- 1 The need of decoding life for taking care of biodiversity and the sustainable
- 2 use of nature in the Anthropocene a Faroese perspective

3

- 4 Svein-Ole Mikalsen^{1,3}, Jari í Hjøllum¹, Ian Salter², Anni Djurhuus¹, and Sunnvør í
- 5 Kongsstovu¹

6

- ⁷ Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Faroe Islands, Vestara Bryggja 15,
- 8 FO-100 Tórshavn, The Faroe Islands
- 9 ² Faroe Marine Research Institute, Nóatún 1, FO-100 Tórshavn, The Faroe Islands
- 10 ³ Corresponding author. E-mail: sveinom@setur.fo

11

- 12 SOM: ORCID 0000-0002-7128-4464; sveinom@setur.fo
- 13 JíH: ORCID 0000-0003-2388-9378; jarih@setur.fo
- 14 IS: ORCID 0000-0002-4513-0314; ians@hav.fo
- 15 SH: ORCID 0000-0002-3986-5074; sjurdurh@us.fo
- 16 AD: ORCID 0000-0002-3517-7522; annid@setur.fo
- 17 SíK: ORCID 0000-0001-6631-2347; sunnvork@setur.fo

18

- 20 Keywords
- 21 Biodiversity; citizen science; conservation; commercial exploitation; ERGA; European
- Reference Genome Atlas; genetic diversity; genomes; Genome Atlas of Faroese Ecology;
- 23 sustainability.

Abstract

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

Biodiversity is under pressure, mainly due to human activities and climate change. At the international policy level, it is now recognised that genetic diversity is an important part of biodiversity. The availability of high-quality reference genomes gives the best basis for using genetics and genetic diversity towards the global aims of (i) protection of species, biodiversity, and nature, and (ii) in management of biodiversity for achieving sustainable harvesting of nature. Protecting biodiversity is a global responsibility, also resting on small nations, like the Faroe Islands. Being in the middle of the North Atlantic Ocean and having large fisheries activity, the nation has a particular responsibility towards maritime matters. We here provide the reasoning behind Genome Atlas of Faroese Ecology (Gen@FarE), a project based on our participation in the European Reference Genome Atlas consortium (ERGA). Gen@FarE has three major aims: (i) To acquire high-quality genomes of all eukaryotic species in the Faroe Islands and Faroese waters. (ii) To establish population genetics for species of commercial or ecological interest. (iii) To establish an information databank for all Faroese species, combined with a citizen science registration database, making it possible for the public to participate in acquiring and maintaining the overview of Faroese species in both terrestrial and marine environments. Altogether, we believe that this will enhance the society's interest in and awareness of biodiversity, thereby protecting the foundations of our lives. Furthermore, the combination of a wide and highly competent ERGA umbrella and more targeted national projects will help fulfilling the formal and moral responsibilities that all nations, also those of limited resources, have in protecting biodiversity and achieving sustainability in harvesting from nature.

Background

The Faroe Islands, and all nations in the world, live from nature. Mankind gets its food and raw materials from nature, directly or indirectly. We are now affecting the Earth so heavily and fundamentally that it is proposed to call the present time the Anthropocene - the geological epoch of human influence [1].

A well-functioning nature is dependent on healthy ecosystems, which again are intimately linked with biodiversity. The term "biodiversity" includes the full set of all life forms, their variations and functions, and their community structures in the different habitats and ecosystems [2]. The total biodiversity is a composite of several "sub"-diversities, and among them, genetic diversity (additionally, and subject to the definition of choice, diversity in species, ecosystems, functions, and evolution are often included) [2, 3]. It may well be argued that genetic diversity is the foundation for each of the other "sub"-diversities, and thereby also the total biodiversity. Biodiversity is central in maintaining ecosystems both locally and globally. However, many species, ecosystems, and even global biodiversity are today threatened by overexploitation, fragmentation of nature, loss of habitats, invasive species, and climate change [4]. Thus, all aspects of conservation, like protection of species and their genetic diversity, and the protection of the areas and resources that the species depend on, need to be considered to preserve biodiversity, ecosystems and nature as a whole, and at the same time achieve sustainable exploitation to ensure that humans can live in a healthy world in the future [2].

It is of utmost importance that we, as the main caretaker of the Earth, are aware of the biodiversity and the existing genetic diversity. Within each single species, and within each single individual, the material of inheritance, the genome, is the basis and the main frame for the present diversity and carrying the diversity forward to the future generations. It is also recognized that genetic diversity within a species is pivotal for adaptation in a changing world, which is even more important in times of climate change. Thus, knowing the genome sequences from as many species as possible is central in the understanding and knowledge of the full span of biodiversity. With the strong influence that humans have on the ecosystems and the Earth, we will only be able to maintain the diversity and exploit it in a sustainable way by having relevant knowledge about the diversity. It is difficult or impossible to take unknown or undetected species into considerations in a management plan, or to make proper management plans for species, an ecosystem, or a geographical area when relevant and

significant biological knowledge is not available. The sustainable utilisation and management 80 of biological resources require a determined effort to establish current status (which is not 81 necessarily the same as the historical status) and monitor future changes of biodiversity in 82 diverse environments. 83 84 We should be fully aware that we presently do not know all species, as new species are 85 discovered every year, even in well-explored areas like Europe [5]. The marine environments 86 are likely to hide many unknown species [6]. Furthermore, we have limited biological 87 88 knowledge of many of the species we do know, even among species that are commercially exploited, e.g., their full geographical distribution, subpopulations, population dynamics, 89 interactions with other species, the influences of climate change or human harvesting, etc. 90 91 In international policy, the terms "sustainability" and "biodiversity" became much more 92 93 frequently used after the UN report "Our Common Future" from 1987 [7] and the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) from 1992 [8]. Article 1 of CBD states that "The objectives ... 94 95 are... the conservation of biological diversity, (and) the sustainable use of its components..." [8]. The balance of conservation and sustainable use of nature is repeated in several of the 96 97 subsequent articles of CBD. All parties of the CBD, including the Faroe Islands (through the Kingdom of Denmark), commit to these objectives. CBD is the basis for additional 98 99 international agreements and protocols. The Faroe Islands have committed to some of these, like the UN Agenda 2030 for Sustainable Development and its Sustainability Development 100 101 Goals (SDG) [9, 10], but not to others, like the Nagoya protocol and the Aarhus convention. Not being a member of EU (despite that Denmark is a member), Faroe Islands are also less 102 103 restrained by EU regulations and agreements. 104 105 The Kunming-Montreal global diversity framework from December 2022, better known as UN CBD Conference of Parties 15 (COP15) [11], underlined the importance of genetics and 106 genetic diversity in biodiversity and sustainability, as reflected in their direct mentioning in 107 two of the four overarching goals: 108 The genetic diversity within populations of wild and domesticated species is 109 maintained, safeguarding their adaptive potential. 110 • The monetary and non-monetary benefits for the utilization of **genetic** resources ...

are shared fairly and equitably...

111

This is also repeated in some of the corresponding 23 targets for the Kunming-Montreal framework:

- Target 4: Ensure urgent management actions, to halt human induced extinction of known threatened species and for the recovery and conservation of species, in particular threatened species, to significantly reduce extinction risk, as well as to maintain and restore the **genetic diversity** within and between populations of native, wild and domesticated species...
 - Target 13: Take effective legal, policy, administrative and capacity-building measures at all levels, as appropriate, to ensure the fair and equitable sharing of benefits that arise from the utilization of **genetic** resources...
 - Target 21: Ensure that the best available data, information and knowledge (this undoubtedly include genetic data, information and knowledge; authors' comment), are accessible to decision makers, practitioners and the public to guide effective and equitable governance, integrated and participatory management of biodiversity, and to strengthen communication, awareness-raising, education, monitoring, research and knowledge management...

Indirectly, having the species' genome assemblies and knowing the genetic diversities will wholeheartedly support the other COP15 overarching goals and targets for the Kunming-Montreal framework that involve sustainability and sustainable management, and further the integration of biodiversity into policies, planning and regulations, including the protection of species, habitats, ecosystems and areas, etc. The four overarching goals and the 23 more specified targets can be seen as an elaboration and specification from previous international agreements and protocols. We will here have a main focus on how genomes and the knowledge of genetic diversity can help us in protecting biodiversity and maintaining sustainability to reach different aims and potentials.

Genome Atlas of Faroese Ecology (Gen@FarE)

Knowledge of the full genome of each species and the genetic diversity within each species, provide powerful tools to monitor biodiversity, and through that, manage and preserve it [2, 12]. This knowledge can be used in different ways and for different purposes. On the very practical and applied side, management of commercially exploited resources and protection of species and/or habitats, can be much improved by such tools. It will also give us better

tools to survey the environment, whether it is for invasive species or population estimates. Equally important, such knowledge is valuable for understanding the diversity of life in all its aspects and functions, and it will undoubtedly initiate further questions and give new avenues to explore (see section *Incidental insights*). But above all, this will help us in protecting and maintaining a healthy Earth for mankind and all its fellow beings.

151152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

146

147

148

149

150

National and regional initiatives are taking place both in Europe and globally by people and institutions recognising the need for, and the potential of, genomic knowledge [13-17]. This is a highly international task, where all nations need to contribute and collaborate. We all, as individuals, as industry, as society, as nations, have responsibility for the future of the Earth and its nature, and the politicians and governments must set the frames so this can become possible to achieve. Realising the urgency and needs in protecting biodiversity, and that genomics and genetics are essential tools in achieving this purpose, more than 700 European scientists, some of the present authors among them [18], have gone together to form the European Reference Genome Atlas project (ERGA) [13, 19, 20] as a collaborative and interdisciplinary network. Also small nations, like the Faroe Islands (1 400 km² and 54 000 inhabitants), should contribute to this effort, partly as a global and moral obligation, and partly to ensure sustainability in its exploitation of biological resources in accordance with CBD [8]. Utilising the ERGA network and its dedication to a decentralised and equitable biodiversity genomics [21], the present authors have initiated the Genome Atlas of Faroese Ecology (Gen@FarE), and we participate in the ERGA Pilot project [21]. Although being a small nation, the Faroe Islands have a sizable economic zone (274 000 km²) in the middle of the North Atlantic Ocean, and it has large fisheries activity. Thereby the nation has a particular responsibility towards maritime matters. The authors represent Faroese institutions with responsibilities for education, research, monitoring, and dissemination of knowledge within Faroese and North Atlantic biology and biodiversity, and advising the authorities about stock management and nature in general. We jointly see the advantage of increased genomic and genetic knowledge for protecting biodiversity and achieving sustainability in the region.

175176

177

178

The Genome Atlas of Faroese Ecology has three major long-term aims:

• To establish high-quality genomes of all eukaryotic species in the Faroe Islands and Faroese waters.

- To establish population genetics for all species that are commercially exploited or are of ecological interest.
- To establish an information databank for all Faroese species, combined with a citizen science registration database, making it possible for the public to participate in acquiring and maintaining the overview of Faroese species in both terrestrial and marine areas.

We expect that it will take many years, maybe decades, before having high-quality genome assemblies from all species, despite the expected technological advances and the consorted accumulation of relevant genomes and data from other countries. We are aware that other projects, like the Earth BioGenome Project, may have more optimistic views on how fast such an aim will be achieved, but a large upscaling of capacities is needed [14, 22]. The urgency of protection and maintaining the biodiversity and ensuring sustainability in the harvesting of nature, requires that it is worked on all three aims in parallel.

In the long-term process, there are many other direct and indirect aims, some of which we may not yet be aware of, some that are general, and others that are associated with a particular species. In particular, we would like to point out the close link to biomonitoring using metabarcoding (see section *Biodiversity and conservation*), as the product from this project will help closing the lacks and gaps in reference sequence databases due to absence of species or genes, or intraspecies variability in marker genes.

Biodiversity and conservation

Of course, partly as a consequence of the CBD, each nation has an added moral responsibility for diversity existing only (or mainly) within their national borders and maritime economical zone. Although there are few known endemic species in the Faroe Islands, it has its share of bird diversity with the world's largest colony of European storm petrel (*Hydrobates pelagicus*), one of the few last remains of (claimed) wild type rock pigeon (*Columba livia*), and recognized subspecies of several other birds (European starling, *Sturnus vulgaris faroensis*; Eurasian wren, *Troglodytes troglodytes borealis*; common eider, *Somateria mollissima faeroeensis*; etc.) (see [23] for more information). However, we will in this paper not focus on this particular part of biodiversity.

The Red List status is often an important part in decisions for "what to do" and "how to do" in conservation and protection of species. The assessment of the Red List status is based on population trends and some other parameters [24], some of which are not always easy to assess for different reasons. One could imagine the inclusion of genetic diversity status into this assessment, both as an independent parameter and as an indirect parameter for assessing effective population size [25, 26]. It is well known that low effective population size increases the rate of homozygosity. Runs of homozygosity have been used for estimating historical bottlenecks for certain species [27-29] as they are recognizable long after a potential expansion of the population following a bottleneck. It might be possible to take similar models into use for practical conservation and protection purposes, like the national and regional Red Lists. However, there is – perhaps surprisingly - no direct correlation of the Red List status and runs of homozygosity for a limited set of mammals [29] (please note that there is somewhat conflicting evidence regarding the correlation of the Red List status and runs (or degree) of homozygosity or loss of heterozygosity; see refs. in [29, 30]). As hinted at [29], there could be several explanations, like (i) the populations have not reached sufficiently low level to erode genetic variation in the individuals, or (ii) when the decline is rapid (as it is in many cases) and without any particular genetic selection pressure, the relative degree of heterozygosity is maintained for quite a while, and runs of homozygosity only become evidently apparent after some generations at low population size. Next generation sequencing and in particular third generation sequencing have shown that structural genetic variants are more common than previously thought. In some cases, structural variants are probably decisive for ecological adaptation and migration [31, 32] (see also section Sustainability and commercial exploitation), and in other cases they influence morphotypes and behaviour. In the Palearctic wader, ruff (*Philomachus pugnax*), an inverted chromosomal region controls three male phenotypes affecting behaviour, body size and plumage colour [33, 34], although not creating a reproductive barrier. The redpoll finch complex is presently regarded as three species (hoary redpoll, Acanthis hornemanni; common redpoll, Acanthis flammea; lesser redpoll, Acanthis cabaret), but they have considerable overlap in geographical distribution and may hybridise to some degree. Again, these three redpoll phenotypes are controlled by a large inversion [35]. In principle, a recent inversion does not necessarily change the frequency and identity of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are located in the inverted area, unless the genes and other genetic elements in the inverted area are under some kind of selection pressure. And certainly, the most

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

comprehensive way to detect new or previously unknown SNPs and structural variants is by genome sequencing. Even so, short-read sequencing, a powerful approach to detect both known and previously unknown SNPs, may have problems in detecting the inversion itself, especially when low-coverage sequencing is used. Long-read sequencing, like nanopore (Oxford Nanopore Technologies) or in particular Single Molecule Real Time (SMRT; PacBio) sequencing are presently the ultimate tools for this purpose. Additionally, SMRT sequencing is central in the ERGA approach to achieve high-quality genome assemblies. Thus, both for population genetics and for basic biological research in all kinds of species, it is a great advantage to establish a high-quality species-specific reference genome, and from this develop genomic tools in investigating a particular species and its subpopulations. Since the development of large-scale and sensitive DNA sequencing technologies, the use of environmental DNA (eDNA) and metabarcoding have gained popularity for different purposes, including the assessment of biodiversity [36-41], estimates of spatial distribution [42, 43], invasive species detection [44, 45], and predator-prey interactions [41]. These methods are likely to be valuable tools in future assessments of biodiversity trends and changes in relation to anthropogenic pressures. In the Faroe Islands, eDNA programs for monitoring of marine biodiversity have been ongoing since 2018 onwards. These approaches have already increased the number of species registered in the Faroese marine environment (Salter et al., submitted). However, these methods rely on the exactness and completeness of the relevant genetic databases, but also taxonomic expertise for correct registration of species. We know that the databases are far from complete, although there has been great effort in different barcoding projects, like the Barcode of Life [46] and Bioscan Europe [47]. Thus, assembling high-quality genomes and eDNA metabarcoding are complementary methods, and in particular, the genome sequencing of more species will improve the outcomes of eDNA and metabarcoding approaches. Another factor that may influence both the completeness and the exactness of the databases are cryptic species, i.e., that two or more distinct species are classified as a single species due to their morphological similarities [48]. Cryptic species are found within all organismal groups [49], and is a different concept than subspecies, where morphological criteria can distinguish between (usually geographic) subpopulations. Still, both concepts can lead to the definition of new species. It was only a few years ago that a well-known animal like the giraffe was divided into four species [50], and approximately every year subspecies of birds

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

are split out as unique species, or the other way around. Genome sequencing is probably the most definitive way to sort out cryptic species (or if a subspecies should be split out as a distinct species), although there is no specific limit of genetic differences that defines the transition from one species to another. In any case, having high quality genome assemblies available from as many species as possible will improve the genetic databases and their practical use for many purposes, including the ability to describe new species whether based on previously known subspecies or cryptic species.

Sustainability and commercial exploitation

The sustainability of harvesting (presently) abundant species is often not thought of as a part of a conservation process or mechanism. We here briefly remind about the extinction of the once abundant passenger pigeon (Ectopistes migratorius) [51] and the collapses in the stocks of Northwest Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua) [52] and Northeast Atlantic herring (Clupea harengus) [53] indicating that such considerations should be taken. The Faroe Islands is a maritime nation, where fisheries are of crucial importance. Thus, UN SDG 14 Life Below Water ("Conserve and sustainably use the oceans, seas and marine resources for sustainable development") is particularly relevant. Fishing is considered the main threat to the Faroese marine ecosystem [54]. In the Faroe Islands, and elsewhere, certain fish species are commercially harvested despite that the knowledge of their biology is limited. This is perhaps most evident for species where industrial fisheries have developed during the last few decades. History has repeatedly shown that it is possible to overexploit fish stocks, resulting in a collapse from which it may take decades to recover [55]. It has been estimated that one third of fish stocks are presently overfished [56]. Also for commercially exploited species it is an advantage - and need - of maintaining subpopulations and genetic diversity in a changing world. Genome sequencing is a crucial tool to achieve the conclusive assessment of subpopulations and population structure.

For some fish species, it has been known for a long time that the population consists of several stocks, *i.e.*, subpopulations that breed independently. *E.g.*, Atlantic herring consists of stocks that spawn in different areas of the North Sea and the North Atlantic, and with some stocks spawning in the spring and other in the autumn. Still, herring gather in large schools migrating across the Northeast Atlantic, and the different stocks often mix in such schools. It is important to estimate the fraction of each stock in catches from such mixed schools to avoid overexploitation of certain stocks. Traditionally, the assessment of stock mixing in

catches has been based on phenotypic properties (morphology, otoliths), although genetic tools have entered some fisheries. Phenotypic analysis is time-consuming and not necessarily exact. Based on recent and better genome assemblies [57, 58], it has been possible to refine genetic markers in the herring genome, improving the potential in distinguishing between different stocks of herring in the Northeast Atlantic [59], which are exposed to one of the world's largest fisheries. Many of the genetic markers are positioned in an area of herring chromosome 12 that is associated with ecological adaptation [32, 59], and which in some stocks contains an inverted part of the chromosome [32]. This type of inversion is often called a "supergene", and contains a set of tightly linked genes giving rise to a certain and stable phenotype.

Similarly, Atlantic cod are divided into numerous stocks, some of which are migratory and other are stationary, and with limited gene flow between these stocks, despite some of them spawning in the same area and season. This is (at least partly) associated with certain inverted supergenes [31, 60, 61]. Faroese waters have two distinct populations of cod, one at the Faroe Plateau and one at the Faroe Bank. The latter is fast-growing, large-sized fish [62], and locally known for its superior quality. We are confident that the Faroe Bank phenotype is strongly associated with certain, as yet unknown, genetic properties. By being able to genetically separate Faroe Bank cod from other local cod stocks we would get a valuable tool in the search for the feeding grounds of the young Faroe Bank cod (age 0.5 to 3 years), which are not known today, although it is presumed they are local on the Faroe Bank [63]. Additionally, identifying the genetic properties associated with rapid growth and high quality may help in the efforts to make farmed cod a commercial reality.

The greater silver smelt (*Argentina silus*) is a relatively new, but, as yet, limited target for commercial fisheries, with an average annual catch of around 50 000 tonnes in the Northeast Atlantic, much of this in Faroese waters [62, 64]. It is commonly found at depths of 150-1400 m and it is long-lived and slow-growing [65]. Species with these characteristics are vulnerable to overexploitation, because the longer the time to reach maturity, the longer it takes to increase the population after a potential collapse. The stock structure is unknown [ref. 64 with stock annex]. The International Council for the Exploration of the Sea (better known by its abbreviation, ICES) has divided the greater silver smelt into four assessment units, despite the lacking knowledge on separate biological populations [64]. Spawning may occur in several seasons or in prolonged periods of the year, and spread around in vast areas

[65]. These were the major reasons why the greater silver smelt was suggested as a Faroese ERGA pilot species. Through the ERGA efforts, its genome assembly became available in the spring 2023 (GenBank GCA_951799395). This genome assembly is the first available genome from the order Argentiniformes. The genome will give us insight into the biology of the species, and help to develop population genetic markers (which we presently are doing), making it possible to assess the population substructure in the North Atlantic, and thereby improve the management of this species. Additionally, we are also working on the genome assembly of a sister species, the lesser silver smelt (a.k.a. lesser argentine; *Argentina sphyraena*). The two species are morphologically rather similar (Fig. 1) and have overlapping geographical distributions, and there is a risk of mixed catches. With their genomes available, genetic tools can be developed to easily assess the presence of one or the other or both species even in industrial fish products in the supermarket (e.g., [66]).

The lesser sandeel (Ammodytes marinus) is another Faroese ERGA pilot species. The ERGA efforts made its genome assembly available in the spring 2023 (GenBank GCA_949987685). The lesser sandeel is one of several species collectively known as sandeels or sand lances. These species are important prey for birds, larger fishes and marine mammals, and they are an important link between the primary production and higher trophic levels [67-69]. The sandeels have typical seasonal behaviours, and burrow into sandy sea bottom during much of the winter. They are little used for human food, but are industrially fished, especially by countries around the North Sea. The total annual catches have varied between 100 000 and 1 million tonnes [70]. The intense fishery may influence seabirds at different stages of life [71-73], and thereby contribute to the observed decreases in seabird populations [74, 75]. It is poorly understood whether the sandeel populations in the different regions of the North Sea and the Northeast Atlantic are genetically distinct populations and to which degree there is gene-flow from one region to another [76]. Knowing the genome sequence of the lesser sandeel (and for the related species) would be highly valuable for developing genetic panels for such investigations, and we are presently working to establish its population genetics in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. Better knowledge on sandeel subpopulations and their genetic interconnections would improve the basis for quota determination in different management areas. At the time the ERGA pilot project was initiated, there were no publicly available genome assemblies from the taxonomic order Uranoscopiformes, to which the sandeels belong. During 2022 and 2023, genome assemblies from four species in this order became available, including the mentioned genome assembly from *Ammodytes marinus*. These

genomes will make it easier to assess whether these species, which are morphologically rather similar and have overlapping geographical distributions, are prone to mixed catches. Even more importantly, the genome assemblies could be a tool in ecological studies, both to improve the knowledge of the sandeel biology in general, and for investigations of species interactions.

An important aspect in sustainable exploitation of wild species, is to ensure that the population and the potential subpopulations are large enough to endure the harvesting pressure - in essence, that the species is maintained at a sufficiently sized population, within its natural fluctuations. Moreover, there are a number of species where commercial interests have more or less concrete wishes for developing new fisheries, or are in the early phases of exploitation. The targeted species may range from deep-water fish to zooplankton (like krill or *Calanus* spp.), and we know little about how this will affect the both the species itself and interacting species. Acquiring adequate knowledge and overview of species that are commercially exploited or suggested for commercial exploitation, should be common sense. High-quality genome assemblies are likely *the* best basis to acquire such knowledge, as it can later be diversified into separate and specialised sub-tools for specific questions and investigations. One such question is how intensive fisheries are influencing the genetic future of the species. Intensive fisheries may give selection pressures influencing traits such as size-at-age and age-at-maturation [77, 78], but we know less if, and how, this influences the ecosystem in small [79] or large scale, or the long-term trajectories of genetic diversity.

Interaction between species

Species interact in all kinds of ways: in food webs being prey and predator, by symbiosis and parasitism, by living permanently or temporarily in the mixed groups, by competing or collaborating, etc. DNA investigations may reveal much about such species interactions and ecosystem services.

The public attention is much directed towards "visible" species, but for many purposes, "invisible" species may sometimes have large consequences, whether they have a lifestyle that hide them from the human eye (night activity, under water, in soil), or they in fact are so small that they really are invisible to the naked human eye. We will mention a few more or less local examples, two of which concern "invisible" species, where genomic knowledge could be translated into practical tools or managemental choices and decisions.

Planktonic algae (together with bacteria and viruses) form the bio-basis of the entire marine ecosystem on which the Faroese economy relies. Many algae are difficult to distinguish morphologically, and DNA has become an important tool for routine algal biodiversity monitoring. However, there are taxonomic uncertainties, and probably many cryptic species and much unknown intraspecies genetic variations among algae [80]. Thus, there are still many gaps for algae in the sequence databases. This also includes toxin-producing algae [81-83], which are of interest for people collecting mussels, the shellfish industry and fish aquaculture. Furthermore, the combined influence of climate change and the unintended transport and release of algae and other marine species, especially by ballast water or by attaching to the hull of ships, is likely to be an increasing problem in northern regions [e.g., ref. 84]. The ability to detect invasive species, including unexpected invasive species, will increase as the genomic databases become more complete.

Invasive species are generally unwanted because they may affect the local native species and the ecosystem in adverse ways. Island biodiversity is particularly vulnerable to the impact from invasive alien species as is recognised in Kunming-Montreal target 6 for stemming biodiversity loss. As elsewhere in the world, rats [85] and mice [86, 87] are invasive species also in the Faroe Islands. There is a particular worry that rats will spread to the few rat-free islands, especially as the rat-free Sandoy was connected to the rat-infected Streymoy by an undersea tunnel in December 2023. However, there are also more subtle invasive species in the Faroes. The New Zealand flatworm (Arthurdendyus triangulatus) was first reported in the Faroe Islands in 1982, possibly introduced from Scotland or New Zealand by soil following imported plants or trees [88]. The New Zealand flatworm preys on local earthworms, thereby over time possibly degrading the quality and the properties of the soil. Although there is some knowledge about genetic variations in the flatworm [89], a recent evaluation concluded that there are large gaps in the sequence data from this and related species, making it impossible to assess the reliability of the DNA markers [90]. Thus, having a genome assembly would be the basis for much better tools to follow the routes of spreading (for example, by eDNA), and possibly also to find potential targets for countermeasures.

There are no native terrestrial mammals in the Faroe Islands. Among typical free-roaming herbivores, only mountain hare (*Lepus timidus*) and domestic sheep have been introduced, the former with four animals (from coastal Norway) in 1855, and the latter probably with the first

settlers well before year 1000 (and with many subsequent import events). Hunting of hare is a popular tradition, and the registered yield is between 3000 and 9000 hares/year (Eyðfinn Magnussen, pers. comm.), which is extremely high considering an area of 1400 km². One may imagine that hare and sheep could compete for food resources, given the high density of both species. This could be possible to investigate using different genetic tools, provided that the necessary genetic data are available for the local plants. Another interesting question is microevolution in hare, as all the local populations are founded from the first few animals introduced nearly 170 years ago. This includes the genetics behind the grey winter fur of Faroese hare. Grey winter fur is also known from parts of coastal southern Norway, and we would suppose that the grey winter furs of Faroese and local Norwegian hares have the same genetic background. Hypothetically, the grey winter fur could be caused a recessive allele in the introduced animals, and it probably became fixed in the population as the white hares were more easily shot during the late fall hunting in (usually) snow-less conditions (hunting of hares started only a few years after introduction, and the first legislation on hare-hunting is from 1881).

Interactions with and dissemination to the society

The third main aim of Gen@FarE is to establish an information databank in Faroese, covering all Faroese species and nature types. It is a scholarly obligation to inform the public in various ways, like educational and outreach programmes, museum exhibitions and events, popular science presentations, etc. Museums and public collections have a long tradition in natural history, and have been highly important in disseminating knowledge and information to the society, whether we consider school classes, single individuals or the authorities. At the same time, many are interested in different aspects of biodiversity, and this is reflected in citizen science projects like iNaturalist [91] and eBird [92]. More than 1.5 million observation lists (usually with several species and many individuals of each species in each list) were submitted to eBird during February 2023, and more than 1.3 million single observations were added to iNaturalist in the same period. When the scale of the collected data is big enough, the geographical and seasonal distribution and abundance of species become apparent, and over time disclose population trends, as noticeably illustrated by eBird [93-95]. Additionally, and possibly undervalued, highly skilled non-professionals and laypersons contribute considerably to the identification and description of new species [5], and even more so for geographical distribution of species [96]. Of course, citizen science data may not rise to the same standards as professionally collected data [97], but the shortcomings

can be more or less counteracted by diverse measures [98-101], and time and again, citizen science data have shown their value as indicated by the references above [5, 93-96].

Our Nordic neighbours have organised national searchable public biological information banks interlinked with the possibility of registration of citizen science observations (Sweden with Artdatabanken and Artportalen [102, 103]; Norway with Artsdatabanken and Artsobservasjoner [104, 105]); and Denmark with Arter.dk [106]). Both the national and international citizen science initiatives mentioned above have identification tools, either integrated into the website or as free-standing mobile telephone apps [107-110], which significantly lowers the threshold for contributing to citizen science.

Consistent with article 13a Public Education and Awareness in CBD ("The Contracting Parties shall promote and encourage understanding of the importance of, and the measures required for, the conservation of biological diversity, as well as its propagation through media, and the inclusion of these topics in educational programmes") and target 21 in the Kunming-Montreal agreement (see *Background* section), we believe that the ability to easily access the established knowledge on species and the possibility of the public in contributing to the knowledge building, will increase the interest in the species and in nature values in general. The combined data from organised research and citizen science will over time indicate abundance and trends, and point out geographical areas with particular values of nature (e.g., rare type of biological or geological landscape at national or international level; high biodiversity; habitat of rare or threatened species, etc.). This information will help in management decisions of various kinds, like protection of species, development of area plans, conservation of smaller or larger areas, etc. It will increase the transparency and the interactions between the scientists, the authorities, the politicians, and the public for many aspects of preservation of species, management and conservation of areas, and management and sustainable exploitation of species.

Incidental insights

As genomes from more and more species are sequenced, it is evident that we will learn much about each single species. However, a single species does not exist without being connected to other species, not only in their habitats, in their ecosystems, and in their food webs, but they are also genetically connected to other species through evolution and the process of speciation. As more genome assemblies become available, we will undoubtedly understand

more about the genetic processes, physiological processes, the immune system, protection against pathogens, and lots of other areas that give us deeper insight into life and basic processes of life [14, 111], and some of which may find applications in the future for improving our food production, and give new medical treatments, new materials, more ecofriendly industrial processes, etc. We can safely assume that there will be a continued advancement in methods, instruments, and bioinformatics, which will give us new and efficient tools that also can be applied to various questions and purposes. In short, we will have more insight into being humans, our own biology and genetics, and similarly for our fellow beings, and understand more about taking care of nature and the Earth, which ultimately is to take care of ourselves. Acknowledgments The authors are grateful to ERGA for inspiration and support. We deeply acknowledge Professor Mark Blaxter and the Tree of Life project (Wellcome Sanger Institute, UK) for the willingness to carry the financial costs for sequencing and assembling the initial genomes from Faroese species. We gratefully acknowledge Mark Blaxter, Dorte Bekkevold (Technical University of Denmark, DTU Aqua, Denmark), Pamela Woods and Magnús Thorlacius (Marine and Freshwater Research Institute, Iceland), and Torild Johansen and Elvar Hallfredsson (Marine Research Institute, Norway) for being partners in our many applications to finance the initial phase of the Gen@FarE project. We are also very grateful to Professor Tom Gilbert (Yggdrasil sequencing core facility and Globe Institute, University of Copenhagen) for the sequencing and assembly of the genome from the lesser silver smelt. We are thankful to the partners and their institutions for carrying substantial in-kind contributions to the project. In addition to the mentioned authors, the present national participants in the Gen@FarE project are: Hans Blaasvær and Eyðfinn Magnussen (Faculty of Science and Technology, University of the Faroe Islands), Sjúrður Hammer and Lise H. Ofstad (Faroese Environment Agency), Petur Steingrund (Faroe Marine Research Institute), and Amanda Vang (Aquaculture Research Station of Faroe Islands). We thank Sjúrður Hammer, Lise H. Ofstad, Eyðfinn Magnussen for commenting on the manuscript.

Funding

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

The present activities of Gen@FarE are financially supported by the University of Faroe Islands, the Faroese Research Council (grant no. 0469), the Faroese Fishery Research Fund

551	(grant no. 22/22427), Nordic Arctic Co-operation Programme (NAPA; grant no. 23-11-0006)
552	and Føroyagrunnurin.
553	
554	Authors' contributions
555	SOM wrote the manuscript. SíK commented throughout the writing process. JíH, IS, and AD
556	commented on the manuscript. All authors read and accepted the final version of the
557	manuscript.
558	
559	Conflict of interest disclosure
560	The authors declare that they comply with the PCI rule of having no financial conflict of
561	interest in relation to the content of the article.

562 Figures

Snout shape

Argentina silus

Distance snout -> eye < eye diameter

Argentina sphyraena

More evident stripes with more spacing

with more spacing

Fig. 1. Greater silver smelt (*Argentina silus*) and lesser silver smelt (*Argentina sphyraena*) have quite similar appearances, and have overlapping geographical distributions. The shown individuals are (lower) adult lesser silver smelt (max. length 35 cm) and (upper) subadult greater silver smelt (max. length 70 cm). The two individuals were caught in the same 1 h trawl haul (survey cruise with RV Jákup Sverri) at 200-220 m depth (decimal position 61.60 N, 7.45 W) on the 9th of August 2023. Greater silver smelt is also known as greater argentine, Atlantic argentine or herring smelt. Lesser silver smelt is also known as lesser argentine. Photo and labelling by SOM.

573 References

- Laurance WF (2019) The Anthropocene. Curr Biol. 29: R953-R954. DOI:
- 575 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2019.07.055.
- 576 2. Stange M, Barrett RDH, and Hendry AP (2021) The importance of genomic variation
- for biodiversity, ecosystems and people. Nat Rev Genet. **22**: 89-105. DOI:
- 578 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-020-00288-7.</u>
- 579 3. Antonelli A (2022) The hidden universe. Adventures in biodiversity. London.
- Penguin Random House. 276 pp. ISBN: 978-1-52910-916-0.
- 581 4. IPBES (2019) Global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the
- Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services,
- E.S. Brondízio, Settele, J. Díaz, S., Ngo, H.T, Editor. IPBES Secretariate: Bonn,
- Germany. 1144 pp. Available from: https://www.ipbes.net/global-assessment. DOI:
- 585 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6417333.
- 586 5. Fontaine B, et al. (2012) New species in the Old World: Europe as a frontier in
- biodiversity exploration, a test bed for 21st century taxonomy. PLoS One. 7: e36881.
- DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0036881.
- Rabone M, et al. (2023) How many metazoans species live in the world's largest
- mineral exploration region? Curr Biol. **33**: 1-14. DOI:
- 591 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2023.04.052.
- 592 7. Brundtland GH (1987) Report of the World Commission on Environment and
- Development: Our Common Future. 300 pp. Available from:
- 594 <u>https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/5987our-common-</u>
- 595 <u>future.pdf</u>.
- 596 8. Convention on Biological Diversity. Text of the Convention. Available from:
- 597 https://www.cbd.int/convention/text/. Last accessed: May 22, 2023.
- 598 9. Nordregio. The Nordic Region and the 2030 Agenda Faroe Islands. Available from:
- 599 https://pub.nordregio.org/r-2023-4-the-nordic-region-and-the-2030-agenda/faroe-
- islands.html. Last accessed: 1. December, 2023.
- 601 10. Hagstova Føroya. New Global Goals website. Available from:
- https://hagstova.fo/en/news/new-global-goals-website. Last accessed: 1. December,
- 603 2023.
- 604 11. Convention on Biological Diversity. Kunming-Montreal Agreement. Available from:
- 605 https://www.cbd.int/article/cop15-final-text-kunming-montreal-gbf-221222. Last
- accessed: 22. Feb., 2023.

- Theissinger K, et al. (2023) How genomics can help biodiversity conservation. Trends
- Genet. **39**: 8621. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2023.01.005.
- Formenti G, et al. (2022) The era of reference genomes in conservation genomics.
- Trends Ecol Evol. **37**: 197-202. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008.
- 611 14. Lewin HA, et al. (2018) Earth BioGenome Project: Sequencing life for the future of
- life. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **115**: 4325-4333. DOI:
- 613 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1720115115.</u>
- 15. The Darwin Tree of Life Project Consortium (2022) Sequence locally, think globally:
- The Darwin Tree of Life Project. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. **119**: e2115642118. DOI:
- 616 <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115642118</u>.
- 617 16. ATLASea (2023). Available from: https://www.gouvernement.fr/france-2030-atlasea-
- un-programme-de-recherche-ambitieux-pour-plonger-au-coeur-du-genome-marin.
- Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 620 17. EBP-Nor. Available from: https://www.ebpnor.org/. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 621 18. ERGA Council (2023). European Reference Genome Atlas Community Phase 1
- Members 2020-2023. Available from: https://zenodo.org/record/8083652. Last
- 623 accessed: 27. July, 2023.
- 624 19. ERGA. European Reference Genome Atlas. Available from: https://www.erga-
- biodiversity.eu/. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 626 20. Mazzoni CJ, Ciofi C, and Waterhouse RM (2023) Biodiversity: an atlas of European
- reference genomes. Nature. **619**: 252. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/d41586-023-
- 628 02229-w.
- 629 21. Mc Cartney AM, et al. (2023) The European Reference Genome Atlas: piloting a
- decentralized approach to equitable biodiversity genomics. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.09.25.559365.
- Lewin HA, et al. (2022) The Earth BioGenome Project 2020: Starting the clock. Proc
- Natl Acad Sci U S A. **119**: e2115635118. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115635118.
- 635 23. Birds of the World. Available from: https://birdsoftheworld.org. Last accessed: 16.
- 636 June 2023.
- 637 24. IUCN (2012) IUCN Red List categories and criteria. Version 3.1 Second edition.
- International Union for Conservation of Nature: Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge,
- 639 UK. Available from: https://portals.iucn.org/library/sites/library/files/documents/RL-
- 640 2001-001-2nd.pdf.

- Tajima F (1989) The effect of change in population size on DNA polymorphism.
- Genetics. **123**: 597-601. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/genetics/123.3.597.
- 643 26. Luikart G, et al. (2010) Estimation and effective population sizees: the increasing
- usefulness of DNA-based approaches. Conserv Genetics. 11: 355-373. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-010-0050-7.
- Hoelzel AR, et al. (2002) Low worldwide genetic diversity in the killer whale
- 647 (*Orcinus orca*): implications for demographic history. Proc Biol Sci. **269**: 1467-73.
- DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2002.2033.
- 649 28. Foote AD, et al. (2021) Runs of homozygosity in killer whale genomes provide a
- global record of demographic histories. Mol Ecol. **30**: 6162-6177. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16137.
- Brüniche-Olsen A, et al. (2018) Runs of homozygosity have utility in mammalian
- conservation and evolutionary studies. Conserv Genetics. **19**: 1295-1307. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-018-1099-y.
- 655 30. Garner BA, Hoban S, and Luikart G (2020) IUCN Red List and the value of
- integrating genetics. Conserv Genetics. **21**: 795-801. DOI:
- 657 <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10592-020-01301-6</u>.
- Berg PR, et al. (2016) Three chromosomal rearrangements promote genomic
- divergence between migratory and stationary ecotypes of Atlantic cod. Sci Rep. 6:
- 23246. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep23246.
- 661 32. Pettersson ME, et al. (2019) A chromosome-level assembly of the Atlantic herring -
- detection of a supergene and other signals of selection. Genome Res. **29**: 1919-1928.
- DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.253435.119
- Kupper C, et al. (2016) A supergene determines highly divergent male reproductive
- morphs in the ruff. Nat Genet. **48**: 79-83. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3443.
- Lamichhaney S, et al. (2016) Structural genomic changes underlie alternative
- reproductive strategies in the ruff (*Philomachus pugnax*). Nat Genet. **48**: 84-88. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3430.
- 669 35. Funk ER, et al. (2021) A supergene underlies linked variation in color and
- morphology in a Holarctic songbird. Nat Commun. **12**: 6833. DOI:
- 671 http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-27173-z.
- 672 36. Kelly RP, et al. (2014) Using environmental DNA to census marine fishes in a large
- 673 mesocosm. PLoS One. 9: e86175. DOI: http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0086175.

- 674 37. Epp LS, et al. (2012) New environmental metabarcodes for analysing soil DNA:
- potential for studying past and present ecosystems. Mol Ecol. 21: 1821-33. DOI:
- 676 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05537.x.
- 677 38. Ficetola GF, et al. (2008) Species detection using environmental DNA from water
- samples. Biol Lett. **4**: 423-5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2008.0118.
- Thomsen PF, et al. (2012) Detection of a diverse marine fish fauna using
- environmental DNA from seawater samples. PLoS One. 7: e41732. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0041732.
- 682 40. Bessey C, et al. (2021) Passive eDNA collection enhances aquatic biodiversity
- analysis. Commun Biol. 4: 236. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01760-8.
- 684 41. Djurhuus A, et al. (2020) Environmental DNA reveals seasonal shifts and potential
- interactions in a marine community. Nat Commun. 11: 254. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-14105-1.
- 687 42. Salter I, et al. (2019) Environmental DNA concentrations are correlated with regional
- biomass of Atlantic cod in oceanic waters. Commun Biol. 2: 461. DOI:
- 689 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-019-0696-8</u>.
- 690 43. Pampoulie C, Berg PR, and Jentoft S (2023) Hidden but revealed: After years of
- genetic studies behavioural monitoring combined with genomics uncover new insight
- into the population dynamics of Atlantic cod in Icelandic waters. Evol Appl. 16: 223-
- 693 233. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.13471.
- 694 44. Piaggio AJ, et al. (2014) Detecting an elusive invasive species: a diagnostic PCR to
- detect Burmese python in Florida waters and an assessment of persistence of
- environmental DNA. Mol Ecol Resour. **14**: 374-80. DOI:
- 697 https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12180.
- 698 45. Mahon AR, Grey EK, and Jerde CL (2023) Integrating invasive species risk
- assessment into environmental DNA metabarcoding reference libraries. Ecol Appl.
- 700 **33**: e2730. DOI: https://doi.rg/10.1002/eap.2730.
- 701 46. Boldsystems. Barcode of Life. Available from: https://www.boldsystems.org/. Last
- 702 accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 703 47. Bioscan_Europe. Available from: https://www.bioscaneurope.org/. Last accessed: 27.
- 704 Feb. 2023.
- 705 48. Fiser C, Robinson CT, and Malard F (2018) Cryptic species as a window into the
- paradigm shift of the species concept. Mol Ecol. **27**: 613-635. DOI:
- 707 https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.14486.

- 708 49. Pfenninger M and Schwenk K (2007) Cryptic animal species are homogeneously
- distributed among taxa and biogeographical regions. BMC Evol Biol. 7: 121. DOI:
- 710 https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2148-7-121.
- 711 50. Fennessy J, et al. (2016) Multi-locus analyses reveal four giraffe species instead of
- one. Curr Biol. **26**: 2543-2549. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2016.07.036.
- 713 51. Bucher EH (1992) The causes of extinction of the passenger pigeon. In: D.M. Power,
- 714 (ed.). Current Ornithology. 9, Plenum Press: New York. 1-36.
- 52. Schijns R, et al. (2021) Five centuries of cod catches in Eastern Canada. ICES J Mar
- 716 Sci. **78**: 2675-2683. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsab153.
- 717 53. Dickey-Collas M, et al. (2010) Lessons learned from stock collapse and recovery of
- North Sea herring: a review. ICES J Mar Sci. 67: 1875-1886. DOI:
- 719 <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsq033.</u>
- 720 54. ICES (2023) Faroese ecoregion Ecosystem overview. In Report of the ICES
- Advisory Committee, 2033, Section 7.1. DOI:
- 722 https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.advice.24711000.
- 723 55. Hutchings JA (2000) Collapse and recovery of marine fishes. Nature. **406**: 882-5.
- 724 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/35022565.
- 725 56. FAO (2020) The state of the world fisheries and aquaculture 2020. Sustainability in
- Action. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations: Rome. pp. 206.
- 727 DOI: https://doi.org/10.4060/ca9229en.
- 728 57. í Kongsstovu S, et al. (2019) Using long and linked reads to improve an Atlantic
- herring (*Clupea harengus*) genome assembly. Sci Rep. **9**: 17716. DOI:
- 730 <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-54151-9.</u>
- 731 58. Martinez Barrio A, et al. (2016) The genetic basis for ecological adaptation of the
- Atlantic herring revealed by genome sequencing. eLife. **5**: e12081. DOI:
- 733 https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.12081.
- 734 59. í Kongsstovu S, et al. (2022) Atlantic herring (*Clupea harengus*) population structure
- in the Northeast Atlantic Ocean. Fisheries Res. **249**: 106231. DOI:
- 736 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fishres.2022.106231.
- 737 60. Berg PR, et al. (2017) Trans-oceanic genomic divergence of Atlantic cod ecotypes is
- associated with large inversions. Heredity. **119**: 418-428. DOI:
- 739 https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2017.54.
- 740 61. Matschiner M, et al. (2022) Supergene origin and maintenance in Atlantic cod. Nat
- 741 Ecol Evol. **6**: 469-481. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-022-01661-x.

- 742 62. Magnussen E (2007) Interpopulation comparison of growth patterns of 14 fish species
- on Faroe Bank: are all fishes on the bank fast-growing? J Fish Biol. **71**: 453-475.
- 744 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.2007.01502.x.
- 745 63. Havstovan (2023). The cod on the Faroe Bank where has it come from? (In Faroese:
- Toskur á Føroybanka hvar er hann komin frá?). Available from:
- 747 https://www.hav.fo/index.php?option=com_content&view=article&id=1088:toskur-a-
- 748 foroyabanka-hvar-er-hann-komin-fra&catid=7:tidhindi&Itemid=180. Last accessed:
- 749 15. November, 2023.
- 750 64. ICES (2021) Working Group on the Biology and Assessment of Deep-sea Fisheries
- Resources (WGDEEP). ICES Sci Reports 3:47. 944 pp. DOI:
- 752 https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.8108.
- 753 65. Magnússon JV (1996) Greater silver smelt, Argetina silus in Icelandic waters. J Fish
- 754 Biol. **49**: 259-275. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8649.1996.tb06080.x.
- 755 66. Helyar SJ, et al. (2014) Fish product mislabelling: failings of traceability in the
- production chain and implications for illegal, unreported and unregulated (IUU)
- 757 fishing. PLoS One. **9**: e98691. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0098691.
- 758 67. Eliasen K, et al. (2011) Sandeel as a link between primary production and higher
- trophic levels on the Faroe shelf. Mar Ecol Progr Ser. **438**: 185-194. DOI:
- 760 <u>https://doi.org/10.3354/meps09301.</u>
- 761 68. Embling CB, et al. (2012) Investigating fine-scale spatio-temporal predator-prey
- patterns in dynamic marine ecosystems: a functional data analysis approach. J. Appl.
- 763 Ecol. **49**: 481-492. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02114.x.
- 764 69. Frederiksen M, Furness RW, and Wanless S (2007) Regional variation in the role of
- bottum-up and top-down processes in controlling sandeel abundance in the North Sea.
- 766 Mar Ecol Prog Ser. **337**: 279-286. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3354/meps337279.
- 767 70. ICES (2017) Report of the Benchmark on Sandeel (WKSand 2016). ICES CM
- 768 2016/ACOM:33. 319 pp. DOI: https://doi.org/10.17895/ices.pub.7718.
- 769 71. Furness RW and Tasker ML (2000) Seabird-fishery interactions: quantifying the
- sensitivity of seabirds to reductions in sandeel abundance, and identification of key
- areas for sensitive seabirds in the North Sea. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. **202**: 253-264.
- 772 72. Rindorf A, Wanless S, and Harris MP (2000) Effects of changes in sandeel
- availability on the reproductive output of seabirds. Mar Ecol Prog Ser. **202**: 241-252.

- 774 73. Carroll MJ, et al. (2017) Kittiwake breeding success in the southern North Sea
- correlates with prior sandeel fishing mortality. Aquatic Conserv: Mar Freshwat
- 776 Ecosyst. 27: 1164-1175. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/aqc.2780.
- 777 74. Fayet AL, et al. (2021) Local prey shortages drive foraging costs and breeding
- success in a declining seabird, the Atlantic puffin. J Anim Ecol. **90**: 1152-1164. DOI:
- 779 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13442</u>.
- 780 75. Gremillet D, et al. (2018) Persisting worldwide seabird-fishery competition despite
- seabird community decline. Curr Biol. **28**: 4009-4013 e2. DOI:
- 782 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.10.051.</u>
- 783 76. Jiménez-Mena B, et al. (2020) Weak genetic structure despite strong genomic signal
- in lesser sandeel in the North Sea. Evol Appl. 13: 376-387. DOI:
- 785 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12875.</u>
- 786 77. Law R (2000) Fishing, selection, and phenotypic evolution. ICES J Mar Sci. 57: 659-
- 787 668. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1006/jmsc.2000.0731.
- 788 78. Jørgensen C, Ernande B, and Fiksen Ø (2009) Size-selective fishing gear and life
- history evolution in Northeast Arctic cod. Evol Appl. 2: 356-370. DOI:
- 790 <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2009.00075.x.</u>
- 791 79. Evangelista C, et al. (2021) Ecological ramifications of adaptation to size-selective
- 792 mortality. R Soc Open Sci. 8: 210842. DOI: 10.1098/rsos.210842.
- 793 80. De Clerck O, et al. (2013) Algal taxonomy: a road to nowhere? J Phycol. 49: 215-25.
- 794 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jpy.12020.
- 795 81. Lin S, et al. (2020) An assessment on the intrapopulational and intraindividual genetic
- diversity in LSU rDNA in the harmful algal blooms-forming dinoflagellate
- 797 *Margalefidinium* (= Cochlodinium) fulvescens based on clonal cultures and bloom
- samples from Jiaozhou Bay, China. Harmful Algae. **96**: 101821. DOI:
- 799 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hal.2020.101821.</u>
- 800 82. Murray SA, et al. (2012) Genetic diversity, morphological uniformity and polyketide
- production in dinoflagellates (*Amphidinium*, Dinoflagellata). PLoS One. 7: e38253.
- BO2 DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038253.
- 803 83. Masseret E, et al. (2009) Unexpected genetic diversity among and within populations
- of the toxic dinoflagellate *Alexandrium catenella* as revealed by nuclear microsatellite
- markers. Appl Environ Microbiol. **75**: 2037-2045. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01686-08.

- 807 84. Fossøy F, et al. (2022) Mapping of the invasive species carpet sea squirt *Didemnium*
- 808 *vexillum* by eDNA. A rapid response investigation (in Norwegian). in *NINA Report*
- 2092: Trondheim. 24 pp. Available from: https://hdl.handle.net/11250/2838595.
- 810 85. Puckett EE, et al. (2020) Genomic analyses reveal three independent introductions of
- the invasive brown rat (Rattus norvegicus) to the Faroe Islands. Heredity (Edinb).
- 812 **124**: 15-27. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41437-019-0255-6.
- 813 86. Wilches R, et al. (2021) Independent evolution toward larger body size in the
- distinctive Faroe Island mice. G3 (Bethesda). 11: jkaa051. DOI:
- 815 https://doi.org/10.1093/g3journal/jkaa051.
- 816 87. Jones EP, et al. (2011) A molecular characterization of the charismatic Faroe house
- mouse. Biol. J. Linnean Soc. **102**: 471-482. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-
- 818 <u>8312.2010.01597.x.</u>
- 819 88. Bloch D (1993) Tann stóri flatmaðkurin er komin og verður verandi. Frøði. 1: 26-27.
- 820 89. Dynes C, Fleming CC, and Murchie AK (2001) Genetic variation in native and
- introduced populations of the "New Zealand flatworm", *Arthurdendyus triangulatus*.
- 822 Ann Appl Biol. **139**: 165-174. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-
- 823 7348.2001.tb00393.x.
- 824 90. BopCo (2020) Barcoding Facility for Organisms and Tissues of Policy Concern:
- Factsheet on *Arthurdendyus triangulatus*; March 2020. In: Identification of invasive
- flatworm species. BopCo, Belgium. Available from:
- https://bopco.myspecies.info/sites/bopco.myspecies.info/files/20201030%20-
- %20Arthurdendyus%20triangulatus Final.pdf.
- 91. iNaturalist. Available from: https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/123194266. Last
- accessed: 28. Feb. 2023.
- 831 92. eBird. Discover a new world of birding. Available from: https://ebird.org/home. Last
- 832 accessed: 17. June 2023.
- 833 93. Sullivan BL, et al. (2009) eBird: A citizen-based bird observation network in
- biological sciences. Biol Conserv. **142**: 2282-2292. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.05.006.
- Neate-Clegg MHC, et al. (2020) Monitoring the world's bird populations with
- community science data. Biol. Conserv. **248**: 108653. DOI:
- 838 <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108653</u>.
- 839 95. eBird. Bird status and trends. Available from: https://ebird.org/science/status-and-
- trends. Last accessed: 16. June 2023.

- 96. Jensen JK, Thorning-Lund F, and Hammer S (2023) Supplement to Faroe Islands
- botanical list with 64 species or subspecies including rare, new and potentially
- invasive species with comments. Nordic J Botany. e03586. DOI:
- https://doi.org/10.1111/njb.03586.
- 845 97. Eisen L and Eisen RJ (2021) Benefits and drawbacks of citizen science to
- complement craditional data gathering approaches for medically important hard ticks
- (Acari: Ixodidae) in the United States. J Med Entomol. **58**: 1-9. DOI:
- 848 https://doi.org/10.1093/jme/tjaa165.
- 849 98. Kelling S (2018) Improving data quality in eBird the expert reviewer network.
- Biodiv Inform Sci Stand. 2: e25394. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3897/biss.2.25394.
- 99. Johnston A, et al. (2021) Analytical guidelines to increase the value of community
- science data: An example using eBird data to estimate species distributions. Diversity
- 853 Disributions. 27: 1265-1277. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13271.
- Vohland E, et al. (2021) The Science of Citizen Science. Springer: Cham,
- Switzerland. pp. 529.
- 856 101. Primack RB, et al. (2023) Ten best practices for effective phenological research. Int J
- Biometeorol. **67**: 1509-1522. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00484-023-02502-7.
- 858 102. Artdatabanken. SLU Artdatabanken ett kunskapscentrum för arter och naturtyper.
- Available from: https://www.slu.se/artdatabanken. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 860 103. Artportalen. Available from: https://artportalen.se/. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 861 104. Artsobservasjoner. Rapportsystem for arter. Available from:
- https://www.artsobservasjoner.no/, Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 863 105. Artsdatabanken. Kunnskapsbank for naturmangfold. Available from:
- https://www.artsdatabanken.no/. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 865 106. Arter.dk. Viden om Danmarks natur. Available from: https://arter.dk/landing-page.
- 866 Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.
- 867 107. Artsoraklet. Available from: https://orakel.artsdatabanken.no/. Last accessed: 28. Feb.
- 868 2023.
- 869 108. Artfakta. Available from: https://artfakta.se/artbestamning. Last accessed: 27. Feb.
- 870 2023.
- 871 109. Arter.dk. Genkend art. Available from: https://om.arter.dk/videnbase/arters-feltapp-
- vejledninger/genkend-art/. Last accessed: 11. May 2023.
- 873 110. Merlin. Identify the birds you see or hear with Merlin Bird ID. Available from:
- https://merlin.allaboutbirds.org/. Last accessed: 27. Feb. 2023.

Blaxter M, et al. (2022) Why sequence all eukaryotes? Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.
119: e2115636118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115636118.