- 1 Solar radiation drives potential demographic collapse in a perennial bunchgrass via dramatically reduced
- 2 seedling establishment
- 3
- 4 Timothy H. Parker^{a,d}
- 5 Alex Gerber^a
- 6 Erin Campbell^a
- 7 Molly Simonson^a
- 8 Robert K. Shriver^b
- 9 Lyman Persico^c
- 10
- 11
- ^a Biology Department, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362 USA
- 13 ^b Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada
- 14 89557 USA
- 15 ^cGeology Department, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362 USA
- 16 ^d Corresponding author: parkerth@whitman.edu
- 17
- 18 Key words: aspect, bluebunch wheatgrass, demography, grassland, insolation, *Psuedoreogneria spicata*,
- 19 shade, slope effect, steppe, temperature
- 20

- 22 Abstract
- 23

24 Many perennial plants in semi-arid rangelands have experienced population declines, and

25 understanding the ecological and demographic processes behind these declines is important to slowing

26 or reversing them. Although anthropogenic disturbances drive many declines, other sorts of

27 environmental variability, such as the differences in solar radiation with aspect, may impact population

- success locally. We experimentally assessed the role of solar radiation in driving an apparent decline in a
- 29 common perennial bunchgrass, bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*), on south-facing
- 30 slopes at a site in the Columbia Basin of North America. Across three separate experiments on south-
- facing slopes, we observed dramatically (5 to 36 times) higher seedling success in plots shaded to
- 32 approximate the solar radiation of north-facing slopes relative to adjacent open (unshaded) plots. When
- 33 we applied the rates of seedling success from these experiments to demographic models from this site, 34 we found that seedling success in unshaded plots was often too low to allow a stable population on
- 34 we found that seedling success in unshaded plots was often too low to allow a stable population on 35 south-facing slopes, but that seedling success in shaded plots was often high enough to allow a stable or
- 36 increasing population on north-facing slopes. We originally hypothesized that the primary mechanism
- 37 driving this effect was water stress caused by greater evaporation from hotter open plots. However,
- despite soils in open plots being consistently hotter than soils in shaded plots, we did not observe a clear
- 39 pattern of greater soil moisture in shaded plots. Therefore, it appears that higher solar radiation or
- 40 higher temperatures on south-facing slopes may be sufficient to dramatically reduce seedling survival,
- 41 and that the higher density of bluebunch wheatgrass on north-facing slopes relative to south-facings

42 slopes may be driven primarily by this low survival of seedlings. As climate warms, the reduced seedling

- survival that threatens our bluebunch wheatgrass population may be expected to threaten many other
 species of perennials in similar rangelands.
- 45
- 46

47

- 49 Introduction
- 50

51 Perennial plants, including grasses, forbs, and shrubs, have declined dramatically in some semi-arid

- 52 rangeland ecosystems over the past century or more, especially where precipitation falls mostly in
- 53 winter, and these declines have been of great concern to rangeland managers, ranchers, and
- 54 conservationists. Many of these declines in perennials are well understood at certain spatial scales, and
- 55 can often be attributed to disturbances such as increasing fire frequency, over-grazing, herbicide use,
- and plowing (O'Connor, 1991; Knapp, 1996; Knick & Rotenberry, 1997; DiTomaso, 2000; Keeley *et al.*,
- 57 2003; Keeley, 2006; Kulmatiski, 2006; Young & Clements, 2007; Davies *et al.*, 2011; Svejcar *et al.*, 2017).
- 58 However, perennial success can also vary substantially within a landscape even when disturbance
- 59 history is similar throughout (Sternberg & Shoshany, 2001; Shriver *et al.*, 2021). Understanding what
- 60 drives variability in success of perennial rangeland plants in the absence of differences in disturbance is
- 61 important for understanding how these communities will continue to respond to ongoing environmental
- 62 change and how management practices might be adjusted.
- 63

64 One of the most striking drivers of variability in the success of plants within some landscapes is aspect 65 (Armesto & Martinez, 1978; Nobel & Linton, 1997; Sternberg & Shoshany, 2001; Ackerly et al., 2002; 66 Scherrer & Körner, 2010; Shriver et al., 2021). In the Northern Hemisphere, south-facing slopes receive more solar radiation than north-facing slopes, and thus are typically the warmest and driest sites on the 67 68 landscape (Nobel & Linton, 1997; Bennie et al., 2008). In rangelands of Northwestern North America, 69 these south-facing slopes often have lower perennial plant biomass and more invasive annual cover 70 than do north-facing slopes (Harrison, 1999; Gelbard & Harrison, 2003; Shriver et al., 2021). The lower 71 biomass of perennials may result directly from abiotic effects on the demographic rates of perennials 72 (Shriver et al., 2021), but may also be influenced by competition from invasive annuals (Aguirre & 73 Johnson, 1991). The demographic impacts of competition from annuals are expected to primarily impact 74 young perennials that are not yet established (Aguirre & Johnson, 1991), but abiotic stresses could 75 impact an array of demographic rates from seedling establishment to growth, reproduction, and survival 76 at any stage (Dalgleish et al., 2011; James et al., 2011; James et al., 2019; Shriver et al., 2021).

77

78 The role of aspect in driving population trajectories in perennial plants in semi-arid landscapes is 79 important not only because variation in aspect is common, but because ongoing climate change will 80 impact different aspects differently (Bennie et al., 2008; Scherrer & Körner, 2010; Maclean et al., 2017). 81 South-facing hillsides in the Northern Hemisphere, already hotter and drier than north-facing hillsides, 82 are likely to become even hotter (Ashcroft et al., 2009; Dobrowski, 2011; Maclean et al., 2017), and 83 quite possibly to develop abiotic conditions without a current local analog (Ackerly et al., 2010). 84 Perennial populations that are marginally persistent on south-facing slopes at this time due to heat or 85 drought may be unlikely to persist on these slopes in a warmer world. The loss of perennial plants on 86 south-facing hillsides could have long-term implications for soil persistence and carrying capacity 87 (Persico et al., 2021). Conditions on north-facing slopes may also deteriorate for some plant species due

- to warming, even dramatically, though the lower levels of solar radiation on those slopes may limit the
- severity of the impact and provide local microclimate refugia (Scherrer & Körner, 2010; Dobrowski,
- 90 2011; Maclean *et al.*, 2017).
- 91
- 92 Bluebunch wheatgrass (*Pseudoroegneria spicata*) is a large perennial bunchgrass with a wide
- 93 distribution in the semi-arid rangelands of the interior of Western North America, but this species has
- 94 declined dramatically in concert with changing land use and the spread of invasive annual weeds (Harris,
- 95 1967; Pyke, 1990; Rodhouse *et al.*, 2014; Shriver *et al.*, 2021). At our low-elevation study site in the
- 96 Columbia Basin of Washington State, bluebunch wheatgrass is one of the most abundant large

97 bunchgrasses, and the only large bunchgrass common on both north and south-facing slopes (Shriver et 98 al., 2021). However, bluebunch wheatgrass may be declining on south-facing slopes and is already much 99 less common on south-facing than north-facing slopes. Further, north and south-facing populations 100 differ in important components of demography (Shriver et al., 2021). For instance, among established 101 plants, small individuals experience somewhat lower annual survival rates on south-facings slopes than 102 on north-facing slopes, but average size of established plants is larger on south-facing slopes, and 103 reproductive output averages higher on south-facing slopes, even when controlling for plant size 104 (Shriver et al., 2021). However, these observed demographic differences may not be sufficient to explain 105 the dramatic difference in population densities between north and south-facing slopes. Instead, it may 106 be that differences in seed and seedling success due to differences in temperature and moisture drive 107 the observed differences in abundance between the aspects. Work from other sites is consistent with 108 this hypothesis. For instance, in a study of bluebunch wheatgrass spanning an extensive portion of the 109 Great Basin (James et al., 2019), warmer soil temperatures, as we find on south facing slopes at our site 110 (Shriver et al., 2021), were associated with reduced seed germination, and greater water stress was 111 associated with reduced seedling survival (James et al., 2019). Further, an experiment that reduced solar 112 radiation through shading by both sagebrush and artificial means found that a 40% reduction in solar 113 radiation, which is only modestly more than the annual difference in solar radiation between south and 114 north-facing slopes at our site, reduced bluebunch wheatgrass seedling mortality (Huber-Sannwald & Pyke, 2005). Thus, further examination is merited of the role of solar radiation on north and south-facing 115 116 slopes causing differences in seed and seedling success, and so driving demographic differences in our 117 study population.

118

119 With the current project, we sought to evaluate the role of solar radiation in demographic differences in 120 bluebunch wheatgrass populations between north and south-facing slopes at Wallula Gap Biological 121 Station in the Columbia Basin. We hypothesized that higher solar radiation, and thus higher 122 temperatures and lower soil moisture, on south-facing slopes drives reduced seed germination, seedling 123 emergence, and seedling survival relative to north-facing slopes. If this hypothesis were correct, we 124 predicted that experimentally shading locations on south-facing slopes to approximate annual rates of 125 solar radiation on north-facing slopes would increase the number of surviving seedlings relative to open 126 (unshaded) plots and that soil in shaded plots would be cooler and moister than soil in open plots. After 127 seeing the results of these experiments, we also predicted that incorporating seed and seedling success 128 rates from open plots in demographic models from the local unmanipulated bluebunch wheatgrass 129 population on south-facing slopes would generate rates of population growth below replacement, but 130 that using seed and seedling data from shaded plots in demographic models from bluebunch wheatgrass 131 on north-facing slopes would indicate stable or growing populations.

- 132
- 133
- 134 Methods
- 135

We manipulated solar radiation with shading on steep south-facing hillsides in Spring Gulch on Whitman
 College's Wallula Gap Biological Station in the Columbia Basin of Washington (46° 00' N, 118° 54' W,

360-420 m elevation). This site receives approximately 200-300 mm of precipitation annually

(PRISM.Climate.Group, 2022), primarily between October and April. Spring Gulch runs mostly east to

140 west, and so most hillsides face approximately north or south. In the areas where we established our

experimental plots, the soil is a silty loam well over 1 m deep. North facing slopes in Spring Gulch are

142 typically vegetated by perennial bunchgrasses (besides *P. spicata*, also *Festuca idahoensis*, *Poa secunda*,

and others) though rabbitbrush (*Ericameria nauseosa* and *Chrysothamnus viscidiflorus*) is common.

144 Sagebrush (Artemisia tridentata) is rare on both slopes due to recent (2007, 2011, 2015) and

145 presumably less-recent fires. South-facing slopes are dominated by annuals, especially cheatgrass

146 (Bromus tectorum), annual fescue (Vulpia sp.), and yellow star-thistle (Centaurea solstitialis), in most

- places. However, bluebunch wheatgrass stands ranging from 0.03 ha to 0.17 ha are scattered across the
- south facing slopes and cover approximately 5-10% of the surface of these hillsides. Within these south-
- facing stands of bluebunch wheatgrass, cheatgrass is less common and yellow star-thistle is rare. Only
- one other large bunchgrass, needle and thread grass (*Hesperostipa comata*), occurs on south-facing
 slopes, and it is less common than bluebunch wheatgrass.
- 152

153 In three separate experiments, we established shaded plots on south-facing slopes to reduce the solar 154 radiation level to approximate, on an annual basis, the solar radiation level on north-facing slopes. In all 155 three experiments, each shade plot was paired with an adjacent open (unshaded) control. Both shade 156 and control structures were 2 x 2 m and enclosed by 1.5 m tall welded wire fence. In 2011, we used a 157 digital elevation model to estimate the difference in solar radiation between north and south-facing 158 slopes. At that time, we estimated that solar radiation on north-facing slopes at Spring Gulch is 159 approximately 70% of solar radiation on south-facing slopes annually, and we based our experimental 160 treatment on this value. Unfortunately, we lost those original analyses, but that provided an opportunity 161 to re-run solar radiation analyses in more detail. When we ran new analyses, we found similar results, 162 with an estimate that north-facing slopes receive approximately 40-70% of the solar radiation of southfacing slopes on an annual basis, and 50-80% of the solar radiation of south-facings slopes in June when 163 164 rates of radiation are highest (Fig. 1, S1-S3). Thus, our shade treatments, which reduced solar radiation 165 to approximately 70% of the values typical on south-facing slopes, approximates summer levels of 166 north-facing slopes, and is less of a reduction than is typical in winter on north-facing slopes. Our 167 treatment was therefore conservative and did not reduce solar radiation as much as the typical 168 difference between north and south-facing slopes. To implement our shading treatment, we covered 169 the top and the east, south, and west sides of each shade plot with shade cloth designed to reduce solar 170 radiation by 40%. We cut holes removing ~20% of the shade cloth on top and on the side to allow wind 171 to pass through and to prevent snow from building up on top. Accounting for these holes, the shade 172 cloth reduced solar radiation to ~70% of the level on south-facing slopes. In practice, we reduced solar 173 radiation by <30% because the holes in the shade cloth increased in size over time, rips formed, and 174 material was lost.

175

176 In December 2011, we established six pairs of shade and open plots in areas with no established 177 bluebunch wheatgrass plants within 10 m. Within each shade and open plot, we evenly scattered 178 approximately 9600 bluebunch wheatgrass seeds, which we collected from south-facing bluebunch 179 plants the previous July, in a 1 x 1 m square central plot in each shade and unshaded structure. The 180 entire 2 x 2 m area contained no perennial plants. Before and after seeding, we raked the ground 181 surface to improve seed-soil contact. We uniquely marked (with blue laboratory tape and marker pen) 182 and mapped each bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings in late May 2012 and we visited again in late June of 183 2012, noting how many remained green and how many had turned brown and presumably died. We 184 noted and mapped the live bluebunch plants again in June 2013. However, later examination of the data 185 demonstrated that some of the plants that were recorded as brown and presumed dead in 2012 186 appeared to be alive at the time of 2013 survey. Thus, possibly we were not able to reliably identify 187 which plants were dying in June, or our method of marking, which did not facilitate re-identification 188 across years, led us to misidentify some plants in 2013 when relying only on the map for identification. 189 Thus, we chose to use only count data, not individual seedling identity, in our analysis. It was not 190 feasible for observers to remain blind to the hypotheses being tested by this or the following 191 experiments.

- 193 In January 2018, we established six pairs of shade and open plots within established stands of bluebunch
- 194 wheatgrass on south-facing slopes. We chose sites which allowed us to establish a 1 x 1 m survey area
- 195 containing no existing bluebunch wheatgrass (or other perennial) plants in the center of the shade (or
- open) plot. We counted the number of bluebunch wheatgrass plants growing within the 1 x 1 m survey
- areas of these plots in June of 2018-2021 and July 2022. Further, we marked each seedling with a metal
- tag affixed to the ground with a long nail adjacent to the plant, and mapped the locations of seedlings
- 199 on a grid in which the plot was divided into 100 10 cm x 10 cm squares.
- 200

201 In December 2018, we initiated another shading experiment by constructing eight pairs of shade and 202 open plots in areas with no established bluebunch wheatgrass plants within 10 m. This experiment was 203 identical to the one established in 2011 except that we scattered approximately 12,500 bluebunch 204 wheatgrass seeds per plot. In May of 2019, prior to gathering data for this project, we pre-registered our 205 data gathering and analysis plans on the Open Science Framework to maximize transparency 206 (https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/CGXN4). We counted the number of bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings 207 in late May 2019, June 2020, and June 2021. We deviated from the pre-registration in two notable ways. 208 We did not mark individual plants with metal tags, and we visited the plots only once per summer 209 instead of twice. We made these decisions because (a) the large number of germinated seedlings would 210 have made tagging very time-consuming and imprecise (due to proximity of seedlings), and (b)

- examination of the data from the 2011 experiment showed that determining survival of individuals
- 212 seedlings within a summer was not reliable.
- 213

214 Because we hypothesized that shade would influence seed and seedling success via temperature and 215 moisture, we measured soil temperature and soil moisture in shade and open plots on multiple 216 occasions from 2016 – 2021. We measured soil temperature (°C) by inserting a digital thermometer 10 217 cm into the soil in the plot and waiting until the temperature reading stabilized. To measure soil 218 moisture, we took 30cm soil cores from just outside the 1m-by-1m quadrats, but within the fenced and 219 shaded plots. We divided soil cores into 0-10cm, 10-20cm, and 20-30cm depths and sealed them in 220 plastic bags in the field. We then weighed the soil before and after dehydrating in an oven for 24 hours 221 at 100°C. We used the evaporated proportion of the soil sample by mass as our moisture measurement. 222 We always sampled temperature and moisture in the field between 10:00 am and 2:00 pm and sampled 223 paired plots within 5 minutes of each other. We measured soil moisture and temperature in 2016 and 224 2017 in each of the 12 plots from the first seeding experiment in early season (late May – early June), 225 mid-season (mid to late June), and late season (July). In 2018, we measured soil moisture and 226 temperature in the unseeded plots, in 2019 we measured soil moisture in the second set of 227 experimentally seeded plots, and in 2020 and 2021, we measured soil temperature in the second set of 228 experimentally seeded plots. In 2018 – 2021, we measured moisture and/or temperature only once per 229 year.

- 230
- 231
- 232 Data analysis
- 233

For experimentally seeded plots, we compared the success of germination and seedling survival on a per-seed or per-seedling basis using generalized linear mixed models with binomial error in R (version 4.1.1, package lme4 version 1.1-28). We generated treatment means with the emmeans package (version 1.7.2). We analyzed the first experiment (initiated in 2011) separately from the second experiment (initiated in 2018). For comparisons of success on a per-seed basis, for each year of seedling counts, our response variables consisted of a comparison of the number of seedlings observed during the count that year to the number of seeds that did not produce a seedling detectable in that year. The 241 number of seeds that did not produce a seedling was simply the number of seedlings detected in current 242 year subtracted from the estimated number of seeds planted. For comparisons of success on a per-243 seedling basis, for each year of seedling counts after the first year, our response variables consisted of a 244 comparison of the number of seedlings observed during the count that year to the number of seedlings 245 observed in the previous year that did not survive to the current year. In these cases, the number of 246 seedlings failing to survive was the number of seedlings detected in the current year subtracted from 247 the number of seedlings counted in the prior year. In the assessment of the survival of 2019 seedlings to 248 2020, in one plot, the number of seedlings tallied in 2020 exceeded the number of seedlings tallied in 249 2019. We assume that this was a counting error, but we cannot rule out the possibility that some seeds 250 planted in 2018 did not germinate until the 2019-2020 growing season. Regardless of the cause, we 251 excluded the plot pair with the higher seedling count in the second year to allow our binomial GLM to 252 run. Predictor variables in these analyses included a fixed effect of treatment (shaded vs. unshaded) and 253 a random effect of plot pair (since each shaded plot was paired with a nearby unshaded plot). 254 255 For the unseeded plots (plots with no seeds added), we did not know the number of seeds falling in each 256 plot, and so we used a count of seedlings present as the response variable in a series of generalized 257 linear (mixed) models. We first attempted to analyze the number of new seedlings detected in each year 258 in a single model with negative binomial error including all years in which we accounted for repeated

259 observations in each plot with random effects (with plot identity nested within treatment) (R version 260 4.1.1, package lme4 version 1.1-28). However, this model did not converge, and a simpler model 261 excluding the nested random effect, but retaining a random effect of plot pair, also did not converge. 262 Therefore, we ran separate models for each year without a random effect of plot pair. In these models, 263 the number of new seedlings detected in that year was the response variable and the shade treatment 264 was the fixed effect. We attempted to run each model with negative binomial error, but two models 265 (2018 and 2022) would not run without errors, and so in those two cases, we used Poisson error. We 266 also ran a single model with the total number of surviving seedlings (regardless of germination year) in 267 the fifth year (2022) as the response variable with negative binomial error. We generated treatment 268 means with the emmeans package (version 1.7.2).

269

270 We analyzed the temperature and moisture data using linear mixed effects models (R version 4.1.1, 271 package lme4 version 1.1-28). Since we sometimes measured temperature and moisture multiple 272 occasions in a single season, over which duration we expected temperature and moisture to be changing 273 (between May and July), we assigned each sample to one of three time periods "late May, early June," 274 "mid to late June," and "July." Some years did not contain data from some time periods. For both 275 temperature and moisture, we first attempted to run relatively complex models that included all 276 measurements and multiple random effects to account for structure in the data and multiple 277 measurements per site and year. However, these complex models had problems with fit and were not 278 suitable for inference. Therefore, for temperature data, we conducted a separate analysis for each time 279 period in each year. For moisture, which was measured at three separate depths, analyses were further 280 divided by depth category. In these analyses, treatment (shade vs open) was the fixed effect and plot 281 pair was a random effect. One of the nine temperature analyses had a problem with fit and thus did not 282 produce reliable results, and nine of 24 moisture analyses had a problem with fit. 283

Because of substantial variability in results among our analyses of soil moisture, we conducted a *post hoc* meta-analysis to generate an average effect size. We included the effects from reliable models (n =
15) of shade treatment on soil moisture, estimated as Hedge's D. We conducted a random-effects metaanalysis with R package Metafor (R version 4.1.1, Metafor version 3.8-1) and included season and depth
as additional random effects.

289

290 For both our seed count data and our temperature and moisture data, we calculated multiple p-values

and thus no single p-value should be interpreted in isolation. We did not correct for multiple

- 292 comparisons because we did not consider individual p-values as valid tests of our overall hypotheses and
- 293 we did not use our p-values for dichotomous null hypothesis testing. Instead, we used p-values here as
- continuously varying evidence regarding clarity of effects. Further, we looked for consistency among p-
- values as further evidence of clarity of effect (Amrhein *et al.*, 2017).
- 296

297 Finally, we conducted a series of post hoc analyses that incorporated the values from our seeded 298 experiments into previously constructed demographic models of bluebunch wheatgrass at our study site 299 (Shriver et al., 2021). These earlier demographic models used size-structured demographic models to 300 compare vital rates (Easterling et al. 2000) between unmanipulated populations on north and south-301 facing slopes across four different annual transitions and then vital rates were incorporated into an 302 integral projection model to explore how these rates influenced growth of our study populations. The 303 estimates of vital rates were generated using Bayesian generalized linear mixed models with Stan and 304 the 'rstan' package (Stan Development Team 2020). For details of the original demographic models, 305 consult Shriver et al. (2021). When constructing these original models, there was insufficient data on 306 seed-to-seedling transitions, and so Shriver et al. modeled a range of plausible seed-to-seedling 307 transition values and, in so doing, generated a range of model estimates of annual population growth (λ) 308 (Figure 5 in Shriver et al., 2021). For this current paper, we refined the parameterization of these 309 demographic models using data from our shade experiments. To accomplish this, we adjusted the 310 integral projection models to incorporate the seed-to-seedling transition as detected in the first spring 311 of a plant's life, and the survival of seedlings from that first spring to the second spring, which we 312 assume is primarily influenced by the drought of the first summer. To accomplish this, we added a 313 discrete class, first year plants, to our integral projection model. Plants entering the first-year class were 314 composed of the total seed production of all plant in the population multiplied by the recruitment rate. 315 The recruitment rate was calculated as the fraction of seeds sown in the experimental plots that 316 germinated and survived to the first census. Plants in the first-year class then entered the size-based 317 portion of our structured population model based on the survival rates observed from year 1 to 2 in 318 experimental plots, and assuming the growth rates of first year plants is analogous to the smallest plants 319 in the size based model. In doing this, we assume that a seedling in its first year is qualitatively different 320 from a seedling in the following year in its ability to survive summer drought because seedlings in the 321 first year will have smaller root systems on average, even if matched for stem number, the measure of 322 plant size in the demographic models. We parameterized each of our eight demographic models (4 323 annual transitions X 2 aspects) multiple times, (a) all models with estimates from the shaded plots from 324 the first experiment, (b) all models with estimates from the open plots from the first experiment, (c) the 325 south-facing population with estimates from open plots and the north-facing population with estimates 326 from shaded plots, all from the first experiment, and (d) the south-facing population with estimates 327 from open plots and the north-facing population with estimates from shaded plots, all from the second 328 seeded experiment. With these different model parameterizations, we generated estimates of 329 population growth (λ) ranging from the most favorable seedling environment we observed (highest 330 survival in shaded plots) to the least favorable (lowest survival in unshaded plots). Population growth 331 was calculated as the dominant eigenvalues of the structured population model. 332 333 Data and code from our analyses are available at https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/CE7NS. 334

- 335
- 336 Results:

- 337
- 338 Shading on south-facing slopes dramatically increased seedling success relative to unshaded control
- plots. In the two experimentally-seeded scenarios, the probability of a seed having germinated and
- 340 survived into a second growing season were between 5 and 21 times higher in shaded plots compared
- to unshaded plots (Tables 1, S1, Fig 2 4). A modest portion of this difference could be attributable to
- seed germination or early seedling success; the probability of a seed having germinated and the seedling
- having emerged and survived until June of the first year was between 1.3 and 2.1 times higher in shaded than in open plots (Table 1, odds ratios). In contrast, survival from late in the first spring to the following
- 345 late spring was between 7 and 106 times higher in shaded than in open plots (Table 1, odds ratios),
- indicating an overwhelmingly large effect of solar radiation on seedling survival over the first summer. In
- the unseeded plots, results were more variable year to year, though most shaded plots contained new
- seedlings in most years, and most unshaded plots did not contain new seedlings in most years. By the
- 349 end of the fifth growing season in the unseeded plots, the differences between treatments were
- striking; the mean number of surviving bluebunch plants in shaded plots was >13 (range = 5 to 30) and the mean number in unshaded plots was <1 (range = 0 to 3) (Tables 1, S2, Fig 5).
- 352

Soil in shaded plots was consistently and substantially cooler than soil in open plots (by between, on average, 2.5° and 7.5° C) when measured between late May and July (Tables 2, S3). However, soil

moisture differences between shaded and open plots were small and inconsistent (Tables 3, S4), and

therefore we cannot draw clear conclusions about the effect of our experimental shading on soil

357 moisture. When we included the 15 separate moisture measurement effects in a single random-effects

- 358 meta-analysis, the estimated average Hedge's D was 0.2957 (95% CI: -0.1051 to 0.6965). Thus, our 359 results are consistent with shaded plots having contained more soil moisture on average, but the point
- results are consistent with shaded plots having contained more soil moisture on average, but the point estimate for the effect size is only moderate and the variability around this average effect is substantial
- 361 enough that we must consider the possibilities that moisture did not differ between treatments or was
- higher in open plots.
- 363

364 When we incorporated seed and seedling success data from our seeded shade experiments in previously 365 constructed demographic models from our study site, we found that in nearly all scenarios, the 366 estimated rate of population growth was higher for north-facing populations than for south-facing 367 populations, and in multiple plausible scenarios, populations on south-facing slopes appeared to be 368 shrinking (Fig. 6). The only scenario that kept nearly all estimates of population growth (λ) from both 369 slopes above 1 was universally applying seed and seedling survival data from only the shaded plots (Fig. 370 6a). When we used seed and seedling data from only unshaded plots from the first experiment (Fig. 6b), 371 the south-facing population showed declining populations in two years, and the north-facing population 372 showed a decline in one year. When we assigned the north-facing population seed and seedling success 373 data from shade plots, and the south-facing population seed and seedling success data from unshaded 374 plots, we found substantially higher estimates of lambda in the north-facing population than in south-375 facing the south-facing population in all years, and values consistently >1 for the north-facing 376 population, and often <1 for the south-facing population (Fig. 6c, d).

- 377
- 378
- 379 Discussion:
- 380

381 Responses of bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings to our shade treatments were dramatic and differences in

- 382 sunlight and temperature between these treatments appeared sufficient to explain the much lower
- 383 success of bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings in open plots relative to shaded plots. The higher success of
- 384 seeds or seedlings in the shade was consistent across three separate experiments conducted across

385 multiple years. The largest differences in success between open and shaded plots was in survival of 386 seedlings from the first to the second spring of life, suggesting that seedling survival over the first summer, rather than seed germination or seedling emergence, was the life stage most strongly 387 388 impacted by our experimental treatment. Also, soils in shaded plots were consistently cooler across five 389 different years, though soil moisture did not differ consistently between shaded and open plots. These 390 results, taken together with demographic modelling that combine these seed and seedling success data 391 with observation demographic data from adult plants, suggest that the lower density of bluebunch 392 wheatgrass on south-facing slopes relative to north-facings slopes at our study site may be driven 393 substantially by lower first-summer survival of seedlings caused by higher solar radiation and 394 temperatures on south-facing slopes. This case study is consistent with growing evidence that, in 395 environments with water limitation during the growing season, climate warming may especially 396 threaten seedling establishment.

397

398 Our most unexpected observation was a clear difference in seedling success despite no clear difference 399 in soil moisture between shaded and open plots. We had hypothesized that difference in soil moisture 400 was the driver of difference in seedling survival. This hypothesis is supported by correlative work 401 elsewhere with bluebunch wheatgrass which found that moisture deficits were at least weakly 402 associated with reduced seedling survival (James et al., 2019), and especially by previous measurements 403 from north and south-facing slopes at our site using identical methods to those we report here, which 404 found a clear pattern of higher soil moisture on north-facing slopes from March through September 405 (Shriver et al., 2021). That difference in soil moisture between north and south-facing slopes may well 406 contribute to the differences in bluebunch wheatgrass demography between those slopes, and in our 407 experimental plots, moisture differences between treatments may have existed at some sampling times, 408 and these differences may also have contributed to differences in seedling success. However, the 409 relatively consistent difference in seedling success between open and shaded plots despite highly 410 variable soil moisture trends suggests that a difference in soil moisture was not the primary causal factor 411 behind the differences we observed in seedling success. Further, the results from our shade plots 412 suggest that differences in solar radiation, or differences in temperature, which were clear and 413 consistent, are sufficient to drive differences in bluebunch wheatgrass seedling survival. 414

415 The lack of consistent soil moisture differences between shade and open plots is, itself, puzzling, and we 416 are unsure of the explanation. However, one possibility is that, because the shaded areas were relatively 417 small (2 m x 2 m), soil moisture either diffused out the sides of the shaded plots into the surrounding 418 hotter, drier soils or evaporated into the approximately uniform low humidity on south-facing slopes. 419 That possibility assumes that the differences in soil moisture we observed between north and south-420 facing slopes in the one summer we measured it are typical, but that may not be the case. Another 421 possibility is that the differences between north and south-facing slopes typically disappear as 422 universally dry conditions develop in summer, as has been found in some other arid and semi-arid 423 systems with winter precipitation and summer drought (Western et al., 1999). A possible mechanism 424 driving such homogenization of soil moisture in the dry season could be higher plant density in wetter 425 areas (like north-facing slopes and shaded plots) leading to more transpiration of water from these 426 areas, thus matching the greater evaporation on south-facing slopes (Fatichi et al., 2015). 427 428 Our shade treatment was designed to mimic the difference in solar radiation, and thus differences in

429 temperature and moisture, between north and south-facing slopes, and we succeeded in influencing soil

- 430 temperatures as expected. The average temperature differences we observed between shaded and
- 431 open plots (between 2.5° and 7.5° C from late May into July) were similar to those we previously
- 432 observed between north and south-facing slopes (from > 3°C in April and May to >5° C in July and >10° C

433 in September (Shriver et al., 2021)). Since the temperature patterns associated with dramatic 434 differences in seedling survival in our experimental plots were comparable to those we observed 435 between unmanipulated north and south-facing slopes, this raises the possibility that temperature 436 differences between the two slopes may directly drive demographic differences in bluebunch 437 wheatgrass between these slopes. Correlative analysis of data from controlled seeding of bluebunch 438 wheatgrass at other sites found that warmer temperatures were associated with both lower seed 439 germination and lower rates of seedling emergence, although not with seedling survival over the first 440 year (James et al., 2019), the time when we observed our strongest effects. Interestingly, in contrast to 441 these findings, some prior laboratory work found that warmer temperatures increased the success of 442 bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings, although plants in that study were watered to field capacity every 443 other day (Aguirre & Johnson, 1991), which could have mitigated the effects of high temperatures, as 444 we explain in the next paragraph. Clearly further work is needed to resolve the roles of temperature and 445 moisture in the early life stages of this species, both at our study site and more generally.

446

447 Although differences in solar radiation or temperature rather than differences in soil moisture seem to 448 have driven differences in seedling success between shaded and open plots, moisture probably still 449 played a role in the different seedling success between these treatments. Plants in open plots, because 450 they were hotter, likely experienced a greater vapor pressure deficit due to higher rates of transpiration 451 (McDowell et al., 2016). This water pressure deficit might have been further exacerbated if the plants 452 exposed to more light and higher temperatures in the open on south-facing slopes had higher rates of 453 photosynthesis, and thus higher moisture demands (Chaves *et al.*, 2016). The plants in the open may 454 also have been at risk of heat damage to their metabolic machinery, including denaturing of enzymes 455 (Chaves et al., 2016). To mitigate this heat damage, some plants, including those in arid and semi-arid 456 areas, open stomata to transpire water and thus reduce their temperature through evaporative cooling 457 (Chaves et al., 2016; Urban et al., 2017). Therefore, plants in open plots may have had higher moisture 458 demands than the plants in the shaded, cooler plots, and limited access to moisture could have 459 therefore been more costly to plants in the open than to plants in the shade.

460

461 Our study system is characterized by cool season precipitation and warm season drought, and thus 462 perennial plants here presumably experience water limitation every summer (Kulmatiski et al., 2006). 463 Established perennials appear less strongly affected by summer drought than young perennials, most 464 likely because the deep roots of established plants give them access to more soil moisture. We see 465 evidence of the success of established individuals in bluebunch wheatgrass at our study site, where 466 established plants usually remain green into summer, well after the rains typically cease and most 467 annuals die. Further, large bluebunch wheatgrass plants survive to the following year at very high rates. 468 In fact, in ten year of monitoring established individuals, we have never seen a plant with >70 stems in 469 one year die before the subsequent year, regardless of the severity of drought. In contrast, as we and 470 others (James et al., 2019) have observed, bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings often die before their 471 second growing season, presumably due in some large degree to their shallow roots and relatively poor 472 access to moisture in the face of temperature stress.

473

Although our experiments did not directly address the role of competition from annuals in limiting the
success of seedling perennials, our results provide insight into this hypothesis. Some prior work has
identified competition from the invasive annual cheatgrass as a factor limiting success of bluebunch
wheatgrass seedlings (Harris, 1967; Aguirre & Johnson, 1991), but results from a network of sites with
large samples suggest that temperature and moisture may be more important than the presence of
cheatgrass to predicting bluebunch wheatgrass seedling success (James *et al.*, 2019). In all our
experiments, annuals were abundant in both treatments, although annuals were probably somewhat

481 less abundant in the first year of the seeded experiments since we raked those plots at planting, killing 482 some annuals that had established with the start of the rains in the autumn. Despite the abundance of 483 annuals, perennials established themselves in shaded plots at many times the rate that they established in open plots. Therefore, we feel confident in ruling out the hypothesis that the primary factor limiting 484 485 perennial establishment on south-facing slopes is the high abundance of annuals. Of course, there may 486 be some role of annuals in limiting perennial establishment, and this role could interact with 487 temperature to be more severe on south-facing slopes. However, solar radiation or temperature are 488 almost certainly of major importance. All this said, if annual plants do play some special role in limiting 489 the success of perennial grasses through competition with seedlings, this could mean that the seedlings 490 in our shaded plots faced more obstacles to success than seedlings growing naturally on north-facing 491 slopes. This in turn could mean that our estimates of seedling success from shaded plots are 492 underestimates of seedling success from north-facing slopes, and that our demographic models 493 therefore underestimate the potential differences between north and south-facing slopes.

494

495 Although competition from neighboring annuals may not strongly influence seedling bluebunch 496 wheatgrass survival, our results are consistent with the possibility that neighboring plants may instead 497 sometimes facilitate the success of seedlings by shading them. In particular, shade from woody shrubs 498 may help herbaceous perennial seedlings survive in some contexts (Huber-Sannwald & Pyke, 2005; Boyd 499 & Davies, 2012; Poulos et al., 2014). However, at our site, shrub cover has a limited distribution, with 500 sagebrush rare on both slopes, and rabbitbrush rare on south-facing slopes and only moderately 501 common on north-facing slopes. Therefore, most grass seedlings at our site receive only the more 502 limited shade provided by adjacent herbaceous annuals or perennials. On south-facing slopes, where we 503 conducted our experiments, overall herbaceous cover is lower than on north-facing slopes, due both to 504 the lower productivity and the much lower density of large perennial grasses. Thus, we expect weak, if 505 any, facilitation effects from shading by herbaceous plants on south-facing slopes. This expectation is 506 supported by observations from our naturally-seeded open plots where seedling recruitment was 507 extremely low over multiple years despite being surrounded by tall bunchgrass. Further, unlike our 508 experimentally-seeded plots, we did not rake the naturally-seeded plots, so all residual litter and annual 509 plants that could have provided some shade persisted within the plot. Although limited opportunities 510 for facilitation by shading exist on south-facing slopes, north-facing slopes may have more such 511 opportunities. North-facing slopes presumably have more shade at the soil surface due to higher 512 perennial density, and this could mean that the contrast between the solar environments experienced 513 by seedlings on north-facing and south-facing slopes is even greater than the differences we created 514 between our shade and open plots. However, whether this additional shade on north-facing slopes 515 would facilitate seedling success or would limit seedlings via competition is unclear. The stress-gradient 516 hypothesis (Goldberg & Novoplansky, 1997) predicts a shift from facilitation to competition as 517 conditions become more favorable to growth, as they do as we move from south-facing to north-facing slopes, but we do not know whether conditions are sufficiently favorable on north-facing slopes for 518 519 competition to have become important.

520

521 If the differences in seedling success between our experimental treatments is similar to differences 522 between bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings on north and south-facing slopes, seedling success is likely to 523 be a major demographic driver of the differences in abundance of bluebunch wheatgrass between north 524 and south-facing plots. We saw this especially clearly when we applied the rates of seed and seedling 525 survival from our experiments to existing demographic models from our population. The rates of seed 526 and seedling survival in the open plots of our experiments were often insufficient to create a stable or 527 growing population in our models of south-facing populations. However, the rates from our shaded 528 plots were sufficient to create a growing population in models of the population on north-facing slopes

- 529 in every one of the four years modeled. Further, demographic models from the south-facing population
- 530 indicated this population would grow if its seedling success rate could match that from shaded plots,
- 531 which indicates that low levels of ambient seedling success are alone sufficient to cause this population
- 532 to shrink. Conversely, demographic models from the north-facing population indicated this population
- 533 could shrink, at least in some years, if its seedling success rate matched that from open plots. Thus, it
- 534 seems that differences in seedling success may be sufficient to explain the observed differences in
- 535 bluebunch wheatgrass populations between north and south-facing slopes.
- 536
- 537 Implications:
- 538

539 Climate warming may already be triggering demographic collapse in some populations of bluebunch

- 540 wheatgrass due to dramatically reduced seedling success, and we hypothesize that many other plant
- 541 species are likely to be similarly threatened by climate change. Evidence of this particular demographic 542 threat is growing, especially in environments where seedlings often face water limitation. For instance,
- 543 warmer and drier conditions are associated with reduced tree seedling density in regenerating forests in
- 544
- the Rocky Mountains (Stevens-Rumann et al., 2018), and the dry conditions increasing with climate 545 warming in South Western Australia are associated with reduced seedling number in regenerating
- 546 shrublands (Enright et al., 2014). Further, across a large sample of dryland plant community restoration
- 547 efforts, aridity, which is increased by higher temperatures driving higher evapotranspiration, appears
- 548 correlated with lower seedling recruitment (Shackelford *et al.*, 2021).
- 549

550 From the standpoint of conserving bluebunch wheatgrass populations, our work provides a mix of good 551 and bad news. The good news is that, if plants can be helped through the seedling stage and allowed

- 552 time to develop deep roots, they may be likely to survive well in the types of environments we studied.
- 553 This may be possible at large spatial scales if shrubs, such as sagebrush, that provide shade to grass
- 554 seedlings, can be preserved or revegetated (Huber-Sannwald & Pyke, 2005). However, the bad news is
- 555 that these environments are getting hotter and drier (Bradford et al., 2020), and so seedling
- 556 establishment may become even less likely on south-facing slopes, and with shaded micro-sites
- 557 becoming rare due to fire (Davies et al., 2011), bluebunch wheatgrass plants may disappear from these
- 558 slopes. Further, if north-facing slopes warm sufficiently, even the current strongholds of bluebunch 559 wheatgrass in this landscape may also face demographic collapse. Of course, conserving bluebunch
- 560 wheatgrass is a narrow objective, and a broader objective of conserving the semi-arid rangeland
- 561 ecosystems in which bluebunch wheatgrass grows may also face major challenges if other species in
- 562 these systems are also facing reduced seedling survival or other demographic challenges in a warmer, 563 drier world.
- 564
- 565
- 566 Acknowledgements:
- 567

568 Whitman College provided financial support to this project and to the Wallula Gap Biological Station. N. 569 Bader produced the original solar radiation model that determined the intensity of our shade treatment. 570 L. North made essential contributions to the design and construction of shade plots. Set-up and 571 maintenance of shade plots was helped by undergraduate students in the Whitman College ecology lab 572 and by A. Hill, R. Moettus, O. B. Parker, T. Parker, K. Nicolaysen, L. Bowman, and others. Multiple 573 students gathered data for this project including E. Oschrin, S. Stephan, A. Willson, H. Gaya, C. Bailey, D.

- 574 Reese, M. Miller-Bartley, S. Kuzminski, A. Hill, R. Moettus, A. Zirkes, M. Bowers, E. Chin, R. Husband, C.
- 575 Martin, and C. Tobin.
- 576

- 579 A.G.: Formal analysis, and Investigation, and Writing - original draft. E.C.: Formal analysis, Investigation, 580 and Writing - original draft. L.P.: Formal analysis, Methodology, Software, and Visualization. M.S.: 581 Formal analysis, Investigation, and Writing - original draft. R.K.S.: Data curation, Formal analysis, 582 Methodology, Software, Visualization, Writing - original draft, and Writing - review & editing. T.H.P.: 583 Conceptualization, Data curation, Formal analysis, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Methodology, 584 Project administration, Resources, Software, Supervision, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original 585 draft, and Writing - review & editing. 586 587 588 Literature Cited 589
 - 590 Ackerly, D.D., Knight, C.A., Weiss, S.B., Barton, K. & Starmer, K.P. 2002. Leaf size, specific leaf area and 591 microhabitat distribution of chaparral woody plants: contrasting patterns in species level and 592 community level analyses. Oecologia 130: 449-457.
 - 593 Ackerly, D.D., Loarie, S.R., Cornwell, W.K., Weiss, S.B., Hamilton, H., Branciforte, R. & Kraft, N.J.B. 2010. 594 The geography of climate change: implications for conservation biogeography. Divers. Distrib. 595 **16:** 476-487.
 - 596 Aguirre, L. & Johnson, D.A. 1991. Influence of temperature and cheatgrass competition on seedling 597 development of two bunchgrasses. J. Range Manage. 44: 347-354.
 - 598 Amrhein, V., Korner-Nievergelt, F. & Roth, T. 2017. The earth is flat (p > 0.05): significance thresholds 599 and the crisis of unreplicable research. PeerJ 5: e3544.
 - 600 Armesto, J.J. & Martinez, J.A. 1978. Relations between vegetation structure and slope aspect in the 601 Mediterranean region of Chile. J. Ecol. 66: 881-889.
 - 602 Ashcroft, M.B., Chisholm, L.A. & French, K.O. 2009. Climate change at the landscape scale: predicting 603 fine-grained spatial heterogeneity in warming and potential refugia for vegetation. Glob. Change 604 Biol. 15: 656-667.
 - 605 Bennie, J., Huntley, B., Wiltshire, A., Hill, M.O. & Baxter, R. 2008. Slope, aspect and climate: spatially 606 explicit and implicit models of topographic microclimate in chalk grassland. Ecol. Model. 216: 47-607 59.
 - 608 Boyd, C.S. & Davies, K.W. 2012. Differential seedling performance and environmental correlates in shrub 609 canopy vs. interspace microsites. J. Arid. Environ. 87: 50-57.
 - 610 Bradford, J.B., Schlaepfer, D.R., Lauenroth, W.K. & Palmquist, K.A. 2020. Robust ecological drought 611 projections for drylands in the 21st century. Glob. Change Biol. 26: 3906-3919.
 - 612 Chaves, M.M., Costa, J.M., Zarrouk, O., Pinheiro, C., Lopes, C.M. & Pereira, J.S. 2016. Controlling 613 stomatal aperture in semi-arid regions: the dilemma of saving water or being cool? Plant Sci. 614 **251:** 54-64.
 - 615 Dalgleish, H.J., Koons, D.N., Hooten, M.B., Moffet, C.A. & Adler, P.B. 2011. Climate influences the 616 demography of three dominant sagebrush steppe plants. *Ecology* **92**: 75-85.
 - 617 Davies, K.W., Boyd, C.S., Beck, J.L., Bates, J.D., Svejcar, T.J. & Gregg, M.A. 2011. Saving the sagebrush 618 sea: an ecosystem conservation plan for big sagebrush plant communities. Biol. Conserv. 144: 619 2573-2584.
 - 620 DiTomaso, J.M. 2000. Invasive weeds in rangelands: Species, impacts, and management. Weed Sci. 48: 621 255-265.
 - 622 Dobrowski, S.Z. 2011. A climatic basis for microrefugia: the influence of terrain on climate. Glob. Change 623 *Biol.* 17: 1022-1035.

- 577 Contributors table
- 578

- Enright, N.J., Fontaine, J.B., Lamont, B.B., Miller, B.P. & Westcott, V.C. 2014. Resistance and resilience to
 changing climate and fire regime depend on plant functional traits. *J. Ecol.* 102: 1572-1581.
- Fatichi, S., Katul, G.G., Ivanov, V.Y., Pappas, C., Paschalis, A., Consolo, A., Kim, J. & Burlando, P. 2015.
 Abiotic and biotic controls of soil moisture spatiotemporal variability and the occurrence of hysteresis. *Water Resources Research* 51: 3505-3524.
- Gelbard, J.L. & Harrison, S. 2003. Roadless habitats as refuges for native grasslands: interactions with
 soil, aspect, and grazing. *Ecol. Appl.* 13: 404-415.
- Goldberg, D. & Novoplansky, A. 1997. On the relative importance of competition in unproductive
 environments. *J. Ecol.* 85: 409-418.
- Harris, G.A. 1967. Some competitive relationships between Agropyron spicatum and Bromus tectorum.
 Ecol. Monogr. 37: 89-&.
- Harrison, S. 1999. Native and alien species diversity at the local and regional scales in a grazed California
 grassland. *Oecologia* 121: 99-106.
- Huber-Sannwald, E. & Pyke, D.A. 2005. Establishing native grasses in a big sagebrush-dominated site: an
 intermediate restoration step. *Restoration Ecology* 13: 292-301.
- James, J.J., Svejcar, T.J. & Rinella, M.J. 2011. Demographic processes limiting seedling recruitment in arid
 grassland restoration. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 48: 961-969.
- James, J.J., Sheley, R.L., Leger, E.A., Adler, P.B., Hardegree, S.P., Gornish, E.S. & Rinella, M.J. 2019.
 Increased soil temperature and decreased precipitation during early life stages constrain grass
 seedling recruitment in cold desert restoration. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 56: 2609-2619.
- Keeley, J.E., Lubin, D. & Fotheringham, C.J. 2003. Fire and grazing impacts on plant diversity and alien
 plant invasions in the southern Sierra Nevada. *Ecol. Appl.* 13: 1355-1374.
- Keeley, J.E. 2006. Fire management impacts on invasive plants in the western United States. *Conserv. Biol.* 20: 375-384.
- Knapp, P.A. 1996. Cheatgrass (*Bromus tectorum* L) dominance in the Great Basin Desert: history,
 persistence, and influences to human activities. *Global Environmental Change* 6: 37-52.
- Knick, S.T. & Rotenberry, J.T. 1997. Landscape characteristics of disturbed shrubsteppe habitats in
 southwestern Idaho (USA). *Landsc. Ecol.* 12: 287-297.
- 652 Kulmatiski, A. 2006. Exotic plants establish persistent communities. *Plant Ecol* **187**: 261-275.
- Kulmatiski, A., Beard, K.H. & Stark, J.M. 2006. Exotic plant communities shift water-use timing in a shrub steppe ecosystem. *Plant Soil* 288: 271-284.
- Maclean, I.M.D., Suggitt, A.J., Wilson, R.J., Duffy, J.P. & Bennie, J.J. 2017. Fine-scale climate change:
 modelling spatial variation in biologically meaningful rates of warming. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23:
 256-268.
- McDowell, N.G., Williams, A.P., Xu, C., Pockman, W.T., Dickman, L.T., Sevanto, S., Pangle, R., Limousin, J.,
 Plaut, J., Mackay, D.S., Ogee, J., Domec, J.C., Allen, C.D., Fisher, R.A., Jiang, X., Muss, J.D.,
 Breshears, D.D., Rauscher, S.A. & Koven, C. 2016. Multi-scale predictions of massive conifer
 mortality due to chronic temperature rise. *Nature Climate Change* 6: 295-300.
- Nobel, P.S. & Linton, M.J. 1997. Frequencies, microclimate and root properties for three codominant
 perennials in the northwestern Sonoran Desert on north- vs south-facing slopes. *Ann. Bot.* 80:
 731-739.
- 665 O'Connor, T.G. 1991. Local extinction in perennial grasslands: a life history approach. *Am. Nat.* 137: 753666 773.
- Persico, L.P., McFadden, L.D., McAuliffe, J.R., Rittenour, T.M., Stahlecker, T.E., Dunn, S.B. & Brody, S.A.T.
 2021. Late Quaternary geochronologic record of soil formation and erosion: Effects of climate
 change on Mojave Desert hillslopes (Nevada, USA). *Geology* 50: 54-59.

- Poulos, J.M., Rayburn, A.P. & Schupp, E.W. 2014. Simultaneous, independent, and additive effects of
 shrub facilitation and understory competition on the survival of a native forb (Penstemon
 palmeri). *Plant Ecol* 215: 417-426.
- PRISM.Climate.Group 2022, 5 December. United States Average Total Precipitation, 1991-2020 (800m;
 BIL). Retrieved 3 February, 2023, from https://prism.oregonstate.edu/normals/.
- Pyke, D.A. 1990. Comparative demography of co-occurring introduced and native tussock grasses:
 persistence and potential expansion. *Oecologia* 82: 537-543.
- Rodhouse, T.J., Irvine, K.M., Sheley, R.L., Smith, B.S., Hoh, S., Esposito, D.M. & Mata-Gonzalez, R. 2014.
 Predicting foundation bunchgrass species abundances: model-assisted decision-making in
 protected-area sagebrush steppe. *Ecosphere* 5: 108.
- Scherrer, D. & Körner, C. 2010. Infra-red thermometry of alpine landscapes challenges climatic warming
 projections. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16: 2602-2613.
- Shackelford, N., Paterno, G.B., Winkler, D.E., Erickson, T.E., Leger, E.A., Svejcar, L.N., Breed, M.F., Faist,
 A.M., Harrison, P.A., Curran, M.F., Guo, Q., Kirmer, A., Law, D.J., Mganga, K.Z., Munson, S.M.,
 Porensky, L.M., Quiroga, R.E., Török, P., Wainwright, C.E., Abdullahi, A., Bahm, M.A., Ballenger,
 E.A., Barger, N., Baughman, O.W., Becker, C., Lucas-Borja, M.E., Boyd, C.S., Burton, C.M., Burton,
- 686 P.J., Calleja, E., Carrick, P.J., Caruana, A., Clements, C.D., Davies, K.W., Deák, B., Drake, J., Dullau,
- 687 S., Eldridge, J., Espeland, E., Farrell, H.L., Fick, S.E., Garbowski, M., de la Riva, E.G., Golos, P.J.,
- 688 Grey, P.A., Heydenrych, B., Holmes, P.M., James, J.J., Jonas-Bratten, J., Kiss, R., Kramer, A.T.,
- 689 Larson, J.E., Lorite, J., Mayence, C.E., Merino-Martín, L., Miglécz, T., Milton, S.J., Monaco, T.A.,
- Montalvo, A.M., Navarro-Cano, J.A., Paschke, M.W., Peri, P.L., Pokorny, M.L., Rinella, M.J.,
 Saayman, N., Schantz, M.C., Parkhurst, T., Seabloom, E.W., Stuble, K.L., Uselman, S.M., Valkó, O.,
 Veblen, K., Wilson, S., Wong, M., Xu, Z. & Suding, K.L. 2021. Drivers of seedling establishment
- 693 success in dryland restoration efforts. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* **5:** 1283-1290.
- Shriver, R.K., Campbell, E., Dailey, C., Gaya, H., Hill, A., Kuzminski, S., Miller-Bartley, M., Moen, K.,
 Moettus, R., Oschrin, E., Reese, D., Simonson, M., Willson, A. & Parker, T.H. 2021. Local
 landscape position impacts demographic rates in a widespread North American steppe
 bunchgrass. *Ecosphere* 12: e03351.
- Sternberg, M. & Shoshany, M. 2001. Influence of slope aspect on Mediterranean woody formations:
 Comparison of a semiarid and an arid site in Israel. *Ecol. Res.* 16: 335-345.
- Stevens-Rumann, C.S., Kemp, K.B., Higuera, P.E., Harvey, B.J., Rother, M.T., Donato, D.C., Morgan, P. &
 Veblen, T.T. 2018. Evidence for declining forest resilience to wildfires under climate change.
 Ecol. Lett. 21: 243-252.
- Svejcar, T., Boyd, C., Davies, K., Hamerlynck, E. & Svejcar, L. 2017. Challenges and limitations to native
 species restoration in the Great Basin, USA. *Plant Ecol* 218: 81-94.
- Urban, J., Ingwers, M., McGuire, M.A. & Teskey, R.O. 2017. Stomatal conductance increases with rising
 temperature. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 12: 3.
- Western, A.W., Grayson, R.B., Blöschl, G., Willgoose, G.R. & McMahon, T.A. 1999. Observed spatial
 organization of soil moisture and its relation to terrain indices. *Water Resources Research* 35:
 709 797-810.
- Young, J.A. & Clements, C.D. 2007. Cheatgrass and grazing rangelands. *Rangelands* **29:** 15-20.

- 712 Figure headings
- 713

714 Figure 1. Solar radiation at Spring Gulch, Wallula Gap Biological Station, WA, USA as a proportion of the 715 average solar radiation received on south-facing slopes for the entire year, and separately for 716 December, March, and June. This figure demonstrates that most areas on north-facing slopes receive 717 substantially less solar radiation than south-facing slopes throughout the year (north facing slopes 718 mostly receive 20%-40% of the radiation on the south-facing sites in December, 30%-60% in March, and 719 50%-80% in June, with north-facing slopes receiving an average of 40%-70% of the radiation reaching 720 south-facing values annually). The demography transects are black polygons on the yearly-sum map. The 721 location of each pair of shaded-unshaded plots are white symbols (triangles: seeded experiment 1; 722 circles: seeded experiment 2; squares: unseeded experiment) on the December map. To generate the 723 percentages shown on the maps using ArcGIS Desktop (10.8.2 Build 283888), we divided the monthly 724 average solar radiation for each pixel on the map by the average solar radiation from slopes within 22.5° 725 of south-facing for that month. This denominator excludes solar radiation data from slopes shallower 726 than 10° because our monitoring and experimental sites are steeper than 10° and most of the area on 727 the map below this threshold are currently or formerly under cultivation, and thus do not contain our 728 studied plant community. For more details about the solar radiation models, see Figure S1. 729 730 Figure 2. Violin plots depicting the proportion of bluebunch wheatgrass seeds germinated and surviving 731 to a given sampling time (a, b) or seedlings surviving to a later sampling time (c) in experimentally-732 seeded unshaded (open) and shaded plots established in the winter of 2011-2012. 733 734 Figure 3. Violin plots depicting the proportion of bluebunch wheatgrass seeds germinated and surviving 735 to a given sampling time (a, b, c) or seedlings surviving to a later sampling time (d, e) in experimentally-736 seeded unshaded (open) and shaded plots established in the winter of 2018-2019. 737 738 Figure 4. A shaded (left) and open (unshaded, right) plot from the second experimental seeding 739 experiment. These photos were taken June 8th of the second spring (2020) after experimental initiation. 740 Note the abundance of green grass, mostly bluebunch wheatgrass, in the shade plot and the presence of 741 only one evident bluebunch wheatgrass plant in the open plot. 742 743 Figure 5. Violin plot depicting the number of bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings alive in June of 2022, the 744 fifth growing season after unseeded unshaded (open) and shaded plots were established in the winter 745 of 2017-2018. 746 747 Figure 6. Posterior distributions for estimated population growth rate (λ) on north and south-facing 748 slopes for bluebunch wheatgrass at the Wallula Gap Biological Station, Washington, USA incorporating 749 seed and seedling success data derived from our seeded shade experiments. Each panel corresponds to 750 a single annual transition. The variability in estimates within a year and aspect derives from carrying 751 through all uncertainty in parameter estimates from vital rate models based on observational data 752 presented in a prior paper (Shriver et al., 2021). The observational demographic data are identical in 753 each set of four annual panels, but in the first set, (a) all seed and seedling data come from shaded plots 754 in the first shade experiment, in (b) all seed and seedling data come from unshaded plots in the first 755 shade experiment, in (c) the seed and seedling data for south-facing populations come from unshaded 756 plots and the seed and seedling data for north-facing populations come from shaded plots from the first 757 shade experiment, and in (d) the seed and seedling data for south-facing populations come from 758 unshaded plots and the seed and seedling data for north-facing populations come from shaded plots 759 from the second shade experiment.

763 Table 1. Estimates from generalized linear (mixed) model comparisons of seed and seedling success

764	between shaded and or	pen plots. Bi	nomial estimates	presented both	untransformed and as	odds ratios.
/04	Detween shaueu anu u	pen piots. Di	nonnai estimates	presented both	untransformed and as	ouus ratit

Analysis and error type Fixed effects	Estimate	SE	Estimate as odds ratio	Z	р
2011-seeded					
Binomial error					
2012 counts/seed count					
Intercept	-4.70772	0.13873		-33.94	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	0.75687	0.05258	2.13	14.39	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2013 counts/seed count					
Intercept	-6.6168	0.2401		-27.56	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	1.7027	0.1153	5.48	14.77	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2013 counts/2012 counts					
Intercept	-2.2245	0.3365		-6.612	3.80x10 ⁻¹¹
Treatment (shade – open)	1.9594	0.1575	7.10	12.439	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2018-seeded					
Binomial error					
2019 counts/seed count					
Intercept	-4.79749	0.09372		-51.19	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	0.24329	0.04628	1.28	5.257	0.00000014
2020 counts/seed count				-	
Intercept	-7.7955	0.1883		-41.4	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	3.0629	0.1559	21.4	19.65	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2021 counts/seed count					
Intercept	-9.0529	0.3513		-25.77	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	3.5919	0.2701	36.3	13.3	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2020 counts/2019 counts					
Intercept	-3.1582	0.3303		-9.562	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	4.6605	0.205	105.7	22.737	<2 x 10 ⁻¹⁶
2021 counts/2020 counts					
Intercept	-1.5051	0.4759		-3.162	0.00156
Treatment (shade – open)	1.644	0.3693	5.18	4.452	0.00000851
,					
2018 - UNSEEded					
2018 new seedling counts					
Poisson error	0 4 0 2 2	0 4 4 7 2		0.400	0.004
Intercept	-0.1823	0.44/2		-0.408	0.684
i reatment (shade – open)	1.9/41	0.4773		4.136	0.0000353
2019 new seedling counts					
negative binomial error	4 700	1.040		4 74	0.0070.40
Intercept	-1./92	1.048		-1./1	0.08/342
i reatment (shade – open)	3.689	1.105		3.33/	0.000846
2020 new seedling counts					
negative binomial error	0.0004	0.6450		4 070	0.000
Intercept	-0.6931	0.6459		-1.0/3	0.283
ireatment (snade – open)	3.6288	0./141		5.081	0.00000375
2021 new seedling counts					

negative binomial error				
Intercept	-1.792	1.077	-1.664	0.0961
Treatment (shade – open)	1.386	1.252	1.107	0.2684
2022 new seedling counts				
Poisson error				
Intercept	-18.3	2334.17	-0.008	0.994
Treatment (shade – open)	18.91	2334.17	0.008	0.994
2022 – all surviving seedlings				
germinated 2018-2021				
negative binomial error				
Intercept	-0.4055	0.5599	-0.724	0.469
Treatment (shade – open)	3.0082	0.6239	4.822	0.00000142

Table 2. Estimates from general linear mixed model comparisons of soil temperatures between shaded and open plots. 768

Fixed effects	estimate	SE	Df	t	р
2016: May - early June					
Intercept	22.315	1.258	8.278	17.738	7.06 x 10 ⁻⁸
Treatment (shade – open)	-5.185	1.312	5	-3.952	0.0108
2016: mid-late June					
Intercept	25.000	0.9803	7.6344	25.501	1.15 x 10 ⁻⁸
Treatment (shade – open)	-3.2407	0.9231	5	-3.511	0.0171
2016: July					
Intercept	29.9074	1.1057	7.1604	27.048	1.79 x 10⁻ ⁸
Treatment (shade – open)	-3.8889	0.9515	5	-4.087	0.00947
2017: May - early June					
Intercept	20.6667	0.9324	9.5987	22.164	1.45 x 10 ⁻⁹
Treatment (shade – open)	-2.5	1.1762	5	-2.126	0.0869
2017: mid-late June					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: July					
Intercept	27.6667	0.4595	7.4896	60.215	2.47 x 10 ⁻¹¹
Treatment (shade – open)	-3.3333	0.4216	5	-7.906	0.000521
2018: mid-late June					
Intercept	31.3333	3.1831	5.1678	9.844	0.000153
Treatment (shade – open)	-4.2167	0.8179	5	-5.156	0.003598
2020: mid-late June					
Intercept	38.425	1.104	10.838	34.815	1.79 x 10 ⁻¹²
Treatment (shade – open)	-7.563	1.058	7	-7.145	0.000186
2021: mid-late June					
Intercept	43.975	3.743	7.725	11.747	3.36 x 10⁻ ⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	-6.875	1.663	7	-4.134	0.00438

Table 3. Estimates from general linear mixed model comparisons of soil moisture (proportion by mass)

between shaded and open plots.

Fixed effects	estimate	SE	Df	t	Р
2016: May - early June 0-10 cm					
Intercept	0.08123	0.008122	8.545688	10.002	5.25 x 10⁻ ⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	0.003131	0.008902	4.602111	0.352	0.741
2016: May - early June 10-20 cm					
Intercept	0.078175	0.009138	8.219896	8.555	2.28 x 10⁻⁵
Treatment (shade – open)	0.000878	0.00945	5	0.093	0.930
2016: May - early June 20-30 cm					
Intercept	0.063105	0.006799	9.454386	9.282	4.69 x 10⁻ ⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	-0.00481	0.008381	5	-0.574	0.591
2016: mid-late June 0-10 cm					
Intercept	0.045788	0.003651	9.998452	12.541	1.93 x 10 ⁻⁷
Treatment (shade – open)	-0.0023	0.005131	5.000201	-0.448	0.673
2016: mid-late June 10-20 cm					
Intercept	0.042736	0.003117	9.743637	13.709	1.09 x 10 ⁻⁷
Treatment (shade – open)	-0.00136	0.004035	4.999984	-0.337	0.750
2016: mid-late June 20-30 cm					
Intercept	0.063105	0.006799	9.454386	9.282	4.69 x 10⁻ ⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	-0.00481	0.008381	5	-0.574	0.591
2016: July 0-10 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2016: July 10-20 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2016: July 20-30 cm					ć
Intercept	0.027191	0.002954	9.654589	9.205	4.34 x 10⁻⁵
Treatment (shade – open)	0.005081	0.003762	4.99999	1.351	0.235
2017: May - early June 0-10 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017 11 10 20					
2017: May - early June 10-20 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: May carly lung 20.20 are					
2017: May - early June 20-30 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: mid lata luna 0 10 cm					
2017. Initu-late June 0-10 cm	0 022 400		0 067005	E 026	0 000262
Treatment (shade - open)	0.022400	0.003033	0.007903 5	J.050 1 3/17	0.000203
2017; mid-late lung 10.20 cm	0.003000	0.004309	J	1.347	0.233083
Intercent	0 040604	0 002466	8 60024	11 7/0	1 26 v 10 ⁻⁶
Treatment (shade open)	0.040094	0.003400	0.09024 5	11.74Z	1.20 X 10
2017 mid-late lupe $20-30$ cm	0.003423	0.003034	J	0.033	0.413
2017: mid-late June 20-30 cm					

boundary (singular) fit

2017: July 0-10 cm					
Intercept	0.015414	0.002513	7.960469	6.134	0.000285
Treatment (shade – open)	0.006005	0.002498	5	2.404	0.06129
2017: July 10-20 cm					
Intercept	0.035697	0.003397	7.013316	10.507	1.52 x 10⁻⁵
Treatment (shade – open)	-0.00065	0.002832	5	-0.228	0.828
2017: July 20-30 cm					
Intercept	0.042952	0.004576	9.935375	9.386	2.97 x 10⁻ ⁶
Treatment (shade – open)	0.005674	0.006205	5	0.914	0.402
2018: mid-late June 0- 10cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
2018: mid-late June 0- 10cm					
Intercept	0.02602	0.01258	9.98837	2.069	0.0655
Treatment (shade – open)	0.02144	0.01748	4.99997	1.226	0.2746
2018: mid-late June 0- 10cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2019: July 0-10 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2019: July 10-20 cm					
Intercept	0.028745	0.001908	12.12368	15.067	3.24 x 10⁻ ⁹
Treatment (shade – open)	0.006897	0.002358	6.95422	2.925	0.0224
2019: July 20-30 cm					
Intercept	0.036697	0.001852	9.724229	19.815	3.47 x 10 ⁻⁹
Treatment (shade – open)	0.00397	0.00152	7	2.611	0.0349

Figure 1.



Figure 2.



Figure 3.



Figure 4.















Supplement to:

Potential demographic collapse in a common perennial bunchgrass on south-facing slopes in a semi-arid grassland via dramatically reduced seedling establishment

Timothy H. Parker^{a,d} Alex Gerber^a Erin Campbell^a Molly Simonson^a Robert K. Shriver^b Lyman Persico^c

^a Biology Department, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362 USA

^b Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Sciences, University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada 89557 USA

^c Geology Department, Whitman College, Walla Walla, WA 99362 USA

^d Corresponding author: parkerth@whitman.edu

Supplement Figure S1



Figure S1. Total solar radiation (insolation: direct and diffuse) at Spring Gulch derived from a 1 m resolution digital elevation model. The digital elevation model was derived from a fall/winter 2017 Lidar scan of Columbia, Garfield, and Walla Walla counties, WA, USA (Quantum_Spatial, 2018). We modeled solar radiation for the year with monthly intervals for 2022 using ArcGIS Desktop (10.8.2 Build 283888). In Spring Gulch, total yearly insolation ranges from $1.6 \times 10^4 - 1.3 \times 10^6$ watts per square meter and is strongly controlled by aspect. The shading scale is identical across the December, March, and June maps to show low insolation solar radiation in winter relative to spring and summer.





Figure S2. Solar radiation (insolation; 10³ watts/square meter) on slopes throughout the study area estimated for slope angles greater than 8°. We used eight aspect bins (each 45°) in which to calculate the average solar radiation in the study area (extent of Figures 1 and S1). In each aspect class we summed the solar radiation values for each pixel and divided by the total number of pixels (area) in that aspect class. Southerly aspects receive the most solar radiation and the difference between north and south-facing slopes is greatest in December and least in June.



Figure S3. Solar radiation (insolation) on slopes greater than 10° estimated as a proportion of the average on slopes within 22.5° of south-facing (similar to Figure 1 in the main text). North aspect slopes receive ~65% the solar radiation of the average received on south aspect slopes for the entire year and approximately 30% in December, 50% in March, and just over 80% in June.

treatment emmean SE df lower.CL upper.CL Seed to June 2012 0,00894 0.00123 Inf 0.00683 0.0117 Shade 0.01888 0.0025 Inf 0.01455 0.0245 Seed to June 2013 0 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0 0 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.0082 0.00982 Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.00284 0.000595 Shade 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 0.00284 0.000233 Shade 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-0	derived from generalized linear finixed fr	iouels via the	enimeans	package.		
Seed to June 2012 Open 0.00894 0.00123 Inf 0.00683 0.0117 Shade 0.01888 0.0025 Inf 0.01455 0.0245 Seed to June 2013 0 0 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Open 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Open 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0 0.00141 0.000952 Inf 0.00872 0.00982 Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.00284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.000284 0.000233 Shade 0.000117 <td< td=""><td>treatment</td><td>emmean</td><td>SE</td><td>df</td><td>lower.CL</td><td>upper.CL</td></td<>	treatment	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
Open 0.00894 0.00123 Inf 0.00683 0.0117 Shade 0.01888 0.0025 Inf 0.01455 0.0245 Seed to June 2013 0 0 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.0118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Open 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019	Seed to June 2012					
Shade 0.01888 0.0025 Inf 0.01455 0.0245 Seed to June 2013 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Open 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.00141 0.0768 Inf 0.00682 0.00982 Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.00284 0.00295 Shade 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.0008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.000211 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 <	Open	0.00894	0.00123	Inf	0.00683	0.0117
Seed to June 2013 Open 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Open 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0.000411 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000233 Shade 0.000177 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.00411 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0 0.04231	Shade	0.01888	0.0025	Inf	0.01455	0.0245
Open 0.00134 0.00032 Inf 0.000835 0.00214 Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Open 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019	Seed to June 2013					
Shade 0.00729 0.00159 Inf 0.004746 0.01118 Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.00882 0.00982 Open 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2019 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.00284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf <td< td=""><td>Open</td><td>0.00134</td><td>0.00032</td><td>Inf</td><td>0.000835</td><td>0.00214</td></td<>	Open	0.00134	0.00032	Inf	0.000835	0.00214
Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013 Open 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 U U U U U Open 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.00682 0.00982 Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0 0 0.00411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.000726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.0006669 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0 0 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seed	Shade	0.00729	0.00159	Inf	0.004746	0.01118
Open 0.0976 0.0296 Inf 0.053 0.173 Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.00682 0.00982 Open 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0 0 0.008726 Inf 0.00084 0.000595 Shade 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000699 0.010922 Open 0.0008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0 0 0.00413 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0 0.444 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021	Seedlings in June 2012 to June 2013					
Shade 0.4341 0.0768 Inf 0.294 0.586 Seed to June 2019 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.00682 0.00982 Open 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Open 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0.0428 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361 Open 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Open	0.0976	0.0296	Inf	0.053	0.173
Seed to June 2019 Open 0.00818 0.000761 Inf 0.00682 0.00982 Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0 0 0.00876 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Open 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2020 0 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0.04281 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0.0478 Inf 0.2803 0.361 Open 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.2803 0.361	Shade	0.4341	0.0768	Inf	0.294	0.586
Open0.008180.000761Inf0.006820.00982Shade0.010410.000952Inf0.00870.01245Seed to June 2020000.0004117.74E-05Inf0.0002840.000595Open0.0087261.00E-03Inf0.0069690.010922Seed to June 2021000.001174.11E-05Inf5.88E-050.000233Shade0.00042319.83E-04Inf2.68E-030.006667Seedling in June 2019 to 2020000.0129Inf0.02180.0751Shade0.81790.044Inf0.71570.8891Seedling in June 2020 to 20210000.0708Inf0.08030.361Open0.1820.0708Inf0.08030.3610.02180.361	Seed to June 2019					
Shade 0.01041 0.000952 Inf 0.0087 0.01245 Seed to June 2020 0 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Open	0.00818	0.000761	Inf	0.00682	0.00982
Seed to June 2020 Open 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Shade	0.01041	0.000952	Inf	0.0087	0.01245
Open 0.000411 7.74E-05 Inf 0.000284 0.000595 Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Seed to June 2020					
Shade 0.008726 1.00E-03 Inf 0.006969 0.010922 Seed to June 2021 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Open	0.000411	7.74E-05	Inf	0.000284	0.000595
Seed to June 2021 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Shade	0.008726	1.00E-03	Inf	0.006969	0.010922
Open 0.000117 4.11E-05 Inf 5.88E-05 0.000233 Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Open 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Seed to June 2021					
Shade 0.004231 9.83E-04 Inf 2.68E-03 0.006667 Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Open 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Open	0.000117	4.11E-05	Inf	5.88E-05	0.000233
Seedling in June 2019 to 2020 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Open 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.0182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Shade	0.004231	9.83E-04	Inf	2.68E-03	0.006667
Open 0.0408 0.0129 Inf 0.0218 0.0751 Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Seedling in June 2019 to 2020					
Shade 0.8179 0.044 Inf 0.7157 0.8891 Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 0 0 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361 Open 0.525 0.0708 Inf 0.2020 0.2020 0.2020	Open	0.0408	0.0129	Inf	0.0218	0.0751
Seedling in June 2020 to 2021 Open 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Shade	0.8179	0.044	Inf	0.7157	0.8891
Open 0.182 0.0708 Inf 0.0803 0.361	Seedling in June 2020 to 2021					
	Open	0.182	0.0708	Inf	0.0803	0.361
Shade 0.535 0.0797 Int 0.3802 0.683	Shade	0.535	0.0797	Inf	0.3802	0.683

Table S1. Mean proportion of bluebunch wheatgrass seeds germinated and surviving to a given sampling time or seedlings surviving to a later sampling time in experimentally-seeded open and shaded plots derived from generalized linear mixed models via the emmeans package.

treatment	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
New seedlings 2018					
Open	0.833	0.373	Inf	0.347	2.0
Shade	6.0	1.0	Inf	4.328	8.32
New seedlings 2019					
Open	0.167	0.175	Inf	0.0214	1.3
Shade	6.667	2.342	Inf	3.3485	13.3
New seedlings 2020					
Open	0.5	0.323	Inf	0.141	1.77
Shade	18.8	5.736	Inf	10.368	34.21
New seedlings 2021					
Open	0.167	0.179	Inf	0.0202	1.38
Shade	0.667	0.427	Inf	0.1903	2.34
New seedlings 2022					
Open	0	2.63E-05	Inf	0	Inf
Shade	1.83	5.53E-01	Inf	1.02	3.0
Total surviving seedlings 2022					
Open	0.667	0.373	Inf	0.223	2.0
Shade	13.5	3.717	Inf	7.87	23.2

Table S2. Mean number of bluebunch wheatgrass seedlings detected at a given sampling time in unseeded open and shaded plots derived from generalized linear mixed models via the emmeans package.

models via the enfineans package.					
treatment	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
2016: May - early June					
Open	22.3	1.26	8.28	19.4	25.2
Shade	17.1	1.26	8.28	14.2	20
2016: mid-late June					
Open	25	0.98	7.63	22.7	27.3
Shade	21.8	0.98	7.63	19.5	24
2016: July					
Open	29.9	1.11	7.16	27.3	32.5
Shade	26	1.11	7.16	23.4	28.6
2017: May - early June					
Open	20.7	0.932	9.6	18.6	22.8
Shade	18.2	0.932	9.6	16.1	20.3
2017: mid-late June					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: July					
Open	27.7	0.459	7.49	26.6	28.7
Shade	24.3	0.459	7.49	23.3	25.4
2018: mid-late June					
Open	31.3	3.18	5.17	23.2	39.4
Shade	27.1	3.18	5.17	19	35.2
2020: mid-late June					
Open	38.4	1.1	10.8	36	40.9
Shade	30.9	1.1	10.8	28.4	33.3
2021: mid-late June					
Open	44	3.74	7.72	35.3	52.7
Shade	37.1	3.74	7.72	28.4	45.8

Table S3. Mean soil temperatures (°C) in open and shaded plots derived from general linear mixed models via the emmeans package.

treatment	emmean	SE	df	lower.CL	upper.CL
2016: May - early June 0-10 cm					
Open	0.0812	0.00837	8.51	0.0621	0.1
Shade	0.0844	0.00751	7.98	0.0670	0.102
2016: May - early June 10-20 cm					
Open	0.0782	0.00914	8.22	0.0572	0.0991
Shade	0.0791	0.00914	8.22	0.0581	0.1
2016: May - early June 20-30 cm	0.0004	0.0000	0.45	0.0470	0.0704
Open	0.0631	0.0068	9.45	0.0478	0.0784
Shade	0.0583	0.0068	9.45	0.0430	0.0736
2016: mid-late June 0-10 cm	0.0450	0 00265	10.0	0 0 2 7 7	0.0520
Open	0.0458	0.00365	10.0	0.0377	0.0539
Sildue	0.0435	0.00365	10.0	0.0354	0.0516
2016: Inid-late June 10-20 Cm	0.0427	0 00212	0.74	0 0259	0.0407
Shada	0.0427	0.00312	9.74	0.0358	0.0497
2016: mid-late lune 20-20 cm	0.0414	0.00512	9.74	0.0344	0.0465
Open	0.0631	0 0068	9 /5	0 0478	0.0784
Shade	0.0031	0.0008	9.45	0.0478	0.0736
2016: July 0-10 cm	0.0303	0.0008	5.45	0.0430	0.0750
boundary (singular) fit					
2016: July 10-20 cm					
, boundary (singular) fit					
2016: July 20-30 cm					
Open	0.0272	0.00295	9.65	0.0206	0.0338
Shade	0.0323	0.00295	9.65	0.0257	0.0389
2017: May - early June 0-10 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: May - early June 10-20 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					
2017: May carly lung 20.20 cm					
2017. May - early Julie 20-50 cm					
boundary (singular) ne					
2017: mid-late lune 0-10 cm					
Open	0.0225	0.00385	8.87	0.0138	0.0312
Shade	0.0284	0.00385	8.87	0.0196	0.0371
2017: mid-late June 10-20 cm					
Open	0.0407	0.00347	8.69	0.0328	0.0486
Shade	0.0441	0.00347	8.69	0.0362	0.052
2017: mid-late June 20-30 cm					
boundary (singular) fit					

Table S4. Mean soil moisture (proportion by mass) in open and shaded plots derived from general linear mixed models via the emmeans package.

0.0154	0.00251	7.96	0.00961	0.0212
0.0214	0.00251	7.96	0.01562	0.0272
0.0357	0.0034	7.01	0.0277	0.0437
0.0350	0.0034	7.01	0.0270	0.0431
0.0430	0.00458	9.94	0.0327	0.0532
0.0486	0.00458	9.94	0.0384	0.0588
0.0260	0.0126	9.99	-0.00201	0.0541
0.0475	0.0126	9.99	0.01943	0.0755
0.0287	0.00191	12.0	0.0246	0.0329
0.0356	0.00206	12.4	0.0312	0.0401
0.0367	0.00185	9.72	0.0326	0.0408
0.0407	0.00185	9.72	0.0365	0.0448
	0.0154 0.0214 0.0357 0.0350 0.0430 0.0430 0.0486 0.0260 0.0475 0.0267 0.0356 0.0367 0.0407	0.0154 0.00251 0.0214 0.00251 0.0357 0.0034 0.0350 0.0034 0.0430 0.00458 0.0486 0.00458 0.0260 0.0126 0.0475 0.0126 0.0287 0.00191 0.0356 0.00206 0.0367 0.00185	0.0154 0.00251 7.96 0.0214 0.00351 7.01 0.0357 0.0034 7.01 0.0350 0.00430 7.01 0.0430 0.00458 9.94 0.0486 0.00458 9.94 0.0260 0.0126 9.99 0.0475 0.0126 9.99 0.0475 0.0126 9.99 0.0287 0.00191 12.0 0.0356 0.00185 9.72 0.0367 0.00185 9.72	0.0154 0.00251 7.96 0.00961 0.0214 0.00251 7.96 0.01562 0.0357 0.0034 7.01 0.0277 0.0350 0.0034 7.01 0.0270 0.0430 0.00458 9.94 0.0327 0.0486 0.00458 9.94 0.0327 0.0486 0.00458 9.94 0.0327 0.0486 0.0126 9.99 -0.00201 0.0475 0.0126 9.99 -0.00201 0.0475 0.0126 9.99 -0.0246 0.0356 0.00191 12.0 0.0246 0.0356 0.00206 12.4 0.0312 0.0367 0.00185 9.72 0.0326

Literature cited:

Quantum_Spatial 2018. Washignton 3 Counties LiDAR Techical Data Report, Contrct No. G16PC00016, Task Order No. G17PD01222: 36.