such as by 516 causing social network structures to be more clearly sub-divided if they restrict opportunities for 517 different parts of the population to mix. If infection risk with CLC parasites varies with time 518 across daily, tidal or seasonal cycles (e.g., Fingerut et al. 2003b), then the result could be 519 predictable changes to social network structure if social contact rates are altered by changes to 520 spatial behavior. Despite this, social network studies have focused predominantly on DT 521 parasites, while IT parasites (particularly mobile parasite stages common in CLC parasites) 522 require much greater theoretical and empirical attention.

523

#### 524 OTHER PARASITE CHARACTERISTICS

While transmission mode plays a pivotal role in driving the relationship between infection and host sociability, other factors may shift this dynamic and should be considered, including characteristics specific to the parasite (e.g., virulence and infection intensity) and ecological interactions (e.g., co-infection by multiple parasites and host-parasite interactions with their environment). Below, we highlight some examples of potential ways that these factors can shapethe links between parasite transmission mode and social grouping in hosts.

531

532 *Parasite virulence* 

533 Parasites exhibit intra- and inter-specific variation in virulence (i.e., infection-induced reduction 534 in host fitness) (Read 1994, Cressler et al. 2016), varying from subclinical (i.e. not severe enough 535 to present measurable effects) to lethal effects (Bull and Lauring 2014). The transmission-536 virulence trade-off theory predicts that parasites evolve a virulence level that maximizes their 537 transmission (Alizon et al. 2009). Thus, virulence should be higher in IT parasites since these 538 parasites are not dependent on host movement and social interactions for their own transmission. 539 Empirical and theoretical evidence supports the idea that some IT parasites are more virulent 540 than their DT counterparts (e.g., Walther and Ewald 2004, Cressler et al. 2016). However, host-541 parasite systems exhibit plasticity in virulence with individual-to-individual transmission rates, 542 host population density, and contact rates among social groups (Frank & Schmid-Hempel, 2008; 543 Cressler et al., 2016). High virulence may lead to higher rates of host mortality, which, in 544 parasites that require host survival for transmission, could drive selection for lower levels of 545 virulence to preserve host (and hence parasite) survival (Godinho et al. 2023). Heterogenous 546 contact rates among potential hosts (e.g., through sub-grouping) in the population can also drive 547 reduced virulence, since transmission opportunities to new groups are rare and host mortality is 548 costly for the parasite's fitness (Walther & Ewald, 2004; Cressler et al., 2016).

549

550 Infection intensity

551 While infection is often treated as binary (uninfected vs infected), in reality, individuals vary in 552 the number of parasites found on (and/or in) a single host (i.e., infection intensity; Rózsa et al. 553 2000). Heterogeneity in infection intensity can occur due to diverse factors, including differences 554 in individual resistance to parasites, acquired immunity following previous infections, and host 555 behavior modulating parasite encounter rate (Poulin 2007, Lopes et al. 2022). Not surprisingly, 556 higher parasite intensities are typically associated with more dramatic host effects (Moretti et al. 557 2017, Ryberg et al. 2020). For example, social investigations (i.e., olfactory exploration of 558 group-mates' bodies) decline in mice as infection intensity with the trophically-transmitted SLC 559 nematode Trichinella spiralis increases. Social standing in other interactions can also be impacted. In the treefrog Hypsiboas prasinus, male calling performance declines directly with 560 561 rising SLC-helminth parasite intensity, which would decrease males' ability to attract high 562 quality female mating partners. Given the evidence available on the link between infection 563 intensity and various social phenotypes, group social dynamics may be driven by variation in 564 infection intensity but this remains an understudied area.

565

## 566 *Co-infection by diverse parasites*

Animals are often co-infected by several parasite species (Pedersen and Fenton 2007, Viney and Graham 2013). These parasites may have conflicting strategies to maximize their fitness, with consequences for the host's social phenotype. For instance, conflict between parasite species can arise when a host is infected by both DT and IT parasites (Haine et al. 2005, Cezilly et al. 2014, Hafer 2016). DT parasites benefit from increased host sociality to maximize encounters with susceptible individuals, while transmission routes for IT parasites (e.g., trophic transmission, active migration) are often limited by social mechanisms that can dilute individual's risk of

574	infection (Rahn et al. 2015, Buck and Lutterschmidt 2016). The degree to which these co-
575	infections interact to shift the host's social phenotype remains largely unexplored.
576	
577	Environmental factors

578 The factors discussed above do not occur in a vacuum: animals and their parasites experience 579 environmental conditions that can alter transmission rates and the spatial-temporal distribution of 580 both parasites and hosts, including both natural (e.g. droughts, seasonality, heat waves) and 581 anthropogenic (e.g. pollution, habitat fragmentation) factors (Lafferty and Kuris 2005, Cable et 582 al. 2017). Variation in climate likely disproportionately impacts parasites with an 583 environmentally transmitted stage, common in IT parasites. For instance, parasite development 584 slows during dry and cold seasons (Turner and Getz 2010, Kutz et al. 2014) and the emergence 585 patterns of free-living, aquatic parasites are dictated by temperature, light and water depth 586 (Fingerut et al. 2003b). For hosts, activity and exploration generally increase at warmer 587 temperatures, which could lead to higher host encounter rates with IT parasites and greater social 588 interactions that facilitate DT parasite transmission (Barber et al. 2016, Gopko et al. 2020). 589 Seasonal and anthropogenic changes in water flow can also impact parasite transmission, with 590 Reynolds et al. (2019) showing that interrupted water flow leads to higher transmission of the 591 DD ectoparasite Gyrodactylus turnbulli and reduced shoal cohesion in guppy hosts (P. 592 *reticulata*). Habitat fragmentation may lead to reduced host contact rates and thus reduce 593 transmission, especially for DT parasites (Hess, 1996). Alternatively, habitat loss and 594 urbanization could enhance parasite richness when host density increases in a fragmented 595 ecosystem (McCallum and Dobson 2002). For instance, Froeschke and Matthee (2014) found 596 that an increased density of the four-striped grass mouse (*Rhabdomys pumilio*) in fragmented

areas led to higher parasite abundance and richness compared with rodents from natural areas.

598 This unpredictable cocktail of environmental factors enhances the complexity of parasite

transmission and its interaction with host sociability.

600

601 CONCLUSIONS

602 The diversity and abundance of parasites in animal communities contributes to intra- and 603 interspecific variability in the distribution of social phenotypes. Although it has been 50 years 604 since Alexander (1974) first proposed a link between social grouping and parasitism, we are only 605 beginning to crack the surface of the complex interactions between gregarious hosts and their 606 parasites. Further, we know little about how parasite exposure history could influence social 607 phenotypes, even when the host successfully fights off the parasite's invasion. Recent work 608 suggests that parasite exposure can alter the host's behavior, even in the absence of any 609 detectable parasite infection following exposure (Parker et al. 2023, Vindas et al. 2023). These 610 results highlight that the parasites found in study animals could be just the tip of the iceberg in 611 terms of that animal's parasite exposure through its ontogeny and the species' evolutionary 612 history. Further, we still have much to learn about the bidirectional relationship between social 613 dynamics and infection risk, including factors like group positioning, composition, and social 614 network structure and dynamics, and represent exciting opportunities for new research in this 615 area. 616

- 617
- 618

619 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

LEN is supported by funding from the University of Southampton, and SAB is funded by an NSERC Discovery Grant and the Canada Research Chair Program. JDB is funded by an FRQNT doctoral scholarship. MJS is funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska Curie grant agreement No. 101023948 and Royal Society University Research Fellowship URF\R1\221800. SSK is supported by Natural Environment Research Council Standard Grant NE/T008334/1.

626

627 REFERENCES

- 628 Abbott, P., and L. M. Dill. 2001. Sexually transmitted parasites and sexual selection in the
- 629 milkweek leave beetle, *Labidomera clivicollis*. Oikos **92**:91-100.
- Adamson, M. L., and J. N. Caira. 1994. Evolutionary factors influencing the nature of parasite
  specificity. Parasitology 109 Suppl:S85-95.
- 632 Akullian, A. N., D. Lu, J. Z. McDowell, G. M. Davis, R. C. Spear, and J. V. Remais. 2012.
- 633 Modeling the combined influence of host dispersal and waterborne fate and transport on
- 634 pathogen spread in complex landscapes. Water Quality, Exposure and Health 4:159-168.
- 635 Alexander, R. D. 1974. The evolution of social behavior. Annual Review of Ecology and
- 636 Systematics. **5**:325-383.
- 637 Alizon, S., A. Hurford, N. Mideo, and M. Van Baalen. 2009. Virulence evolution and the trade-
- 638 off hypothesis: history, current state of affairs and the future. Journal of Evolutionary Biology
  639 22:245-259.
- Allan, B. J. M., B. Illing, E. P. Fakan, P. Narvaez, A. S. Grutter, P. C. Sikkel, E. C. McClure, J.
- L. Rummer, and M. I. McCormick. 2020. Parasite infection directly impacts escape response
- and stress levels in fish. Journal of Experimental Biology **223**.

- Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1978. Regulation and stability of host-parasite interactions. I.
  Regulatory processes. Journal of Animal Ecology 47:219-247.
- Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1979. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part I. Nature
  280:361-367.
- 647 Anderson, R. M., and R. M. May. 1981. The population dynamics of microparasites and their
- 648 invertebrate hosts. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B **291**:451-524.
- Antonovics, J. 2017. Transmission dynamics: critical questions and challenges. Philosophical
   Transactions of the Royal Society B 372.
- Antonovics, J., A. J. Wilson, M. R. Forbes, H. C. Hauffe, E. R. Kallio, H. C. Leggett, B.
- Longdon, B. Okamura, S. M. Sait, and J. P. Webster. 2017. The evolution of transmission
- mode. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B **372**.
- Bagge, A. M., R. Poulin, and E. T. Valtonen. 2004. Fish population size, and not density, as the
  determining factor of parasite infection: a case study. Parasitology 128:305-313.
- 656 Balasubramaniam, K., B. Beisner, J. Vandeleest, E. Atwill, and B. McCowan. 2016. Social
- buffering and contact transmission: network connections have beneficial and detrimental
- effects on *Shigella* infection risk among captive rhesus macaques. PeerJ 4:e2630.
- Barber, I., B. W. Berkhout, and Z. Ismail. 2016. Thermal change and the dynamics of multi-Host
- parasite life cycles in aquatic ecosystems. Integrative and Comparative Biology **56**:561-572.
- Barber, I., D. Hoare, and J. Krause. 2000. Effect of parasites on fish behaviour: a review and
- evolutionary perspective. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 10:131-165.
- 663 Begon, M., M. Bennett, R. G. Bowers, R. P. French, S. M. Hazel, and J. Turner. 2002. A
- 664 clarification of transmission in terms of host-microparasite models: numbers, densities and
- areas. Epidemiology & Infection **129**:147-153.

- Behringer, D. C., and M. J. Butler. 2010. Disease avoidance influences shelter use and predation
- 667 in Caribbean spiny lobster. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology **64**:747-755.
- 668 Behringer, D. C., M. J. Butler, and J. D. Shields. 2006. Avoidance of disease by social lobsters.
- 669 Nature **441**:421.
- 670 Behringer, D. C., A. Karvonen, and J. Bojko. 2018. Parasite avoidance behaviours in aquatic
- 671 environments. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B **373**.
- Ben-Horin, T., G. Bidegain, L. Huey, D. A. Narvaez, and D. Bushek. 2015. Parasite transmission
  through suspension feeding. Journal of Invertebrate Pathology 131:155-176.
- 674 Best, A., S. Webb, J. Antonovics, and M. Boots. 2011. Local transmission processes and disease-
- driven host extinctions. Theoretical Ecology **5**:211-217.
- 676 Binning, S. A., D. G. Roche, A. S. Grutter, S. Colosio, D. Sun, J. Miest, and R. Bshary. 2018.
- 677 Cleaner wrasse indirectly affect the cognitive performance of a damselfish through
- 678 ectoparasite removal. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **285**.
- Binning, S. A., D. G. Roche, and C. Layton. 2013. Ectoparasites increase swimming costs in a
- 680 coral reef fish. Biology Letters **9**:20120927.
- 681 Binning, S. A., A. K. Shaw, and D. G. Roche. 2017. Parasites and host performance:
- 682 Incorporating infection into our understanding of animal movement. Integrative and
- 683 Comparative Biology. **57**:267-280.
- Boldin, B., and E. Kisdi. 2012. On the evolutionary dynamics of pathogens with direct and
- 685 environmental transmission. Evolution **66**:2514-2527.
- Bouwman, K. M., and D. M. Hawley. 2010. Sickness behaviour acting as an evolutionary trap?
- 687 Male house finches preferentially feed near diseased conspecifics. Biology Letters **6**:462-465.

- Brooker, S., A. C. Clements, and D. A. Bundy. 2006. Global epidemiology, ecology and control
  of soil-transmitted helminth infections. Advances in Parasitology 62:221-261.
- 690 Brookes, V. J., S. Durr, and M. P. Ward. 2019. Rabies-induced behavioural changes are key to
- 691 rabies persistence in dog populations: Investigation using a network-based model. PLoS
- 692 Neglected Tropical Diseases **13**:e0007739.
- Brown, C. R., and M. B. Brown. 1992. Ectoparasitism as a Cause of Natal Dispersal in Cliff
  Swallows. Ecology 73:1718-1723.
- 695 Brutsch, T., G. Jaffuel, A. Vallat, T. C. Turlings, and M. Chapuisat. 2017. Wood ants produce a
- 696 potent antimicrobial agent by applying formic acid on tree-collected resin. Ecology &
- 697 Evolution 7:2249-2254.
- Buck, J. C., and W. I. Lutterschmidt. 2016. Parasite abundance decreases with host density:
- 699 evidence of the encounter-dilution effect for a parasite with a complex life cycle.
- 700 Hydrobiologia **784**:201-210.
- Buck, J. C., and W. J. Ripple. 2017. Infectious agents trigger trophic cascades. Trends in
  Ecology & Evolution 32:681-694.
- Buck, J. C., S. B. Weinstein, and H. S. Young. 2018. Ecological and evolutionary consequences
  of parasite avoidance. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 33:619-632.
- 705 Bull, J. J., and A. S. Lauring. 2014. Theory and empiricism in virulence evolution. PLoS
- 706 Pathogens **10**:e1004387.
- 707 Bunkley-Williams, L., and E. H. Williams. 1998. Isopods Associated with Fishes: A Synopsis
- and Corrections. Journal of Parasitology **84**:893-896.

- 709 Cable, J., I. Barber, B. Boag, A. R. Ellison, E. R. Morgan, K. Murray, E. L. Pascoe, S. M. Sait,
- A. J. Wilson, and M. Booth. 2017. Global change, parasite transmission and disease control:
- 711 lessons from ecology. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B **372**.
- 712 Caraco, T., and I.-N. Wang. 2008. Free-living pathogens: Life-history constraints and strain
- 713 competition. Journal of Theoretical Biology **250**:569-579.
- 714 Cezilly, F., M. J. Perrot-Minnot, and T. Rigaud. 2014. Cooperation and conflict in host
- 715 manipulation: interactions among macro-parasites and micro-organisms. Frontiers in
- 716 Microbiology **5**:248.
- 717 Chernin, J. 2000. Parasite examples grouped according to life-cycle. Parasitology. Taylor &
- 718 Francis, Inc., New York.
- 719 Chretien, E., J. De Bonville, J. Guitard, S. A. Binning, E. Melis, A. Kack, A. Cote, M. Gradito,
- A. Papillon, V. Thelamon, M. Levet, and M. Barou-Dagues. 2023. Few studies of wild animal
- performance account for parasite infections: A systematic review. Journal of Animal Ecology.
  92:794-806.
- Clinchy, M., M. J. Sheriff, L. Y. Zanette, and R. Boonstra. 2013. Predator-induced stress and the
   ecology of fear. Functional Ecology 27:56-65.
- 725 Combes, C. 2001. Parasitism: The Ecology and Evolution of Intimate Interactions. The
- 726 University of Chicago Press, Chicago.
- 727 Cortez, M. H., and J. S. Weitz. 2013. Distinguishing between indirect and direct modes of
- transmission using epidemiological time series. American Naturalist **181**:E43-54.
- 729 Cote, I. M., and R. Poulin. 1995. Parasitism and group size in social animals: a meta-analysis.
- 730 Behavioral Ecology 6:159-165.

- Cotter, S. C., and R. M. Kilner. 2010. Personal immunity versus social immunity. Behavioral
  Ecology 21:663-668.
- 733 Cremer, S., S. A. Armitage, and P. Schmid-Hempel. 2007. Social immunity. Current Biology
  734 17:R693-702.
- Cremer, S., D. Pull, and M. A. Furst. 2018. Social immunity: Emergence and evolution of
  colony-level disease protection. Annual Review of Entomology 63:105-123.
- 737 Cressler, C. E., L. D. Mc, C. Rozins, V. D. H. J, and T. Day. 2016. The adaptive evolution of
- virulence: a review of theoretical predictions and empirical tests. Parasitology **143**:915-930.
- 739 Croft, D. P., M. Edenbrow, S. K. Darden, I. W. Ramnarine, C. van Oosterhout, and J. Cable.
- 740 2011. Effect of gyrodactylid ectoparasites on host behaviour and social network structure in
- guppies Poecilia reticulata. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology **65**:2219-2227.
- Curtis, V. A. 2014. Infection-avoidance behaviour in humans and other animals. Trends in
  Immunology 35:457-464.
- 744 Demandt, N., M. Praetz, R. Kurvers, J. Krause, J. Kurtz, and J. P. Scharsack. 2020. Parasite
- infection disrupts escape behaviours in fish shoals. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
- 746 Biological Sciences **287**:20201158.
- 747 Demandt, N., B. Saus, R. Kurvers, J. Krause, J. Kurtz, and J. P. Scharsack. 2018. Parasite-
- infected sticklebacks increase the risk-taking behaviour of uninfected group members.
- 749 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **285**.
- 750 Dobson, A., K. D. Lafferty, A. M. Kuris, R. F. Hechinger, and W. Jetz. 2008. Colloquium paper:
- homage to Linnaeus: how many parasites? How many hosts? Proceedings of the National
- Academy of Sciences **105 Suppl 1**:11482-11489.

- 753 Doherty, J.-F., and B. Ruehle. 2020. An integrated landscape of fear and disgust: The evolution
- of avoidance behaviors amidst a myriad of natural enemies. Frontiers in Ecology andEvolution 8.
- 756 Doherty, J. F., M. Milotic, A. Filion, and A. Eriksson. 2022. Host specificity and the
- reproductive strategies of parasites. Parasitology **149**:534-541.
- Domenici, P., J. F. Steffensen, and S. Marras. 2017. The effect of hypoxia on fish schooling.
  Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 372.
- 760 Dugatkin, L. A., G. J. FitzGerald, and J. Lavoie. 1994. Juvenile three-spined sticklebacks avoid
- 761 parasitized conspecifics. Environmental Biology of Fishes **39**:215-218.
- Duncan, P., and N. Vigne. 1979. The effect of group size in horses on the rate of attacks by
  blood-sucking flies. Animal Behaviour 27:623-625.
- 764 Evans, J. C., M. J. Silk, N. J. Boogert, and D. J. Hodgson. 2020. Infected or informed? Social
- structure and the simultaneous transmission of information and infectious disease. Oikos
  129:1271-1288.
- 767 Ezenwa, V. O., E. A. Archie, M. E. Craft, D. M. Hawley, L. B. Martin, J. Moore, and L. White.
- 768 2016a. Host behaviour-parasite feedback: An essential link between animal behaviour and
- 769 disease ecology. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 283.
- Ezenwa, V. O., R. R. Ghai, A. F. McKay, and A. E. Williams. 2016b. Group living and pathogen
- 771 infection revisited. Current Opinion in Behavioral Sciences **12**:66-72.
- Fayer, R. 1980. Epidemiology of protozoan infections: The coccidia. Veterinary Parasitology
  6:75-103.
- Fingerut, J. T., C. A. Zimmer, and R. K. Zimmer. 2003a. Larval swimming overpowers turbulent
- 775 mixing and facilitates transmission of a marine parasite. Ecology **84**:2502-2515.

- Fingerut, J. T., C. A. Zimmer, and R. K. Zimmer. 2003b. Patterns and processes of larval
  emergence in a estuarine parasite system. Biological Bulletin 205:110-120.
- 778 Foster, W. A., and J. E. Treherne. 1981. Evidence for the dilution effect in the selfish herd from
- fish predation on a marine insect. Nature **293**:466-467.
- Francl, L. J. 2001. The Disease Triangle: A Plant Pathological Paradigm Revisited. The Plant
  Health Instructor.
- Freeland, W. J. 1976. Pathogens and the evolution of primate sociality. Biotropica 8:12-24.
- 783 Friant, S., T. E. Ziegler, and T. L. Goldberg. 2016. Changes in physiological stress and
- behaviour in semi-free-ranging red-capped mangabeys (*Cercocebus torquatus*) following
- antiparasitic treatment. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **283**.
- 786 Froeschke, G., and S. Matthee. 2014. Landscape characteristics influence helminth infestations
- in a peri-domestic rodent--implications for possible zoonotic disease. Parasites & Vectors
  788 7:393.
- Ghosh, B., H. Lal, and A. Srivastava. 2015. Review of bioaerosols in indoor environment with
  special reference to sampling, analysis and control mechanisms. Environment International
  85:254-272.
- Giles, N. 1983. Behavioural effects of the parasite Schistocephalus solidus (Cestoda) on an
- 793 intermediate host, the three-spined stickleback, *Gasterosteus aculeatus* L. Animal Behaviour
  794 **31**:1192-1194.
- 795 Godfrey, S. S., and R. Poulin. 2022. Host manipulation by parasites: From individual to
- collective behavior. Page 207-222 in V. Ezenwa, S. M. Altizer, and R. Hall, editors. Animal
- 797 Behavior and Parasitism. Oxford University Press.

- 798 Godinho, D. P., L. R. Rodrigues, S. Lefevre, L. Delteil, A. F. Mira, I. R. Fragata, S. Magalhaes,
- and A. B. Duncan. 2023. Limited host availability disrupts the genetic correlation between
  virulence and transmission. Evolution Letters 7:58-66.
- 801 Gopko, M., E. Mironova, A. Pasternak, V. Mikheev, and J. Taskinen. 2020. Parasite
- 802 transmission in aquatic ecosystems under temperature change: Effects of host activity and
- 803 elimination of parasite larvae by filter-feeders. Oikos **129**:1531-1540.
- 804 Grear, D. A., L. T. Luong, and P. J. Hudson. 2013. Network transmission inference: Host
- 805 behavior and parasite life cycle make social networks meaningful in disease ecology.
- Ecological Applications **23**:1906-1914.
- 807 Gross, T., C. J. D'Lima, and B. Blasius. 2006. Epidemic dynamics on an adaptive network.
  808 Physical Review Letters 96:208701.
- Haas, W. 2003. Parasitic worms: Strategies of host finding, recognition and invasion. Zoology
  (Jena) 106:349-364.
- 811 Hafer, N. 2016. Conflicts over host manipulation between different parasites and pathogens:
- 812 Investigating the ecological and medical consequences. Bioessays **38**:1027-1037.
- 813 Haine, E. R., K. Boucansaud, and T. Rigaud. 2005. Conflict between parasites with different
- 814 transmission strategies infecting an amphipod host. Proceedings of the Royal Society B:
- 815 Biological Sciences **272**:2505-2510.
- Hamilton, W. D., and M. Zuk. 1982. Heritable true fitness and bright birds: A role for parasites?
  Science 218:384-387.
- 818 Hart, B. L. 1994. Behavioural defense against parasites: interaction with parasite invasiveness.
- 819 Parasitology **109**:S139-S151.

- 820 Hart, L. A., and B. L. Hart. 2021. How Does the Social Grouping of Animals in Nature Protect
- 821 Against Sickness? A Perspective. Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience 15:672097.
- 822 Hawley, D. M., and V. O. Ezenwa. 2022. Parasites, host behavior, and their feedbacks. Pages 15-
- 823 32 *in* V. Ezenwa, S. M. Altizer, and R. Hall, editors. Animal Behavior and Parasitism.
- 824 Oxford University Press.
- Hawley, D. M., A. K. Gibson, A. K. Townsend, M. E. Craft, and J. F. Stephenson. 2021.
- 826 Bidirectional interactions between host social behaviour and parasites arise through ecological
- and evolutionary processes. Parasitology **148**:274-288.
- 828 Hechinger, R. F. 2015. Parasites help find universal ecological rules. Proceedings of the National
- 829 Academy of Sciences **112**:1656-1657.
- Hernandez, A. D., and M. V. K. Sukhdeo. 1995. Host grooming and the transmission strategy of *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*. Journal of Parasitology 81:865-869.
- 832 Hillgarth, N. 1996. Ectoparasite transfer during mating in ring-necked pheasants *Phasianus*
- 833 *colchicus*. Journal of Avian Biology **27**:260-262.
- 834 Hobart, B. K., W. E. Moss, T. McDevitt-Galles, T. E. Stewart Merrill, and P. T. J. Johnson.
- 835 2022. It's a worm-eat-worm world: Consumption of parasite free-living stages protects hosts
- and benefits predators. Journal of Animal Ecology **91**:35-45.
- 837 Hopkins, S. R., A. E. Fleming-Davies, L. K. Belden, J. M. Wojdak, and N. Golding. 2020.
- 838 Systematic review of modelling assumptions and empirical evidence: Does parasite
- transmission increase nonlinearly with host density? Methods in Ecology and Evolution
- **11**:476-486.

- 841 Hutchings, M. R., I. Kyriazakis, T. G. Papachristou, I. J. Gordon, and F. Jackson. 2000. The
- 842 herbivores' dilemma: Trade-offs between nutrition and parasitism in foraging decisions.
- 843 Oecologia **124**:242-251.
- 844 Iritani, R., and Y. Iwasa. 2014. Parasite infection drives the evolution of state-dependent
- dispersal of the host. Theoretical Population Biology **92**:1-13.
- Jolles, J. W., A. J. King, and S. S. Killen. 2020a. The role of individual heterogeneity in
- collective animal behaviour. Trends in Ecology & Evolution **35**:278-291.
- Jolles, J. W., G. P. F. Mazue, J. Davidson, J. Behrmann-Godel, and I. D. Couzin. 2020b.
- 849 *Schistocephalus* parasite infection alters sticklebacks' movement ability and thereby shapes
- social interactions. Scientific Reports **10**:12282.
- Kavaliers, M., and E. Choleris. 2018. The role of social cognition in parasite and pathogen
  avoidance. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B 373.
- 853 Kavaliers, M., E. Choleris, A. Agmo, and D. W. Pfaff. 2004. Olfactory-mediated parasite
- recognition and avoidance: linking genes to behavior. Hormones and Behavior **46**:272-283.
- 855 Kavaliers, M., E. Choleris, and D. D. Colwell. 2001. Learning from others to cope with biting
- 856 flies: Social learning of fear-induced conditioned analgesia and active avoidance. Behavioral
- 857 Neuroscience **115**:661-674.
- 858 Kavaliers, M., and D. D. Colwell. 1995. Discrimination by female mice between the odours of
- parasitized and non-parasitized males. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
  Sciences 261:31-35.
- Kavaliers, M., D. D. Colwell, and E. Choleris. 2003. Learning to fear and cope with a natural
- 862 stressor: Individually and socially acquired corticosterone and avoidance responses to biting
- flies. Hormones and Behavior **43**:99-107.

864	Kavaliers, M., D. D. Colwell, K. P. Ossenkopp, and T. S. Perrot-Sinal. 1997. Altered responses
865	to female odors in parasitized male mice: neuromodulatory mechanisms and relations to
866	female choice. Behavioral Ecology and Sociobiology 40:373-384.
867	Kavaliers, M., K. P. Ossenkopp, and E. Choleris. 2019. Social neuroscience of disgust. Genes,
868	Brain, and Behaviour 18:e12508.
869	Keiser, C. N. 2022. Collective behavior and parasite transmission. Pages 71-88 in V. Ezenwa, S
870	M. Altizer, and R. Hall, editors. Animal Behavior and Parasitism. Oxford University Press.
871	Kiesecker, J. M., D. K. Skelly, K. H. Bear, and E. Preisser. 1999. Behavioral reduction of
872	infection risk. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 96:9165-9168.
873	Killen, S. S., S. Marras, L. Nadler, and P. Domenici. 2017. The role of physiological traits in
874	assortment among and within fish shoals. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B
875	372.

- 876 Kohlmeier, P., K. Hollander, and J. Meunier. 2016. Survival after pathogen exposure in group-
- 877 living insects: don't forget the stress of social isolation! Journal of Evolutionary Biology
  878 29:1867-1872.
- 879 Konrad, M., M. L. Vyleta, F. J. Theis, M. Stock, S. Tragust, M. Klatt, V. Drescher, C. Marr, L.
- V. Ugelvig, and S. Cremer. 2012. Social transfer of pathogenic fungus promotes active
  immunisation in ant colonies. PLoS Biology 10:e1001300.
- Krause, J., P. Reeves, and D. Hoare. 1998. Positioning Behaviour in Roach Shoals: The Role of
  Body Length and Nutritional State. Behaviour 135:1031-1039.
- Krause, J., and G. D. Ruxton. 2002. Living in Groups. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- 885 Kuris, A. M., R. F. Hechinger, J. C. Shaw, K. L. Whitney, L. Aguirre-Macedo, C. A. Boch, A. P.
- 886 Dobson, E. J. Dunham, B. L. Fredensborg, T. C. Huspeni, J. Lorda, L. Mababa, F. T. Mancini,

- A. B. Mora, M. Pickering, N. L. Talhouk, M. E. Torchin, and K. D. Lafferty. 2008.
- Ecosystem energetic implications of parasite and free-living biomass in three estuaries. Nature
  454:515-518.
- 890 Kutz, S. J., E. P. Hoberg, P. K. Molnar, A. Dobson, and G. G. Verocai. 2014. A walk on the
- tundra: Host-parasite interactions in an extreme environment. International Journal for
- 892 Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife **3**:198-208.
- Lafferty, K., and A. Kuris. 2002. Trophic strategies, animal diversity and body size. Trends in
  Ecology & Evolution 17:507-513.
- 895 Lafferty, K. D., and A. M. Kuris. 2005. Parasitism and environmental disturbances. Pages 113-
- 896 123 *in* F. Thomas, F. Renaud, and J.-F. Guegan, editors. Parasitism and Ecosystems. Oxford
  897 University Press.
- 898 Lanser, D. M., L. K. Vredevoe, and G. R. Kolluru. 2021. Tick parasitism impairs contest
- behavior in the western fence lizard (*Sceloporus occidentalis*). Behavioral Ecology and
  Sociobiology **75**:40.
- 201 Leu, S. T., P. M. Kappeler, and C. M. Bull. 2010. Refuge sharing network predicts ectoparasite
  202 load in a lizard. Behav Ecol Sociobiol 64:1495-1503.
- 903 Lloyd-Smith, J. O., P. C. Cross, C. J. Briggs, M. Daugherty, W. M. Getz, J. Latto, M. S.
- 904 Sanchez, A. B. Smith, and A. Swei. 2005a. Should we expect population thresholds for
- 905 wildlife disease? Trends in Ecology & Evolution **20**:511-519.
- 906 Lloyd-Smith, J. O., S. J. Schreiber, P. E. Kopp, and W. M. Getz. 2005b. Superspreading and the
- 907 effect of individual variation on disease emergence. Nature **438**:355-359.

908	Lopes, P. C., P. Block, and B. Konig. 2016. Infection-induced behavioural changes reduce
909	connectivity and the potential for disease spread in wild mice contact networks. Scientific
910	Reports <b>6</b> :31790.

- 911 Lopes, P. C., S. S. French, D. C. Woodhams, and S. A. Binning. 2021. Sickness behaviors across
- 912 vertebrate taxa: proximate and ultimate mechanisms. Journal of Experimental Biology **224**.
- 913 Lopes, P. C., S. S. French, D. C. Woodhams, and S. A. Binning. 2022. Infection avoidance
- behaviors across vertebrate taxa: Patterns, processes, and future directions. Page 237-256 in
- 915 V. Ezenwa, S. M. Altizer, and R. Hall, editors. Animal Behavior and Parasitism. Oxford
- 916 University Press.
- 917 Love, A. C., K. Grisham, J. B. Krall, C. G. Goodchild, and S. E. DuRant. 2021. Perception of
- 918 infection: Disease-related social cues influence immunity in songbirds. Biology Letters
  919 17:20210125.
- 920 Mansuy, J. M., M. Dutertre, C. Mengelle, C. Fourcade, B. Marchou, P. Delobel, J. Izopet, and G.
- 921 Martin-Blondel. 2016. Zika virus: High infectious viral load in semen, a new sexually
- transmitted pathogen? The Lancet Infectious Diseases 16.
- May, R. M., and R. M. Anderson. 1979. Population biology of infectious diseases: Part II. Nature
  280:455-461.
- 925 McCabe, C. M., S. M. Reader, and C. L. Nunn. 2015. Infectious disease, behavioural flexibility
- and the evolution of culture in primates. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological
- 927 Sciences **282**:20140862.
- 928 McCallum, H., N. Barlow, and J. Hone. 2001. How should pathogen transmission be modelled?
- 929 Trends in Ecology & Evolution 16:295-300.

- 930 McCallum, H., and A. Dobson. 2002. Disease, habitat fragmentation and conservation.
- 931 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **269**:2041-2049.
- 932 Mistrick, J., M. L. J. Gilbertson, L. A. White, and M. E. Craft. 2022. Constructing animal
- 933 networks for parasite transmission inference. Pages 53-70 in V. Ezenwa, S. M. Altizer, and R.
- Hall, editors. Animal Behavior and Parasitism. Oxford University Press.
- 935 Mitchell, J., M. A. Cant, E. I. K. Vitikainen, and H. J. Nichols. 2017. Smelling fit: scent marking
- exposes parasitic infection status in the banded mongoose. Current Zoology **63**:237-247.
- 937 Mooring, M. S., and B. L. Hart. 1992. Animal grouping for protection from parasites: Selfish
- herd and encounter-dilution effects. Behaviour **123**:173-193.
- 939 Moretti, E. H., B. Titon, Jr., C. B. Madelaire, R. de Arruda, T. Alvarez, and F. R. Gomes. 2017.
- 940 Behavioral, physiological and morphological correlates of parasite intensity in the wild
- 941 Cururu toad (Rhinella icterica). International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife942 6:146-154.
- Morrell, L. J., and W. L. Romey. 2008. Optimal individual positions within animal groups.
  Behavioral Ecology 19:909-919.
- Mouritsen, K. N., and R. Poulin. 2002. Parasitism, community structure and biodiversity in
  intertidal ecosystems. Parasitology 124.
- 947 Newey, S., and S. Thirgood. 2004. Parasite-mediated reduction in fecundity of mountain hares.
- 948 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **271 Suppl 6**:S413-415.
- 949 Newsom, R. M., P. N. P. Mella, and T. E. Franklin. 1973. Observations on the numbers of the
- 950 tick *Rhipicephalus appendiculatus* on the ears of zebu cattle in relation to hierarchical status
- 951 in the herd. Tropical Animal Health and Production 5:281-283.

- Nouri, K., and D. T. Blumstein. 2019. Parasites are associated with noisy alarm calls. Frontiers
  in Ecology and Evolution 7.
- Øverli, Ø., and I. B. Johansen. 2019. Kindness to the final host and vice versa: A trend for
  parasites providing easy prey? Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 7.
- 956 Øverli, Ø., J. Nordgreen, C. M. Mejdell, A. M. Janczak, S. Kittilsen, I. B. Johansen, and T. E.
- 957 Horsberg. 2014. Ectoparasitic sea lice (*Lepeophtheirus salmonis*) affect behavior and brain
- 958 serotonergic activity in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar* L.): Perspectives on animal welfare.
- 959 Physiology & Behavior **132**:44-50.
- 960 Parker, B., J. R. Britton, I. D. Green, F. Amat-Trigo, and D. Andreou. 2023. Parasite infection
- but not chronic microplastic exposure reduces the feeding rate in a freshwater fish.
- 962 Environmental Pollution **320**:121120.
- 963 Parker, G. A., M. A. Ball, and J. C. Chubb. 2015. Evolution of complex life cycles in trophically
- transmitted helminths. I. Host incorporation and trophic ascent. Journal of Evolutionary
  Biology 28:267-291.
- Parmentier, E., and L. Michel. 2013. Boundary lines in symbiosis forms. Symbiosis 60:1-5.
- 967 Patterson, J. E., and K. E. Ruckstuhl. 2013. Parasite infection and host group size: a meta-
- analytical review. Parasitology **140**:803-813.
- Pedersen, A. B., and A. Fenton. 2007. Emphasizing the ecology in parasite community ecology.
- 970 Trends in Ecology & Evolution **22**:133-139.
- 971 Penn, D., and W. K. Potts. 1998. Chemical signals and parasite-mediated sexual selection.
- 972 Trends in Ecology & Evolution **13**:391-396.

- 973 Poirotte, C., F. Massol, A. Herbert, E. Willaume, P. M. Bomo, P. M. Kappeler, and M. J. E.
- 974 Charpentier. 2017. Mandrills use olfaction to socially avoid parasitized conspecifics. Science
  975 Advances 3:e1601721.
- 976 Poulin, R. 2007. Strategies of host exploitation. Evolutionary Ecology of Parasites. Princeton
- 977 University Press, Princeton.
- Poulin, R., B. R. Krasnov, and D. Mouillot. 2011. Host specificity in phylogenetic and
  geographic space. Trends Parasitol 27:355-361.
- 980 Poulin, R., D. J. Marcogliese, and J. D. McLaughlin. 1999. Skin-penetrating parasites and the
- 981 release of alarm substances in juvenile rainbow trout. Journal of Fish Biology **55**:47-53.
- Poulin, R., and F. Thomas. 1999. Phenotypic variability induced by parasites: Extent and
  evolutionary implications. Parasitology Today 15:28-32.
- 984 Rahn, A. K., D. A. Hammer, and T. C. M. Bakker. 2015. Experimental infection with the directly
- 985 transmitted parasite *Gyrodactylus* influences shoaling behaviour in sticklebacks. Animal
- 986 Behaviour **107**:253-261.
- Rappole, J. H., and Z. Hubalek. 2003. Migratory birds and the West Nile virus. Journal of
  Applied Microbiology 94:47S-58S.
- 989 Read, A. F. 1994. The evolution of virulence. Trends in Microbiology 2:73-76.
- 990 Reichert, M. S., M. G. Bolek, and E. A. McCullagh. 2023. Parasite effects on receivers in animal
- 991 communication: Hidden impacts on behavior, ecology, and evolution. Proceedings of the
- 992 National Academy of Sciences **120**:e2300186120.
- 993 Reynolds, M., F. A. Hockley, C. A. M. E. Wilson, and J. Cable. 2019. Assessing the effects of
- water flow rate on parasite transmission amongst a social host. Hydrobiologia **830**:201-212.

995	Rifkin, J. L., C. L. Nunn, and L. Z. Garamszegi. 2012. Do animals living in larger groups
996	experience greater parasitism? A meta-analysis. American Naturalist 180:70-82.

- 997 Ritchie, K. L., V. T. Vredenburg, S. Chaukulkar, H. M. Butler, and A. G. Zink. 2021. Social
- 998 group size influences pathogen transmission in salamanders. Behavioral Ecology and
- 999 Sociobiology **75**:136.
- Roche, B., J. M. Drake, and P. Rohani. 2011. The curse of the Pharaoh revisited: Evolutionary
  bi-stability in environmentally transmitted pathogens. Ecol Lett 14:569-575.
- 1002 Rosengaus, R. B., C. Jordan, M. L. Lefebvre, and J. F. Traniello. 1999. Pathogen alarm behavior
- in a termite: A new form of communication in social insects. Naturwissenschaften 86:544-
- 1004 548.
- 1005 Rózsa, L., J. Reiczigel, and G. Majoros. 2000. Quantifying parasites in samples of hosts. Journal
  1006 of Parasitology 86:228-232, 225.
- 1007 Ryberg, M. P., P. V. Skov, N. Vendramin, K. Buchmann, A. Nielsen, and J. W. Behrens. 2020.
- 1008 Physiological condition of Eastern Baltic cod, *Gadus morhua*, infected with the parasitic
- nematode Contracaecum osculatum. Conservation Physiology **8**:coaa093.
- 1010 Sah, P., S. T. Leu, P. C. Cross, P. J. Hudson, and S. Bansal. 2017. Unraveling the disease
- 1011 consequences and mechanisms of modular structure in animal social networks. Proceedings of
- 1012 the National Academy of Sciences **114**:4165-4170.
- 1013 Sauvage, F., M. Langlais, and D. Pontier. 2007. Predicting the emergence of human hantavirus
- 1014 disease using a combination of viral dynamics and rodent demographic patterns.
- 1015 Epidemiology & Infection **135**:46-56.
- 1016 Seppälä, O., A. Karvonen, and E. T. Valtonen. 2008. Shoaling behaviour of fish under parasitism
- 1017 and predation risk. Animal Behaviour **75**:145-150.

- Shaw, L. B., and I. B. Schwartz. 2008. Fluctuating epidemics on adaptive networks. Physical
  Review E 77:066101.
- 1020 Sih, A., O. Spiegel, S. Godfrey, S. Leu, and C. M. Bull. 2018. Integrating social networks,
- 1021 animal personalities, movement ecology and parasites: A framework with examples from a
- 1022 lizard. Animal Behaviour **136**:195-205.
- 1023 Smith, M. J., S. Telfer, E. R. Kallio, S. Burthe, A. R. Cook, X. Lambin, and M. Begon. 2009.
- 1024 Host-pathogen time series data in wildlife support a transmission function between density
- and frequency dependence. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences **106**:7905-7909.
- 1026 Stephenson, J. F., S. E. Perkins, and J. Cable. 2018. Transmission risk predicts avoidance of
- 1027 infected conspecifics in Trinidadian guppies. Journal of Animal Ecology **87**:1525-1533.
- Stockmaier, S., Y. Ulrich, G. F. Albery, S. Cremer, and P. C. Lopes. 2023. Behavioural defences
  against parasites across host social structures. Functional Ecology 37:809-820.
- 1030 Stroeymeyt, N., A. V. Grasse, A. Crespi, D. P. Mersch, S. Cremer, and L. Keller. 2018. Social
- 1031 network plasticity decreases disease transmission in a eusocial insect. Science **362**:941-945.
- 1032 Stumbo, A. D., C. T. James, C. P. Goater, B. D. Wisenden, and S. Cotter. 2012. Shoaling as an
- 1033 antiparasite defence in minnows (*Pimephales promelas*) exposed to trematode cercariae.
- 1034 Journal of Animal Ecology **81**:1319-1326.
- 1035 Thomas, F., J. Brodeur, F. Maure, N. Franceschi, S. Blanchet, and T. Rigaud. 2011. Intraspecific
- 1036 variability in host manipulation by parasites. Infection, Genetics, and Evolution 11:262-269.
- 1037 Thrall, P. H., J. Antonovics, and D. W. Hall. 1993. Host and pathogen coexistence in sexuallhy
- 1038 transmitted and vector-borne diseases characterized by frequency-dependent disease
- transmission. American Naturalist **142**:543-552.

- 1040 Timi, J. T., and R. Poulin. 2020. Why ignoring parasites in fish ecology is a mistake.
- 1041 International Journal for Parasitology **50**:755-761.
- 1042 Turner, W. C., and W. M. Getz. 2010. Seasonal and demographic factors influencing
- 1043 gastrointestinal parasitism in ungulates of etosha national park. Journal of Wildlife Diseases
- **46**:1108-1119.
- 1045 VanderWaal, K. L., and V. O. Ezenwa. 2016. Heterogeneity in pathogen transmission:
- 1046 Mechanisms and methodology. Functional Ecology **30**:1606-1622.
- 1047 Vindas, M. A., H. L. E. Midttun, L. E. Nadler, R. Fontaine, F. A. Weltzien, Ø. Øverli, and I. B.
- 1048 Johansen. 2023. Brain-infecting parasites leave lasting effects on behaviour even in resistant
- hosts. Functional Ecology **37**:852-859.
- 1050 Viney, M. E., and A. L. Graham. 2013. Patterns and processes in parasite co-infection. Advances
  1051 in Parasitology 82:321-369.
- 1052 Walther, B. A., and P. W. Ewald. 2004. Pathogen survival in the external environment and the
- evolution of virulence. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **79**:849-
- 1054 869.
- 1055 Ward, A., and M. Webster. 2016. Sociality: The Behaviour of Group-Living Animals. Springer,1056 Switzerland.
- 1057 Weinstein, S. B., J. C. Buck, and H. S. Young. 2018. A landscape of disgust. Science 359:1213-1058 1214.
- 1059 White, L. A., J. D. Forester, and M. E. Craft. 2017. Using contact networks to explore
- 1060 mechanisms of parasite transmission in wildlife. Biological Reviews of the Cambridge
- 1061 Philosophical Society **92**:389-409.

- 1062 Wilson, A. J., E. R. Morgan, M. Booth, R. Norman, S. E. Perkins, H. C. Hauffe, N. Mideo, J.
- Antonovics, H. McCallum, and A. Fenton. 2017. What is a vector? Philosophical Transactionsof the Royal Society B 372.
- 1065 Wisenden, B. D., C. P. Goater, and C. T. James. 2009. Behavioral defenses against parasites and
- 1066 pathogens. in C. Zaccone, A. Perriere, M. A., and K. G., editors. Fish Defenses: Pathogens,
- 1067 Parasites and Predators. Science Publisher, USA.
- 1068 Wohlfiel, C. K., S. T. Leu, S. S. Godfrey, and C. M. Bull. 2013. Testing the robustness of
- 1069 transmission network models to predict ectoparasite loads. One lizard, two ticks and four
- 1070 years. International Journal for Parasitology: Parasites and Wildlife 2:271-277.
- 1071 Wood, C. L., J. E. Byers, K. L. Cottingham, I. Altman, M. J. Donahue, and A. M. Blakeslee.
- 2007. Parasites alter community structure. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
  1073 104:9335-9339.
- 1074 Woodroffe, R., C. A. Donnelly, G. Wei, D. R. Cox, F. J. Bourne, T. Burke, R. K. Butlin, C. L.
- 1075 Cheeseman, G. Gettinby, P. Gilks, S. Hedges, H. E. Jenkins, W. T. Johnston, J. P. McInerney,
- 1076 W. I. Morrison, and L. C. Pope. 2009. Social group size affects *Mycobacterium bovis*
- 1077 infection in European badgers (*Meles meles*). Journal of Animal Ecology **78**:818-827.
- 1078
- 1079
- 1080
- 1081
- 1082
- 1083
- 1084