Accounting for cloud cover and circannual variation puts the effect of lunar phase on deer-vehicle collisions into perspective

by Jacopo Cerri¹, Laura Stendardi², Elena Bužan^{3,4}, Boštjan Pokorny^{4,5}

- 1. Department of Veterinary Medicine, University of Sassari, Via Vienna 2, 07100, Sassari, Italy jcerri@uniss.it
- 2. Department of Agriculture, food, environment, and forestry (DAGRI), University of Florence, Florence, Italy
- 3. Faculty of Mathematics, Natural Sciences and Information Technologies, University of Primorska, Glagoljaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia
- 4. Faculty of Environmental Protection, Trg mladosti 7, 3320 Velenje, Slovenia
- 5. Slovenian Forestry Institute, Večna pot 2, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Abstract Although several studies have focused on the influence of moonlight over deer-vehicle collisions, findings have been inconsistent. This may be due to neglecting the effects of cloud cover, a major impediment to moon illumination, and circannual variation in both deer and human activity.

We modeled how median cloud cover interacted with the illuminated fraction of the moon in affecting daily roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) roadkill in Slovenia (Central Europe). Data included nationwide roadkill (n = 49,259), collected between 2010 and 2019 on a mandatory basis by hunters as well-trained citizen scientists.

Roadkill peaked for medium-to-high cloud cover, while decreased at nights with low or extremely high cloudiness. This pattern was more pronounced at full moon nights. However, the effects of moon illumination and cloud cover had a lower predictive potential than circannual variation.

Our results suggest that moonlight could influence deer movements through compensatory foraging. However, at full moon nights collisions could then be modulated by weather conditions, affecting human movement and vehicle traffic, and likely also road crossing by roe deer.

Moon illumination may indeed affect wildlife-vehicle collisions and roadkill, but its effects should be quantified as a function of cloud cover. Because collisions with roe deer, the most common road-killed large mammals in Europe, peak at nights with full moon and casted skies, and at some precise periods of the year, interactive warning signs that detect ground illumination at these periods may improve drivers' awareness and increase their safety.

Warning

This is a *preprint*, not a peer-reviewed study. If you do not know what a preprint is, we encourage you to read more about this type of documents (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Preprint), before evaluating and citing the study.

Understanding environmental and biological factors that affect wildlife roadkill is fundamental to predict their spatial and temporal occurrence, and thus mitigate their effects on human welfare ^{[1][2]} and wildlife populations ^{[3][4]}. Although many studies have focused on which landscape features are associated with collision hotspots or the circadian patterns of collisions, less attention has been paid to which environmental or seasonal factors drive their occurrence over time ^[5].

Among environmental factors associated to wildlife roadkill, moon illumination has received a certain attention, due to its widespread influence on ecological dynamics in terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems ^[6]. In terrestrial mammals, moon phase alters movement, primarily by acting on foraging and antipredatory behavior ^[7]. For example, in cervids (deer species), probably the most studied mammals in road ecology ^[8] (see also Table S1), some species were found to increase their movements during full moons, although no universal consensus exists ^[9]. Thus, by affecting the movements of wild ungulates, full moon nights are thought to promote road crossings and collisions with vehicles. In addition, there is mounting evidence that full moon may also slightly increase accident risk by affecting drivers' behavior, through distraction ^{[10][11]} or an increase in perceptual errors ^{[12][13]}.

However, findings from the few studies that have examined the effect of moon illumination on wildlifevehicle collisions are quite contradictory (Table S2). While some indeed found an effect of moonlight on collision of deer with vehicles or trains, causing a peak at full moon nights ^{[14][15][16][17][18]}, others found no effect at all ^{[19][20]} or showed that moonlight was associated to collisions only at certain times of the year ^{[14][21]}. Finally, studies that considered multiple species found significant species-specific differences in the effect of moonlight on roadkill ^{[22}.

This heterogeneity can be caused by several factors, including behavioral differences among deer species, or different traffic volumes and patterns. Moreover, while some studies relied on time-series analysis ^[18] or spatiotemporal modeling ^[22], others compared pooled data through null-hypothesis testing ^[14]. Another important but yet underestimated factor, that may have biased results from previous studies, is cloud cover. Although cloud cover generally increases the number of collisions due to precipitations, which make driving far more demanding than usual ^{[23][24]} and also affect traffic volume ^[23], clouds are also a major barrier to moonlight, reducing moon illuminance and irradiance up to 99.7% - 99.9% ^[25], and thus curtailing illumination on the ground. Illumination on the ground is the primary mechanism by which the moon affects ungulate nocturnal movement, as it enables the use of vision ^[26] and thus for effective spatial orientation and antipredatory vigilance ^[27], in turn enabling compensatory or cumulative foraging ^[28].

Thus, a failure to account for cloud cover may lead to false associations between the moon and wildlife-vehicle collisions: what makes terrestrial wildlife move at night is the available illumination on the ground, not the moon per se. Although this idea has already been considered in movement ecology ^{[28][29]}, it has been ignored in road ecology, despite mentioned in some studies ^{[14][16][20]}.

In this study, we provide the first quantification of the combined effect of moon phase and cloudiness on deer-vehicle collisions by combining: *i*) daily data on roadkill of European roe deer (*Capreolus capreolus*) as a reliable indicator of the number of collisions between this species and vehicles, collected across Slovenia in a ten-years period (2010–2019) through a robust and standardized protocol (for details, see Pokorny *et al.* ^[30]), *ii*) data on moon illumination, and *iii*) time series of remote sensing data on cloud cover.



Figure 1: Map of the study area (on the left), depicting the total number of road-killed roe deer in Slovenia, between 2010 and 2020. Daily number of roadkill involving roe deer (on the right), between 2010 and 2019, highlighting train and test data used in the analyses.

Study area and data collection

The study area covered the entire land area of Slovenia, a central-European country spanning over 20,273 km², characterized by a complex assemblages of mammals, including high densities of large carnivores and ungulates ^{[31][32][33]}. There are no large urbanized areas in Slovenia, and environmental connectivity between undisturbed habitat patches makes wildlife prone to live alongside with humans and susceptible to collision with vehicles ^{[30][34]}.

In our study, we considered collisions between vehicles and European roe deer, the most widespread deer species in Europe^[35], and by far the most frequently involved in collisions with vehicles of all European ungulates^[36]. Roe deer is common in anthropogenic areas, where it adapts its activity rhythms to cope with human disturbance^[37], and it is most active at dawn and at dusk, when traffic volume is also high due to daily commuting movements. Therefore, roe deer is very often involved in collisions with vehicles^{[36][38][39]}. In Slovenia alone, between 4,000 and 6,000 roe deer collide with vehicles each year^{[30][34]}.

Our data included all registered roadkill of roe deer in Slovenia (i.e., in all hunting grounds, managed by hunting clubs, Fig.1) between 2010 and 2019 (n = 49,259). Data from 2020 were not included because this year was characterized by a significant change in wildlife-vehicle collisions (including roe deer) in Slovenia, caused by COVID-19 lockdowns ^{[30][40]}. Data on roadkill were obtained from an on-line information system, developed in 2006 by the Hunters Association of Slovenia (HAS), with the aim of collecting and archiving data on wildlife mortality, including roadkill. A complete overview of the dataset and data collection protocol can be found in Pokorny *et al.* ^[30].

Statistical analyses

We predicted the number of daily collisions between vehicles and roe deer (based on registered roadkill data), according to a set of relevant covariates, by means of Generalized Additive Models. We adopted a Negative Binomial distribution of the response variable as this value approximated well the distribution of the roe deer roadkill (Fig. S1).

Relevant covariates were chosen, according to available evidence regarding the effect of moon illumination and cloud cover over the behavior of both deer and drivers. We did not include spatially-explicit covariates, such as artificial light at night ^{[41][42]} or rainfalls, as these can be quite hard to measure in a good spatiotemporal resolution.

Although some previous studies argued that roe deer activity decrease ^[43] or does not change with increasing moonlight ^[44], these studies did not quantify cloud cover, so we did not consider their findings.

Moon illumination was measured as the illuminated fraction of the moon, varying between 0 (dark nights) and 1 (nights with full moon). Values were extracted for Central European Timezone with R, through the package "suncalc" ^[45].

Cloud cover was measured through the MODIS Surface Reflectance products, obtained from Terra and Aqua satellites. Time series, with a spatial resolution of 1 km, were processed through the Google Earth Engine platform () exploiting the datasets: MODIS/061/MOD09GA and MODIS/061/MYD09GA. These data offer a daily estimate of the surface spectral reflectance as it would be measured at ground level in the absence of atmospheric scattering or absorption. Based on the "state_1km" band, we classified 1 km cells as covered by clouds (cloudy and mixed cloudy), or not (clear and not set, assumed clear). Values were then averaged between Terra and Aqua satellites, to merge morning (Terra) and afternoon (Aqua) MODIS data. Next, the proportion of covered cells was calculated for each day of the time series and for the entire study area (e.g. a value of 0.6 indicated that 60% of 1 km-wide cells were covered by clouds). As Slovenia covers a relatively small area, this approach was deemed to be suitable at the national scale to distinguish days on which weather conditions were generally bad from days with bright skies.

To further regularize time series, we also included three more variables that can increase wildlifevehicle collisions. The first one was the day of the year, as it captures the duration of the day and thus the importance of moonlight on animal movements (through multiple mechanisms ^{[46][47][48]}), the volume of vehicular traffic and its overlap with activity rhythms of deer ^[49]. The second one was the day of the week, as vehicular traffic is different between work-week days and the weekend, when the consumption of alcohol and drugs can also make driving more dangerous. We also included the year of each roadkill, to capture long-term population dynamics which could affect the risk of collisions through interannual changes in the abundance of roe deer. The day of the week was treated as ordered factors with zero-sum contrasts, where the value of each level was compared to the average value of roadkill. Continuous variables were centered and standardized.

Model selection adopted a stepwise approach. We started by fitting a null model and then added covariates, and interaction terms. The effect of moon illumination and cloud cover was modeled by considering thin-plate splines, tensor splines, and cyclic cubic splines. The effect of the day of the year, and in some cases its 3-way interaction with moon illumination and cloud cover, was modeled through a thin-plate spline, a tensor spline, a cyclic cubic spline, and a Gaussian process. A complete overview of these smoothers is provided in Wood ^[50]. Fitted models were then compared based on their Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), their residual deviance and both the Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and the Mean Absolute Percentage Error (MAPE), when trained on test dataset containing daily roadkill in 2019. The MAPE expresses the mean absolute percentage errors of forecasts, expressed as the difference between observed and forecasted values of a time series. It is evaluated as a percent value, meaning that a value of e.g. 20% indicates that the average difference between predicted and observed values is 20% ^[51]. A complete overview of model fitting is available in Table 1 and in the reproducible software code (Supplementary Information). Statistical analyses were carried out in R ^[52], and a reproducible software code for R and Google Earth Engine, altogether with the reproducible dataset about road-killed roe deer, is available in the Supplementary Information.

Results

Model selection indicated relatively small differences among best candidate models. While model fitness improved when we accounted for the effects of each year, day of the week and interplay between moon illumination and cloud cover, most of the predictive accuracy came from accounting for circannual variation, by using the day of the year as a covariate (Table 1). Namely, the best candidate model, which had a MAPE of about 36% when tested on 2019 roadkill data, adopted a bivariate thinplate spline for measuring the interplay between cloud cover and moon illumination, and an adaptive cyclic cubic spline for the effect of the day of the year. Interestingly, although the autocorrelation density function of model residuals highlighted temporal dependence between observations, this was not eliminated through first, second or third-order autoregressive correlation structures.

The number of road-killed roe deer increased with increasing values of the illuminated portion of the moon, and peaked at full-moon nights; there was also a non-linear relationship with cloud cover. Roadkill peaked for higher values of average cloud cover across the land area of Slovenia, while it decreased at low cloudiness or at nights with uniform cloudiness. The effect of cloud cover on roadkill had a clear interaction with moon illumination, being more pronounced at nights with high moon illumination than at nights without the moon. The lowest number of collisions was observed at nights with low moon illumination and very low or, on the contrary, high cloudiness through Slovenia (Fig. 2).

The day of the year had a much stronger marginal effect on collisions, in terms of magnitude, than moon illumination and cloud cover. Roadkill peaked at three distinct periods of the year. The first, and the most pronounced peak was in April/May, followed by a second one in September/October, while a third, lower peak was observed in July (Fig. 3).

Discussion and conclusions

Our results indicate that road ecology studies should take a holistic approach when evaluating the effects of environmental correlates on wildlife-vehicle collisions. This is especially true in complex and cross-related variables, such as moon illumination, whose effects depend on other factors, such as cloud cover. Consideration of cloud cover revealed an important, yet variable, effect of moon illumination on collisions between vehicles and roe deer: the highest roadkill occurs at nights with a full moon and with medium-to-high values of cloud cover, whereas the interaction between the moon and cloud cover is weaker at nights with dim moons.

This interaction is almost certainly based on several mechanisms, and may reveal a hierarchical dynamic between moon illumination and cloud cover. Roe deer probably move more at full moon nights because the ground is illuminated and there are more opportunities to forage safely, which in turn leads to more road crossings. However, cloud cover could also affect human activity, exerting a non-linear effect of this factor over collisions. At bright, low-clouds nights, roe deer might be less active along roads due to increased human presence (e.g. outdoors ^[53]) and sustained vehicular traffic ^{[54][55]}. Then, with medium-to-high cloud cover, human presence in the environment may be lower, i.e. low enough to increase deer movements across roads, but vehicular traffic can still be intermediate, thus maximizing the risk of collisions ^[22]. Under such conditions, poor visibility and rainfall can further impair the ability of drivers to detect and avoid crossing deer. Finally, under high levels of



Figure 2: Surface plot (top left, top right) and mosaic plot (bottom) showing the interactive effect of moon illumination and cloud cover over the number of road-killed roe deer in Slovenia in the period 2010–2019.

cloud cover, weather conditions are likely to be characterized by rainfall, that reduce traffic volumes. This non-linear effect of cloud cover on collisions would not occur at dark nights, perhaps due to the lower movement of animals in response to lower illumination on the ground.

Interestingly, our best candidate model did not detect any change in the effects of moon illumination during the year. This finding is surprising, as the main effect of the full moon on the behavior of some wild ungulates is the increased opportunity to forage at night or during warm summer weather ^[28]. It is possible that circannual changes in human mobility, or in roe deer behavior other than foraging (e.g., breeding ^[56]; e.g., hunting disturbance ^[57]), are more important than movements associated with nighttime foraging in determining the risk of roe deer-vehicle collisions.

Integrating data on animal movements, vehicular traffic and outdoor activities would be essential to test these mechanisms we mentioned. However, even without this information, our results already provide two concrete advice for future research on wildlife-vehicle collisions.

First, rather than attempting to assess an "average" effect of moon illumination (e.g., Steiner *et al.*, ^[18]), future studies should focus on estimating its interaction with other environmental variables, such as cloud cover. So far, this interplay has been largely ignored in road ecology, but it would make findings from different studies truly comparable. Nowadays, open datasets allow to retrieve daily-level information on moon and atmospheric conditions effortlessly; indeed, there is no longer a need to use moon quarters, and it is possible to rather work on more refined, and comparable, temporal scales. We also believe that the results of previous studies should be replicated, i.e. by considering cloud cover.

The second point is to avoid using simple univariate comparisons to test for the effect of moon illumination over collisions (e.g., chi-square testing ^[14]). Our results suggest that other time-varying factors, such as the day of the year, have a much larger effect on roe deer-vehicle collisions, and should be accounted for through appropriate time-series analysis. Focusing on the magnitude of the effect of each covariate (see Cumming ^[58]) would also allow the effect of moon illumination itself to be considered with caution. Although model selection, in our case, did not provide evidence in favor of an interplay between moon and the day of the year, model residuals still had strong temporal autocorrelation. This may suggest that relevant time-varying covariates are likely still missing and they could affect the estimated effect of moon illumination itself, once included in a statistical model.

These efforts would not be in vain: disentangling the interplay between moon illumination and weather conditions, and their "weight" in determining wildlife-vehicle collisions, in the form of a highly predictive algorithm, could also be important in avoiding the risk of collisions. For example, interactive road signals could be programmed to detect risky situations, i.e. by measuring illumination on the ground and traffic intensity with sensors, and to activate warning signs that address drivers on specific temporal scales, and therefore increasing their attention towards wildlife road crossing ^[59].



Figure 3: Marginal effect plot, representing changes in the volume of road-killed roe deer in Slovenia (period 2010–2019), according to the day of the year.

Models without an autoregressive structure			
Covariates	AIC	Deviance	MAPE
~1	20029.15	3345.47	53.37
~day of the week	19914.41	3337.84	52.60
~day of the week + year	19820.97	3338.49	43.70
~day of the week + year ²	19814.83	3336.95	47.26
~day of the week + year ³	19813.78	3335.74	52.03
\sim day of the week + year + tp (illuminated fraction of the moon)	19780.40	3335.49	43.26
\sim day of the week + year + tp (illuminated fraction of the moon) + tp(cloud cover)	19728.96	3329.98	43.09
\sim day of the week + year + te (illuminated fraction of the moon)	19780.24	3335.59	43.27
\sim day of the week + year + te (illuminated fraction of the moon) + te(cloud cover)	19729.58	3330.94	43.05
\sim day of the week + year + cc(illuminated fraction of the moon)	19799.64	3334.66	43.37
~day of the week + year + cc(illuminated fraction of the moon) + cc(cloud cover)	19754.34	3330.26	43.25
\sim day of the week + pear + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover)	19728.76	3323.66	43.07
~day of the week + year + te(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover)	19728.24	3325.66	43.04
\sim day of the week + year + ti(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover)	19727.18	3327.40	43.02
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + tp(day of the year)	19285.46	3329.91	37.26
~day of the week + year + p (illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + pp (day of the year)	19283.99	3329.98	37.26
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + cc(day of the year)	19276.11	3331.52	37.40
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + te(day of the year)	19503.95	3319.63	39.00
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + ad(day of the year)	19181.78	3315.75	36.55
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + ad.cp(day of the year)	19194.09	3318.71	36.95
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + ad.cc(day of the year) (best candidate model)	19193.32	3314.85	36.92
~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover, day of the year)	19489.13	3269.42	39.67
~day of the week + year + te(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover, day of the year)	19504.90	3296.54	39.30

37.29 37.27 37.24 ī ī ~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + tp (day of the year) + AR3

~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + tp (day of the year) + AR2

~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + tp (day of the year) + AR1

Models with an autoregressive structure

~day of the week + year + tp(illuminated fraction of the moon, cloud cover) + ad.cp(day of the year)

38.03

3285.33

19373.80

ī

ī ı,

References

- Bissonette, J.A., et al. (2008). Assessment of costs associated with deer-vehicle collisions: human death and injury, vehicle damage, and deer loss. Hum.-Wildl. Conflict. 2, 17-27. https://www.jstor.org/stable/ 24875102https://doi.org/10.1080/09332480.2018.1549814
- 2. Conover, M.R. (2019). Numbers of human fatalities, injuries, and illnesses in the United States due to wildlife. Hum.–Wildl. Interact. 13, 12. https://doi.org/10.26077/r59n-bv76
- Hill, J.E., *et al.* (2019). Cause-specific mortality of the world's terrestrial vertebrates. Global Ecol. Biogeogr. 28, 680-689 https://doi.org/10.1111/ddi.13219
- Schwartz, A.L., et al. (2020). The value of monitoring wildlife roadkill. Eur. J. Wildl. Res. 66, 1-12. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-019-1357-4
- Pagany, R. (2020). Wildlife-vehicle collisions: Influencing factors, data collection and research methods. Biol. Conserv. 251, 108758. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108758
- Kronfeld-Schor, N., et al. (2013). Chronobiology by moonlight. P. Roy. Soc. B. Biol. Sci. 280, 20123088. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2012.3088
- Prugh, L.R., Golden, C.D. (2014). Does moonlight increase predation risk? Meta-analysis reveals divergent responses of nocturnal mammals to lunar cycles. J. Anim. Ecol. 83, 504-514. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1365-2656.12148
- Steiner, W. et al. (2014). A review on the temporal pattern of deer–vehicle accidents: Impact of seasonal, diurnal and lunar effects in cervids. Accident Anal. Prev. 66, 168-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap. 2014.01.020
- 9. Sullivan, J.D., *et al.* (2016). Movement with the moon: white-tailed deer activity and solunar events. Journal of the Southeastern Association of Fish and Wildlife Agencies. 3, 225-232. https://seafwa.org/journal/2016/movement-moon-white-tailed-deer-activity-and-solunar-events
- Onozuka, D., *et al.* (2018). Full moon and traffic accident-related emergency ambulance transport: A nationwide case-crossover study. Sci. Tot. Environ. 644, 801-805. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv. 2018.07.053
- Redelmeier, D.A., Shafir, E. (2018). The lunacy of motorcycle mortality. Chance 31, 37-44. https://doi.org/ 10.1080/09332480.2018.1549814
- 12. Jägerbrand, A.K., Sjöbergh, J. (2016). Effects of weather conditions, light conditions, and road lighting on vehicle speed. SpringerPlus. 5, 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40064-016-2124-6
- Singh, H., Kathuria, A. (2021). Analyzing driver behavior under naturalistic driving conditions: A review. Accident Anal. Prev. 150, 105908. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2020.105908
- 14. Colino-Rabanal, V.J., *et al.* (2018). Ungulate-vehicle collision rates are associated with the phase of the moon. Biodivers. Conserv. 27, 681-694. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-017-1458-x
- Gundersen, H., Andreassen, H. P. (1998). The risk of moose Alces alces collision: A predictive logistic model for moose-train accidents. Wildl. Biol. 4, 103-110. https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.1998.007
- Ignatavičius, G., *et al.* (2021). Lunar Phases and Wildlife–Vehicle Collisions: Application of the Lunar Disk Percentage Method. Animals. 11, 908. https://doi.org/10.3390/ani11030908
- Kawata, Y. (2011). An analysis of natural factors of traffic accidents involving Yezo deer (Cervus Nippon Yesoensis). Bulg. J. Vet. Med. 14, 1-10. http://tru.uni-sz.bg/bjvm/BJVM%20March%202011%20p.01-10.pdf
- 18. Steiner, W., *et al.* (2021). Temporal patterns of roe deer traffic accidents: Effects of season, daytime and lunar phase. PLoS One 16, e0249082. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0249082
- Kreling, S.E., *et al.* (2019). Roadkill distribution at the wildland-urban interface. J. Wildl. Manage. 83, 1427-1436. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21692
- Vrkljan, J., et al. (2020). Temporal patterns of vehicle collisions with roe deer and wild boar in the Dinaric area. Croat. J. For. Eng. https://doi.org/10.5552/crojfe.2020.789
- 21. Muller, L.I., *et al.* (2014). Spatial and temporal relationships between deer harvest and deer–vehicle collisions at Oak Ridge Reservation, Tennessee. Wildl. Soc. Bull. 38, 812-820. https://doi.org/10.1002/wsb.446
- Mayer, M., et al. (2021). Understanding spatio-temporal patterns of deer-vehicle collisions to improve roadkill mitigation. J. Environ. Manage. 295, 113148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113148
- 23. Maze, T.H., *et al.* (2006). Whether weather matters to traffic demand, traffic safety, and traffic operations and flow. Transport. Res. Rec. 1948, 170-176. https://doi.org/10.1177%2F0361198106194800119
- Qiu, L., Nixon, W.A. (2008). Effects of adverse weather on traffic crashes: systematic review and metaanalysis. Transport. Res. Rec. 2055, 139-146. https://doi.org/10.3141%2F2055-16
- Krieg, J. (2021). Influence of moon and clouds on night illumination in two different spectral ranges. Sci. Rep. 11, 1-10. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-98060-2
- D'Angelo, G.J., *et al.* (2008). Visual specialization of an herbivore prey species, the white-tailed deer. Can. J. Zool. 86, 735-743. https://doi.org/10.1139/Z08-050
- Lashley, M.A., *et al.* (2014). White-tailed deer vigilance: the influence of social and environmental factors. PLoS One 9, e90652. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0090652

- Grignolio, S., *et al.* (2018). Is nocturnal activity compensatory in chamois? A study of activity in a cathemeral ungulate. Mamm. Biol. 93, 173-181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2018.06.003
- 29. Brivio, F., *et al.* (2017). An analysis of intrinsic and extrinsic factors affecting the activity of a nocturnal species: the wild boar. Mamm. Biol. 84, 73-81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mambio.2017.01.007
- Pokorny, B., et al. (2022). Wildlife roadkill and COVID-19: A biologically significant, but heterogeneous, reduction. J. Appl. Ecol. 59, 1291-1301. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.14140
- 31. Ražen, N., *et al.* (2020). Citizen science contribution to national wolf population monitoring: What have we learned? Eur. J. Wildlife Res. 66, 1-9. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10344-020-01383-0
- 32. Skrbinšek, T., et al. (2019). From science to practice: Genetic estimate of brown bear population size in Slovenia and how it influenced bear management. Eur. J. Wildl. Res. 65, 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10344-019-1265-7
- 33. Stergar, M., et al. (2009). Distribution and relative densities of autochthonous ungulates in Slovenia. Gozdarski vestnik 67, 367-380. https://www.cabdirect.org/cabdirect/abstract/20093338981
- Pokorny, B. (2006). Roe deer-vehicle collisions in Slovenia: Situation, mitigation strategy and countermeasures. Veterinarski Arhiv, 76, 177-187. http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1. 1.636.6459&rep=rep1&type=pdf
- 35. Apollonio, M., et al. (2010). European ungulates and their management in the 21st century. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. https://www.cambridge.org/gb/academic/subjects/life-sciences/natural-resource-management-agriculture-horticulture-and/european-ungulates-and-their-management-21st-century?format=HB&isbn=9780521760614
- 36. Langbein, J., et al. (2011). Traffic collisions involving red deer and other ungulates in Europe and available measures for mitigation. in: Putman, R., Apollonio, M., Andersen, R. Ungulate Management in Europe: Problems and Practices. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, pp. 215-259. https://www.cambridge.org/gb/ academic/subjects/life-sciences/natural-resource-management-agriculture-horticulture-and/europeanungulates-and-their-management-21st-century?format=HB&isbn=9780521760614
- Bonnot, N.C., *et al.* (2020). Fear of the dark? Contrasting impacts of humans versus lynx on diel activity of roe deer across Europe. J. Anim Ecol. 89, 132-145. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.13161
- Hothorn, T., et al. (2015). Temporal patterns of deer–vehicle collisions consistent with deer activity pattern and density increase but not general accident risk. Accident Anal. Prev. 81, 143-152. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.aap.2015.04.037
- 39. Ignatavicius, G., Valskys, V. (2018). The influence of time factors on the dynamics of roe deer collisions with vehicles. Landsc. Ecol. Eng. 14, 221-229. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11355-017-0343-9
- 40. Bíl, M., *et al.* (2021). COVID-19 related travel restrictions prevented numerous wildlife deaths on roads: A comparative analysis of results from 11 countries. Biol. Conserv. 256, 109076. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109076
- 41. Ciach, M., Fröhlich, A. (2019). Ungulates in the city: light pollution and open habitats predict the probability of roe deer occurring in an urban environment. Urban Ecosyst. 22, 513-523. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109076
- 42. Ditmer, M.A., *et al.* (2021). Artificial nightlight alters the predator–prey dynamics of an apex carnivore. Ecography. 44, 149-161. https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.05251
- Jasińska, K.D., et al. (2020). Occurrence and activity of roe deer in urban forests of Warsaw. Env. Sci. Proc. 35. https://doi.org/10.3390/IECF2020-07913
- 44. Pagon, N., *et al.* (2013). Seasonal variation of activity patterns in roe deer in a temperate forested area. Chronobiol. Int. 30, 772-785. https://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2013.765887
- Thieurmel, B., et al. (2019). Package 'suncalc'. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/suncalc/ suncalc.pdf
- Martin, J., *et al.* (2018). Temporal shifts in landscape connectivity for an ecosystem engineer, the roe deer, across a multiple-use landscape. Landscape Ecol. 33, 937-954. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10980-018-0641-0
- 47. Morellet, N., *et al.* (2013). Seasonality, weather and climate affect home range size in roe deer across a wide latitudinal gradient within Europe. J. Anim. Ecol. 82, 1326-1339. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2656.12105
- 48. Peters, W., *et al.* (2019). Large herbivore migration plasticity along environmental gradients in Europe: life-history traits modulate forage effects. Oikos. 128, 416-429. https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.05588
- Abeyrathna, W.A.N.U., Langen, T.A. (2021). Effect of daylight saving time clock shifts on white-tailed deer-vehicle collision rates. J. Environ. Manage. 292, 112774. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2021. 112774
- 50. Wood, S.N. (2017). Generalized additive models: an introduction with R. CRC Press, Boca Raton. https: //doi.org/10.1201/9781315370279
- Swamidass, P.M. (2000). Mean absolute percentage error (MAPE). Encyclopedia of Production and Manufacturing Management; Springer: Boston, MA, USA, 462. https://doi.org/10.1007/1-4020-0612-8_580
- 52. R Core Team (2022). R: a language and environment for statistical computing: R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. https://www.r-project.org/

- 53. Wyttenbach, M., et al. (2016). Mountain biking and wildlife-disturbance experiments with roe deer (Capreolus capreolus) in Switzerland. In Monitoring and management of visitors in recreational and protected areas: Abstract book (pp. 444-447). University of Novi Sad. Novi Sad. https://mmv.boku.ac.at/ refbase/files/wyttenbach-2016.pdf
- 54. Kušta, T., *et al.* (2017). The effect of traffic intensity and animal activity on probability of ungulate-vehicle collisions in the Czech Republic. Safety Sci. 91, 105-113. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ssci.2016.08.002
- Olson, D.D., et al. (2015). How does variation in winter weather affect deer—vehicle collision rates? Wildl. Biol. 21, 80-87. https://doi.org/10.2981/wlb.00043
- 56. Debeffe, L., *et al.* (2014). A one night stand? Reproductive excursions of female roe deer as a breeding dispersal tactic. Oecologia. 176, 431-443. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3021-8
- 57. Picardi, S., *et al.* (2019). Movement responses of roe deer to hunting risk. J. Wildl. Manage. 83, 43-51. https://doi.org/10.1002/jwmg.21576
- 58. Cumming, G. (2014). The new statistics: Why and how. Psychol. Sci. 25, 7-29. https://doi.org/10.1177% 2F0956797613504966
- Huijser, M. P., *et al.* (2015). Wildlife warning signs and animal detection systems aimed at reducing wildlifevehicle collisions. In: van der Ree, R., Smith, D.J., Grilo, C. (Eds.), Handbook of road ecology. Wiley, Hoboken, pp. 198-212. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118568170.ch24

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Hunters Association of Slovenia for developing systematic monitoring of roadkill in Slovenia and implementing an online hunting information system enabling us to access the comprehensive dataset on roadkill of the studied species.

Funding

This study was funded by: (a) the Slovenian Research Agency (project V4-1825 and V1-2031, programme groups P4-0107 and P1-0386); (b) the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Food of the Republic of Slovenia (both above mentioned projects); (c) the Directorate for Roads of the Republic of Slovenia (several projects aimed at reducing wildlife-vehicle collisions in Slovenia); and (d) the STEPCHANGE European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under grant agreement No. 101006386, oriented to accelerate collaboration with hunters as citizen scientists in wildlife/biodiversity monitoring and research.

Dataset and reproducible software code

The dataset, altogether with the reproducible software code are available on the Open Science Framework repository, at the following link: https://osf.io/zwm4n/

Exploration of daily roadkill data



Figure S1: Fitness of the daily number of road-killed roe deer in the dataset, with respect to a Negative Binomial distribution. Only 9 days out of 3,660 had no reported roadkill.

louds	8	.0	0
Moon C	Mix	Timing of sun- N rise and sunset	8 levels
Findings	 Neither moon presence, moon visibility, nor moon phase appeared to influence habitat use by deer in any season. Neither activity nor habitat use of deer were markedly influenced by moonlight. A statistically significant decrease in deer activity in spring as moonlight increased was small and perhaps not biologically important. Furthermore, it was not supported by the results for other sea-sons. 	 Deer appear to prefer fields when the moon is above the horizon, and forested habitat when the moon is below the horizon. The preference for open fields during moonlight periods may be determined by predator avoidance behavior in deer. Findings suggest that deer did not alter their daytime habitat use in response to presence or absence of the moon. This study suggests that it is the luminosity of the moon that affects deer movement and habitat preference. 	 Roe deer was more active during dark nights than bright nights. Therefore, authors hypothesized that roe deer will be more active during darker nights. Indeed, activity in moon phases showed that roe deer was active more often in first quarter, waxing crescent, and new moons, when the level of illumination reflected by the moon is the lowest.
Species	White-tailed deer	White-tailed deer	Roe deer
doi	https://www.jstor. org/stable/3830629	https://brynathyn. edu/_media/ documents/Brown- Deer-Moonlight.pdf	https://doi.org/ 10.3390/IECF2020- 07913
Authors	Beier and McCullogh (1990)	Brown <i>et al.</i> (2011)	Jasińska <i>et al.</i> (2020)

Table S1 Review of the previous studies on the effect of moon over deer movements (It continues on the next page).

Authors Kjaer <i>et al.</i> (2008)	doi https://doi.org/10. 2193/2007-489	Species White-tailed deer	 Findings Contact rates were elevated during full moon compared to other lunar periods. Elevated contact rates during full moon could reflect increased nocturnal activity, but the observed lunar effect was generally slight. 	Moon Quarters	Clouds No
Ladine et al. (2020)	https://doi.org/10. 1656/058.019.0211	White-tailed deer	 Lowest activity occurred on nights when illuminance was the lowest (e.g., new moon with overcast skies) and highest activity on nights when illuminance was the greatest. Study indicates white-tailed deer are more active during full moons with low cloud cover, perhaps because foraging under such conditions makes predators easier to see. 	Quarters	Yes
Mori <i>et al.</i> (2021)	https://doi.org/10. 1007/s11756-021- 00790-1	Siberian roe deer	 Siberian roe deer showed a bimodal temporal behavior, with activity peaks at dawn and at early night, irrespective from moon phases. Nocturnal activity of mostly diurnal species peaks in brightest nights as their visual acuity is fundamental for orientation. Results showed that, despite the local presence of several large carnivores, the activity rhythms of Siberian roe deer were independent from moon phases. 	Quarters	No
Muller <i>et al.</i> (2014)	https://doi.org/10. 1002/wsb.446	White-tailed deer	 There was no effect of moon phase for all records combined. More deer-vehicle collisions occurred with the full moon during gestation and with the last quarter moon during fawning. Moon phase (full moon) only affected the number of male deer-vehicle collisions during gestation and the deer-vehicle collisions occurred primarily in the younger age classes. 	Quarters	No

Authors	doi	Species	Findings	Moon	Clouds
Pagon <i>et al.</i> (2012)	https://doi.org/10. 3109/07420528.2013. 765887	Roe deer	 The prediction that roe deer would show lower activity levels during full moon nights, when the predation risk was assumed to be higher, was not confirmed. The best model selected did not show any evidence that the moon influenced the variation in nocturnal roe deer activity. No difference in nocturnal activity was recorded between nights with a new and a full moon. Authors did not find any evidence for the prediction that roe deer would modify their activity levels in relation to the different moon phases as an indication of wolf-related predation that they would reduce their activity levels in connection to open stalking season. 	Dichotomous	°N N
Reimoser (2014)	http://www. reimoser.info/ download/ 2014_Reimoser_ Influence%20of% 20anthropogenic% 20disturbances.pdf	Roe deer	 For roe deer, no significant differences between activity at full moon and new moon could be found in this study. Red deer showed significant increase of activity at night during full moon and highly significant increase of activity in the morning during new moon phases. 	Dichotomous	oN
Wébb <i>et a</i> l. (2010)	https://doi.org/10. 1155/2010/459610	White-tailed deer	 Moon phase had no effect on daily, nocturnal, and diurnal deer movements and fine-scale temporal weather conditions had an inconsistent influence on deer movement patterns within season. 	Percentage	Yes

Table S1 Review of the previous studies on the effect of moon over deer movements.

Authors	doi	Species	Findings N	Moon
Colino-Rabanal et al. (2018)	https://doi.org/10. 1007/s10531-017-1458- x	 Wild boar Red deer Roe deer White-tailed deer 	 For the three species that had a lunar phase effect on wildlife-vehicle collision rates, the strength of the lunar effect varied by month. For roe deer, wildlife-vehicle collisions were higher during the full moon in every month, and were significantly higher during January, March, May, and July to November; the lunar effect was strongest in September. Authors concluded that wildlife-vehicle collisions at night occur most frequently during the full moon for three of the four ungulate species included in the study: wild boar, roe deer, and white-tailed deer. 	unar phase (quarters)
Gundersen and An- dreassen (1998)	https://doi.org/10. 2981/wlb.1998.007	• Moose	The probability of moose-train collisions was higher during nights of full moons than during nights of half or no moons.	actor with 3 levels
Ignatavičius <i>et al.</i> (2021)	https://doi.org/10. 3390/ani11030908	 Roe deer Moose Red deer Fallow deer Wild boar 	 Note: findings are based on multiple species, pooled together. WVCs are more apt to occur during periods of higher illumination such as near the full moon phase Discrepancy (between Lithuania and Spain) in the quantitative response of wildlife-vehicle collisions vs moon light could be explained by differences in cloud cover (number of days, percentage, etc.). 	ercentage disk method
Kawata (2011)	http://tru.uni-sz.bg/ bjvm/BJVM%20March% 202011%20p.01-10.pdf	Sika deer	The number of accidents tended to increase during the first quarter moon, full moon, and the third quarter moon, and to decrease in periods in between.	unar phase (daily)

 Wild boar Roe deer

Species	Black-tailed deer	White-tailed deer	Several deer species (review)	Roe deer	Roe deer
doi	https://doi.org/10. 1002/jwmg.21692	https://doi.org/10. 1002/wsb.446	https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.aap.2014.01.020	https://doi.org/10. 1371/journal.pone. 0249082	https://hrcak.srce.hr/ file/348793
Authors	Kreling <i>et al.</i> (2019)	Muller <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Steiner <i>et al.</i> (2014)	Steiner et al. (2021)	Vrkljan <i>et al.</i> (2020)

|--|