1 Prophage mediated control of higher order interactions - insights

2 from systems approaches

3

7

9

4 Carolin C. Wendling

5 ETH Zürich, Institute of Integrative Biology, Universitaetstrasse 16, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

6 <u>Caroli.wendling@env.ethz.ch</u>, phone: 0041766650764

8 Highlights

- Prophages can impact bacterial ecology and evolution in diverse ways
- Systems biology has advanced our understanding how the effects of prophages on bacteria extend
 to other species and ecosystems
- Prophages contribute through cascading effects to mutualistic interactions and increased disease
 severity to global biogeochemical processes
- Future research should aim for integrative approaches describing how the effects of prophages on
 bacteria are transmitted to other levels, especially in non-model systems and in the presence of
 microbial communities
- 17

18 Abstract

Prophages, latent viral elements residing in bacterial genomes impact bacterial 19 ecology and evolution in diverse ways. Do these prophage-mediated effects extend 20 beyond the prophage-bacterium relationship? Here, I summarize the latest advances 21 exploring how the impact of prophages are transmitted through multiple levels with 22 potential impacts on ecosystem stability and functioning. The diverse effects of prophages 23 on higher-order interactions are context-specific, ranging from contributions to global 24 biogeochemical processes and mutualistic interactions to increased disease severity with 25 26 negative impacts on ecosystem engineers and potential cascading effects for multiple species. While we have a solid understanding about the mechanisms by which prophages 27 modulate their bacterial host at the cellular and population level, future research should 28 29 take an integrative approach to quantify their effects in complex ecosystems.

30 31

32 Acknowledgements

I thank Mathilde Boumasmoud and Claudia Igler for valuable comments on an earlier version of themanuscript.

- 35
- 36 Declaration of interests: none
- 37

38 Funding

39 This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (grant number PZ00P3_179743).

40

41 Introduction

Prophages are latent viral elements residing in bacterial genomes. They represent
a specific form of a temperate phage, which upon entering a host cell can either lyse and
kill the bacterium or become a prophage. Due to their high prevalence and diversity,
prophages are inevitable parts of the microbial world, where they, like ruthless puppet
masters, shape bacterial ecology and evolution.

47 Bacteria themselves, fundamental components of all global ecosystems, partake in diverse ecological interactions, not only with phages and other bacteria, but also with 48 eukaryotic organisms. The pervasive presence of prophages and their strong impact on 49 50 bacteria raises the question to what extent these viruses influence higher order interactions 51 that go beyond the bacterium-prophage relationship? Moreover, will potential cascading 52 effects of these interactions through multiple levels of an ecosystem impact ecosystem 53 functioning? For example, prophages are important drivers of the viral shunt, a process in aquatic ecosystems where viral lysis of bacterial cells releases dissolved organic matter 54 back into the water column, making it available for other organisms [1, 2]. This phenomenon 55 significantly contributes to nutrient cycling and energy flow in aquatic ecosystems with 56 57 potential upstream effects through the food-web on higher organisms and the broader ecosystem. Quantifying the impact of prophages in such multi-level processes is thus 58 crucial for gaining a better understanding of ecosystem functioning and resilience. 59

However, understanding the ecological and evolutionary consequences of 60 prophages on higher order interactions and ecosystem functioning is a difficult task 61 because of the complex interplay between prophages, bacteria, bacterial hosts, and the 62 environment. Therefore, we need integrative approaches that incorporate different 63 64 interaction partners, integrate over different levels of organization, and generate a dynamic and evolutionary understanding by including time and space. Here, I summarized recent 65 advances of how the impacts of prophages on bacteria are transmitted and amplified in 66 higher-level biological interactions and highlight examples of how the cascading effects 67 68 affect entire ecosystems (Figure 1).



69

Figure 1 Four potential routes through which the impact of prophages on the fitness of their host bacterium extends beyond the prophage-bacterium relationship thereby affecting other organisms and potentially entire ecosystems. From left to right: **Double-Edged Swords**: Prophages can excise from the genome which kills the individual lysogen but released phage particles can kill surrounding phage-susceptible bacteria. Both affect the fitness of the lysogen and its surrounding bacteria and if the lysogen is a pathogen this could have far-reaching consequences for eukaryotes and, if these are key stone species, possibly for entire ecosystems. **Friends Bearing Gifts**: Prophages can encode a plethora of genes which can change the phenotype of the bacterial host and ultimately the relationship between the host bacterium and eukaryotes or in the case of certain auxiliary metabolic genes biogeochemical processes. **Construction Engineers**: Prophages play a pivotal role in biofilm formation, which in turn are important pathogenesis factors and components of mutualistic relationships between plants and bacteria. **Molecular Editors**: Prophages can influence bacterial adaptive evolution and gene expression via multiple direct and indirect ways, which can for instance in the case of pleiotropic changes influence interactions between bacteria and higher organisms.

70

71 Prophages – Double Edged Swords

72 Interactions among microbes are often competitive [3] and driven by similar growth 73 needs. Prophages can switch to the lytic cycle, replicate, and lyse their host to release free 74 phages, which can kill competing bacteria and thereby increase the fitness of the remaining lysogens, i.e., prophage carrying bacteria. Experimental [4-6] and modelling [7] 75 approaches have repeatedly shown that the presence of one or more prophages can turn 76 77 a competitive interaction into a predatory interaction characterized by phage killing. Such 78 a beneficial effect during microbial warfare can have cascading effects. Two independent 79 studies showed for instance that prophages, which killed surrounding phage-susceptible competitors, enhanced lysogen colonization success in a mammalian intestinal ecosystem 80 81 [8, 9].

82 Besides directly killing competing bacteria, prophages can also indirectly influence 83 a competitive interaction among bacteria. One example is the prophage-dependent release of bacteriocins, that can significantly enhance lysogen fitness by killing competitors
[10, 11]. A recent study revealed that temperate phages and prophages can harbour socalled biosynthetic gene clusters (BGCs) that often encode bacteriocins which can
enhance lysogen fitness [11].

Prophage induction is usually lethal for the lysogen. Some bacteria exploit this 88 89 "Achilles heel" of lysogens and actively induce their competitor's prophage(s) [12-14]. For 90 instance, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* can selectively trigger an *S. aureus* prophage through 91 the release of phenanzine pyocyanin [14]. In this case, the authors speculate that P. 92 aeruginosa, which is resistant to the released phage, will additionally benefit from these phages because they may kill additional phage-susceptible competitors. Similarly, during 93 94 invasion of corals, the pathogen V. corallilyticus induces the prophages of competing non-95 toxigenic Vibrio sp. and other coral symbionts by releasing H₂O₂. A genome-wide-96 association study, revealed that the LodAB operon, which mediates H₂O₂ release, is widely 97 distributed among bacteria and possibly constitutes an important feature facilitating 98 invasion of healthy microbiomes by pathogens [13]. This highlights that a prophage that negatively affects the fitness of an important ecosystem engineer such as corals, may have 99 far-reaching consequences across entire ecosystems with cascading effects on plants, 100 101 fishes, and invertebrates. However, more studies, that consider entire species networks are needed to quantify the overall effect of prophage induction and subsequent lysis on 102 ecosystem stability and resilience. 103

104

105 Prophages – Friends bearing gifts

106 During lysogeny, bacteria and prophage fitness is tightly intertwined, resembling a 107 mutualistic relationship. Prophages can increase lysogen fitness through lysogenic conversion, i.e., by carrying non-essential genes whose expression modifies the bacterial 108 109 phenotype [15]. The first described example of lysogenic conversion that turned a harmless bacterium into a deadly pathogen is the prophage born origin of the cholera 110 111 disease [16]. Since then, many other prophage-encoded virulence genes, which are often species-specific [17], but also non-virulence genes with selective benefits, have been 112 discovered. These include genes, that protect bacteria from superinfection by other 113 phages [18] and environmental stress [19], increase serum resistance [20] or enable the 114 host bacterium to access new metabolic resources [21-24]. Consequently, the expression 115 of these genes and the resulting phenotype changes can influence existing ecological 116 interactions, that involve the lysogen, in diverse ways. 117

One example are auxiliary metabolic genes (AMGs), which can alter the metabolism of their hosts and influence ecosystem biogeochemistry (see [25] for a review). While the rise of metagenomic and viromic data is revealing an ever-increasing number of putative phage-encoded AMGs, knowledge on their function often remains elusive, because we lack sufficient phage-host systems to confirm their predicted function [22]. Alternative integrative approaches to circumvent the lack of suitable systems include, amongst others, comparisons of prophage to host gene ratios which revealed the importance of prophages in sulphur and thiosulphate oxidation [23] or the use of heterologous expression systems which identified phage-encoded carbohydrate-active enzymes that play an important role in the break-down of complex carbon to CH_4 and CO_2 [24]. However, without culturable phage-host systems it remains challenging to estimate the real contribution of these AMGcarrying phages to global biogeochemical processes and their effects on ecosystems [22].

130 Bacteria that coexist with higher organisms are constantly exposed to their immune 131 system. Prophages have acquired multiple mechanisms to protect their host from this threat. This includes their ability to inhibit local inflammatory and immune reactions, in 132 particular phagocytosis (for a review see [26]). One of the most recently discovered 133 examples are ankyphages, that encode and express the immunomodulatory ankyrin 134 protein (ANKp). ANKp, which reduces phagocytosis rates, fosters the mutualistic 135 136 relationship between sponges and ANKp-lysogens, and possibly many other mutualistic host-microbe relationships [27]. However, in the case of pathogens, such prophage-137 encoded immune-evasion genes can increase disease severity with negative 138 consequences for the eukaryotic host. 139

Another hazard prophages can protect their host from, are superinfecting phages. 140 Indeed, many prophages carry superinfection exclusion (SIE) proteins that can for instance 141 modify cell surface structures preventing phage attachment. If accompanied by a loss of 142 function, such modifications can however, negatively impact lysogen fitness [28, 29]. Thus, 143 prophage-encoded SIE proteins could change the outcome of a competitive interaction 144 among bacteria or the colonization success of a pathogen for the worse. Only a few studies 145 have investigated the cascading effects of SIE proteins. One example is a systematic study 146 147 on 30 closely related prophages of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, which found no additional fitness cost of SIE for the lysogen [30]. This is however in stark contrast to SIE mediated by 148 filamentous phages. The massive amplification and subsequent release of viral particles 149 through phage-encoded proteins inserted into the bacterial cell membrane significantly 150 151 reduces lysogen growth [31-33]. Thus, despite their ability to protect their host from super infecting phages, their high fitness cost renders filamentous phages more susceptible to 152 extinction if phage-resistant cell surface mutants emerge [33]. While there are many 153 described individual examples of prophage-encoded SIE proteins, their ecological and 154 evolutionary impact on higher order interactions remains unclear. That is because we lack 155 156 a detailed understanding of their net fitness effect for lysogens and of how widespread these different SIE mechanisms are. Integrating large scale genome mining to uncover the 157 nature and distribution of SIE across different microbial ecosystems with modelling that 158 predicts their impact on higher order interactions represents an exciting possibility for 159 future research. 160

161 Prophages – versatile construction engineers

Prophages are pivotal to the formation and maintenance of biofilms [34-38]. Within biofilms, bacteria are protected from adverse conditions, including for instance antibiotics [39] and immune system components [40]. This makes biofilms an important pathogenesis factor [34, 38] and exemplifies how the impact of prophages can manifest as cascading consequences across multiple species.

167 However, biofilms are also important components of many terrestrial and aquatic 168 ecosystems where they form the basis of food-webs and maintain nutrient cycling and bioremediation; for a review see [41]. Thus, in contrast to clinical biofilms, prophages that 169 170 contribute to the formation of ecological biofilms can support mutualistic interactions. One example of this phenomenon can be observed in the symbiotic association between 171 172 *Phaeobacter inhibens* and microalgae, which relies on the lysogenic state of *P. inhibens* to form biofilms on the surface of the algae and is likely attributable to prophage-encoded 173 genes in P. inhibens [42]. 174

Given that biofilms exhibit a higher level of productivity compared to their planktonic counterparts, they are of significant relevance to ecosystem functioning. While we have a detailed understanding about the mechanisms by which prophages influence biofilm formation, it is difficult to quantify the functional significance of prophages for the biofilm and the implications on subsequent ecological interactions. That is because we lack quantitative data and adequate theoretical models that allow us to reveal the temporal dynamics of prophage-biofilm interactions in different environments.

Moreover, there is growing evidence that the biofilm lifestyle may be more mutagenic than the planktonic one and that virulence and antibiotic resistance genes are more efficiently distributed via horizontal gene transfer (HGT) within biofilms [43]. If the functioning of these biofilms depends on prophages, they may indirectly influence the evolution of multidrug resistant pathogens and worsen the outcome for the eukaryote.

187

188 Prophages – molecular editors

Like all mobile genetic elements (MGEs), temperate phages play a significant role in shaping the molecular landscape of bacteria and, therefore, impacting bacterial evolution. Commonly known is their ability to act as vectors for HGT, either via transduction or lysogenic conversion, allowing bacteria to acquire new genes and traits that can impact higher order interactions. However, recent advances identified a variety of additional genetic and epigenetic mechanisms through which prophages impact bacterial evolution.

Prophage integration can accelerate adaptive evolution either directly through the acquisition of adaptive prophage-encoded genes, which can occur at a faster rate than de novo mutations [44] or indirectly by integrating into protein coding sequences which can increase the supply of beneficial mutations [45]. Both mechanisms are beneficial for bacterial fitness and can in the case of colonizers foster host-symbiont relationships [44] or in the case of pathogens facilitate the transition from acute to chronic infections likelyincreasing disease severity [45].

202 Prophages can also regulate the expression of bacterial genes through various mechanisms. For example, prophages can reverse the disruption of host genes through 203 controlled excision, acting as genetic switches [46, 47]. This process, defined as active 204 205 lysogeny [46], can regulate phagosomal escape and virulence in the intracellular pathogen 206 Listeria monocytogenes [47], and facilitate rapid, parallel adaptation in P. aeruginosa, 207 enabling the establishment of chronic infections [48]. Prophage integration can regulate 208 the expression of bacterial genes by controlling the transcription of nearby genes [49] or by causing changes in the bacterial chromatin structure [50], which likely affects the 209 accessibility of the genetic material to the transcriptional machinery. This can result in 210 211 changes in the expression of genes involved in various cellular processes such as 212 metabolism, growth, and virulence. Thus, by altering the expression of genes involved for instance in stress response and adaptation, prophages can also influence the adaptive 213 214 response of bacteria to environmental changes.

Environmental change in turn can significantly influence the shape and trajectory of 215 bacteria-prophage co-evolution. For instance, environments that reduce bacterial growth 216 217 rate can inhibit phage infections (for a review see [51]), which can slow down phage resistance evolution and prolong phage epidemics [52]. Moreover, environmental 218 conditions that influence the balance between lysis and lysogeny drive the emergence of 219 220 phage resistance mutations and subsequent prophage loss, which might explain why prophage prevalence varies across environments [53]. Thus, changing environments 221 222 provide an additional way by which the impact of prophages can spread quickly across 223 microbial populations and beyond. This highlights the importance of considering environmental factors in future studies in this field. 224

225 Prophages can also indirectly influence bacterial evolution. For instance, by driving bacterial counteradaptation, pleiotropic effects of evolved phage resistance can occur [33, 226 227 54]. Here, molecular, or epigenetic alterations of cell appendices such as type IV pili or O 228 antigen structures that prevent phage re-infections can create an evolutionary trade-off 229 between phage resistance and bacterial fitness [33, 54, 55]. By acting as an additional 230 death rate for carriers of other MGEs, such as plasmids, prophages can constrain the 231 horizontal spread of these MGEs, which can slow down plasmid mediated adaption [56]. 232 Through evolutionary changes in their own genome, e.g., changes in genes affecting 233 phage release rate, which positively correlates with bacterial virulence, prophages can 234 indirectly shape bacterial phenotypes [54].

Despite a growing understanding of the mechanisms by which prophages influence bacterial evolution in controlled laboratory settings, there is still a significant knowledge gap regarding the evolution of prophages and bacteria in natural environments, and how this influences the evolution of higher organisms and the cascading effects thereof. Considering that bacteria co-evolve with higher organisms, a pertinent question for future research is to investigate the extent to which prophages shape these co-evolutionarydynamics, and through what mechanisms.

242

243 Conclusion

Holistic and multi-scale studies have provided ample evidence that prophages significantly affect bacterial ecology and evolution, which translates via cascading effects to higher organisms and ecosystem functioning. Although we have a solid understanding of the mechanistic ways in which prophages influence their bacterial hosts at the cellular and population level, there is still much to investigate to fully understand the impact of prophages across multiple levels. Two of the key areas where more research is needed include:

- Understanding of prophage function and maintenance in different ecological niches.
 We mainly study prophages in the context of human and animal hosts, and less in soil, water, and plant associated microbes where their role and impact may be different
- We are only beginning to understand the role of prophages in host-microbiome interactions. However, a recent synthesis suggests that the context of the bacterial community is important for interactions between virulent phages and bacteria [57], and therefore *in vitro* observations may not always hold true *in vivo* [58]. Thus, more research is needed to unravel the complex interplay between prophages and the host's microbiome and to elucidate how the microbiome, in turn, shapes the host's response to prophages.
- 262

Thus, to decipher the magnitude of prophages on higher order interactions and 263 complex ecological systems, future work requires multi-disciplinary approaches 264 encompassing a combination of computational and experimental techniques with a focus 265 on non-model systems. This includes genomic and transcriptomic analyses of phage-266 267 containing microbiomes to reveal the genetic make-up and expression patterns of prophages in these systems. Additional functional assays, such as measuring lytic vs 268 lysogenic activity can reveal the impact of the dynamics of a prophage's lifecycle while 269 advanced imaging techniques can provide mechanistic insights at the cellular or sub-270 271 cellular level. Integrating these data into predictive models that simulate interactions among 272 prophages, bacteria and higher order interaction partners under different conditions will 273 enable us to gain a deeper understanding of how prophages influence complex ecological 274 systems. Such an understanding is crucial, given the global importance of bacteria for both our existence and the fundamental ecological processes that govern our planet. 275

276 References

- Howard-Varona, C., et al., *Lysogeny in nature: mechanisms, impact and ecology of temperate phages.* ISME J, 2017. **11**(7): p. 1511-1520.
- 279 2. Brum, J.R., et al., Seasonal time bombs: dominant temperate viruses affect Southern
 280 Ocean microbial dynamics. ISME J, 2016. 10(2): p. 437-49.
- Foster, K.R. and T. Bell, *Competition, not cooperation, dominates interactions among culturable microbial species.* Curr Biol, 2012. 22(19): p. 1845-50.
- Wendling, C.C., D. Refardt, and A.R. Hall, *Fitness benefits to bacteria of carrying prophages and prophage-encoded antibiotic-resistance genes peak in different environments.* Evolution, 2020.
- Bossi, L., et al., *Prophage contribution to bacterial population dynamics.* Journal of
 Bacteriology, 2003. 185(21): p. 6467-6471.
- Burns, N., C.E. James, and E. Harrison, *Polylysogeny magnifies competitiveness of a bacterial pathogen in vivo.* Evolutionary Applications, 2015. 8(4): p. 346-351.
- 290 7. Li, X.Y., et al., *Temperate phages as self-replicating weapons in bacterial* 291 *competition.* Journal of the Royal Society Interface, 2017. **14**(137).
- B. De Paepe, M., et al., *Carriage of. Latent Virus Is Costly for Its Bacterial Host due to Frequent Reactivation in Monoxenic Mouse Intestine.* Plos Genetics, 2016. **12**(2).
- Duerkop, B.A., et al., *A composite bacteriophage alters colonization by an intestinal commensal bacterium.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
 United States of America, 2012. **109**(43): p. 17621-17626.
- Nedialkova, L.P., et al., *Temperate phages promote colicin-dependent fitness of Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium.* Environ Microbiol, 2016. 18(5): p. 1591603.

300 11. Dragos, A., et al., *Phages carry interbacterial weapons encoded by biosynthetic* 301 *gene clusters.* Curr Biol, 2021. 31(16): p. 3479-3489 e5.

302 ** High-throughput BGC mining approach supported by lab experiments indicating that
 303 prophages equip their hosts with bacteriocins providing a competitive fitness
 304 advantage for the host bacterium.

305

Selva, L., et al., *Killing niche competitors by remote-control bacteriophage induction.*Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America,
2009. **106**(4): p. 1234-1238.

Wang, W., et al., *The coral pathogen Vibrio coralliilyticus kills non-pathogenic holobiont competitors by triggering prophage induction.* Nat Ecol Evol, 2022. 6(8): p. 1132-1144.

** Pioneering work that reveals that pathogens can induce the prophages of their
 lysogenic competitors to gain a competetive advantage when invading higher
 organisms. This can have dramatic consequences if these higher organisms are key
 stone species, such as corals.

316

Jancheva, M. and T. Bottcher, A Metabolite of Pseudomonas Triggers Prophage-Selective Lysogenic to Lytic Conversion in Staphylococcus aureus. J Am Chem Soc, 2021. 143(22): p. 8344-8351.

Similar to the findings of Wang et al, 2022, an important study that shows that certain
 bacteria found the achilles heel of lysogens and actively induce their competitors
 prophages which can for instance increase disease severity.

323

- Frobisher, M. and J.H. Brown, *Transmissible toxicogenicity of streptococci.* Bulletin
 of the Johns Hopkins Hospital, 1927. 41: p. 167-173.
- Waldor, M.K. and J.J. Mekalanos, *Lysogenic conversion by a filamentous phage encoding cholera toxin.* Science, 1996. **272**(5270): p. 1910-1914.

Kondo, K., M. Kawano, and M. Sugai, *Distribution of Antimicrobial Resistance and Virulence Genes within the Prophage-Associated Regions in Nosocomial Pathogens.*Msphere, 2021. 6(4).

- Bondy-Denomy, J. and A.R. Davidson, *When a Virus is not a Parasite: The Beneficial Effects of Prophages on Bacterial Fitness.* Journal of Microbiology, 2014. **52**(3): p.
 235-242.
- Wang, X., et al., *Cryptic prophages help bacteria cope with adverse environments.*Nat Commun, 2010. **1**: p. 147.
- Barondess, J.J. and J. Beckwith, *bor gene of phage lambda, involved in serum resistance, encodes a widely conserved outer membrane lipoprotein.* J Bacteriol,
 1995. **177**(5): p. 1247-53.
- Lindell, D., et al., *Transfer of photosynthesis genes to and from Prochlorococcus viruses.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2004. **101**(30): p. 11013-8.
- Puxty, R.J. and A.D. Millard, *Functional ecology of bacteriophages in the environment.* Curr Opin Microbiol, 2023. **71**: p. 102245.

343 23. Kieft, K., et al., *Ecology of inorganic sulfur auxiliary metabolism in widespread* 344 *bacteriophages.* Nat Commun, 2021. 12(1): p. 3503.

- 345 ** Important study that revealed that phage-encoded genes, which can alter bacterial
 346 sulfur metabolism are widely distributed. Highlights the importance of incorporating
 347 viral contributions into biogeochemical processes.
- 348
- Emerson, J.B., et al., *Host-linked soil viral ecology along a permafrost thaw gradient.*Nat Microbiol, 2018. **3**(8): p. 870-880.
- Breitbart, M., et al., *Exploring the Vast Diversity of Marine Viruses*. Oceanography,
 2007. 20(2): p. 135-139.
- 26. Cieslik, M., et al., *Temperate Bacteriophages-The Powerful Indirect Modulators of Eukaryotic Cells and Immune Functions.* Viruses-Basel, 2021. 13(6).

355 27. Jahn, M.T., et al., A Phage Protein Aids Bacterial Symbionts in Eukaryote 356 Immune Evasion. Cell Host & Microbe, 2019. 26(4): p. 542-+.

- 357 ** A comprehensive study showing that ankyrin-encoding prophages, which are
 358 widespread in host-associated enviornments, play a pivotal role in facilitating host 359 microbe symbioses by enabling their lysogenic bacteria to evade the eukaryotic
 360 immune response.
- 361
- Buckling, A. and M. Brockhurst, *Bacteria-virus coevolution.* Adv Exp Med Biol, 2012. **751**: p. 347-70.
- Westra, E.R., et al., *Parasite Exposure Drives Selective Evolution of Constitutive versus Inducible Defense.* Current Biology, 2015. **25**(8): p. 1043-1049.
- 366 30. Bondy-Denomy, J., et al., *Prophages mediate defense against phage infection*367 *through diverse mechanisms.* Isme Journal, 2016. **10**(12): p. 2854-2866.
- 368 31. Mai-Prochnow, A., et al., 'Big things in small packages: the genetics of filamentous
 369 phage and effects on fitness of their host'. FEMS Microbiol Rev, 2015.
- 370 32. Hay, I.D. and T. Lithgow, *Filamentous phages: masters of a microbial sharing*371 *economy.* EMBO Rep, 2019. **20**(6).
- Wendling, C.C., et al., *Higher phage virulence accelerates the evolution of host resistance.* Proc Biol Sci, 2022. 289(1984): p. 20221070.
- Comprehensive study combining experimental evolution, genomics, and modelling
 showing that phage resistance mutations can come with pleiotropic costs which can
 influence bacterial fitness and ultimately disease outcomes.
- 377
- 378 34. Costerton, J.W., P.S. Stewart, and E.P. Greenberg, *Bacterial biofilms: a common*379 *cause of persistent infections.* Science, 1999. **284**(5418): p. 1318-22.
- 380 35. Secor, P.R., et al., *Filamentous Bacteriophage Promote Biofilm Assembly and Function.* Cell Host Microbe, 2015. **18**(5): p. 549-59.
- 382 36. Wang, X., Y. Kim, and T.K. Wood, *Control and benefits of CP4-57 prophage excision*383 *in Escherichia coli biofilms.* ISME J, 2009. **3**(10): p. 1164-79.

- 384 37. Schuch, R. and V.A. Fischetti, *The secret life of the anthrax agent Bacillus anthracis:*385 *bacteriophage-mediated ecological adaptations.* PLoS One, 2009. 4(8): p. e6532.
- 386 38. Rice, S.A., et al., *The biofilm life cycle and virulence of Pseudomonas aeruginosa are*387 *dependent on a filamentous prophage.* ISME J, 2009. **3**(3): p. 271-82.
- 388 39. Stewart, P.S. and J.W. Costerton, *Antibiotic resistance of bacteria in biofilms*. Lancet,
 2001. **358**(9276): p. 135-138.
- de Vor, L., S.H.M. Rooijakkers, and J.A.G. van Strijp, *Staphylococci evade the innate immune response by disarming neutrophils and forming biofilms.* FEBS Lett, 2020.
 594(16): p. 2556-2569.
- 393 41. Sentenac, H., et al., *The significance of biofilms to human, animal, plant and*394 *ecosystem health.* Functional Ecology, 2022. **36**(2): p. 294-313.
- 395 42. Forcone, K., et al., *Prophage Genomics and Ecology in the Family*396 *Rhodobacteraceae.* Microorganisms, 2021. 9(6).
- 397 43. Nesse, L.L. and R. Simm, *Biofilm: A Hotspot for Emerging Bacterial Genotypes.*398 Advances in Applied Microbiology, Vol 103, 2018. **103**: p. 223-246.
- Frazao, N., et al., *Horizontal gene transfer overrides mutation in Escherichia coli colonizing the mammalian gut.* Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A, 2019. **116**(36): p. 1790617915.
- 402 45. Davies, E.V., et al., *Temperate phages both mediate and drive adaptive evolution in*403 *pathogen biofilms.* Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United
 404 States of America, 2016. **113**(29): p. 8266-8271.
- 405 46. Feiner, R., et al., *A new perspective on lysogeny: prophages as active regulatory*406 *switches of bacteria.* Nature Reviews Microbiology, 2015. **13**(10): p. 641-650.
- 407 47. Rabinovich, L., et al., *Prophage excision activates Listeria competence genes that*408 *promote phagosomal escape and virulence.* Cell, 2012. **150**(4): p. 792-802.

- 409 48. Marshall, C.W., et al., Rampant prophage movement among transient
 410 competitors drives rapid adaptation during infection. Science Advances, 2021.
 411 7(29).
- 412 * Innovative study, showing that disruptions to global regulators through active
 413 lysogeny can lead to elevated biofilm formation suggesting that active lysogeny can
 414 drive rapid and parallel adaptations in establishing chronic infections.
- 415
- 416 49. Carey, J.N., et al., *Phage integration alters the respiratory strategy of its host.* Elife,
 417 2019. 8.
- 418 50. Hershko-Shalev, T., et al., *Gifsy-1 Prophage IsrK with Dual Function as Small and*419 *Messenger RNA Modulates Vital Bacterial Machineries.* Plos Genetics, 2016. **12**(4).
- 420 51. Igler, C., Phenotypic flux: The role of physiology in explaining the conundrum of
 421 bacterial persistence amid phage attack. Virus Evol, 2022. 8(2): p. veac086.
- 422 52. Goehlich, H., et al., *Sub-optimal environmental conditions prolong phage epidemics*423 *in bacterial populations.* bioRxiv, 2022.
- 424 53. Bailey, Z.M., C. Igler, and C.C. Wendling, *Conflicting selection pressures and non-*425 *social genes maintain prophages in bacterial populations* biorxiv, 2023.

426 54. Bruneaux, M., et al., The effect of a temperature-sensitive prophage on the 427 evolution of virulence in an opportunistic bacterial pathogen. Mol Ecol, 2022. 428 31(20): p. 5402-5418.

- 429 * Study that shows that molecular changes at the phage level can indirectly influence
 430 the virulence of bacteria.
- 431
- 432 55. Cota, I., et al., *Epigenetic Control of Salmonella enterica O-Antigen Chain Length: A*433 *Tradeoff between Virulence and Bacteriophage Resistance.* PLoS Genet, 2015.
 434 **11**(11): p. e1005667.

- 435 56. Igler, C., et al., *Conjugative plasmid transfer is limited by prophages but can be*436 *overcome by high conjugation rates.* Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci, 2022.
 437 377(1842): p. 20200470.
- 438 ** Experimental work supported by theoretical simulations describing that prophages
 439 can constrain the spread of other mobile genetic elements, such as plasmids which
 440 can impact plasmid-mediated adaptive evolution.
- 441
- 442 57. Blazanin, M. and P.E. Turner, *Community context matters for bacteria-phage ecology*443 *and evolution.* ISME J, 2021.
- Hernandez, C.A. and B. Koskella, *Phage resistance evolution in vitro is not reflective of in vivo outcome in a plant-bacteria-phage system.* Evolution, 2019. **73**(12): p. 2461-
- 446

2475.

- 447
- 448