A Review: Comparative Genomics and Physiology of Parity Mode Evolution in Amniotes

Maggs X[1]

[1] Richard Gilder Graduate School at The American Museum of Natural History

maggs_x@outlook.com

Abstract

Across amniotes, squamates represent the only clade with highly variable parity modes, oviparity (egg-laying) and viviparity (live-birth). Despite this, relatively little is known about how oviparity and viviparity evolve at the genomic and physiological levels in squamates. Within the context of interdisciplinary medical, poultry science, and reproductive biology literature, I review the genomics and physiology of reproduction across five broad processes expected to change during transitions between parity modes—eggshell formation, embryonic retention, placentation, calcium transport, and maternal-fetal immune dynamics. This review is the first time that the maternal-fetal immune dynamics of squamates is considered in the context of modern medical literature, where embryos are no longer conceptualized as analogs to allografts. I offer alternative perspectives and holistic hypotheses on the genomic and transcriptomic drivers of parity mode transitions in squamates. Two new pathways through which early Lepidosaurs may have transitioned rapidly between oviparity and viviparity with no intermediate stages are presented. Overall, the physiology of reproduction illuminates the biological plausibility of highly labile parity modes in some squamate lineages, with constrained parity modes in others. Future research should be open to either possibility unless clade-specific biological evidence suggests otherwise. Rather than emphasizing the feasibility of transitions in

either direction, I posit that oviparity and viviparity are relatively minor variations of a shared process.

Key Words: reproductive mode, parity modes, viviparity, oviparity, squamates, eggshell deposition, embryonic retention, embryonic calcium transport, maternal-fetal immune dynamics, comparative evolutionary physiology



Graphic Abstract: Schematic illustrating the organs involved in five processes anticipated to change during transitions between parity modes. Black lines point to the organs involved in each process. Loci involved with these processes are discussed in detail throughout the review.

Contents

I. Introduction……5

(1) Terminology……9

(2) Main five physiological changes of parity mode transitions…….....……10

II. Length of Embryonic Retention……..11

(1) Parturition & oviposition…….11

(i) Quiescence & sustained progesterone production in reproductive tissues…….12

(ii) Activation & progesterone withdrawal……...16

(iii) Stimulation & electrical gradients, inflammation, and hormonal regulation…….16

(2) Unique qualities of oviposition and parturition in birds and non-avian reptiles……..23

(3) Pre-term birth & embryonic retention mechanisms…….23

(4) Discussion & future directions—embryonic retention and parity mode evolution……...24

III. Eggshell Deposition……..25

(1) Mineral composition of eggshells…….26

(2) Uterine glands & the evolution of parity modes……...27

(3) Evolutionary implications of the physiology of eggshell formation…….29

(4) Pleiotropy of genes and proteins involved with eggshell deposition……34

(5) Eggshell formation termination…….37

(6) Rotating the egg for eggshell deposition……37

(7) Discussion & future directions—eggshell deposition and parity mode evolution…….38

IV. Placentation & Transport of Embryonic Water, Gas, and Nutrients……..39

(1) Anatomy & methods of water, gas & nutrient provisioning……..40

(2) Evolutionary history of yolk-sac formation and yolk processing……..41

(3) Evolutionary history of placentrophy in mammals & squamates……..43

(4) Squamate viviparity eggshells, and gas exchange…….45

(5) Loci involved with embryonic water, gas, and nutrient exchange…….46

(6) Uterine glands: adenogenesis, placenta development and histotrophy…….51

(7) Discussion & future directions—embryonic nutrients, gas and water supply……54

V. Embryonic Calcium Provisioning…….55

(1) Phylogenetic context of embryonic calcium sources……55

(2) Hypotheses on calcium mobilization and the evolution of parity modes…….56

(3) Embryonic calcium provisioning mechanisms…….60

(4) Discussion & future directions—calcium provisioning and parity mode evolution…….……63

VI. Maternal-Fetal Immune Dynamics……..64

(1) Comparing amniote immune systems...……...65

(2) Medawar's paradigm……66

(3) Perspectives on the evolution of the uterine immune system……..…….67

(4) Implications of the reptilian immune system and morphology on parity mode evolution…….……68

(5) The inflammation paradox…….69

(6) Inertness and barriers at the maternal-fetal interface…….70

(7) T cell populations and mammalian viviparity……72

(8) Progesterone, cytokines, and maternal-fetal immune dynamics……73

(9) The major histocompatibility complex and maternal-fetal immune dynamics……..75

(10) Microchimerism and maternal-fetal immune dynamics……..78

(11) Paternal alloantigens……79

(12) Discussion and future directions—maternal-fetal immune dynamics & parity mode evolution……81

VII. Discussion……..84

VIII. Acknowledgements……..……..85

I. Introduction

A reappraisal is needed for the conceptual framework used to research the evolution of oviparity (egg-laying) and viviparity (live-birth) in amniotes. Contrary to traditional assertions, viviparity is not necessarily a fixed state (Smith & Shine, 1997; Lynch & Wagner, 2010; Laird et al., 2019). Squamates (snakes and lizards) have highly variable parity modes. Despite ongoing debate about the ease with which squamates can transition from viviparity to oviparity (commonly called 'reversals') (Blackburn 2015c; Griffith et al., 2015; Lynch et al., 2010; Pyron, 2015; Pyron & Burbrink, 2014, 2015), better understanding of the molecular interaction networks that support oviparity and viviparity is needed to determine this. This review holistically considers the complexity of parity mode evolution in amniotes, with a particular focus on squamates. Using biological evidence gleaned from medical research, agricultural and poultry science, and evolutionary biology of amniotes, I explore physiological features of reproductive biology that may support either labile or restricted transitions between parity modes.

Oviparity is generally considered the ancestral state of all major clades of amniotes. However, several basal lineages of diapsids, the clade containing all modern birds and reptiles, were viviparous (Motani et al., 2014). Viviparity may have been common in terrestrial reptiles ~248 mya (Motani et al., 2014). Interestingly, the oldest known amniotes (Mesosauridae) were viviparous (Piñeiro et al., 2012). In mammals, viviparity evolved only once in therians (Lillegraven, 1969; Marshall, 1979). Archelosauria (birds, crocodiles, and turtles) are exclusively oviparous (Anderson et al., 1987; Girling, 2002). In squamates, viviparity may have evolved more frequently than across all other vertebrates combined (Blackburn, 1999; Sites et al., 2011).

Beginning with the first phylogenetic analyses on the subject, a warm-blooded scientific disagreement has persisted over the labile nature of squamate parity mode evolution (Blackburn, 1999, 2015; de Fraipont et al., 1996; Griffith et al., 2015; Harrington & Reeder, 2017; Lee & Shine, 1998; Pyron, 2015; Pyron & Burbrink, 2014, 2015; Recknagel et al., 2018). The earliest estimates predicted that viviparity evolved independently between 90-100 times in squamates (Blackburn, 1982, 1985; Shine, 1985; Blackburn, 1992). These estimates assumed that reversals back to oviparity should be exceedingly rare (hereafter fixed-viviparity model) (Fitch, 1970; Neill, 1964; Tinkle & Gibbons, 1977).

An intermediate phenotype of re-evolving an eggshell may be physiologically unviable (Blackburn, 1995; Griffith et al., 2015). Experimentally induced extended egg retention in phrynosomatid lizards resulted in adverse embryonic development attributable to impeded gas exchange imposed by their eggshells (Mathies & Andrews, 1999, 2000; Parker & Andrews, 2006). In addition to these studies, the fixed-viviparity model relies heavily on theoretical framework of Dollo's law and morphology (Blackburn, 1999; Griffith et al., 2015). Originally criticized for lacking a detailed biological justification (Lee & Doughty, 1997), testing the viability of intermediate phenotypes in a broader range of squamates may justify broader application of the fixed-viviparity model.

Intermediate phenotypes as fitness valleys assumes eggshells inherently impede gas-exchange and that an eggshell must re-evolve before a reversal back to oviparity is possible (Griffith et al., 2015). Contrarily, eggshells are considered a component of the placenta in viviparous Rough Earth Snakes, *Haldea striatula*, and in viviparous reproductively bimodal Eastern Common Lizards, *Zootoca vivipara* and Yellow-bellied Three-toed Skinks, *Saiphos equalis* (Stewart et al., 2013). Additionally, *Saiphos equalis* is a reproductively bimodal skink

that has an oviparous population with incubation times as short as 5 days, thus embryos spend significant time in utero with an eggshell (Smith et al., 2001). Another surprising example of eggshells being compatible with full embryonic development includes a report of a captive tortoise that retained viable eggs until the hatching stage (Kuchling & Hofmeyr, 2022).

An ancestral state reconstruction across squamates estimated highly plastic parity modes wherein viviparity evolved early and subsequently reversed back to oviparity repeatedly (hereafter labile model) (Pyron & Burbrink, 2014). Several additional ancestral state reconstructions also predict reversals back to oviparity within Squamata (de Fraipont et al., 1996; Fenwick et al., 2011; Harrington & Reeder, 2017; Lee & Shine, 1998; Recknagel et al., 2018). Proponents of the fixed-viviparity model challenged these reconstructions by asking for more biological evidence to support estimated reversals (Griffith et al., 2015). *Saiphos equalis* proved the possibility of reversals when a viviparous individual oviposited an egg prior to birthing fully developed young within the same litter (Laird et al., 2019). The unusual absence of an egg-tooth in oviparous Arabian Sand Boas, *Eryx jayakari* (Lynch & Wagner, 2010; Staub & Emberton, 2002) serves as additional evidence of a reversal, though this has been challenged (Griffith et al., 2015).

In squamates, the degree of parity mode variation within a clade varies dramatically for, thus far, non-generalizable environmental, developmental, or genomic reasons (Anderson et al., 1987; Blackburn, 2005; Griffith et al., 2017; Griffith & Wagner, 2017; Hodges, 2004; Li et al., 2009; Schwarzkopf & Andrews, 2012; Stewart et al., 2013; Van Dyke et al., 2014; Webb et al., 2006; Zimin et al., 2022). Oviparity and viviparity both entail numerous gains and losses of complex structures and processes (Blackburn, 1992; Lee & Doughty, 1997; Packard et al., 1977; Rothchild, 2003; Shine, 1985; Shine & Bull, 1979; Tinkle & Gibbons, 1977)—some of which

are considered at the molecular level for the first time in this review. With modern genomic technologies, it is prudent to acknowledge that the relative difficulty to change phenotype cannot be determined from morphology and unknown physiological mechanisms. Any phenotypic change could be facilitated by simple changes (single nucleotide polymorphisms) or any combination of multiomic changes to few or many loci. As research begins to reveal the molecular networks involved with parity mode evolution in squamates, it is important to avoid bias that could be introduced by assumptions on the feasibility of transitions in either direction.

This review provides alternative perspectives that holistically consider the complexity of squamate parity mode evolution. Using biological evidence gleaned from interdisciplinary literature across amniotes, I explore physiological features of gestation and gravidity, including those that could be exploited to support rapid shifts between parity modes. I hope this serves as a foundation for further exploration on the genomic evolution of parity modes in squamates, especially in clades that may experience labile transitions, such as some recently evolved bimodal taxa. Where possible, I provide criteria to predict which hypotheses on parity mode evolution may be most applicable to a given clade. Furthermore, I provide insights on the physiological and genomic features of reproduction that may facilitate or impede reversals. I do not understand proximate causes of squamate parity mode evolution to adhere to one generalizable model, I advocate for future work to embrace the complexity of this system.

(1) Terminology

I use the conventional definition of viviparity as retention of eggs until the stage when the embryo is fully developed (Shine, 1985; van Dyke et al., 2014). Oviparity is defined by eggs that develop outside the mother (Stewart, 1997). I use the terms gravidity and gestation to describe

the period of internal retention of the embryo in oviparous and viviparous taxa, respectively. Vertebrate placentas are conventionally defined by apposition of maternal and fetal tissues (Mossman, 1937; Stewart & Blackburn, 1988). It is accepted that all viviparous squamates have a chorioallantoic placenta under this definition (Murphy et al., 2009; Stewart & Blackburn, 1988). The avian chorioallantoic membrane and mammalian chorioallantoic placenta are homologous (Metcalfe & Stock, 1993). I sometimes refer to this organ as the chorioallantoic tissue to describe it for both parity modes. Oviposition refers to the process and act of egg-laying, while parturition refers to the process and act of giving birth to live-young.

(1) Main five physiological changes of parity mode transitions

Several physiological features are expected to change during transitions between oviparity and viviparity. I break this down into five physiological features (hereafter Main Five)—1) length of embryonic retention (Murphy & Thompson, 2011; Packard et al., 1977; Thompson & Speake, 2006)—only viviparous mothers retain the embryo for the entirety of development; 2) eggshell deposition (Heulin et al., 2005; Packard et al., 1977; van Dyke et al., 2014)—viviparous embryos generally do not have an eggshell; 3) placental development for maternal-fetal exchange of required water, gas and/or nutrients (Blackburn, 1992, 2015; Guillette & Guillette, 1993; Thompson et al., 2000; Thompson & Speake, 2006); 4) embryonic calcium provisioning (Packard et al., 1985; Shadrix et al., 1994; Thompson & Speake, 2006)—sources of embryonic calcium and timing of uterine calcium secretions generally differs between oviparous and viviparous reproduction; 5) maternal-fetal immune dynamics (Graham et al., 2011; Hendrawan et al., 2017)—viviparous reproduction is associated with maternal and embryonic

exposure to foreign tissues, which is likely to require enhanced regulation of maternal-fetal immune systems.

II. Length of Embryonic Retention

Viviparous amniotes retain the embryo until it is fully developed, but oviparous amniotes retain the embryo for a fraction of that time. There are some examples of oviparous squamates with long egg retention, but oviposition still occurs prior to complete embryonic development in these taxa (Heulin et al., 2002). Rather than using precocious hatching and parturition (PH&P), like that of opossums and early viviparous mammals (Wagner et al., 2014), squamates evolve viviparity through extended egg retention (García-Collazo et al., 2012; Guillette & Guillette, 1993; Shine, 1983). Thus, processes affecting the length of embryonic retention are expected to change to support transitions between parity modes (García-Collazo et al., 2012; Guillette & Guillette, 1993; Murphy & Thompson, 2011; Thompson & Speake, 2006).

(1) Parturition & oviposition

The genes and hormones involved with initiating and ending gestation may provide insights into the loci squamates can co-opt to change the length of embryonic retention during parity mode transitions. Parturition and oviposition terminate embryonic retention. Parturition can be divided into four parts (Terzidou, 2007; Vannuccini et al., 2016)—quiescence (Phase 0), activation (Phase 1), stimulation (Phase 2) and involution (Phase 3). In eutherian mammals, several processes contribute to the initiation and termination of gestation including inflammation (Challis et al., 2009; Hansen et al., 2017), maternal recognition of pregnancy (MRP), mechanical

stretch of uterine tissues (Sooranna et al., 2004; Shynlova et al., 2008), and fluctuating concentrations of corticotropin-releasing hormone, progesterone, and estrogen (Challis et al., 2000; Condon et al., 2004; Mitchell et al., 1984; Shaw & Renfree, 2001).

(i) Quiescence & sustained progesterone production in reproductive tissues

Extended embryonic retention could be achieved by triggering mechanisms that extend uterine quiescence, inactivity of the uterus. Inhibition of myometrial contractions through sustained progesterone production supports quiescence across different viviparous amniotes (Bazer, 1992; Casey & MacDonald, 1997; Fergusson & Bradshaw, 1991; Ilicic et al., 2017; Murphy & Thompson, 2011; Putnam et al., 1991; Soloff et al., 2011). The corpus luteum (or plurally called corpora lutea), a transient progesterone-producing organ, produces progesterone during gestation (Gemmell, 1995). Extended lifespan of the corpus luteum likely aided the evolution of viviparity in mammals (Amoroso, 1968; Callard et al., 1992; Stouffer & Hennebold, 2015). Thus, early research on squamate viviparity also explored the influence of corpus luteum lifespan. The lifespan of corpora lutea associates with oviparous egg retention and oviposition (Diaz et al., 1994; Fox & Guillette 1987; Guillette & Guillette 1993; Jones & Guillette 1982). Eggshell formation in oviparous Whiptail lizards, *Cnemidophorus uniparens,* is even disrupted by experimental removal of corpora lutea (Cuellar, 1979). The lifespan of corpora lutea do not consistently correlate with length of embryonic retention in viviparous squamates like it does in mammals (Albergotti & Guillette, 2011; Callard et al., 1992).

Maternal recognition of pregnancy (MRP) refers to the early signaling of the embryo to prevent luteolysis (Thatcher, Meyer, & Danet-Desnoyers, 1995), degradation of the corpus luteum. Luteolysis occurs in the absence of pregnancy. MRP enables continued progesterone

production by the corpus luteum to support uterine quiescence during early gestation. An independent evolution of MRP is reported for Macropodidae, a lineage of marsupial mammals (Freyer, Zeller, & Renfree, 2003). MRP has not been explicitly studied in squamates. However, MRP likely happens in squamates, given that corpora lutea do not get degraded in the earliest stages of gravidity/gestation in oviparous or viviparous squamates (Callard et al., 1992; Albergotti & Guillette, 2011).

Different loci are signaled by embryos for MRP across mammals. Human chorionic gonadotropin hormone (hCG) establishes MRP (Ross, 1979; Behrman et al., 1993; Duncan, McNeilly, & Illingworth, 1998; Duncan, 2000; Ticconi et al., 2007). In pigs, MRP is triggered by embryonic signaling of oestrogen (Geisert et al., 1990). Glycoproteins, estradiol (E2) and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) have been implicated in signaling MRP in horses (Klein & Troedsson, 2011; Klein, 2016). In ruminants, embryonic signaling of IFN-τ establishes MRP (Bazer, 2013; Bazer, et al., 1997; Thatcher et al., 1995). During gestation in the uterus of viviparous African Ocellated skinks, *Chalcides ocellatus*, four receptors for interferon alpha, beta, omega, and gamma are differentially expressed but no expression of IFN-τ was detected compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Brandley et al., 2012). I was unable to find expression patterns of MRP signaling homologs in other squamate reproductive tissues based on the available literature. Should MRP occur in squamates, it may be signaled by loci that are clade-specific, like in mammals. This makes comparatively evaluating the influence of MRP on the evolution of viviparity an interesting avenue for future research.

The evolution of viviparous extended embryonic retention may be sufficiently supported by maintenance of chorioallantoic progesterone production coupled with eggshell loss (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017). This theory may be broadly applicable across amniotes given that the

most recent common ancestor of amniotes likely had a chorioallantois with an endocrine function (Griffith, Chavan et al., 2017). Following death of the corpus luteum during gestation, placental progesterone production supports extended embryonic retention in eutherian mammals (Castracane & Goldzieher, 1986; Ellinwood et al., 1989; Nakajima et al., 1991; Rothchild, 2003; Spencer & Bazer, 2004). Viviparous Italian Three-toed Skinks, *Chalcides chalcides*, shift to chorioallantoic progesterone production following degradation of corpora lutea during gestation (Guarino et al., 1998). The placenta of viviparous Southern Snow Skinks, *Carinascincus microlepidotus*, produces minimal progesterone but has a strong capacity to convert pregnenolone to progesterone (Girling & Jones, 2003). Whereas all genes involved with a known biosynthesis pathway for progesterone production are expressed in the placenta of horses, *Equus caballus*, only some loci were detected in the chorioallantois of chickens, *Gallus gallus*, viviparous Southern Grass Skinks, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, and oviparous and viviparous Southeastern Sliders, *Lerista bougainvillii* (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017). Thus, if chorioallantoic progesterone production has supported multiple origins of viviparity in amniotes, it is not evidenced by a conserved ancestral gene expression pattern (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017).

Other female reproductive tissues in squamates express genes involved with progesterone biosynthesis—StAR-related lipid transfer domain protein 3 (*StARD3*) and hydroxy-delta-5-steroid dehydrogenase (*HSD3B1*). *STARD3* is significantly upregulated in the uterine tissue during pregnancy in viviparous African Ocellated skinks, *Chalcides ocellatus*, along with significant differential expression of seven paralogs (Brandley et al., 2012). Compared to non-gestational samples, *HSD3B1* is significantly upregulated in the uterus during early and late gestation in viviparous individuals of reproductively bimodal *Saiphos equalis* (Foster et al.,

2020). Oviparous individuals from the same species did not exhibit this expression pattern (Foster et al., 2020). Activity of *HSD3B1* was detected in the mucosal epithelium of oviparous Eastern Garden Lizards, *Calotes versicolor* (Shanthakumari et al., 1990, 1992), and in the uterine glands of oviparous Keeled Indian Mabuya, *Eutropis carinata (*Mundkur & Sarkar, 1982). Other loci involved with the biosynthesis of progesterone (e.g., steroidogenic acute regulatory protein or cytochrome-P450-family-11-subfamily-A-polypeptide-1) serve as further candidates for exploring the relationship between organ-specific patterns of progesterone production and the evolution of extended embryonic retention in viviparous squamates. Biosynthesis of progesterone may also occur through an unknown biosynthesis pathway in squamate reproductive tissues (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017).

For progesterone to prevent myometrial contractions and support quiescence, there must be progesterone receptors (PGRs) in the uterus (Mesiano et al., 2011; Young et al., 2011). In humans, progesterone responsiveness is related to specific ratios of PGRs, *PR-A* and *PR-B*, in myometrial cells (Young et al., 2011). Minimal research exists on PGR expression in squamate reproductive tissues. One study found that in the uterus of the yolk-sac in viviparous Southern Grass Skinks, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, one progesterone receptor, *PGRMC2*, is upregulated compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Griffith et al., 2016); Another progesterone receptor, *PGR*, is downregulated in the uterus of the chorioallantoic placenta and yolk sac placenta compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Griffith et al., 2016). Downregulation of both *PGR* and *PGRMC2* in the uterus during gestation was detected in viviparous *Chalcides ocellatus* (Brandley et al., 2012). Measuring expression of PGRs and their ratios in uteruses of oviparous and viviparous squamates may provide insights on mechanisms of extended embryonic retention.

(ii) Activation & progesterone withdrawal

The activation stage of parturition is marked by the withdrawal, or functional withdrawal, of progesterone leading to an estrogen dominated response during the next state, stimulation (Bakker et al., 2017; Fergusson & Bradshaw, 1991). Progesterone may withdraw in response to environmental stimuli in reptiles during parturition (Shine & Guillette, 1988). In mammals, activation is marked by increasing concentrations of corticotropin-releasing hormone and contraction associated proteins (CAPs) including connexin-43, prostaglandins, oxytocin receptors, prostanoid receptors and cell signaling proteins (Bakker et al., 2017; Ilicic et al., 2017; Leadon et al., 1982; Pashen & Allen, 1979; Whittle et al., 2000). Pro-inflammatory cytokines and chemokines, prostaglandin synthase-2 (*COX-2*, also referred to as *PTGS2*), and NF-κB also influence activation in mammals (Christiaens et al., 2008; Lappas et al., 2002; Lappas & Rice, 2007; Lindström & Bennett, 2005; Olson, 2003; Terzidou, 2007).

Some similar patterns are associated with oviposition in birds. In chickens, *Gallus gallus*, prostaglandin F (PGF) concentrations increase in the hours leading up to oviposition (Takahashi et al., 2004). Experimental injection of oxytocin and arginine vasotocin, similar neurohypophyseal peptides, revealed that uterine tissues of chickens, *Gallus gallus*, maintain responsiveness to oxytocin but are more sensitive toward arginine vasotocin (Ewy, 1969). Murphy & Thompson (2011) provide a rather exhaustive list of resources on progesterone and estrogen assays across oviparous and viviparous squamates. Future research should consider exploring parallels between mechanisms of activation in mammals and squamates. Any process that can trigger or stall activation should lead to extended embryonic retention.

(iii) Stimulation & electrical gradients, inflammation, and hormonal regulation

Mechanical stretch, electrical gradients, inflammatory processes, and hormonal regulation contribute to stimulation, the phase when contractions, cervical ripening and dilation occur (McEvoy & Tetrokalashvili, 2018; Ravanos et al., 2015). Stimulation involves contributions from maternal and fetal tissues. As early as 460 BC there was uncertainty over the proportional influence of mother or fetus on the initiation of parturition. Hippocrates proposed that the fetus initiates parturition by pushing its feet on the fundus of the uterus (Thorburn, 1987). Although the reality is not so cartoonish, mechanical stretch of the uterus from the growing embryo plays a role in parturition (Lefebvre et al., 1995; Tamizian & Arulkumaran, 2004).

Physical stretching of the uterus causes an influx of calcium and sodium, altering the action potential and enabling contractions (Kao & McCullough, 1975). Calcium further activates voltage gated calcium channels on myometrial cell membranes, enhancing the influx of calcium ions, mediating the force and speed of myometrial contractility (Arrowsmith & Wray, 2014; Wray et al., 2015). The influence of uterine overdistention on oviposition and parturition in birds and non-avian reptiles has not yet been examined, to our knowledge. However, differentially expressed genes functionally enriched the GO term for "voltage-gated calcium channel activity" in uterine tissues during gravidity and gestation in oviparous and viviparous *Saiphos equalis* (Foster et al., 2020). A uterine response to overdistention is among the many possible explanations for this. It may be important to consider the influence of uterine overdistention on squamate parity mode transitions, because should bioelectrical responses to uterine overdistention be a common feature of vertebrate parturition, lessened distention may be a hurdle to reverse back to oviparity.

Uterine overdistention may additionally influence parturition by triggering the "inflammatory pulse" that activates further myometrial contractility (Adams Waldorf et al., 2015). At this time,

there is an influx of uterine and embryonic pro-inflammatory genes and immune cells (Adams Waldorf et al., 2015; Charpigny et al., 2003; Marvin, 2002; McEvoy & Tetrokalashvili, 2018; Mesiano et al., 2002; Park et al., 2005; Romero et al., 1994; Terzidou, 2007; Welbergen et al., 2008). The inflammatory responses associated with uterine contractions in humans involve actions of prostaglandins (PGs), oxytocin, corticotropin-releasing hormone, cytokines, and neutrophils (Adams Waldorf et al., 2015; De Rensis et al., 2012; Gibb, 1998; McEvoy & Tetrokalashvili, 2018; Olson & Hertelendy, 1983; Park et al., 2005; Romero et al., 1994; Sykes et al., 2014; Terzidou, 2007).

The cycling concentrations of a neuropeptide, corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH), supports parturition in humans. This has been compared to a biological clock that is initiated at early stages of gestation (Lockwood, 2004; McLean & Smith, 2001). Increased production of CRH facilitates parturition by interacting with CRH receptors, CRH-R1 and CRH-R2, which promote myometrial relaxation or contractility, respectively (Campbell et al., 1987; Li & Challis, 2005; Petraglia et al., 1995; Yuan & López Bernal, 2007). Altered regulation, phenotype or function of loci that function as biological clocks, like CRH, may have a particularly strong influence on evolutionary changes to length of embryonic retention, a trait inherently related to time.

Placental CRH production has only been identified in primates thus far (Challis et al., 2005; Emanuel et al., 1994; Florio et al., 2002; Grammatopoulos et al., 1994; Grammatopoulos et al., 1996; Karteris et al., 1998; Mendelson, 2009; Robinson et al., 1989; Torricelli et al., 2007). Placental CRH production may, therefore, be unique to primates. Alternatively, absence of placental CRH production in other taxa may be an artifact of bias sampling. The amino acid sequence of CRH is highly conserved in vertebrates (Noy et al., 2017), indicating there is a

possibility for shared function across diverse taxa. Like CRH cycling in mammals, timely fluctuations of a neuropeptide that stimulates uterine contractions, arginine vasotocin (AVT), enables oviposition in birds, turtles, and lizards (Ewy, 1969; Fergusson & Bradshaw, 1991; Guillette Jr & Jones, 1980; Jones et al., 1987; Rzasa, 1978; Srivastava et al., 2007; Wu et al., 2019).

Prostaglandin E_2 (PGE_2) and prostaglandin F2α ($PGF_{2\alpha}$) influence, respectively, uterine contractions and cervical relaxation for oviposition/parturition across many amniotes including humans, *Homo sapiens* (Gibb 1998; Terzidou 2007), domestic pigs (De Rensis et al. 2012), domestic chickens (Hertelendy et al., 1974; Olson et al., 1986), and Loggerhead Sea turtles (Guillette et al., 1991). Injections of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and PGE_2 induce parturition in viviparous Yarrow's Spiny lizards, *Sceloporus jarrovi,* and Raukawa geckos, *Woodworthia maculatus* (Cree & Guillette, 1991; Guillette et al., 1992). However, no injected dosages of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ or PGE_2 induced oviposition in oviparous Collard lizards, *Crotaphytus collarus*, Eastern Fence lizards, *Sceloporus undulatus*, Six-lined racerunners, *Aspidoscelis sexlineatus*, or Striped Plateau lizards, *Sceloporus virgatus* (Guillette et al., 1991). It is interesting that injections of $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and PGE_2 induced parturition in viviparous lizards but did not induce oviposition in oviparous lizards studied. Given this, it is plausible that regulatory or functional changes to $PGF_{2\alpha}$ and/or PGE_2 in squamates could facilitate changes to the length of embryonic retention to support transitions between reproductive modes. However, induction of parturition with $PGF_{2\alpha}$ in viviparous *Woodworthia maculatus* only worked with pre-treatment of β-adrenoeceptor (Cree & Guillette, 1991).

$PGF_{2\alpha}$ decreases progesterone concentrations during stimulation (De Rensis et al., 2012). In humans, biosynthesis of PGs is driven largely by the enzyme cyclooxygenase (*COX*)-*2* rather

than *COX-1* (i.e., prostaglandin synthase-2 and -1) (Slater et al., 1995, 1999). This helps maintain the decreased progesterone/estrogen ratio of stimulation. In ovariectomize viviparous Garter snakes, *Thamnophis*, increased estrogen stimulated thickness of uterine epithelial cells and glandular activity, whereas administration of progesterone had little influence on uterine histology (Mead et al., 1981). Uterine pig models revealed that estrogen stimulates involuntary contractions and relaxation of the uterus (Mueller et al., 2006).

The softening of the cervix is important during the stimulation stage of parturition. A hormone related to insulin, *relaxin*, promotes myometrial softening in humans, *Homo sapiens*, domestic pigs, and turtles (Mercado-Simmen et al., 1982; Sorbera et al., 1988; Weiss & Goldsmith, 2001). The cervix also gets softer by actions of PGE_2. PGE_2 activates pro-inflammatory cytokines, interleukin (IL)-8 and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, which activates the collagenases and matrix metalloproteinases for cervical softening (Bakker et al., 2017). This causes a positive feedback loop between IL-8 and PGE_2 synthesis (Denison et al., 1998; Denison, Calder et al., 1999; Terzidou, 2007; Li et al., 2010). Upregulated of IL-8 is also promoted by the protein complex NF-kB during parturition in humans (Elliott, 2001). Similar patterns were observed during parturition in mice (Condon et al., 2004) and baboons (Mendelson & Condon, 2005).

A few studies consider the role of cytokines on squamate reproduction but not during oviposition or parturition (Hendrawan et al., 2017; Paulesu et al., 1995, 2005, 2008). Some studies detected expression of cytokines during late gestation (Foster et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2019; Recknagel et al., 2021). TNF-α related activity was only detected at this time in viviparous Tussock Cool-skinks, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii,* which were found to downregulate TNF-α induced proteins (*TNFAIP6* and *TNFAIP8L2*) in the ‘uterus of the chorioallantoic placenta’ and

TNFAIP6, *TNFAIP1*, and *TNFAIP2* in the 'uterus of the yolk-sac placenta' compared to not gestational uterine tissues (Griffith et al., 2016). Activity of TNF-α in reproductive tissues during gestation in viviparous Italian Three-toed skinks, *Chalcides chalcides*, and reproductively bimodal European common lizards, *Zootoca vivipara*, was associated with maternal-fetal immune dynamics (Paulesu et al., 1995, 2005, 2008; Hendrawan et al., 2017).

Altered expression or phenotype of contractility agonists, oxytocin receptors and estrogen receptors, and contractility antagonists, progesterone receptors and β-adrenergic receptors (McEvoy & Tetrokalashvili, 2018) may also change the length of embryonic retention to support transitions between parity modes. Differences in length of embryonic retention in oviparous and viviparous agamas, *Phrynocephalus przewalskii* and *Phrynocephalus vlangalii*, appears to be driven by regulatory differences of prostaglandins, *COX-2*, an *AVT* receptor (*MTR*), β-adrenergic receptors, and estrogen receptors. During oviposition, *P. przewalskii*, exhibited the following: promotion of contractions through downregulation of *ADRB2*, and upregulation of *COX-2* and prostaglandin, and absent (potentially lost) expression of two estrogen receptors (*ESR1* and *ESR2*) and the AVT receptor, *MTR* (Gao et al., 2019). During the stage of gestation corresponding to oviposition, viviparous sister-species, *P. vlangalii*, exhibited the following pattern: inhibition of contractions caused by upregulation of β-adrenergic receptor (*ADRB2*) and downregulation of two estrogen receptors (*ESR1*, *ESR2*), an *MTR*, *COX-2*, and prostaglandin (Gao et al., 2019). Some viviparous squamates, *Saiphos equalis*, *Chalcides ocellatus*, and *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, share some of these expression patterns (*COX-2*, *MTR*, and *ADRB*, respectively) thought to be involved with extended embryonic retention in viviparous *P. vlangalii* (Brandley et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2019; Griffith et al., 2016).

However, no species shared the same profile for these loci as *P. vlangalii*. However, tissue sampling across species was done at different developmental stages across the four studies.

Recently, in humans, the only Classical Major Histocompatibility Antigen (C-MHC) expressed by trophoblasts (specialized placental cells) was associated with parturition when it was discovered that HLA-C is significantly increased during laboring term and preterm placentas compared to non-laboring placentas (Hackmon et al., 2017). The authors suggested a mechanism where fetal HLA-C open conformers on the placenta provoke inflammation of maternal tissues, leading to parturition (Hackmon et al., 2017). Expression of MHC alloantigens, foreign antigens to the host, by fetal cells is also associated with parturition in cows and horses (Benedictusa et al., 2015; Davies et al., 2004; Joosten et al., 1991; Rapacz-Leonard et al., 2018). Around one month prior to parturition in cows, endometrial epithelium thins and eventually disappears completely, putting the antigen-presenting trophoblasts (Adams et al., 2007) in contact with maternal connective tissue of the endometrium (Grunert, 1986; Podhalicz-Dzięgielewska et al., 2000). Fetal MHC alloantigens are proposed to promote the loosening of maternal and fetal tissues (Benedictusa et al., 2015; Ginther, 1979). MHC molecules are expressed during gestation and gravidity in some squamates (Murphy & Thompson, 2010) but their role in oviposition or parturition has not yet been considered to my knowledge. Identifying the presence or absence of MHC alloantigens on embryonic tissues before and during parturition across more diverse taxa may reveal how ubiquitous the influence of embryonic MHC molecules is on parturition and oviposition.

Involution (phase 3) occurs after the embryo(s) is released. In eutherian involution, the placenta detaches, and the uterus shrinks. This is supported by actions of prostaglandins

(Husslein, 1984) and oxytocin (Terzidou, 2007). It seems unlikely for processes of involution to be related to evolutionary changes to the length of embryonic retention.

(2) Unique qualities of oviposition and parturition in birds and non-avian reptiles

Circadian rhythm and temperature-specific influences on reproduction may uniquely influence the molecular processes of oviposition and parturition in birds and non-avian reptiles, respectively. The physiology of avian oviposition is dependent on a circadian schedule (Williams, 2012). A general model of an "open period", when eggs are laid are separated by "laying gaps" (Williams, 2012). Chicken ovulation and oviposition cycles leave an 8-hour open period where luteinizing hormone (LH) and progesterone can surge, initiating ovulation and continuing the cycle. At the extreme, the ancient murrelet, *Synthliboramphus antiquus*, oviposits a two-egg clutch on seven-day intervals (Williams, 2012). Longer laying intervals have been associated with longer intervals between initiation of yolk development (Astheimer & Grau, 1990).

Differing from birds, oviparous squamates retain eggs longer than the ovarian cycle (Tinkle & Gibbons, 1977). This suggests that oviparous squamates may rely on different molecular mechanisms to support oviposition than birds. Non-avian reptiles are unique in that they are the only ectothermic amniotes. This makes them uniquely reliant on temperature for embryonic retention and associated embryonic signaling to indicate the stage of embryonic development.

(3) Pre-term birth and embryonic retention mechanisms

The literature on pre-term birth may be a fruitful avenue of research to inform understanding on the evolutionary genomics of embryonic retention length. Rapid increases in CRH are

associated with preterm labor in humans, and slow increases are associated with post-term labor (Ellis et al., 2002; Torricelli et al., 2006). Injections of RU486, a progesterone receptor (PGR) antagonist, promoted pre-term labor in rhesus macaques but the progression of physiological activity differed from normal parturition (Haluska et al., 1987). Examining homologs of loci involved with human pre-term birth in squamate taxa may be illuminating.

In humans, pregnancy loss from infection follows distorted ratios of immune factors at the maternal-fetal interface (Arenas-Hernandez et al., 2016; Chaturvedi et al., 2015; Chattopadhyay et al., 2010). Future research on the evolution of lengthened embryonic retention to support viviparity may benefit from exploring ratios of immune cells in the uterus and embryonic tissues during term and pre-term pregnancy in squamates. I direct researchers to the literature on the reptile immune system and immune cell ratios at the maternal fetal interface during term and pre-term mammalian pregnancy for further exploration (Yang et al., 2019; Zimmerman, 2010, 2020).

(4) Discussion and future directions—embryonic retention and parity mode evolution

The physiological processes involved with the start of gestation (maternal recognition of pregnancy) and the end of gestation (oviposition and parturition) in birds and mammals provide insights into the loci squamates may co-opt to alter length of embryonic retention during transitions between parity modes. Given the role of uterine overdistention in mammalian parturition, a lack of uterine overdistention may be one hurdle for reversals back to oviparity.

Unsurprisingly, hormones like estrogen and progesterone, play important roles in oviposition/parturition across amniotes. Further processes to be examined in squamates include signaling of homologous loci for MRP, placental progesterone production, novel pathways for biosynthesis of progesterone, fluctuating ratios of progesterone receptors, the lifespan of the

corpus luteum across a broader range of taxa, production and circulation of homologs for AVT and CRH or other similarly structured loci, expression of fetal alloantigens and inflammatory cytokines in utero, and the influence of uterine overdistention on contractions. Understanding the evolutionary physiology and genomics of embryonic retention in oviparous and viviparous squamates will benefit from focused attention on reproductively bimodal species (Whittington et al., 2022) and from genomics/physiological research across more taxa that vary in reproductive modes.

III. Eggshell Deposition

Oviparous amniotic embryos develop within an eggshell that is at least partially mineralized, whereas viviparous embryos generally do not. Evolutionary transitions between parity modes therefore requires changes to the process of eggshell deposition. Some have suggested that the amniote eggshell originated multiple times (Aoki, 1993). The history of research on the evolutionary morphology of the amniote egg is important for future comparative research (Blackburn & Stewart, 2021). Primarily, the eggshell serves as physical protection and calcium reserve (Stewart & Ecay 2010; Stewart et al., 2009). The eggshell matrix contains immune properties (Mine et al., 2003) and pores that enable gas exchange and water uptake (Packard et al., 1982).

Birds have hard calcareous eggshells. Other than two lineages of geckos with hard shells, oviparous squamates have parchment-shelled eggs with a thin layer of calcium deposits on the outer surface of the shell membrane (Blackburn & Stewart, 2021; Choi et al., 2018). Monotremes have an eggshell but far less has been documented about its structure compared to other amniotes

(Legendre et al., 2022). The structure and physiological mechanisms involved with eggshell calcification are most well resolved in birds (Choi et al., 2018; Francesch et al., 1997; Jonchere et al., 2010, 2012; Mikšík et al., 2010; Rose-Martel, Du, & Hincke, 2012). Homologous processes do not support eggshell deposition in tuatara or squamates (Choi et al., 2018). Viviparous squamates lack an eggshell, absorb the eggshell during gestation, or have a thin layer of calcium deposits (Schleich & Kästle, 1988; Stewart et al., 2013). Evolutionary loss of the eggshell may evolve through gradual thinning. However, this does not explain highly labile transitions, within a single clutch for example (Laird et al., 2019). Other evolutionarily labile traits in squamates include venom and limb evolution (Sites et al., 2011).

(1) Mineral composition of eggshells

The different mineral compositions of eggshells across amniotes may provide insight into the differing physiological conditions and evolutionary histories under which they are formed (Table 1.1). Taxa use a polymorph of calcium carbonate—calcite, aragonite or vaterite—to develop the eggshell (Hincke et al., 2012). Amorphous calcium carbonate (ACC) is a transient non-crystalline precursor phase of calcite and aragonite that is important for many calcification processes in invertebrates (Hincke et al., 2012). It was recently shown to control avian eggshell mineralization (Rodríguez-Navarro et al., 2015).

In birds, the organic components of uterine fluid promote the formation of calcite (Hernández-Hernández, Gomez-Morales et al., 2008; Hernández-Hernández, Rodriguez, et al., 2008; Nys, 2008). Most amniotes use this polymorph (Hernández-Hernández, Gomez-Morales et al., 2008; Hernández-Hernández, Rodriguez, et al., 2008; Legendre et al., 2022; Nys, 2008). However, turtle eggshells are predominately developed with aragonite (Mikhailov, 1997). The

eggshell of most squamates consists of an inner fibrous protein layer overlain by calcium carbonate that can be a single layer or scattered crystals (Packard & DeMarco, 1991).

There are differing accounts on the microstructure of monotreme eggshells and further studies are needed to determine secondary homology (Legendre et al., 2022). Nonetheless, they are described as proteinaceous, permeable, and flexible (Hughes, 1984). Marsupials lack an eggshell but have an eggshell coat that is secreted by the epithelial cells and endometrial glands early on in embryonic development prior to implantation (Roberts et al., 1994; Roberts & Breed, 1996). This may provide a boundary that immunologically protects the embryo (Roberts & Breed, 1996).

Table 1.1. Amniote Eggshell Ultrastructures

Taxon	**Eggshell ultrastructure**
Testudoid (turtle)	Radial aragonite with organic core at base
Crocodiloid	Tabular, arranged in wedges of calcite with no organic core
Squamate	Two types: • rigid-shelled eggs with well-developed crystalline layer (dibamid and gekkonid lizards). Stem-like crystals grow downward making for a rigid shell • flexible-shelled eggs with parchment-like shell of fibrils overlaid with little thin crystal caps or no crystalline material (other squamates)
Ornithoid (avian)	Calcite with a clear boundary between lower and upper parts. Mammillary layer defines the lower portion of the shell, with calcite crystals that radiate upwards
Monotreme	Distensible, permeable and highly proteinaceous

Note: Adapted from Choi et al., (2018); Frankenberg & Renfree, (2018); Hallman & Griebeler, (2015); Hincke et al., (2012); Schleich & Kästle, (1988); Trauth & Fagerberg, (1984)

(2) Uterine glands & the evolution of parity modes

Eggshell deposition occurs in the uterus where the uterine glands secrete precursors of the eggshell (Girling, 2002; Guillette et al., 1989; Jonchere et al., 2010; Nys et al., 2004; Picariello et al., 1989; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). Uterine glands are critical for gravidity/gestation in both oviparous and viviparous amniotes (Braz et al., 2018; Burton et al., 2002; Cooke et al., 2013). For example, in humans, uterine glands provide histiotrophic nutrition to the early embryo (Burton et al., 2002). In reptiles, precursors for the proteinaceous eggshell membrane are

secreted by the uterine glands (Corso et al., 2000; Heulin et al., 2005; Palmer et al., 1993). Calcium secretion can also involve uterine epithelial cells (Herbert et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2007). Uterine epithelium of the soft-shelled turtle, *Lissemys punctata punctata,* and the eastern collard skink, *Chrotaphytus collaris* (Guillette et al.,1989; Sarkar et al., 1995), stain positive for calcium.

Viviparous squamates have an absent or reduced eggshell membrane to facilitate gas exchange (Blackburn, 1993; Braz et al., 2018; Corso et al., 2000; Girling et al., 1997; Guillette & Jones, 1985; Heulin, 1990; Hoffman, 1970; Palmer et al., 1993; Qualls, 1996; Stewart, 1990). Some squamates are encased in the thin membrane through the entirety of development like the viviparous lizard, *Zootoca vivipara* (Heulin, 1989). Others have the membrane only in the early stages of embryonic development like in garter snakes *Thamnophis radix* and *T. sirtalis* (Blackburn & Lorenz, 2003). Calcium deposits are detected on the outer surface of the membrane throughout development in other viviparous lizards (Stewart et al., 2013).

The size or density of eggshell glands and their secretory granules correlate with eggshell thickness in several amniotes. In chickens, variation in size, spacing, and neutron density of eggshell glands may be important for eggshell structure (Guillette & Jones, 1985). In the reproductively bimodal lizard, *Zootoca vivipara*, viviparous individuals have a uterine glandular layer that is less developed during the stage of eggshell deposition compared to oviparous individuals (Heulin et al., 2005). Additionally, in *Lerista fragilis,* which lays eggs that hatch within just hours of oviposition, the uterus contains very few mucosal glands (Guillette, 1992). In the fence lizard, *Sceloporus a. aeneus*, the irregular surface of the eggshell was attributed to the irregular spacing of shell glands (Guillette & Jones, 1985). In an oviparous gecko, *Hemidactylus turcicu*s, their eggshell glands have loosely packed secretory granules that produce

a hard, calcareous shell (Girling et al., 1998). In another oviparous gecko, *Saltuarius wyberba*, their secretory granules are tightly packed, and their shell is soft and parchmentlike (Girling et al., 1998). In a viviparous relative, *Hoplodactylus maculatus*, there are far fewer eggshell glands, and where there are glands, the secretory granules are smaller and more electron dense (Girling et al., 1997, 1998). Smaller eggshell gland size during or after vitellogenesis is also found in other viviparous squamates compared to oviparous counterparts (Braz et al., 2018; Gao et al., 2019; Heulin et al., 2005). In the reproductively bimodal Yellow Bellied Three-toed skink, *Saiphos equalis*, the density of eggshell glands plays a role in eggshell thickness (Stewart et al., 2010). To my knowledge, in monotremes the relationship between eggshell thickness and shell gland size, density or compaction of secretory granules has not been explored.

(3) *Evolutionary implications of the physiology of eggshell formation*

Presumably because of the influence it has on food production, the process of eggshell formation has been studied most extensively in chickens (Hincke et al., 2012). The avian eggshell is formed in a cell-free environment, and it is the fastest calcifying process known to biology (Hincke et al., 2012; Rodríguez-Navarro et al., 2015). During eggshell formation in birds, the egg is bathed in uterine fluid containing a supersaturation of ionized calcium and bicarbonate ions (Nys et al., 1991). Transport of calcium in the uterus correlates with plasma membrane Ca^{2+}-ATPase (*PMCA*) activity and with concentrations of calbindin-D28K within shell gland epithelial cells (Herbert et al., 2006; Wasserman et al., 1991). This leads to the spontaneous precipitation of calcium carbonate into calcite (Hincke et al., 2012). In the oviparous lizard, *Lampropholis guichenoti*, immunofluorescence microscopy revealed activity of

PMCA in the uterus at the time of eggshell calcification (Herbert et al., 2006; Thompson et al., 2007).

Eggshell deposition begins with the eggshell membrane. Two unciliated cell types in the uterus contribute to eggshell membrane formation in a viviparous skink, *Chalcides ocellatus tiligugu* (Corso et al., 2000). One of these secretes sulfated glycosaminoglycans, forming the amorphous inner component of the shell membrane (Corso et al., 2000). The second cell type secretes acidic glycoproteins, responsible for building the outer layers of the shell membrane (Corso et al., 2000). Simple alveolar glands in the lamina propria secrete collagen fibers (Corso et al., 2000). Inhibition of fiber formation or cross-linking, typically caused by aminopropionitrile or a copper deficiency, causes distorted formations of the eggshell membrane in birds (Arias et al., 1997; Chowdhury & Davis, 1995; Hincke et al., 2012).

Organic aggregates are deposited onto the shell membrane, creating mammillary knobs. Mammillary knobs are a distinct layer between the outer eggshell membrane and the calcified shell matrix layer (Hamilton, 1986). These are characteristic of Archelosaur eggshells (Legendre et al., 2022; Zelenitsky et al., 2002; Zelenitsky & Modesto, 2003). Part of the mammillary knobs, called basal caps, are embedded into the outer eggshell membrane fibers (Tyler, 1965). These basal caps serve as regions of crystal initiation where ACC is deposited (Gautron et al., 2021) and converted into calcite crystals with no intermediate phase (Rodríguez-Navarro et al., 2015). Cones are formed that radiate in all upward directions, extending up to the shell matrix layer (Tyler, 1965). A keratan sulfate proteoglycan, “mammillan”, has been implicated in the composition of mammillary knobs, but it remains uncharacterized (Fernandez et al., 2001; Hincke et al., 2012). The role of homologs of “mammillan” in eggshell formation in squamates may reveal more about the evolutionary history of the eggshell in amniotes.

Parsimony would suggest that all oviparous amniotes shared an ancestral process of eggshell formation. In Archelosaurs (birds, crocodiles, and turtles) the process of eggshell formation occurs from the bottom up as described above. In Lepidosaurs (tuatara and squamates) studied thus far, eggshell formation occurs via a top town process, where crystals grow inward toward the center of the egg (Choi et al., 2018). The strikingly divergent structure and directionality of eggshell formation between Archelosauria and Lepidosauria suggests clade-specific mechanisms arose through genetic drift (Schiffman & Ralph, 2022) or that their eggshells are a result of convergence (Aoki, 1993). An early evolution of viviparity in Lepidosaurs could explain convergent evolution of eggshells. One ancestral state reconstruction estimated an early origin of viviparity in squamates (Pyron & Burbrink, 2014). Two Triassic diapsids (Sauropterygia) may have even been reproductively bimodal (Motani et al., 2014), which is otherwise only known from ten extant squamates (Whittington, 2022). If a version of the avian eggshell was the ancestral microstructure of oviparous amniotes, the loss of basal caps could result in a rapid loss of the eggshell and thus a relatively fast transition to viviparity (the basal cap hypothesis). More information is needed on the eggshell microstructure of early squamates and amniotes to determine the evolutionary history.

In chickens, ovotransferrin is present in the eggshell membrane and basal cap-layer (Gautron, Hincke, Panhéleux et al., 2001). Ovotransferrin promotes the development of elongated crystals (Gautron, Hincke, Panhéleux et al., 2001). The resulting shell matrix is made up of the crystal layer and cuticle (Hamilton, 1986). On the inner portion of the eggshell, it is unclear what prevents growing crystalized cones from extending into the inner membrane or the albumen. Collagen type X has been implicated (Arias et al., 1993, 1997; Hincke et al., 2012). The role of collagen type X in the formation of squamate eggshells is worth further consideration given their

top-down process of calcification. The only non-avian eggshell matrix protein, pelovaterin, was identified in the soft-shell turtle (Lakshminarayanan et al., 2005).

Over 500 proteins are found in the chicken eggshell matrix (Mann, Maček, & Olsen, 2006; Mikšík et al., 2007, 2010). Ovocleidin-116 (*OC116*), ovocalyxin-36 (*OCX36* or *BPIFB4*), ovocalyxin-21 (*OCX21*), and ovocleidin-17 (*OC17*) are important for avian eggshell formation (Hernández-Hernández, Gomez-Morales et al., 2008; Jonchere et al., 2010; Tian et al., 2010). For example, ovocalyxin-21 may serve as a chaperone protein along with the protein endoplasmin (ENPL) to facilitate proper folding of the eggshell matrix (Jonchere et al., 2010). *OC116*, *OC36*, *OCX21*, and *OC17* are some of the most differentially expressed genes during eggshell calcification in chickens (Gautron et al., 2007; Hincke et al., 1999, 2012; Jonchere et al., 2010). Originally considered avian-specific, several homologs have now been identified in non-avian reptiles and mammals (Le Roy et al., 2021).

OCX36 and other BPI family B proteins (also called *LPLUNC*s) are now thought to have a common origin in vertebrates with multiple duplication events (Gautron et al., 2007; Tian et al., 2010). Orthologs of *OCX36* are found in Archelosauria (turtles, crocodiles, and birds) and Monotremata (egg-laying mammals) (Le Roy et al., 2021). In birds, *OCX36* plays a role in innate immune responses and is found in high concentrations in the inner eggshell membrane (Gautron et al., 2007, 2011; Tian et al., 2010).

OC116 is homologous to mammalian *MEPE* 539, which plays a role in bone and teeth mineralization (Bardet et al., 2010; Le Roy et al., 2021). In birds, *OC116* influences shell thickness, elastic modulus, and egg shape (Dunn et al., 2009; Le Roy et al., 2021; Romé & Le Roy, 2016). *OC116* was identified in a crocodile, *Crocodylus siamensis*, proteome (Le Roy et al.,

2021; Mikšík et al., 2018). Synteny analysis across seven turtle species and platypus (*Ornithorhynchus anatinus*) revealed absence of *MEPE/OC116* (Le Roy et al., 2021).

Associating expression patterns with the timing of eggshell deposition has revealed squamate-specific candidates for shell formation. One hundred and forty-eight genes were highly expressed in the uterus of the oviparous lizard, *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*, during the stage of eggshell gland formation (Gao et al., 2019). Seven of these genes—*HYPOU1, KCNMA1, P4HB, PRDX4, PTN, RRBP1 and TRAM1*—are also purported to be important for eggshell calcification in chickens (Brionne et al., 2014). Given this overlap across species that diverged over 300 million years ago (Shen et al., 2011), these are excellent candidates for further exploration. Other genes and lncRNAS are purported to be important for the quality of eggshell formation in hens—*FGF14*, *COL25A1*, *GPX8*, and several members of the solute carrier protein (*SLC*) gene family (Yang et al., 2020). Research into lncRNAs activity in squamate reproductive tissues during embryonic development represents another valuable track for research.

During oviparous gravidity in *Saiphos equalis* two GO terms associated with calcium homeostasis are enriched by the set of upregulated genes (Foster et al., 2020). However, most of these genes are associated with regular cellular responses to calcium and even those associated with calcium transport are upregulated in both early and late stages of gravidity (Foster et al., 2020). Their role in eggshell formation in this uniquely labile species is therefore ambiguous.

In oviparous individuals of another reproductively bimodal skink, *Lerista bougainvillii*, only two genes are significantly differentially expressed in the gravid uterine tissue compared to non-gravid uterine tissue (Griffith et al., 2016). No genes are differentially expressed in the gravid uterine tissue of the oviparous garden skink, *Lampropholis guichenoti*, compared to non-gravid uterine tissue (Griffith et al., 2016). The genes involved in the shelling process in these species

may not involve changes in expression from the non-gravid state. However, this study only measured gene expression at one developmental stage, making it difficult to infer if regulatory changes influence eggshell formation.

In an oviparous agama lizard, *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*, several genes were associated with eggshell gland development (Gao et al., 2019), an important process for secretion of eggshell precursors. Three of the 148 genes highly expressed in *P. przewalskii* were also highly expressed a viviparous relative, *P. vlangalii*, at this time, suggesting differences in eggshell gland development requires regulatory changes to dozens of genes (Gao et al., 2019). Table 1.2 compares loci associated with eggshell formation and shell gland development in squamates to that of birds. A wealth of candidate loci for eggshell deposition are differentially expressed in viviparous squamates during gestation (Table 1.2). These genes may function in calcium transport through the chorioallantois instead (Stewart & Ecay, 2010).

The dissimilarity in uterine gene expression profiles across lizards during gravidity suggests there may be multiple ways oviparous squamates shell their eggs. Given the variation already observed, the physiology of eggshell deposition in squamates should be considered in a phylogenetic context and under the different evolutionary history inferred by ancestral state reconstructions (Blackburn, 1999; de Fraipont et al., 1996; Griffith et al., 2015; Harrington & Reeder, 2017; Pyron & Burbrink, 2014).

(4) Pleiotropy of genes and proteins involved with eggshell deposition

Some genes associated with eggshell deposition have pleiotropic effects within species or have different effects in oviparous vs. viviparous amniotes. Osteopontin (*SPP1*) is found in bone and kidneys, and transports calcium to other tissues in the body (Pines et al., 1995). It is highly

expressed in the chicken uterus during calcification (Jonchere et al., 2010) but supports pregnancy recognition and implantation in sheep (Bazer et al., 2011). Improper functioning of *SPP1* in the uterus leads to cracked and abnormal shells (Arazi et al., 2009; Hincke et al., 2008).

When expressed in the uterus, some bone morphogenic protein-coding genes (*BMP*s) aid eggshell calcification (Jonchere et al., 2010). BMPs are part of the *TGF-β* superfamily and are involved with the formation of new cartilage and bone, and with biomineralization in corals and mollusks (Canalis et al., 2003; Lelong et al., 2000; Zoccola et al., 2009). Chordin (*CHRD*) is an antagonist of the *BMP* pathway. *BMP*-binding endothelial regulatory protein (*BMPER*) and *CHRD* are expressed in the chicken uterus during the stage of eggshell calcification (Jonchere et al. 2010). Regulation of *BMPs* by *CHRD* is essential for early embryogenesis and adult homoeostasis.

BMPER and seven *BMP*s are expressed during gestation in *Chalcides ocellatus*, a viviparous skink (Brandley et al., 2012). Most of these are upregulated (Brandley et al. 2012). *BMP* genes are expressed during both gravidity and non-gravidity in oviparous *Lerista bougainvillii* and *Lampropholis guichenoti* (Griffith et al., 2016). *BMP2* is upregulated in oviparous late gestation compared to viviparous late gestation in the reproductively bimodal lizard, *Saiphos equalis* (Foster et al., 2020).

Differential expression of *BMPR1B* is associated with differences in eggshell quality in chickens (Yang et al., 2020). Another study associated stage-specific high-expression of *BMPR1B* with the stage corresponding to extended embryonic retention and placentation in *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* (Gao et al., 2019). They identified a co-expression network of highly expressed genes, including *BMPR1B,* that they associated with placentation (Gao et al., 2019). *BMPR1B* also reaches significant levels of differential expression in uterine tissues of other

gestating viviparous lizards, *Chalcides ocellatus* and *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii,* compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Brandley et al., 2012; Griffith et al., 2016). Receptors for *BMP*s are also expressed in the uterus during gestation in other viviparous lizards, *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* and *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* (Gao et al., 2019; Griffith et al., 2016).

The potential role of these genes in squamate eggshell formation remains unclear. *BMP*s influence on dorsal-ventral axis patterning during early embryogenesis and growth of skeletal structures in post-natal tissues (Medeiros & Crump, 2012). It may be difficult to disentangle their roles in embryonic development, placental development, and eggshell deposition. Future research on them may inform scientific understanding of parity mode evolution.

SLIT genes are purported to be involved with folding the eggshell matrix in chickens (Jonchere et al., 2010). The *SLIT2* gene encodes a protein that provides a structural framework for protein-protein interactions (Jonchere et al., 2010; Marillat et al., 2002). *SLIT2* is among the 50 most downregulated genes in the uterus during pregnancy in the viviparous African ocellated skink, *Chalcides ocellatus,* compared to non-pregnancy (Brandley et al., 2012). However, in the uterus of the yolk-sac placenta in the viviparous skink, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, *SLIT2* is upregulated compared to non-reproductive uterine tissue (Griffith et al., 2016). *SLIT3* is differentially expressed during the stage of placentation in the viviparous agama lizard, *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* (Gao et al., 2019). *SLIT* genes also play a role in axonal pathfinding and neuronal migration in rats (Marillat et al., 2002). *SLIT2* was associated with reproduction in humans (Chen, Chu et al., 2015). Future research on their function in squamate reproductive tissues during embryonic development may reveal if *SLIT* genes influence parity eggshell formation.

Podocalyxin (*PODXL*) is a sialoprotein associated with eggshell calcification in chickens (Jonchere et al., 2010). However, in a viviparous agama lizard, *Phrynocephalus vlangalii,* a weighted gene correlation network analysis associated *PODXL* with uterine structural changes (Gao et al., 2019). The gene may play a role in placentation in these species given that it was also differentially expressed in the uterus during the stage of placentation (Gao et al., 2019). Interestingly, *PODXL* is downregulated in the uterus of the yolk-sac placenta in another viviparous skink, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* (Griffith et al., 2016). Based on its role in chickens and *P. vlangalii*, *PODXL* is a good candidate for further research on the molecular evolution of eggshell formation and placentation in squamates.

(5) Eggshell formation termination

When eggshell formation is terminated, the egg is still bathed in the supersaturated calcium and bicarbonate ion fluid (Hincke et al., 2012). Some component(s) of the terminal uterine fluid may prevent precipitation of calcium carbonate (Gautron et al., 1997), such as phosphate anions (Lin & Singer, 2005). The presence of phosphorous in the superficial layers of the chicken shell suggest that phosphorous may be the factor preventing the deposition of calcite crystals in the terminal stage (Blackburn, 2000, 1992; Stewart, 2013). Additionally, the high concentration of *OCX32* in the outer eggshell and cuticle, suggest that the gene may inhibit proteinaceous crystal growth in the terminal stage of eggshell calcification (Gautron, Hincke, Mann et al., 2001). It is informative to both viviparous reproduction and the basal cap hypothesis that exposure to precursors of the eggshell does not necessitate eggshell deposition. The influence of phosphate anions and *OCX32* on inhibition of calcium carbonate precipitation on the eggshell membrane of viviparous squamate embryos has not been examined to my knowledge.

(6) Rotating the egg for eggshell deposition

Oviparous amniotes rotate the egg for calcium deposition and viviparous mammals rotate the embryos for parturition. One hurdle to reversing back to oviparity may be re-evolving oviductal musculature and rotation of the egg for shell deposition (Griffith et al., 2015). However, given the complex muscular of the uterus that allows for multidirectional force for parturition, it is difficult to determine the degree of difficulty for re-evolving egg-rotation. Cadherins (Wu et al., 2011) and hormonal signaling (Biazik et al., 2012) may influence uterine elasticity and its ability to rotate the developing embryo. Genes that enrich the GO term for "voltage-gated calcium channel activity" are also useful candidates for investigating uterine rotation associated with eggshell formation because voltage-gated calcium channels effect the action potential of cells and can cause muscle contractions.

(7) Discussion & future directions—eggshell deposition and parity mode evolution

The process of eggshell deposition is more resolved in birds compared to non-avian reptiles and monotremes (Choi et al., 2018; Frankenberg & Renfree 2018; Hallman et al., 2015; Schleich & Kästle 1988). As more whole genomes become accessible, it would be interesting to explore if non-avian amniotes utilize a similar genetic toolkit for eggshell deposition. I described some overlaps that can be gleaned from the literature, which prove as curious candidates for further research. Of particular interest are ovacalyxins and ovoclideins (*OCX36*, *OC116* and *OC17*) (Le Roy et al., 2021), and the homologs for avian eggshell matrix proteins identified in the *Anolis carolinensis* genome (Alföldi et al., 2011; Tian et al., 2010). Some genes purported to be important for eggshell calcification in chickens were also associated with eggshell gland

formation in an oviparous lizard, *Phrynocephalus przewalskii—HYPOU1, KCNMA1, P4HB, PRDX4, PTN, RRBP1* and *TRAM1* (Brionne et al., 2014; Gao et al., 2019).

It is unclear why Archelosaurs and Lepidosaurs evolved divergent processes for forming their eggshells, which are also morphologically dissimilar. One possibility is that viviparity evolved early in the history of Lepidosaurs, as estimated for squamates (Pyron & Burbrink, 2014). Theoretically, it should be relatively simple to transition from oviparity to viviparity if the ancestral oviparous amniotes had an eggshell microstructure like that of dinosaurs and modern birds. Under that scenario, alteration to basal caps in the mammillary layer would prevent the deposition of calcium before it begins (basal cap hypothesis). Alternatives to this possibility are that divergent eggshells and eggshell deposition processes evolved through selective pressure, genetic drift, or both.

IV. Placentation & Transport of Embryonic Water, Gas, and Nutrients

The evolutionary pressures on fluid allocation, gas exchange and nutrient transport should differ between oviparous and viviparous taxa because their sources of all or some of these resources differ (Blackburn, 1992; Bonnet et al., 2001, 2017; van Dyke et al., 2014). In viviparity, maternal gas and water are accessed through the chorioallantois, which is especially important in the latter half of development (van Dyke et al., 2014; Carter, 2012). Nutrients can be available from the yolk, maternal transfer, or both yolk and maternal transfer. Where amniotes other than squamates can rely on the albumen for fluid allocation, squamates lack an albumen (Blackburn & Stewart, 2021). Their eggshells are specially adapted to exchange fluids with the

environment (Blackburn & Stewart, 2021). Oviparous taxa regulate gas exchange through pores in their eggshells (Badham, 1971; Brown & Shine 2005; Ji & Du, 2001; Packard, 1991).

(1) Anatomy & methods of water, gas & nutrient provisioning

The embryonic membranes regulate embryonic fluid transport, nutrient supply, respiration, immunity, and waste (Brace, 1997; Burton & Tullett, 1985; Ferner & Mess, 2011; Ostergard, 1970; Packard & Packard, 1980). Fluids are important for the developing embryo because they prevent desiccation and compression (Ferner & Mess, 2011; Ostergard, 1970; Packard & Packard, 1980). Over-abundance or under abundance of embryonic sac fluids leads to reproductive failure (Chamberlain et al., 1984; Fedakâr et al., 2016; Hadi et al., 1994; Mercer et al., 1984). Without substantial amounts of water, converting yolk nutrients to somatic tissue is impossible (Noble, 1991; Packard, 1991; Thompson et al., 2004). Oxygen flux in embryonic mammals is largely determined by oxygen-diffusing capacity of the placenta, the rates of blood flow in the umbilical and uterine arteries, and the oxygen capacities and affinities of fetal and maternal blood (Carter, 2009). Reptilian and mammalian blood vessels differ in basic characteristics such as capillary density, capillary surface, and oxygen diffusion gradients (Pough, 1980).

Patterns of embryonic nutrient exchange can be broadly categorized into lecithotrophy, obtaining nutrients from the yolk, and placentrophy or matrotrophy, obtaining nutrients from the mother. Taxa belonging to Archelosauridae are lecithotrophic. The ancestral state of mammals was most likely oviparous matrotrophy that later evolved into viviparous matrotrophy in therians (Blackburn, 2005). The ancestral state of reptiles was likely lecithotrophy (Blackburn, 2005). Most viviparous squamates are lecithotrophic, some are lecithotrophic and matrotrophic, and a

few have specializations for substantial matrotrophy (Blackburn, 1985b; Stewart & Thompson, 1993; Thompson, Stewart et al., 1999). Even lecithotrophic viviparous squamates appear to exhibit some degree of matrotrophic nutrient provisioning (Blackburn, 2005; Stewart, 1990, 2020; Swain & Jones, 1997, 2000; Thompson, Stewart et al., 1999; Thompson & Speake, 2006). Reversals may be most unlikely in lineages that have specialized placentas for substantial nutrient exchange because they would need to re-evolve lecithotrophy. Highly matrotrophic squamates are extremely rare (Blackburn, 2015a).

(2) Evolutionary history of yolk-sac formation and yolk processing

Vitellogenesis is the process of yolk formation in the oocyte, providing the embryo with a valuable source of nutrients, primarily through the accumulation of precursor proteins to yolk, vitellogenins. Vitellogenin is produced in the liver, called hepatic vitellogenesis, and transported to the maturing ovum (Ho, 1987). Vitellogenins were lost in all mammals except monotremes (Brawand et al., 2008). They are a primary source of nutrition for other amniotes. Functionally similar to vitellogenin, caseins have persisted in all mammalian milks (Brawand et al., 2008). Glycodelin was also detected in the epithelium of the secondary yolk-sac of humans during the first trimester, suggesting the organ may retain a role in nutrient provisioning during early pregnancy (Burton et al., 2002) but otherwise does not contribute nutritionally. In the yolk-sac of bats, dogs, and non-human primates the mesoderm derived layer is absorptive and may transfer substances from the exocoelomic cavity (Enders et al., 1976; Freyer & Renfree, 2009; King & Wilson, 1983; Lee et al., 1983).

The morphology of the yolk-sac and process of vitellogenesis differs between birds and non-avian reptiles. In birds, during the process of meroblastic cleavage, the zygote's cells divide

while the yolk component does not. The yolk forms a large, fluid, non-cellularized mass surrounded by the extraembryonic yolk sac. The formation of the yolk-sac placenta in birds has the following pattern—first the bilaminar omphalopleure forms and then trilaminar omphalopleure; blood vessels move into folds of the extraembryonic endoderm, becoming stratified epithelium; the folds carrying the blood vessels reach the peripheral regions of the yolk only and the center of the yolk mass remains uncellularized (Starck, 2021). Intensive development of hemopoietic tissue surrounding the blood vessels during most of embryonic development, thus far, appears to be unique to birds (Starck, 2021). Compared to non-avian sauropsids, the unique pattern of yolk processing in birds facilitates faster embryonic development (Blackburn, 2021).

Ancestral sauropsid morphology and yolk processing likely resembled that of non-avian sauropsids (Blackburn, 2021). A series of recent papers on non-avian sauropods, covering species of snakes, lizards, crocodiles, and turtles, indicate that these taxa utilize similar developmental pathways of yolk-sac formation and yolk processing that differs from birds (Blackburn, 2021; Blackburn et al., 2019; Elinson et al., 2014; Elinson & Stewart 2014; Stinnett et al., 2011). Across these taxa, a bilaminar/trilaminar omphalopleure overgrows the yolk mass, and the yolk mass gets invaded by proliferating endodermal cells that phagocytose the yolk material. These cells form clumps, progressively filling the yolk mass. Small blood vessels derived from yolk sac vasculature invade the yolk sac cavity and the endodermal cells arrange in monolayers around these vessels, forming "spaghetti bands" (Blackburn, 2021). The yolk sac of *Pantherophis guttatus* and other non-avian sauropsids may serve as models for the transition between the egg of anamniotes and amniotes (Elinson & Stewart, 2014; Elinson et al., 2014)

A major difference between avian and non-avian sauropsid yolk-sac formation is therefore the morphology and extent of vascularization and cellularization in the yolk sac cavity (Starck, 2021). Birds have a yolk-sac with absorptive endodermal lining that digests nutrients and send them into blood circulation (Starck, 2021) whereas snakes, lizards, turtles, and crocodilians have a yolk sac that becomes invaded by endodermal cells that proliferate and phagocytose yolk material (Blackburn, 2021). In these taxa, yolk material becomes cellularized, digested, and transported by vitelline vessels to the developing embryo (Blackburn, 2021). Factors involved with cellularization of the yolk-sac are proposed to include cell cycle regulators and structural proteins (Elinson et al., 2014). Generation of these cells are suspected to be reliant on processes of angiogenesis and are likely transcriptionally active (Elinson et al., 2014).

As discussed in a previous section, progesterone inhibits myometrial contractility, but it also inhibits estrogen-induced hepatic vitellogenin synthesis (Custodia-Lora, Novillo, & Callard, 2004; Callard et al., 1992). Variable progesterone concentrations in circulation throughout gestation in viviparous squamates may reflect a trade-off to allow estrogen expression to support hepatic vitellogenin synthesis during embryonic development, thus supporting nutrient provisioning during the lengthened embryonic retention. Although hepatic vitellogenesis usually ceases during gestation, vitellogenin synthesis and mother-to-embryo transfer was detected in one viviparous fish, *Xenotoca eiseni*, during gestation (Iida et al., 2019). Future research should consider the timing of vitellogenin synthesis throughout the reproductive cycle in gestating and non-gestating viviparous squamates to investigate this further.

(3) Evolutionary history of placentrophy in mammals & squamates

Traditionally, it was thought that placentrophy evolved after viviparity in squamates (Packard, Tracy, & Roth, 1977; Shine & Bull, 1979). Further research demonstrated that placentrophy and viviparity evolved simultaneously (incipient matrotrophy) in mammals and may have in squamates (Blackburn, 1985, 1992, 2005, 2006; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). The incipient matrotrophy model relies on evidence that 1) uterine provisioning of nutrients predates the origin of viviparity (Blackburn 1985, 1992, 2006), 2) uterine and embryonic tissues have a close anatomical and physiological association in viviparous taxa and 3) some degree of placental transfer of organic and inorganic molecules is common in all viviparous taxa (Stewart & Ecay, 2010). In squamates, the potential for incipient matrotrophy and evolution of placentrophy after viviparity is supported (Stewart & Ecay, 2010). Facultative placental nutrient provisioning and incipient matrotrophy may have driven the evolution of squamates with substantial matrotrophic nutrient provisioning (Stewart, 2020; Swain & Jones, 2000).

Placentation and implantation are not homologous in mammals compared to squamates (Griffith et al., 2013). Several placental specializations for gas and nutrient exchange are unique to mammals including erosion of the uterine mucosa, extensively invasive implantation, hemochorial contact, retention of a vascularized choriovitelline membrane, and countercurrent patterns of blood flow (Blackburn, 2005). This enables extensive exchange of nutrients in addition to water and gas. The vast majority of viviparous squamates have the most superficial type of chorioallantoic placenta called epitheliochorial placenta (Blackburn 1993, 2005; Thompson et al., 2004). They use this primarily for gas exchange (Thompson et al., 2004).

Nutrient provisioning through placentrophy is obligate for embryonic development in only five lineages of squamates, all of which are scincid lizards (Blackburn, 2000; Flemming & Blackburn, 2003; Ramírez-Pinilla et al., 2011). *Pseudemoia pagenstecheri*, a lizard with a highly

specialized placenta, out-performs lecithotrophic oviparous close relatives in the relative amount of nutrients it transfers to the embryo (Stewart et al., 2009). Some *Mabuya* lizards have highly specialized placenta, relying almost entirely on maternally supplied materials (Thompson & Speake, 2002). *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* is a moderately matrotrophic viviparous lizard, with roughly half of embryonic nutrient uptake from the yolk and half through a specialized cyto-epitheliochorial placenta (Adams et al., 2005; Speake et al., 2004; Stewart & Thompson, 1993, 2009). Specializations of the chorioallantoic placenta for nutrient provisioning in some squamates include elaborate specializations for uterine secretion and absorption, including placentomes, chorionic areolae, hypertrophied uterine mucosa, and chorionic epithelia modified for absorption (Blackburn, 2005).

Mammalian placenta-specific genes have deep origins in vertebrates (Rawn & Cross, 2008). Placentation to support viviparity likely employs genes that are ancestral to the chorioallantois. However, one study that looked at placentation and gene expression across a small sample of divergent amniotes found only one gene with a placentrophy-specific pattern of gene expression, *DIO3* (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017). In mammals, this is an imprinted gene and preferentially paternally expressed. The authors suggest that the gene may increase offspring resource uptake during pregnancy in the horse and a viviparous lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, where it is recruited to the placenta (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017).

(4) Squamate viviparity eggshells, and gas exchange

In squamates, specializations for gas exchange across the chorioallantoic placenta include decreased diffusion distance between maternal and fetal capillaries, uterine vascularity, shell membrane deterioration, and modifications of both fetal and maternal blood properties (Attaway,

2000; Blackburn, 1998, 2005; Blackburn & Lorenz, 2003; Blackburn & Vitt, 2002; Stewart and Brasch, 2003). Absence of the eggshell may be necessary for adequate gas exchange during viviparous gestation. However, in some viviparous squamates and oviparous squamates with prolonged egg retention the eggshell is considered part of the placenta (Linville et al., 2010; Stewart et al., 2013). Thus, a calcified eggshells remains compatible with viviparity, at least in these lineages. Pores in the eggshell may support sufficient gas and fluid exchange in viviparous squamates as they do for oviparous eggs.

(5) Loci involved with embryonic water, gas, and nutrient exchange

Water transport in animals is regulated by a family of molecular water channels called aquaporins (*AQ*s or *AQP*s) (Borgnia et al., 1999). In humans, *AQP1, AQP3, AQP4, AQP8* and *AQP9* are found in the placenta but further research is needed to understand how these influence water fluxes between maternal and fetal tissues (Damiano, 2011). Transcriptomic analysis on uterine tissue of the gestating, viviparous skink, *Chalcides ocellatus*, reveal differential expression of *AQP1, AQP3, AQP5, AQP6, AQP8, AQP9* and *AQP11* when compared to non-gestating uteruses (Brandley et al., 2012). In birds, *AQP1* is expressed in the chorioallantoic membrane, and it is suggested to influence angiogenesis throughout embryonic development (Ribatti et al., 2002). In a viviparous lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii, AQP8* and *AQP9* were more highly expressed in the chorioallantoic placenta compared to the yolk-sac placenta (Griffith et al., 2016). During gestation and gravidity in both oviparous and viviparous populations of the reproductively bimodal skink, *Saiphos equalis*, several genes involved with water homeostasis are upregulated including *AQP1, AQP3* and *AQP12B* (Foster et al., 2020). In uteruses of *Saiphos equalis*, *AQP5* and *AQP8* are upregulated during oviparous late gestation compared to viviparous

late gestation. In sheep, *AQP3* is differentially expressed during gestation, where it serves a dual role of water transport to the embryo and fetal urea export (Johnston et al., 2000). This is similar to the function of *AQP9* in humans (Damiano, 2011). Immunocytochemistry reveals that *AQP1* and *AQP3* are expressed in the uterus of the highly placentrophic South American scincid lizard, *Mabuya sp.* (Wooding et al., 2010).

Some molecules are implicated in the regulation of aquaporins including insulin (INS), human chorionic gonadotropin (HcG), cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) (Castro-Parodi et al., 2008; Damiano, 2011). Genes predicted to be involved with reproduction in *Anolis carolinensis* are enriched for the GO term for cAMP-mediated signaling (Alföldi, Di Palma, et al., 2011). Further comparative research should be done to elucidate the functional differences of aquaporins in oviparous and viviparous amniotes and how they relate to the differing conditions under which these embryos develop.

Genes involved embryonic oxygen transport precede the origin of amniotes. Hemoproteins arose in evolutionary history well before they were used for placental oxygen transfer (Hardison 1998). In mammals, adult (Alpha: HBA; Beta: HBB, HBD) and embryonic hemoglobins (Alpha: HBZ, HBA; Beta: HBE, HBG, and HBH) are involved with oxygen transport (Carter, 2012). Some of these are unique to eutherian mammals following a series of duplication events (Opazo et al., 2008). However, fetal hemoglobins are found in turtles, lizards, and snakes (Pough, 1980). HBA, HBB and HBM are all significantly downregulated in the uterine tissue of the viviparous African Ocellated Skink, *Chalcides ocellatus,* during gestation compared to non-gestation (Brandley et al., 2012). The oxygen demands of reptile embryos are relatively low until stage 30, when most oviparous taxa oviposit (Shine & Thompson, 2006). In viviparous and oviparous

species with long egg retention, embryonic demand for maternal provision of oxygen and removal of CO_2 increases at this stage (Ferguson & Deeming, 1991).

Improper water, gas and nutrient exchange can occur due to poor chorioallantoic blood flow (Wootton et al., 1977). Thus, viviparous taxa require greater degrees of vascularization and vasodilation to facilitate enhanced requirements for maternal resources compared to oviparous taxa. Rather than increasing the size of the placenta, increasingly dense blood vessels can support fetal growth without compromising space for embryonic growth as occurs in some pigs (Ford, 1997; Vonnahme et al., 2002). Embryonic vascularization and vasodilation are dependent on signals from the endoderm (Jin et al., 2005; Vokes & Krieg, 2002; Wilt, 1965). In oviparous individuals of *Saiphos equalis*, populations with extended egg retention, there is expansion of the uterine vascular bed and thickening of the chorioallantoic tissue that supports increased embryonic growth in the later portion of oviparous gravidity (Parker et al., 2010). In the viviparous scincid lizard, *Eulamprus quoyii*, angiogenesis, the formation of new blood vessels, and expansion of the vessel-dense elliptical area of the uterus is associated with supporting increased embryonic oxygen demand (Murphy et al., 2010).

Several protein-coding genes are known to be involved with angiogenesis, vascularization, and vasodilation in utero. One study that examined expression patterns across chickens (oviparous), horses (viviparous), two viviparous squamates, and one oviparous squamate found that no examined genes for angiogenesis showed a viviparity-specific expression pattern (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017). However, other than the chicken, the only oviparous taxa included in this study was a reproductively bimodal skink, *Lerista bougainvillii* (Griffith, Brandley et al., 2017).

In the uterine tissue of gestating viviparous skinks and rats, several genes for angiogenesis are upregulated—*EPAS1, HIF1A* and *VEGFA* (Brandley et al., 2012; Whittington et al., 2015, 2017). Other proteins involved in vascularization and vasodilation in utero include members of the vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*) gene family, VEGF receptors (*VEGFR*s), placental growth factor (*PGF*) and nitric oxide synthase (*NOS*) (Blomberg et al., 2010; Chen, Wang et al., 2015; Gilbert, 2010; Reynolds et al., 2006; Risau, 1997; Torry et al., 2003; Vonnahme et al., 2001). In *Saiphos equalis*, different homologs of *NOS* experience different patterns of gene expression across the oviparous and viviparous stages of gestation/gravidity (Foster et al., 2020). One homolog of *NOS* is upregulated during oviparous late gestation, and another is upregulated during viviparous late gestation (Foster et al., 2020). Several genes involved with angiogenesis and vascular morphogenesis are downregulated in the pre-implantation uterus of a marsupial, the Fat Tailed Dunnart, *Sminthopsis crassicaudata*—*ADGRA2, ADGRB2, ANGPTL1, EPHB4, ISM1, PDZRN3, RHOJ, TNMD,* and *VEGFD* (Whittington et al., 2018).

In humans, immune factors are also responsible for increasing embryonic blood supply. Embryonic non-classical MHC class I molecule, HLA-G, and uterine natural killer (uNK) cells support increased embryonic blood supply (Moffett & Loke, 2006; Rajagopalan et al., 2006). A similar pattern of utilizing immune properties to support embryonic blood supply has not been yet identified in squamates.

Lipids are a main energy source for embryos. Lipoprotein lipase (LPL) is an important enzyme in lipid transport. LPL is significantly expressed on the syncytiotrophoblasts, specialized placental cells, of humans (Lindegaard et al., 2005) and the endometrium of cows (Forde et al., 2011), and pigs (Ramsay et al., 1991), where it plays a role in lipid mobilization. A viviparous

lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, increases capacity for lipid transport toward the end of pregnancy (Griffith, Ujvari et al., 2013). The uterine tissue of the yolk-sac placenta in this species had significantly higher expression of LPL than the uterine tissues of the chorioallantoic placenta (Griffith, Ujvari et al., 2013), leading the authors to suggest that the yolk-sac placenta is the major site of lipid transport. LPL expression was not detected during pregnancy in the viviparous skink, *Chalcides ocellatus* (Blackburn, 1992; Brandley et al., 2012). Instead, lipid transport may be facilitated by fatty acid binding proteins in this species (Chmurzyńska, 2006; Brandley et al., 2012). These are also active on mammalian placenta (Haggarty, 2002).

Apolipoproteins are also suitable candidates for transport of fatty acids, cholesterol and phospholipids. Five of these (*APOA1, APOA2, APOA4, APOE*, and *APOM*) and *APOA1BP* are significantly upregulated in the pregnant uterus of the viviparous skink, *Chalcides ocellatus* (Brandley et al., 2012). *APOA1BP* is also upregulated in the uterus of the chorioallantoic placenta and yolk-sac placenta compared to non-gestational uterine tissues in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* (Griffith et al., 2016). Additionally, upregulation of 136 genes that encode solute carrier proteins (SLCs) in the pregnant uterus of *Chalcides ocellatus* are associated with transport of inorganic ions, metals, glucose, amino acids, peptides, fatty acids, and carboxylic acids (Brandley et al., 2012).

Cathepsins and phospholipases are important for uterine secretions for embryonic development in horses, pigs, sheep and cattle (Bazer, 1975; Satterfield et al., 2007; Song et al., 2010). Cathepsins are present in yolk sacs of humans and mice. They function to degrade proteins to free amino acids (Cindrova-Davies et al., 2017). Two genes for cathepsin L (*CTSL1* and *CTSL2*) are upregulated in the uterus during gestation in *Chalcides ocellatus* (Brandley et al., 2012). *CTSL* is also upregulated in the uterus during the pre-implantation phase in the Fat-Tailed

Dunnart, *Sminthopsis crassicaudata* (Whittington et al., 2018), and in the uterus of the chorioallantoic placenta and uterus of the yolk sac placenta during gestation in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* (Griffith et al., 2016).

In viviparous individuals of the reproductively bimodal lizard, *Saiphos equalis*, many genes for cellular adhesion are upregulated during late gestation (Foster et al., 2020). The authors postulated that this helps facilitate maternal-fetal signaling and paracellular transport (Foster et al., 2020). Gao et al. (2019) identified a set of genes in *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* that were differentially expressed in the uterus during the stage of placentation and these enriched GO terms functionally related to the process of placentation. This included an estrogen receptor (*ESR1*) and two growth factor receptors (*GHR* and *IGF1R*) (Gao et al., 2019).

Finally, the proteomes of the ovary and placenta from obligately placentrophic *Mabuya* lizards can further serve as a useful resource for examining nutrient provisioning in squamates (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2017). In the placenta they found protein expression involved with nutrient metabolism, transport, protein synthesis, and embryonic development (Hernández-Díaz et al., 2017).

(6) Uterine glands: adenogenesis, placenta development and histotrophy

In addition to their role in eggshell deposition in oviparous taxa, uterine glands also secrete growth factors and cytokines that support placental development in mammals. In humans, these include transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β), epidermal growth factor (EGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VECG), and leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) (Hempstock et al., 2004). In eutherians, TGF-β supports placental development by regulating proliferation and

invasion rates of placental cells lines (Caniggia et al., 2000; Hempstock et al., 2004; Lafontaine et al., 2011).

Histotrophy (also called histiotrophy) occurs when nutrients are secreted into the uterine lumen from vesicles of the columnar epithelial cells of the uterus and taken up by the embryo. Histotrophic nutrient provisioning is documented across amniotes including marsupials (Whittington et al., 2018), several ungulate taxa (Bazer et al., 2011; Han et al., 2016; Gao et al., 2009), humans (Burton et al., 2002), and squamates (Thompson et al., 2004). In humans, histotrophic nutrient provisioning occurs during the first trimester. The intervillous space is filled with fluid containing uterine gland secretions that get phagocytosed by the syncytiotrophoblasts and are the initial nutrient source for the fetus (Burton et al., 2002). Two of these glycoproteins are epithelial mucin (*MUC1*) and glycodelin A (*GdA*) (Burton et al., 2002). Interestingly, the *MUC15* gene is upregulated during gravidity/gestation in the uterus of oviparous and viviparous *Saiphos equalis* individuals (Foster et al., 2020). This also occurs in the chorioallantoic placenta of *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* during gestation (Griffith et al., 2016). Several mucins are expressed in the uterus in non-gravid and gravid samples from oviparous individuals of *Lerista bougainvillii* and *Lampropholis guichenoti* (Griffith et al., 2016).

A survey of viviparous squamates with modest to extensive placentrophy revealed prevalence of histotrophic nutrient provisioning rather than hemotrophy, transfer of nutrients between maternal and fetal blood streams (Blackburn 2015). Embryos of *Chalcides chalcides* have extensive placentrophy that supports substantial maternal nutrient provisioning and histotrophy (Blackburn, 2015a). Histotrophy may lessen parent-offspring conflict and give the mother the control over nutrient provisioning compared to hemotrophy (Blackburn, 2015b).

Chalcides ocellatus has less extensive placentrophy than *C. chalcides* but the gestating uterus still illustrates expression of many genes associated with organic and inorganic nutrient transport (Blackburn, 2015a). Multiple *TGF-β* loci are differentially expressed in the uterus during gestation in *C. ocellatus*, however most these are downregulated compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Murphy et al., 2012). The influence of *TGF-β* on placental development and nutrient provisioning in *Chalcides spp.* remains to be explored to my knowledge. A TGF-β receptor (*TGFBR1*) was associated with placental development in *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* (Gao et al., 2019).

Essential to histotrophy is adenogenesis, the generation of endometrial glands. Adenogenesis allows for the secretion of histotrophs. The period of early development during which adenogenesis occurs is highly variable among vertebrates but it is required for embryonic survival (Gray et al., 2001, 2002; Spencer & Bazer, 2004). Some genes involved with adenogenesis in sheep are insulin-like growth factor 1 (*IGF-1*), *IGF-2*, *PAX2*, *LHX1* (also known as *LIM1*) and *EMX2*, genes in the abdominal-B HOXA cluster, members of both *Wnt* and Hedgehog (*Hh*) gene families (Fazleabas et al., 2004), prolactin (*PRL*), fibroblast growth factor 7 (*FGF7*), *FGF10*, *FGFR2IIIb*, hepatocyte growth factor (*HGF*), a receptor tyrosine kinase (*c-Met)*, and cadherins (Fazleabas, 2007).

In the gestating uterus of *Chalcides ocellatus*, insulin-like growth factor–binding protein 5 (*IGFBP5*) is one of the most significantly downregulated genes compared to non-gestational uterine tissue (Brandley et al., 2012). *IGFBP5* is evolutionarily conserved and multifunctional, with an important role in regulating IGF signaling, including that of *IGF-1* and *IGF-2* (Duan & Allard, 2020). Other than adenogenesis in sheep, IGFs serve an important role in the growth of

fetal and maternal tissues in mammals. There is a long history of research on this subject (Yan-Jun et al., 1996; Gibson et al., 2001; Kampmann et al., 2019).

Genes involved with histotrophic secretion in the marsupial *Sminthopsis crassicaudata* include *AP4S1, HYOU1,* and *SRPRA* (Whittington et al., 2018). Nutrient transporters significantly upregulated at this time are *APOL6* (cholesterol transport (Baardman et al., 2013)), *PLA2G10* (hydrolysis of fatty acids during pregnancy (Miele et al., 1987)) and a wealth of SLCs (solute carrier proteins for nucleoside sugar, ions, anions, glucose, fatty acids, calcium and zinc (Whittington et al., 2018)). In a reproductively bimodal skink, *Saiphos equalis, PLA2G10* is upregulated during viviparous late gestation compared to oviparous late gestation (Foster et al., 2020). Upregulation of SLCs also occurs in the viviparous skink *Chalcides ocellatus* (Brandley et al., 2012; Van Dyke et al., 2014) and in the uterus during pregnancy in the grey short-tailed opossum, *Monodelphis domestica* (Hansen et al., 2016).

Uterine glands are also important for secretions of eggshell precursors. I speculate that genes involved with adenogenesis of shell glands may be similarly used to support histotrophic nutrient provisioning, but further research is necessary. Specialized uterine areolar glands are found in some *Mabuya* lizards, a genus with oviparous species and viviparous species that utilize placentrophy and histotrophy (Brandley et al., 2012; Corso et al., 1988, 2000; Jerez & Ramírez-Pinilla, 2001; Ramírez-Pinilla, 2006; Vieira et al., 2007; Visser, 1975). Transcriptomic research focused on histotrophic nutrient provisioning, placental development, and secretions of eggshell precursors in oviparous and viviparous *Mabuya spp*. would complement the morphological literature on the genus

(7) Discussion & future directions—embryonic nutrients, gas, and water supply

Many genes for placental functions in mammals have deep origins in vertebrates (Rawn & Cross, 2008). Across amniotes, there is overlap in hormones and proteins (SLC superfamily, insulin-like growth factors, aquaporins and solute carrier proteins, etc.) involved in uterine remodeling, placentation, and placental transport. Identifying a viviparity-specific expression profile would require measuring expression at stage-specific times across taxa that share the same form of water, gas, or nutrient provisioning. A viviparity-specific profile may not be the biological reality. Table 1.3 illustrates how loci mentioned in text for water, gas, and nutrient transport are expressed in reproductive tissues of squamates during gestation and gravidity.

If specific genes or physiological processes impact more than one of the Main Five categories, it could have a disproportionate influence on transitions. The solute carrier *(SLC)* gene superfamily is estimated to be involved with both nutrient transport (Brandley et al., 2012; Whittington et al., 2018) and eggshell deposition (Yang et al., 2020). Adenogenesis is essential for histotrophic nutrient provisioning and secretion of eggshell precursors. Additionally, progesterone production influences both uterine quiescence, which is an important state to maintain in lengthened embryonic retention, and it also inhibits hepatic vitellogenesis, an important process for lecithotrophic nutrient provisioning. Thus, examining the role of *SLC* gene superfamily members, processes of adenogenesis, and progesterone production during embryonic development in oviparous and viviparous squamate may reveal how interconnected the Main Five are.

V. Embryonic Calcium Provisioning

The embryonic growth stage requires the greatest demand of calcium (Ecay et al., 2017; Packard & Packard, 1984; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). To support this, peak uterine concentrations of calcium are highest during either eggshell deposition or during the embryonic growth stage, in oviparous and viviparous taxa, respectively (Linville et al., 2010; Stewart et al., 2009). Regardless of parity mode, embryonic metabolism drives calcium uptake (Packard & Packard, 1984). The calcium source(s) utilized have clade-specific implications on the genomic and/or physiological changes required to transition between parity modes.

(1) Phylogenetic context of embryonic calcium sources

Calcium can be acquired by the embryo in three forms: calcium carbonate in the eggshell, calcium bound to proteins and lipids in the yolk, and/or free ionic calcium from maternal delivery through the placenta (Stewart & Ecay, 2010). These correspond with five calcium mobilization patterns: 1) Birds, turtles and crocodiles predominately depend on the eggshell; 2) Many squamates, regardless of parity mode, predominately depend on the yolk; 3) Some squamates are intermediately reliant on the eggshell and yolk; 4) Some viviparous squamates are intermediately reliant on the yolk and placenta; and 5) therian mammals and some viviparous squamates predominately depend on the placenta (Hoenderop, Nilius, & Bindels, 2005; Jenkins & Simkiss, 1968; Kovacs, 2015; Packard, 1994; Packard & Seymour, 1997; Stewart et al., 2009, 2009; Stewart & Ecay, 2010; Thompson, Stewart et al., 1999; Thompson, Stewart, & Speake, 2000; Ramírez-Pinilla, 2006). Unlike birds, oviparous squamates do not sequester calcium from the eggshell into the yolk during incubation (Packard, 1994).

(2) Hypotheses on calcium mobilization and the evolution of parity modes

It was hypothesized that predominant reliance on eggshell calcium should constrain lineages to oviparity because the evolution of viviparity would result in a lost calcium source (hereafter eggshell calcium constraint hypothesis) (Stewart & Ecay, 2010; Packard et al., 1977; Packard & Packard, 1984). This hypothesis suggested that viviparity should only evolve in lineages predominately reliant on yolk calcium (Packard et al., 1977; Packard & Packard, 1984). Fittingly, birds, turtles and crocodilians generally rely on eggshell calcium, and they are constrained to oviparity (Anderson et al., 1987). The eggshell calcium constraint hypothesis is supported by many viviparous squamates that rely heavily on yolk calcium, including *Nerodia rhombifera*, the diamondback water snake, and *Niveoscincus metallicus*, the metallic skink (Stewart & Castillo, 1984; Thompson, Speake et al., 1999).

However, subsequent research revealed that viviparity is not constrained by a prerequisite reliance on yolk calcium. Calcium placentrophy contributes substantially to embryonic development in several viviparous squamates including *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii, Eulamprus quoyi*, *Zootoca vivipara*, *Saiphos equalis*, and an unidentified species of *Mabuya* lizard (Ecay et al., 2017; Linville et al., 2010; Ramírez-Pinilla, 2006; Ramírez-Pinilla et al., 2011; Stewart & Thompson, 1993; Thompson, 1977). These taxa, with the exception of *Zootoca vivipara*, are in the family Scincidae (Burbrink et al., 2020), which is also the family with the most independent origins of viviparity in squamates (Blackburn, 1982, 1999; Pyron & Burbrink, 2014). Oviparous scincid skinks studied thus far are intermediately reliant on eggshell and yolk calcium (Linville et al., 2010; Shadrix et al., 1994; Stewart et al., 2009; Stewart & Thompson, 1993; Thompson et al., 2001).

To understand the breadth of physiological conditions from which oviparity and viviparity evolve in squamates, future research should examine calcium transport in other lineages. Studies

focused on snakes would be particularly informative given the sparse literature on them. *Helicops angulatus*, a reproductively bimodal water snake from South America, is an ideal model for this (Braz et al., 2018). Thus far, many oviparous snakes are known to be intermediately reliant on yolk and eggshell calcium. This has not precluded viviparity from evolving in these lineages.

The presence of embryos during extended embryonic retention may trigger positive feedback stimuli for continued uterine calcium secretions (Stewart & Ecay, 2010), which may support incipient calcium matrotrophy. This is postulated to resemble the hormonal and mechanical stress mechanisms implicated in avian eggshell formation and uterine calcium secretions (Bar, 2009a; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). The influx of calcium late in viviparous gestation may be triggered in part by embryonic growth that over distends the uterus. This is seen in mammals when uterine overdistention triggers influx of calcium and sodium to support parturition (Kao & McCullough, 1975).

Dramatic changes to activity in chorioallantois should not be required during parity mode transitions because these homologous tissues (Metcalfe & Stock, 1993) transport calcium regardless of parity mode (Ecay et al., 2004; Tuan & Scott, 1977; Tuan & Knowles, 1984; Tuan et al., 1978, 1986). Specialized placental structures in some viviparous squamates enhance calcium provisioning but specialization is not required for placental calcium transport (Stewart et al., 2009; Stewart & Ecay, 2010; Thompson et al., 2000). Loss of chorioallantoic calcium transporting capacity would be disadvantageous to either parity mode. Growing research reveals that, like mammals, placentrophy and viviparity can evolve concurrently in squamates (Blackburn, 2015a; Ecay et al., 2017; Stewart & Ecay, 2010).

Extending evidence for these hypotheses across the squamate phylogeny, incipient calcium matrotrophy should support origins of viviparity when viviparity arises in close phylogenetic proximity to oviparous taxa with embryos that depend intermediately or predominately on eggshell calcium; Origins of viviparity in close phylogenetic proximity to oviparous taxa with embryos that depend on lecithotrophic calcium provision should remain reliant on yolk calcium. This provides a framework from which researchers can infer how viviparous calcium transport may evolve in different lineages. Measurements of the proportional contribution of different calcium sources during development has only been done in select taxa (Packard, 1994; Stewart, 2013; Stewart & Blackburn, 2014; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). Collection of this data across the squamate phylogeny may enable assignment of these hypotheses to specific clades.

Embryonic calcium source could have implications on the physiological changes required to transition between parity modes. Reliance on yolk calcium should render, essentially, no mechanistic changes for calcium transport. Incipient calcium matrotrophy may require regulatory changes in the uterus, like timing of calcium secretions (Griffith et al., 2015). However, regardless of parity mode 1) the uterus secretes calcium, 2) the chorioallantois transports calcium and 3) embryonic metabolism drives uptake of calcium. Assuming maternal tissue remains responsive to embryonic metabolism, the joint evolution of matrotrophic calcium provisioning with viviparity may also require little to no physiological adjustments.

The diversity of embryonic calcium provisioning patterns in viviparous squamates may not be fully explained by the eggshell calcium constraint hypothesis (Packard et al., 1977; Packard & Packard, 1984) or incipient calcium matrotrophy (Stewart & Ecay, 2010). Both hypotheses implicitly assume that viviparity equates to a lost eggshell. In one viviparous squamate, *Haldea striatula*, and in viviparous populations of two reproductively bimodal lizards, *Zootoca vivipara*

and *Saiphos equalis*, the calcified eggshell is considered as a component of the placenta (Stewart, 2013). Some other viviparous squamates have transient calcified patches on their embryonic membranes (Blackburn, 1998; Heulin, 1990, 2005; Qualls, 1996) suggesting that uterine calcium secreting capabilities in early gestation may be retained in some viviparous lineages. In the case of reversals, it remains unknown how the uterus shifts back to early calcium secretions after ovulation (Blackburn, 2015b; Griffith et al., 2015). Reversals may be most feasible within viviparous clades that evolved through incipient calcium matrotrophy because the calcium secreting capacity of the uterus is certainly retained.

(3) Embryonic calcium provisioning mechanisms

In vertebrates, specialized tissues that recover environmental calcium and transport it into blood circulation maintain conserved mechanisms for intracellular calcium transport (Bronner 2003; Hoenderop et al., 2005). These include the uterus, chorioallantoic tissues, and yolk splanchnopleure (Bronner, 2003; Hoenderop et al., 2005; Stewart, 2013). Uterine and embryonic tissues may be proto-adapted for the maternal-embryonic calcium provisioning (Coleman & Terepka, 1972; Ecay et al., 2017; Packard & Packard, 1984; Packard, 1994; Stewart & Ecay, 2010).

In birds, a sub-compartment of the mammillary layer of the eggshell is the calcium reserve body (Chien et al., 2009), which contains microcrystals of calcite that get dissolved and transported as calcium to the embryo (Chien et al., 2009). Calcium is eroded from the eggshell by acid released from villus cavity cells (VCCs) in chorioallantoic membrane (Anderson et al., 1981; Narbaitz et al., 1981; Packard & Lohmiller, 2002; Simkiss, 1980). This increases the carbonic anhydrase activity of the cells enabling calcium to be released into the cavity between

the eggshell and the chorionic epithelium, where it is taken up by capillary covering cells (CCCs) in chorioallantoic membrane (Coleman & Terepka, 1972). In some species this erosion leads to a gradual weakening of the eggshell that facilitates hatching (Chien et al., 2008; Nys et al., 2004). In chickens, transcalcin, a calcium binding protein, is credited for the calcium transporting capacity of the chorioallantoic membrane (Tuan & Knowles, 1984; Tuan & Ono, 1986; Tuan & Scott, 1977; Tuan et al., 1978, 1986). The presence of VCCs and CCCs in the chorioallantois of viviparous squamates would indicate a known route through which calcium can be absorbed.

Transcellular calcium transport has been modeled as a three-step process involving proteins calbindin-D9K, calbindin-D28K, and the highly calcium-specific ion channels of the transient receptor potential vanilloid gene family (*TRPV5* and *TRPV6*) (Stewart & Ecay, 2010). Across vertebrates, this machinery is shared in epithelial tissues with significant roles in calcium transport (Hoenderop et al., 2005). Estrogen and vitamin D3 have regulatory roles in this process.

Calbindin-D9K, calbindin-D28K, *TRPV5*, and *TRPV6* is involved with calcium exchange in multiple organs of birds, squamates, and mammals. Broadly, activity of calbindin-D9K and/or calbindin-D28K is associated with patterns of calcium absorption in the mammalian kidney and uterus (Bindels, 1993; Luu et al., 2004), murine uterus and placenta (Lafond & Simoneau, 2006; Koo et al., 2012), and chicken duodenum and uterus (Bar & Hurwitz, 1979; Bar, 2009b; Yang et al., 2013). In humans, calbindin-D9K and calbindin-D28K are critical to the active transport of Ca2+ across placental cells (Faulk & McIntyre, 1983; Belkacemi et al., 2002; Belkacemi et al., 2004). A study on rats suggests that calbindin-D9K increases by over 100-fold in the last 7 days of gestation (Glazier et al., 1992), when the embryo gains >99% of calcium (Comar, 1956). *TRPV6* is involved with maternal-fetal calcium transport in mice (Suzuki et al., 2008). Increased

TRPV6 and calbindin-D28K expression occurs during eggshell formation in chickens (Yang et al., 2013). Given the involvement of these loci in both eggshell deposition and embryonic calcium transport, squamates may have exploited this pathway to support transitions.

In several highly matrotrophic lizards, embryonic uptake of calcium is associated with placental expression of calbindin-D28K (Stewart et al., 2009; Stinnett et al., 2011, 2012). In both oviparous and viviparous embryos of *Zootoca vivipara*, sharp increase in calcium uptake in late development coincides with increased calbindin-D28K and PMCA by the chorioallantois (Stewart et al., 2009, 2011). In oviparous corn snakes, *Pantherophis guttatus*, expression of calbindin-D28K in the yolk-sac and chorioallantoic membrane coincides with growth of these tissues and calcium transport activity (Ecay et al., 2004). The chorioallantois of other lizards and snakes transport calcium to the embryo and express calbindin-D28K and PMCA (Blackburn, 2004; Ecay et al., 2004; Stewart et al., 2010; Stinnett et al., 2012).

Viviparous embryos of *Zootoca vivipara*, a reproductively bimodal lizard, incubated *ex utero* respond to availability of calcium by increasing expression of calbindin-D28K (Ecay et al., 2017). In this species, embryonic recognition of environmental calcium stimulates a transcellular calcium transporting mechanism and may also alter chorioallantoic membrane paracellular permeability to calcium (Ecay et al., 2017). The authors proposed that there is a calcium sensing receptor (CaSR) on chorionic epithelial cells to support this in both oviparous and viviparous *Zootoca vivipara* embryos (Ecay et al., 2017), similar to the CaSRs expressed by vertebrate cells involved in calcium homeostasis (Brennan et al., 2013).

As mentioned earlier, PMCA activity is associated with eggshell deposition in birds and oviparous squamates (Bar et al., 1984; Hincke et al., 2012; Wasserman et al., 1991). PMCA is also crucial for calcium transport in late embryonic development in rats (Glazier et al., 1992). In

viviparous scincid lizards, *Niveoscincus metallicus*, *N. ocellatus*, and *Pseudemoia spenceri*, PMCA was expressed in uterine glandular and surface epithelia during pregnancy but only *P. spenceri* expressed it throughout gestation (Herbert et al., 2006). When PMCA was not detected by immunoblotting in the yolk splanchnopleure of *Haldea striatula*, a viviparous snake that relies predominately on yolk calcium (Stewart, 1989; Fregoso, Stewart, & Ecay, 2010), NCXs were proposed as an alternative transporter of calcium (Fregoso et al., 2012). NCXs are important for placental calcium transport in humans (Belkacemi et al., 2005).

Calciotropic hormones, those involved with calcium transport, and phosphotropic hormones, those involved with phosphorous transport, operate via an interconnected pathway (Andrukhova et al., 2016; Biber et al., 2013; Blaine et al., 2015; Erben & Andrukhova, 2015). Phospho- and calciotropic hormones are important regulators of fetal serum mineral concentrations (Kovacs, 2015). Evidence from viviparous amniotes suggests that these are suitable candidates for embryonic calcium provisioning. In mice, genes encoding parathyroid hormone (*PTH*) and *PTH*-related peptide (*PTHrP*) are important regulators of placental calcium transport (Kovacs et al., 1996; Simmonds et al., 2010). A non-exhaustive list of additional candidates for embryonic calcium provisioning include fibroblast growth factor 23 (Bar, 2009a; Erben & Andrukhova, 2015; Stewart & Ecay, 2010), the annexin gene family (Matschke et al., 2006), carbonic anhydrase (Narbaitz et al., 1981; Tuan & Knowles, 1984), and calcium binding proteins (CaBPs) can be found in the referenced literature.

(4) Discussion & future directions—calcium provisioning and parity mode evolution

Generalized hypotheses to explain how squamate parity modes evolve are not universally applicable (Hodges, 2004; Li et al., 2009; Packard et al., 1977; Stewart & Ecay, 2010). However,

they can be used as a framework to infer the most likely form of embryonic calcium provisioning used in specific lineages. This was discussed in detail in section two. Phylogenetic frameworks like this enable researchers to make broader testable hypotheses about the evolutionary history of calcium provisioning in specific clades. Implications gleaned from taxon-specific studies can be explored in distantly related analogous groups. Additionally, I speculated that lineages with incipient calcium matrotrophy may more feasibly reversal to oviparity because of continued role of uterus in calcium provisioning.

Loci involved with calcium transport in uterine and embryonic tissues have been described across mammals, birds, and reptiles. Like other amniotes, activity of calbindin-D28K and PMCA supports embryonic calcium provisioning across diverse oviparous and viviparous squamates. Their involvement with both eggshell deposition and embryonic calcium provisioning makes these particularly interesting candidates for parity mode evolution. The regulatory influence of other molecules in calcium transport, like *PTH*, *PTHrP* and NCXs has not been evaluated thoroughly in squamates. Additional reviews on mechanisms of embryonic calcium provisioning in squamates can be found in the literature (Stewart, 2013; Stewart & Blackburn, 2014; Stewart & Ecay, 2010).

VI. Maternal-Fetal Immune Dynamics

Medawar (1953) pointed out the paradigm between the peripheral body's normal attack response to allografts (foreign tissue) and uterine tolerance to embryos (Medawar, 1953). This was inspired by earlier work by Ray Owen (Owen, 1945). Stricter regulation of the maternal and fetal immune systems is expected for viviparous reproduction because of contact between uterine

and embryonic tissues. Oviparity may pose less of an immunological challenge. Medawar suggested barriers, inertness and/or immunosuppression enable pregnancy. This formed the foundation of decades of medical research on immune dynamics between maternal, embryonic, and paternal immune factors in utero.

In recent years, there was a call for a reappraisal of Medawar's paradigm (Chaouat, 2010, 2016; Moffett & Loke, 2004, 2006; Mor et al., 2011; Stadtmauer & Wagner, 2020b; Yoshizawa 2016). Moffett & Loke (2006) caution against conceptualizing embryos as analogs of allografts. This perspective has yet to reach the evolutionary literature on parity mode evolution (Graham et al., 2011; Gao et al., 2019; Murphy & Thompson, 2011; Van Dyke, Brandley, & Thompson, 2014; Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009).

The uterine immune system has a distinct evolutionary history from the periphery. The uterine immune environment enables cooperative dynamics with foreign tissues. It supports fertilization and early embryonic development. This should have started evolving, distinct from the periphery, since internal fertilization first originated. To demonstrate this, I discuss the changing landscape of immunological research at the maternal-fetal interface and apply it to the current knowledge on uterine and embryonic immune responses during viviparous gestation in squamates.

Most literature on maternal-fetal immune dynamics limits itself to mammals. Squamates may serve as a better comparative model for understanding the evolution of the uterine immune system. Active research on the peripheral reptilian immune system (Zimmerman et al., 2010, 2020) and uterine immune activity in squamates (Graham et al., 2011; Hendrawan et al., 2017; Murphy et al., 2009; Paulesu et al. 1995, 2008, 2005) will support future insights on this.

(1) Comparing amniote immune systems

Cellular components of the innate immune system are conserved across jawed vertebrates (De et al., 2007; Uribe et al., 2011; Zimmerman et al., 2010). The general machinery of the adaptive immune system is ancient despite divergences and convergences across all domains of life (Ghosh et al., 2011; Morales et al., 2017; Müller et al., 2018; Rimer et al., 2014). Diversification of antigen receptor genes likely occurred independently in a lineage-specific fashion (Boehm et al., 2018). Compared to mammals, the avian immune system requires less antigen (Larsson et al., 1998). Birds also have faster but shorter antibody responses, potentially due to their higher body temperatures (Jurd, 1994).

Reptiles have the same general components of the mammalian immune system (Zimmerman, 2020). However, the reptilian immune system may not fit neatly into the two arms of mammalian immune systems—innate and adaptive (Zimmerman, 2010; 2020). Expanding upon this is beyond the scope of this review, but it is worth considering in future comparative research. I refer readers to articles by Zimmerman et al. (2010, 2020) and Ghorai et al. (2018), and the books by Williams (2012) and Davison et al. (2008) for more information on reptilian and avian immune systems.

(2) Medawar's paradigm

Tolerance toward the foreign fetus was postulated to occur through immunological inertness, immunosuppression or immunotolerance mechanisms (Medawar, 1953). Theoretically, immunotolerance could be established if there are relatively small quantities of alloantigens present, resulting in regulatory responses rather than activating responses (Pradeu, 2011). Contradicting this, the larger the alloantigen difference between the mother and embryo the

bigger and healthier the placentae in rats (Chaouat et al., 2010). In humans, divergent HLA profiles between mother and embryo do not lead to detrimental immune responses (Tilburgs, Scherjon, & Claas, 2010). Instead, cooperative inflammatory responses between maternal and fetal tissues support reproduction (Stadtmauer et al., 2020). In humans, microchimeric cell populations, presence of cells from one individual in another genetically distinct individual, are now considered a normal expectation of pregnancy (Nelson, 2012).

In his 1991 Nobel Lecture, Medawar acknowledged that maternal and embryonic tissues have regular exposure to alloantigens (Medawar, 1991). It has become clear that the maternal immune system actively responds to fetal alloantigen rather than responding solely with ignorance or anergy (Arck & Hecher, 2013). Neither maternal immunosuppression/privilege nor embryonic inertness/immaturity fully explain immune dynamics during gestation in mammals, including those with the simple epitheliochorial placentation (Chaouat et al., 2010; Chavan et al., 2017; Moffett & Loke, 2004, 2006; Stadtmauer & Wagner, 2020).

(3) Perspectives on the evolution of the uterine immune system

Viviparous reproduction existed eons before the origin of mammals and no evidence suggests there was immune conflict within these taxa (Chaouat, 2016). Placentrophy existed as far back as the invertebrate clade Bryozoa (Ostrovsky, 2013; Schwaha et al., 2019), suggesting an ancient history for supportive maternal-fetal immune dynamics. Differing from Medawar's paradigm, Polly Matzinger, who proposed the 'danger model' for the immune system (Matzinger, 2007), wrote "Reproduction cannot be a danger. It does not make evolutionary sense" (Chaouat, 2016).

In mammals, immunological cells at the maternal-fetal interface may not function through self-non-self-discrimination, as they are understood to function in the rest of the body (Chaouat,

2016; Moffett & Loke 2004, 2006). The ‘maternal-fetal interface’ may be better conceptualized as ‘maternal-fetal intra-action’ given the dynamics between maternal and fetal immune systems in mammals (Yoshizawa, 2016). It is unclear if these insights apply to other viviparous amniotes.

In mammals, immune factors in the uterus and placenta appear to be specifically evolved to support maternal-fetal immune dynamics. Several cell types have unique functions and/or phenotypes in utero—uterine NK (uNK) cells, uterine macrophages, uterine T regulatory cells (Faas & de Vos, 2017; Mold et al., 2008, 2010; Mold & McCune, 2011). An immunosuppressive antigen, HLA-G, is almost exclusively expressed by trophoblasts (Faulk & Temple, 1976; Kovats et al., 1990; Rajagopalan & Long, 2012; Rouas-Freiss et al., 1997). Taken from an evolutionary perspective, this suggests that the uterine immune system in viviparous mammals evolved unique responses to allogenic tissues that differ from the periphery. Whether the evolution of this system predates mammals remains to be explored, to my knowledge.

Some suggest that viviparous reproduction is immunologically compatible in species with less active adaptive immune system. In these clades, innate immune cells, like uNK cells, may be sufficient to regulate immune responses during pregnancy (Moffett & Loke, 2004; Chaouat, 2016). Determining whether viviparity is immunologically compatible in squamates, or if they require specialized immune responses in utero, requires further investigation. Nonetheless, uterine tissue of oviparous and viviparous skinks expresses maternal antigens prior to and during gravidity and gestation (Murphy et al., 2009). Viviparous species in this study have a unique expression profile of MHC antigens which may ‘hide’ the embryo from the maternal immune system (Murphy et al., 2009).

(4) Implications of the reptilian immune system and morphology on parity mode evolution

Ectothermic reptiles may inherently have a more tolerogenic uterine environment compared to mammals due to their slower antibody response. It can take up to six weeks to reach peak concentrations (Ingram & Molyneux, 1983; Grey, 1963; Marchalonis et al., 1969; Pye et al., 2001; Origgi et al., 2001; Work et al., 2000). A slower metabolism also makes several reptiles more tolerogenic to pathogens (Ghorai & Priyam, 2018).

During pregnancy in the viviparous skink, *Chalcides ocellatus*, there is a reduced response to in vitro exposure to mitogens concanavalin A (Con A), phytohemagglutinin (PHA), and *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide (LPS) (Saad & El Deeb, 1990). Oviparous lizards exhibit immune activation tradeoffs during reproductive cycles (Cox, Peaden, & Cox, 2015; Durso & French, 2018; French, Johnston, & Moore, 2007; Uller, Isaksson, & Olsson, 2006).

In the majority of viviparous squamates, the eggshell membrane is absorbed during pregnancy (Yaron, 1985; Blackburn, 1993). Whether the eggshell membrane elicits immune responses prior to absorption remains to be examined to my knowledge. Viviparous squamates, at minimum, have epitheliochorial placentation. In mammals, epitheliochorial placentation is sufficient to cause immunorecognition from the mother. Specialized placental cells, trophoblasts, may be more common in other viviparous amniotes than previously recognized (Blackburn, 2015a). In mammals, trophoblasts are antigen presenting and actively participate in maternal-fetal immune dynamics.

A few viviparous squamates have placentas with characteristics similar to placentas found in eutherian mammals—syncytialized cells layers, specialized zones such as areolae and placentomes, or cellular invasion of maternal tissues by the fetus (Blackburn & Flemming, 2012; Jerez & Ramírez-Pinilla, 2001; Vieira et al., 2007). The increased contact here may require more

tightly regulated immune dynamics at the maternal-fetal interface compared to other viviparous squamates.

(5) The inflammation paradox

In mammals, implantation may have evolved from an ancestral inflammatory attachment reaction (Griffith, Chavan et al., 2017). Inflammation is the most crucial system to support implantation, but it is also the greatest threat to the continuation of pregnancy (Chavan et al., 2017). This phenomenon is called the inflammation paradox. In humans, immune cells including uterine macrophages, T cells of multiple subtypes, uterine natural killer (uNK) cells, dendritic cells, and natural killer T (NKT) cells increase until implantation and remain abundant in the uterus throughout first trimester (Bulmer et al., 1991, 2010). Early implantation in humans is characterized by high pro-inflammatory T helper (Th)-1 cells and cytokines (IL-6, IL-8, and TNFα) (Koga & Mor, 2008; Yoshinaga, 2008). The exploitation of inflammatory mechanisms for eutherian implantation and the shift toward non-inflammatory activity to maintain pregnancy may have been key in enabling extended embryonic retention of eutherians (Griffith, Chavan et al., 2017).

How the inflammation paradox applies to viviparous squamates is unclear, given that placentation in squamates and mammals in not homologous (Griffith, Van Dyke, & Thompson, 2013). In extrauterine pregnancies of mammals with non-invasive placentas, the embryo will invade extrauterine tissue because it is not inhibited by uterine secretions (Vogel, 2005; Samuel & Perry, 1972). However, in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, a viviparous skink that also has a non-invasive placenta, extrauterine pregnancy does not result in invasive implantation of extrauterine tissues (Griffith, Van Dyke, & Thompson, 2013). The inherent invasive nature of mammalian

embryos outside of the uterus, compared to the non-invasive nature of viviparous squamate embryos studied thus far, suggests that the parent-offspring conflict and the inflammation paradox may be less pronounced in viviparous squamates compared to viviparous mammals.

(6) Inertness and barriers at the maternal-fetal interface

The uterine environment is not inert or sterile (Agostinis et al., 2019; Erlebacher, 2013; Moffett & Loke, 2006; Munoz-Suano, Hamilton, & Betz, 2011; Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009; Terzidou, 2007; Yoshimura, Okamoto, & Tamura, 1997). In humans, the decidual layer of the uterus during pregnancy is comprised of ~40% leukocytes (Ander, Diamond, & Coyne, 2019; Manaster & Mandelboim, 2010). This cellular subpopulation has 70% uNK cells, 10-20% antigen presenting cells (APCs) including macrophages and dendritic cells, and 3-10% T cells of several subtypes (Abrahams et al., 2004; Hanna et al., 2006; Kämmerer et al., 2006; Le Bouteiller & Piccinni, 2008; Liu et al., 2017; Manaster & Mandelboim, 2010; Moffett-King, 2002; Moffett & Loke, 2006; Roussev et al., 2008). There is an abundance of decidual large granular lymphocytes (LGLs), CD3-NK cells and CD3+ activated cytotoxic T cells, in the human uterus, that have cytotoxic properties and produce cytokines, and these are affected by fetal MHC molecules (Rieger, 2002).

Avian and non-avian reptiles have also immunocompetent cells in their oviducts. T and B cells are present in chicken ovary where they are stimulated by estrogen (Barua & Yoshimura, 1999; Withanage et al., 2003; Zettergren & Cutlan, 1992). Other immunocompetent cells in the chicken oviduct include IgG+, IgA+ and CD3+ (Yoshimura, Okamoto, & Tamura, 1997). Immune competent cells located throughout the mucosal tissue of avian oviductal segments

including macrophages, antigen presenting cells (APCs) expressing MHC class II antigens, helper T cells and cytotoxic T cells, and premature B cells (Das, Isobe, & Yoshimura, 2008).

Inert barriers between maternal and fetal tissues may 'hide' the embryo. In oviparous taxa, the eggshell may serve as a barrier. However, the antimicrobial properties of the eggshell matrix in birds demonstrate that even the eggshell is not inert. The FAS ligand, also called APO-1 or CD95, in humans and rodent embryonic tissue was proposed to serve as a barrier because it causes apoptosis of surrounding maternal immune cells (Kayisli et al., 2003; Makrigiannakis et al., 2008).

Medawar suggested that an impermeable placenta strictly regulates molecular exchanges, preventing rejection of the embryo (Medawar, 1991). Synctiotrophoblasts lack cellular junctions and thus it was postulated to serve as this barrier (Ander, Diamond, & Coyne, 2019). However, the growing data on bidirectional cellular traffic of APCs, even in mammals with noninvasive placentas, rejected this hypothesis (Bakkour et al., 2014; Burlingham, Bracamonte-Baran, & Burlingham, 2014; Fujiki et al., 2008; Turin et al., 2007).

(7) T cell populations and mammalian viviparity

In mammals, immune-dynamics at the maternal-fetal interface are established through innate and adaptive immune responses. There is a delicate balance between ratios of Th1, Th2, Th17, Tregs and memory T cells at the maternal-fetal interface in eutherian mammals during gestation (Chaouat et al., 1997; Kieffer et al., 2019; Peck & Mellins, 2010; Saito et al., 2010; Wu et al., 2014). A shift in utero from T helper type 1 (Th1) cells to T helper type 2 (Th2) cells during gestation in mammals equates to a shift from pro-inflammation to anti-inflammation. The galectin proteins, GAL-13 and GAL-14, expressed by syncytiotrophoblasts, bind to T cells

where they inhibit activation, induce apoptosis, and enhance interleukin-8 (IL-8) production (Balogh et al., 2019).

Growing research is revealing the central role of Tregs at the maternal-fetal interface during pregnancy in mammals (Teles et al., 2013; Wienke et al., 2019). Tregs play a central role in immunosuppression in mammals (Attias, Al-Aubodah, & Piccirillo, 2019). Differentiation of Tregs is governed by the transcription factor, *FOXP3* (Ramsdell & Rudensky, 2020). Alloantigen-dependent, uterine T cell signaling, and immunocompetent embryonic cells and their products facilitate enhanced regulatory phenotypes of immune cells overall (Ander, Diamond, & Coyne, 2019).

The T-cell dependent adaptive immune system of mammals is unique. This may have prompted their intricate balance of Treg mediators of immunotolerance at the maternal-fetal interface (Chaouat, 2016). Birds rely more heavily on B cells. In non-avian reptiles, T helper cells are functional, but the presence and function of other T cell subsets is unclear (Zimmerman, 2020; Zimmerman, Vogel, & Bowden, 2010). The potential role of T cells and Tregs in viviparous squamate gestation should not be discounted. Treg-like cells have been identified in a pufferfish, *Tetraodon nigroviridis* (Wen et al., 2011), suggesting that Tregs may have an ancient evolutionary history.

(8) Progesterone, cytokines, and maternal-fetal immune dynamics

In addition to the role of progesterone in uterine quiescence (embryonic retention) and hepatic vitellogenesis (nutrient provisioning), it also plays a role in maternal-fetal immune dynamics. In the uterus of pregnant mammals, progesterone concentrations are associated with altered B cell immunoglobin secretion, inhibition of NK-cell mediated cytotoxicity and the shift

from Th1 (pro-inflammatory) to Th2 (anti-inflammatory) dominated immune responses (Druckmann & Druckmann, 2005). Progesterone is also associated with immunomodulatory effects (Ortega Brown et al., 1990). During gestation in *Agkistrodon piscivorus*, a viviparous pit viper, progesterone concentrations are associated with decreased complement performance (Graham et al., 2011), a portion of the immune system that promotes inflammation, among other immune functions.

In humans, progesterone induced protein (PIBF) is transported by placental extravillous trophoblasts to maternal lymphocytes causing the induction of interleukin-10 (IL-10) production, contributing to the Th2 dominant responses (Szekeres-Bartho, Šućurović, & Mulac-Jeričević, 2018). IL-10 is a potent anti-inflammatory cytokine that is produced by multiple cell types (Zimmerman, Bowden, & Vogel, 2014). It is associated with Th2 response, and it inhibits Th1 responses. The phenotype of uterine macrophages is affected by trophoblasts when they secrete IL-10 and macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) (Svensson-Arvelund et al., 2021). IL-10 inhibits IFN-γ and increases in response to infection in chickens (Giansanti, Giardi, & Botti, 2006; Rothwell et al. 2004). In the uterus of the oviparous skink, *Lampropholis guichenoti*, during gravidity and non-gravidity, IL-10 is expressed (Griffith et al., 2016).

Proinflammatory cytokines may be downregulated during reproductive periods to limit maladaptive immune responses to the foreign fetus (Zimmerman, Vogel, & Bowden, 2010). In mammals, IL-1 allows release of hormones in human trophoblasts (Felice Petraglia et al., 1990; Masuhiro et al., 1990; Yagel et al., 1989), facilitates implantation (Haimovici, Hill, & Anderson, 1991; Hill, 1992; Tartakovsky & Ben-Yair, 1991), and influences the initiation of labor (Romero et al., 1989, 1992). Regulation of the proinflammatory cytokines tumor necrosis factor (TNF)

and interleukin 1B (IL-1β) is of particular importance in eutherian pregnancy (Haider & Knöfler, 2009; Paulesu, Romagnoli, & Bigliardi, 2005; Saito et al., 2010; Tayade et al., 2006).

The uterine tissue of two reproductively bimodal squamates—viviparous individuals of *Chalcides chalcides,* and oviparous and viviparous individuals of *Zootoca vivipara*—express IL-1β (Paulesu et al., 1995, 2005; Romagnoli et al., 2003). In the uterus of the viviparous skink, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii,* during gestation regulation of TNF and IL-1β at the transcriptional and post-translation levels, respectively, may reduce inflammation (Hendrawan et al., 2017). The pro-inflammatory function of IL-1β in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* may play a role developing a more complex placenta (Hendrawan et al., 2017). The placenta of *Chalcides chalcides* expresses pro-inflammatory cytokines, IL-1α and IL-1β, at specific times during gestation (Paulesu et al., 1995). During gestation, *Chalcides ocellatus* also differentially expresses 27 other interleukins and interleukin related products (Brandley et al., 2012).

The expression of IL-34 in a marsupial, the fat-tailed dunnart, during pre-implantation (Whittington et al., 2018) may have an immunosuppressive function to help tolerate potential contact of maternal and fetal tissues when the embryonic shell coat disintegrates (Lindau et al., 2015; Roberts & Breed, 1994; Selwood, 2000). In chickens, IL-34 regulates Th1 and Th17 cytokine production (Truong et al., 2018). During gestation in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii,* IL-16 and IL-1α are expressed in addition to three receptors for Th17 family cytokines—IL-17RA, IL-17RC, and IL-17RA (Griffith, Brandley, et al., 2016, 2017). In the yolk sac of *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* during pregnancy interleukin related molecules, *ILDR1*, *IRAK1*, and *SIGIRR,* are differentially expressed (Griffith et al., 2016). This profile suggests the presence of tricellular tight junctions and/or tricellulin (Higashi et al., 2013; Ikenouchi et al., 2005), and regulation of

toll-like receptors (TLRs) and/or IL-1R signaling (Kawagoe et al., 2008; Lin, Lo, & Wu, 2010; Muzio et al., 1997).

(9) The major histocompatibility complex and maternal-fetal immune dynamics

A substantial amount of literature on maternal-fetal immune dynamics was initially focused on uNK cells. Uterine NK cells have a distinct phenotype and function from peripheral NK cells. They have several activating receptors (Manaster & Mandelboim, 2010) but do not exert cytolytic functions on embryonic trophoblasts that they are in contact with (King, Birkby, & Loke, 1989). Allorecognition of embryonic placental cells by uNK cells is a key regulator of the maternal-fetal immune mechanisms that support placentation in mammals (Moffett et al., 2014). When cells lose their ability to express any HLAs, uNK cells are shown to kill them (Hunt et al., 2005; Ishitani et al., 2003; King, Allen et al., 2000).

In humans, expression of the classical MHC class I (C-MHCI) molecule HLA-C, and nonclassical MHC class I (NC-MHCI) molecules HLA-E, HLA-F and HLA-G on trophoblasts inhibit uNK cell-mediated cytotoxicity (Hunt et al., 2003; King, Burrows et al., 2000). Differing from this, mismatched HLA-C profiles trigger rejection of the transplanted organs (Petersdorf et al., 2014). Selection for balanced polymorphisms in HLA-C alleles and their killer immunoglobin receptors (KIRs) is proposed to be driven by reproductive success, rather than immune recognition of pathogens (Trowsdale & Betz, 2006). Dimorphisms of HLA-C emerged recently within primates (Adams & Parham, 2001).

Similar patterns in MHC profiles have been explored in other viviparous amniotes. C-MHCI antigen, H2-K, is expressed on giant trophoblast cells of mice and this is attributed to trophoblast-induced uterine vasculature transformation (Arcellana-Panlilio & Schultz, 1994;

ChatterJee-Hasrouni & Lala, 1982; Hedley et al., 1989; King et al., 1987; Sellens, Jenkinson, & Billington, 1978). H2-D antigen is co-expressed with H2-K in virtually all their other nucleated cells (Madeja et al., 2011). However, H2-K expressing trophoblasts lack H2-D expression. This parallels the expression patterns of C-MHC molecules at the maternal-fetal interface in humans and may be an evolutionarily conserved pattern (Madeja et al., 2011).

In humans, NC-MHCI molecule, HLA-G, is especially tolerogenic (Carosella et al., 2015; González et al., 2012; Hviid et al., 2004; Kovats et al., 1990). In adults, HLA-G is almost exclusively expressed by fetal trophoblasts compared to adult cells (Faulk & Temple, 1976; King, Burrows et al., 2000; Kovats et al., 1990; Rajagopalan & Long, 2012; Rouas-Freiss et al., 1997). It supports immunotolerance at the maternal-fetal interface (Rebmann et al., 2014). The role of HLA-G in supporting tolerogenic responses to organ transplants appears to be an exploitation of its role in immunotolerance in the utero during pregnancy (Rebmann et al., 2014). HLA-G is upregulated by several molecules that serve essential roles during gestation including progesterone (Yie, Xiao, & Librach, 2006; Yie et al., 2006), IFN-α, IFN-β, and IFN-γ (Rebmann et al. 2003; Lefebvre et al., 2001; Ugurel et al., 2001; Yang, Geraghty, & Hunt, 1995), and IL-10 and TGF-β (Cadet et al., 1995; Moreau et al., 1999).

A similar NC-MHCI gene to HLA-G exists in horses (Davies et al., 2006) where it likely functions to protect the embryo from NK-cell mediated attack (Ott et al., 2014). NC-MHC molecules with similar structure to HLA-G are also found in Rhesus monkeys (Boyson et al., 1997) and baboons (Stern et al. 1987). Mice have two NC-MHCI genes that are expressed on the surface of their placentas and on pre-implanted embryos (Product, Warner, & Goldbard, 1987; Sipes et al., 1996).

In the gestating uterus of the viviparous skink, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*, four putative C-MHCI and two putative NC-MHCI molecules are expressed (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009). This pattern resembles the C-MHCI and NC-MHCI expression profiles of mammals, suggesting that this viviparous skink utilizes a similar physiological mechanism to 'hide' the embryo (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009). One of the putative NC-MHCI loci (Psen-160Ut/Psen-78G) has a substitution at position 150 where a tryptophan is substituted for a leucine (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009). When Psen-160Ut/Psen-78G was aligned to NC-MHCI loci of vertebrates ranging from fish to eutherian mammals, tryptophan was conserved at position 150 except in Psen-160Ut/Psen-78G and HLA-G (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009). Whether this reflects an evolutionary history associated with immune tolerance at the maternal-fetal interface in *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* requires further investigation.

MHCI genes are also expressed in reproductive tissues of oviparous skinks (*Ctenotus taeniolatus* and *Lampropholis guichenoti*) during non-reproductive periods and during late gravidity (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009). A similar pattern is found in viviparous skinks *Eulamprus tympanum*, *Niveoscincus metallicus*, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* and the reproductively bimodal skink *Saiphos equalis* which all express MHCI genes at non-reproductive periods and during late pregnancy/gravidity (Murphy, Thompson, & Belov, 2009).

Differential expression of immune factors in an oviparous lizard with long egg-retention, *Saiphos equalis* included complement component genes (*C3*, *C9*) and genes relating to MHC loci (*H2-EA*) (Foster et al., 2020). These were also differentially expressed in viviparous individuals of this species during gestation (Foster et al., 2020). Lengthened egg retention occurs in some oviparous squamates. If it requires regulation of the uterine immune environment, then this has important implications for the evolution of viviparity in squamates.

The butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A (*BTN1A1*) is located in the MHCI region of the genome in mammals (Trowsdale, 2011). *BTN1A1* is differentially expressed in the uterus during gestation in a viviparous lizard, *Chalcides ocellatus* (Brandley et al., 2012). *BTN1A1* may have important antimicrobial properties in chicken eggshells (Mann, Maček, & Olsen, 2006). In mammals BTN1A1 is the major protein associated with fat droplets in milk (Jeong et al., 2009).

(10) *Microchimerism and maternal-fetal immune dynamics*

Billingham, Brent and Medawar suggested the concept of actively acquired immunologic tolerance during pregnancy almost 70 years ago (Billingham, Brent, & Medawar, 1953). Subsequent research over the following decades revealed that substantial transfer of proteins, parasites and even immunologically active cells occurs between mother and embryo (Adams & Nelson, 2004; Axiak-Bechtel et al., 2013; Bakkour et al., 2014; Burlingham, 2010; Fujiki et al., 2008; Gitlin et al., 1965; Khosrotehrani et al., 2005; Owen, 1945; Remington et al., 2006; Turin et al., 2007). Microchimerism, where there is <0.1% donor chimeras in host tissue, is relatively pervasive among eutherians during pregnancy. It plays a role in establishing tolerance to non-inherited antigens. For example, cell populations from the mother that are transferred into embryonic lymph nodes enable the establishment of embryonic Tregs that are tolerogenic toward non-inherited maternal antigens (Mold et al., 2008).

Microchimeric cellular populations are transferred across all placental types (Axiak-Bechtel et al., 2013; Bakkour et al., 2014; Fujiki et al., 2008; Khosrotehrani et al., 2005; Turin et al., 2007). Fetal and maternal cells persist for decades after birth across a range of tissues in mother and offspring, respectively (Adams & Nelson, 2004; Bakkour et al., 2014; Bayes-Genis et al., 2005; Bianchi et al., 1996; Evans et al., 1999; Jonsson et al., 2008; Stevens et al., 2004). There is

even a call in the immunology literature to shift from the conventional paradigm of "self vs other" to instead consider the "self" as inherently chimeric (Nelson, 2012). Given that epitheliochorial placentation is sufficient to illicit microchimeric cell populations, the occurrence of similar bidirectional cellular traffic is a reasonable possibility in viviparous squamates.

(11) Paternal alloantigens

Under tenants gleaned from transplant medicine, the maternal immune system would illicit an attack response as early as insemination when maternal tissues are exposed to paternal alloantigens (Borziak et al., 2016; Schumacher & Zenclussen, 2015; Seavey & Mosmann, 2006). Instead, maternal cells immunologically recognize them at this time without attack (Schumacher & Zenclussen, 2015; Seavey & Mosmann, 2006; Zenclussen et al., 2010). Treg expansion, a process with major influence on maternal-fetal immunotolerance in mammals, is proposed to be driven by several different factors found in seminal plasma (Baratelli et al., 2005; Clark, Fernandez, & Banwatt, 2008; Teles et al., 2013). Mothers may maintain fetal-specific Tregs with memory of the paternal alloantigens (Schober et al., 2012), expediting Treg response in future pregnancies with the same father (Rowe et al., 2012).

Alloantigen exposure at the time of insemination is not restricted to mammals. Seminal fluid of chickens contains two MHC I paternal alloantigens and one MHC II alloantigen (Borziak et al., 2016). It also contains proteins involved in immunity and antimicrobial defenses (Borziak et al., 2016). In hens, evidence suggests that a protective local immunity to pathogens is established after exposure to semen but the mechanisms for this remain unclear (Reiber & Conner, 1995; Reiber, Conner, & Bilgili, 1995).

In mammals, paternal alloantigens and cytokines in seminal fluid drive immune tolerance (Schjenken & Robertson, 2014). Mammalian seminal plasma contains immune-factors (Kelly, 1995; Schjenken & Robertson, 2014)—TGF-β (Breuss et al., 1993; Chu & Kawinski, 1998; Slater & Murphy, 1999), IL-8 (Gutsche et al., 2003), and soluble IL-2 receptor (Srivastava, Lippes, & Srivastava, 1996), prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) and 19-hydroxyprostaglandin E (19-hydroxy PGE) (Denison, Grant et al., 1999), soluble tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptors (Liabakk et al., 1993), receptors for the Fc portion of γ-globulin, spermine (Evans, Lee, & Flugelman, 1995), and complement inhibitors (Kelly, 1995). In horses and pigs, respectively, the proteins CRISP3 (Doty et al., 2011), PSP-I and PSP-II (Rodriguez-Martinez et al., 2010), act as signaling agents in seminal fluid.

Secretions of growth factors, cytokines and chemokines from cervical and endometrial tissues immediately following insemination generates a proinflammatory environment that likely aids in implantation. In the utero-vaginal junction of chickens and the utero-tubal junction of pigs, several genes were shared following mating compared to non-mating and these genes were involved with immune-modulation (*IFIT5*, *IFI16*, *MMP27*, *ADAMTS3*, *MMP3*, *MMP12*) and pH-regulation (*SLC16A2*, *SLC4A9*, *SLC13A1*, *SLC35F1*, *ATP8B3*, *ATP13A3*), a process essential for implantation (Atikuzzaman et al., 2017, 2015). Instead of mounting an attack, it appears that the uterine immune system and paternal loci work cooperatively to support pregnancy in mammals and gravidity in birds. Whether this applies to reptiles, and how it may influence immune dynamics involved with squamate parity mode evolution, deserves investigation.

(12) Discussion and future directions—maternal-fetal immune dynamics & the evolution of parity modes

Immune processes appear to be important for both oviparity and viviparity—as evidenced here, in part, by overlapping expression profiles of immune genes in female reproductive tissues of chickens and pigs, expression of paternal antigens in avian seminal fluid, and uterine expression of maternal antigens in oviparous and viviparous skinks. This highlights the scientific advances made since Medawar's paradigm, when embryos were treated as analogs to allografts. Nonetheless, viviparity is associated with complex immune dynamics between maternal, fetal and paternal tissues. Unique MHC expression profiles were also identified in some viviparous skinks compared to oviparous relatives (Murphy et al., 2009).

Substantial immunological changes in species with less active adaptive immune systems may not be necessary (Chaouat, 2016). Oviparous and viviparous *Zootoca vivipara* have remarkably similar cytokine expression during gravidity and gestation (Paulesu et al., 2005). Labile parity modes in squamates may be supported if they are more heavily reliant on the innate immune system for reproduction. However, reptiles may not have distinguished innate and adaptive immune systems (Zimmerman et al., 2020). It remains difficult to resolve how this all applies to the evolution of viviparity in squamates without studying immune gene activity during gestation and gravidity in more taxa.

Changes to loci that serve overlapping functions across the Main Five may have a disproportionate influence on transitions between parity modes. In this section I reviewed two molecules, *TGF*-β and progesterone, that exert influence on multiple Main Five categories. Progesterone influences uterine quiescence (embryonic retention), hepatic vitellogenesis (nutrient provisioning) and regulation of inflammatory responses in utero (maternal-fetal

immune dynamics). Genes in the TGF-β family play a role in placental development and maternal-fetal immune dynamics. TGF-β is implicated in placental development in eutherians (Hempstock et al., 2004; Caniggia et al., 2000; Lafontaine et al., 2011). A TGF-β receptor protein (TGFBR1) was associated with placental development in *Phrynocephalus vlangalii* (Gao et al., 2019). In humans TGF-β upregulates tolerogenic HLA-G in utero and is an immune factor in mammalian seminal fluid. Multiple gene in the TGF-β family are also differentially expressed during gestation in other viviparous lizards, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii* and *Saiphos equalis* (Foster et al., 2020; Griffith et al., 2016). Examining the functions of TGF-β and progesterone across other amniotes may reveal insights into how these molecules influence the evolution of parity modes.

In mammals, inflammation appears to be involved with two of the Main Five processes—regulation of maternal-fetal immune dynamics and embryonic retention. It is intriguing to consider the implications this has for the interconnectedness of the Main Five. Greater interconnectedness would suggest that changes to few loci involved with the Main Five could cause a cascading effect to support more labile transitions between parity modes.

Implantation and parturition in therian mammals evolved from a shared inflammatory attachment reaction (Hansen et al., 2017). The process of implantation has important implications for maternal-fetal exchanges of inorganic and organic material and maternal-fetal immune dynamics. Given that inflammation is associated with implantation and parturition implicates it in gas, water, and nutrient provisioning (including calcium here), maternal-fetal immune dynamics and length of embryonic retention. However, implantation in mammals and viviparous squamates is not homologous (Griffith, Van Dyke, & Thompson, 2013). Therefore, it is difficult to make inferences about how substantial the influence of inflammation is on the

evolution of parity modes in squamates. Nonetheless, the abundant literature on uterine inflammatory processes during human pregnancy and the evolution of inflammatory processes that supported the evolution of viviparity in mammals (Challis et al., 2009; Chavan, Griffith, & Wagner, 2017; Mor et al., 2011; Griffith, Chavan et al., 2017; Stadtmauer & Wagner, 2020d) serve as indispensable resources for exploring the role of inflammation in squamate viviparity.

I resist expanding on this further in viviparous reptiles given the need for more research on the reptile immune system overall (Zimmerman, 2020). I suspect that the immune system plays a central role in dictating the plasticity of parity modes in some Squamata clades. However, further work is necessary to validate this.

VII. Discussion

(1) Two new mechanisms for transitions between oviparity and viviparity, without intermediate stages, stand out in the cumulative research. These are meant as tools to broaden and challenge scientific insights on the subject.

    a. The genomics and physiology of amniote parity mode evolution does not preclude an origin of viviparity in the MRCA of Lepidosaurs. I propose the following mechanism—a change to the phenotype or function of basal caps instantaneously prevented calcium carbonate deposition (basal cap hypothesis); the eggshell loss enabled uterine exposure to chorioallantoic progesterone production (extending embryonic retention) and incipient calcium matrotrophy (supporting embryonic development); the growing embryo increasingly over distended the uterus

triggering parturition of a fully developed offspring. This is one way to imagine viviparity evolving in the MRCA of Lepidosaurs.

b. A reversal back to oviparity may evolve most easily within viviparous clades with substantial maternal calcium provisioning through the following sequence of events—calcium secretions in utero stick to the outer embryonic membrane instead of being absorbed by the chorioallantois; oviposition can then occur in one of two ways 1) the death of corpora lutea or 2) the calcified eggshell blocks a threshold of chorioallantoic progesterone production from reaching uterine tissue; the calcified eggshell provides embryonic calcium that is transported upon embryonic metabolic demand.

(2) Changes to gene(s) or physiological processes associated with more than one of the Main Five should disproportionately influence parity mode evolution—*SLC* gene superfamily, TGF-β, *BMPR1B*, progesterone, *PMCA*, calbindin-D28K, *SPP1*, sustained functioning of the corpora lutea and inflammation.

(3) Growing evidence in the medical literature suggests that immune system interactions at the maternal-fetal interface in mammals did not evolve simply through tolerance, evasion, or suppression (Chaouat, 2016; Chavan, Griffith, & Wagner, 2017; Moffett & Loke, 2004, 2006). Instead, maternal-fetal immune dynamics have a deep evolutionary history that enables both embryo and mother interact cooperatively (Yoshizawa, 2016). Future research on squamate parity mode evolution should consider maternal-fetal immune dynamics in this context.

(4) Ectothermy influences parity mode evolution in squamates because it entails slower antibody responses and a greater reliance on climatic conditions for embryonic

development, thus involving maternal behavior and unique pressure on embryos to signal parturition.

(5) Advancing bioinformatics approaches are extending the horizon for studies on the genomics of complex trait evolution (Capecci et al., 2020; Halfon, 2017; M'barek et al., 2019; Mittal & Hasija, 2020).

VIII. Acknowledgements

For their enthusiasm and considerate feedback, big thank you to Cheryl Hayashi and Frank Burbrink. They reviewed several early drafts of this manuscript. Thank you as well to Peter Andolfatto, Brian Smith, and Chris Raxworthy.

Table 1.2. Genes Associated with Eggshell Deposition

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
ABCC3	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & KEGG: ABC transporters	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ADORA1	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
ADRA2A	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
ADRB1	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
AGBL3	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
AGXT2	KEGG: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
ALDH3B1	KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
ANTXR1	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ANXA5	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
AOC3	KEGG: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism & KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
BCMO1	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
		[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [F] *Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
		[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
C3AR1	GO:0016020 "membrane" & KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
CAB39L	GO:0009605 "response to external stimulus" & GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CAPN8	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CCDC59	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CCR8	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CD86	KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CDH23	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
CDH6	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CDHR1	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CDHR3	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
CHRNA7	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
CNR1	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity" & GO:0016020 "membrane" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
COL14A1	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
COL5A2	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity" & GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
COMP	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding" & KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction & KEGG: Phagosome	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
CPM	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CPNE1	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CYBB	KEGG: Phagosome	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
DACH2	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [G] *Anolis carolinensis (O)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
DDX60	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
DGAT2	KEGG: Glycerolipid metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
DMP1	GO:0009605 "response to external stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
E2F7	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding" & GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
ERP44	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
FBLN7	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
FDPS	GO:0042221 “response to chemical stimulus”	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
FGB	GO:0005577 "fibrinogen complex" & GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging" & GO:0051258 "protein polymerization"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
FGF14	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
GBP7	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
GCH1	KEGG: Folate biosynthesis	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
GLDC	KEGG: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
GNAT3	GO:0005615 "extracellular space"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
GPR162	GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
GPX8	GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
GRXCR1	GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
GZMA	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HIST1H2B7L1	GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex" & GO:0016591 "DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, holoenzyme"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HIST1H2B7L3	GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex" & GO:0016591 "DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, holoenzyme"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HIST1H2B8	GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex" & GO:0016591 "DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, holoenzyme"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HTR1D	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HTR1E	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
HTR7	GO:0016020 "membrane" & KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
IFIH1	KEGG: Herpes simplex infection	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
IRF7	KEGG: Herpes simplex infection & KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ITGB4	GO:0016020 "membrane" & KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
KCNT2	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
KIAA0319L	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
KIF18A	GO:0003774 "motor activity" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
KRT19	GO:0003774 "motor activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
KRT6A	GO:0003774 "motor activity" & GO:0005577 "fibrinogen complex" & GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging" & "GO:0051258 "protein polymerization"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
LAMB1	KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
LAMP3	GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex" & GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity" & GO:0016591 "DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, holoenzyme"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
LEPR	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
LIPG	KEGG: Glycerolipid metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
LZTS1	GO:0003774 "motor activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
MASP2	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
MEGF6	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [G] *Anolis carolinensis (O)*
MET	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
MOGAT1	KEGG: Glycerolipid metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
MST1R	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
MTNR1A	KEGG: Neuroactive ligand-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
MX1	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
MYH7	GO:0003774 "motor activity" & "GO:0005577 "fibrinogen complex" & GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging" & GO:0051258 "protein polymerization"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
MYO7B	GO:0003774 "motor activity" & GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0009605 "response to external stimulus" & GO:0015103 "inorganic anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015698 "inorganic anion transport" & GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
MYO7L3	GO:0003774 "motor activity" & GO:0005577 "fibrinogen complex" & GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & GO:0030674 "protein binding, bridging" & GO:0051258 "protein polymerization"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
NLRC5	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
NMI	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
NR5A2	GO:0042221~response to chemical stimulus	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V);* [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
OC3	GO:0005509 "calcium ion binding"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
OC-116	Avian Eggshell-specific gene	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
OCX-21	Avian Eggshell-specific gene	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
OCX-36	Avian Eggshell-specific gene	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
OCX-32	Avian Eggshell-specific gene	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
PHGDH	KEGG: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V);* [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
PHYHIPL	GO:0051258 "protein polymerization"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
PLEKHG7	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
PXDN	GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] Chalcides ocellatus (V); [D] Saiphos equalis (B); [F] Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V); [E] Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)
RASL11B	GO:0016020 "membrane" & GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
RGS18	GO:0008277 "regulation of G- protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C]*Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
RGS20	GO:0008277 "regulation of G- protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
SDHB	GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
SLC20A1	GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015103 "inorganic anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015698 "inorganic anion transport" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C]*Chalcides ocellatus (V)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
SLC26A3	GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015103 "inorganic anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015698 "inorganic anion transport" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
SLC30A8	GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015103 "inorganic anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015698 "inorganic anion transport"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
SLC39A2	GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015103 "inorganic anion transmembrane transporter activity" & GO:0015698 "inorganic anion transport" & "GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC43A3	GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0009605 "response to external stimulus" & GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC6A4	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
SMC4	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V);* [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SOGA2	GO:0005615 "extracellular space" & GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
SOSTDC1	GO:0005615 "extracellular space"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SPR	KEGG: Folate biosynthesis	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
STAT1	GO:0016020 "membrane" & KEGG: Herpes simplex infection & KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
SUSD4	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
SYNPR	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
TAP1	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & KEGG: ABC transporters & KEGG: Herpes simplex infection & KEGG: Phagosome	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
TAP2	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides" & KEGG: BC transporters & KEGG: Herpes simplex infection & KEGG: Phagosome & KEGG: Phagosome	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
TDH	KEGG: Glycine, serine and threonine metabolism	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
TLR1LA	KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
TLR2-1	GO:0009605 "response to external stimulus" & GO:0042221 "response to chemical stimulus" & KEGG: Herpes simplex infection & KEGG: Phagosome & KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
TMEM123	GO:0005667 "transcription factor complex" & GO:0016591 "DNA-directed RNA polymerase II, holoenzyme"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
TMEM178B	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
TNR	KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
TSPAN13	GO:0016020 "membrane"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
TUBB6	GO:0051258 "protein polymerization" & KEGG: Phagosome	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
TYRP1	KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
UGGT2	GO:0016817 "hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	
VTN	GO:0008238 "exopeptidase activity" & KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
XDH	GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ZCCHC11	GO:0009055 "electron carrier activity"	[A] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ATP13A5	Gene Function: Ca2+ homeostasis	[B] *Gallus gallus*	
ATP2B1	Gene Function: Plasma membrane calcium transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
ATP6V0D2	Gene Function: Proton pump	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
ATP6V1C2	Gene Function: Proton pump	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
ATP6V1G3	Gene Function: Proton pump	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
AVD	Gene Function: Binding biotin	[B] *Gallus gallus*	
CA8	Gene Function: Catalyze HCO3-formation	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)*
CFTR	Gene Function: Chloride channel	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CLCN2	Gene Function: Chloride channel	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
OVAL	Gene Function: Regulate crystal size	[B] *Gallus gallus*	
PTGS1	Gene Function: Catalyze prostaglandin formation	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
SCNN1A	Gene Function: Epithelial sodium channel	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
SCNN1B	Gene Function: Epithelial sodium channel	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SCNN1G	Gene Function: Epithelial sodium channel	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
SLC1A1	Gene Function: glutamate transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC25A15	Gene Function: mitochondrial ornithine carrier	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC26A4	Gene Function: chloride-iodide transport protein	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC31A1	Gene Function: copper transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC34A2	Gene Function: phosphate transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC35F3	Gene Function: thiamine transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC45A3	Gene Function: myelin-enriched sugar	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)*

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
SLC4A1	Gene Function: Bicarbonate transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
SLC4A2	Gene Function: Bicarbonate transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC4A9	Gene Function: Bicarbonate transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC52A3	Gene Function: riboflavin transporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC5A11	Gene Function: sodium-dependent cotransporter	[B] *Gallus gallus*	
SLC9A8	Gene Function: Sodium/proton exchangers	[B] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SLC1A3	Gene Function: glutamate transporter, GO:0008509 "anion transmembrane transporter activity" & "GO:0016020 ""membrane"	[AB] *Gallus gallus*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)*
SPP1	Gene Function: Regulate crystal growth, KEGG: ECM-receptor interaction, KEGG: Toll-like receptor signaling pathway	[AB] *Gallus gallus*	
TF (ovotransferrin)	Gene Function: Regulate crystal size	[AB] *Gallus gallus*	
ACTN3	GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	
ASCL1	GO:0002065 "Columnar/cuboidal epithelial cell differentiation" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	
BAMBI	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
CDC42EP1	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[F] Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
EPB41L3	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
EPB42	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
EPHA7	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0060562 "Epithelial tube morphogenesis"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*; [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
FGFRL1	GO:0030133 "Transport vesicle"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
HAS2	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0036120 "Cellular response to platelet-derived growth factor stimulus" & GO:0045597 ""Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
HCN1	GO:0045176 "Apical protein localization"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	
IGF1	GO:0043567 "Regulation of insulin-like growth factor receptor signaling pathway" & "GO:0045597 ""Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	
LOXL2	GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation" & GO:0050673 "Epithelial cell proliferation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[D] *Saiphos equalis (B)*
NKX3-1	GO:0043567 "Regulation of insulin-like growth factor receptor signaling pathway" & GO:0050673 "Epithelial cell proliferation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	

Table 1.2 (Continued).

Gene	Gene Function, GO term or KEGG Pathway	Species with gene associated to eggshell formation	DE in squamate reproductive tissues during gestation
PDE3A	GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
PTN	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0036120 "Cellular response to platelet-derived growth factor stimulus" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
RAB27A	GO:0002065 "Columnar/cuboidal epithelial cell differentiation" & GO:0030133 "Transport vesicle"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*
RASGRP1	GO:0032252 "Secretory granule localization" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V);* [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SHROOM3	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0045176 "Apical protein localization"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V);* [D] *Saiphos equalis (B);* [E] *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*
SPDEF	GO:0002065 "Columnar/cuboidal epithelial cell differentiation" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	
SRF	GO:0022604 "Regulation of cell morphogenesis" & GO:0045597 "Positive regulation of cell differentiation" & GO:0060562 "Epithelial tube morphogenesis"	[F] *Phrynocephalus przewalskii*	[C] *Chalcides ocellatus (V)*

Note: Letter in parentheses represents parity mode: V= viviparous, O= oviparous, B= reproductively bimodal. Superscript represents citations: A= Yang et al., (2020); B= Zhang et al., (2019); C= Brandley et al., (2012); D= Foster et al., (2020); E= Griffith et al., (2016); F= Gao et al., (2019); G= Alföldi et al., (2011).

Table 1.3. Differential Expression of Genes Associated with Water, Gas and Nutrient Transport During Gravidity & Gestation

	Chalcides ocellatus (V)	*Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)*	*Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)*	*Saiphos equalis (B:V)*	*Saiphos equalis (B:O)*	*Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)*	*Lerista bougainvillii (B:O)**	*Lampropholis guichenoti (O)**
Water transport								
AQP1	↓			E↑; L↑				
AQP3				E↑; L↑	L↑		X	
AQP4								
AQP5	↑							
AQP6	↓							X
AQP8	↓		C↑					
AQP9	↑		C↑		E↑; L↑			
AQP11	↑						X	
AQP12B				E↑				
CFTR	↓						X	X
Gas Exchange								
HBA	↓							
HBB	↓							
HBM	↓						X	X
Vascularization/Vasodilation/Angiogenesis								
ADGRA2							X	X
ADGRB2								
ANGPTL1							X	
EPAS1	↑		C↑	L↑			X	X
EPHB4	↓							
HIF1A	↑		Y↑				X	
ISM1	↑		Y↓				X	X

Table 1.3 (Continued).

	Chalcides ocellatus (V)	***Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)***	***Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:O)***	***Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)***	***Lerista bougainvillii (B:O)****	***Lampropholis guichenoti (O)****
NOS1	↑	↑blue						X
NOS2	↑		C↑				X	
NOS3	↓						X	
PDZRN3	↑						X	X
PGF	↓						X	
RHOJ	↓						X	X
TNMD								
VEGFA	↑		C↑		L↑		X	X
VEGFD	↓							
VEGFR1								
VEGFR2								
VEGFR3								
Nutrient Provisioning								
AP4S1	↑						X	X
APOA1	↑		C↑					X
APOA1BP			C↑;Y↑				X	X
APOA2	↑							
APOA4	↑		C↑;Y↑					
APOE	↑							
APOL6								
APOM	↑							
CTSL			C↑;Y↑					
CTSL1	↑							

Table 1.3 (Continued).

	Chalcides ocellatus (V)	***Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)***	***Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:O)***	***Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)***	***Lerista bougainvillii (B:O)****	***Lampropholis guichenoti (O)****
CTSL2	↑							
GdA			C↑;Y↑					X
HYOU1	↑					↑S1		X
LIF								X
LPL	↓		C↑;Y↑				X	X
MUC-1	↑		Y↑				X	X
MUC-15			C↑;Y↑	↑	↑			
PLA2G10								X
SRPRA								
TGFB1	↑						X	X
TGFB1I1	↓						X	
TGFB2	↓							X
TGFB3	↓						X	X
TGFBI	↓		Y↓				X	X
TGFBR1	↓	blue					X	X
TGFBR2	↓						X	X
TGFBR3	↓	↑		L↓			X	
TGFBRAP1	↑						X	
VECG								
Generation of endometrial glands								
EGF								
AbdB								
cMet								

Table 1.3 (Continued).

	Chalcides ocellatus (V)	***Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)***	***Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:O)***	***Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)***	***Lerista bougainvillii (B:O)****	***Lampropholis guichenoti (O)****
Emx2	↓						X	X
FGF10								
FGF7	↓						X	X
FGFR2IIIb								
HGF		↑	C↓				X	X
IGF1								
IGF2								
IGFBP5	↓							
Lhx1								
LIF	↑							X
Pax2	↓		Y↓				X	X
PRL								
VECG								
WNT10A	↑						X	X
WNT11	↓		C↓;Y↓					X
WNT16	↓		Y↓					
WNT2B	↓							
WNT3A	↑							
WNT4	↑							
WNT5A	↓							
WNT5B	↑							
WNT6	↑							
WNT7A	↓							

Table 1.3 (Continued).

	Chalcides ocellatus (V)	***Phrynocephalus vlangalii (V)***	***Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:V)***	***Saiphos equalis (B:O)***	***Phrynocephalus przewalskii (O)***	***Lerista bougainvillii (B:O)****	***Lampropholis guichenoti (O)****
WNT7B	↑							
WNT9A	↓							
WNT9B	↑							

Note: In uterine tissue of gravid vs non-gravid uterine tissues only two genes and 0 zero gene are differentially expressed in *Lampropholis guichenoti* and *Lerista bougainvillii*, respectively (Griffith et al., 2016). Here, I marked X when a locus is expressed during gestation, indicating that it is expressed in utero during gestation but that the p-value of being differentially expressed compared to non-gestation was less than 0.05 (Brandley et al., 2012). Abbreviations: C= uterus of the chorioallantoic placenta; Y= uterus of the yolk sac placenta; L=late gestation/gravidity; E=early gestation/gravidity; ONG=oviparous non-gravid; VNG=viviparous non-gestational; blue=the locus is a member of the Blue Module from Gao et al. (2018) which is comprised of genes they suspect are involved with placentation; S1=the ovarian egg stage associated with eggshell deposition.

IX. References

References

Abrahams, V. M., Y. Mee Kim, S. L. Straszewski, R. Romero, and G. Mor. 2004. "Macrophages and Apoptotic Cell Clearance during Pregnancy". *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 51 (4): 275–82. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2004.00156.x.

Adams, A. P., J. G. Oriol, R. E. Campbell, Y. C. Oppenheim, W. R. Allen, and D. F. Antczak. 2007. "The Effect of Skin Allografting on the Equine Endometrial Cup Reaction". *Theriogenology* 68 (2). Elsevier: 237–47.

Adams, K. M., and J. L. Nelson. 2004. "Microchimerism: An Investigative Frontier in Autoimmunity and Transplantation". *Journal of the American Medical Association* 291 (9): 1127–31. doi:10.1001/jama.291.9.1127.

Adams, E. J., and P. Parham. 2001. "Species-specific evolution of MHC class I genes in the higher primates". *Immunological Reviews* 183: 41-64. doi: 10.1034/j.1600-065x.2001.1830104.x

Adams, S. M., J. M. Biazik, M. B. Thompson, and C. R. Murphy. 2005. "Cyto-Epitheliochorial Placenta of the Viviparous Lizard Pseudemoia Entrecasteauxii: A New Placental Morphotype". *Journal of Morphology* 264 (3): 264–76. doi:10.1002/jmor.10314.

Adams Waldorf, K. M., N. Singh, A. R. Mohan, R. C. Young, L. Ngo, A. Das, J. Tsai, et al. 2015. "Uterine Overdistention Induces Preterm Labor Mediated by Inflammation: Observations in Pregnant Women and Nonhuman Primates". *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 213 (6). Elsevier Inc.: 830.e1-830.e19. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2015.08.028.

Agostinis, C., A. Mangogna, F. Bossi, G. Ricci, U. Kishore, and R. Bulla. 2019. "Uterine Immunity and Microbiota: A Shifting Paradigm". *Frontiers in Immunology* 10 (OCT). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02387.

Albergotti, L. C., and L. J. Guillette. 2011. *Viviparity in Reptiles: Evolution and Reproductive Endocrinology*. *Hormones and Reproduction of Vertebrates - Volume 3*. Vol. 3. Elsevier. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-374930-7.10009-3.

Alföldi, J., F. di Palma, M. Grabherr, C. Williams, L. Kong, E. Mauceli, P. Russell, et al. 2011. "The Genome of the Green Anole Lizard and a Comparative Analysis with Birds and Mammals". *Nature* 477 (7366): 587–91. doi:10.1038/nature10390.

Amoroso, E. C. 1968. "The Evolution of Viviparity". *Proc. Roy. Soc. Med* 61.

Ander, S. E., M. S. Diamond, and C. B. Coyne. 2019. "Immune Responses at the Maternal-Fetal Interface". *Science Immunology* 4 (31). doi:10.1126/sciimmunol.aat6114.

Anderson, D. J., N. C. Stoyan, and R. E. Ricklefs. 1987. “Why Are There No Viviparous Birds? A Comment”. *The American Naturalist* 130 (6): 941–47.

Anderson, R. E., C. V. Gay, and H. Schraer. 1981. “Ultrastructural Localization of Carbonic Anhydrase in the Chorioallantoic Membrane by Immunocytochemistry”. *Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry* 29 (10): 1121–27. doi:10.1177/29.10.6170666.

Andrews, R. M. 2000. “Evolution of Viviparity in Squamate Reptiles (Sceloporus Spp.): A Variant of the Cold-Climate Model”. *Journal of Zoology* 250 (2): 243–53. doi:10.1017/S0952836900002107.

Andrukhova, O., C. Streicher, U. Zeitz, and R. G. Erben. 2016. “Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology Fgf23 and Parathyroid Hormone Signaling Interact in Kidney and Bone”. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 436: 224–39. doi:10.1016/j.mce.2016.07.035.

Aoki, R. 1993. “The multiple origins of the eggshell in amniote evolution*”. Journal of Fossil Research* 27: 9–43.

Arazi, H., I. Yoselewitz, Y. Malka, Y. Kelner, O. Genin, and M. Pines. 2009. “Osteopontin and Calbindin Gene Expression in the Eggshell Gland as Related to Eggshell Abnormalities”. *Poultry Science* 88 (3): 647–53. doi:10.3382/ps.2008-00387.

Arcellana-Panlilio, M. Y., and G. A. Schultz. 1994. “Temporal and Spatial Expression of Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I H-2K in the Early Mouse Embryo”. *Biology of Reproduction* 51 (2): 169–83. doi:10.1095/biolreprod51.2.169.

Arck, P. C., and K. Hecher. 2013. “Fetomaternal Immune Cross-Talk and Its Consequences for Maternal and Offspring’s Health”. *Nature Medicine* 19 (5). Nature Publishing Group: 548–56. doi:10.1038/nm.3160.

Arenas-Hernandez, M., R. Romero, D. St Louis, S. S. Hassan, E. B. Kaye, and N. Gomez-Lopez. 2016. “An Imbalance between Innate and Adaptive Immune Cells at the Maternal-Fetal Interface Occurs Prior to Endotoxin-Induced Preterm Birth”. *Cellular and Molecular Immunology* 13 (4). Nature Publishing Group: 462–73. doi:10.1038/cmi.2015.22.

Arévalo, L., and P. Campbell. 2020. “Placental Effects on the Maternal Brain Revealed by Disrupted Placental Gene Expression in Mouse Hybrids”. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 287 (1918). doi:10.1098/rspb.2019.2563.

Arias, J. L., M. Cataldo, M. S. Fernandez, and E. Kessi. 1997. “Effect of Beta-aminoproprionitrile on Eggshell Formation”. *British Poultry Science* 38 (4). Taylor & Francis: 349–54. doi:10.1080/00071669708418001.

Arias, J. L., D. J. Fink, S. Qun Xiao, A. H. Heuer, and A. I. Caplan. 1993. “Biomineralization and Eggshells: Cell-Mediated Acellular Compartments of Mineralized Extracellular Matrix”. *International Review of Cytology* 145 (C): 217–50. doi:10.1016/S0074-7696(08)60428-3.

Arrowsmith, S., and S. Wray. 2014. “Oxytocin: Its Mechanism of Action and Receptor Signaling in the Myometrium”. *Journal of Neuroendocrinology* 26 (6): 356–69. doi:10.1111/jne.12154.

Astheimer, L., and C. R. Grau. 1990. “A Comparison of Yolk Growth Rates in Seabird Eggs”. *Ibis* 132 (3). Wiley Online Library: 380–94.

Atikuzzaman, M., M. Alvarez-Rodriguez, A. V. Carrillo, M. Johnsson, D. Wright, and H. Rodriguez-Martinez. 2017. “Conserved Gene Expression in Sperm Reservoirs between Birds and Mammals in Response to Mating”. *BMC Genomics* 18 (1). doi:10.1186/s12864-017-3488-x.

Atikuzzaman, M., R. M. Bhai, J. Fogelholm, D. Wright, and H. Rodriguez-Martinez. 2015. “Mating Induces the Expression of Immune- and PH-Regulatory Genes in the Utero-Vaginal Junction Containing Mucosal Sperm-Storage Tubuli of Hens”. *Reproduction* 150 (6): 473–83. doi:10.1530/REP-15-0253.

Attias, M., T. Al-Aubodah, and C. A. Piccirillo. 2019. “Mechanisms of Human FoxP3+ Treg Cell Development and Function in Health and Disease”. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology* 197 (1): 36–51. doi:10.1111/cei.13290.

Axiak-Bechtel, S. M., S. R. Kumar, S. A. Hansen, and J. N. Bryan. 2013. “Y-Chromosome DNA Is Present in the Blood of Female Dogs Suggesting the Presence of Fetal Microchimerism”. *PLoS ONE* 8 (7): 1–6. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0068114.

Baardman, M. E., W. S. Kerstjens-Frederikse, R. M. F. Berger, M. K. Bakker, R. M. W. Hofstra, and T. Plösch. 2013. “The Role of Maternal-Fetal Cholesterol Transport in Early Fetal Life: Current Insights”. *Biology of Reproduction* 88 (1): 1–9. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.112.102442.

Badham, J. A. 1971. “Albumen Formation in Eggs of the Agamid *Amphibolurus barbatus barbatus*”. *Copeia* 1971 (3): 543. doi:10.2307/1442452.

Badir, N. 1968. “Structure and Function of Corpus Luteum during Gestation in the Viviparous Lizard Chalcides ocellatus”. *Anatomischer Anzeiger* 122 (1): 1–10.

Baker, J. R., and D. A. Balch. 1962. “A Study of the Organic Material of Hen’s-Egg Shell”. *Biochemistry Journal* 82 (352).

Bakker, R., S. Pierce, and D. Myers. 2017. “The Role of Prostaglandins E1 and E2, Dinoprostone, and Misoprostol in Cervical Ripening and the Induction of Labor: A Mechanistic Approach”. *Archives of Gynecology and Obstetrics* 296 (2). Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 167–79. doi:10.1007/s00404-017-4418-5.

Bakkour, S., C. A. R. Baker, A. F. Tarantal, L. Wen, M. P. Busch, T. H. Lee, and J. M. McCune. 2014. “Analysis of Maternal Microchimerism in Rhesus Monkeys (Macaca Mulatta) Using Real-Time Quantitative PCR Amplification of MHC Polymorphisms”. *Chimerism* 5 (1): 6–15. doi:10.4161/chim.27778.

Balogh, A., E. Toth, R. Romero, K. Parej, D. Csala, N. L Szenasi, I. Hajdu, K. Juhasz, A. F. Kovacs, and H. Meiri. 2019. “Placental Galectins Are Key Players in Regulating the Maternal Adaptive Immune Response”. *Frontiers in Immunology* 10.

Bar, A., and S. Hurwitz. 1979. “To Intestinal Calcium Absorption” 102 (1): 79–81.

Bar, A., 2009a. “Calcium Transport in Strongly Calcifying Laying Birds: Mechanisms and Regulation”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 152 (4): 447–69. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2008.11.020.

———. 2009b. “Differential Regulation of Calbindin in the Calcium-Transporting Organs of Birds with High Calcium Requirements”. *The Journal of Poultry Science* 46 (4): 267–85. doi:10.2141/jpsa.46.267.

Bar, A., J. Rosenberg, and S. Hurwitz. 1984. “The Lack of Relationships between Vitamin D3 Metabolites and Calcium-Binding Protein in the Eggshell Gland of Laying Birds”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology -- Part B* 78 (1): 75–79. doi:10.1016/0305-0491(84)90148-2.

Baratelli, F., Y. Lin, L. Zhu, Seok-Chul Yang, N. Heuzé-Vourc’h, G. Zeng, K. Reckamp, M. Dohadwala, S. Sharma, and S. M. Dubinett. 2005. “Prostaglandin E 2 Induces FOXP3 Gene Expression and T Regulatory Cell Function in Human CD4 + T Cells”. *The Journal of Immunology* 175 (3): 1483–90. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.175.3.1483.

Barua, A., and Y. Yoshimura. 1999. “Effects of Aging and Sex Steroids on the Localization of T Cell Subsets in the Ovary of Chicken, Gallus Domesticus”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 114 (1). Elsevier: 28–35.

Bayes-Genis, A., B. Bellosillo, O. De La Calle, M. Salido, S. Roura, F. Solé Ristol, C. Soler, et al. 2005. “Identification of Male Cardiomyocytes of Extracardiac Origin in the Hearts of Women with Male Progeny: Male Fetal Cell Microchimerism of the Heart”. *Journal of Heart and Lung Transplantation* 24 (12): 2179–83. doi:10.1016/j.healun.2005.06.003.

Bazer, F. W. 1975. “Uterine Protein Secretions: Relationship to Development of the Conceptus”. *Journal of Animal Science* 41 (5). Oxford University Press: 1376–82.

———. 1992. “Mediators of Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy in Mammals”. *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine* 199 (4). SAGE Publications Sage UK: London, England: 373–84.

Bazer, F. W. 2013. “Pregnancy Recognition Signaling Mechanisms in Ruminants and Pigs”. *Journal of Animal Science and Biotechnology* 4 (1): 1–10. doi:10.1186/2049-1891-4-23.

Bazer, F. W, T. E. Spencer, and T. L. Ott. 1997. “Interferon Tau: A Novel Pregnancy Recognition Signal”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 37 (6). Wiley Online Library: 412–20.

Bazer, F. W., G. Wu, G. A. Johnson, J. Kim, and G. Song. 2011. “Uterine Histotroph and Conceptus Development: Select Nutrients and Secreted Phosphoprotein 1 Affect Mechanistic Target of

Rapamycin Cell Signaling in Ewes". *Biology of Reproduction* 85 (6): 1094–1107. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.111.094722.

Behrman, H. R., T. Endo, R. F. Aten, and B. Musicki. 1993. "Corpus Luteum Function and Regression". *Reproductive Medicine Review* 2 (3). Cambridge University Press: 153–80.

Belkacemi, L., I. Bédard, L. Simoneau, and J. Lafond. 2005. "Calcium Channels, Transporters and Exchangers in Placenta: A Review". *Cell Calcium* 37 (1): 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.ceca.2004.06.010.

Belkacemi, L., G. Gariépy, C. Mounier, L. Simoneau, and J. Lafond. 2004. "Calbindin-D9k (CaBP9k) Localization and Levels of Expression in Trophoblast Cells from Human Term Placenta". *Cell and Tissue Research* 315 (1): 107–17. doi:10.1007/s00441-003-0811-4.

Belkacemi, L., L. Simoneau, and J. Lafond. 2002. "Calcium-Binding Proteins". *Endocrine* 19 (1). Springer: 57–64.

Benedictusa, L., A. P. Koets, and V. P. M. G. Ruttena. 2015. "The Role of Placental MHC Class I Expression in Immune Assisted Separation of the Fetal Membranes in Cattle". *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 112: 11–19.

Bianchi, D. W., G. K. Zickwolf, G. J. Weil, S. Sylvester, and M. A. Demaria. 1996. "Male Fetal Progenitor Cells Persist in Maternal Blood for as Long as 27 Years Postpartum". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 93 (2): 705–8. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.2.705.

Biazik, J. M., S. L. Parker, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2012. "Uterine Epithelial Morphology and Progesterone Receptors in a Mifepristone-treated Viviparous Lizard Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii (Squamata: Scincidae) During Gestation". *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 318 (2). Wiley Online Library: 148–58.

Biber, J., N. Hernando, and I. Forster. 2013. "Phosphate Transporters and Their Function". *Annual Review of Physiology* 75 (1): 535–50. doi:10.1146/annurev-physiol-030212-183748.

Billingham, R. E., L. Brent, and P. B. Medawar. 1953. "'Actively Acquired Tolerance' of Foreign Cells". *Nature* 172 (4379): 603–6. doi:10.1038/172603a0.

Billingham, R. E., and J. R. Head. 1980. "Immunology of Reproduction: Present Trends". In *Annales D'immunologie*, 131:125–36.

Bindels, R. J. 1993. "Calcium Handling by the Mammalian Kidney". *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 184: 89–104.

Blackburn, D. G. 1999. "Viviparity and Oviparity - Evolution and Strategies". *Encyclopedia of Reproduction Volume 4* 4 (May): 994–1003.

———. 2000. "Reptilian Viviparity: Past Research, Future Directions, and Appropriate Models". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 127 (4): 391–409. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(00)00272-5.

———. 1982. “Evolutionary Origins of Viviparity in the Reptilia. I. Sauria”. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 3 (2): 185–205. doi:10.1163/156853882X00419.

———. 1985. “Evolutionary Origins of Viviparity in the Reptilia. II. Serpentes, Amphisbaenia, and Ichthyosauria”. *Amphibia Reptilia* 6 (3): 259–91. doi:10.1163/156853885X00290.

———. 1992. “Convergent Evolution of Viviparity, Matrotrophy, and Specializations for Fetal Nutrition in Reptiles and Other Vertebrates”. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 32 (2): 313–21. doi:10.1093/icb/32.2.313.

———. 1993. “Chorioallantoic Placentation in Squamate Reptiles: Structure, Function, Development, and Evolution”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*. 266 (5). Wiley Online Library: 414–30.

———. 1995. “Saltationist and Punctuated Equilibrium Models for the Evolution of Viviparity and Placentation”. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 174 (2): 199–216. doi:10.1006/jtbi.1995.0092.

———. 1998. “Structure, Function, and Evolution of the Oviducts of Squamate Reptiles, With Special Reference to Viviparity and Placentation”. *The Journal of Experimental Zoology* 282: 560–617. doi:10.1063/1.528814.

———. 1999. “Are Viviparity and Egg-Guarding Evolutionarily Labile in Squamates?” *Herpetologica* 55 (4): 556–73.

———. 2000. “Classification of the Reproductive Patterns of Amniotes”. *Herpetological Monographs* 14 (4): 412–49.

———. 2005. “Amniote Perspectives on the Evolutionary Origins of Viviparity and Placentation”. *Viviparity in Fishes*, no. August: 319–40.

———. 2006. “Squamate Reptiles as Model Organisms for the Evolution of Viviparity”. *Herpetological Monographs* 20 (1): 131.

———. 2015a. “Evolution of Vertebrate Viviparity and Specializations for Fetal Nutrition: A Quantitative and Qualitative Analysis”. *Journal of Morphology* 276 (8): 961–90. doi:10.1002/jmor.20272.

———. 2015b. “Viviparous Placentotrophy in Reptiles and the Parent-Offspring Conflict”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 324 (6): 532–48. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22624.

———. 2021. “Functional Morphology, Diversity, and Evolution of Yolk Processing Specializations in Embryonic Reptiles and Birds”. *Journal of Morphology*. John Wiley and Sons Inc. doi:10.1002/jmor.21267.

Blackburn, D. G., and A. F. Flemming. 2012. “Invasive Implantation and Intimate Placental Associations in a Placentotrophic African Lizard, Trachylepis Ivensi (Scincidae)”. *Journal of Morphology* 273 (2): 137–59. doi:10.1002/jmor.11011.

Blackburn, D. G., L. Lestz, M. S. Barnes, and K. G. Powers. 2019. “How Do Embryonic Turtles Process Yolk? Evidence from the Snapping Turtle, Chelydra Serpentina (Chelydridae)”. *Canadian Journal of Zoology* 97 (6): 495–501. doi:10.1139/cjz-2018-0205.

Blackburn, D. G., and R. L. Lorenz. 2003. “Placentation in Garter Snakes. II. Transmission EM of the Chorioallantoic Placenta of Thamnophis Radix and T. Sirtalis”. *Journal of Morphology* 256 (2): 171–86. doi:10.1002/jmor.10083.

Blackburn, D. G., and L. J. Vitt. 2002. “Specializations of the Chorioallantoic Placenta in the Brazilian Scincid Lizard, Mabuya heathi: A New Placental Morphotype for Reptiles”. *Journal of Morphology* 254 (2): 121–31. doi:10.1002/jmor.10005.

Blackburn, D. G., and J. R. Stewart. “Morphological research on amniote eggs and embryos: An introduction and historical retrospective”. Journal of Morpholog

Blaine, J., M. Chonchol, and M. Levi. 2015. “Renal Control of Calcium, Phosphate, and Magnesium Homeostasis”. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology* 10 (7): 1257–72. doi:10.2215/CJN.09750913.

Blomberg, L. A., L. L. Schreier, H. David Guthrie, G. L. Sample, J. Vallet, T. Caperna, and T. Ramsay. 2010. “The Effect of Intrauterine Growth Retardation on the Expression of Developmental Factors in Porcine Placenta Subsequent to the Initiation of Placentation”. *Placenta* 31 (6). Elsevier Ltd: 549–52. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2010.03.005.

Boehm, T., M. Hirano, S. J. Holland, S. Das, M. Schorpp, and M. D. Cooper. 2018. “Evolution of Alternative Adaptive Immune Systems in Vertebrates”. *Annual Review of Immunology* 36: 19–42. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-042617-053028.

Bonnet, X., G. Naulleau, D. Bradshaw, and R. Shine. 2001. “Changes in Plasma Progesterone in Relation to Vitellogenesis and Gestation in the Viviparous Snake Vipera aspis”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 121 (1): 84–94. doi:10.1006/gcen.2000.7574.

Bonnet, X., G. Naulleau, and R. Shine. 2017. “The Evolutionary Economics of Embryonic-Sac Fluids in Squamate Reptiles”. *American Naturalist* 189 (3): 333–44. doi:10.1086/690119.

Borgnia, M., S. Nielsen, A. Engel, and P. Agre. 1999. “Cellular and Molecular Biology of the Aquaporin Water Channels”. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 68: 425–58.

Borziak, K., A. Álvarez-Fernández, T. L. Karr, T. Pizzari, and S. Dorus. 2016. “The Seminal Fluid Proteome of the Polyandrous Red Junglefowl Offers Insights into the Molecular Basis of Fertility, Reproductive Ageing and Domestication”. *Scientific Reports* 6 (October). Nature Publishing Group: 1–15. doi:10.1038/srep35864.

Boyd, M. M. 1940. “The Structure of the Ovary and the Formation of the Corpus Luteum in Hoplodactylus maculatus Gray”. *Quarterly Journal of Microscopical Science* 82: 337–76.

Boyson, J. E., K. K. Iwanaga, T. G. Golos, and D. I. Watkins. 1997. “Identification of a Novel MHC Class I Gene, Mamu-AG, Expressed in the Placenta of a Primate with an Inactivated G Locus”. *Journal of Immunology (Baltimore, Md: 1950)* 159 (7): 3311–21.

Brace, R. A. 1997. “Physiology of Amniotic Fluid Volume Regulation”. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology* 40 (2). LWW: 280–89.

Brandley, M. C., R. L. Young, D. L. Warren, M. B. Thompson, and G. P. Wagner. 2012. “Uterine Gene Expression in the Live-Bearing Lizard, Chalcides Ocellatus, Reveals Convergence of Squamate Reptile and Mammalian Pregnancy Mechanisms”. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 4 (3): 394–411. doi:10.1093/gbe/evs013.

Brawand, D., W. Wahli, and H. Kaessmann. 2008. “Loss of Egg Yolk Genes in Mammals and the Origin of Lactation and Placentation”. *PLoS Biology* 6 (3): 0507–17. doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0060063.

Braz, H. B., S. M. Almeida-Santos, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2018. “Uterine and Eggshell Modifications Associated with the Evolution of Viviparity in South American Water Snakes (Helicops Spp.)”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 330 (3): 165–80. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22800.

Brennan, S. C., U. Thiem, S. Roth, A. Aggarwal, I. S. Fetahu, S. Tennakoon, A. R. Gomes, et al. 2013. “Calcium Sensing Receptor Signaling in Physiology and Cancer”. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular Cell Research* 1833 (7): 1732–44. doi:10.1016/j.bbamcr.2012.12.011.

Breuss, J. M., N. Gillett, L. Lu, D. Sheppard, and R. Pytela. 1993. “Restricted Distribution of Integrin B6 MRNA in Primate Epithelial Tissues”. *Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry* 41 (10): 1521–27. doi:10.1177/41.10.8245410.

Brionne, A., Y. Nys, C. Hennequet-Antier, and J. Gautron. 2014. “Hen Uterine Gene Expression Profiling during Eggshell Formation Reveals Putative Proteins Involved in the Supply of Minerals or in the Shell Mineralization Process”. 1–18.

Bronner, F.. 2003. “Mechanisms of Intestinal Calcium Absorption”. In *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 88:387–93. doi:10.1002/jcb.10330.

Brown, G. P., and R. Shine. 2005. “Do Changing Moisture Levels during Incubation Influence Phenotypic Traits of Hatchling Snakes (Tropidonophis mairii, Colubridae)?” *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 78 (4): 524–30. doi:10.1086/430231.

Bulmer, J. N., L. Morrison, M. Longfellow, A. Ritson, and De. Pace. 1991. “Granulated Lymphocytes in Human Endometrium: Histochemical and Immunohistochemical Studies”. *Human Reproduction* 6 (6). Oxford University Press: 791–98.

Bulmer, J. N., P. J. Williams, and G. E. Lash. 2010. “Immune Cells in the Placental Bed”. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 54 (2–3): 281–94. doi:10.1387/ijdb.082763jb.

Burbrink, F. T., F. G. Grazziotin, A. Pyron, D. Cundall, S. Donnellan, F. Irish, J. S. Keogh, et al. 2020. “Interrogating Genomic-Scale Data for Squamata (Lizards, Snakes, and Amphisbaenians) Shows No Support for Key Traditional Morphological Relationships”. *Systematic Biology* 69 (3): 502–20. doi:10.1093/sysbio/syz062.

Burlingham, W., and W. Bracamonte-Baran 2014. “Non-Inherited Maternal Antigens, Pregnancy, and Allotolerance,” 39–51.

Burlingham, W. 2010. “Chimerism, Tolerance, and Twins”. *Obstetrics & Gynecology* 116 (2). 475–76.

Burton, F. G., and S. G. Tullett. 1985. “Respiration of Avian Embryos”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology -- Part A: Physiology* 82 (4): 735–44. doi:10.1016/0300-9629(85)90476-1.

Burton, G. J., A. L. Watson, J. Hempstock, J. N. Skepper, and E. Jauniaux. 2002. “Uterine Glands Provide Histiotrophic Nutrition for the Human Fetus during the First Trimester of Pregnancy”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 87 (6): 2954–59. doi:10.1210/jcem.87.6.8563.

Cadet, P., P. L. Rady, S. K. Tyring, R. B. Yandell, and T. K. Hughes. 1995. “Interleukin-10 Messenger Ribonucleic Acid in Human Placenta: Implications of a Role for Interleukin-10 in Fetal Allograft Protection”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 173 (1). Elsevier: 25–29.

Callard, I. P., L. A. Fileti, L. E. Perez, L. A. Sorbera, L. L. Klosterman, P. Tsang, J. A. Mccracken, et al. 1992. “Role of the Corpus Luteum and Progesterone in the Evolution of Vertebrate Viviparity”. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 32 (2): 264–75. doi:10.1093/icb/32.2.264.

Campbell, E. A., E. A. Linton, C. D. A. Wolfe, P. R. Scraggs, M. T. Jones, and P. J. Lowry. 1987. “Plasma Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone Concentrations during Pregnancy and Parturition”. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 64 (5). Oxford University Press: 1054–59.

Canalis E., Economides A.N., Gazzerro E. 2003. “Bone Morphogenetic Proteins, their Antagonists, and the Skeleton” *Endocr Rev.* 24 (2): 218–35. doi: 10.1210/er.2002-0023. PMID: 12700180.

Caniggia, I., H. Mostachfi, J. Winter, M. Gassmann, S. J. Lye, M. Kuliszewski, and M. Post. 2000. “Hypoxia-Inducible Factor-1 Mediates the Biological Effects of Oxygen on Human Trophoblast Differentiation through TGFβ3”. *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 105 (5). The American Society for Clinical Investigation: 577–87. doi:10.1172/JCI8316.

Capecci, E., Lobo, J.L., I., Laña, J. I. Espinosa-Ramos, and N. Kasabov. 2020. “Modelling gene interaction networks from time-series gene expression data using evolving spiking neural networks”. Evolving *Systems* 11, 599–613. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12530-019-09269-6

Carosella, E. D., N. Rouas-Freiss, D. Tronik-Le Roux, P. Moreau, and J. LeMaoult. 2015. “HLA-G: An Immune Checkpoint Molecule”. *Advances in Immunology*, 127:33–144. Elsevier.

Carter, A. M. 2009. “Evolution of Factors Affecting Placental Oxygen Transfer”. *Placenta* 30 (SUPPL.). IFPA and Elsevier Ltd: 19–25. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2008.11.006.

Carter, A. M. 2012. “Evolution of Placental Function in Mammals: The Molecular Basis of Gas and Nutrient Transfer, Hormone Secretion, and Immune Responses”. *Physiological Reviews* 92 (4): 1543–76. doi:10.1152/physrev.00040.2011.

Casey, M. L., and P. C. MacDonald. 1997. “The Endocrinology of Human Parturition”. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 828: 273–84.

Castracane, V. D., and J. W. Goldzieher. 1986. “Timing of the Luteal-Placental Shift in the Baboon (Papio Cynocephalus)”. *Endocrinology* 118 (2): 506–12. doi:10.1210/endo-118-2-506.

Castro-Parodi, M., M. Farina, V. Dietrich, L. N. Levi, C. Ibarra, and A. E. Damiano. 2008. “Dose-Dependent Insulin-Mediated Regulation of AQP9 in Human Placenta”. In *Placenta*, 29:120.

Challis, J. R. G., F. H. Bloomfield, A. D. Bocking, V. Casciani, H. Chisaka, K. Connor, X. Dong, P. Gluckman, J. E. Harding, and J. Johnstone. 2005. “Fetal Signals and Parturition”. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Research* 31 (6). Wiley Online Library: 492–99.

Challis, J. R., C. J. Lockwood, L. Myatt, J. E. Norman, J. F. Strauss, and F. Petraglia. 2009. “Inflammation and Pregnancy”. *Reproductive Sciences* 16 (2): 206–15. doi:10.1177/1933719108329095.

Challis, J. R. G., S. G. Matthews, W. Gibb, and S. J. Lye. 2000. “Endocrine and Paracrine Regulation of Birth at Term and Preterm”. *Endocrine Reviews* 21 (5): 514–50. doi:10.1210/er.21.5.514.

Chamberlain, P. F., F. A. Manning, I. Morrison, C. R. Harman, and I. R. Lange. 1984. “Ultrasound Evaluation of Amniotic Fluid Volume: I. The Relationship of Marginal and Decreased Amniotic Fluid Volumes to Perinatal Outcome”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 150 (3). Elsevier: 245–49.

Chaouat, G. 2016. “Reconsidering the Medawar Paradigm Placental Viviparity Existed for Eons , Even in Vertebrates ; without a ‘ Problem ’: Why Are Tregs Important for Preeclampsia in Great Apes ?” *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 114. Elsevier Ireland Ltd: 48–57. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2015.09.002.

Chaouat, G., J. Tranchot Diallo, J. L. Volumenie, E. Menu, G. Gras, G. Delage, and B. Mognetti. 1997. “Immune Suppression and Th1/Th2 Balance in Pregnancy Revisited: A (Very) Personal Tribute to Tom Wegmann”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 37 (6): 427–34. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.1997.tb00255.x.

Chaouat, G., M. Petitbarat, S. Dubanchet, M. Rahmati, and N. Ledée. 2010. “Tolerance to the Foetal Allograft?” *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 63 (6): 624–36. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2010.00832.x.

Charpigny, G., M. J. Leroy, M. Breuiller-Fouché, Z. Tanfin, S. Mhaouty-Kodja, P. Robin, D. Leiber, et al. 2003. “A Functional Genomic Study to Identify Differential Gene Expression in the

Preterm and Term Human Myometrium". *Biology of Reproduction* 68 (6): 2289–96. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.102.013763.

ChatterJee-Hasrouni, S., and P. K. Lala. 1982. "On Murine of Paternal Trophoblast H-2K Antigens Cells in Vivo". *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 155 (June): 1679–89.

Chattopadhyay, A., N. Robinson, J. K. Sandhu, B. B. Finlay, S. Sad, and L. Krishnan. 2010. "Salmonella Enterica Serovar Typhimurium-Induced Placental Inflammation and Not Bacterial Burden Correlates with Pathology and Fatal Maternal Disease". *Infection and Immunity* 78 (5). Am Soc Microbiol: 2292–2301.

Chaturvedi, V., J. M. Ertelt, T. T. Jiang, J. M. Kinder, L. Xin, K. J. Owens, H. N. Jones, and S. S. Way. 2015. "CXCR3 Blockade Protects against Listeria Monocytogenes Infection-Induced Fetal Wastage". *Journal of Clinical Investigation* 125 (4): 1713–25. doi:10.1172/JCI78578.

Chavan, A. R., O. W. Griffith, and G. P. Wagner. 2017. "The Inflammation Paradox in the Evolution of Mammalian Pregnancy: Turning a Foe into a Friend". *Current Opinion in Genetics and Development* 47: 24–32. doi:10.1016/j.gde.2017.08.004.

Chen, F., T. Wang, C. Feng, G. Lin, Y. Zhu, G. Wu, G. Johnson, and J. Wang. 2015. "Proteome Differences in Placenta and Endometrium between Normal and Intrauterine Growth Restricted Pig Fetuses". *PLoS ONE* 10 (11): 1–18. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0142396.

Chen, L., C. Chu, X. Kong, G. Huang, T. Huang, and Y. Dong Cai. 2015. "A Hybrid Computational Method for the Discovery of Novel Reproduction-Related Genes". *PLoS ONE* 10 (3): 1–15. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0117090.

Chien, Y. C., M. T. Hincke, and M. D. McKee. 2008. "Avian Eggshell Structure and Osteopontin". *Cells Tissues Organs* 189 (1–4): 38–43. doi:10.1159/000151374.

———. 2009. "Ultrastructure of Avian Eggshell during Resorption Following Egg Fertilization". *Journal of Structural Biology* 168 (3): 527–38. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2009.07.005.

Chmurzyńska, A., 2006. "The Multigene Family of Fatty Acid-Binding Proteins (FABPs): Function, Structure and Polymorphism". *Journal of Applied Genetics* 47 (1): 39–48. doi:10.1007/BF03194597.

Choi, S., S. Han, N. H. Kim, Y. N. Lee. 2018. "A Comparative Study Of Eggshells of Gekkota with Morphological, Chemical Compositional and Crystallographic Approaches and its Evolutionary Implications". *PLOS ONE.* 13 (6): e0199496. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199496

Chowdhury, S. D., and R. H. Davis. 1995. "Influence of Dietary Osteolathyrogens on the Ultrastructure of Shell and Membranes of Eggs from Laying Hens". *British Poultry Science* 36 (4). Taylor & Francis: 575–83. doi:10.1080/00071669508417803.

Christiaens, I., D. B. Zaragoza, L. Guilbert, S. A. Robertson, B. F. Mitchell, and D. M. Olson. 2008. "Inflammatory Processes in Preterm and Term Parturition". *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 79 (1): 50–57. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2008.04.002.

Chu, T. M., and E. Kawinski. 1998. “Plasmin, Substilisin-like Endoproteases, Tissue Plasminogen Activator, and Urokinase Plasminogen Activator Are Involved in Activation of Latent TGF-B1in Human Seminal Plasma”. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 253 (1). Elsevier: 128–34.

Cindrova-Davies, T., E. Jauniaux, M. G. Elliot, S. Gong, G. J. Burton, and D. S. Charnock-Jones. 2017. “RNA-Seq Reveals Conservation of Function among the Yolk Sacs of Human, Mouse, and Chicken”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114 (24): E4753–61. doi:10.1073/pnas.1702560114.

Clark, D. A., J. Fernandez, and D. Banwatt. 2008. “Prevention of Spontaneous Abortion in the CBA× DBA/2 Mouse Model by Intravaginal TGF-β and Local Recruitment of CD4+ 8+ FOXP3+ Cells”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 59 (6). Wiley Online Library: 525–34.

Clausen, H. J. 1940. “Studies on the Effect of Ovariotomy and Hypophysectomy on Gestation in Snakes”. *Endocrinology* 27: 700–704.

Coleman, J. R., and A. R. Terepka. 1972. “Electron Probe Analysis of the Calcium Distribution in Cells of the Embryonic Chick Chorioallantoic Membrane. I. A Critical Evaluation of Techniques”. *The Journal of Histochemistry and Cytochemistry* 20 (6): 401–13. doi:10.1177/20.6.401.

Comar, C. L. 1956. “Radiocalcium Studies in Pregnancy”. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 64 (3). Wiley Online Library: 281–98.

Condon, J. C., P. Jeyasuria, J. M. Faust, and C. R. Mendelson. 2004. “Surfactant Protein Secreted by the Maturing Mouse Fetal Lung Acts as a Hormone That Signals the Initiation of Parturition”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 101 (14): 4978–83. doi:10.1073/pnas.0401124101.

Cooke, P. S., T. E. Spencer, F. F. Bartol, and K. Hayashi. 2013. “Uterine Glands: Development, Function and Experimental Model Systems”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 19 (9): 547–58. doi:10.1093/molehr/gat031.

Cornetti, L., O. W. Griffith, A. Benazzo, A. Panziera, C. M. Whittington, M. B. Thompson, C. Vernesi, and G. Bertorelle. 2018. “Candidate Genes Involved in the Evolution of Viviparity: A RAD Sequencing Experiment in the Lizard *Zootoca vivipara* (Squamata: Lacertidae)”. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 183 (1): 196–207. doi:10.1093/zoolinnean/zlx069.

Corso, G., M. Pala, A. M. Pinna, and M. Carcupino. 1988. “Aspetti Morfofunzionali Dell’ovidutto Di Chalcides Ocellatus Tiligugu (Gmelin)(Squamata, Scincidae)”. *Arch Ital Anat Embriol* 93 (4): 237–51.

Corso, G., G. M. Delitala, and M. Carcupino. 2000. “Uterine Morphology during the Annual Cycle in *Chalcides ocellatus tiligugu* (Gmelin) (Squamata: Scincidae)”. *Journal of Morphology* 243 (2): 153–65.

Cox, C. L., R. T. Peaden, and R. M. Cox. 2015. “The Metabolic Cost of Mounting an Immune Response in Male Brown Anoles (Anolis Sagrei)”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 323 (10): 689–95. doi:10.1002/jez.1960.

Cree, A., and L. J. Guillette. 1991. “Effect Of-Adrenergic Stimulation on Uterine Contraction in Response to Arginine Vasotocin and Prostaglandin F2a in the Gecko Hoplodactylus Maculatus1”. *Biology of Reproduction*. Vol. 44. https://academic.oup.com/biolreprod/article/44/3/499/2762934.

Cuellar, H. S. 1979. “Disruption of Gestation and Egg Shelling in Deluteinized Oviparous Whiptail Lizards Cnemidophorus Uniparens (Reptilia: Teiidae)”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 39 (2): 150–57. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(79)90220-X.

Custodia-Lora, N., A. Novillo, and I. P. Callard. 2004. “Regulation of Hepatic Progesterone and Estrogen Receptors in the Female Turtle, Chrysemys Picta: Relationship to Vitellogenesis”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 136 (2). Academic Press Inc.: 232–40. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2003.12.016.

Damiano, A. E. 2011. “Review: Water Channel Proteins in the Human Placenta and Fetal Membranes”. *Placenta* 32 (SUPPL. 2). Elsevier Ltd: S207–11. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2010.12.012.

Das, S. C., N. Isobe, and Y. Yoshimura. 2008. “Mechanism of Prolonged Sperm Storage and Sperm Survivability in Hen Oviduct: A Review”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 60 (6). Wiley Online Library: 477–81.

Davies, C. J., J. R. Hill, J. L. Edwards, F. N. Schrick, P. J. Fisher, J. A. Eldridge, and D. H. Schlafer. 2004. “Major Histocompatibility Antigen Expression on the Bovine Placenta: Its Relationship to Abnormal Pregnancies and Retained Placenta”. *Animal Reproduction Science* 82–83 (February 2018): 267–80. doi:10.1016/j.anireprosci.2004.05.016.

Davies, C. J., J. A. Eldridge, P. J. Fisher, and D. H. Schlafer. 2006. “Evidence for Expression of Both Classical and Non-classical Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I Genes in Bovine Trophoblast Cells”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 55 (3). Wiley Online Library: 188–200.

de Fraipont, M., J. Clobert, and R. Barbault. 1996. “The Evolution of Oviparity with Egg Guarding and Viviparity in Lizards and Snakes: A Phylogenetic Analysis”. *Evolution* 50 (1): 391–400. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1996.tb04501.x.

De Rensis, F., R. Saleri, P. Tummaruk, M. Techakumphu, and R. N. Kirkwood. 2012. “Prostaglandin F2α and Control of Reproduction in Female Swine: A Review”. *Theriogenology* 77 (1). Elsevier Inc.: 1–11. doi:10.1016/j.theriogenology.2011.07.035.

Denison, F. C., A. A. Calder, and R. W. Kelly. 1999. “The Action of Prostaglandin E2 on the Human Cervix: Stimulation of Interleukin 8 and Inhibition of Secretory Leukocyte Protease Inhibitor”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 180 (3 I): 614–20. doi:10.1016/S0002-9378(99)70263-2.

Denison, F. C., V. E. Grant, A. A. Calder, and R. W. Kelly. 1999. “Seminal Plasma Components Stimulate Interleukin-8 and Interleukin-10 Release”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 5 (3): 220–26. doi:10.1093/molehr/5.3.220.

Denison, F. C., R. W. Kelly, A. A. Calder, and S. C. Riley. 1998. “Cytokine Secretion by Human Fetal Membranes, Decidua and Placenta at Term”. *Human Reproduction* 13 (12): 3560–65. doi:10.1093/humrep/13.12.3560.

Diaz, J. A., A. L. Alonso-gomez, and M. J. Delgado. 1994. “Seasonal Variation of Gonadal Development , Sexual Steroids , and Lipid Reserves in a Population of the Lizard Psammodromus algirus”. *Society for the Study of Amphibia* 28 (2): 199–205.

Doty, A., W. C. Buhi, S. Benson, K. E. Scoggin, M. Pozor, M. Macpherson, M. Mutz, and M. H. T. Troedsson. 2011. “Equine CRISP3 Modulates Interaction between Spermatozoa and Polymorphonuclear Neutrophils”. *Biology of Reproduction* 85 (1): 157–64. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.084491.

Druckmann, R., and M. A. Druckmann. 2005. “Progesterone and the Immunology of Pregnancy”. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 97 (5): 389–96. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2005.08.010.

Du, J., M. T. Hincke, M. Rose-Martel, C. Hennequet-Antier, A. Brionne, L. A. Cogburn, Y. Nys, and J. Gautron. 2015. “Identifying Specific Proteins Involved in Eggshell Membrane Formation Using Gene Expression Analysis and Bioinformatics”. *BMC Genomics* 16 (1): 1–13. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-2013-3.

Duan, C., and J. B. Allard. 2020. “Insulin-Like Growth Factor Binding Protein-5 in Physiology and Disease”. *Frontiers in Endocrinology*. Frontiers Media S.A. doi:10.3389/fendo.2020.00100.

Duncan, W. C. 2000. “The Human Corpus Luteum: Remodelling during Luteolysis and Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy”. *Reviews of Reproduction* 5 (1): 12–17. doi:10.1530/ror.0.0050012.

Duncan, W. Colin, A. S. McNeilly, and P. J. Illingworth. 1998. “The Effect of Luteal ‘rescue’ on the Expression and Localization of Matrix Metalloproteinases and Their Tissue Inhibitors in the Human Corpus Luteum”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 83 (7): 2470–78. doi:10.1210/jc.83.7.2470.

Durso, A. M., and S. S. French. 2018. “Stable Isotope Tracers Reveal a Trade-off between Reproduction and Immunity in a Reptile with Competing Needs”. *Functional Ecology* 32 (3): 648–56. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13002.

Ecay, T. W., J. R. Stewart, and D. G. Blackburn. 2004. “Expression of Calbindin-D28K by Yolk Sac and Chorioallantoic Membranes of the Corn Snake, Elaphe guttata”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part* 302 (6): 517–25. doi:10.1002/jez.b.21015.

Ecay, T. W., J. R. Stewart, G. Wiessner, and B. Heulin. 2017. “Ex Utero Culture of Viviparous Embryos of the Lizard, Zootoca vivipara, Provides Insights into Calcium Homeostasis during

Development". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology -Part A : Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 206. Elsevier Inc.: 63–68. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2017.01.011.

Elinson, R. P., and J. R. Stewart. 2014. "The Corn Snake Yolk Sac Becomes a Solid Tissue Filled with Blood Vessels and Yolk-Rich Endodermal Cells". *Biology Letters* 10 (1). doi:10.1098/rsbl.2013.0870.

Elinson, R. P., J. R. Stewart, L. J. Bonneau, and D. G. Blackburn. 2014. "Amniote Yolk Sacs: Diversity in Reptiles and a Hypothesis on Their Origin". *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 58 (10–12): 889–94. doi:10.1387/ijdb.140239db.

Ellinwood, W. E., F. Z. Stanczyk, J. J. Lazur, and M. J. Novy. 1989. "Dynamics of Steroid Biosynthesis during the Luteal Placental Shift in Rhesus Monkeys". *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 69 (2). Oxford University Press: 348–55.

Elliott, C. L. 2001. "Nuclear Factor-Kappa B Is Essential for up-Regulation of Interleukin-8 Expression in Human Amnion and Cervical Epithelial Cells". *Molecular Human Reproduction* 7 (8): 787–90. doi:10.1093/molehr/7.8.787.

Ellis, M. J., J. H. Livesey, W. J. Inder, T. C. R. Prickett, and R. Reid. 2002. "Plasma Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone and Unconjugated Estriol in Human Pregnancy: Gestational Patterns and Ability to Predict Preterm Delivery". *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 186 (1). Elsevier: 94–99.

Emanuel, R. L., B. G. Robinson, E. W. Seely, S. W. Graves, I. Kohane, D. Saltzman, R. Barbieri, and J. A. Majzoub. 1994. "Corticotrophin Releasing Hormone Levels in Human Plasma and Amniotic Fluid during Gestation". *Clinical Endocrinology* 40 (2). Wiley Online Library: 257–62.

Enders, A. C., W. A. Wimsatt, and B. F. King. 1976. "Cytological Development of Yolk Sac Endoderm and Protein-absorptive Mesothelium in the Little Brown Bat, Myotis lucifugus". *American Journal of Anatomy* 146 (1): 1–29. doi:10.1002/aja.1001460102.

Erben, R. G., and O. Andrukhova. 2015. "FGF23 Regulation of Renal Tubular Solute Transport". *Current Opinion in Nephrology and Hypertension* 24 (5): 450–56. doi:10.1097/MNH.0000000000000145.

Erlebacher, A.. 2001. "Why Isn't the Fetus Rejected?" *Current Opinion in Immunology* 13 (5). Elsevier: 590–93.

———. 2013. "Immunology of the Maternal-Fetal Interface". *Annual Review of Immunology* 31: 387–411. doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032712-100003.

Evans, C. H., T. S. Lee, and A. A. Flugelman. 1995. "Spermine-Directed Immunosuppression of Cervical Carcinoma Cell Sensitivity to a Majority of Lymphokine-Activated Killer Lymphocyte Cytotoxicity". *Natural Immunity* 14 (3): 157.

Evans, P. C., N. Lambert, S. Maloney, D. E. Furst, J. M. Moore, and J. L. Nelson. 1999. “Long-Term Fetal Microchimerism in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cell Subsets in Healthy Women and Women with Scleroderma”. *Blood* 93 (6): 2033–37. doi:10.1182/blood.v93.6.2033.406k18_2033_2037.

Ewy, Z. 1970. “Effect of Vasotocin and Oxytocin on Oviposition in the Hen”. Department of Physiology, College of Agriculture, 12: 549-550

Faas, M. M., and P. de Vos. 2017. “Uterine NK Cells and Macrophages in Pregnancy”. *Placenta* 56. Elsevier Ltd: 44–52. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2017.03.001.

Faulk, W. P., and J. A. McIntyre. 1983. “Immunological Studies of Human Trophoblast: Markers, Subsets and Functions”. *Immunological Reviews* 75 (1). Wiley Online Library: 139–75.

Faulk, W. P., and A. Temple. 1976. “Distribution of B2 Microglobulin and HLA in Chorionic Villi of Human Placentae”. *Nature* 262 (5571): 799–802. doi:10.1038/262799a0.

Fazleabas, A. T., J. J. Kim, and Z. Strakova. 2004. “Implantation: Embryonic Signals and the Modulation of the Uterine Environment - A Review”. *Placenta* 25 (SUPPL. A): 26–31. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2004.01.014.

Fazleabas, A. T. 2007. “Physiology and Pathology of Implantation in the Human and Nonhuman Primate”. In *Seminars in Reproductive Medicine*, 25:405–9. © Thieme Medical Publishers.

Fedakâr, A., S. Semiz, and N. Peker. 2016. “Clinical Features of Babies Born to Mothers with Oligohydramnios: A Two Years’ Experience”. *Journal of Pregnancy and Child Health* 3 (2).

Fenwick, A. M., H. W. Greene, and C. L. Parkinson. 2011. “The Serpent and the Egg: Unidirectional Evolution of Reproductive Mode in Vipers?” *Journal of Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 50 (1): 59–66. doi:10.1111/j.1439-0469.2011.00646.x.

Ferguson, M. W. J., and D. C. Deeming. 1991. *Egg Incubation: Its Effects on Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles*. Cambridge University Press.

Fergusson, B., and S. D. Bradshaw. 1991. “Plasma Arginine Vasotocin, Progesterone, and Luteal Development during Pregnancy in the Viviparous Lizard Tiliqua rugosa”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 82 (1): 140–51. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(91)90305-P.

Fernandez, M. S., M. Araya, and J. L. Arias. 1997. “Eggshells Are Shaped by a Precise Spatio-Temporal Arrangement of Sequentially Deposited Macromolecules”. *Matrix Biology* 16 (1): 13–20. doi:10.1016/S0945-053X(97)90112-8.

Ferner, K., and A. Mess. 2011. “Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology Evolution and Development of Fetal Membranes and Placentation in Amniote Vertebrates ☙”. *Respiratory Physiology & Neurobiology* 178 (1). Elsevier B.V.: 39–50. doi:10.1016/j.resp.2011.03.029.

Fitch, H S. 1970. “Reproductive Cycles in Lizards and Snakes”. *University of Kansas Museum of Natural History Miscellaneous Publications* 52: 1–247.

Flemming, A. F., and D. G. Blackburn. 2003. “Evolution of Placental Specializations in Viviparous African and South American Lizards”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology* 299 (1): 33–47. doi:10.1002/jez.a.10289.

Florio, P., L. Cobellis, J. Woodman, F. M. Severi, E. A. Linton, and F. Petraglia. 2002. “Levels of Maternal Plasma Corticotropin-Releasing Factor and Urocortin during Labor”. *The Journal of the Society for Gynecologic Investigation: JSGI* 9 (4). Springer: 233–37.

Ford, S. P. 1997. “Embryonic and Fetal Development in Different Genotypes in Pigs”. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility.* 52: 165–76.

Forde, N., M. E. Beltman, G. B. Duffy, P. Duffy, J. P. Mehta, P. Ó’Gaora, J. F. Roche, P. Lonergan, and M. A. Crowe. 2011. “Changes in the Endometrial Transcriptome during the Bovine Estrous Cycle: Effect of Low Circulating Progesterone and Consequences for Conceptus Elongation”. *Biology of Reproduction* 84 (2): 266–78. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.085910.

Foster, C. S. P., M. B. Thompson, J. U. van Dyke, M. C. Brandley, and C. M. Whittington. 2020. “Emergence of an Evolutionary Innovation: Gene Expression Differences Associated with the Transition between Oviparity and Viviparity”. *Molecular Ecology* 29 (7): 1315–27. doi:10.1111/mec.15409.

Fox, S. L, and L. J. Guillette Jr. 1987. “Luteal Morphology, Atresia, and Plasma Progesterone Concentrations during the Reproductive Cycle of Two Oviparous Lizards, Crotaphytus collaris and Eumeces obsoletus”. *American Journal of Anatomy* 179 (4). Wiley Online Library: 324–32.

Francesch, A., J. Estany, L. Alfonso, and M. Iglesias. 1997. “Genetic Parameters for Egg Number, Egg Weight, and Eggshell Color in Three Catalan Poultry Breeds”. *Poultry Science* 76 (12): 1627–31. doi:10.1093/ps/76.12.1627.

Frankenberg, S., and M. B. Renfree. 2018. “Conceptus Coats of Marsupials and Monotremes”. In *Current Topics in Developmental Biology*, 130:357–77. Academic Press Inc. doi:10.1016/bs.ctdb.2018.03.004.

Fregoso, S. P., J. R. Stewart, and T. W. Ecay. 2010. “Embryonic Mobilization of Calcium in a Viviparous Reptile: Evidence for a Novel Pattern of Placental Calcium Secretion”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 156 (1). Elsevier Inc.: 147–50. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2010.01.014.

French, S. S., G. I. H. Johnston, and M. C. Moore. 2007. “Immune Activity Suppresses Reproduction in Food-Limited Female Tree Lizards Urosaurus ornatus”. *Functional Ecology* 21 (6): 1115–22. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01311.x.

Freyer, C., and M. B. Renfree. 2009. “The Mammalian Yolk Sac Placenta”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 312 (6): 545–54. doi:10.1002/jez.b.21239.

Freyer, C., U. Zeller, and M. B. Renfree. 2003. “The Marsupial Placenta: A Phylogenetic Analysis”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 299 (1): 59–77. doi:10.1002/jez.a.10291.

Fujiki, Y., K. L. Johnson, H. Tighiouart, I. Peter, and D. W. Bianchi. 2008. “Fetomaternal Trafficking in the Mouse Increases as Delivery Approaches and Is Highest in the Maternal Lung”. *Biology of Reproduction* 79 (5): 841–48. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.108.068973.

Gao, H., G. Wu, T. E. Spencer, G. A. Johnson, and F. W. Bazer. 2009. “Select Nutrients in the Ovine Uterine Lumen. IV. Expression of Neutral and Acidic Amino Acid Transporters in Ovine Uteri and Peri-Implantation Conceptuses1”. *Biology of Reproduction* 80 (6): 1196–1208. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.108.075440.

Gao, J. F., Y. F Qu, L. G. Luo, and X. Ji. 2010. “Evolution of Reptilian Viviparity: A Test of the Maternal Manipulation Hypothesis in a Temperate Snake, Gloydius brevicaudus (Viperidae)”. *Zoological Science* 27 (3): 248–55. doi:10.2108/zsj.27.248.

Gao, W., Y. B. Sun, W. W. Zhou, Z. J. Xiong, L. Chen, H. Li, T. T. Fu, et al. 2019. “Genomic and Transcriptomic Investigations of the Evolutionary Transition from Oviparity to Viviparity”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (9): 3646-3655. doi:10.1073/pnas.1816086116.

García-Collazo, R., M. Villagrán-Santa Cruz, E. Morales-Guillaumin, R. N. M. Lázaro, and F. R. Méndez-De La Cruz. 2012. “Egg Retention and Intrauterine Embryonic Development in Sceloporus Aeneus (Reptilia: Phrynosomatidae): Implications for the Evolution of Viviparity”. *Revista Mexicana de Biodiversidad* 83 (3): 802–8. doi:10.7550/rmb.33595.

Gautron, J., M. T. Hincke, and Y. Nys. 1997. “Precursor Matrix Proteins in the Uterine Fluid Change with Stages of Eggshell Formation in Hens”. *Connective Tissue Research* 36 (3). England: 195–210.

Gautron, J., M. T. Hincke, M. Panhéleux, J. M. Garcia-Ruiz, T. Boldicke, and Y. Nys. 2001. “Ovotransferrin Is a Matrix Protein of the Hen Eggshell Membranes and Basal Calcified Layer”. *Connect Tissue Res* 42 (4): 255–67.

Gautron, J., L. Stapane, N. le Roy, Y. Nys, A. B. Rodriguez-Navarro, and M. T. Hincke. 2021. “Avian Eggshell Biomineralization: An Update on Its Structure, Mineralogy and Protein Tool Kit”. *BMC Molecular and Cell Biology*. BioMed Central Ltd. doi:10.1186/s12860-021-00350-0.

Gautron, J., M. T. Hincke, K. Mann, M. Panhéleux, M. Bain, M. D. McKee, S. E. Solomon, and Y. Nys. 2001. “Ovocalyxin-32, a Novel Chicken Eggshell Matrix Protein. Isolation, Amino Acid Sequencing, Cloning, and Immunocytochemical Localization”. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276 (42): 39243–52. doi:10.1074/jbc.M104543200.

Gautron, J., E. Murayama, A. Vignal, M. Morisson, M. D. McKee, S. Réhault, V. Labas, et al. 2007. “Cloning of Ovocalyxin-36, a Novel Chicken Eggshell Protein Related to Lipopolysaccharide-Binding Proteins, Bactericidal Permeability-Increasing Proteins, and Plunc Family Proteins”. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282 (8): 5273–86. doi:10.1074/jbc.M610294200.

Geisert, R. D., M. T. Zavy, R. J. Moffatt, R. M. Blair, and T. Yellin. 1990. “Embryonic Steroids and the Establishment of Pregnancy in Pigs”. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility. Supplement* 40: 293–305.

Ghorai, S. M., and M. Priyam. 2018. “Reptilia: Cellular Immunity in Reptiles: Perspective on Elements of Evolution”. *Advances in Comparative Immunology*, no. August: 773–91. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-76768-0.

Ghosh, J., C. M. Lun, A. J. Majeske, S. Sacchi, C. S. Schrankel, and L. C. Smith. 2011. “Invertebrate Immune Diversity”. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* 35 (9). Elsevier: 959–74.

Giansanti, F., M. F. Giardi, and D. Botti. 2006. “Avian Cytokines-an Overview”. *Current Pharmaceutical Design* 12 (24). Bentham Science Publishers: 3083–99.

Gibb, W. 1998. “The Role of Prostaglandins in Human Parturition”. *Annals of Medicine* 30 (3): 235–41. doi:10.3109/07853899809005850.

Gibson, J. M., J. D. Aplin, A. White, and M. Westwood. 2001. “Regulation of IGF Bioavailability in Pregnancy”. *Molecular Human Reproduction*. Vol. 7.

Gilbert, S. F. 2010. “Birds and Mammals: Early Development and Axis Formation”. *Developmental Biology, 9th Ed. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates*, 287–322.

Ginther, O. J. 1979. “Reproductive Biology of the Mare-Basic and Applied Aspects”. *Reproductive Biology of the Mare-Basic and Applied Aspects.*

Girling, J. E., A. Cree, and L. J. Guillette. 1997. “Oviductal Structure in a Viviparous New Zealand Gecko, Hoplodactylus maculatus”. *Journal of Morphology* 234 (1): 51–68.

Girling, J. E. 2002. “The Reptilian Oviduct: A Review of Structure and Function and Directions for Future Research”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 293 (2): 141–70. doi:10.1002/jez.10105.

Girling, J. E., and S. M. Jones. 2003. “In Vitro Progesterone Production by Maternal and Embryonic Tissues during Gestation in the Southern Snow Skink (Niveoscincus microlepidotus)”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 133 (1): 100–108. doi:10.1016/S0016-6480(03)00147-3.

Girling, J. E., A. Cree, and L. J. Jr. Guillette. 1998. “Oviducal Structure in Four Species of Gekkonid Lizard Differing in Parity Mode and Eggshell Structure”. *Reproduction , Fertility and Development Contraceptives* 10.

Gitlin, D., J. Kumate, J. Urrusti, and C. Morales. 1965. “The Selectivity of the Human Placenta in the Transfer of Plasma Proteins from Mother to Fetus”. *Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey* 20 (2): 217–20. doi:10.1097/00006254-196504000-00003.

Glazier, J. D., D. E. Atkinson, K. L. Thornburg, P. T. Sharpe, D. Edwards, R. D. H. Boyd, and C. P. Sibley. 1992. “Gestational Changes in Ca2+ Transport across Rat Placenta and MRNA for Calbindin(9K) and Ca2+-ATPase”. *American Journal of Physiology - Regulatory Integrative and Comparative Physiology* 263 (4 32-4): 2–7. doi:10.1152/ajpregu.1992.263.4.r930.

González, Á., V. Rebmann, J. LeMaoult, P. A. Horn, E. D. Carosella, and E. Alegre. 2012. “The Immunosuppressive Molecule HLA-G and Its Clinical Implications”. *Critical Reviews in Clinical Laboratory Sciences* 49 (3). Taylor & Francis: 63–84.

Graham, S. P., R. L. Earley, C. Guyer, and M. T. Mendonça. 2011. “Innate Immune Performance and Steroid Hormone Profiles of Pregnant versus Nonpregnant Cottonmouth Snakes (Agkistrodon piscivorus)”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 174 (3). Elsevier Inc.: 348–53. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2011.09.015.

Grammatopoulos, D., G. N. Milton, and E. W. Hillhouse. 1994. “The Human Myometrial CRH Receptor: G Proteins and Second Messengers”. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 99 (2): 245–50. doi:10.1016/0303-7207(94)90014-0.

Grammatopoulos, D., E. W. Hillhouse, G. M. Stirrat, and S. A. Williams 1996. “The Biological Activity of the Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone Receptor-Adenylate Cyclase Complex in Human Myometrium Is Reduced at the End of Pregnancy”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 81 (2): 745–51. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004.

Gray, C. A., R. C. Burghardt, G. A. Johnson, F. W. Bazer, and T. E. Spencer. 2002. “Evidence That Absence of Endometrial Gland Secretions in Uterine Gland Knockout Ewes Compromises Conceptus Survival and Elongation”. *Reproduction-Cambridge* 124 (2): 289–300.

Gray, C. A., F. F. Bartol, B. J. Tarleton, A. A. Wiley, G. A. Johnson, F. W. Bazer, and T. E. Spencer. 2001. “Developmental Biology of Uterine Glands”. *Biology of Reproduction* 65: 1311–23.

Grey, H. M. 1963. “Phylogeny of the Immune Response: Studies on Some Physical Chemical and Serologic Characteristics of Antibody Produced in the Turtle”. *The Journal of Immunology* 91 (6). Am Assoc Immnol: 819–25.

Griffin, J. F. T. 1981. “Materno-Fetal Tolerance: Nature’s Gift to Viviparity”. *Irish Veterinary Journal*.

Griffith, O. W., J. U. van Dyke, and M. B. Thompson. 2013. “No Implantation in an Extra-Uterine Pregnancy of a Placentotrophic Reptile”. *Placenta* 34 (6): 510–11. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2013.03.002.

Griffith, O. W., D. G. Blackburn, M. C. Brandley, J. U. van Dyke, C. M. Whittington, and M. B. Thompson. 2015. “Ancestral State Reconstructions Require Biological Evidence to Test Evolutionary Hypotheses: A Case Study Examining the Evolution of Reproductive Mode in Squamate Reptiles”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 324 (6): 493–503. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22614.

Griffith, O. W., M. C. Brandley, K. Belov, and M. B. Thompson. 2016. “Reptile Pregnancy Is Underpinned by Complex Changes in Uterine Gene Expression: A Comparative Analysis of the Uterine Transcriptome in Viviparous and Oviparous Lizards”. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 8 (10): 3226–39. doi:10.1093/gbe/evw229.

Griffith, O. W., M. C. Brandley, C. M. Whittington, K. Belov, and M. B. Thompson. 2017. “Comparative Genomics of Hormonal Signaling in the Chorioallantoic Membrane of Oviparous and Viviparous Amniotes”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 244. Elsevier Inc.: 19–29. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2016.04.017.

Griffith, O. W., A. R. Chavan, S. Protopapas, J. Maziarz, R. Romero, and G. P. Wagner. 2017. "Embryo Implantation Evolved from an Ancestral Inflammatory Attachment Reaction". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114 (32): E6566–75. doi:10.1073/pnas.1701129114.

Griffith, O. W., B. Ujvari, K. Belov, and M. B. Thompson. 2013. "Placental Lipoprotein Lipase (LPL) Gene Expression in a Placentotrophic Lizard, Pseudemoia Entrecasteauxii". *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 320 (7): 465–70. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22526.

Griffith, O. W., and G. P. Wagner. 2017. "The Placenta as a Model for Understanding the Origin and Evolution of Vertebrate Organs". *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 1 (4). doi:10.1038/s41559-017-0072.

Guarino, F. M., L. Paulesu, A. Cardone, L. Bellini, G. Ghiara, and F. Angelini. 1998. "Endocrine Activity of the Corpus Luteum and Placenta during Pregnancy in Chalcides chalcides (Reptilia, Squamata)". *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 111 (3). Elsevier: 261–70.

Guillette, L. J. Jr. 1991. "The Evolution of Viviparity in Amniote Vertebrates: New Insights, New Questions". *Journal of Zoology* 223 (3). Wiley Online Library: 521–26.

Guillette, L. J. Jr, and R. E. Jones. 1980. "Arginine Vasotocin-induced in Vitro Oviductal Contractions in Anolis carolinensis: Effect of Steroid Hormone Pretreatment in Vivo". *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 212 (1). Wiley Online Library: 147–52.

Guillette, L. J. Jr. 1992. "Morphology of the Reproductive Tract in a Lizard Exhibiting Incipient Viviparity (Sphenomorphus fragilis) and Its Implications for the Evolution of the Reptilian Placenta". *Journal of Morphology* 212 (2): 163–73. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052120207.

Guillette, L. J. Jr., K. A. Bjorndal, A. B. Bolten, T. S. Gross, B. D. Palmer, B. E. Witherington, and J. M. Matter. 1991. "Plasma Estradiol-17β, Progesterone, Prostaglandin F, and Prostaglandin E2 Concentrations during Natural Oviposition in the Loggerhead Turtle (*Caretta caretta*)". *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 82 (1): 121–30. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(91)90303-N.

Guillette, Louis L. J. Jr., V. Demarco, B. D. Palmer, and G. R. Masson. 1992. "Effects of Arachidonic Acid, Prostaglandin F2, Prostaglandin E2, and Arginine Vasotocin on Induction of Birth in Viva and in Vitro in a Viviparous Lizard (*Sceloporus jarrovi*)". *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 85: 477–85.

Guillette, L. J. Jr., S. L. Fox, and B. D. Palmer. 1989. "Oviductal Morphology and Egg Shelling in the Oviparous Lizards *Crotaphytus collaris* and *Eumeces obsoletus*". *Journal of Morphology* 201 (2): 145–59. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052010205.

Guillette, L. J. Jr. 1993. "The Evolution of Viviparity in Lizards". *BioScience* 43 (11): 742–51. doi:10.2307/1312318.

Guillette, L. J. Jr., and R. E. Jones. 1985. “Ovarian, Oviductal, and Placental Morphology of the Reproductively Bimodal Lizard, Sceloporus Aeneus”. *Journal of Morphology* 184 (1): 85–98. doi:10.1002/jmor.1051840109.

Gutsche, S., M. von Wolff, T. Strowitzki, and C. J. Thaler. 2003. “Seminal Plasma Induces MRNA Expression of IL-1β, IL-6 and LIF in Endometrial Epithelial Cells in Vitro”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 9 (12): 785–91. doi:10.1093/molehr/gag095.

Hackmon, R., L. Pinnaduwage, J. Zhang, S. J. Lye, D. E. Geraghty, and C. E. Dunk. 2017. “Definitive Class I Human Leukocyte Antigen Expression in Gestational Placentation: HLA-F, HLA-E, HLA-C, and HLA-G in Extravillous Trophoblast Invasion on Placentation, Pregnancy, and Parturition”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 77 (6): 1–11. doi:10.1111/aji.12643.

Hadi, H. A., C. A. Hodson, and D. Strickland. 1994. “Premature Rupture of the Membranes between 20 and 25 Weeks’ Gestation: Role of Amniotic Fluid Volume in Perinatal Outcome”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 170 (4). Elsevier: 1139–44.

Haggarty P. 2002. “Placental Regulation of Fatty Acid Delivery and Its Effect on Fetal Growth--a Review”. *Placenta.* 23 (Suppl A): S28-38.

Haider, S., and M. Knöfler. 2009. “Human Tumour Necrosis Factor: Physiological and Pathological Roles in Placenta and Endometrium”. *Placenta* 30 (2): 111–23. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2008.10.012.

Haimovici, F., J. A. Hill, and D. J. Anderson. 1991. “The Effects of Immunological Cytokines on Mouse Blastocyst Implantation in Vitro”. *Biology of Reproduction* 44: 69–75.

Halfon, M. S. 2017. “Perspectives on Gene Regulatory Network Evolution”. *Trends in Genetics*. Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.tig.2017.04.005.

Haluska, G. J., F. Z. Stanczyk, M. J. Cook, and M. J. Novy. 1987. “Temporal Changes in Uterine Activity and Prostaglandin Response to RU486 in Rhesus Macaques in Late Gestation”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 157 (6). Elsevier: 1487–95.

Hamilton, R. M. G. 1986. “The Microstructure of the Hen’ s Egg Shell -A Short Review”. *Food Structure* 5 (1): 99–110.

Han, H. I., S. H. Lee, E. J. Song, S. Lee, H. T. Cheong, B. K. Yang, and C. K. Park. 2016. “Effect of Uterine Histotroph on Embryo Development in Pigs*”. *Journal of Embryo Transfer* 31 (3): 199–205. doi:10.12750/jet.2016.31.3.199.

Hanna, J., D. Goldman-Wohl, Y. Hamani, I. Avraham, C. Greenfield, S. Natanson-Yaron, D. Prus, et al. 2006. “Decidual NK Cells Regulate Key Developmental Processes at the Human Fetal-Maternal Interface”. *Nature Medicine* 12 (9): 1065–74. doi:10.1038/nm1452.

Hansen, V. L., L. S. Faber, A. A. Salehpoor, and R. D. Miller. 2017. “A Pronounced Uterine Pro-Inflammatory Response at Parturition Is an Ancient Feature in Mammals”. *Proceedings of the Royal Society* 284 (1865). doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.1694.

Hansen, V. L., F. D. Schilkey, and R. D. Miller. 2016. “Transcriptomic Changes Associated with Pregnancy in a Marsupial, the Gray Short-Tailed Opossum Monodelphis Domestica”. *PLoS ONE* 11 (9): 1–25. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0161608.

Hardison, R. 1998. “Hemoglobins from Bacteria to Man: Evolution of Different Patterns of Gene Expression” *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 1117: 1099–1117.

Harrington, S., and T. W. Reeder. 2017. “Rate Heterogeneity across Squamata, Misleading Ancestral State Reconstruction and the Importance of Proper Null Model Specification”. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 30 (2): 313–25. doi:10.1111/jeb.13004.

Hedley, M. L., B. L. Drake, J. R. Head, P. W. Tucker, and J. Forman. 1989. “Differential Expression of the Class I MHC Genes in the Embryo and Placenta during Midgestational Development in the Mouse”. *The Journal of Immunology* 142 (11). Am Assoc Immnol: 4046–53.

Hempstock, J., T. Cindrova-Davies, E. Jauniaux, and G. J. Burton. 2004. “Endometrial Glands as a Source of Nutrients, Growth Factors and Cytokines during the First Trimester of Human Pregnancy: A Morphological and Immunohistochemical Study”. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* 2: 1–14. doi:10.1186/1477-7827-2-58.

Hendrawan, K., C. M. Whittington, M. C. Brandley, K. Belov, and M. B. Thompson. 2017. “The Regulation of Uterine Proinflammatory Gene Expression during Pregnancy in the Live-Bearing Lizard, Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 328 (4): 334–46. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22733.

Herbert, J., M. B. Thompson, and L. A. Lindsay. 2006. “Calcium Transport across the Uterine Epithelium of Pregnant Lizards”. *Herpetological Monographs* 20 (1): 1–63. doi:10.1655/0733-1347(2007)20.

Hernández-Díaz, N., R. Torres, and M. Patricia Ramírez-Pinilla. 2017. “Proteomic Profile of Mabuya Sp. (Squamata: Scincidae) Ovary and Placenta During Gestation”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 328 (4): 371–89. doi:10.1002/jez.b.22739.

Hernández-Hernández, A., A. B. Rodríguez-Navarro, J. Gómez-Morales, C. Jiménez-López, Y. Nys and J. Manuel García-Ruiz. 2008. “Influence of Model Globular Proteins with Different Isoelectric Points on the Precipitation of Calcium Carbonate”. *Crystal Growth & Design* 8: 1495-1502.

Hernández-Hernández, A., J. Gómez-Morales, A. B. Rodríguez-Navarro, J. Gautron, Y. Nys, and J. M. García-Ruiz. 2008. “Identification of Some Active Proteins in the Process of Hen Eggshell Formation”. *Crystal Growth and Design* 8 (12): 4330–39. doi:10.1021/cg800786s.

Hernández-Hernández, A., M. L. Vidal, J. Gómez-Morales, A. B. Rodríguez-Navarro, V. Labas, J. Gautron, Y. Nys, and J. M. García Ruiz. 2008. "Influence of Eggshell Matrix Proteins on the Precipitation of Calcium Carbonate (CaCO3)". *Journal of Crystal Growth* 310 (7–9): 1754–59. doi:10.1016/j.jcrysgro.2007.11.170.

Hertelendy, F., M. Yeh, and H. v. Biellier. 1974. "Induction of Oviposition in the Domestic Hen by Prostaglandins". *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 22 (4): 529–31. doi:10.1016/0016-6480(74)90030-6.

Heulin, B.. 1990. "Étude Comparative de La Membrane Coquillère Chez Les Souches Ovipare et Vivipare Du Lézard Lacerta Vivipara". *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. doi:10.1139/z90-147.

Heulin, B., S. Ghielmi, N. Vogrin, Y. Surget-Groba, and C. P. Guillaume. 2002. "Variation in Eggshell Characteristics and in Intrauterine Egg Retention between Two Oviparous Clades of the Lizard Lacerta Vivipara: Insight into the Oviparity-Viviparity Continuum in Squamates". *Journal of Morphology* 252 (3): 255–62. doi:10.1002/jmor.1103.

Heulin, B., J. R. Stewart, Y. Surget-Groba, P. Bellaud, and F. Jouan. 2005. "Development of the Uterine Shell Glands During the Preovulatory and Early Gestation Periods in Oviparous and Viviparous Lacerta vivipara" *Journal of Morphology* 266 (1): 80–93. doi:10.1002/jmor.10368.

Higashi, T., S. Tokuda, S. I. Kitajiri, S. Masuda, H. Nakamura, Y. Oda, and M. Furuse. 2013. "Analysis of the 'angulin' Proteins LSR, ILDR1 and ILDR2 - Tricellulin Recruitment, Epithelial Barrier Function and Implication in Deafness Pathogenesis". *Journal of Cell Science* 126 (16): 3797. doi:10.1242/jcs.138271.

Hill, J. A. 1992. "Cytokines Considered Critical in Pregnancy". *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 28 (3-4). Wiley Online Library: 123–26.

Hincke, M. T., J. Gautron, C. P. W. Tsang, M. D. McKee, and Y. Nys. 1999. "Molecular Cloning and Ultrastructural Localization of the Core Protein of an Eggshell Matrix Proteoglycan, Ovocleidin-116". *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 274 (46): 32915–23. doi:10.1074/jbc.274.46.32915.

Hincke, M. T., Y. Nys, J. Gautron, A. B. Rodriguez-Navarro, K. Mann, and M. D. McKee. 2012. "The Eggshell: Structure, Composition and Mineralization". *Frontiers in Bioscience* 17 (4): 1266–80. doi:10.2741/3985.

Hincke, M. T., O. Wellman-Labadie, M. D. McKee, J. Gautron, Y. Nys, and K. Mann. 2008. "Biosynthesis and Structural Assembly of Eggshell Components". In *Egg Bioscience and Biotechnology*, edited by Y Mine, 97–128. Hoboken, New jersey: John Willey & Sons, Inc.

Hodges, W. L. 2004. "Evolution of Viviparity in Horned Lizards (Phrynosoma): Testing the Cold-Climate Hypothesis". *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 17 (6): 1230–37. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00770.x.

Hoenderop, J. G. J., B. Nilius, and R. J. M. Bindels. 2005. "Calcium Absorption across Epithelia". *Physiological Reviews* 85 (1): 373–422. doi:10.1152/physrev.00003.2004.

Hoffman, L. H. 1970. “Placentation in the Garter Snake, Thamnophis Sirtalis”. *Journal of Morphology*.

Horreo, J. L., A. Jiménez-Valverde, and P. S. Fitze. 2021. “Climatic Niche Differences among Zootoca Vivipara Clades with Different Parity Modes: Implications for the Evolution and Maintenance of Viviparity”. *Frontiers in Zoology* 18 (1). BioMed Central Ltd. doi:10.1186/s12983-021-00403-2.

Hu, X. L., Y. Yang, and J. S. Hunt. 1992. “Differential Distribution of Interleukin-1α and Interleukin-1β Proteins in Human Placentas”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 22 (3). Elsevier: 257–68.

Hughes, R. L. 1984. “Structural Adaptations of the Eggs and the Fetal Membranes of Monotremes and Marsupials for Respiration and Metabolic Exchange”. *Respiration and Metabolism of Embryonic Vertebrates*, 389–421. Springer.

Hunt, J. S., J. L. Pace, P. J. Morales, and C. Ober. 2003. “Immunogenicity of the Soluble Isoforms of HLA-G”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 9 (11): 729–35. doi:10.1093/molehr/gag087.

Hunt, J. S., M. G. Petroff, R. H. McIntire, and C. Ober. 2005. “HLA-G and Immune Tolerance in Pregnancy”. *The Federation of American Societies of Experimental Biology Journal* 19 (7): 681–93. doi:10.1096/fj.04-2078rev.

Husslein, P. 1984. “The Importance of Oxytocin and Prostaglandins to the Mechanism of Labor in Humans”. *Wiener Klinische Wochenschrift* 155: 1–32.

Hviid, T. V. F., S. Hylenius, A. Lindhard, and O. B. Christiansen. 2004. “Association between Human Leukocyte Antigen-G Genotype and Success of in Vitro Fertilization and Pregnancy Outcome”. *Tissue Antigens* 64 (1). Wiley Online Library: 66–69.

Iida, A., Hiroyuki N. A., Y. Someya, M. Inokuchi, T. A. Onuma, H. Yokoi, T. Suzuki, E. Hondo, and K. Sano. 2019. “Mother-to-Embryo Vitellogenin Transport in a Viviparous Teleost Xenotoca Eiseni”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116 (44). National Academy of Sciences: 22359–65. doi:10.1073/pnas.1913012116.

Ikenouchi, J., M. Furuse, K. Furuse, H. Sasaki, Sa. Tsukita, and Sh. Tsukita. 2005. “Tricellulin Constitutes a Novel Barrier at Tricellular Contacts of Epithelial Cells”. *Journal of Cell Biology* 171 (6): 939–45. doi:10.1083/jcb.200510043.

Ilicic, M., T. Butler, T. Zakar, and J. W. Paul. 2017. “The Expression of Genes Involved in Myometrial Contractility Changes during Ex Situ Culture of Pregnant Human Uterine Smooth Muscle Tissue”. *Journal of Smooth Muscle Research* 53 (1): 73–89. doi:10.1540/jsmr.53.73.

Ingram, G. A., and D. H. Molyneux. 1983. “The Humoral Immune Response of the Spiny-Tailed Agamid Lizard (Agama caudospinosum) to Injection with Leishmania Agamae Promastigotes”. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 4 (4). Elsevier: 479–91.

Ishitani, A., N. Sageshima, N. Lee, N. Dorofeeva, K. Hatake, H. Marquardt, and D. E. Geraghty. 2003. “Protein Expression and Peptide Binding Suggest Unique and Interacting Functional Roles

for HLA-E, F, and G in Maternal-Placental Immune Recognition". *The Journal of Immunology* 171 (3): 1376–84. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.171.3.1376.

Jenkins, N. K., and K. Simkiss. 1968. "The Calcium and Phosphate Metabolism of Reproducing Reptiles with Particular Reference to the Adder (Vipera Berus)". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology* 26 (3). doi:10.1016/0010-406x(68)90006-6.

Jeong, J., A. U. Rao, J. Xu, S. L. Ogg, Y. Hathout, C. Fenselau, and I. H. Mather. 2009. "The PRY/SPRY/B30.2 Domain of Butyrophilin 1A1 (BTN1A1) Binds to Xanthine Oxidoreductase". *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284 (33): 22444–56. doi:10.1074/jbc.m109.020446.

Jerez, A., and M. P. Ramírez-Pinilla. 2001. "The Allantoplacenta of Mabuya mabouya (Sauria, Scincidae)". *Journal of Morphology* 249 (2): 132–46. doi:10.1002/jmor.1045.

Ji, X., and W. G. Du. 2001. "The Effects of Thermal and Hydric Environments on Hatching Success, Embryonic Use of Energy and Hatchling Traits in a Colubrid Snake, Elaphe Carinata". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 129 (2–3): 461–71. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(01)00271-9.

Ji, X., C. X. Lin, L. H. Lin, Q. B. Qiu, and Y. Du. 2007. "Evolution of Viviparity in Warm-Climate Lizards: An Experimental Test of the Maternal Manipulation Hypothesis". *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 20 (3): 1037–45. doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2006.01296.x.

Jin, Suk Won, Dimitris Beis, Tracy Mitchell, Jau Nian Chen, and Didier Y.R. Stainier. 2005. "Cellular and Molecular Analyses of Vascular Tube and Lumen Formation in Zebrafish". *Development* 132 (23): 5199–5209. doi:10.1242/dev.02087.

Johnston, H., I. Koukoulas, K. Jeyaseelan, A. Armugam, L. Earnest, R. Baird, N. Dawson, T. Ferraro, and E. M. Wintour. 2000. "Ontogeny of Aquaporins 1 and 3 in Ovine Placenta and Fetal Membranes". *Placenta* 21 (1): 88–99. doi:10.1053/plac.1999.0445.

Jonchere, V., S. Rehault-Godbert, C. Hennequet-Antier, C. Cabau, V. Sibut, L. A. Cogburn, Y. Nys, and J. Gautron. 2010. "Gene Expression Profiling to Identify Eggshell Proteins Involved in Physical Defense of the Chicken Egg". *BMC Genomics* 11: 57. doi:1471-2164-11-57 [pii]\r10.1186/1471-2164-11-57.

Jonchère, V., A. Brionne, J. Gautron, and Y. Nys. 2012. "Identification of Uterine Ion Transporters for Mineralization Precursors of the Avian Eggshell". *BMC Physiology* 12 (10): https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6793-12-10

Jones, R. E., and L. J. Guillette. 1982. "Hormonal Control of Oviposition and Parturition in Lizards". *Herpteologica* 38 (1): 80–93.

Jones, R. E., K. H. Lopez, C. H. Summers, and H. B. Austin. 1987. "Seasonal Changes in the Effects of Arginine Vasotocin and Stretch on Anolis Uterine Contractions in Vitro". *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 242 (2). Wiley Online Library: 233–39.

Jonsson, A. M., M. Uzunel, C. Götherström, N. Papadogiannakis, and M. Westgren. 2008. “Maternal Microchimerism in Human Fetal Tissues”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 198 (3): 325.e1-325.e6. doi:10.1016/j.ajog.2007.09.047.

Joosten, I., M. F. Sanders, and E. J. Hensen. 1991. “Involvement of Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I Compatibility between Dam and Calf in the Aetiology of Bovine Retained Placenta”. *Animal Genetics* 22 (6): 455–63. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2052.1991.tb00717.x.

Jurd, R. D. 1994. “Reptiles and Birds”. *Immunology: A Comparative Approach*. John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 137–72.

Kämmerer, U., L. Rieger, A. Honig, and E. Kämpgen. 2006. “Characterization of Human Dendritic Cells at the Materno-Fetal Interface”. In *Immunology of Pregnancy*, 122–29. Springer.

Kampmann, U., S. Knorr, J. Fuglsang, and P. Ovesen. 2019. “Determinants of Maternal Insulin Resistance during Pregnancy: An Updated Overview”. *Journal of Diabetes Research*. Hindawi Limited. doi:10.1155/2019/5320156.

Kao, C. Y., and J. R. McCullough. 1975. “Ionic Currents in the Uterine Smooth Muscle”. *Journal of Physiology* 246: 1–36.

Karteris, E., D. Grammatopoulos, Y. Dai, K. B. Olah, T. B. Ghobara, A. Easton, and E. W. Hillhouse. 1998. “The Human Placenta and Fetal Membranes Express the Corticotropin- Releasing Hormone Receptor 1α (CRH-1α) and the CRH-C Variant Receptor”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 83 (4): 1376–79. doi:10.1210/jc.83.4.1376.

Kawagoe, T., S. Sato, K. Matsushita, H. Kato, K. Matsui, Y. Kumagai, T. Saitoh, T. Kawai, O. Takeuchi, and S. Akira. 2008. “Sequential Control of Toll-like Receptor–Dependent Responses by IRAK1 and IRAK2”. *Nature Immunology* 9 (6). Nature Publishing Group: 684.

Kawasaki, K., and K. M. Weiss. 2006. “Evolutionary Genetics of Vertebrate Tissue Mineralization: The Origin and Evolution of the Secretory Calcium-Binding Phosphoprotein Family”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 306B: 295–316. doi:10.1002/jez.b.

Kayisli, U. A., B. Selam, O. Guzeloglu-Kayisli, R. Demir, and A. Arici. 2003. “Human Chorionic Gonadotropin Contributes to Maternal Immunotolerance and Endometrial Apoptosis by Regulating Fas-Fas Ligand System”. *The Journal of Immunology* 171 (5): 2305–13. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.171.5.2305.

Kelly, R. W. 1995. “Contraception: Immunosuppressive Mechanisms in Semen: Implications for Contraception”. *Human Reproduction* 10 (7): 1686–93. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a136156.

Khosrotehrani, K., K. L. Johnson, S. Gu, H. Stroh, and D. W. Bianchi. 2005. “Natural History of Fetal Cell Microchimerism during and Following Murine Pregnancy”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 66: 1–12. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2005.02.001.

Kieffer, T. E. C., A. Laskewitz, S. A. Scherjon, M. M. Faas, and J. R. Prins. 2019. “Memory T Cells in Pregnancy”. *Frontiers in Immunology* 10 (APR). doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00625.

Kim, J. Y., R. C. Burghardt, G. Wu, G. A. Johnson, T. E. Spencer, and F. W. Bazer. 2011. “Select Nutrients in the Ovine Uterine Lumen. VII. Effects of Arginine, Leucine, Glutamine, and Glucose on Trophectoderm Cell Signaling, Proliferation, and Migration”. *Biology of Reproduction* 84 (1): 62–69. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.085738.

Kim, J., D. W. Erikson, R. C. Burghardt, T. E. Spencer, G. Wu, K. J. Bayless, G. A. Johnson, and F. W. Bazer. 2010. “Secreted Phosphoprotein 1 Binds Integrins to Initiate Multiple Cell Signaling Pathways, Including FRAP1/MTOR, to Support Attachment and Force-Generated Migration of Trophectoderm Cells”. *Matrix Biology* 29 (5). International Society of Matrix Biology: 369–82. doi:10.1016/j.matbio.2010.04.001.

Kim, J., G. Song, H. Gao, J. L. Farmer, M. C. Satterfield, R. C. Burghardt, G. Wu, G. A. Johnson, T. E. Spencer, and F. W. Bazer. 2008. “Insulin-like Growth Factor II Activates Phosphatidylinositol 3-Kinase-Protooncogenic Protein Kinase 1 and Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase Cell Signaling Pathways and Stimulates Migration of Ovine Trophectoderm Cells”. *Endocrinology* 149 (6): 3085–94. doi:10.1210/en.2007-1367.

King, A., T. D. Burrows, S. E. Hiby, J. M. Bowen, S. Joseph, S. Verma, P. B. Lim, et al. 2000. “Surface Expression of HLA-C Antigen by Human Extravillous Trophoblast”. *Placenta* 21 (4): 376–87.

King, A., D. S. J. Allan, M. Bowen, S. J. Powis, S. Joseph, S. Verma, S. E. Hiby, A. J. Mcmichael, Y. Wai. Loke, and M. Braud. 2000. “HLA-E Is Expressed on Trophoblast and Interacts with CD94 / NKG2 Receptors on Decidual NK Cells”. *European Journal of Immunology* 30 (6): 1623–31.

King, A., C. Birkby, and Y. W. Loke. 1989. “Early Human Decidual Cells Exhibit NK Activity against the K562 Cell Line but Not against First Trimester Trophoblast”. *Cellular Immunology* 118 (2). Elsevier: 337–44.

King, B. F., and J. M. Wilson. 1983. “A Fine Structural and Cytochemical Study of the Rhesus Monkey Yolk Sac: Endoderm and Mesothelium”. *The Anatomical Record* 205 (2). Wiley Online Library: 143–58.

King, N. J. C., B. L. Drake, L. E. Maxwell, and J. C. Rodger. 1987. “Class I Major Histocompatibility Complex Antigen Expression on Early Murine Trophoblast and Its Induction by Lymphokines in Vitro. II. The Role of Gamma Interferon in the Responses of Primary and Secondary Giant Cells”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 12 (1). Elsevier: 13–21.

Klein, C., and M. H. T. Troedsson. 2011. “Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy in the Horse: A Mystery Still to Be Solved”. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 23 (8): 952–63. doi:10.1071/RD10294.

Klein, C. 2016. “Journal of Equine Veterinary Science Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy in the Context of Equine Embryo Transfer”. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science* 41. Elsevier Ltd: 22–28. doi:10.1016/j.jevs.2016.04.001.

Kodali, V. K., S. A. Gannon, S. Paramasivam, S. Raje, T. Polenova, and C. Thorpe. 2011. “A Novel Disulfide-Rich Protein Motif from Avian Eggshell Membranes”. *PLoS ONE* 6 (3). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0018187.

Koga, K., and G. Mor. 2008. “Expression and Function of Toll-like Receptors at the Maternal—Fetal Interface”. *Reproductive Sciences* 15 (3). Springer: 231–42.

Koo, T. H., H. Yang, B. S. An, K. C. Choi, S. H. Hyun, and E. B. Jeung. 2012. “Calcium Transport Genes Are Differently Regulated in Maternal and Fetal Placenta in the Knockout Mice of Calbindin-D 9k and -D 28k”. *Molecular Reproduction and Development* 79 (5): 346–55. doi:10.1002/mrd.22033.

Kovacs, C. S. 2015. “Early Human Development Calcium, Phosphorus, and Bone Metabolism in the Fetus and Newborn”. *Early Human Development* 91 (11). Elsevier Ireland Ltd: 623–28. doi:10.1016/j.earlhumdev.2015.08.007.

Kovacs, C. S., B. Lanske, J. L. Hunzelman, J. Guo, A. C. Karaplis, and H. M. Kronenberg. 1996. “Parathyroid Hormone-Related Peptide (PTHrP) Regulates Fetal-Placental Calcium Transport through a Receptor Distinct from the PTH/PTHrP Receptor”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 93 (26): 15233–38. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.26.15233.

Kovats, S., E. K. Main, C. Librach, M. Stubblebine, J. Susan, R. Demars, J. Wang, et al. 1990. “A Class I Antigen , HLA-G , Expressed in Human Trophoblasts” *American Association for the Advancement of Science* 248 (4952): 220–23.

Kuchling, G., and M. D. Hofmeyr. 2022. “Too Hot to Nest? In a Hot Summer the Tortoise *Chersina angulata* Can Switch from Nesting to Facultative Viviparity”. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 9 (January). doi:10.3389/fevo.2021.788764.

Lafond, J., and L. Simoneau. 2006. “Calcium Homeostasis in Human Placenta: Role of Calcium-Handling Proteins”. *International Review of Cytology* 250 (06): 109–74. doi:10.1016/S0074-7696(06)50004-X.

Lafontaine, L., P. Chaudhry, M. J. Lafleur, C. van Themsche, M. J. Soares, and E. Asselin. 2011. “Transforming Growth Factor Beta Regulates Proliferation and Invasion of Rat Placental Cell Lines”. *Biology of Reproduction* 84 (3): 553–59. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.086348.

Laird, M. K., M. B. Thompson, and C. M. Whittington. 2019. “Facultative Oviparity in a Viviparous Skink (*Saiphos equalis*)”. *Biology Letters* 15 (4). doi:10.1098/rsbl.2018.0827.

Lakshminarayanan, R., E. O. Chi-Jin, X. J. Loh, R. M. Kini, and S. Valiyaveettil. 2005. “Purification and Characterization of a Vaterite-Inducing Peptide, Pelovaterin, from the Eggshells of *Pelodiscus sinensis* (Chinese Soft-Shelled Turtle)”. *Biomacromolecules* 6 (3): 1429–37. doi:10.1021/bm049276f.

Lappas, M., and G. E. Rice. 2007. “The Role and Regulation of the Nuclear Factor Kappa B Signalling Pathway in Human Labour”. *Placenta* 28 (5–6). Elsevier: 543–56.

Lappas, M., M. Permezel, H. M. Georgiou, and G. E. Rice. 2002. “Nuclear Factor Kappa B Regulation of Proinflammatory Cytokines in Human Gestational Tissues in Vitro”. *Biology of Reproduction* 67 (2): 668–73. doi:10.1095/biolreprod67.2.668.

Larsson, A., D. Carlander, and M. Wilhelmsson. 1998. “Antibody Response in Laying Hens with Small Amounts of Antigen”. *Food and Agricultural Immunology* 10 (1): 29–36. doi:10.1080/09540109809354966.

Le Bouteiller, P., and Marie-Pierre Piccinni. 2008. “Human NK Cells in Pregnant Uterus: Why There?” *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 59 (5). Wiley Online Library: 401–6.

Le Roy, N., L. Stapane, J. Gautron, and M. T. Hincke. 2021. “Evolution of the Avian Eggshell Biomineralization Protein Toolkit – New Insights from Multi-Omics”. *Frontiers in Genetics*. Frontiers Media S.A. doi:10.3389/fgene.2021.672433.

Leadon, D. P., P. D. Rossdale, L. B. Jeffcott, and W. R. Allen. 1982. “A Comparison of Agents for Inducing Parturition in Mares in the Pre-Viable and Premature Periods of Gestation”. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 32: 597–602.

Lee, M. S. Y., and P. Doughty. 1997. “The Relationship between Evolutionary Theory and Phylogenetic Analysis”. *Biological Reviews* 72 (4): 471–95. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.1997.tb00021.x.

Lee, M. S. Y., and R. Shine. 1998. “Reptilian Viviparity and Dollo’s Law”. *Evolution* 52 (5): 1441–50. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.1998.tb02025.x.

Lee, S. Y., J. W. Anderson, G. L. Scott, and H. W. Mossman. 1983. “Ultrastructure of the Placenta and Fetal Membranes of the Dog: II. The Yolk Sac”. *American Journal of Anatomy* 166 (3): 313–27. doi:10.1002/aja.1001660306.

Lefebvre, D. L., M. Piersanti, X. H. Bai, Z. Q. Chen, and S. J. Lye. 1995. “Myometrial Transcriptional Regulation of the Gap Junction Gene, Connexin-43”. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 7 (3). CSIRO: 603–11.

Lefebvre, S., S. Berrih-Aknin, F. Adrian, P. Moreau, S. Poea, L. Gourand, J. Dausset, E. D. Carosella, and P. Paul. 2001. “A Specific Interferon (IFN)-Stimulated Response Element of the Distal HLA-G Promoter Binds IFN-Regulatory Factor 1 and Mediates Enhancement of This Nonclassical Class I Gene by IFN-β”. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 276 (9). ASBMB: 6133–39.

Legendre, L. J., S. Choi, J. A. Clarke. 2022. “The Diverse Terminology of Reptile Eggshell Microstructure and its Effect on Phylogenetic Comparative Analyses”. *Journal of Anatomy.* 241 (3): 641-666. doi: 10.1111/joa.13723

Lelong, C., M. Mathieu, and P. Favrel. 2000. “Structure and Expression of MGDF, a New Member of the Transforming Growth Factor- b Superfamily in the Bivalve Mollusc *Crassostrea gigas*”. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 267 (13): 3986–93. doi: 10.1046/j.1432-1327.2000.01432.x

Li, H., Y. F. Qu, R. B. Hu, and X. Ji. 2009. “Evolution of Viviparity in Cold-Climate Lizards: Testing the Maternal Manipulation Hypothesis”. *Evolutionary Ecology* 23 (5): 777–90. doi:10.1007/s10682-008-9272-2.

Li, L. L. 1999. “Regulation of Maternal Behavior and Offspring Growth by Paternally Expressed Peg3”. *Science* 284 (5412): 330–33. doi:10.1126/science.284.5412.330.

Li, W., and J. R. G. Challis. 2005. “Corticotropin-Releasing Hormone and Urocortin Induce Secretion of Matrix Metalloproteinase-9 (MMP-9) without Change in Tissue Inhibitors of MMP-1 by Cultured Cells from Human Placenta and Fetal Membranes”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 90 (12): 6569–74. doi:10.1210/jc.2005-1445.

Li, X. H., A. H. Kishore, D. Dao, W. Zheng, C. A. Roman, and R. A. Word. 2010. “A Novel Isoform of Microphthalmia-Associated Transcription Factor Inhibits IL-8 Gene Expression in Human Cervical Stromal Cells”. *Molecular Endocrinology* 24 (8): 1512–28. doi:10.1210/me.2009-0320.

Liabakk, N. B., E. Lien, A. Sundan, A. Sunde, R. Austgulen, and T. Espevik. 1993. “Immunology: High Concentrations of the Soluble P55 Tumour Necrosis Factor Receptor in Human Seminal Plasma”. *Human Reproduction* 8 (11): 1837–42. doi:10.1093/oxfordjournals.humrep.a137944.

Lillegraven, J. A. 1969. “Latest Cretaceous Mammals of Upper Part of Edmonton Formation of Alberta, Canada, and Review of Marsupial-Placental Dichotomy in Mammalian Evolution”. The Paleontological Institute, The University of Kansas.

Lin, S. C., Y. C. Lo, and H. Wu. 2010. “Helical Assembly in the MyD88-IRAK4-IRAK2 Complex in TLR/IL-1R Signalling”. *Nature* 465 (7300): 885–90. doi:10.1038/nature09121.

Lin, Y. P., and P. C. Singer. 2005. “Inhibition of Calcite Crystal Growth by Polyphosphates”. *Water Research* 39 (19): 4835–43. doi:10.1016/j.watres.2005.10.003.

Lindau, R., J. Svensson-Arvelund, R. B. Mehta, D. Eklund, G. E. Lash, M C Jenmalm, and J Ernerudh. 2015. “IL-34 at the Human Fetal–Maternal Interface”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology*, no. 111: 11–12.

Lindegaard, M. L.S., G. Olivecrona, C. Christoffersen, D. Kratky, J. Hannibal, B. L. Petersen, R. Zechner, P. Damm, and L. B. Nielsen. 2005. “Endothelial and Lipoprotein Lipases in Human and Mouse Placenta”. *Journal of Lipid Research* 46 (11): 2339–46. doi:10.1194/jlr.M500277-JLR200.

Lindström, T. M., and P. R. Bennett. 2005. “The Role of Nuclear Factor Kappa B in Human Labour”. *Reproduction* 130 (5): 569–81. doi:10.1530/rep.1.00197.

Linville, B. J., J. R. Stewart, T. W. Ecay, J. F. Herbert, S. L. Parker, and M. B. Thompson. 2010. “Placental Calcium Provision in a Lizard with Prolonged Oviductal Egg Retention”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 180 (2): 221–27. doi:10.1007/s00360-009-0400-2.

Liu, S., L. Diao, C. Huang, Y. Li, Y. Zeng, and J. Y.H. Kwak-Kim. 2017. “The Role of Decidual Immune Cells on Human Pregnancy”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 124: 44–53. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2017.10.045.

Lockwood, C. J. 2004. “The Initiation of Parturition at Term”. *Obstetrics and Gynecology Clinics* 31 (4): 935–47.

Luu, K. C., G. Y. Nie, A. Hampton, G. Q. Fu, Y. X. Liu, and L. A. Salamonsen. 2004. “Endometrial Expression of Calbindin (CaBP)-D28k but Not CaBP-D9k in Primates Implies Evolutionary Changes and Functional Redundancy of Calbindins at Implantation”. *Reproduction* 128 (4): 433–41. doi:10.1530/rep.1.00226.

Lynch, V. J., and G. P. Wagner. 2010. “Did Egg-Laying Boas Break Dollo’s Law? Phylogenetic Evidence for Reversal to Oviparity in Sand Boas (*Eryx*: Boidae)”. *Evolution* 64 (1): 207–16. doi:10.1111/j.1558-5646.2009.00790.x.

Mead, R., V. P. Eroschenko, D. R. Highfill. 1981. “Effects of progesterone and estrogen on the histology of the oviduct of the garter snake, *Thamnophis elegans”. Endocrinology* 45 (3): 345-354.

Madeja, Z., H. Yadi, R. Apps, S. Boulenouar, S. J. Roper, L. Gardner, A. Moffett, F. Colucci, and M. Hemberger. 2011. “Paternal MHC Expression on Mouse Trophoblast Affects Uterine Vascularization and Fetal Growth”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108 (10): 4012–17. doi:10.1073/pnas.1005342108.

Makrigiannakis, A., M. Karamouti, P. Drakakis, D. Loutradis, and A. Antsaklis. 2008. “Fetomaternal Immunotolerance”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 60 (6): 482–96. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2008.00655.x.

Manaster, I., and O. Mandelboim. 2010. “The Unique Properties of Uterine NK Cells”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 63 (6): 434–44. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2009.00794.x.

Mann, K., B. Maček, and J. V. Olsen. 2006. “Proteomic Analysis of the Acid-Soluble Organic Matrix of the Chicken Calcified Eggshell Layer”. *Proteomics* 6 (13): 3801–10. doi:10.1002/pmic.200600120.

Marchalonis, J. J., E. H. M. Ealey, and E. Diener. 1969. “Immune Response of the Tuatara, *Sphenodon punctatum*”. *Australian Journal of Experimental Biology and Medical Science* 47 (3). Wiley Online Library: 367–80.

Marillat, R. I. E., O. Cases, K. T. Nguyen-Ba-Charvet, M. Tessier-Lavigne, C. Sotelo, and A. Che. 2002. “Spatiotemporal Expression Patterns of Slit and Robo Genes in the Rat Brain” *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 442 (2): 130–55. doi:10.1002/cne.10068.

Marshall, L. G. 1979. “Evolution of Metatherian and Eutherian (Mammalian) Characters: A Review Based on Cladistic Methodology”. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 66 (4). Oxford University Press: 369–410.

Marvin, K.W. 2002. “Use of CDNA Arrays to Generate Differential Expression Profiles for Inflammatory Genes in Human Gestational Membranes Delivered at Term and Preterm”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 8 (4): 399–408. doi:10.1093/molehr/8.4.399.

Masuhiro, K., E. Nishino, N. Matsuzaki, T. Kameda, T. Tanigushi, T. Takagi, F. Saji, and O. Tanizawa. 1990. “Trophoblast-Derived Interleukin-6 (IL-6) Regulates Human Chorionic Gonadotropin Release through IL-6 Receptor on Human Trophoblasts”. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 71 (2). Oxford University Press: 436–41.

Mathies, T., and R. M. Andrews. 1999. “Determinants of Embryonic Stage at Oviposition in the Lizard *Urosaurus ornatus*”. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 72 (6): 645–55. doi:10.1086/316707.

Mathies, T., and R. M Andrews. 2000. “Does Reduction of the Eggshell Occur Concurrently with or Subsequent to the Evolution of Viviparity in Phrynosomatid Lizards?” *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 71 (719).

Matschke, K., L. D. Silva-Azevedo, R. Hlushchuk, V. Djonov, and O. Baum. 2006. “Annexins as Cell-Type-Specific Markers in the Developing Chicken Chorionallantoic Membrane”. *Cell and Tissue Research* 323 (3): 395–404. doi:10.1007/s00441-005-0112-1.

Matzinger, P. 2007. “Friendly and Dangerous Signals: Is the Tissue in Control?” *Nature Immunology* 8 (1): 11–13. doi:10.1038/ni0107-11.

M’barek, M. B., A. Borgi, S. B. Hmida, and M. Rukoz. 2019. “GA-PPI-Net: A Genetic Algorithm for Community Detection in Protein-Protein Interaction Networks”. In *International Conference on Software Technologies*, 133–55. Springer.

McEvoy, A., and M. Tetrokalashvili. 2018. “Physiology, Pregnancy Contractions”. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2018 Jan—.

McLean, M., and R. Smith. 2001. “Corticotrophin-Releasing Hormone and Human Parturition”. *Reproduction* 121 (4): 493–501.

Medawar, P. B. 1991. “The Nobel Lectures in Immunology: The Nobel Prize for Physiology or Medicine, 1960”. *Scandinavian Journal of Immunology* 33 (4): 337–44.

Medawar, P. B. 1953. “Some Immunological and Endocrinological Problems Raised by the Evolution of Viviparity in Vertebrates”. In *Symposium for the Society of Experimental Biology* 7:320–37.

Medeiros, D. M., and J. G. Crump. 2012. “New Perspectives on Pharyngeal Dorsoventral Patterning in Development and Evolution of the Vertebrate Jaw”. *Developmental Biology*. Academic Press Inc. doi:10.1016/j.ydbio.2012.08.026.

Mendelson, C. R. 2009. “Minireview: Fetal-Maternal Hormonal Signaling in Pregnancy and Labor”. *Molecular Endocrinology* 23 (7): 947–54. doi:10.1210/me.2009-0016.

Mendelson, C. R., and J. C. Condon. 2005. “New Insights into the Molecular Endocrinology of Parturition”. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 93:113–19. Elsevier Ltd. doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2004.12.027.

Mercado-Simmen, R. C., B. Goodwin, M. S. Ueno, S. Y. Yamamoto, and G. D. Bryant-Greenwod. 1982. “Relaxin Receptors in the Myometrium of the Pig”. *Biology of Reproduction* 26: 120–28.

Mercer, L. J., L. G. Brown, R. E. Petres, and R. H. Messer. 1984. “A Survey of Pregnancies Complicated by Decreased Amniotic Fluid”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 149 (3). Elsevier: 355–61.

Mesiano, S., E. C. Chan, J. T. Fitter, K. Kwek, G. Yeo, and R. Smith. 2002. “Progesterone Withdrawal and Estrogen Activation in Human Parturition Are Coordinated by Progesterone Receptor an Expression in the Myometrium”. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 87 (6): 2924–30. doi:10.1210/jcem.87.6.8609.

Mesiano, S., Y. Wang, and E. R. Norwitz. 2011. “Progesterone Receptors in the Human Pregnancy Uterus: Do They Hold the Key to Birth Timing?” *Reproductive Sciences* 18 (1). Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA: 6–19.

Metcalfe, J., and M. K. Stock. 1993. “Oxygen Exchange in the Chorioallantoic Membrane, Avian Homologue of the Mammalian Placenta”. *Placenta* 14 (6): 605–13. doi:10.1016/S0143-4004(05)80378-9.

Miele, L., E. Cordella-Miele, and A. B. Mukherjee. 1987. “Uteroglobin: Structure, Molecular Biology, and New Perspectives on Its Function as a Phospholipase A2 Inhibitor”. *Endocrine Reviews* 8 (4). Oxford University Press: 474–90.

Miguel, M., M. A. Manso, R. López-Fandiño, and M. Ramos. 2005. “Comparative Study of Egg White Proteins from Different Species by Chromatographic and Electrophoretic Methods”. *European Food Research and Technology* 221 (3–4): 542–46. doi:10.1007/s00217-005-1182-8.

Mikhailov, K. E. 1997. “Fossil and Recent Eggshell in Amniotic Vertebrates: Fine Structure, Comparative Morphology and Classification Article”. *Special Papers in Palaeontology* 56.

Mikšík, I., A. Eckhardt, P. Sedláková, and K. Mikulikova. 2007. “Proteins of Insoluble Matrix of Avian (*Gallus gallus*) Eggshell”. *Connective Tissue Research* 48 (1): 1–8. doi:10.1080/03008200601003116.

Mikšík, I., P. Sedláková, K. Lacinová, S. Pataridis, and A. Eckhardt. 2010. “Determination of Insoluble Avian Eggshell Matrix Proteins”. *Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry* 397 (1): 205–14. doi:10.1007/s00216-009-3326-3.

Mitchell, M. D., P. C. MacDonald, and M. L. Casey. 1984. “Stimulation of Prostaglandin E2 Synthesis in Human Amnion Cells Maintained in Monolayer Culture by a Substance (s) in Amniotic Fluid”. *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Medicine* 15 (3). Elsevier: 399–407.

Mittal, S., and Y. Hasija. 2020. “Studying Network Features in Systems Biology Using Machine Learning”. In *International Conference on Information and Communication Technology for Intelligent Systems*, 661–69. Springer.

Moffett, A., and Y. W. Loke. 2004. “The Immunological Paradox of Pregnancy: A Reappraisal”. *Placenta* 25 (1): 1–8. doi:10.1016/S0143-4004(03)00167-X.

Moffett, A., F. Colucci. 2014. “Uterine NK Cells : Active Regulators at the Maternal-Fetal Interface”. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 124 (5): 1872–79. doi:10.1172/JCI68107.1872.

Moffett, A., and C. Loke. 2006. “Immunology of Placentation in Eutherian Mammals”. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 6 (8): 584–94. doi:10.1038/nri1897.

Moffett-King, A. 2002. “Natural Killer Cells and Pregnancy”. *Nature Reviews Immunology* 2 (9): 656–63. doi:10.1038/nri886.

Mold, J. E., and J. M. McCune. 2011. “At the Crossroads between Tolerance and Aggression Revisiting the ‘Layered Immune System’ Hypothesis”. *Chimerism* 2 (2): 35–41. doi:10.4161/chim.2.2.16329.

Mold, J. E., J. Michaëlsson, T. D. Burt, M. O. Muench, K. P. Beckerman, M. P. Busch, T. H. Lee, D. F. Nixon, and J. M. McCune. 2008. “Maternal Alloantigens Promote the Development of Tolerogenic Fetal Regulatory T Cells in Utero”. *Science* 322 (5907): 1562–65. doi:10.1126/science.1164511.

Mold, J. E., S. Venkatasubrahmanyam, T. D. Burt, J. Michaëlsson, J. M. Rivera, S. A. Galkina, K. Weinberg, C. A. Stoddart, and J. M. McCune. 2010. “Fetal and Adult Hematopoietic Stem Cells Give Rise to Distinct T Cell Lineages in Humans”. *Science* 330: 1695–1700.

Mor, G., I. Cardenas, V. Abrahams, and S. Guller. 2011. “Inflammation and Pregnancy: The Role of the Immune System at the Implantation Site”. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1221 (1): 80–87. doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.2010.05938.x.

Morales, P., J. Ricardo, J. Paganini, and P. Pontarotti. 2017. “Convergent Evolution of the Adaptive Immune Response in Jawed Vertebrates and Cyclostomes: An Evolutionary Biology Approach Based Study”. *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 75. Elsevier Ltd: 120–26. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2017.02.011.

Moreau, P., F. Adrian-Cabestre, C. Menier, V. Guiard, L. Gourand, J. Dausset, E. D. Carosella, and P. Paul. 1999. “IL-10 Selectively Induces HLA-G Expression in Human Trophoblasts and Monocytes”. *International Immunology* 11 (5). Oxford University Press: 803–11.

Mossman, H. W. 1991. “Classics Revisited: Comparative Morphogenesis of the Fetal Membranes and Accessory Uterine Structures”. *Placenta* 12 (1): 1-5: doi: 10.1016/0143-4004(91)90504-9.

Motani, R., D-y. Jian, A. Tintori, O. Rieppel, G-b. Chen. 2014. “Terrestrial Origin of Viviparity in Mesozoic Marine Reptiles Indicated by Early Triassic Embryonic Fossils”. *PloS ONE* 9 (2): doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0088640

Mueller, A., J. Siemer, S. Schreiner, H. Koesztner, I. Hoffmann, H. Binder, M. W. Beckmann, and R. Dittrich. 2006. “Role of Estrogen and Progesterone in the Regulation of Uterine Peristalsis: Results from Perfused Non-Pregnant Swine Uteri”. *Human Reproduction* 21 (7). Oxford University Press: 1863–68. doi:10.1093/humrep/del056.

Müller, V., R. J. de Boer, S. Bonhoeffer, and E. Szathmáry. 2018. “An Evolutionary Perspective on the Systems of Adaptive Immunity”. *Biological Reviews* 93 (1): 505–28. doi:10.1111/brv.12355.

Mundkur, R., and H. B. Devaraj Sarkar. 1982. “Localization of Some Enzymes Involved in Steroid Metabolism in the Oviduct of the Skink, *Mabuya carinata*”. *Current Science* 51 (5). JSTOR: 254–55.

Munoz-Suano, A., A. B. Hamilton, and A. G. Betz. 2011. “Gimme Shelter: The Immune System during Pregnancy”. *Immunological Reviews* 241 (1): 20–38. doi:10.1111/j.1600-065X.2011.01002.x.

Murphy, B. F., M. C. Brandley, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2012. “Morphology and Development of the Placentae in *Eulamprus quoyii* Group Skinks (Squamata: Scincidae)”. *Journal of Anatomy* 220 (5): 454–71. doi:10.1111/j.1469-7580.2012.01492.x.

Murphy, B. F., S. L. Parker, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2010. “Angiogenesis of the Uterus and Chorioallantois in the Eastern Water Skink *Eulamprus quoyii*”. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 213 (19): 3340–47. doi:10.1242/jeb.046862.

Murphy, B. F., and M. B. Thompson. 2011. “A Review of the Evolution of Viviparity in Squamate Reptiles: The Past, Present and Future Role of Molecular Biology and Genomics”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 181 (5): 575–94. doi:10.1007/s00360-011-0584-0.

Murphy, B. F., M. B Thompson, and K. Belov. 2009. “Evolution of Viviparity and the Maternal Immune System: Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC) Class I Genes in Skinks”. *Orbit: University of Sydney Undergraduate Research Journal* 1 (1). http://openjournals.library.usyd.edu.au/index.php/Orbit/article/view/188.

Muzio, M., J. Ni, P. Feng, and V. M. Dixit. 1997. “IRAK (Pelle) Family Member IRAK-2 and MyD88 as Proximal Mediators of IL- 1 Signaling”. *Science* 278 (5343): 1612–15. doi:10.1126/science.278.5343.1612.

Nakajima, S. T., F. G. Nason, G. J. Badger, and M. Gibson. 1991. “Progesterone Production in Early Pregnancy”. *Fertility and Sterility* 55 (3). Elsevier: 516–21.

Nakaya, Y., K. Koshi, S. Nakagawa, K. Hashizume, and T. Miyazawa. 2013. “Fematrin-1 Is Involved in Fetomaternal Cell-to-Cell Fusion in Bovinae Placenta and Has Contributed to Diversity of Ruminant Placentation”. *Journal of Virology* 87 (19): 10563–72. doi:10.1128/jvi.01398-13.

Narbaitz, R., S. Kacew, and L. Sitwell. 1981. “Carbonic Anhydrase Activity in the Chick Embryo Chorioallantois: A Regional Distribution and Vitamin D Regulation”. *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology* Vol. 65: 127–37.

Neill, W. T . 1964. “Viviparity in Snakes: Some Ecological and Zoogeographical Considerations”. *The American Naturalist* 98 (898): 35–55.

Nelson, J. L. 2012. “The Otherness of Self: Microchimerism in Health and Disease”. *Trends in Immunology* 33 (8): 421–27. doi:10.1016/j.it.2012.03.002.

Noble, R C. 1991. “Comparative Composition and Utilisation of Yolk Lipid by Embryonic Birds and Reptiles”. *Egg Incubation: Its Effects on Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 17–28.

Noy, E. B., M. K. Scott, S. V. H. Grommen, K. A. Robert, and B. De Groef. 2017. “Molecular Cloning and Tissue Distribution of Crh and Pomc MRNA in the Fat-Tailed Dunnart (*Sminthopsis crassicaudata*), an Australian Marsupial”. *Gene* 627: 26–31. doi:10.1016/j.gene.2017.06.004.

Nys, Y., J. Zawadzki, J. Gautron, and A. D. Mills. 1991. “Whitening of Brown-Shelled Eggs: Mineral Composition of Uterine Fluid and Rate of Protoporphyrin Deposition”. *Poultry Science* 70 (5): 1236–45.

Nys, Y., J. Gautron, J. M. Garcia-Ruiz, and M. T. Hincke. 2004. “Avian Eggshell Mineralization: Biochemical and Functional Characterization of Matrix Proteins”. *Comptes Rendus - Palevol* 3 (6-7 SPEC.ISS.): 549–62. doi:10.1016/j.crpv.2004.08.002.

Olson, D. M., and F. Hertelendy. 1983. “Avian Shell Gland Contractility: Interaction of PGF2 Alpha and Arginine Vasotocin with Ca2+”. *The American Journal of Physiology* 244 (3): 50–57.

Olson, D. M., K. Shimada, and R. J. Etches. 1986. “Prostaglandin Concentrations in Peripheral Plasma and Ovarian and Uterine Plasma and Tissue in Relation to Oviposition in Hens”. *Biology of Reproduction* 35 (5): 1140–46. doi:10.1095/biolreprod35.5.1140.

Olson, D. M. 2003. “The Role of Prostaglandins in the Initiation of Parturition”. *Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 17 (5). Elsevier: 717–30.

Opazo, J. C., F. G. Hoffmann, and J. F. Storz. 2008. “Genomic Evidence for Independent Origins of β-like Globin Genes in Monotremes and Therian Mammals”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 105 (5): 1590–95. doi:10.1073/pnas.0710531105.

Origgi, F. C., P. A. Klein, K. Mathes, S. Blahak, R. E. Marschang, S. J. Tucker, and E. R. Jacobson. 2001. “Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for Detecting Herpesvirus Exposure in Mediterranean Tortoises (Spur-Thighed Tortoise [*Testudo graeca*] and Hermann’s Tortoise [*Testudo hermanni*])”. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology* 39 (9): 3156–63. doi:10.1128/JCM.39.9.3156-3163.2001.

Ortega Brown, E., S. A. Sundstrom, B. S. Komm, Z. Yi, C. Teuscher, and C. R. Lyttle. 1990. “Progesterone Regulation of Estradiol-Induced Rat Uterine Secretory Protein, Complement C3”. *Biology of Reproduction* 42 (4): 713–19. doi:10.1095/biolreprod42.4.713.

Ostergard, D. R. 1970. “The Physiology and Clinical Importance of Amniotic Fluid. A Review”. *Obstetrical and Gynecological Survey*. doi:10.1097/00006254-197004000-00001.

Ostrovsky, A. N. 2013. “From Incipient to Substantial: Evolution of Placentotrophy in a Phylum of Aquatic Colonial Invertebrates”. *Evolution* 67 (5): 1368–82. doi:10.1111/evo.12039.

Ott, T. L., M. M. Kamat, S. Vasudevan, D. H. Townson, and J. L. Pate. 2014. “Maternal Immune Responses to Conceptus Signals during Early Pregnancy in Ruminants”. *Animal Reproduction* 11 (3): 237–45.

Owen, R. D. 1945. “Immunogenetic Consequences of Vascular Anastomoses between Bovine Twins”. *Science* 102 (2651). American Association for the Advancement of Science: 400–401.

Packard, G. C., C. R. Tracy, and J. J. Roth. 1977. “The Physiological Ecology of Reptilian Eggs and Embryos, and the Evolution of Viviparity within the Class Reptilia”. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* 52 (1): 71–105. doi:10.1111/j.1469-185x.1977.tb01346.x.

Packard, G. C. 1991. “Physiological and Ecological Importance of Water to Embryos of Oviparous Reptiles”. *Egg Incubation: Its Effects on Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles*. Cambridge University Press UK, 213–28.

Packard, G. C., and M. J. Packard. 1980. “Evolution of the Cleidoic Egg among Reptilian Antecedents of Birds”. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 20 (2): 351–62. doi:10.1093/icb/20.2.351.

Packard, M. J. 1994. “Patterns of Mobilization and Deposition of Calcium in Embryos of Oviparous, Amniotic Vertebrates”. *Israel Journal of Zoology* 40 (3–4). Taylor & Francis: 481–92.

Packard, M. J., and L. D. Lohmiller. 2002. “Mineral Status of Embryos of Domestic Fowl Following Exposure in Vivo to the Carbonic Anhydrase Inhibitor Acetazolamide”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 132 (2): 257–65. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(01)00489-5.

Packard, M. J, and V. G. DeMarco. 1991. “Eggshell Structure and Formation in Eggs of Oviparous Reptiles”. *Egg Incubation: Its Effects on Embryonic Development in Birds and Reptiles*. Cambridge University Press Cambridge, 53–69.

Packard, M. J., and G. C. Packard. 1984. “Comparative Aspects of Calcium Metabolism in Embryonic Reptiles and Birds”. *Respiration and Metabolism of Embryonic Vertebrates*, 155–79. Springer.

Packard, M. J., G. C. Packard, J. D. Miller, M. E. Jones, and W. H. N. Gutzke. 1985. “Calcium Mobilization, Water Balance, and Growth in Embryos of the Agamid Lizard *Amphibolurus barbatus*”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 235 (3): 349–57. doi:10.1002/jez.1402350306.

Palmer, B. D., V. G. Demarco, and L. J. Guillette. 1993. “Oviductal Morphology and Eggshell Formation in the Lizard, *Sceloporus woodi*”. *Journal of Morphology* 217 (2): 205–17. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052170208.

Park, J. S., C. W. Park, C. J. Lockwood, and E. R. Norwitz. 2005. “Role of Cytokines in Preterm Labor and Birth”. *Minerva Ginecologica* 57 (4): 349–66.

Parker, S. L., and R. M. Andrews. 2006. “Evolution of Viviparity in Sceloporine Lizards: In Utero Po2 as a Developmental Constraint during Egg Retention”. *Physiological and Biochemical Zoology* 79 (3): 581–92. doi:10.1086/502812.

Parker, S. L., F. Manconi, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2010. “Uterine and Placental Angiogenesis in the Australian Skinks, *Ctenotus taeniolatus*, and *Saiphos equalis*”. *Anatomical Record* 293 (5): 829–38. doi:10.1002/ar.21052.

Pashen, R. L., and W. R. Allen. 1979. “The Role of the Fetal Gonads and Placenta in Steroid Production, Maintenance of Pregnancy and Parturition in the Mare”. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* no. 27: 499.

Paulesu, L., R. Romagnoli, M. Marchetti, M. Cintorino, P. Ghiara, F. M. Guarino, and G. Ghiara. 1995. “Cytokines in the Viviparous Reproduction of Squamate Reptiles: Interleukin-1α (IL-1α) and IL-1β in Placental Structures of a Skink”. *Placenta* 16 (2): 193–205. doi:10.1016/0143-4004(95)90008-X.

Paulesu, L. 1997. “Cytokines in Mammalian Reproduction and Speculation about Their Possible Involvement in Nonmammalian Viviparity”. *Microscopy Research and Technique* 38 (1-2). Wiley Online Library: 188–94.

Paulesu, L., E. Bigliardi, E. Paccagnini, F. Ietta, C. Cateni, C. P. Guillaume, and B. Heulin. 2005. “Cytokines in the Oviparity/Viviparity Transition: Evidence of the Interleukin-1 System in a Species with Reproductive Bimodality, the Lizard *Lacerta vivipara*”. *Evolution and Development* 7 (4): 282–88. doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2005.05034.x.

Paulesu, L., S. Jantra, F. Ietta, R. Brizzi, and E. Bigliardi. 2008. “Interleukin-1 in Reproductive Strategies”. *Evolution and Development* 10 (6): 778–88. doi:10.1111/j.1525-142X.2008.00292.x.

Paulesu, L., R. Romagnoli, and E. Bigliardi. 2005. “Materno-Fetal Immunotolerance: Is Interleukin-1 a Fundamental Mediator in Placental Viviparity?” *Developmental and Comparative Immunology* 29 (5): 409–15. doi:10.1016/j.dci.2004.09.007.

Peck, A., and E. D. Mellins. 2010. “Plasticity of T-Cell Phenotype and Function: The T Helper Type 17 Example”. *Immunology* 129 (2): 147–53. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2567.2009.03189.x.

Persson, G., W. Nascimento Melsted, L. Lynge Nilsson, and T. V. F. Hviid. 2017. “HLA Class Ib in Pregnancy and Pregnancy-Related Disorders”. *Immunogenetics* 69 (8–9). Immunogenetics: 581–95. doi:10.1007/s00251-017-0988-4.

Petersdorf, E. W., T. A. Gooley, M. Malkki, A. P. Bacigalupo, A. Cesbron, E. Du Toit, G. Ehninger, et al. 2014. “HLA-C Expression Levels Define Permissible Mismatches in Hematopoietic Cell Transplantation”. *Blood* 124 (26): 3996–4003. doi:10.1182/blood-2014-09-599969.

Petraglia, F., C. Benedetto, P. Florio, G. D'Ambrogio, A. D. Genazzani, L. Marozio, and W. Vale. 1995. "Effect of Corticotropin-Releasing Factor-Binding Protein on Prostaglandin Release from Cultured Maternal Decidua and on Contractile Activity of Human Myometrium in Vitro". *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 80 (10). Oxford University Press: 3073–76.

Petraglia, F., G. C. Garuti, B. De Ramundo, S. Angioni, A. R. Genazzani, and L. M. Bilezikjian. 1990. "Mechanism of Action of Interleukin-1β in Increasing Corticotropin-Releasing Factor and Adrenocorticotropin Hormone Release from Cultured Human Placental Cells". *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 163 (4). Elsevier: 1307–12.

Picariello, O., G. Ciarcia, and F. Angelini. 1989. "The Annual Cycle of Oviduct in *Tarentola m. mauritanica* (Reptilia Gekkonidae)". *Amphibia-Reptilia* 10: 371–86. doi:10.1192/bjp.112.483.211-a.

Piñeiro, G., Ferigolo, J., Meneghel, M. and Laurin, M. 2012. "The oldest known amniotic embryos suggest viviparity in mesosaurs". *Historical Biology*, 24 (6): 620-630. doi: 10.1080/08912963.2012.662230

Pines, M., V. Knopov, and A. Bar. 1995. "Involvement of Osteopontin in Egg Shell Formation in the Laying Chicken". *Matrix Biology* 14 (9): 765–71. doi:10.1016/S0945-053X(05)80019-8.

Podhalicz-Dzięgielewska, M., T. Rotkiewicz, T. Janowski, S. Zduńczyk, and A. Raś. 2000. "Histological Findings in Placentomes of Cows with Retained Placenta". *Medycyna Weterynaryjna* 56 (6): 392–94.

Pough, F. H. 1980. "Blood Oxygen Transport and Delivery in Reptiles". *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 20 (1): 173–85. doi:10.1093/icb/20.1.173.

Pradeu, T. 2011. *The Limits of the Self: Immunology and Biological Identity*. Oxford University Press.

Prajanban, B. O., L. Shawsuan, S. Daduang, J. Kommanee, S. Roytrakul, A. Dhiravisit, and S Thammasirirak. 2012. "Identification of Five Reptile Egg Whites Protein Using MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry and LC/MS-MS Analysis". *Journal of Proteomics* 75 (6). Elsevier B.V.: 1940–59. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2012.01.004.

Putnam, C. D., D. W. Brann, R. C. Kolbeck, and V. B. Mahesh. 1991. "Inhibition of Uterine Contractility by Progesterone and Progesterone Metabolites: Mediation by Progesterone and Gamma Amino Butyric Acid(A) Receptor Systems". *Biology of Reproduction* 45 (2): 266–72. doi:10.1095/biolreprod45.2.266.

Pye, G. W., D. R. Brown, M. F. Nogueira, K. A. Vliet, T. R. Schoeb, E. R. Jacobson, and R. A. Bennett. 2001. "Experimental Inoculation of Broad-Nosed Caimans (*Caiman latirostris*) and Siamese Crocodiles (*Crocodylus siamensis*) with *Mycoplasma alligatoris*". *Journal of Zoo and Wildlife Medicine* 32 (2): 196–201. doi:10.1638/1042-7260(2001)032[0196:EIOBNC]2.0.CO;2.

Pyron, R. A., and F. T. Burbrink. 2014. "Early Origin of Viviparity and Multiple Reversions to Oviparity in Squamate Reptiles". *Ecology Letters* 17 (1): 13–21. doi:10.1111/ele.12168.

Qualls, C. P. 1996. “Influence of the Evolution of Viviparity on Eggshell Morphology in the Lizard, *Lerista bougainvillii*”. *Journal of Morphology* 228 (2): 119–25.

Rajagopalan, S., Y. T. Bryceson, S. P. Kuppusamy, D. E. Geraghty, A. van der Meer, I. Joosten, and E. O. Long. 2006. “Activation of NK Cells by an Endocytosed Receptor for Soluble HLA-G”. *PLoS Biology* 4 (1). Public Library of Science San Francisco, USA: e9.

Rajagopalan, S., and E. O. Long. 2012. “KIR2DL4 (CD158d): An Activation Receptor for HLA-G”. *Frontiers in Immunology* 3 (AUG): 1–6. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2012.00258.

Ramírez-Pinilla, M. P. 2006. “Placental Transfer of Nutrients during Gestation in an Andean Population of the Highly Matrotrophic Lizard Genus *Mabuya* (Squamata: Scincidae)”. *Herpetological Monographs*, no. 20: 194–204. doi:10.1655/0733-1347(2007)20[194:ptondg]2.0.co;2.

Ramírez-Pinilla, M. P., E. D. Rueda, and E. Stashenko. 2011. “Transplacental Nutrient Transfer during Gestation in the Andean Lizard *Mabuya Sp.* (Squamata, Scincidae)”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 181 (2): 249–68. doi:10.1007/s00360-010-0514-6.

Ramsay, T. G., J. Karousis, M. E. White, and C. K. Wolverton. 1991. “Fatty Acid Metabolism by the Porcine Placenta”. *Journal of Animal Science* 69 (9). Oxford University Press: 3645–54.

Ramsdell, F., and A. Y. Rudensky. 2020. “Foxp3: A Genetic Foundation for Regulatory T Cell Differentiation and Function”. *Nature Immunology* 21 (7). Springer US: 708–9. doi:10.1038/s41590-020-0694-5.

Rapacz-Leonard, A., M. Leonard, M. Chmielewska-Krzesińska, K. Paździor-Czapula, and T. Janowski. 2018. “Major Histocompatibility Complex Class I in the Horse (*Equus caballus*) Placenta during Pregnancy and Parturition”. *Placenta* 74: 36–46. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2018.12.006.

Ravanos, K., T. Dagklis, S. Petousis, C. Margioula-Siarkou, Y. Prapas, and N. Prapas. 2015. “Factors Implicated in the Initiation of Human Parturition in Term and Preterm Labor: A Review”. *Gynecological Endocrinology* 31 (9): 679–83. doi:10.3109/09513590.2015.1076783.

Rawn, S. M., and J. C. Cross. 2008. “The Evolution, Regulation, and Function of Placenta-Specific Genes”. *Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology* 24: 159–81. doi:10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175418.

Rebmann, V., A. Busemann, M. Lindemann, and H. Grosse-Wilde. 2003. “Detection of HLA-G5 Secreting Cells”. *Human Immunology* 64 (11). Elsevier: 1017–24.

Rebmann, V., F. Da Silva Nardi, B. Wagner, and P. A. Horn. 2014. “HLA-G as a Tolerogenic Molecule in Transplantation and Pregnancy”. *Journal of Immunology Research* 2014. Hindawi Publishing Corporation. doi:10.1155/2014/297073.

Recknagel, H., M. Carruthers, A. A. Yurchenko, M. Nokhbatolfoghahai, N. A. Kamenos, M. M. Bain, and K. R. Elmer. 2021. “The Functional Genetic Architecture of Egg-Laying and Live-Bearing Reproduction in Common Lizards”. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*. doi:10.1038/s41559-021-01555-4.

Recknagel, H., N. A. Kamenos, and K. R. Elmer. 2018. “Common Lizards Break Dollo’s Law of Irreversibility: Genome-Wide Phylogenomics Support a Single Origin of Viviparity and Re-Evolution of Oviparity”. *BioRxiv* 127: 579–88. doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2018.05.029.

Reiber, M. A., and D. E. Conner. 1995. “Effect of Mating Activity on the Ability of Salmonella Enteritidis to Persist in the Ovary and Oviduct of Chickens”. *Avian Diseases*. JSTOR, 323–27.

Reiber, M. A., D. E. Conner, and S. F. Bilgili. 1995. “Salmonella Colonization and Shedding Patterns of Hens Inoculated via Semen”. *Avian Diseases*. JSTOR, 317–22.

Remington, J. S., J. O. Klein, C. J. Baker, and C. B. Wilson. 2006. “Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and Newborn Infant”. *Infectious Diseases of the Fetus and Newborn Infant*. doi:10.1016/B0-7216-0537-0/X5001-4.

Reynolds, L. P., J. S. Caton, D. A. Redmer, A. T. Grazul-Bilska, K. A. Vonnahme, P. P. Borowicz, J. S. Luther, J. M. Wallace, G. Wu, and T. E. Spencer. 2006. “Evidence for Altered Placental Blood Flow and Vascularity in Compromised Pregnancies”. *Journal of Physiology* 572 (1): 51–58. doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2005.104430.

Ribatti, D. 2015. “Peter Brian Medawar and the Discovery of Acquired Immunological Tolerance”. *Immunology Letters* 167 (2). Elsevier B.V.: 63–66. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2015.07.004.

Ribatti, D., A. Frigeri, B. Nico, G. P. Nicchia, M. De Giorgis, L. Roncali, and M. Svelto. 2002. “Aquaporin-1 Expression in the Chick Embryo Chorioallantoic Membrane”. *Anatomical Record* 268 (2): 85–89. doi:10.1002/ar.10123.

Rieger, L. 2002. “Th1- and Th2-like Cytokine Production by First Trimester Decidual Large Granular Lymphocytes Is Influenced by HLA-G and HLA-E”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 8 (3): 255–61. doi:10.1093/molehr/8.3.255.

Rimer, J., I. R. Cohen, and N. Friedman. 2014. “Do All Creatures Possess an Acquired Immune System of Some Sort?” *BioEssays* 36 (3): 273–81. doi:10.1002/bies.201300124.

Risau, W. 1997. “Mechanisms of Angiogenesis”. *Nature* 386. doi:10.1134/S0006297908070031.

Roberts, C. T., and W. G. Breed. 1996. “Variation in Ultrastructure of Mucoid Coat and Shell Membrane Secretion of a Dasyurid Marsupial”. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 8 (4). CSIRO: 645–48.

Roberts, C. T., W. G. Breed, and G. Mayrhofer. 1994. “Origin of the Oocyte Shell Membrane of a Dasyurid Marsupial: An Immunohistochemical Study”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 270 (3): 321–31. doi:10.1002/jez.1402700311.

Roberts, C. T., and W. G. Breed. 1994. “Placentation in the Dasyurid Marsupial, Sminthopsis Crassicaudata, the Fat-Tailed Dunnart, and Notes on Placentation of the Didelphid, Placentation in the Dasyurid Marsupial, *Sminthopsis crassicaudata*, the Fat-Tailed Dunnart, and Notes on placentation of the didelphid, *Monodelphis domestica”. Journal of Reproduction and Fertility* 100: 105–13.

Robinson, B. G., J. L. Arbiser, R. L. Emanuel, and J. A. Majzoub. 1989. “Species-Specific Placental Corticotropin Releasing Hormone Messenger RNA and Peptide Expression”. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology* 62 (2). Elsevier: 337–41.

Rodriguez-Martinez, H., F. Saravia, M. Wallgren, E. A. Martinez, L. Sanz, J. Roca, J. M. Vazquez, and J. J. Calvete. 2010. “Spermadhesin PSP-I/PSP-II Heterodimer Induces Migration of Polymorphonuclear Neutrophils into the Uterine Cavity of the Sow”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 84 (1): 57–65. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2009.10.007.

Rodríguez-Navarro, A. B., P. Marie, Y. Nys, M. T. Hincke, and J. Gautron. 2015. “Amorphous Calcium Carbonate Controls Avian Eggshell Mineralization: A New Paradigm for Understanding Rapid Eggshell Calcification”. *Journal of Structural Biology* 190 (3): 291–303. doi:10.1016/j.jsb.2015.04.014.

Romagnoli, R., C. Cateni, F. M. Guarino, E. Bigliardi, and L. R. Paulesu. 2003. “Potential Role of Interleukin-1 at the Peri-Ovulation Stage in a Species of Placental Viviparous Reptile, the Three-Toed Skink, *Chalcides chalcides* (Squamata: Scincidae)”. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* 1: 1–6. doi:10.1186/1477-7827-1-60.

Romero, R., D. T. Brody, E. Oyarzun, M. Mazor, Y. K. Wu, J. C. Hobbins, and S. K. Durum. 1989. “Infection and Labor: III. Interleukin-1: A Signal for the Onset of Parturition”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 160 (5). Elsevier: 1117–23.

Romero, R., R. Gomez, M. Galasso, H. Munoz, L. Acosta, B. H. Yoon, D. Svinarich, and D. B. Cotton. 1994. “Macrophage Inflammatory Protein-1α in Term and Preterm Parturition: Effect of Microbial Invasion of the Amniotic Cavity”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 32 (2). Wiley Online Library: 108–13.

Romero, R., M. Mazor, F. Brandt, W. Sepulveda, C. Avila, D. B. Cotton, and C. A. Dinarello. 1992. “Interleukin-1α and Interleukin-1 β in Preterm and Term Human Parturition”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 27 (3-4). Wiley Online Library: 117–23.

Rose, M. L. H., and M. T. Hincke. 2009. “Protein Constituents of the Eggshell: Eggshell-Specific Matrix Proteins”. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 66 (16): 2707–19. doi:10.1007/s00018-009-0046-y.

Rose-Martel, M., J. Du, and M. T. Hincke. 2012. “Proteomic Analysis Provides New Insight into the Chicken Eggshell Cuticle”. *Journal of Proteomics* 75 (9). Elsevier B.V.: 2697–2706. doi:10.1016/j.jprot.2012.03.019.

Ross, G. T. 1979. “Human Chorionic Gonadotropin and Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy”. *Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy* 64. Wiley Online Library.

Rothchild, I. 2003. “The Yolkless Egg and the Evolution of Eutherian Viviparity”. *Biology of Reproduction* 68 (2): 337–57. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.102.004531.

Rothwell, L., J. R. Young, R. Zoorob, C. A. Whittaker, P. Hesketh, A. Archer, A. L. Smith, and P. Kaiser. 2004. “Cloning and Characterization of Chicken IL-10 and Its Role in the Immune Response to Eimeria Maxima”. *The Journal of Immunology* 173 (4): 2675–82. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.173.4.2675.

Rouas-Freiss, N., R. M. Gonçalves, C. Menier, J. Dausset, and E. D. Carosella. 1997. “Direct Evidence to Support the Role of HLA-G in Protecting the Fetus from Maternal Uterine Natural Killer Cytolysis”. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 94 (21): 11520–25. doi:10.1073/pnas.94.21.11520.

Roussev, R. G., B. Acacio, S. C. Ng, and C. B. Coulam. 2008. “Duration of Intralipid’s Suppressive Effect on NK Cell’s Functional Activity”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 60 (3). Wiley Online Library: 258–63.

Rowe, J. H., J. M. Ertelt, L. Xin, and S. S. Way. 2012. “Pregnancy Imprints Regulatory Memory That Sustains Anergy to Fetal Antigen”. *Nature* 490 (7418). Nature Publishing Group: 102–6. doi:10.1038/nature11462.

Rzasa, J. 1978. “Effects of Arginine Vasotocin and Prostaglandin E1 on the Hen Uterus”. *Prostaglandins* 16 (3): 357–72. doi:10.1016/0090-6980(78)90215-0.

Saad, A. H., and S. El Deeb. 1990. “Immunological Changes during Pregnancy in the Viviparous Lizard, Chalcides Ocellatus”. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 25 (3): 279–86. doi:10.1016/0165-2427(90)90051-S.

Saito, S., A. Nakashima, T. Shima, and M. Ito. 2010. “Th1/Th2/Th17 and Regulatory T-Cell Paradigm in Pregnancy”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 63 (6): 601–10. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2010.00852.x.

Samuel, C. A., and J. S. Perry. 1972. “The Ultrastructure of Pig Trophoblast Transplanted to an Ectopic Site in the Uterine Wall”. *Journal of Anatomy* 113 (Pt 1). Wiley-Blackwell: 139.

Sarkar, S., N. K. Sarkar, and B. R. Maiti. 1995. “Histological and Functional Changes of Oviductal Endometrium during Seasonal Reproductive Cycle of the Soft-shelled Turtle, *Lissemys punctata punctata*”. *Journal of Morphology* 224 (1). Wiley Online Library: 1–14. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052240102.

Satterfield, M. C., K. A. Dunlap, K. Hayashi, R. C. Burghardt, T. E. Spencer, and F. W. Bazer. 2007. “Tight and Adherens Junctions in the Ovine Uterus: Differential Regulation by Pregnancy and Progesterone”. *Endocrinology* 148 (8): 3922–31. doi:10.1210/en.2007-0321.

Schiffman, J. S., and P. L. Ralph. 2022. “System Drift and Speciation”. *Evolution* 76 (2). Society for the Study of Evolution: 236–51. doi:10.1111/evo.14356.

Schjenken, J. E., and S. A. Robertson. 2014. “Seminal Fluid and Immune Adaptation for Pregnancy - Comparative Biology in Mammalian Species”. *Reproduction in Domestic Animals* 49: 27–36. doi:10.1111/rda.12383.

Schleich, H. H., & Kästle, W. 1988. *Reptile eggshells*. G. Fischer.

Schober, L., D. Radnai, E. Schmitt, K. Mahnke, C. Sohn, and A. Steinborn. 2012. “Term and Preterm Labor: Decreased Suppressive Activity and Changes in Composition of the Regulatory T-Cell Pool”. *Immunology and Cell Biology* 90 (10): 935–44. doi:10.1038/icb.2012.33.

Schumacher, A., and A. C. Zenclussen. 2015. “The Paternal Contribution to Fetal Tolerance”. In *The Male Role in Pregnancy Loss and Embryo Implantation Failure*, edited by Benjamin J Hale, Aileen F Keating, Cai-Xia Yang, and Jason W Ross, 868:211–25. Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-18881-2.

Schwaha, T., M. Moosbrugger, M. Walzl, and A. N. Ostrovsky. 2019. “First Ultrastructural Evidence of Placental Nutrition in a Ctenostome Bryozoan: Example of *Amathia verticillata*”. *Zoomorphology* 138 (2). Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 221–32. doi:10.1007/s00435-019-00438-4.

Schwarzkopf, L., and R. M. Andrews. 2012a. “Are Moms Manipulative or Just Selfish? Evaluating the ‘Maternal Manipulation Hypothesis’ and Implications for Life-History Studies of Reptiles”. *Herpetologica* 68 (2): 147–59.

Schwarzkopf, L., and R. M. Andrews. 2012b. “‘Selfish Mothers’ Use ‘Maternal Manipulation’ to Maximize Lifetime Reproductive Success”. *Herpetologica* 68 (3): 308–11. doi:10.1655/Herpetologica-D-12-00034.1.

Seavey, M., and T. R. Mosmann. 2006. “ Paternal Antigen-Bearing Cells Transferred during Insemination Do Not Stimulate Anti-Paternal CD8 + T Cells: Role of Estradiol in Locally Inhibiting CD8 + T Cell Responses “. *The Journal of Immunology* 177 (11): 7567–78. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.177.11.7567.

Sellens, M. H., E. J. Jenkinson, and W. D. Billington. 1978. “Major Histocompatibility Complex and Non-Major Histocompatibility Complex Antigens on Mouse Ectoplacental Cone and Placental Trophoblastic Cells”. *Transplantation* 25 (4): 173–79.

Selwood, L. 2000. “Marsupial Egg and Embryo Coats”. *Cells Tissues Organs* 166 (2): 208–19. doi:10.1159/000016733.

Shadrix, C. A., D. R. Crotzer, S. L. McKinney, and J. R. Stewart. 1994. “Embryonic Growth and Calcium Mobilization in Oviposited Eggs of the Scincid Lizard, *Eumeces fasciatus*”. *Copeia* 1994 (2): 493. doi:10.2307/1446997.

Shanthakumari, T. R., H. B.D. Sarkar, and T. Shivanandappa. 1992. “Histological, Histochemical, and Biochemical Changes in the Annual Oviduct Cycle of the Agamid, *Calotes versicolor*”. *Journal of Morphology* 211 (3): 295–306. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052110307.

Shantha Kumari, T. R., H. B. Devaraj Sarkar, and T. Shivanandappa. 1990. “Histology and Histochemistry of the Oviductal Sperm Storage Pockets of the Agamid Lizard *Calotes versicolor*”. *Journal of Morphology* 203 (1): 97–106. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052030110.

Sharkey, A. M., L. Gardner, S. Hiby, L. Farrell, R. Apps, L. Masters, J. Goodridge, et al. 2008. “Killer Ig-Like Receptor Expression in Uterine NK Cells Is Biased toward Recognition of HLA-C and Alters with Gestational Age”. *The Journal of Immunology* 181 (1): 39–46. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.1.39.

Shaw, G., and M. B. Renfree. 2001. “Fetal Control of Parturition in Marsupials”. *Reproduction, Fertility and Development* 13 (8). CSIRO: 653–59.

Shen, X. X., D. Liang, J. Z. Wen, and P. Zhang. 2011. “Multiple Genome Alignments Facilitate Development of NPCL Markers: A Case Study of Tetrapod Phylogeny Focusing on the Position of Turtles”. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28 (12): 3237–52. doi:10.1093/molbev/msr148.

Shi, Y., K. Zhou, D. Li, V. Guyonnet, M. T. Hincke, and Yoshinori Mine. 2021. “Avian Eggshell Membrane as a Novel Biomaterial: A Review”. *Foods*. MDPI. doi:10.3390/foods10092178.

Shine, R. 1983. “Reptilian Viviparity in Cold Climates: Testing the Assumptions of an Evolutionary Hypothesis”. *Oecologia* 57 (3): 397–405. doi:10.1007/BF00377186.

Shine, R., and J. J. Bull. 1979. “The Evolution of Live-Bearing in Lizards and Snakes”. *The American Naturalist* 113 (6): 905–23. doi:10.1086/283444.

Shine, R., and L. J. Guillette. 1988. “The Evolution of Viviparity in Reptiles: A Physiological Model and Its Ecological Consequences”. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 132 (1): 43–50. doi:10.1016/S0022-5193(88)80189-9.

Shine, R., and M. B. Thompson. 2006. “Did Embryonic Responses to Incubation Conditions Drive the Evolution of Reproductive Modes in Squamate Reptiles”. *Herpetological Monographs* 20 (2006): 186–93. doi:10.1655/0733-1347(2007)20.

Shynlova, O., P. Tsui, A. Dorogin, and S. J. Lye. 2008. “Monocyte Chemoattractant Protein-1 (CCL-2) Integrates Mechanical and Endocrine Signals That Mediate Term and Preterm Labor”. *The Journal of Immunology* 181 (2): 1470–79. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.181.2.1470.

Simkiss, K. 1980. “Water and Ionic Fluxes inside the Egg”. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 20 (2): 385–93. doi:10.1093/icb/20.2.385.

Simmonds, C. S., G. Karsenty, A. C. Karaplis, and C. S. Kovacs. 2010. “Parathyroid Hormone Regulates Fetal-Placental Mineral Homeostasis”. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 25 (3): 594–605. doi:10.1359/jbmr.090825.

Sipes, S. L., M. V. Medaglia, D. L. Stabley, C. S. DeBruyn, M. S. Alden, V. Catenacci, and C. P. Landel. 1996. “A New Major Histocompatibility Complex Class Ib Gene Expressed in the Mouse Blastocyst and Placenta”. *Immunogenetics* 45 (2): 108–20. doi:10.1007/s002510050178.

Sites, J. W., T. W. Reeder, and J. J. Wiens. 2011. “Phylogenetic Insights on Evolutionary Novelties in Lizards and Snakes: Sex, Birth, Bodies, Niches, and Venom”. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 42 (1): 227–44. doi:10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102710-145051.

Slater, D., W. Dennes, R. Sawdy, V. Allport, and P. Bennett. 1999. “Expression of Cyclo-Oxygenase Types-1 and-2 in Human Fetal Membranes throughout Pregnancy”. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology* 22 (2). BioScientifica: 125–30.

Slater, D. M., L. C. Berger, R. Newton, G. E. Moore, and P. R. Bennett. 1995. “Expression of Cyclooxygenase Types 1 and 2 in Human Fetal Membranes at Term”. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology* 172: 77–82. doi:10.1016/0002-9378(95)90087-X.

Slater, M., and C. R. Murphy. 1999. “Thrombospondin Is Sequentially Expressed and Then De-Expressed during Early Pregnancy in the Rat Uterus”. *Histochemical Journal* 31 (7): 471–75. doi:10.1023/A:1003760026681.

Smith, S. A., and R. Shine. 1997. “Intraspecific Variation in Reproductive Mode within the Scincid Lizard *Saiphos equalis*”. *Australian Journal of Zoology* 45 (5). CSIRO Publishing: 435–45.

Smith, S. A., C. Austin, and R. Shine. 2001. “A Phylogenetic Analysis of Variation in Reproductive Mode within an Australian Lizard (*Saiphos equalis*, Scincidae)”. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 74: 131-139.

Soledad Fernandez, M., A. Moya, L. Lopez, and J. L. Arias. 2001. “Secretion Pattern, Ultrastructural Localization and Function of Extracellular Matrix Molecules Involved in Eggshell Formation”. *Matrix Biology* 19 (8): 793–803. doi:10.1016/S0945-053X(00)00128-1.

Soloff, M. S., Y. J. Jeng, M. G. Izban, M. Sinha, B. A. Luxon, S. J. Stamnes, and S. K. England. 2011. “Effects of Progesterone Treatment on Expression of Genes Involved in Uterine Quiescence”. *Reproductive Sciences* 18 (8): 781–97. doi:10.1177/1933719111398150.

Song, G., D. W. Bailey, K. A. Dunlap, R. C. Burghardt, T. E. Spencer, F. W. Bazer, and G. A. Johnson. 2010. “Cathepsin B, Cathepsin L, and Cystatin C in the Porcine Uterus and Placenta: Potential Roles in Endometrial/Placental Remodeling and in Fluid-Phase Transport of Proteins Secreted by Uterine Epithelia across Placental Areolae”. *Biology of Reproduction* 82 (5): 854–64. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.109.080929.

Sooranna, S. R., Y. Lee, L. U. Kim, A. R. Mohan, P. R. Bennett, and Mark R. Johnson. 2004. “Mechanical Stretch Activates Type 2 Cyclooxygenase via Activator Protein-1 Transcription Factor in Human Myometrial Cells”. *Molecular Human Reproduction* 10 (2): 109–13. doi:10.1093/molehr/gah021.

Sorbera, L., G. Giannoukos, and I. Callard. 1988. “Progesterone and Relaxin Inhibit Turtle Myometrium”. *American Society of Zoologists Conference Proceedings* Lawrence, KS.

Speake, B. K., J. F. Herbert, and M. B. Thompson. 2004. “Evidence for Placental Transfer of Lipids during Gestation in the Viviparous Lizard, *Pseudemoia entrecasteauxii*”. *Comparative*

Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology 139 (2): 213–20. doi:10.1016/j.cbpb.2004.09.004.

Spencer, T. E., and F. W. Bazer. 2004. "Uterine and Placental Factors Regulating Conceptus Growth in Domestic Animals". *Journal of Animal Science* 82. Oxford University Press: E4–13.

Srivastava, M. D., J. Lippes, and B. I. Sahai Srivastava. 1996. "Cytokines of the Human Reproductive Tract". *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 36 (3). Wiley Online Library: 157–66.

Srivastava, R., L. E. Cornett, and C. M. Chaturvedi. 2007. "Effect of Photoperiod and Estrogen on Expression of Arginine Vasotocin and Its Oxytocic-like Receptor in the Shell Gland of the Japanese Quail". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 148 (2): 451–57. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.06.004.

Stadtmauer, D. J., and G. P. Wagner. 2020a. "Cooperative Inflammation: The Recruitment of Inflammatory Signaling in Marsupial and Eutherian Pregnancy". *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 137: 102626. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2019.102626.

———. 2020b. "The Primacy of Maternal Innovations to the Evolution of Embryo Implantation". *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 60 (3): 742–52. doi:10.1093/icb/icaa030.

Starck, J. M. 2021. "Morphology of the Avian Yolk Sac". *Journal of Morphology*. 282 (7): 959-972 doi:10.1002/jmor.21262.

Staub, R., and J. Emberton. 2002. "*Eryx jayakari* (Arabian Sand Boa) Reproduction". *Herpetological Review* 33: 214.

Stern, P. L., N. Beresford, C. I. Friedman, V. C. Stevens, J. M. Risk, and P. M. Johnson. 1987. "Class I-like MHC Molecules Expressed by Baboon Placental Syncytiotrophoblast". *The Journal of Immunology* 138 (4): 1088–91.

Stevens, A. M., W. M. McDonnell, M. E. Mullarkey, J. M. Pang, W. Leisenring, and J. L. Nelson. 2004. "Liver Biopsies from Human Females Contain Male Hepatocytes in the Absence of Transplantation". *Laboratory Investigation* 84 (12): 1603–9. doi:10.1038/labinvest.3700193.

Stewart, J. R., and M. B. Thompson. 1993. "A Novel Pattern of Embryonic Nutrition in a Viviparous Reptile". *Journal of Experimental Biology* 174 (1): 97–108.

Stewart, J. R., T. W. Ecay, C. P. Garland, S. P. Fregoso, E. K. Price, J. F. Herbert, and M. B. Thompson. 2009. "Maternal Provision and Embryonic Uptake of Calcium in an Oviparous and a Placentotrophic Viviparous Australian Lizard (Lacertilia: Scincidae)". *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology. Part A, Molecular & Integrative Physiology* 153 (2): 202–8.

Stewart, J. R. 1989. "Facultative Placentotrophy and the Evolution of Squamate Placentation: Quality of Eggs and Neonates in *Virginia striatula*". *The American Naturalist* 133 (1): 111–37.

———. 1990. “Development of the Extraembryonic Membranes and Histology of the Placentae in *Virginia striatula* (Squamata: Serpentes)”. *Journal of Morphology* 205 (1): 33–43. doi:10.1002/jmor.1052050105.

———. 1997. “Morphology and Evolution of the Egg of Oviparous Amniotes”. *Amniote Origins*. Academic Press. 291-326. doi:10.1016/b978-012676460-4/50010-x.

———. 2013. “Fetal Nutrition in Lecithotrophic Squamate Reptiles: Toward a Comprehensive Model for Evolution of Viviparity and Placentation”. *Journal of Morphology* 274 (7): 824–43. doi:10.1002/jmor.20141.

Stewart, J. R., and R. E. Castillo. 1984. “Nutritional Provision of the Yolk of Two Species of Viviparous Reptiles”. *Physiological Zoology* 57 (4): 377–83.

Stewart, J. R., and D. G. Blackburn. 1988. “Reptilian Placentation: Structural Diversity and Terminology”. *Copeia* 1988 (4): 839. doi:10.2307/1445706.

Stewart, J. R, and D. G. Blackburn. 2014. “Viviparity and Placentation in Lizards”. *Reproductive Biology and Phylogeny of Lizards and Tuatara*. CRC press Boca Raton, FL, 448–563.

Stewart, J. R., and T. W. Ecay. 2010. “Patterns of Maternal Provision and Embryonic Mobilization of Calcium in Oviparous and Viviparous”. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* 5 (2): 341–59.

Stewart, J. R., T. W. Ecay, and D. G. Blackburn. 2004. “Sources and Timing of Calcium Mobilization during Embryonic Development of the Corn Snake, *Pantherophis guttatus*”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 139 (3): 335–41. doi:10.1016/j.cbpb.2004.09.016.

Stewart, J. R., T. W. Ecay, and B. Heulin. 2009. “Calcium Provision to Oviparous and Viviparous Embryos of the Reproductively Bimodal Lizard *Lacerta* (*Zootoca*) *vivipara*”. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 212 (16): 2520–24. doi:10.1242/jeb.030643.

Stewart, J. R., T. W. Ecay, B. Heulin, S. P. Fregoso, and B. J. Linville. 2011. “Developmental Expression of Calcium Transport Proteins in Extraembryonic Membranes of Oviparous and Viviparous *Zootoca vivipara* (Lacertilia, Lacertidae)”. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 214 (18): 2999–3004. doi:10.1242/jeb.059337.

Stewart, J. R., A. N. Mathieson, T. W. Ecay, J. F. Herbert, S. L. Parker, and M. B. Thompson. 2010. “Uterine and Eggshell Structure and Histochemistry in a Lizard with Prolonged Uterine Egg Retention (Lacertilia, Scincidae, *Saiphos*)”. *Journal of Morphology* 271 (11): 1342–51. doi:10.1002/jmor.10877.

Stewart, J. R., and M. B. Thompson. 2009. “Parallel Evolution of Placentation in Australian Scincid Lizards”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 312 (6): 590–602. doi:10.1002/jez.b.21245.

Stinnett, H. K., J. R. Stewart, T. W. Ecay, R. A. Pyles, J. F. Herbert, and M. B. Thompson. 2011. “Placental Development and Expression of Calcium Transporting Proteins in the Extraembryonic

Membranes of a Placentotrophic Lizard". *Journal of Morphology* 273 (3): 347–59. doi:10.1002/jmor.11030.

Stouffer, R. L., and J. D. Hennebold. 2015. "Structure, Function, and Regulation of the Corpus Luteum". *Knobil and Neill's Physiology of Reproduction: Two-Volume Set*. Elsevier Inc., 1023–76.

Suzuki, Y., C. S. Kovacs, H. Takanaga, J. B. Peng, C. P. Landowski, and M. A. Hediger. 2008. "Calcium Channel TRPV6 Is Involved in Murine Maternal-Fetal Calcium Transport". *Journal of Bone and Mineral Research* 23 (8): 1249–56. doi:10.1359/jbmr.080314.

Svensson-Arvelund, J., R. B. Mehta, R. Lindau, E. Mirrasekhian, H. Rodriguez-Martinez, G. Berg, G. E. Lash, M. C. Jenmalm, and J. Ernerudh. 2021. "The Human Fetal Placenta Promotes Tolerance against the Semiallogeneic Fetus by Inducing Regulatory T Cells and Homeostatic M2 Macrophages". *The Journal of Immunology* 194 (4). Am Assoc Immnol: 1534–44. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1401536.

Swain, R., and S. M. Jones. 2000. "Facultative Placentotrophy: Half-Way House or Strategic Solution?" *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 127 (4): 441–51. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(00)00275-0.

Swain, R., and S. M. Jones. 1997. "Maternal-Fetal Transfer of 3H-Labelled Leucine in the Viviparous Lizard *Niveoscincus metallicus* (Scincidae: Lygosominae)". *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 277 (2): 139–45. doi:10.1002/(SICI)1097-010X(19970201)277:2<139:AID-JEZ5>3.0.CO;2-Q.

Sykes, L., D. A. MacIntyre, T. Ghee Teoh, and P. R. Bennett. 2014. "Anti-Inflammatory Prostaglandins for the Prevention of Preterm Labour". *Reproduction* 148 (2). doi:10.1530/REP-13-0587.

Szekeres-Bartho, J., S. Šućurović, and B. Mulac-Jeričević. 2018. "The Role of Extracellular Vesicles and PIBF in Embryo-Maternal Immune-Interactions". *Frontiers in Immunology* 9. Frontiers: 2890.

Takahashi, T., H. Ogawa, R. Inaba, and M. Kawashima. 2004. "Changes in Prostaglandin F Concentration in the Uterus (Shell Gland) of the Hen Oviduct in Relation to Oviposition and Estrogen". *Poultry Science* 83 (10). Poultry Science Association Inc.: 1745–49. doi:10.1093/ps/83.10.1745.

Tamizian, O., and S. Arulkumaran. 2004. "Uterine Contractions". *The Management of Labour*. Orient Blackswan, 86.

Tartakovsky, B., and E. Ben-Yair. 1991. "Cytokines Modulate Preimplantation Development and Pregnancy". *Developmental Biology* 146 (2). Elsevier: 345–52.

Tayade, C., G. P. Black, Y. Fang, and A. Croy. 2006. "Differential Gene Expression in Endometrium, Endometrial Lymphocytes, and Trophoblasts during Successful and Abortive Embryo Implantation". *The Journal of Immunology* 176: 148–56. doi:10.4049/jimmunol.176.1.148.

Teles, A., A. Schumacher, M. C. Kühnle, N. Linzke, C. Thuere, P. Reichardt, C. E. Tadokoro, G. J. Hämmerling, and A. C. Zenclussen. 2013. “Control of Uterine Microenvironment by Foxp3+ Cells Facilitates Embryo Implantation”. *Frontiers in Immunology* 4 (JUN): 1–12. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2013.00158.

Terzidou, V. 2007. “Biochemical and Endocrinological Preparation for Parturition”. *Best Practice and Research: Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 21 (5): 729–56. doi:10.1016/j.bpobgyn.2007.05.001.

Thatcher, W. W., M. D. Meyer, and G. Danet-Desnoyers. 1995. “Maternal Recognition of Pregnancy”. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, 15–28. doi:10.1201/b19189.

Thompson, J. 1977. “Embryo-Maternal Relationships in a Viviparous Skink *Sphenomorphus quoyi* (Lacertilia: Scincidae)”. *Reproduction and Evolution. Australian Academy of Science, Canberra*, 279–80.

Thompson, M. B., B. K. Speake, and D. C. Deeming. 2004. “Egg Morphology and Composition”. In *Reptilian Incubation: Environment, Evolution and Behaviour*, 45–74. Nottingham University Press.

Thompson, M. B., J. R. Stewart, and B. K. Speake. 2000. “Comparison of Nutrient Transport across the Placenta of Lizards Differing in Placental Complexity”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 127 (4): 469–79. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(00)00277-4.

Thompson, M. B., J. R. Stewart, B. K. Speake, K. J. Russell, and R. J. McCartney. 1999. “Placental Transfer of Nutrients during Gestation in the Viviparous Lizard, *Pseudemoia spenceri*”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology - B Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 169 (4–5): 319–28. doi:10.1007/s003600050227.

Thompson, M. B., S. M. Adams, J. F. Herbert, J. M. Biazik, and C. R. Murphy. 2004. “Placental Function in Lizards”. *International Congress Series* 1275: 218–25. doi:10.1016/j.ics.2004.08.055.

Thompson, M. B., L. A. Lindsay, J. F. Herbert, and C. R. Murphy. 2007. “Calcium ATPase Expression in the Oviducts of the Skink, *Lampropholis guichenoti*”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 147 (4): 1090–94. doi:10.1016/j.cbpa.2007.03.029.

Thompson, M. B., and B. K. Speake. 2002. “Energy and Nutrient Utilization by Embryonic Reptiles”. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology* 133 (3): 529–38. doi:10.1016/S1095-6433(02)00188-5.

———. 2006. “A Review of the Evolution of Viviparity in Lizards: Structure, Function and Physiology of the Placenta”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 176 (3): 179–89. doi:10.1007/s00360-005-0048-5.

Thompson, M. B, B. K. Speake, J. R. Stewart, K. J. Russell, R. J. McCartney, and P. F. Surai. 1999. "Placental Nutrition in the Viviparous Lizard *Niveoscincus metallicus*: The Influence of Placental Type". *Journal of Zoology, London* 22 (1999): 2985–92.

Thorburn, G. D. 1987. "The Orchestration of Parturition: Does the Fetus Play the Tune?" In *Endocrinology and Physiology of Reproduction*, 331–53. Springer.

Tian, X., J. Gautron, P. Monget, and G. Pascal. 2010. "What Makes an Egg Unique? Clues from Evolutionary Scenarios of Egg-Specific Genes". *Biology of Reproduction* 83 (6): 893–900. doi:10.1095/biolreprod.110.085019.

Ticconi, C., A. Zicari, A. Belmonte, M. Realacci, Ch. V. Rao, and E. Piccione. 2007. "Pregnancy-Promoting Actions of HCG in Human Myometrium and Fetal Membranes". *Placenta* 28. Elsevier: S137–43.

Tilburgs, T., S. A. Scherjon, and F. H.J. Claas. 2010. "Major Histocompatibility Complex (MHC)-Mediated Immune Regulation of Decidual Leukocytes at the Fetal-Maternal Interface". *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 85 (1): 58–62. doi:10.1016/j.jri.2010.01.005.

Tinkle, D. W., and J. Whitfield Gibbons. 1977. "The Distribution and Evolution of Viviparity in Reptiles". *Miscellaneous Publications Museum of Zoology University of Michigan*, no. 154: 1–55. doi:10.1079/9781845931650.0124.

Torricelli, M., A. Giovannelli, E. Leucci, G. De Falco, F. M. Reis, A. Imperatore, P. Florio, and F. Petraglia. 2007. "Labor (Term and Preterm) Is Associated with Changes in the Placental MRNA Expression of Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor". *Reproductive Sciences* 14 (3). Springer: 241–45.

Torricelli, M., E. Ignacchiti, A. Giovannelli, A. Merola, E. Scarpetti, G. Calonaci, E. Picciolini, et al. 2006. "Maternal Plasma Corticotrophin-Releasing Factor and Urocortin Levels in Post-Term Pregnancies". *European Journal of Endocrinology* 154 (2): 281–85. doi:10.1530/eje.1.02091.

Torry, D. S., D. Mukherjea, J. Arroyo, and R. J. Torry. 2003. "Expression and Function of Placenta Growth Factor: Implications for Abnormal Placentation". *Journal of the Society for Gynecologic Investigation* 10 (4): 178–88. doi:10.1016/S1071-5576(03)00048-0.

Trauth, S. E., and W. R. Fagerberg. 1984. "Ultrastructure and Stereology of the Eggshell in *Cnemidophorus sexlineatus* (Lacertilia: Teiidae)". *Copeia* 1984 (4): 826. doi:10.2307/1445324.

Trowsdale, J. 2011. "The MHC, Disease and Selection". *Immunology Letters* 137 (1–2). Elsevier B.V.: 1–8. doi:10.1016/j.imlet.2011.01.002.

Trowsdale, J, and A. G. Betz. 2006. "Mother's Little Helpers: Mechanisms of Maternal-Fetal Tolerance". *Nature Immunology* 7 (3): 241–46. doi:10.1038/ni1317.

Truong, A. D., Y. Hong, J. Lee, K. Lee, D. Y. Kil, H. S. Lillehoj, and Y. H. Hong. 2018. "Interleukin-34 Regulates Th1 and Th17 Cytokine Production by Activating Multiple Signaling Pathways

through CSF-1R in Chicken Cell Lines". *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 19 (6): 1–19. doi:10.3390/ijms19061665.

Tuan, R., and T. Ono. 1986. "Regulation of Extraembryonic Calcium Mobilization by the Developing Chick Embryo". *Journal of Embryology and Experimental Morphology* VOL. 97: 63–74.

Tuan, R. S., M. J. Carson, J. A. Jozefiak, K. A. Knowles, and B. A. Shotwell. 1986. "Calcium-Transport Function of the Chick Embryonic Chorioallantoic Membrane. I. In Vivo and in Vitro Characterization". *Journal of Cell Science* 82: 73–84.

Tuan, R. S., and K. A. Knowles. 1984. "Calcium-Activated ATPase of the Chick Embryonic Chorioallantoic Membrane. Identification, Developmental Expression, and Topographic Relationship with Calcium-Binding Protein". *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 259 (5): 2754–63. doi:10.1016/s0021-9258(17)43210-8.

Tuan, R. S., and W. A. Scott. 1977. "Calcium Binding Protein of Chorioallantoic Membrane: Identification and Developmental Expression". *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 74 (5): 1946–49. doi:10.1073/pnas.74.5.1946.

Tuan, R. S, W. A. Scott, and Z. A. Cohn. 1978. "Calcium-Binding Chorioallantoic Protein of the Chick Membrane I. Immunohistochemical Localization Enzymatic Dissociation of CAM into Single Cells Purification of the CaBP". *Journal of Cellular Biology* 77 (3): 743-51. doi: 10.1083/jcb.77.3.743

Turin, L., P. Invernizzi, M. Woodcock, F. R. Grati, F. Riva, G. Tribbioli, and G. Laible. 2007. "Bovine Fetal Microchimerism in Normal and Embryo Transfer Pregnancies and Its Implications for Biotechnology Applications in Cattle". *Biotechnology Journal* 2 (4): 486–91. doi:10.1002/biot.200600218.

Tyler, C. 1965. "A Study of the Egg Shells of the Sphenisciformes". In *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London*, 147:1–19.

Ugurel, S., V. Rebmann, S. Ferrone, W. Tilgen, H. Grosse-Wilde, and U. Reinhold. 2001. "Soluble Human Leukocyte Antigen–G Serum Level Is Elevated in Melanoma Patients and Is Further Increased by Interferon-α Immunotherapy". *Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Society* 92 (2): 369–76.

Uller, T., C. Isaksson, and M. Olsson. 2006. "Immune Challenge Reduces Reproductive Output and Growth in a Lizard". *Functional Ecology* 20 (5): 873–79. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006.01163.x.

Uribe, C., H. Folch, R. Enriquez, and G. Moran. 2011. "Innate and Adaptive Immunity in Teleost Fish: A Review". *Veterinarni Medicina* 56 (10): 486–503. doi:10.17221/3294-

Van Dyke, J. U., M. C. Brandley, and M. B. Thompson. 2014. "The Evolution of Viviparity: Molecular and Genomic Data from Squamate Reptiles Advance Understanding of Live Birth in Amniotes". *Reproduction* 147 (1). doi:10.1530/REP-13-0309.

Vannuccini, S., C. Bocchi, F. M. Severi, J. R. Challis, and F. Petraglia. 2016. “Endocrinology of Human Parturition”. *Annales d’Endocrinologie* 77 (2). Elsevier Masson SAS: 105–13. doi:10.1016/j.ando.2016.04.025.

Veer, M. J. De, J. M. Kemp, and E. N.T. Meeusen. 2007. “The Innate Host Defence against Nematode Parasites”. *Parasite Immunology* 29 (1): 1–9. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3024.2006.00910.x.

Vieira, S., G. De Perez, and M. Patricia Ramírez-Pinilla. 2007. “Invasive Cells in the Placentome of Andean Populations of *Mabuya*: An Endotheliochorial Contribution to the Placenta?” *Anatomical Record* 290 (12): 1508–18. doi:10.1002/ar.20609.

Visser, J. 1975. “Oviparity in Two South African Skinks of the Genus *Mabuya*, with Notes on Hatching”. *Zoologica Africana* 10 (2): 209–13.

Vogel, P. 2005. “The Current Molecular Phylogeny of Eutherian Mammals Challenges Previous Interpretations of Placental Evolution”. *Placenta* 26 (8–9): 591–96. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2004.11.005.

Vokes, S. A., and P. A. Krieg. 2002. “Endoderm Is Required for Vascular Endothelial Tube Formation, but Not for Angioblast Specification”. *Development* 129 (3): 775–85.

Vonnahme, K. A., M. E. Wilson, and S. P. Ford. 2002. “Conceptus Competition for Uterine Space: Different Strategies Exhibited by the Meishan and Yorkshire Pig”. *Journal of Animal Science* 80 (5): 1311–16. doi:10.2527/2002.8051311x.

Vonnahme, K. A., M. E. Wilson, and S. P. Ford. 2001. “Relationship between Placental Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Expression and Placental/Endometrial Vascularity in the Pig”. *Biology of Reproduction* 64 (6): 1821–25. doi:10.1095/biolreprod64.6.1821.

Warner, C. M., S. O. Gollnick, L. Flaherty and S. B. Goldbard. 1986. “Analysis of Qa-2 antigen expression by preimplantation mouse embryos: possible relationship to the preimplantation-embryo-development (Ped) gene product”. *Biology of Reproduction* 36 (3): 611-6.

Wagner, G. P., K. Kin, L. Muglia, and M. Pavličev. 2014. “Evolution of Mammalian Pregnancy and the Origin of the Decidual Stromal Cell”. *International Journal of Developmental Biology* 58 (2–4): 117–26. doi:10.1387/ijdb.130335gw.

Wasserman, R. H., C. A. Smith, C. M. Smith, M. E. Brindak, C. S. Fullmer, L. Krook, J. T. Penniston, and R. Kumar. 1991. “Immunohistochemical Localization of a Calcium Pump and Calbindin-D28k in the Oviduct of the Laying Hen”. *Histochemistry* 96 (5): 413–18. doi:10.1007/BF00315999.

Watson, C. M., R. Makowsky, and J. C. Bagley. 2014. “Reproductive Mode Evolution in Lizards Revisited: Updated Analyses Examining Geographic, Climatic and Phylogenetic Effects Support the Cold-Climate Hypothesis”. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology* 27 (12): 2767–80. doi:10.1111/jeb.12536.

Webb, J. K., R. Shine, and K. A. Christian. 2006. “The Adaptive Significance of Reptilian Viviparity in the Tropics: Testing the Maternal Manipulation Hypothesis”. *Evolution* 60 (1): 115. doi:10.1554/05-460.1.

Weekes, H. C.. 1934. “The Corpus Luteum in Certain Oviparous and Viviparous Reptiles”. In *Proc. Linn. Soc. NSW*, 59:380–91.

Wegmann, T. G., H. Lin, L. Guilbert, and T. R. Mosmann. 1993. “Bidirectional Cytokine Interactions in the Maternal-Fetal Relationship: Is Successful Pregnancy a TH2 Phenomenon?” *Immunology Today* 14 (7): 353–56. doi:10.1016/0167-5699(93)90235-D.

Weiss, G., and L. T. Goldsmith. 2001. “Relaxin and the Cervix”. In *The Endocrinology of Parturition*, 27:105–12. Karger Publishers.

Welbergen, J., S. M. Klose, N. Markus, and P. Eby. 2008. “Climate Change and the Effects of Temperature Extremes on Australian Flying-Foxes”. *Proceedings: Biological Sciences* 275 (1633): 419–25. doi:10.1098/rspb.2007.1385.

Wen, Y., W. Fang, L. X. Xiang, R. L. Pan, and J. Z. Shao. 2011. “Identification of Treg-like Cells in Tetraodon: Insight into the Origin of Regulatory T Subsets during Early Vertebrate Evolution”. *Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences* 68 (15): 2615–26. doi:10.1007/s00018-010-0574-5.

Whittington, C. M., K. Danastas, G. E. Grau, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2017. “Expression of VEGF111 and Other VEGF-A Variants in the Rat Uterus Is Correlated with Stage of Pregnancy”. *Journal of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental Physiology* 187 (2). Springer Berlin Heidelberg: 353–60. doi:10.1007/s00360-016-1040-y.

Whittington, C. M., G. E. Grau, C. R. Murphy, and M. B. Thompson. 2015. “Unusual Angiogenic Factor Plays a Role in Lizard Pregnancy but Is Not Unique to Viviparity”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 324 (2). Wiley Online Library: 152–58.

Whittington, C. M., D. O’Meally, M. K. Laird, K. Belov, M. B. Thompson, and B. M. McAllan. 2018. “Transcriptomic Changes in the Pre-Implantation Uterus Highlight Histotrophic Nutrition of the Developing Marsupial Embryo”. *Scientific Reports* 8 (1): 1–18. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-20744-z.

Whittle, W. L., A. C. Holloway, S. J. Lye, W. Gibb, and J. R. G. Challis. 2000. “Prostaglandin Production at the Onset of Ovine Parturition Is Regulated by Both Estrogen-Independent and Estrogen-Dependent Pathways”. *Endocrinology* 141 (10). Oxford University Press: 3783–91.

Wienke, J., L. Brouwers, L. van der Burg, M. Mokry, R. C. Scholman, P. G. J. Nikkels, B. van Rijn, and F. van Wijk. 2019. “Human Regulatory T Cells at the Maternal-Fetal Interface Show Functional Site-Specific Adaptation with Tumor-Infiltrating-like Features”. *BioRxiv*. doi:10.1101/820753.

Williams, T. D. 2012. *Physiological Adaptations for Breeding in Birds*. Princeton and Oxford: Princeton University Press.

Wilt, F. H. 1965. “Erythropoiesis in the Chick Embryo: The Role of Endoderm”. *Science* 147: 1588–90.

Withanage, G. S. K., K. Sasai, T. Fukata, T. Miyamoto, H. S. Lillehoj, and E. Baba. 2003. “Increased Lymphocyte Subpopulations and Macrophages in the Ovaries and Oviducts of Laying Hens Infected with Salmonella Enterica Serovar Enteritidis”. *Avian Pathology* 32 (6): 583–90. doi:10.1080/03079450310001610631.

Wooding, F. B. P., M. P. Ramirez-Pinilla, and A. S. Forhead. 2010. “Functional Studies of the Placenta of the Lizard *Mabuya sp*. (Scincidae) Using Immunocytochemistry”. *Placenta* 31 (8). Elsevier Ltd: 675–85. doi:10.1016/j.placenta.2010.04.001.

Wootton, R., I. R. McFadyen, and J. E. Cooper. 1977. “Measurement of Placental Blood Flow in the Pig and Its Relation to Placental and Fetal Weight”. *Neonatology* 31 (5–6). Karger Publishers: 333–39.

Work, T. M., G. H. Balazs, R. A. Rameyer, S. P. Chang, and J. Berestecky. 2000. “Assessing Humoral and Cell-Mediated Immune Response in Hawaiian Green Turtles, *Chelonia mydas*”. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology* 74 (3–4): 179–94. doi:10.1016/S0165-2427(00)00168-9.

Wray, S., T. Burdyga, D. Noble, K. Noble, L. Borysova, and S. Arrowsmith. 2015. “Progress in Understanding Electro-Mechanical Signalling in the Myometrium”. *Acta Physiologica* 213 (2): 417–31. doi:10.1111/apha.12431.

Wu, C., C. Lv, Y. Wan, X. Li, J. Zhang, J. Li, and Y. Wang. 2019. “Arginine Vasotocin (AVT)/Mesotocin (MT) Receptors in Chickens: Evidence for the Possible Involvement of AVT-AVPR1 Signaling in the Regulation of Oviposition and Pituitary Prolactin Expression”. *General and Comparative Endocrinology* 281 (January): 91–104. doi:10.1016/j.ygcen.2019.05.013.

Wu, L., L. H. Luo, Y. X. Zhang, Q. Li, B. Xu, G. X. Zhou, H. B. Luan, and Y. S. Liu. 2014. “Alteration of Th17 and Treg Cells in Patients with Unexplained Recurrent Spontaneous Abortion before and after Lymphocyte Immunization Therapy”. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology* 12 (1): 1–9. doi:10.1186/1477-7827-12-74.

Wu, Q., M. B. Thompson, and C. R. Murphy. 2011. “Changing Distribution of Cadherins during Gestation in the Uterine Epithelium of Lizards”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B: Molecular and Developmental Evolution* 316 (6): 440–50.

Yagel, S., P. K. Lala, W. A. Powell, and R. F. Casper. 1989. “Interleukin-1 Stimulates Human Chorionic Gonadotropin Secretion by First Trimester Human Trophoblast”. *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism* 68 (5). Oxford University Press: 992–95.

Yang, F., Q. Zheng, and L. Jin. 2019. “Dynamic Function and Composition Changes of Immune Cells During Normal and Pathological Pregnancy at the Maternal-Fetal Interface”. *Frontiers in Immunology* 10 (October): 1–15. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02317.

Yang, J. H., Z. H. Zhao, J. F. Hou, Z. L. Zhou, Y. F. Deng, and J. J. Dai. 2013. “Expression of TRPV6 and CaBP-D28k in the Egg Shell Gland (Uterus) during the Oviposition Cycle of the Laying Hen”. *British Poultry Science* 54 (3). Taylor & Francis: 398–406.

Yang, X., F. Zhao, Q. Han, Y. Dong, J. Lei, C. Yang, Y. Guo, K. Ito, and B. Zhang. 2020. “Transcriptome Analysis in the Shell Gland of Laying Hens Affecting Eggshell Qualities”. *Research Square* 1–19. doi:10.21203/rs.3.rs-34810/v1.

Yang, Y., D. E. Geraghty, and J. S. Hunt. 1995. “Cytokine Regulation of HLA-G Expression in Human Trophoblast Cell Lines”. *Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 29 (3). Elsevier: 179–95.

Yan-Jun, L., T. Tsushima, N. Onoda, S. Minei, M. Sanaka, T. Nagashima, K. Yanagisawa, and Y. Omori. 1996. “Expression of Messenger RNA of (IGFs) and IGF Binding Proteins Normal and Diabetic Pregnancy Insulin-Like (IGFBP1-6) Growth Factors in Placenta Of”. *Endocrine Journal* 43.

Yie, S. M., L. H. Li, G. M. Li, R. Xiao, and C. L. Librach. 2006. “Progesterone Enhances HLA-G Gene Expression in JEG-3 Choriocarcinoma Cells and Human Cytotrophoblasts in Vitro”. *Human Reproduction* 21 (1). Oxford University Press: 46–51.

Yie, S. M., R. Xiao, and C. L Librach. 2006. “Progesterone Regulates HLA-G Gene Expression through a Novel Progesterone Response Element”. *Human Reproduction* 21 (10). Oxford University Press England: 2538–44.

Yoshimura, Y., T. Okamoto, and T. Tamura. 1997. “Localisation of MHC Class II, Lymphocytes and Immunoglobulins in the Oviduct of Laying and Moulting Hens”. *British Poultry Science* 38 (5). Taylor & Francis: 590–96.

Yoshinaga, K. 2008. “Review of Factors Essential for Blastocyst Implantation for Their Modulating Effects on the Maternal Immune System”. In *Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology* 19:161–69.

Yoshizawa, R. S. 2016. “Fetal–Maternal Intra-Action: Politics of New Placental Biologies”. *Body and Society* 22 (4): 79–105. doi:10.1177/1357034X16662323.

Young, I. R., M. B. Renfree, S. Mesiano, G. Shaw, G. Jenkin, and R. Smith. 2011. “The Comparative Physiology of Parturition in Mammals: Hormones and Parturition in Mammals*”*. *Hormones and Reproduction of Vertebrates* 5. doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-374928-4.10006-9.

Yuan, W., and A. López Bernal. 2007. “Cyclic AMP Signalling Pathways in the Regulation of Uterine Relaxation”. *BMC Pregnancy and Childbirth* 7. 1–6. doi:10.1186/1471-2393-7-S1-S10.

Zelenitsky, D.K., S.P. Modesto, P. Currie. 2002. “Bird-like characteristics of troodontid theropod eggshell”. *Cretaceous Research* 23: 297–305

Zelenitsky, D.K., S. P. Modesto. 2003. “New information on the eggshell of ratites (Aves) and its phylogenetic implications”. *Canadian Journal of Zoology*. 81 (6): 962–970

Zenclussen, M. L., C. Thuere, N. Ahmad, P. O. Wafula, S. Fest, A. Teles, A. Leber, et al. 2010. “The Persistence of Paternal Antigens in the Maternal Body Is Involved in Regulatory T-Cell Expansion and Fetal-Maternal Tolerance in Murine Pregnancy”. *American Journal of Reproductive Immunology* 63 (3): 200–208. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0897.2009.00793.x.

Zettergren, L. D., and R. T. Cutlan. 1992. “Immunoglobulin-containing Cells in Chick Embryo Urogenital Tissues: A New Site for Early B Lineage Cells in Endothermic Vertebrates”. *Journal of Experimental Zoology* 262 (4): 458–61.

Zhang, J., Y. Wang, C. Zhang, M. Xiong, S. A. Rajput, Y. Liu, and D. Qi. 2019. “The Differences of Gonadal Hormones and Uterine Transcriptome during Shell Calcification of Hens Laying Hard or Weak-Shelled Eggs”. *BMC Genomics* 20 (1): 1–12. doi:10.1186/s12864-019-6017-2.

Zimmerman, L. M., L. A. Vogel, and R. M. Bowden. 2010. “Commentary: Understanding the Vertebrate Immune System: Insights from the Reptilian Perspective”. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 213 (5): 661–71. doi:10.1242/jeb.038315.

Zimmerman, L. M. 2020. “The Reptilian Perspective on Vertebrate Immunity: 10 Years of Progress”. *The Journal of Experimental Biology* 223. doi:10.1242/jeb.214171.

Zimmerman, L. M., R. M. Bowden, and L. A. Vogel. 2014. “A Vertebrate Cytokine Primer for Eco-Immunologists”. *Functional Ecology* 28 (5): 1061–73. doi:10.1111/1365-2435.12273.

Zoccola, D., A. Moya, G. E. Béranger, E. Tambutté, D. Allemand, G. F. Carle, and S. Tambutté. 2009. “Specific Expression of BMP2/4 Ortholog in Biomineralizing Tissues of Corals and Action on Mouse BMP Receptor”. *Marine Biotechnology* 11 (2): 260–69. doi:10.1007/s10126-008-9141-6.