

Developmental environments do not affect thermal physiology in reptiles: An experimental test and meta-analysis

Rose Y. Zhang^{1*}, Kristoffer H. Wild^{1* †}, Patrice Pottier², Maider Iglesias Carrasco^{1,3}, Shinichi Nakagawa² and Daniel W.A. Noble^{1, †}

1 Division of Ecology and Evolution, Research School of Biology, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT, Australia

2 Evolution and Ecology Research Centre, School of Biological, Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of New South Wales, Sydney, NSW, Australia

3 Doñana Biological Station-Spanish Research Council CSIC, Seville, Spain

Authors for Correspondence, †: Kristoffer Wild, Kristoffer.wild@anu.edu.au; Daniel Noble, daniel.noble@anu.edu.au

* Authors Contributed Equally

Abstract:

On a global scale, organisms face significant challenges due to climate change and anthropogenic disturbance. In many ectotherms, developmental and physiological processes are sensitive to changes in temperature and resources. Developmental plasticity in thermal physiology may provide adaptive advantages to environmental extremes if early environmental conditions are predictive of late-life environments. Here, we conducted a laboratory experiment to test how developmental temperature and maternal resource investment influence thermal physiology (critical thermal maximum: CT_{max} & thermal preference: T_{pref}) in a common skink (*Lampropholis delicata*). We then compared our experimental findings more broadly across reptiles using meta-analysis. In both our experimental study and meta-analysis, we did not find evidence that developmental environments influence thermal physiology. Furthermore, the effects of developmental environments on thermal physiology did not vary by age, taxon, or climate zone (temperate/tropical) in reptiles. Overall, the magnitude of developmental plasticity on thermal physiology appears to be limited across reptile taxa. Our results suggest that behavioural or evolutionary processes, as opposed to developmental plasticity, may be more critical in mitigating the impacts of changing thermal conditions in reptiles in the future.

Introduction

Climate warming and anthropogenic stressors pose significant challenges to organisms on a global scale^[1,2]. Rapidly increasing temperatures are a particularly significant threat for ectothermic species. Indeed, increasing temperatures can drive fitness declines due to physiological intolerance^[3], and alter the distribution of species^[4]. Inevitably, these impacts are primarily mediated by how organisms change their behaviour and physiology through development and evolutionary time in response to shifting environments. Phenotypic changes that occur during an animal's lifetime in response to changing environments (i.e., phenotypic plasticity), are important mechanisms by which ectotherms can cope with climate change over short time scales^[5]. However, the magnitude of plastic responses is widely trait- and species-specific^[5-7]

Temperature can also have transgenerational effects by impacting parental generations^[8,9]. For instance, recent evidence indicates that some ectotherms can tolerate heat events for long periods^[5,10]. Thermal ecology of ectotherms can also be shaped by other factors, such as diet or maternal investment, which can influence physiological traits that are temperature dependent^[11-13]. For example, a diet high in nutrients (carbohydrate or protein) leads to higher metabolic rates and CT_{max} , while a diet low in these nutrients can result in lower physiological estimates^[14,15]. Additionally, the resources a mother invests in her offspring (i.e., the energetic provisioning of eggs) can influence metabolic processes like growth and development^[16]. Determining how thermal and resource environments during development affect key thermal physiological traits in various taxa may provide an understanding of how species may cope with changing environments.

While phenotypic plasticity can adjust phenotypes throughout life, developmental plasticity – plasticity occurring during early embryonic development – can have organisational effects on phenotypes that can affect responses later in life^[6]. Such effects may be adaptive or maladaptive depending on whether early-life environments are predictive of late-life environments. While temperature and early resource provisioning can influence thermal traits in ectotherms^[17], most research effort has focused on temperature, which is known to have a profound effect on fitness^[18,19]. In reptiles, temperatures during embryonic development are known to affect phenotypes throughout ontogeny^[7]. For example, incubation conditions of developing reptile embryos can impact a variety of traits including sex, growth rate, morphology, behaviour, and cognition^[7,19,20]. However, there is a dearth of evidence linking developmental factors more generally to thermal traits, and whether these differences persist through various stages of ontogeny in reptiles^[21,22].

Here, we aim to determine how early developmental environments affect thermal physiology (critical thermal maximum: CT_{max} & thermal preference: T_{pref}) in reptiles. CT_{max} & T_{pref} are two common thermal indices used as proxies for how the environment influences individual fitness and are used to predict how species distributions are predicted to shift with climate change^[3,23,24]. We first conduct a laboratory experiment to test how maternal investment and developmental temperature both influence CT_{max} & T_{pref} in a common skink (*Lampropholis delicata*). We then compare our experimental findings with quantitative results testing this same question more broadly in reptiles using a meta-analysis.

Method and materials

(a) Consequences of incubation temperature and resource allocation on thermal physiology: an experimental manipulation

We collected gravid *Lampropholis delicata* from populations in Sydney (Australia) and transported them back to the Australian National University, where females were housed until eggs ($n=40$) were laid. We then randomly assigned eggs ($n=20$) to both a resource allocation treatment ('R' - yolk removal or 'C' - control) and an incubation temperature (23°C or 28°C SD ± 1.0) treatment. Yolk removal treatments followed Sinervo^[25], with 15-20% of the total egg mass being removed via a sterilised syringe. Control treatments were punctured with the syringe without any yolk removal (See Supplementary materials for further details on husbandry).

Hatchlings from all treatments were housed in groups of 5-6 within 20 L [40 cm (l) x 29.5 cm (w) x 20.5 cm (h)] plastic enclosures, with UVA/UVB lighting and a 20W heat lamp in each enclosure. Water was provided *ad libitum*, with enclosures misted daily. Lizards were fed calcium and vitamin-dusted crickets (*Acheta domesticus*) every second day. We measured thermal traits (CT_{max} and T_{pref} , - for collection methods, see Supp.) of lizards at eight to eleven months post-hatching.

All statistical analyses were conducted using the R environment, ver. 4.1.0 (www.r-project.org). We used linear mixed-effects models to analyse thermal traits (T_{pref} and CT_{max}). We constructed models that contained the main effects of body mass, sex, incubation temperature and resource treatment. We also tested for the interaction between incubation temperature and resource treatment (see Supp. for more details). If the interaction was not significant, we removed it and presented the full main effects model.

(b) Meta-analysis of early thermal effects on thermal physiology in reptiles

To understand more broadly the impact of developmental environments on thermal physiology, we systematically searched for studies manipulating early developmental environments and subsequently measuring thermal physiological traits. Unfortunately, few studies manipulated egg resource investment and measured thermal tolerance. As such, it was only possible to focus on developmental temperature manipulations. Our meta-analysis collected data on offspring's thermal preference (T_{pref}) and critical thermal maximum (CT_{max}) in lizards, snakes, tortoises, turtles, and tuatara.

In brief, we conducted a systematic literature search in Scopus, ISI Web of Science (core collection), and ProQuest (dissertations and thesis) and did not apply a timespan limit. We followed the PRISMA-EcoEvo (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews & Meta-Analyses in Ecology and Evolutionary biology) guidelines for reporting^[26] (see Supp. for more details). Full search strings, search methods, and selection criteria are described in detail in supporting information (Figs. S2&3). We obtained 485 original records, and 15 articles satisfied our selection criteria.

Multilevel meta-analytic (MLMA) models were constructed using the *rma.mv* function in the *metafor* package (version 3.8)^[27]. We used the acclimation response ratio (ARR) as our effect size^[28]. Sampling variance for the ARR was derived in Pottier et al.,^[29]. Study, phylogeny, and study species were designated as random effects and we included an observation-random effect (effect size ID). A model that included only study, species and effect size ID was best supported over one with phylogeny, so we present meta-analytic results from a model without phylogeny. Studies often had more than two temperature treatments. As such, we derived all pairwise effect size comparisons. This, however, does induce a correlation between effect size

sampling errors, which we controlled for through the inclusion of a sampling (co)variance matrix derived by assuming effect sizes are correlated by $r = 0.5$ ^[30]. Thermal trait (T_{pref} or CT_{max}), life stage at measurement (hatchling, juvenile or adult), climate zone (temperate or tropical), and major taxonomic group (lizard, snake, tuatara or turtle) were included as fixed factors in separate multi-level meta-regression (MLMR) models. We also tested for publication bias using a MLMR model with sampling variance and standard error as predictors^[31] and visually explored publication bias using a funnel plot (see *Supp. for more details*). We present effect size heterogeneity by constructing prediction intervals^[32] and presenting I^2 using the *orchaRd* package (version 2.0)^[33].

Results

a) Incubation temperature and resource allocation consequences on thermal preference and critical thermal maximum

Hatchling *Lampropholis delicata* ($n=40$) were measured for thermal preference (T_{pref}) and critical thermal maximum (CT_{max}) with ($n=10$) per resource treatment (yolk removal and control) by incubation temperature (23 & 28°C). Mean T_{pref} was 31°C ± 0.47 (mean \pm SE) and ranged from 20.99–34.26°C. Mean CT_{max} was 43.04°C ± 0.23 and ranged from 38.6–45.2°C. We did not detect any effect of incubation temperature, yolk treatment, sex, or body mass on T_{pref} or CT_{max} (Figure 1A|B; Table 1).

b) Meta-analysis of early thermal effects on thermal physiology in reptiles

Across reptiles, developmental temperatures did not influence thermal traits (T_{pref} or CT_{max}), but heterogeneity was high (ARR = 0.05, 95% CI: -0.28-0.37; $I^2_{\text{Total}} = 99.53\%$, Prediction Interval: -1.23-1.32; Fig. 2A, $n = 69$ effects from 14 species). Overall, we found no evidence for publication biases ($\beta = -0.81$, 95% CI = -1.92-0.3, $p = 0.15$; Fig S4; for further details see electronic supplementary materials). Species effects ($I^2_{\text{Species}} = 70.57\%$) drove most of the heterogeneity in ARR, but thermal traits were not influenced by life stage, climate zone, or major taxonomic group (i.e., snakes, turtles, lizards) (Fig. 2B|C). While there was a significant increase in thermal traits in snakes, this was driven by a single species (*Nerodia sipedon*) (Fig 2D), and given the small sample sizes, we need to caution whether any true differences between snakes and other groups exists.

Discussion

Genetic adaptation and phenotypic plasticity are two competing hypotheses for how ectotherms can cope with warming temperatures associated with anthropogenic climate change^[3,34–36]. Plastic responses occurring early in development can have anticipatory and long-lasting effects on organisms, with significant implications for how they cope with environmental stressors.

We show that early developmental environments do little to modify thermal physiological traits (CT_{max} & T_{pref}) in most reptile taxa. Both our experimental and meta-analytic approaches suggest that the magnitude of developmental plasticity on thermal indices appears to be canalised across reptile taxa. For example, our meta-analysis indicated that for every 1°C change in developmental temperature, we only expect a 0.05°C change in thermal physiology. Our findings are consistent with those of other ectotherm systems, which show that developmental plasticity has little impact on adult heat tolerance^[6,37–39]. Nonetheless, we detected significant species-specific heterogeneity

($I_{Species}^2 = 70.57\%$), suggesting substantial differences across species that cannot be ignored. Such variability may be driven by species differences in micro-habitat selection of nests or nesting phenology in the wild and whether developmental conditions in the field corroborate with conditions chosen for laboratory experiments. It has been indicated in other studies^[40–43] that differences in nest depth, nest location, clutch density or maternal condition may select for developmentally plastic responses in offspring.

Across reptile taxa, plasticity in thermal physiology also did not differ by age, taxon or climate zone. We expected that the earlier age at which thermal traits were measured would be more likely to detect effects of early environments. In addition, tropical species are expected to maintain body temperatures near their thermal limits, and an increase in temperature can push these species to physiological extremes compared to temperate species^[3,36]. Greater thermal variability in temperate regions should select for greater plasticity. However, our meta-analysis does not support these hypotheses, and instead, the microthermal environments and behavioural flexibility may be a more important driving mechanism as to whether species respond plastically to developmental environments or not^[3,44]. Future studies looking at the autocorrelation between early and late developmental environments would be fruitful in helping elucidate species-specific responses to thermal environments.

Overall, our results indicate that most reptiles may have limited developmental plasticity in thermal traits, relying instead on energetically expensive behaviours (i.e. thermoregulation)^[3,45] or responses that operate on slower time scales (i.e. local adaptation)^[35,46]. These data collectively serve as valuable insights into possible responses that are plausible under changing thermal conditions.

Ethics. All experimental procedures followed approved protocols by the ANU Animal Ethics Committee (ARA2019/17). Lizards were caught under NPWS permit LT201917.

Data accessibility. Experimental and meta-analytic datasets are available from:

https://github.com/kris-wild/Lampro_project.git

Authors' contributions. K.H.W., R.Y.Z., P.P., M.I.C., S.N. and D.W.N conceived, designed and executed the project. K.H.W, R.Y.Z., and P.P. conducted the statistical analysis. K.H.W., R.Y.Z., and D.W.N wrote the paper. All authors provided critical feedback on previous versions of the paper.

Competing interests. We declare no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by an ARC Discovery Grant to D.N. (DP210101152)

Literature Cited (** indicate studies use in the meta-analysis)

1. Parmesan C. Ecological and Evolutionary Responses to Recent Climate Change. Source: Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics. 2006;37:637–69.
2. Sala OE, Stuart F, Iii C, Armesto JJ, Berlow E, Bloomfield J, et al. Global Biodiversity Scenarios for the Year 2100. Science. 2000;287(5459):1770–4.
3. Huey RB, Kearney MR, Krockenberger A, Holtum JAM, Jess M, Williams SE. Predicting organismal vulnerability to climate warming: Roles of behaviour, physiology and adaptation. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. 2012;367(1596):1665–79.
4. Peralta-Maraver I, Rezende EL. Heat tolerance in ectotherms scales predictably with body size. Nat Clim Chang. 2021;11(1):58–63.
5. Seebacher F, White CR, Franklin CE. Physiological plasticity increases resilience of ectothermic animals to climate change. Nat Clim Chang. 2015 Dec 18;5(1):61–6.
6. Pottier P, Burke S, Zhang RY, Noble DWA, Schwanz LE, Drobniak SM, et al. Developmental plasticity in thermal tolerance: Ontogenetic variation, persistence, and future directions. Ecol Lett. 2022;25:2245–68.
7. Noble DWA, Stenhouse V, Schwanz LE. Developmental temperatures and phenotypic plasticity in reptiles: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Biological Reviews. 2018;93(1):72–97.
8. Sales K, Vasudeva R, Dickinson ME, Godwin JL, Lumley AJ, Michalczyk Ł, et al. Experimental heatwaves compromise sperm function and cause transgenerational damage in a model insect. Nat Commun. 2018 Dec 1;9(1).
9. Salinas S, Munch SB. Thermal legacies: Transgenerational effects of temperature on growth in a vertebrate. Ecol Lett. 2012;15(2):159–63.
10. Kirchhof S, Hetem RS, Lease HM, Miles DB, Mitchel D, McUller J, et al. Thermoregulatory behavior and high thermal preference buffer impact of climate change in a Namib Desert lizard. Ecosphere. 2017;8(12).
11. Burton T, Killen SS, Armstrong JD, Metcalfe NB. What causes intraspecific variation in resting metabolic rate and what are its ecological consequences? Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences. Royal Society; 201; 278: 3465–73.
12. Tobler M, Nilsson JÅ, Nilsson JF. Costly steroids: Egg testosterone modulates nestling metabolic rate in the zebra finch. Biol Lett. 2007;3(4):408–10.
13. Zhao CL, Zhao T, Feng JY, Chang LM, Zheng PY, Fu SJ, et al. Temperature and Diet Acclimation Modify the Acute Thermal Performance of the Largest Extant Amphibian. Animals. 2022;12(4).
14. Hardison EA, Kraskura K, van Wert J, Nguyen T, Eliason EJ. Diet mediates thermal performance traits: Implications for marine ectotherms. Journal of Experimental Biology. 2021;224(21).
15. Bujan J, Kaspari M. Nutrition modifies critical thermal maximum of a dominant canopy ant. J Insect Physiol. 2017 Oct 1;102:1–6.
16. Mousseau TA, Fox CW. The adaptive significance of maternal effects. Trends Ecol Evol. 1998;13(10):403–7.
17. Angilletta Jr MJ, Angilletta MJ. Thermal adaptation: a theoretical and empirical synthesis. New York, NY, USA: Oxford University Press; 2009.

18. Huey RB, Berrigan D. Temperature, Demography, and Ectotherm Fitness. *The American Naturalists*. 2001;2:158–210.
19. Sibly RM, Atkinson D. How Rearing Temperature Affects Optimal Adult Size in Ectotherms. *Ecology*. 1994;8(4):486–93.
20. Bull JJ. Sex Determination in Reptiles. Source: *The Quarterly Review of Biology*. 1980;55(1):3–2.
21. Refsnider JM, Clifton IT, Vazquez TK. Developmental plasticity of thermal ecology traits in reptiles: Trends, potential benefits, and research needs. *J Therm Biol*. 2019;84:74–82.
22. Bodensteiner BL, Agudelo-Cantero GA, Arietta AZA, Gunderson AR, Muñoz MM, Refsnider JM, et al. Thermal adaptation revisited: How conserved are thermal traits of reptiles and amphibians? *J Exp Zool A Ecol Integr Physiol*. 2021;335(1):173–94.
23. Hoffmann AA, Chown SL, Clusella-Trullas S. Upper thermal limits in terrestrial ectotherms: How constrained are they? *Funct Ecol*. 2013;27(4):934–49.
24. Sinervo B, Mendez-De-La-Cruz F, Miles DB, Heulin B, Bastiaans E, Villagrán-Santa Cruz M, et al. Erosion of Lizard Diversity by Climate Change and Altered Thermal Niches. *Science*. 2010;328(5980):894–9.
25. Sinervo B. The evolution of maternal investment in lizards: an experimental and comparative analysis of egg size and its effects on offspring performance. *Evolution*. 1990;44(2):279–94.
26. O’Dea RE, Lagisz M, Jennions MD, Koricheva J, Noble DWA, Parker TH, et al. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses in ecology and evolutionary biology: a PRISMA extension. *Biological Reviews*. 2021;96(5):1695–722.
27. Viechtbauer W. Conducting Meta-Analyses in R with the metafor Package. *Journal of Statistical Software*. 2010; 36:1-8.
28. Claussen DL. Thermal acclimation in Ambystomatid salamanders. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* . 1977;58(4):333–40.
29. Pottier P, Burke S, Drobniak SM, Lagisz M, Nakagawa S. Sexual (in)equality? A meta-analysis of sex differences in thermal acclimation capacity across ectotherms. *Funct Ecol*. 2021 Dec 1;35(12):2663–78.
30. Noble DWA, Lagisz M, O’dea RE, Nakagawa S. Nonindependence and sensitivity analyses in ecological and evolutionary meta-analyses. *Mol Ecol*. 2017;26(9):2410–25.
31. Nakagawa S, Lagisz M, Jennions MD, Koricheva J, Noble DWA, Parker TH, et al. Methods for testing publication bias in ecological and evolutionary meta-analyses. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*. 2022;13: 4–21.
32. Noble DWA, Pottier P, Lagisz M, Burke S, Drobniak SM, O’Dea RE, et al. Meta-analytic approaches and effect sizes to account for ‘nuisance heterogeneity’ in comparative physiology. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2022; 225.
33. Nakagawa S, Lagisz M, O’dea RE, Pottier P, Rutkowska J, Senior AM, et al. orchaRd 2.0: An R package for visualizing meta-analyses with 2 orchard plots. *EcoEvoRxiv*. 2023.
34. Sunday JM, Bates AE, Kearney MR, Colwell RK, Dulvy NK, Longino JT, et al. Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across

- latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* . 2014;111(15):5610–5.
35. Kellermann V, van Heerwaarden B, Sgrò CM, Hoffmann AA. Fundamental evolutionary limits in ecological traits drive drosophila species distributions. *Science* (1979). 2009;325(5945):1244–6.
 36. Deutsch CA, Tewksbury JJ, Huey RB, Sheldon KS, Ghalambor CK, Haak DC, et al. Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2008;105(18):6686–6672.
 37. MacLean HJ, Sørensen JG, Kristensen TN, Loeschke V, Beedholm K, Kellermann V, et al. Evolution and plasticity of thermal performance: An analysis of variation in thermal tolerance and fitness in 22 *Drosophila* species. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2019;374(1778).
 38. Enriquez-Urzelai U, Sacco M, Palacio AS, Pintanel P, Tejedo M, Nicieza AG. Ontogenetic reduction in thermal tolerance is not alleviated by earlier developmental acclimation in *Rana temporaria*. *Oecologia*. 2019;189(2):385–94.
 39. Gunderson AR, Fargevieille A, Warner DA. Egg incubation temperature does not influence adult heat tolerance in the lizard *Anolis sagrei*. *Biol Lett*. 2020 Jan 1;16(1). **
 40. Shine R, Harlow PS. Maternal manipulation of offspring phenotypes via nest-site selection in an oviparous lizard. *Ecology*. 1996;77(6):1808–17.
 41. Mitchell TS, Warner DA, Janzen FJ. Phenotypic and fitness consequences of maternal nest-site choice across multiple early life stages. *Ecology*. 2013 Feb;94(2):336–45.
 42. Bonduriansky R, Head M. Maternal and paternal condition effects on offspring phenotype in *Telostylinus angusticollis* (Diptera: Neriidae). *J Evol Biol*. 2007;20(6):2379–88.
 43. Parker GA, Begon M. Optimal Egg Size and Clutch Size: Effects of Environment and Maternal Phenotype. *Am Nat*. 1986;128(4):573–92.
 44. Sunday JM, Bates AE, Kearney MR, Colwell RK, Dulvy NK, Longino JT, et al. Thermal-safety margins and the necessity of thermoregulatory behavior across latitude and elevation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2014;111(15):5610–5.
 45. Kearney M, Shine R, Porter WP. The potential for behavioral thermoregulation to buffer “cold-blooded” animals against climate warming. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2009;10(10):3835–40.
 46. Gunderson AR, Stillman JH. Plasticity in thermal tolerance has limited potential to buffer ectotherms from global warming. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*. 2015 May 20;282(1808).
 47. Nakagawa S, Lagisz M, O’Dea RE, Rutkowska J, Yang Y, Noble DWA, et al. The orchard plot: Cultivating a forest plot for use in ecology, evolution, and beyond. *Res Synth Methods*. 2021;12(1):4–12.

** Abayarathna MG. *The effect of incubation temperature on offspring phenotypes and survival of velvet gecko, Amalosia lesueurii* (Doctoral dissertation).**

- ** Alberts AC, Perry AM, Lemm JM, Phillips JA. Effects of incubation temperature and water potential on growth and thermoregulatory behavior of hatchling Cuban rock iguanas (*Cyclura nubila*). *Copeia*. 1997; 766-76. **
- ** Arnold SJ, Peterson CR, Gladstone J. Behavioural variation in natural populations. VII. Maternal body temperature does not affect juvenile thermoregulation in a garter snake. *Animal Behaviour*. 1995 Jan 1;50(3):623-33. **
- ** Beltrán I, Durand V, Loiseleur R, Whiting MJ. Effect of early thermal environment on the morphology and performance of a lizard species with bimodal reproduction. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B*. 2020 Nov;190(6):795-809. **
- ** Blouin-Demers G, Kissner KJ, Weatherhead PJ. Plasticity in preferred body temperature of young snakes in response to temperature during development. *Copeia*. 2000; (3):841-5**
- ** Dayananda B, Murray BR, Webb JK. Hotter nests produce hatchling lizards with lower thermal tolerance. *Journal of Experimental Biology*. 2017 Jun 15;220(12):2159-65. **
- ** Goodman RM, Walguarnery JW. Incubation temperature modifies neonatal thermoregulation in the lizard *Anolis carolinensis*. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecological Genetics and Physiology*. 2007;307(8):439-48. **
- ** Llewelyn J, Macdonald SL, Moritz C, Martins F, Hatcher A, Phillips BL. Adjusting to climate: acclimation, adaptation and developmental plasticity in physiological traits of a tropical rainforest lizard. *Integrative Zoology*. 2018 Jul;13(4):411-27. .**
- ** Nelson NJ, Keall SN, Hare KM. Temperature selection by juvenile tuatara (*Sphenodon punctatus*) is not influenced by temperatures experienced as embryos. *Journal of Thermal Biology*. 2017;69:261-6.*
- ** O'Steen SH. Embryonic temperature influences juvenile temperature choice and growth rate in snapping turtles *Chelydra serpentina*. *The Journal of Experimental Biology*. 1998;201(3):439-49.**
- ** Qualls CP, Andrews RM. Cold climates and the evolution of viviparity in reptiles: cold incubation temperatures produce poor-quality offspring in the lizard, *Sceloporus virgatus*. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*. 1999;67(3):353-76.**
- ** Spotila JR, Zimmerman LC, Binckley CA, Grumbles JS, Rostal DC, List Jr A, Beyer EC, Phillips KM, Kemp SJ. Effects of incubation conditions on sex determination, hatching success, and growth of hatchling desert tortoises, *Gopherus agassizii*. *Herpetological Monographs*. 1994; 1:103-16. **

Tables & Figures

Table 1. Model outputs coefficients for testing whether sex, body mass, incubation temperature, resource, or the interaction between resource and temperature had an effect on T_{pref} or CT_{max} in hatchling *Lampropholis delicata*. Est. value describes the estimated coefficient value and 95% CI describes the lower and upper bound of the 95% credible interval for each coefficient value. Intercept is the estimated mean of each thermal trait from the null model.

Thermal Index	Covariate	Estimate	l-95% CI	u-95% CI	p value
<i>T_{pref}</i>	(Intercept)	30.94	28.67	33.20	0.00
	Body Mass	0.44	-0.97	1.86	0.53
	Sex	0.30	-2.50	3.09	0.83
	Incubation Temperature	-0.35	-2.36	1.66	0.72
	Resource	0.19	-1.83	2.20	0.85
	Incubation Temperature*Resource	-0.22	-4.31	3.87	0.91
<i>CT_{max}</i>	(Intercept)	43.27	42.17	44.37	0.00
	Body Mass	-0.41	-1.08	0.25	0.21
	Sex	-0.03	-1.35	1.28	0.96
	Incubation Temperature	-0.18	-1.14	0.78	0.70
	Resource	-0.24	-1.20	0.71	0.61
	Incubation Temperature*Resource	-0.52	-2.47	1.44	0.59




Figure 1. Thermal indices across different incubation temperatures and resource treatments for hatchling *Lampropholis delicata* ($n=10$ per temperature and treatment). (A) Thermal preference (T_{pref}) in lizards incubated at 23 & 28°C for each resource treatment (yolk ablation & control). (B) Critical thermal maximum (CT_{max}) in lizards incubated at 23 & 28°C for each resource treatment. Bars above plots indicate pairwise comparisons of thermal indices between treatment temperature and the interaction between treatment temperature and resource treatment. Means and 95% confidence intervals are provided along with the p -value for each contrast.




Figure 2. The magnitude of the effect of developmental temperature on thermal indices (T_{pref} & CT_{max}) in reptiles (A) concerning age class of thermal physiological measurement (B), climate zone (C), and taxon (D). Mean meta-analytic ARR estimates (circles) with their 95% confidence intervals (thicker error bars) and prediction intervals (thinner error bars). Data points from each study from the meta-analysis are scaled by precision (inverse of standard error), and k is the number of effect sizes with the number of species in brackets. ARR is the acclimation response ratio. 95% confidence intervals not overlapping 0 are statistically significant. Graphs were constructed using the *orchaRd* package⁴⁷. Tuatara was removed for visual purposes due to the small number of effect sizes (n=3)

