# The evolutionary puzzle of human twinship

Augusto Dalla Ragione<sup>1\*</sup>, Cody T. Ross<sup>1</sup>, and Daniel Redhead<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Human Behavior, Ecology and Culture, Max Planck Institute for Evolutionary Anthropology, Deutscher Platz 6, Leipzig 04103, Germany \*Correspondence: augusto\_dalla\_ragione@eva.mpg.de (A. Dalla Ragione)

November 14, 2023

Natural selection should favor litter sizes that optimize trade-offs between brood-size and offspring viability. Across the primate order, modal litter size is one, suggesting a deep history of selection favoring minimal litters. Humans, however—despite having the longest juvenile period and slowest life-history of all primates—still produce twinbirths at appreciable rates, even though such births are costly. This presents an evolutionary puzzle. Why is twinning still expressed in humans despite its cost? More puzzling still, is the discordance between the principal explanations for human twinning and extant empirical data. Such explanations propose that twinning is regulated by phenotypic plasticity in polyovulation, permitting production of larger sib-sets if-and-when resources are abundant. However, comparative data suggest that twinning rates are actually highest in poorer countries and lowest in developed economies. We propose that a historical dynamic of gene-culture coevolution might explain this geographic patterning. Our explanation distinguishes geminophilous and geminophobic cultural contexts, as those celebrating twins (e.g., through material support) and those hostile to twins (e.g., through sanction of twin-infanticide). Geminophilous institutions, in particular, may buffer the fitness cost associated with twinning, potentially reducing selection pressures against polyovulation. We conclude by synthesizing a mathematical and empirical research program that might test our ideas.

## Introduction

Since the pioneering work of Lack (1947) on clutch size in birds, life history theorists have proposed that natural selection should favour litter sizes that solve trade-offs

- <sup>5</sup> between offspring quantity (i.e., brood size) and offspring viability and/or fecundity (i.e., offspring quality). As a result, modal litter sizes will typically be lower than what is biologically possible. Empirically observed clutch/litter sizes in natural populations, however, are usually even
- smaller than predicted optimal values (Godfray et al., 1991). This is perhaps evidence of further trade-offs between current and future reproduction (Godfray et al., 1991; Sikes and Ylönen, 1998).
- Within the mammalian class, there is substantial variation in litter size—with values ranging from as large as 32 in the genus *Tenrec* (Olson, 2013)—a reproductive pattern called *polytoky*, to values as small as one in chimpanzees, humans, and several other primate species (Leutenegger, 1979)—a reproductive pattern
- <sup>20</sup> called *monotoky*. Researchers regard polytoky as the ancestral state of extant mammalian monotocous species, with monotoky being an evolutionary novel trait that several mammalian species evolved (Lukas and Clutton-Brock, 2020; Leutenegger, 1979; Garbino et al., 2021).
- <sup>25</sup> The widespread monotoky observed among most primates appears to be associated with a suite of other life history

Twins saw the houses of great personages but did not go there [...] Instead they entered the houses of the poor [...] They made the poor rich [...] With reputation of wealth and fecundity.

#### Traditional Yoruba oriki (Oruene, 1985)

characteristics, including extended periods of juvenile development and long lifespans (reviewed in: Jones, 2011).

Although most primates are monotocous, several 30 species, including humans, have maintained the propensity to give birth to multiple simultaneous offspring (i.e., "twin") at relatively low, but appreciable, frequencies (Geissmann, 1990). One type of twinning is monozygotic twinning, which results from the fertilization of a single 35 ovum that undergoes a process of splitting. Monozygotic (or "identical") twins therefore share the same genome and are always of the same sex. The majority of twin births, however, result from dizygotic twinning (i.e., they result from the fertilization of two separate ova by two 40 different sperm). This entails that the twins will share half of their genome, like non-twin siblings. Monozygotic (MZ) twinning is normally thought to result from random biological processes, and it occurs at a low, geographically invariant rate across human populations (Bulmer et al., 45

1970; Hoekstra et al., 2008b); MZ twinning in humans is not all that puzzling. In contrast, dizygotic (DZ) twinning results from polyovulation (i.e., the release of multiple ova during a single fertility cycle), and shows signs of both ge-

- <sup>50</sup> netic heritability (Hoekstra et al., 2008b) and geographic heterogeneity, occurring at variable rates in human populations (e.g., from 0.7% to 2.7% of all births; Rickard et al., 2022). DZ twinning in humans is puzzling, both for its persistence despite apparent costs, and for its rel-
- <sup>55</sup> atively large geographic variation. Estimates of twinning rates in other primates are scarce and are likely unreliable due to small sample sizes (Geissmann, 1990).

A body of empirical work suggests that twinning among monotocous species usually entails significant biological costs for both mothers (e.g., in terms of higher risk of maternal mortality; Senat et al., 1998) and offspring (e.g., in terms of lower birth weights and higher risk of infant mortality; Monden and Smits, 2017). In the absence of mechanisms to counteract these costs, it seems unlikely—at first glance—that natural selection would

have maintained a propensity for DZ twinning (Anderson, 1990).

The leading candidate explanation for the persistence of DZ twinning in humans links DZ twinning to ecological

- <sup>70</sup> conditions (Lummaa et al., 1998). The argument here, is that plasticity in polyovulation (and thus DZ twinning) may be fitness enhancing, even among modally monotocous species, if it leads to recruitment of larger sib-sets in ecologies where resources are abundant, and the costs of
- <sup>75</sup> twinning are lower. This explanation brings us no closer to a resolution of the puzzle of human twinship, however, as it conflicts with comparative data suggesting that DZ twinning risk is actually highest in West and Central Africa (Smits and Monden, 2011), regions characterized
- <sup>20</sup> by substantial rates of resource insecurity relative to more developed economies, where the twinning rate is lower.

To resolve the puzzle of human twinship, we propose a gene-culture co-evolutionary process that builds upon the notion that polyovulation may be a phenotypically plastic

- response to ecological conditions, but integrates the idea that cultural institutions can be an essential component of the environment to which such responses are adapted. We introduce the idea of *geminophilous* and *geminophobic* cultural institutions as those that—respectively—
- <sup>90</sup> celebrate and materially support twins (e.g., through third-party provisioning of twins, and/or conferral of prestige on twins or their parents) and those that malign and repudiate twins (e.g., through sanction of twin infanticide, or conferral of contempt on twins or their par-
- 95 ents). Such systems may lead to significant survival and reproductive consequences for individuals expressing the DZ twinning phenotype, potentially operating as selective forces on the genes involved in regulation of polyovulation. We argue that such cultural institutions might be
- <sup>100</sup> sufficiently strong, and that population-level variation in them might be sufficiently large, to explain populationlevel variance in the incidence of DZ twinning. If our explanation is correct, it would entail several empirically testable predictions, which could be evaluated both

through ethnographically-informed quantitative research <sup>105</sup> and through genetic research.

In what follows, we provide a brief overview of the literature describing the etiology and geographic distribution of DZ twinning, and link this literature to evolutionary thinking on DZ twinning in humans. We then introduce readers to the idea that it is not just variation in DZ twinning (a biological phenomenon), but also variation in *twinship* (a cultural phenomenon) that requires an evolutionary explanation. Note that we use the word twinship here to refer to the beliefs, practices, and cultural institutions that govern how twins should be treated. We then provide readers with the ethnographic context needed to appreciate the remarkable breadth of variation in twinship systems cross-culturally. Finally, by integrating ideas from the field of cultural evolution, we synthesize a gene-culture co-evolutionary model of DZ twinning. We conclude by outlining an empirical research program that would test our ideas.

#### Box 1: Glossary

Monozygotic, dizygotic, sesquizygotic twinning: Monozygotic (MZ; "identical") twinning occurs via the splitting of a fertilized egg; it does not appear to be a heritable phenotype. MZ twins share the entirety of their genome. Dizygotic (DZ) twinning occurs via the fertilization of two separate ova and is heritable. DZ twins share half of their genome, like "normal" siblings. Sesquizygotic twinning refers to an egg which is fertilized by two different sperm. The resulting twins have identical maternal genomic material, but different paternal material.

**Cultural system:** a set of interrelated cultural beliefs that are widely shared by individuals in a given social group (Buskell et al., 2019).

**Twinship:** the status of being a twin, and its sociocultural implications.

**Geminophilia and Geminophobia:** types of cultural systems which exhibit positive (geminophilous) or negative (geminophobic) twinship salience. Neologisms from the Latin word *gĕmini*, "twins". Examples in the text.

**Gene-culture co-evolution:** an evolutionary process in which genetic and cultural systems influence each other. In the case of twinship, we theorize *culture-driven genetic evolution* (Richerson et al., 2010) as a factor explaining between-group variation in the incidence of DZ twinning.

# The etiology and geography of 125 twinning

MZ twinning is thought to be an essentially random event, with its incidence in humans being constant across space and time (Bulmer et al., 1970). DZ twinning is the most prevalent type of twinning and it does not occur randomly—i.e., it is associated with a diverse set of explanatory factors, from ecological/behavioural variables to genetic ones (Hall, 2003). Finally, a third type, sesquizygotic twinning, has been identified in recent years

130

110

115

- (although non-MZ and non-DZ types of twinning have long been theorised; e.g., Bulmer et al., 1970). The off-spring of sesquizygotic pregnancies share a proportion of genes that is intermediate between dizygotic and monozygotic twins (Gabbett et al., 2019). Since we are particularly interested in the population-level distribution of DZ
- 140 larly interested in the population-level distribution of DZ twinning rates, this article will focus on this type of twinning.

The health costs of twinning for both mother and offspring in humans are well described. Such costs include:

- <sup>145</sup> increased risk of maternal death (Senat et al., 1998), congenital anomalies (Hall, 2003), and low birth weight, resulting in disproportionately high perinatal, neonatal, and infant mortality (Elster et al., 2000). In a case-study from rural Tanzania, Minocher et al. (2023) use data from a
- 20-year prospective study to show that twins have a 35% chance of death before age 5, in comparison to single-tons, who have a 21% chance of death over the same interval. Similar costs have been observed in both developing (Monden and Smits, 2017; Vogel et al., 2013)
- and developed economies (Smith et al., 2014; Kleinman et al., 1991; Monden and Smits, 2017). Both MZ and DZ twins have higher risks of low birth weight and congenital anomalies compared to singletons (although MZ twins more so than DZ twins; Hall, 2003). There may be
- reason to think that twinning is similarly costly for other primates as well, as there are similar trade-offs related to parental provisioning and offspring development (Link et al., 2006; Chapman and Chapman, 1986). Twinning is also likely to affect parents' future reproduction, due
- to its direct (e.g., in terms of mortality risk) and indirect (e.g., in terms of parental investment costs) effects on mothers. Indeed, an analysis of several pre-industrial European populations concluded that a twinning event decreased the chance of a future birth, ultimately leading women with higher twinning propensity to have lower
- reproductive output (Rickard et al., 2022).

### Individual and ecological factors

Dizygotic twinning is variably associated with a host of ecological, behavioural, and physiological risk factors. In
line with the idea of polyovulation being a plastic, state-dependent adaptive strategy—whereby individuals with robust phenotypes may benefit from 'doubling up on reproductive rate' when conditions are good—maternal anthropometrics—such as body mass index (Basso et al., 2004; Reddy et al., 2005; Hoekstra et al., 2010) and height

- (Hoekstra et al., 2010; Bortolus et al., 1999)—have been found to be positively associated with increased likelihood of DZ twinning. Alongside this, older women appear more likely to conceive twins than younger women (Beemster-
- boer et al., 2006; Ananth and Chauhan, 2012), possibly as the result of an evolved, age-dependent polyovulation strategy designed to offset the higher embryo mortality risks occurring at later ages (Hazel et al., 2020).
- Correlations have been found between DZ twinning rates and a range of other variables. Parity, for example, has been found to be positively associated with twinning

risk, independent of maternal age (Bulmer et al., 1970). Other studies have found positive associations between smoking and DZ twinning propensity (Hoekstra et al., 2008b; Källén, 1998; Hoekstra et al., 2010). Similarly, as 195 we will see below, some researchers have attributed the high rates of twinning in West Africa to dietary habits (Steinman, 2006a). More specifically, researchers have found that certain species of vam produce estrogen-like compounds that might increase polyovulation rates in hu-200 mans, leading to a surfeit of twin pregnancies where consumption of such yams is common (Nylander, 1979; Marinho et al., 1986; Steinman, 2006a,b). Finally, medicallyassisted reproduction (MAR) has been shown to increase the likelihood of producing twins (Hoekstra et al., 2008b), 205 but the causal mechanism here is not-at-all ambiguous. In fact, the sharp rise in twinning rates in developed countries in the past few decades has been driven mostly by widespread use of fertility treatments, which limits the usefulness of twinning data from developed countries in 210 comparative studies aiming to understand "natural" variation in twinning rates. However, increased maternal age does appear to be an important secondary factor in the recent increase in twinning rates in developed economies (Pison et al., 2015; Ananth and Chauhan, 2012). 215

Researchers have also attempted to leverage temporal variation in twinning rate (holding constant the population of interest) in order to test how resource shocks or other changes in ecological circumstances (e.g., famines or wars) affect twinning risk. For example, a stark decline 220 in twinning rate was documented in Tokyo (Nakamura et al., 1990) and in several European countries during World War II (Bulmer, 1959), with the fluctuations being driven by DZ twinning rates, while MZ twinning rates remained unperturbed. It has therefore been posited that 225 poor maternal state decreases the chance of polyovulation, a necessary condition for DZ twinning (Bulmer et al., 1970). Since MZ twinning rates remained constant, even during such periods of material deprivation, plummeting DZ twinning rates are better explained by ovulatory 230 changes, rather than changes in embryo or fetal mortality (which would arguably have affected MZ twinning rates as well). However, in Scandinavia, twinning rates did not appear to vary with catastrophic events, such as wars or famines (Eriksson et al., 1988). Furthermore, several Eu-235 ropean countries actually experienced declines in twinning rates only years after World War 2 (starting around the late 1950s), or even way before it (e.g., France's twinning rate started to decline after WW1 and was unaffected by WW2; Pison and d'Addato, 2006). This heterogeneity 240 casts doubt on the generalizability of conclusions from studies viewing wartime-conditions as particularly salient drivers of variation in twinning rate.

### Geographic distribution

Stark between-population differences in rates of DZ twinning are observed at both global and regional scales (Hoekstra et al., 2008b). The highest rates of DZ twinning are found in the Western and Central regions of Sub250

265

(see Fig. 1).

Saharan Africa, and the lowest rates are found in the Southern and Eastern regions of Asia (Smits and Monden, 2011; Hoekstra et al., 2008b). In particular, the West African country of Benin has been reported to have the highest twinning rate in the world, with a twinning rate of 2.7%—which is roughly 4-times larger than that of most Asian countries (Smits and Monden, 2011). Addi-

<sup>255</sup> most Asian countries (Smits and Monden, 2011). Additionally, the Yoruba ethnic group—which resides mostly in the neighboring country of Nigeria—has long been the focus of studies on twinning due to the high frequency of twin births occurring in that sub-population (Creinin and Keith, 1989; Nylander, 1970, 1979). A high-twinning regional cluster, therefore, appears to exist in West Africa

Extant research has favoured ecological accounts of such geographic variation, rather than genetic ones, pointing to evidence of changes in DZ twinning incidence as a function of variation in environmental risk factors (Ny-

- lander, 1970), especially diet (Marinho et al., 1986). This idea is supported by some independent lines of evidence; for example, some work suggests that different ethnic
  groups (i.e., Euro-descendents and Afro-descendents) living in the same area in Costa Rica have similar twinning
- rates (Madrigal et al., 2001), and other work has shown that immigrants' twinning rates tend to diverge from those of their countries of origin (Pollard, 1995). However, the evidence here is mixed. In the United States, for example, although group-level differences in twinning rate between immigrant ethnic groups may have weakened, they still persist (Pollard, 1995; Khoury and Er-

ickson, 1983; Abel and Kruger, 2012), with the highest
rates of twinning being found among Americans of African ancestry. Likewise, evidence from the Demographic and Health Survey data on developing countries, shows that Haiti—a population of mostly West African ancestry—has a markedly higher twinning rate than other countries in the wider Latin American region (Smits and Monden, 2011).

Although it is plausible that diet explains part of the variation in twinning risk, it is just as plausible that genetic differences contribute to risk of twinning. The strongest evidence in favor of a genetic component to twinning rate in humans comes from the existence of small, regional population clusters with exceptionally high twining rates. For example, a genetic founder effect appears to explain the high twinning rate (of 2%) observed in the small Brazilian town of Cândido Godói, which is inhabited by a homogeneous population of German descent (Tagliani-Ribeiro et al., 2011). Similar hightwin-rate clusters—likely genetic in origin—can be found throughout Europe (for example, in the French regions

<sup>300</sup> of Brittany and Massif Central; Bulmer, 1959). Looking beyond human data, the genetic underpinnings of polyovulation and twinning have been extensively studied in non-human mammals.

## Genetic factors

Due to obvious economic incentives, farm animals have 305 been the subject of considerable research on the genetic basis of twinning (e.g., in cattle, a monotocous species) and litter size (e.g., in sheep, a polytocous one). Studies have demonstrated that ovulation rates show strong signs of genetic heritability in both cattle and sheep (Vinet 310 et al., 2012). Polyovulation is considered to be a quantitative trait in these animals, because the release of multiple eggs per estrous cycle can be achieved through several different and non-exclusive biological processes (Vinet et al., 2012). There is also strong evidence of phenotypic plas-315 ticity in ovulation: several breeds of sheep alter their ovulation rate based on body condition (Martin et al., 2004). Although ovulation rate is highly heritable in cattle, twinning rate is less heritable than ovulation rate, since twinning is dependent on both polyovulation and on 320 environmentally-influenced processes that act as filters, including fertilization and embryo/fetal mortality (Vinet et al., 2012). It is possible that fitness payoffs to different rates of ovulation may vary depending on environmental/maternal conditions, with consequences in terms 325 of the evolution of plasticity and population-level differences in ovulation and twinning, an idea we explore with a simple formal model in Box 2.

As observed in other mammals, DZ twinning in humans appears to be a complex trait influenced by multiple 330 genes (Painter et al., 2010), that nevertheless shows signs of genetic heritability (Hoekstra et al., 2008b). Women with a familial history of DZ twinning are at a higher risk of having DZ twins themselves (Hoekstra et al., 2008a; Meulemans et al., 1996; Lewis et al., 1996). As 335 with cattle and sheep, researchers have tried to identify candidate genes that might be associated with DZ twinning rate—e.g., follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and growth-differentiation factor-9 (GDF9) (Beck et al., 2021)—but some initial results have failed to replicate in 340 follow-up studies (Beck et al., 2021; Mbarek et al., 2016). Although the role of genetics in the propensity for DZ twinning in mammals has been known for a long time (White and Wyshak, 1964), conclusive evidence of its genetic underpinnings in humans is still lacking. Without 345 knowing the exact mechanism involved in the genetic control of polyovulation in humans, we must reason about the evolutionary dynamics of twinning using models which make the 'phenotypic gambit' (Grafen, 1991), and thus treat a complex trait like polyovulation rate as if it were 350 controlled by a single locus.

## **Evolutionary significance**

The evolutionary literature on human twinning typically approaches the problem in one of two ways: some work builds on the notion that twinning is itself a maladaptive by-product of the evolved propensity to polyovulate (the *"insurance-ova hypothesis*"; Anderson, 1990), and other work advances the idea that twinning is an expression of an underlying high-fecundity phenotype (the *"phenotypic* 

Figure 1: Geography of twinning rate and norms about the treatment of twins. (A) National twinning rate per 1000 births (adjusted for average maternal age) in 76 countries (Data from: Smits and Monden, 2011). (B) A closer look at Africa. (C) Percentage of land area historically held by predominantly non-twin-killing groups, a proxy for geminophilous norms (Data from: Fenske and Wang, 2023, Fig. 4). Naïve country-level regressions suggest that there are 3.84 (N=36; P=0.017; 95%CI: 0.72, 6.96) more twin births *per mille* in countries where non-twin-killing groups make up the entire population, relative to countries where such geminophilous norms are not documented. Such an analysis, however, treats an absence of evidence of geminophilous norms, as evidence of absence. Restricting the sample to countries for which at least 50% of territory is unambiguously coded as historically populated by either twin-killing or non-twin-killing groups, the coefficient increases to 4.17, but the confidence region (N=21; P=0.241; 95%CI: -3.02, 11.36) expands to include the value of 0, due to the smaller sample of countries. Finer-scale models are needed to make such comparative analyses rigorous.



quality hypothesis"; Hoekstra et al., 2008b; Robson and 360 Smith, 2011). In Box 2, we present a simple formal model for the relative fitness of polyovulation that recovers both of these hypotheses as special cases.

According to the insurance-ova hypothesis, DZ twinning is a by-product of polyovulation. Polyovulation is 365 argued to offset the risk of embryo mortality, increasing the chances that at least least one fertilized egg can be brought to term (Anderson, 1990). In a small number of pregnancies, however, more than one fertilized egg survives, and multiple births will occur. Since twinning is 370 associated with a host of mortality and morbidity problems, both for mothers and offspring themselves (Monden and Smits, 2017; Conde-Agudelo et al., 2000; Ghai and Vidyasagar, 1988), the hypothesis states that the 375

multiple-births outcome is a relatively rare, maladaptive

collateral trait. Formal models that build on the insurance ova hypothesis highlight how an age-dependent polyovulation mechanism might evolve to account for increasing embryo mortality in older women, which would explain why maternal age is a risk factor for DZ twinning (Hazel et al., 2020).

On the other hand, according to the "phenotypic quality" hypothesis, DZ twinning is an adaptive response driven by underlying phenotypic quality—e.g., sufficiently high BMI (Sear et al., 2001; Lummaa et al., 1998). This hypothesis predicts that twinning will be associated with other fitness-relevant life history traits (such as generallyhigh fecundity). Several studies on the fitness consequences of twinning show that mothers of twins tend to have higher reproductive success than non-twinners (operationalized in diverse ways), and conclude that twin380

385

ning propensity might be under selection as an expression of an advantageous latent "intrinsic fertility" phenotype (Lummaa et al., 1998; Sear et al., 2001; Helle et al., 2004;

- Gabler and Voland, 1994; Robson and Smith, 2011; but 395 see Haukioja et al., 1989). In support of this, the relative reproductive success of DZ twinners in pre-industrial Finland varied by region, with DZ twinning mothers attaining higher lifetime reproductive output (LTR) than
- non-twinning mothers in areas with constant and abun-400 dant resources, but lower LTR in poorer/more variable environments (Lummaa et al., 1998). Recent evidence, however, has cast doubt on these conclusions, as the analytical strategies used to evaluate the empirical data may have failed to account for differential exposure to the to-405
- tal risk of twinning (see Rickard et al., 2012, for technical details). The re-analysis of historical demographic data from pre-industrial Europe by Rickard et al. (2022) shows that twinners in fact experience lower fertility compared to non-twinners, after appropriately accounting for expo-410 sure.

The insurance ova hypothesis and the phenotypic quality hypothesis appear to make different predictions about when DZ twining rates should be high. The insurance ova hypothesis leads us to expect higher polyovula-415 tion rates when conditions are bad, and the risk of embryo mortality high, while the phenotypic quality hypothesis leads us to expect higher polyovulation rates when conditions are good, and the potential cost to rearing twins low. Upon deeper inspection, however, the insurance ova 420 hypothesis and the phenotypic quality hypothesis are actually special cases of a single unified model.

In Box 2, we outline a simple ecological model of optimal ovulation strategy as a function of resource availability. This unified model shows that polyovulation can be 425 adaptive at both low and high extremes of environmental richness. An implication of the model is that a genotype which flexibly regulates ovulation based on environmental cues might be favoured by selection, potentially explaining variability in ovulation propensities across different 430 environments. Such a model serves to explain both why DZ twining appears linked with individuals of robust phenotype (Sear et al., 2001), and why the highest DZ twinning rates are found in developing countries, where undernutrition is common (ESHRE Capri Workshop Group, 435 2006).

Until now, we have considered ecological effects on twinning to reflect simple impacts of the natural environment, omitting causal scope for cultural institutions to influence twinning propensity. In what remains of the paper, we hope to demonstrate that cultural institutions related to twinning are an essential component of the environment to which polyovulation rates must be adapted. Moreover, we argue that cultural institutions related to

440

twinning and genetic/phenotypic variation in polyovula-445 tion may be deeply interdependent.

6

## Twinship and cultural systems

Twinship is a recurrent element of cultural institutions related to fertility around the world (Leroy, 1976; Renne and Bastian, 2001). Such cultural institutions govern how twins should be treated, both materially and socially. The range of attitudes and behavioral responses that twins evoke is diverse—ranging from twins being viewed as legitimate targets of infanticide (Granzberg, 1973) to twins being celebrated as supernatural sources of wealth and 455 good luck (Herskovits, 1938; Saulnier, 2009). We refer to the cultural institutions surrounding twinship as *qemino*phobic or geminophilous, depending on whether they treat twins with contempt or celebration, respectively. Ample ethnographic evidence suggests that Sub-Saharan Africa, 460 in particular, is rife with various norms and beliefs related to twinship (Pison, 1987; Leroy, 1976), and we argue that this variation in cultural norms is just as worthy of investigation as variation in twinning rate itself.

Negative twinship salience (i.e., geminophobia) can 465 have detectable demographic consequences. For example, there is evidence that twins suffered disproportionately high infant and child mortality rates in African societies where the practice of twin infanticide was socially sanctioned, relative to other societies without such cultural 470 norms. That is, geminophobic cultural norms increased twin mortality rates beyond what would be expected from biological considerations alone (Pison, 1987; Fenske and Wang, 2023). Different groups have developed a number of rationalizations to justify their negative beliefs about 475 twins. Some cultural groups hold that the birth of twins is evidence of multiple paternity and female infidelity (Leroy, 1976; Marroquín and Haight, 2017; Taylor, 1993; Cowlishaw, 1978). Others regard human twinning as an analog of "animal-like" reproduction (i.e., the rearing of litters), leading some to devalue the humanity of twins, and sometimes their mother too (Leroy, 1976; Marroquín and Haight, 2017). For some groups, twin births even create a dilemma for existing kinship structures and inheritance systems (Turner et al., 2017); a dilemma that can be 485 resolved by legitimizing twin infanticide (Marroquín and Haight, 2017). Finally, the arrival of twins may be considered an economic shock for parents, and the complete withdrawal of parental investment in at least one twin might reflect a "rational" parental investment strategy 490 when a population is facing severe resource constraints (Hrdy, 1992; Ball and Hill, 1996; Marroquín and Haight, 2017). Even in the absence of infanticide, the birth of twins may bear negative symbolic or spiritual connotations, such that parents have to go through purification 495 rituals (Leroy, 1976).

Twinship, however, is also celebrated in many cultures; twins can be a major source of pride and social standing for their parents, and are sometimes even the subject of community-based or kin-based worship. In such geminophilous cultures—the vast majority of which seem to cluster in Sub-Saharan Africa (see Fig. 1B)several positive and beneficial attributes are associated with twins and their families. Parents of twins frequently

#### Box 2: Selection on ovulation strategies

Here, we present a simple model to illustrate how the relative fitness of different ovulation strategies may vary depending on environmental conditions, and how phenotypic plasticity may have been selected for as a consequence. For simplicity, let us assume the existence of two competing genotypes—a double-ovulation genotype, P, and a mono-ovulation genotype, S, with fitnesses,  $W_P$  and  $W_S$ , respectively. Individuals ovulate, and the ovum is fertilized. The ovum then goes through a phase of embryo/fetal mortality selection. Let us assume that mortality, m, is a decreasing linear function of an individual's resource endowment,  $E \in (0, 1)$ . Then:

$$m(E) = -cE + d \tag{1}$$

with c > 0 and d > c.

Next, let us assume that the reproductive value of a singleton birth is normalizable to unity,  $R_s = 1$ , and that the relative reproductive value of a twin birth is a linear function of resource availability:

$$R_t(E) = aE + b \tag{2}$$

We assume no trade-offs between current and future reproduction, and no trade-offs in resource allocation between embryo/fetal mortality reduction and postnatal investment. Then, we compare the fitnesses of P and S:

$$W_P = R_t(E)(1 - m(E))^2 + 2R_s m(E)(1 - m(E))$$
  

$$W_S = R_s(1 - m(E))$$
(3)

Twinning is costly—i.e., it yields a lower fitness payoff than a singleton birth,  $R_t(E) < R_s$ —in the interval  $[0, E^*]$ . Above the resource threshold,  $E^*$ , twinning is adaptive regardless of embryo/fetal mortality levels, and natural selection favours genotypes which maximize twinning, leading to polytoky. Below  $E^*$  (i.e., within the costly-twinning interval), the fitness of P is higher than S when the following inequality is satisfied:

$$\underbrace{1 - R_t(E)}_{\text{Cost of twinning}} < \underbrace{\frac{m(E)}{1 - m(E)}}_{\text{(4)}}$$

Odds of mortality

Gathering the terms of the inequality above yields:

$$-\alpha E^2 + \beta E + \gamma < 0 \tag{5}$$

Where  $\alpha = -ac$ ,  $\beta = a(b-1)+c(2-b)$ , and  $\gamma = (1-d)(1-b)-d$ . The expression on the left-hand side is a concave parabola (the quadratic term is negative). Let us assume that the two roots  $\{E_1, E_2\}$  of the parabola lie within the interval  $[0, E^*]$ , so that the inequality is satisfied for  $0 < E < E_1$  and  $E_2 < E < E^*$ . The resulting resource space is then partitioned into four different regions defined by the adaptiveness of twinning and the adaptiveness of double-ovulation, as shown in Fig. 2. For resource levels lower than  $E^*$ , twinning is maladaptive, but double-ovulation may nonetheless be selected for. Such a fourfold partition is not the only possible modelling outcome—the plane can be partitioned into a smaller number of regions, depending on the location of the roots of the parabola in Eq. 5. Nevertheless, the model illustrates how the relationship between the fitness payoff of double-ovulation and environmental/resource condition may be non-monotonic. It may be optimal to double-ovulate at both low and high resource levels, even when twinning is costly—e.g., for  $E < E^*$ . Such non-monotonicity reflects the interplay between the costs of twinning and the risk of embryo/fetal mortality.

A number of implications follow. Populations inhabiting environments with stable levels of resource availability will be selected towards one ovulation strategy or the other. In a fluctuating environment, however, a genotype which regulates ovulation rate based on environmental cues could be favoured by selection, since it would be able to adaptively adjust as resource conditions change. Such mechanisms appear to be found in mammals—for example, there is evidence of ovulation rate being a phenotypically plastic trait, controlled by body-condition, in several breeds of sheep (Martin et al., 2004). Finally, a subtle implication of the model for empirical studies is that we are unlikely to be able to infer selection gradients on polyovulation based on inferences drawn from comparisons between twinners and non-twinners. This is because twinners are only one subset of the population carrying the the "double-ovulation" genotype, and their reproductive success does not necessarily represent the genotype's fitness. Since fitness is a population-level quantity, a double-ovulation genotype might still be selected for even if twin-producing individuals bearing the genotype attain lower reproductive success because of the cost of twinning.



Figure 2: Relative fitness of double-ovulation as a function of resource endowment.  $W_p$  is the fitness of the double-ovulation genotype;  $W_s$  is the fitness of the single-ovulation genotype.

- take on honorary names that signal their "twinner" status to the community—e.g., in southern Benin and among some ethnic groups in Uganda (Basoga and Baganda; Ayari-De Souza, 2020; Kabagenyi et al., 2016). Among the Kejom, a Bantu group from Cameroon, twinship is
- <sup>510</sup> traditionally viewed as a way to increase a family's social prestige: twins who are female are introduced to the royal

family, potentially to become wives, while twins who are male may be sent to become part of the staff serving the royal family as palace retainers (Diduk, 2001). A number of Sub-Saharan African societies associate twinship with fecundity and regard twins as a source of wealth and good luck for their parents (Leroy, 1976; Schapera, 1927). For example, mothers of twins enjoy special social

status among the Lele people of the Democratic Republic of Congo, and both mothers and fathers of twins are thought to have been selected by the spirits to acquire "twin magic" powers that can be used to boost fecundity and good hunting (Douglas, 1957). Similarly, Nigerian Yoruba think that twins bestow wealth and fecun-

520

560

- dity upon their parents (Oruene, 1985). Mirroring what 525 is found with twin infanticide in geminophobic societies, geminophilous cultural norms may too have detectable demographic or economic consequences—e.g., by leading to better social provisioning of the families of twins, and
- thus minimizing the mortality rates of twins relative to 530 geminoneutralic groups. However, empirical studies on the topic are exceedingly rare. One study in Benin-a country where geminophilous cultures are predominant (Saulnier, 2009)—found that being a twin child is a sta-
- tistically significant predictor of receiving childhood vac-535 cinations (Budu et al., 2023). This finding replicates a previous study, also from Benin, which presented qualitative evidence that twins are often the recipients of money transfers from unrelated (i.e., non-kin) individuals in their communities (Alidou, 2021). 540

Social scientists have proposed several evolutionary, social, and economic explanations for the development of geminophobic institutions and norms, especially socially-sanctioned twin infanticide (Marroquín and

- Haight, 2017). Comparable explanations for the rise and 545 persistence of geminophilous systems, however, are still lacking, and key theoretical questions remain unanswered. Why should individuals allocate economic resources, or any other currency-i.e., time, energy, or prestige-to un-
- related individuals, just because they belong to a seem-550 ingly arbitrary biosocial category (i.e., that of twins)? What is the role played by the supernatural features that are often attributed to twins in maintaining those behaviors? We think a cultural evolutionary perspective pro-
- vides a unified framework that might help to explain both 555 geographic variation in geminophilous versus geminophobic norms, and variation in the underlying rates of DZ twinning.

### Explaining between-population variation

The vast majority of studies on twinning take ethnic background to be a relevant risk factor for twinning propensity, but omit any meditations on the causal processes that might have produced such between-group differences. Indeed, the wide diversity in twinning rates between human 565 populations has not, in our opinion, received a satisfactory explanation, and remains a largely under-theorized research area. Early work by Bulmer et al. (1970) on

- DZ twinning clustered ethnic groups according to their twinning rates, and found that differences between groups 570 were substantial, approaching a ratio of 4-to-1 between the highest (Sub-Saharan Africa) and the lowest (East Asia) values. More recent research has generally confirmed these early findings (Hoekstra et al., 2008b; Smits
- and Monden, 2011). The scholarly work on twinning 575

in Sub-Saharan Africa has historically focused on the Yoruba—an ethnic group inhabiting southwestern Nigeria and adjacent Benin-which is thought to have the highest twinning rate in the world (Creinin and Keith, 1989). Later demographic research has highlighted how there is a large "high twinning" geographic region in the African continent, stretching roughly from West Africa to Central Africa, with the highest incidence observed in Benin (Smits and Monden, 2011).

As previously outlined, the dominant theoretical explanation for the existence of such a high-twinning cluster in West Africa invokes the localized consumption of yams that enhance twinning rates—presumably because such vams contain estrogen-like substances that boost ovulation rates (Nylander, 1979; Steinman, 2006a). This expla-590 nation is corroborated by the observation that twinning rates are higher among women of "lower" social class in Nigeria, who reportedly have a higher intake of yam in their diets, compared to women in the "upper" class who have more "European-style" dietary habits (Nylander, 595 1978, 1981). The same explanation, however, is not corroborated by qualitative evidence from the exceptionally high-twinning town of Igbo-Ora in Nigeria, where locals do not consider vams to be a causal factor in twin births; beyond factors such as "the will of God" and heredity, 600 community members attribute twinning to the consumption of certain foods containing okra leaves and cassava, but not yams (Omonkhua et al., 2020). As mentioned previously, the "local dietary habits" explanation for high twinning rates in West Africa is, in our opinion, made less 605 plausible by the observations that African-Americans (a population with large West African ancestry) experience higher DZ twinning rates than any other ethnic group in the United States (Khoury and Erickson, 1983; Abel and Kruger, 2012), and that Haiti—a country where 95% 610 of the population is of African descent (Minority Rights Group, 2020)—has the highest DZ twinning rate among all Central and South American countries analyzed by Smits and Monden (2011).

We propose that population-level diversity in twin-615 ning may be attributed, in-part, to gene-culture coevolution. More specifically, we suggest that geminophilic cultural norms might be sufficient to either: (1) buffer the resource constraints that lead to higher fitness costs for twin-births, or (2) offset the direct costs of twining by 620 increasing the mate value of twins. Either form of cultural driven selection could, in theory, lead to appreciable changes the frequency of alleles responsible for regulating ovulation rate, and thus influence the risk of twinning. This idea has not received much prior attention in the literature (but see Pison, 1987, for an exception), and so we dedicate the final section of this paper to sketching a formal version of the argument, deriving empirical implications from the model, and proposing empirical investigations that could substantiate or contradict our central 630 hypothesis.

We grant that it is tempting to simply reverse the causal arrow of our argument—and thus attribute the high salience of twinship in Sub-Saharan Africa to the

- high twinning rates found in the region—rather than consider cultural practices and genetic variation as a dynamically linked system. In fact, it is rather normative to think of culture as being "without teeth"—and only responding to genetic and ecological factors (Harris, 2001), rather
- than causing changes in such factors. However, in recent years, the ability of culture to profoundly shape the natural environment at both local scales—though paradigms like niche construction (Laland et al., 2001)—and global scales—through investigation of human dimensions of climate change (Gibson et al., 2000)—has come into sharper

focus: "culture has teeth".

650

The science of gene-culture coevolution is still fairly new, and robustly verified empirical examples of geneculture coevolution are still rare. However, the framework has been applied to study phenotypes as diverse

- as lactase persistence (Beja-Pereira et al., 2003), human handedness (Laland et al., 1995), culturally-driven sexual selection (Laland, 2008), primary sex ratios (Kumm et al., 1994; Kumm and Feldman, 1997), malaria resis-
- tance (Laland, 2008), and the relationship between cannibalism and selection on genetic variants conferring resistance to prion-disease pathology (Mead et al., 2003; Collinge et al., 2006). In such cases, the emergence of genetic adaptations is proposed to be a direct consequence of
- <sup>660</sup> cultural behaviors (e.g., cannibalistic mortuary feasts) or technological achievements (e.g., animal domestication).
   Because cultural systems can create arbitrarily strong selection gradients, genetic responses to cultural content can be rapid and strong. In the case of the cannibalistic
- <sup>665</sup> "transumption" documented in New Guinea, mortuary feasts in which human brain tissue was consumed spread a slow-acting, but invariably fatal prion-disease (kuru) widely, and within a period of decades, kuru became the most common cause of death of women in affected villages (Callians et al. 2006).
- 670 (Collinge et al., 2006). Because heterozygotes for the PrP glycoprotein were less susceptible to infection and disease progression, between-population differences in allele frequency for PrP were detected after a relatively short period of time (see Ross and Richerson, 2014, for additional section).
- <sup>675</sup> comentary). More recent work has even discovered directional selection on a genetic variant—PrP G127V—that confers resistance to prion disease; this allele was found to be present only in individuals living in the geographic region where kuru was common—not in unexposed popu-
- lation groups worldwide—and it was not found in patients experiencing the symptoms of kuru (Mead et al., 2009). Although we do not expect effects nearly as strong in the case of twinning rate, the cultural institutions influencing twinning rate are arguably more enduring, and should be
   expected to have smaller effects integrated over longer pe-
- riods of time.

### Twinship beliefs as cultural adaptations

Humans are a unique species and have an unprecedented capacity to devise elaborate cultural adaptations (i.e., behavioural adaptations that are socially transmitted) in order to cope with radically different environments

(Henrich and McElreath, 2003). For example, historical taboos against the consumption of certain foods limited consumption of dangerous toxins (Henrich and Henrich, 2010), and social and religious norms regulating 695 the use of ecosystems (Lansing, 1987) protected populations from overexploitation of natural resources (Colding and Folke, 2001). Note, however, that cultural traits are not always adaptive: cultural evolution can also produce "maladaptive" traits that stably persist due 700 to the same kinds of social learning biases that spread adaptations (Boyd and Richerson, 1988). For example, harmful practices such as foot-binding and female genital modification/mutilation appear to be maintained by frequency-dependence (Mackie, 1996; Ross et al., 2016). 705 Here, however, we will argue that both geminophobic and geminophilous systems might be understood as cultural adaptations to the challenges of twin-births. We then draw on work in the field of gene-culture coevolution in order to investigate the formal linkages between cultural 710 adaptations and induced selective pressures at the genetic level (Feldman and Laland, 1996).

The diverse ways in which human cultures deal with the concept of twinship are directly related to basic tradeoffs regarding reproduction and the survival of twins. The 715 challenges of twin-births are well established in the scientific literature, as outlined earlier. Moreover, there is evidence that, cross-culturally, parents of twins are well aware of the hazards that twin births entail (Pector, 2002). The emergence of geminophobic systems, which 720 hold open hostility towards twins (to the point of permitting infanticide against one twin), can be understood as cultural practices designed to minimize the probability of parents losing both children by spreading maternal resources too thin. This argument for infanticide as a "ra-725 tional" parental investment strategy has received plenty of attention in the human evolutionary sciences: if divided investment in both twins yields fewer expected surviving offspring than undivided investment in a single twin, then there may be a potentially adaptive rationale for the 730 emergence and persistence of twin infanticide in challenging ecologies (Hausfater, 1984; Hrdy, 1992; Ball and Hill, 1996). An unintuitive consequence of the practice of twin infanticide, however, is that it should actually *reduce* the strength of selection against alleles responsible for poly-735 ovulation relative to societies where twin infanticide is socially prohibited, but social support systems for mothers of twins are absent. Because twin infanticide (if adaptive) reduces the fitness burden associated with twin-births, it must also decrease the scope for selection to act against 740 twinning propensity.

Another approach—arguably a Pareto improvement to buffering the potential costs of twin-births draws on the unique ability of humans to organize collective action. In geminophilous systems, mothers of twins might not have to choose between investing in a particular twin, but may instead reach out to their communities in order to acquire sufficient resources to raise both. In other words, geminophilous rituals, beliefs, and behaviours may have emerged as an insurance system aimed at offsetting 750

#### Box 3: Prevalence of mothers-of-twins in different fertility regimes

A probably underappreciated idea is how high the prevalence of mothers-of-twins may be in societies with "natural fertility" regimes. A substantial frequency of mothers-of-twins may have implications for the development of cultural norms designed to support such women. The probability of ever becoming a motherof-twins, T, conditional on a constant risk of twinning, x, per pregnancy, and a number of successful pregnancies, P, is given by the following equation:

$$\Pr(T|x, P) = 1 - (1 - x)^P \tag{6}$$

This equation assumes that the number of pregnancies, P, is independent of twinning (i.e., twinning does not reduce the number of future pregnancies), and that x remains constant across age and parity. With P = 2 and a 1.3% twinning risk ( $x_l = 0.013$ ), the proportion of twinning women is  $\approx 2.6\%$ . P = 2 reflects the demographic pattern in developed economies, while 1.3% reflects the current global average twinning rate. In contrast, in a pre-demographic-transition, high fertility context, which better reflects the demographic regimes where geminophilous norms and beliefs developed, P may be as high as  $\approx 10$ . A twinning risk of  $x_h = 0.045$  (e.g., as observed among the Yoruba) and a fertility of P = 10 would lead to  $\approx 37\%$  of women being mothers of twins at some point in their lives! If we plug the standardized twinning rate (x = 0.028) and the completed fertility rate (P = 5) of modern Benin—the current highest-twinning country—into Eq. 6, we estimate that  $\approx 13.2\%$  of women end their reproductive careers as mothers of twins.

Figure 3 plots the function in Eq. 6 for two values of x, reflective of low and high twinning risk. This simple example illustrates how twinning might be something that occurs for a relatively high proportion of parents in a high-fertility context, making its potential cost apparent and salient.



Figure 3: Cumulative probability of at least one twin birth, as a function of twinning risk ( $x_l = 0.013$  blue, and  $x_h = 0.045$  red) and number of pregnancies. The horizontal dashed lines show the y-axis intercepts of the numerical examples given in the box.

the hazards of twinning. Individuals may be willing to allocate resources to non-kin twins in their community, with the expectation that they too will be the recipients of communal resources if-and-when twinning should occur to them.

755 tc

760

765

Such a mechanism is more likely to develop in contexts where individuals have a reasonably high expectation of having twins at some point in their lives—e.g., in highfertility regimes (more details in Box 3). Until the demographic transition, most agrarian societies—including those in which many geminophilous systems developed were characterized by such demographic regimes. Faced with an appreciable risk of giving birth to twins at some point in their lives, individuals might be incentivized to create institutions designed to offset the costs of twinning. Such cultural institutions may provide socially-regulated

Such cultural institutions may provide socially-regulated means of obtaining material support (e.g., food, childcare, and other forms of aid) for twins and their families. When twinning is not particularly common, the *per capita* costs of providing such insurance can be quite low, and still

we formally model such a system, and show that once geminophilous norms are common, selection will favour genetic variants that increase twinning propensity.

An additional mechanism by which geminophilous norms might increase the frequency of twinning relates to the conferral of prestige and enhanced social status on twins and/or their parents, as such social standing may have consequences for reproductive success (Redhead and Power, 2022). A positive association between twinship and prestige/social standing in some geminophilous societies is reported in the ethnographic literature (e.g., Diduk, 2001), and social status has been found to be a predictor of reproductive success in many non-industrialized societies, at least for males (Von Rueden and Jaeggi, 2016). Although we know of no data on the differential fertility of twins versus singletons in geminophilous societies, this mechanism is plausible.

A recurrent feature of both types of twin-related cultural systems is the association of twins with supernat-790 ural characteristics. Such attributes do not have to be clearly positive or negative, but may be ambiguous. Indeed, in some cultures, twins are believed to possess supernatural powers that can be variably used in a destructive or in a beneficial way, depending on whether they are 795 well cared-for by kin and community members (Leroy, 1976). The ascription of supernatural features to twinship could be understood as a tool to increase compliance to twin-related cultural norms, be they geminophilous or geminophobic. Work in the evolutionary anthropology of 800 religion suggests that several religious prescriptions may have developed to effectively enforce prosocial and cooperative norms via the threat of supernatural punishment (Fitouchi et al., 2023). Delegating norm enforcement to supernatural forces may constitute a convenient and effi-805 cient way to circumvent the problems stemming from punishment and monitoring costs, and thus extend support networks beyond immediate kin (Purzycki et al., 2016).

#### Box 4: A modelling framework for the coevolution of geminophilous norms and twinning rate

Here, we aim to show that geminophilous cultural systems can exert selective pressure in favour of genotypes associated with high twinning propensity, even when twinning itself is highly costly from a biological standpoint.

Let us assume that two genotypes exist in a population, a lowtwinning genotype, Q, and a higher-twinning mutant,  $\hat{Q}$ . Additionally, we assume that two cultural types exist, G and A. Gis a cultural variant that produces geminophilous support networks, and A is a cultural variant that does not produce such support networks. As a consequence, four types are possible: AQ,  $A\hat{Q}$ , GQ, and  $G\hat{Q}$ , each with its own fitness expression. We assume that all individuals have M units of material resources and produce 1 pregnancy per unit time. Some percentage,  $\beta$ , of pregnancies are twin-pregnancies for low-twinning Q individuals, and a higher percentage  $\hat{\beta} = \beta + \delta$  are twin-pregnancies for hightwinning  $\hat{Q}$  individuals. Then, relative fitness is determined by offspring survival, F, which we assume is controlled by resources. Twining for an A-type cultural variant is maladaptive when the following functional inequality is satisfied:

$$F(M,\lambda) > 2F\left(\frac{M}{2},\lambda\right)$$
 (7)

where M is a resource level and  $\lambda$  is a sensitivity parameter. We assume that F is increasing with M, and that M and  $\lambda$  take values such that Eq. 7 holds. The exact form of F turns out to be inconsequential for our arguments, but a simple exponential cumulative distribution function of the form:

$$F(M,\lambda) = 1 - e^{-M\bar{\lambda}} \tag{8}$$

can be used to parameterize the offspring survival function.

The fitness of non-geminophilous, low-twinning individuals is:

$$W(AQ) = (1 - \beta)F(M, \lambda) + 2\beta F\left(\frac{M}{2}, \lambda\right)$$
(9)

The fitness of  $A\hat{Q}$  individuals is similar, but with  $\hat{\beta}$  leading to higher production of twins.

$$W(A\hat{Q}) = (1 - \hat{\beta})F(M, \lambda) + 2\hat{\beta}F\left(\frac{M}{2}, \lambda\right)$$
(10)

Next, we consider the fitness of geminophilous, low-twinning individuals, GQ. These individuals pay costs for maintaining the geminophilous system. There are two parts, a fixed cost  $\gamma$  (to be a member of the support network), and a variable cost,  $\alpha M$ , that is paid to the support network when G-type individuals do not produce twins. When G-type individuals do produce twin, they receive a payout from the support network. The total pool of resources available for individuals who produces twins gets an equal share of the pool, so  $\kappa$  is scaled by  $\frac{1}{T_G}$ , where  $T_G = T_{GQ} + T_{G\dot{Q}}$ is the number of twin births of geminophilous individuals:

$$W(GQ) = (1-\beta)F((1-\alpha)M-\gamma,\lambda) + 2\beta F\left(\frac{M-\gamma+\frac{\kappa}{T_G}}{2},\lambda\right) \quad (11)$$

### Testable implications

- There are several testable implications of our key ideas.
  First, twinning rate—and possibly genetic variants influencing polyovulation—should spatially covary with the distribution of geminophilous and geminophobic norms.
  Second, the survival of twins should be higher in geminophilous societies compared to geminoneutral (and,
- obviously, geminopholic) societies in comparable environ-

The fitness of the  $G\hat{Q}$  type follows the same form:

$$W(G\hat{Q}) = (1 - \hat{\beta})F((1 - \alpha)M - \gamma, \lambda) + 2\hat{\beta}F\left(\frac{M - \gamma + \frac{\kappa}{T_G}}{2}, \lambda\right) \quad (12)$$

To interpret Eqs. 11-12, we need to describe the redistribution mechanism. In total, the redistribution pool,  $\kappa$ , will have  $\alpha M$  units of resources, per *G*-type individual that did not produce twins, as all *G*-type individuals contribute equally when they produce singletons. Letting  $N_{GQ}$  and  $N_{G\hat{Q}}$  be the number of individuals of type GQ and  $G\hat{Q}$ , then:

$$\kappa = \alpha M \left( \left( N_{GQ} - T_{GQ} \right) + \left( N_{G\hat{Q}} - T_{G\hat{Q}} \right) \right)$$
(13)

To address the question of whether geminophilous cultural systems can exert selective pressure in favour of genotypes for higher twinning propensity, let us assume that the population is composed purely of geminophilous individuals (i.e.,  $N_{GQ} + N_{G\hat{Q}} = N$ ), and further that twinning propensity is quite low among individuals of type Q (i.e.,  $\beta = 0$ ). Now, we can ask when selection will favor higher twinning rate—i.e., when can  $W(G\hat{Q}) > W(GQ)$ ? We start by writing the fitness expressions for  $W(G\hat{Q}) > W(GQ)$  in Eq. 14, assuming  $\beta = 0$ :

$$(1-\delta)F((1-\alpha)M-\gamma,\lambda)+2\delta F\left(\frac{M-\gamma+\frac{\alpha}{T_G}}{2},\lambda\right) > F((1-\alpha)M-\gamma,\lambda)$$
(14)

If we consider an invasion condition where  $N_{GQ} = N - 1$ ,  $N_{G\hat{Q}} = 1$ , and the lone  $G\hat{Q}$ -type happens to twin,  $T_G = T_{G\hat{Q}} = 1$ , then Eq. 14 can be written fully as:

$$2F\left(\frac{M-\gamma+(\alpha M(N-1))}{2},\lambda\right) > F((1-\alpha)M-\gamma,\lambda)$$
(15)

And if, *G*-type individuals have a simple institution like:  $\alpha = \frac{1}{N_G}$ , i.e., in community of 100 twin-supporters, everyone gives 1% of their wealth to the redistribution mechanism, then, Eq. 15 reduces to:

$$2F\left(M\left(1-\frac{1}{2N_G}\right)-\frac{\gamma}{2},\lambda\right) > F\left(M(1-\frac{1}{N_G})-\gamma,\lambda\right)$$
(16)

and this inequality is always satisfied. As such, the mutant  $\hat{Q}$  can invade, and culture-driven selection will favor increases in twinning propensity,  $\delta$ , until an equilibrium is reached.

Here, we have only established the simplest of conditions: that once the G-type is common—culture-driven selection can operate to increase twinning frequency by decreasing the probability of offspring mortality. We leave a fuller description of the invasion and stability conditions for all four types, as well as numerical simulations, to a more technical model paper.

ments, as geminophilous institutions are only effective if they substantially reduce the costs associated with twinning. Third, in geminophilous societies, twinship should be associated with elevated reproductive rates, both because geminophilous institutions buffer the cost of twinning, and because increased prestige should confer social advantages (e.g., in the mating market). Finally, we predict that a cultural phenomenon called "twinship hijacking" should only be found in geminophilous societies. In the rest of the subsection, we articulate these implications.

830

To address the first implication, spatial regression analyses can be used to test for statistical associations between twinning incidence and the presence/strength of twin-related cultural institutions. We present a preliminary synthesis of such spatial data in Fig. 1, by merging a cultural dataset from Fenske and Wang (2023) with a twinning-rate dataset from Smits and Monden (2011).

- 835 The evidence is suggestive of a possible positive association between the presence of geminophilous norms and the incidence of twinning, but the coarse-grained (i.e., country-level) nature of these data is not optimal. Ideally, both anthropological (i.e., twin-related norms) and
- epidemiological (i.e., twinning rates) information should 840 be aggregated at the smallest geographic unit possible, and analysed with robust tools (e.g., regression discontinuity designs: Keele and Titiunik, 2015).

Some geographically-resolved studies on twinning have already been conducted. For example, country-level 845 twinning rates in the developing world have been produced (Smits and Monden, 2011), and the impact of twinship beliefs on twin mortality in Sub-Saharan Africa has been investigated (Fenske and Wang, 2023). Concordant

with our expectations, twins in historically geminopho-850 bic societies experienced disproportionately high mortality rates compared to twins in non-geminophobic societies until the 1980s, especially in rural areas (Fenske and Wang, 2023). As previously mentioned, there is also con-

temporary evidence that twins are more likely than single-855 tons to receive childhood vaccinations in Benin, a largely geminophilous country (Budu et al., 2023).

Comparable analyses have been used to test for associations between twinning propensity and fertility outcomes, mostly using data from pre-industrial Europe 860 (Rickard et al., 2022; Lummaa et al., 1998; Gabler and Voland, 1994; Haukioja et al., 1989). With the exception of Sear et al. (2001), no such analyses have focused on Sub-Saharan Africa, where twinship is especially salient 865 in a wide variety of ways. Furthermore, most studies focus only on whether the *twinning propensity* of women is associated with completed fertility and/or other relevant life history traits (i.e., body mass, or age at first birth), while generally neglecting the fertility outcomes of twins themselves (but see Gabler and Voland, 1994, for an ex-870 ception). Additionally, spatially-resolved, genomic data are becoming increasingly available (e.g., Smetana and Brož, 2022), and may permit studies exploring geographic structure in the distribution of candidate genes for human

polyovulation. 875

880

Finally, we expect *twinship hijacking* to occur only in geminophilous societies. We use the term twinship hijacking to refer to a phenomenon whereby individuals attempt to expand the social concept of twinship bevond mere biological twinship, and in doing so manipulate the symbolic system in order to reap the benefits associated with twinship. An example of twinship hijackingthat we have noted through first-hand ethnography in Benin—is that singletons born via breech delivery (i.e., legs-first) are sometimes socially considered as "twins" 885 (see Renne and Bastian, 2001, for similar findings elsewhere). Breech babies, along with twins, are considered as "sacred children" in West-Africa-derived Haitian Voodoo as well (Peek, 2011). Conversely, we expect no such expansion of the social category of "twin" in geminophobic 890 societies, as parents will have no incentive to claim or convince others that their child is a twin in contexts where twinship is scorned.

#### An empirical challenge: The curious case of the Yoruba

One challenge to our argument involves a potential cultural switch experienced by the Yoruba nation. As mentioned earlier, the Yoruba are among the highest twinning ethnic groups in the world (), and some evidence suggests that the birth of Yoruba twins was historically 900 regarded as an ominous event, and that twin infanticide was practiced (Chappel, 1974). The historical negative valence of twin births is reported to stem from the belief that twinning is caused by copulation with multiple men. If this evidence is true, it would problematize our 905 argument of geminophilia exerting selective pressures in favour of twinning propensity in West Africa. Presently, Yoruba culture is highly geminophilous, but if such cultural practices are relatively new, it is unlikely that there would have been enough time for such cultural practices 910 to increase twinning rate via selection.

The existence and timing of such a cultural reversal, however, is extremely unclear, as are the causes, because most available information is based on oral histories. Qualitative interviews in Nigeria produced very little 915 consensus among local interviewees about the reasons for the cultural change, and even when it occurred (Chappel, 1974). There is, however, evidence of appreciable spatial structure in the degree of geminophilous versus geminophobic norms among Yoruba historically (Renne, 2001). 920 This implies that, perhaps, there was no major historical switch affecting all Yoruba, but rather, that different sub-populations settled into different cultural equilibria.

If the Yoruba system switch is both: (1) true (i.e., a system reversal actually did take place starting from 925 baseline geminophobic attitudes), and (2) relatively recent (the past two hundred years or so), it would indeed be harder to take the high twinning rates of some West-African ancestry populations as evidence of selection driven by geminophilous cultural attitudes. However, given that the Yoruba population represented a substantial proportion of the enslaved Africans brought to New World colonies (Hall, 2005; Zakharia et al., 2009), and that twins are worshipped in Yoruba-influenced syncretic religions across the American continent—including Candomblé in Brazil and Santeria in Cuba (Leroy et al., 2002)—we are suspicious that geminophobic norms were common across all Yoruba populations immediately prior to the Atlantic slave trade. This would also raise an interesting question in cultural anthropology, as to why several Yoruba-descending groups in the New World hold

930

895

geminophilous attitudes, if the originating culture at the time of the slave trade was explicitly geminophobic and practicing twin infanticide.

## 945 Conclusion

950

975

The sizeable variation in twinning rates observed between human populations still lacks a satisfactory scientific answer. To address this open problem, we have formulated an account of such diversity that incorporates both demographic observations and qualitative ethnography within a gene-culture co-evolutionary framework. We envision

two main avenues of research to further develop and test this hypothesis. *In primis*, our arguments about the evolution of twinship institutions and their impact on genetic propensities for polyovulation need to be translated into

- a complete evolutionary model, in order to test their internal validity. The model that we put forward here is an initial step towards this goal. *In secundis*, empirical evidence from fine-grained ethnographic and demographic data in rural populations would be highly valuable.
  - Anthropologists and demographers working with communities where twinship is salient might design questionnaires to: 1) identify twinship norms, and 2) collect information on different aspects of social and economic life
- which may impact survival and fertility outcomes. Information on wealth and income, social status and prestige, and social network structure would permit tests for causal paths linking twinship institutions and survival and fertility outcomes. Statistical analyses informed by causal
  reasoning would be necessary to disentangle the multiple
- phenotypic confounds that similar studies conducted in the past have encountered.

The ethnographic approach, however, is not without limitations—most importantly, globalization, medical and technological advances, and demographic transition are rapidly changing the landscape of human reproduc-

- tive behavior. Traditional attitudes towards high fertility are declining worldwide, access to modern healthcare is improving child survival outcomes, and exposure to international media is changing many traditional cultural in-
- stitutions. In sum, the effect of traditional cultural institutions on demographic outcomes in contemporary times may be softened or even null—especially in urban populations worldwide. Indeed, in present-day Sub-Saharan
- Africa, twin mortality does not covary with past history of twin infanticide anymore (even though it did 40 years ago; Fenske and Wang, 2023), and twinship salience may be declining due to the impact of Western norms and the greater role played by Abrahamic religions (Renne, 2001).
- <sup>990</sup> Therefore, while evidence of twinship positively impacting contemporary fitness outcomes would *potentially* corroborate our central hypothesis, null results would not necessarily invalidate it.
- In contrast to the ethnographic approach, methodologies linking contemporary genetic variation to historical cultural institutions are less sensitive to the changes brought by modernization. Because genetic change is typ-

ically slower than cultural change, we should be able to find signatures of past selection on genes related to polyovulation even if recent cultural changes have weakened the effectiveness and salience of twinship beliefs and institutions.

In sum, we believe that the lens of gene-culture coevolution may help to rigorously explain group-level diversity in human dizygotic twinning. Such an approach 1005 will require both mathematical modelling and empirical data. We hope that our perspective will encourage future scholars to tackle the enduring evolutionary puzzle of human twinship.

## References

- Abel, E. L. and Kruger, M. L. (2012). Maternal and paternal age and twinning in the United States, 2004–2008. *Journal of Perinatal Medicine.*
- Alidou, S. (2021). Beliefs and investment in child human capital: case study from Benin. *The Journal of Development Studies*, 57(1):88–105.
- Ananth, C. V. and Chauhan, S. P. (2012). Epidemiology of twinning in developed countries. In *Seminars in perinatology*, volume 36, pages 156–161. Elsevier.
- Anderson, D. J. (1990). On the evolution of human brood <sup>1020</sup> size. *Evolution*, 44(2):438–440.
- Ayari-De Souza, R. (2020). Ouidah: La mémoire silencieuse. DAGAN Editions.
- Ball, H. L. and Hill, C. M. (1996). Reevaluating "twin infanticide". Current Anthropology, 37(5):856–863.
- Basso, O., Nohr, E. A., Christensen, K., and Olsen, J. (2004). Risk of twinning as a function of maternal height and body mass index. *JAMA*, 291(13):1564– 1566.
- Beck, J. J., Bruins, S., Mbarek, H., Davies, G. E., and Boomsma, D. I. (2021). Biology and genetics of dizygotic and monozygotic twinning. *Twin and higherorder pregnancies*, pages 31–50.
- Beemsterboer, S., Homburg, R., Gorter, N., Schats, R., Hompes, P., and Lambalk, C. (2006). The paradox of declining fertility but increasing twinning rates with advancing maternal age. *Human Reproduction*, 21(6):1531–1532.
- Beja-Pereira, A., Luikart, G., England, P. R., Bradley,
  D. G., Jann, O. C., Bertorelle, G., Chamberlain, 1040
  A. T., Nunes, T. P., Metodiev, S., Ferrand, N., et al. (2003). Gene-culture coevolution between cattle milk protein genes and human lactase genes. Nature genetics, 35(4):311–313.
- Bortolus, R., Parazzini, F., Chatenoud, L., Benzi, G., 1045 Bianchi, M. M., and Marini, A. (1999). The epidemiology of multiple births. *Human reproduction update*, 5(2):179–187.

Boyd, R. and Richerson, P. J. (1988). *Culture and the* evolutionary process. University of Chicago press.

1050

1055

1080

1085

- Budu, E., Ahinkorah, B. O., Guets, W., Ameyaw, E. K., Essuman, M. A., and Yaya, S. (2023). Socioeconomic and residence-based related inequality in childhood vaccination in Sub-Saharan Africa: Evidence from Benin. *Health Science Reports*, 6(4):e1198.
- Bulmer, M. (1959). Twinning rate in Europe during the war. BMJ, 1(5113):29.
- Bulmer, M. G. et al. (1970). The Biology of Twinning in Man. Oxford: Clarendon Press.
- Buskell, A., Enquist, M., and Jansson, F. (2019). A systems approach to cultural evolution. *Palgrave Communications*, 5(1).
  - Chapman, C. and Chapman, L. J. (1986). Behavioural development of howling monkey twins (Alouatta palliata)
- in Santa Rosa National Park, Costa Rica. Primates, 27:377–381.
  - Chappel, T. (1974). The Yoruba cult of twins in historical perspective. Africa, 44(3):250–265.
- Colding, J. and Folke, C. (2001). Social taboos: "invisible" systems of local resource management and biological conservation. *Ecological applications*, 11(2):584–600.
  - Collinge, J., Whitfield, J., McKintosh, E., Beck, J., Mead, S., Thomas, D. J., and Alpers, M. P. (2006). Kuru in the 21st century—an acquired human prion dis-

ease with very long incubation periods. *The Lancet*, 367(9528):2068–2074.

Conde-Agudelo, A., Belizán, J. M., and Lindmark, G. (2000). Maternal morbidity and mortality associated with multiple gestations. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 95(6):899–904.

- Cowlishaw, G. (1978). Infanticide in aboriginal Australia. Oceania, 48(4):262–283.
- Creinin, M. and Keith, L. G. (1989). The Yoruba contribution to our understanding of the twinning process. *The Journal of Reproductive Medicine*, 34(6):379–387.
- Diduk, S. (2001). Twinship and juvenile power: the ordinariness of the extraordinary. *Ethnology*, pages 29–43.
- Douglas, M. (1957). Animals in Lele religious symbolism. Africa, 27(1):46–58.
- 1090 Elster, N. et al. (2000). Less is more: the risks of multiple births. *Fertility and Sterility*, 74(4):617–623.
  - Eriksson, A., Bressers, W., Kostense, P., Pitkänen, K., Mielke, J., Jorde, L., Tas, R., and Fellman, J. (1988). Twinning rate in Scandinavia, Germany and
- the Netherlands during years of privation. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 37(3-4):277-297.

ESHRE Capri Workshop Group (2006). Nutrition and reproduction in women. *Human Reproduction Update*, 12(3):193–207.

- Feldman, M. W. and Laland, K. N. (1996). Gene-culture coevolutionary theory. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 11(11):453–457.
- Fenske, J. and Wang, S. (2023). Tradition and mortality: Evidence from twin infanticide in Africa. Journal of Development Economics, 163:103094.
- Fitouchi, L., Singh, M., André, J.-B., and Baumard, N. (2023). Prosocial Religions as Folk-technologies of Mutual Policing. *PsyArXiv*.
- Gabbett, M. T., Laporte, J., Sekar, R., Nandini, A., Mc-Grath, P., Sapkota, Y., Jiang, P., Zhang, H., Burgess, T., Montgomery, G. W., et al. (2019). Molecular support for heterogonesis resulting in sesquizygotic twinning. New England Journal of Medicine, 380(9):842– 849.
- Gabler, S. and Voland, E. (1994). Fitness of twinning. Human Biology, pages 699–713.
- Garbino, G. S. T., Feijo, A., Beltrao-Mendes, R., and Da Rocha, P. A. (2021). Evolution of litter size in bats and its influence on longevity and roosting ecology. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 132(3):676–684.
- Geissmann, T. (1990). Twinning frequency in catarrhine primates. *Human Evolution*, 5:387–396.
- Ghai, V. and Vidyasagar, D. (1988). Morbidity and mortality factors in twins. An epidemiologic approach. <sup>1125</sup> *Clinics in Perinatology*, 15(1):123–140.
- Gibson, C. C., Ostrom, E., and Ahn, T.-K. (2000). The concept of scale and the human dimensions of global change: a survey. *Ecological Economics*, 32(2):217–239.
- Godfray, H., Partridge, L., and Harvey, P. (1991). Clutch 1130 size. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics, 22(1):409–429.
- Grafen, A. (1991). Modelling in behavioural ecology. Behavioural Ecology: An Evolutionary Approach, 3:5–31.
- Granzberg, G. (1973). Twin infanticide-a cross-cultural 1135 test of a materialistic explanation. *Ethos*, 1(4):405–412.
- Hall, G. M. (2005). Slavery and African ethnicities in the Americas: restoring the links. University of North Carolina Press.
- Hall, J. G. (2003). Twinning. *The Lancet*, 362(9385):735–1140 743.
- Harris, M. (2001). Cultural Materialism: The Struggle for a Science of Culture. AltaMira Press.
- Haukioja, E., Lemmetyinen, R., and Pikkola, M. (1989). Why are twins so rare in Homo sapiens? *The American* <sup>1145</sup> *Naturalist*, 133(4):572–577.

Hausfater, G. (1984). Infanticide: comparative and evolutionary perspectives. *Current anthropology*, 25(4):500– 502.

- Hazel, W. N., Black, R., Smock, R. C., Sear, R., and Tomkins, J. L. (2020). An age-dependent ovulatory strategy explains the evolution of dizygotic twinning in humans. *Nature ecology & evolution*, 4(7):987–992.
- Helle, S., Lummaa, V., and Jokela, J. (2004). Selection for
   increased brood size in historical human populations.
   *Evolution*, 58(2):430–436.
  - Henrich, J. and Henrich, N. (2010). The evolution of cultural adaptations: Fijian food taboos protect against dangerous marine toxins. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 277(1701):3715–3724.
  - Henrich, J. and McElreath, R. (2003). The evolution of cultural evolution. Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews: Issues, News, and Reviews, 12(3):123–135.
- Herskovits, M. (1938). Dahomey: an ancient west African kingdom.(2 vols.).
- Hoekstra, C., Willemsen, G., van Beijsterveldt, C. T., Lambalk, C. B., Montgomery, G. W., and Boomsma, D. I. (2010). Body composition, smoking, and spontaneous dizygotic twinning. *Fertility and Sterility*, 93(3):885–893.
- Hoekstra, C., Willemsen, G., van Beijsterveldt, T. C., Montgomery, G. W., and Boomsma, D. I. (2008a).
  Familial twinning and fertility in Dutch mothers of twins. American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A, 146(24):3147–3156.
  - Hoekstra, C., Zhao, Z. Z., Lambalk, C. B., Willemsen, G., Martin, N. G., Boomsma, D. I., and Montgomery, G. W. (2008b). Dizygotic twinning. *Human reproduc*tion, 14(1):37–47.
  - Hrdy, S. B. (1992). Fitness tradeoffs in the history and evolution of delegated mothering with special reference to wet-nursing, abandonment, and infanticide. *Ethology* and Sociobiology, 13(5-6):409–442.
- Jones, J. H. (2011). Primates and the evolution of long, slow life histories. *Current Biology*, 21(18):R708–R717.
  - Kabagenyi, A., Reid, A., Ntozi, J., and Atuyambe, L. (2016). Socio-cultural inhibitors to use of modern contraceptive techniques in rural Uganda: a qualitative study. *The Pan African Medical Journal*, 25.
- 1190

1195

1180

1160

- Källén, K. (1998). Maternal smoking and twinning. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 1(4):206–211.
- Keele, L. J. and Titiunik, R. (2015). Geographic boundaries as regression discontinuities. *Political Analysis*, 23(1):127–155.

- Khoury, M. and Erickson, J. (1983). Maternal factors in dizygotic twinning: evidence from interracial crosses. Annals of Human Biology, 10(5):409–415.
- Kleinman, J. C., Fowler, M. G., and Kessel, S. S. (1991).
   Comparison of infant mortality among twins and singletons: United States 1960 and 1983. *American Journal* of Epidemiology, 133(2):133–143.
- Kumm, J. and Feldman, M. W. (1997). Gene-culture coevolution and sex ratios: II. Sex-chromosomal distorters and cultural preferences for offspring sex. *Theoretical population biology*, 52(1):1–15.
- Kumm, J., Laland, K. N., and Feldman, M. W. (1994).
   Gene-culture coevolution and sex-ratios: the effects of infanticide, sex-selective abortion, sex selection, and sex-biased parental investment on the evolution of sex ratios. *Theoretical Population Biology*, 46(3):249–278.
- Lack, D. (1947). The significance of clutch-size. *Ibis*, 89(2):302–352.
- Laland, K. N. (2008). Exploring gene-culture interactions: insights from handedness, sexual selection and niche-construction case studies. *Philosophical Trans*actions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 363(1509):3577–3589.
- Laland, K. N., Kumm, J., Van Horn, J. D., and Feldman, M. W. (1995). A gene-culture model of human 1220 handedness. *Behavior genetics*, 25:433–445.
- Laland, K. N., Odling-Smee, J., and Feldman, M. W. (2001). Cultural niche construction and human evolution. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 14(1):22–33.
- Lansing, J. S. (1987). Balinese "water temples" and the management of irrigation. *American Anthropologist*, 89(2):326–341.
- Leroy, F. (1976). Attitudes towards twinning in primitive societies. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 25(1):20–23.

- Leroy, F., Olaleye-Oruene, T., Koeppen-Schomerus, G., and Bryan, E. (2002). Yoruba customs and beliefs pertaining to twins. *Twin Research and Human Genetics*, 5(2):132–136.
- Leutenegger, W. (1979). Evolution of litter size in primates. *The American Naturalist*, 114(4):525–531.
- Lewis, C. M., Healey, S. C., and Martin, N. G. (1996). Genetic contribution to DZ twinning. American Journal of Medical Genetics, 61(3):237–246.
- Link, A., Palma, A. C., Velez, A., and De Luna, A. G. (2006). Costs of twins in free-ranging white-bellied spider monkeys (Ateles belzebuth belzebuth) at Tinigua National Park, Colombia. *Primates*, 47(2):131–139.
- Lukas, D. and Clutton-Brock, T. (2020). Monotocy and the evolution of plural breeding in mammals. *Behavioral Ecology*, 31(4):943–949.

Lummaa, V., Haukioja, E., Lemmetyinen, R., and Pikkola, M. (1998). Natural selection on human twinning. *Nature*, 394(6693):533–534.

- 1250 Mackie, G. (1996). Ending footbinding and infibulation: A convention account. American Sociological Review, pages 999–1017.
  - Madrigal, L., Saenz, G., Chavez, M., and Dykes, D. (2001). Frequency of twinning in two Costa Rican eth-
  - nic groups: an update. American Journal of Human Biology: The Official Journal of the Human Biology Association, 13(2):220–226.
  - Marinho, A., Ilesanmi, A., Ladele, O., Asuni, O., Omigbodun, A., and Oyejide, C. (1986). A fall in the rate of multiple births in Ibadan and Igbo Ora, Nigeria. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 35(3-4):201–204.
  - Marroquín, A. and Haight, C. (2017). Twin-killing in some traditional societies: an economic perspective. *Journal of Bioeconomics*, 19:261–279.
  - Martin, G., Rodger, J., and Blache, D. (2004). Nutritional and environmental effects on reproduction in small ruminants. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development*, 16(4):491–501.
- Mbarek, H., Steinberg, S., Nyholt, D. R., Gordon, S. D., Miller, M. B., McRae, A. F., Hottenga, J. J., Day, F. R., Willemsen, G., De Geus, E. J., et al. (2016). Identification of common genetic variants influencing spontaneous dizygotic twinning and female fertility. *The American Journal of Human Genetics*, 98(5):898–
- 1275 The American Journal of Human Genetics, 98(5):898– 908.
- Mead, S., Stumpf, M. P., Whitfield, J., Beck, J. A., Poulter, M., Campbell, T., Uphill, J. B., Goldstein, D., Alpers, M., Fisher, E. M., et al. (2003). Balancing selection at the prion protein gene consistent with prehistoric kurulike epidemics. *Science*, 300(5619):640–643.
  - Mead, S., Whitfield, J., Poulter, M., Shah, P., Uphill, J., Campbell, T., Al-Dujaily, H., Hummerich, H., Beck, J., Mein, C. A., et al. (2009). A novel protective prion protein variant that colocalizes with kuru exposure. New
- tem variant that colocalizes with kuru exposure. Ne England Journal of Medicine, 361(21):2056–2065.
  - Meulemans, W. J., Lewis, C. M., Boomsma, D. I., Derom, C. A., Van den Berghe, H., Orlebeke, J. F., Vlietinck, R. F., and Derom, R. M. (1996). Genetic modelling of dizygotic twinning in pedigrees of spontaneous dizygotic twins. *American Journal of Medical Genetics*, 61(3):258–263.
- Minocher, R., Borgerhoff Mulder, M., and Ross, C. T. (2023). Age-specific impacts of time-varying family
  structures on children's well-being in Mpimbwe, Tanzania. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences.

- Minority Rights Group (2020). Haiti. https:// minorityrights.org/country/haiti/. Accessed: 7-11-2023.
- Monden, C. W. and Smits, J. (2017). Mortality among twins and singletons in sub-Saharan Africa between 1995 and 2014: a pooled analysis of data from 90 Demographic and Health Surveys in 30 countries. The Lancet Global Health, 5(7):e673–e679.

1305

1340

1345

- Nakamura, I., Nonaka, K., and Miura, T. (1990). Decrease in twinning rate in a hospital in Tokyo during World War II. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 39(3):335–338.
- Nylander, P. (1970). The Inheritance of DZ Twinning A study of 18737 maternities in Ibadan, Western Nigeria. *Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research*, 19(1-2):36–39.
- Nylander, P. (1978). Causes of high twinning frequencies in Nigeria. Progress in Clinical and Biological Research, 1315 24:35–43.
- Nylander, P. (1979). The twinning incidence in Nigeria. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 28(4):261–263.
- Nylander, P. P. (1981). The factors that influence twinning rates. Acta geneticae medicae et gemellologiae: twin research, 30(3):189–202.
- Olson, L. E. (2013). Tenrecs. Current Biology, 23(1):R5– R8.
- Omonkhua, A. A., Okonofua, F. E., Ntoimo, L. F., Aruomaren, A. I., Adebayo, A. M., and Nwuba, R. (2020). Community perceptions on causes of high dizygotic twinning rate in Igbo-Ora, South-west Nigeria: A qualitative study. *Plos one*, 15(12):e0243169.
- Oruene, T. (1985). Magical powers of twins in the socioreligious beliefs of the Yoruba. *Folklore*, 96(2):208–216.
- Painter, J. N., Willemsen, G., Nyholt, D., Hoekstra, C., Duffy, D. L., Henders, A. K., Wallace, L., Healey, S., Cannon-Albright, L. A., Skolnick, M., et al. (2010). A genome wide linkage scan for dizygotic twinning in 525 families of mothers of dizygotic twins. *Human Reproduction*, 25(6):1569–1580.
- Pector, E. A. (2002). Twin death and mourning worldwide: A review of the literature. Twin Research and Human Genetics, 5(3):196-205.
- Peek, P. M. (2011). Twins in African and diaspora cultures: Double trouble, twice blessed. Indiana University Press.
- Pison, G. (1987). Les jumeaux en Afrique au Sud du Sahara. *Ined Éditions.*
- Pison, G. and d'Addato, A. V. (2006). Frequency of twin births in developed countries. *Twin Research and Hu*man Genetics, 9(2):250–259.

1290

1255

1260

- Pison, G., Monden, C., and Smits, J. (2015). Twinning
   rates in developed countries: trends and explanations.
   *Population and Development Review*, 41(4):629–649.
  - Pollard, R. (1995). Ethnic comparison of twinning rates in California. *Human Biology*, pages 921–931.
- Purzycki, B. G., Apicella, C., Atkinson, Q. D., Cohen,
   E., McNamara, R. A., Willard, A. K., Xygalatas, D.,
   Norenzayan, A., and Henrich, J. (2016). Moralistic gods, supernatural punishment and the expansion of human sociality. *Nature*, 530(7590):327–330.
- Reddy, U. M., Branum, A. M., and Klebanoff, M. A.
  (2005). Relationship of maternal body mass index and height to twinning. *Obstetrics & Gynecology*, 105(3):593–597.
  - Redhead, D. and Power, E. A. (2022). Social hierarchies and social networks in humans. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 377(1845):20200440.

1365

- Renne, E. P. (2001). Twinship in an Ekiti Yoruba town. *Ethnology*, pages 63–78.
- Renne, E. P. and Bastian, M. L. (2001). Reviewing twinship in Africa. *Ethnology*, pages 1–11.
- Richerson, P. J., Boyd, R., and Henrich, J. (2010). Geneculture coevolution in the age of genomics. *Proceed*ings of the National Academy of Sciences, 107(supplement\_2):8985–8992.
- Rickard, I. J., Courtiol, A., and Lummaa, V. (2012). Why
   is lifetime fertility higher in twinning women? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences, 279(1738):2510–2511.
  - Rickard, I. J., Vullioud, C., Rousset, F., Postma, E., Helle, S., Lummaa, V., Kylli, R., Pettay, J. E., Røskaft,
- E., Skjærvø, G. R., et al. (2022). Mothers with higher twinning propensity had lower fertility in pre-industrial Europe. *Nature Communications*, 13(1):2886.
  - Robson, S. L. and Smith, K. R. (2011). Twinning in humans: maternal heterogeneity in reproduction and survival. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
- <sup>1385</sup> survival. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biologi Sciences, 278(1725):3755–3761.
  - Ross, C. T. and Richerson, P. J. (2014). New frontiers in the study of human cultural and genetic evolution. *Current Opinion in Genetics & Development*, 29:103– 109.
- Ross, C. T., Strimling, P., Ericksen, K. P., Lindenfors, P., and Mulder, M. B. (2016). The origins and maintenance of female genital modification across Africa: Bayesian phylogenetic modeling of cultural evolution under the influence of selection. *Human Nature*, 27:173–200.
  - Saulnier, P. (2009). Vodun et destinée humaine. Société des Missions africaines.

- Schapera, I. (1927). Customs relating to twins in South Africa. Journal of the Royal African Society, 1400 26(102):117-137.
- Sear, R., Shanley, D., McGregor, I., and Mace, R. (2001). The fitness of twin mothers: evidence from rural Gambia. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 14(3):433–443.
- Senat, M.-V., Ancel, P.-Y., Bouvier-Colle, M.-H., and Bréart, G. (1998). How does multiple pregnancy affect maternal mortality and morbidity? *Clinical Obstetrics* and Gynecology, 41(1):79–83.
- Sikes, R. S. and Ylönen, H. (1998). Considerations of optimal litter size in mammals. *Oikos*, pages 452–465. <sup>1410</sup>
- Smetana, J. and Brož, P. (2022). National genome initiatives in Europe and the United Kingdom in the era of whole-genome sequencing: a comprehensive review. *Genes*, 13(3):556.
- Smith, L. K., Manktelow, B. N., Draper, E. S., Boyle, <sup>1415</sup> E. M., Johnson, S. J., and Field, D. J. (2014). Trends in the incidence and mortality of multiple births by socioeconomic deprivation and maternal age in England: population-based cohort study. *BMJ Open*, 4(4):e004514.
- Smits, J. and Monden, C. (2011). Twinning across the developing world. *PloS one*, 6(9):e25239.
- Steinman, G. (2006a). Can the chance of having twins be modified by diet? *The Lancet*, 367(9521):1461–1462.
- Steinman, G. (2006b). Mechanisms of twinning: VII. Effect of diet and heredity on the human twinning rate. The Journal of Reproductive Medicine, 51(5):405–410.
- Tagliani-Ribeiro, A., Oliveira, M., Sassi, A. K., Rodrigues, M. R., Zagonel-Oliveira, M., Steinman, G., Matte, U., Fagundes, N. J., and Schuler-Faccini, L. 1430 (2011). Twin Town in South Brazil: A Nazi's experiment or a genetic founder effect? *PLoS One*, 6(6):e20328.
- Taylor, A. C. (1993). Remembering to forget: identity, mourning and memory among the Jivaro. *Man*, pages 1435 653–678.
- Turner, V., Abrahams, R., and Harris, A. (2017). *The ritual process: Structure and anti-structure.* Routledge.
- Vinet, A., Drouilhet, L., Bodin, L., Mulsant, P., Fabre, S., and Phocas, F. (2012). Genetic control of multiple births in low ovulating mammalian species. *Mammalian Genome*, 23:727–740.
- Vogel, J. P., Torloni, M. R., Seuc, A., Betrán, A. P., Widmer, M., Souza, J. P., and Merialdi, M. (2013). Maternal and perinatal outcomes of twin pregnancy in 23 lowand middle-income countries. *PloS one*, 8(8):e70549.

Von Rueden, C. R. and Jaeggi, A. V. (2016). Men's status and reproductive success in 33 nonindustrial societies: Effects of subsistence, marriage system, and reproductive strategy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(39):10824–10829.

1450

White, C. and Wyshak, G. (1964). Inheritance in human

dizygotic twinning. New England Journal of Medicine, 271(19):1003–1005.

Zakharia, F., Basu, A., Absher, D., Assimes, T. L., Go, A. S., Hlatky, M. A., Iribarren, C., Knowles, J. W., Li, J., Narasimhan, B., et al. (2009). Characterizing the admixed African ancestry of African Americans. *Genome Biology*, 10:1–11.