

1 Understanding the systematics and evolution of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus* (Ericaceae):  
2 progress and prospects

3 Peter W. Fritsch<sup>1\*</sup>, Andrew A. Crowl<sup>2,3</sup>, Hamid Ashrafi<sup>3</sup>, Paul S. Manos<sup>2</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup>Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, TX 76107

5 \*Author for correspondence; email: pfritsch@brit.org

6 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Duke University, Durham, North Carolina 27708

7 <sup>3</sup>Department of Horticultural Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695

8  
9 ORCID: PWF 0000-0002-3606-663X; AAC 0000-0002-1745-0687; HA 0000-0002-2094-2367;  
10 PSM 0000-0003-3122-1538

11  
12 ABSTRACT. The true blueberries (*Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*; Ericaceae) comprise a  
13 clade of about nine to 24 species distributed mainly in eastern temperate North America, with  
14 one species reaching farther west. Despite extensive study, the systematics and evolution of the  
15 group are still poorly understood. Limited morphological variation, multiple ploidy levels of  
16 uncertain origin, and natural hybridization all contribute to the challenge. Questionable analytical  
17 methods, such as the use of phenetics and an overemphasis on crossing experiments, have further  
18 impeded progress. Here we review the history of research on the systematics and evolution of *V.*  
19 sect. *Cyanococcus* with the aim of clarifying and summarizing hypotheses of species origins and  
20 diversification, especially in relation to polyploidy. We also present recent progress from our  
21 own work and, on that basis, offer promising lines of investigation with morphological and  
22 molecular data. We anticipate that these avenues of research will ultimately clarify patterns of

23 natural species diversity in *V.* sect. *Cyanococcus* with benefits for biodiversity studies,  
24 conservation, and crop breeding.

25

26 Key words: biosystematics, blueberries, hybridization, morphology, North America, polyploidy,  
27 systematics, taxonomy

28

29 *Vaccinium* section *Cyanococcus* A. Gray, the true blueberries (Ericaceae: Vaccinioideae  
30 Arn.: Vaccinieae Rchb.; henceforth “*Cyanococcus*”), is a polyploid complex of ca. nine to 24  
31 primarily outcrossing diploid (2x), tetraploid (4x), and/or hexaploid (6x) species distributed  
32 across much of temperate North America (Camp 1945; Song and Hancock 2011; Vander Kloet  
33 1988; Figure 1). The species of this group are of immense ecological and economic value. They  
34 form a ubiquitous component of heathlands and acidophilic plant communities and serve as a  
35 critical food source for wildlife. They also comprise the wild progenitors of most of the  
36 blueberry cultivars that form the basis of a vibrant and growing multi-billion-dollar agroindustry.  
37 Blueberries represent one of only a handful of major crop plants originating in North America.

38 Although the sectional limits of *Cyanococcus* are clear, the number of accepted species  
39 and their boundaries vary dramatically among the key published taxonomic treatments.  
40 Considered the current standard, the treatment in the *Flora of North America* (Vander Kloet  
41 2009) is undoubtedly flawed, yet alternatives produced concomitantly or since cover only limited  
42 geographic regions or are largely recapitulations of prior work that is itself questionable. The  
43 unresolved taxonomy of *Cyanococcus* has led to confusion and inconsistency in species  
44 identification and the application of names by researchers across biological fields. It has also  
45 resulted in ambiguity in the names of *Cyanococcus* cultivars. For example, the name *Vaccinium*

46 *corymbosum* L. has been used liberally for both “Highbush” and “Southern Highbush” cultivars  
47 more than one meter tall, in northern and southern climates, respectively, despite differences in  
48 genetic composition revealed through artificial hybridization with various species (Galleta and  
49 Ballington 1996).

50 Progress in understanding the systematics and evolution of *Cyanococcus* has thus far  
51 been reviewed primarily from the perspective of horticulture and crop breeding (e.g., Galleta and  
52 Ballington 1996; Luby et al. 1991; Song and Hancock 2011). Here we provide a review with the  
53 focus placed primarily on natural populations. We first address the taxonomic establishment and  
54 circumscription of the section and discuss the key morphological characters used in taxonomic  
55 treatments. This is followed by an overview of the two seminal monographs of *Cyanococcus*,  
56 i.e., those of W.H. Camp (1945) and S.P. Vander Kloet (1988), comparing them to each other  
57 and to more recent, mainly regional treatments, with the aim of providing clarity on the rich set  
58 of hypotheses developed by *Cyanococcus* researchers over the last eight decades that can be  
59 tested with new data. Relevant evolutionary studies conducted after the work of Vander Kloet  
60 are then summarized. We end with our own preliminary findings based on fieldwork and  
61 examination of herbarium material and offer prospects for resolving the systematics and  
62 evolution of the group. The terminology of morphological characters and evolutionary concepts  
63 used in cited works has been updated and standardized, with changes from the original terms and  
64 concepts noted where appropriate. Phylogenetic relationships are addressed at only a cursory  
65 level here because the published data are still sparse, are primarily based on phenetics, and do  
66 not incorporate ploidy or hybridization into the analyses. We plan to focus more fully on these  
67 topics in future publications.

68

69 ESTABLISHMENT, RANK, POSITION, AND CIRCUMSCRIPTION OF *CYANOCOCCUS*

70 *Cyanococcus* was first published at the rank of section under *Vaccinium* L. (Gray 1848).

71 It was then raised to the level of subgenus (Klotzsch 1851), and then to genus (Rydberg 1917,

72 although as the illegitimate name *Cyanococcus* (A. Gray) Rydb. non *Cyanococcus* A. Hansgirg

73 publ. 1905; International Plant Names Index 2021). The latter rank was accepted by Small

74 (1933), but Camp (1945) considered it to “probably [merit] the rank of subgenus under

75 *Vaccinium*.” The key treatments that include *Cyanococcus* published since have all recognized it

76 as a section of *Vaccinium* (e.g., Sleumer 1941; Stevens 2004; Vander Kloet 1983, 1988; Vander

77 Kloet and Dickinson 2009). Nonetheless, *Vaccinium* has been considered “wildly polyphyletic”

78 (Stevens 2004), and the available molecular phylogenetic data have supported para- or polyphyly

79 for the genus (Powell and Kron 2002). This has led to proposals that divide *Vaccinium* into

80 various numbers of genera, many corresponding to current sections. In the most extreme of these

81 proposals, *Vaccinium* would be reduced to the single species *V. uliginosum* L. (W.S. Judd,

82 University of Florida, pers. comm.), in which case *Cyanococcus* would be recognized again at

83 the level of genus (although with a new name). However, much greater taxon sampling and

84 improved molecular phylogenetic data will need to be generated and analyzed before seriously

85 contemplating such changes.

86 *Cyanococcus* fits easily into the tribe Vaccinieae within the Ericaceae by its inferior

87 ovary (Stevens 2004). Other characters that, in combination, place the section in this tribe are

88 abortive pseudoterminal vegetative buds (versus non-abortive), presence of anther tubules

89 (versus absence), and baccate fruits (versus dry; Stevens 2004). The phylogenetic placement of

90 *Cyanococcus* within the Vaccinieae is currently unclear and, as with rank, will require much

91 more extensive sampling within the tribe and improved molecular data than has thus far been  
92 applied.

93 As to circumscription, Gray (1859, 1860) and Sleumer (1941) placed several Japanese  
94 and northeastern Asian species in *Cyanococcus*, and Sleumer (1941) erected *Vaccinium* sect.  
95 *Pseudocyanococcus* Sleumer for the strongly evergreen species *V. myrsinites* Lam.; these  
96 placements have been rejected by subsequent authors. Odell et al. (1989) presented strong  
97 evidence for the current circumscription of the section based on the unique characters within  
98 *Vaccinium* of eruptive periderm development resulting in distinctive elongate diamond-shaped  
99 bark patterns and raised branchlet stomata rendering the branchlets verrucose (Figure 2A, 2B).  
100 Several other characters in combination also serve to diagnose the section, e.g., dimorphic  
101 vegetative and flowering buds, flowering buds with more than two overlapping scales, flowers in  
102 short racemes, the presence of an articulation between the pedicel apex and hypanthium (ovary),  
103 well-developed calyx lobes, the absence of anther spurs, and a pseudo-10-locular ovary (Camp  
104 1945; Vander Kloet 1983; Figure 2C–H). A phylogenetic study based on DNA sequence data  
105 from the nuclear ITS and plastid *matK* and *ndhF* regions, which included samples of many  
106 sections of *Vaccinium*, supports the monophyly of the section, albeit with only two species of  
107 *Cyanococcus* sampled (Powell and Kron 2002). Phylogenomic analysis based on high-  
108 throughput DNA sequence data with more species of *Cyanococcus* included also supports the  
109 monophyly of the section (Crowl et al. 2022; A.A. Crowl et al., unpubl. data).

110

#### 111 MORPHOLOGICAL CHARACTERS USED IN THE TAXONOMY OF *CYANOCOCCUS*

112 One of the main challenges in resolving the systematics and evolution of *Cyanococcus*  
113 has been the relative paucity of distinct morphological characters for use in species delimitation.

114 The characters that have been used to delimit taxa often overlap from taxon to taxon and some  
115 are often tightly correlated (e.g., the color of the leaves, pedicels, and hypanthium). The key  
116 morphological characters that have generally been used in taxonomic treatments of *Cyanococcus*  
117 are as follows. Habit: plant height, shape; extent of clonality (single above-ground stems vs.  
118 several stems together or many stems forming colonies). Branchlet: density; color; angularity;  
119 presence, arrangement, and length of surface trichomes. Vegetative bud: color; size. Leaf:  
120 persistence. Leaf blade: color on abaxial and adaxial surfaces; sheen on the abaxial surface;  
121 shape; dimensions; presence, density, and length of simple trichomes on the abaxial surface;  
122 presence of stipitate-glandular trichomes on the abaxial surface; presence, orientation, and length  
123 of trichomes on midvein on the abaxial surface; presence and regularity of (stipitate-glandular-  
124 tipped) serrations on the margin. Flower: density per inflorescence. Pedicel and calyx: color;  
125 presence of simple and stipitate-glandular trichomes. Corolla: color; shape; dimensions; presence  
126 of stipitate-glandular trichomes on the abaxial surface. Stamens: presence and arrangement of  
127 simple trichomes on the filaments; diameter of pollen tetrads. Fruit: color; sheen; dimensions;  
128 presence and quantity of surficial wax (“bloom”); seed weight.

129         Most of these characters are fully retained on herbarium specimens. For those that are  
130 not, we implore collectors to supply field information with the specimen. Particularly important  
131 are the following: plant clonality and diameter of the clone when present (care should be taken to  
132 distinguish among physically overlapping clones as assessed by, e.g., consistently different leaf  
133 blade shapes); plant height, and the number of basal stems; colors of various organs (branchlets,  
134 leaves, calyx, corolla [note any patterns such as lines], fruit); corolla shape, which can be  
135 distorted on dried specimens through, e.g., the splitting of campanulate or urceolate corollas; the  
136 presence or absence, and degree of, wax deposits on the calyx and fruit (“bloom”); fruit sheen

137 (dull or glossy); and the orientation of flowers and fruit. It is also important to indicate plant  
138 species associates, especially the presence of other species of *Cyanococcus* growing with the  
139 collected plant. Separate collections should be made to document local variation in morphology,  
140 or suspected hybrids or introgressants. Collections of the same plant should ideally be made at  
141 different times of the season because flowers and fruits typically occur asynchronously in  
142 populations, and flowering often occurs prior to full leaf expansion. Finally, care should be taken  
143 to note whether any suckering branchlets have been collected because the leaves on these  
144 branchlets can differ from those on normal branchlets in at least shape and dimensions.

145

146

#### TAXONOMIC TREATMENTS

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

Critical taxonomic work on *Cyanococcus* can be divided into three segments roughly  
corresponding to time intervals. Before this work, the only group-wide treatments of  
*Cyanococcus* were produced as part of general floristic accounts for all or part of North America  
(e.g., Britton and Brown 1913; Chapman 1897; Gray 1908; Small 1933). The first two comprise  
the *Cyanococcus*-wide works of W.H. Camp in the 1940s and S.P. Vander Kloet mainly in the  
1970s through 1990s. Both of these investigators conducted their research in the overall context  
of crop improvement, applying data from experimental crossing studies, genetics, and cytology  
to questions of species delimitation and the evolution of natural populations. These individuals  
produced a wealth of research that has advanced the knowledge of *Cyanococcus* substantially,  
although the focus on horticulture appears to have too strongly favored evidence relevant for  
crop breeding such as crossing information and fruit quality. The third segment consists of  
treatments of *Vaccinium*, including *Cyanococcus*, for specific U.S. states, written partly in  
response to those of Camp and/or Vander Kloet.

160           **W.H. Camp.** Comprehensive taxonomic study of *Cyanococcus* began in earnest with the  
161 work of W.H. Camp (Figure 3A) while he was an herbarium curator at the New York Botanical  
162 Garden. Although lesser known than some of the other botanists contributing to the evolutionary  
163 synthesis such as G.L. Stebbins and E. Anderson, Camp was nonetheless influential in the  
164 development and promulgation of biosystematics, i.e., the study of taxonomy and classification  
165 based directly on evolutionary principles and processes, as applied to botany (Kleinman 2009).  
166 Under the tenets of the biological species concept (Mayr 1942, 1963), the plant biosystematists  
167 used data from morphology, experimental crossing studies, genetics, and cytology to assess the  
168 reproductive isolation of individuals and populations emphasized for species delimitation, as  
169 well as to disentangle genetic from environmental influences on morphology and physiology.  
170 Although the theory of phylogenetic classification had yet to be fully developed, biosystematic  
171 classifications can be considered phylogenetically based; e.g., species were placed along or  
172 terminating lines of descent.

173           Based on prior studies (Camp 1942; Camp and Gilly 1943; Darrow and Camp 1945) but  
174 with much new information, Camp (1945) developed the first comprehensive taxonomic  
175 treatment of *Cyanococcus*; this was also one of the early taxonomic products of the plant  
176 biosystematists. *Cyanococcus* was divided into 24 species, with many earlier species and varietal  
177 names placed into synonymy (Table 1; Supplementary Data). Hypotheses were proposed only  
178 for the origins of most of the tetraploids and hexaploids; the origins of the diploids and the  
179 tetraploid *Vaccinium hirsutum* Buckley were left unspecified. Camp strongly emphasized  
180 estimates of ploidy derived from chromosome squashes to delimit species boundaries. No  
181 species possesses more than one ploidy level in the treatment, and species often form an  
182 ancestor-descendant diploid ( $2x$ , with  $x = 12$ )–tetraploid ( $4x$ )–hexaploid ( $6x$ ) series (the



183 “euploidion” of Camp and Gilly 1943) that either branches or converges with other species or  
184 series and thus form reticulate patterns of relationship (the “polyploid complex” of Grant 1981).  
185 Some species were thought to have originated through autopolyploidy and others through  
186 allopolyploidy (terms as in, e.g., Grant 1981; Spoelhof et al. 2017; Stebbins 1950), and two (the  
187 tetraploid *V. corymbosum* and hexaploid *V. ashei* J.M. Reade) were considered to combine parts  
188 of the genomes of several ancestral species.

189         Today the categories “highbush” and “lowbush” are applied to various *Cyanococcus*  
190 species in the horticultural literature, usually with the additional category “rabbit-eye” included  
191 and “highbush” often divided into “southern highbush” and “northern highbush” (e.g., Galletta  
192 and Ballington 1996; Luby et al. 1991; Vander Kloet 1983; Weakley 2020). However, Camp  
193 generally avoided the term “lowbush” and applied “highbush” only to those species more than  
194 one meter tall that he considered being involved in the evolution of *Vaccinium corymbosum*. An  
195 exception is the use of “lowbush” in the general key to species, but it appears that Camp used it  
196 merely as an artificial means of identification, with the division at one meter. Camp also referred  
197 frequently to “halfhigh” plants, which supposedly resulted from various crosses of “lowbush”  
198 and “highbush” types.

199         Camp ascribed his observations of wide variation within and among the species of  
200 *Cyanococcus* mainly to hybridization and introgression, and less commonly to segmental  
201 allopolyploidy. Based largely on the observation that viable hybrids are freely produced  
202 experimentally from parental species with the same ploidy level (either diploid, tetraploid, or  
203 hexaploid; Darrow and Camp 1945), Camp concluded that natural hybridization among the  
204 species of *Cyanococcus* is rampant and assumed that morphological similarity between  
205 experimentally produced hybrid progeny and wild individuals demonstrated that the latter are

206 hybrids. Camp also thought that at least some of the allopolyploidization events produced  
207 “segregative allopolyploids” ( $\pm$  equivalent to “segmental allopolyploids” of e.g., Grant 1981;  
208 Mason and Wendel 2020; Stebbins 1950), i.e., allopolyploids in which the morphology of  
209 progeny tends toward that of one or the other parent through genetic recombination. Individuals  
210 with morphology ranging outside the norm of a species and resulting from hybridization,  
211 introgression (although not termed as such), and/or segmental allopolyploidization were  
212 designated as “elements” or “phases,” e.g., “the ‘simulatoid’ element of *Vaccinium*  
213 *corymbosum*” or “the ‘alto-montanoid’ element of *V. constablaei*” A. Gray. Camp also  
214 considered the boundary corresponding to the edge of the ice sheet during the last glacial  
215 maximum to have significantly influenced the current geographic distribution of the “highbush”  
216 species of *Cyanococcus*, such that distributional information relative to this boundary was  
217 included in the “Key to the basic populations” of species, sometimes to the exclusion of  
218 morphology. Camp proposed that interbreeding does not swamp species boundaries in  
219 *Cyanococcus* because hybrid establishment mainly occurs in habitats modified by humans,  
220 whereas in undisturbed areas the species were considered to be more fully separated by habitat  
221 and thus tend to breed true.

222       Camp’s treatise is at once comprehensive, groundbreaking, and thought-provoking. The  
223 work offers a wealth of information, including an abundance of hypotheses on *Cyanococcus*  
224 species boundaries and evolution that can be further refined and tested with new data. Despite  
225 the significant advance represented by Camp’s treatment, the overall value of the work is  
226 nonetheless compromised in several ways, which must be noted for a complete understanding of  
227 its implications. (1) Other than types, herbarium specimens are not cited; this renders the  
228 (somewhat abbreviated) species descriptions, discussions, and figures as the only means for the

229 reader to obtain information on species boundaries, infraspecific and interspecific variation,  
230 hybridization, and introgression. Furthermore, the few figures in the treatment consist merely of  
231 low-resolution photographs of some of the species in the living condition. (2) If one is to assume  
232 that the work of Darrow et al. (1944) represents the entirety of the count data on which the  
233 species chromosome numbers are based, then the determination of ploidy is apparently based on  
234 only one to several counts for each species. Moreover, Darrow et al. (1944) do not clearly  
235 distinguish between chromosome counts made from cultivated versus wild-collected material.  
236 (3) Three of the species are provided with an indication of a ploidy level (all tetraploids) that is  
237 merely a prediction based on morphology versus an empirical chromosome count; thus, the  
238 hypothesized origin of these species, and even their very existence, must be questioned. (4)  
239 Assertions of natural hybridization and introgression are inadequately documented, i.e.,  
240 presented without supporting data. (5) The evolutionary origin and diversification of many of the  
241 species are too often presented as substantiated fact when the evidence was scant at best,  
242 including highly speculative movements of ancestral species in response to climatic and  
243 glaciation events.

244       **S.P. Vander Kloet.** The work of Camp was used as the basis for further investigating the  
245 taxonomy and evolution of *Cyanococcus* by S.P. Vander Kloet, professor of biology at Acadia  
246 University and curator of its E.C. Smith Herbarium (Figure 3B). Like Camp, Vander Kloet relied  
247 heavily on experimental crossing studies as a basis for taxonomic conclusions in *Cyanococcus*.  
248 In contrast to Camp, he employed morphological phenetic analyses based on the principles of  
249 numerical taxonomy (Sneath and Sokal 1973); as such, he rejected the use of phylogenetics in  
250 estimating lines of descent and delimiting species boundaries a priori. After contributing  
251 numerous publications on the taxonomy and evolution of *Cyanococcus* (Vander Kloet 1976a,

252 1976b, 1977a, 1977b, 1978a, 1978b, 1980, 1983), Vander Kloet produced a culminating  
253 treatment of the group as part of a monograph of *Vaccinium* in North America, recognizing nine  
254 species of *Cyanococcus* (Vander Kloet 1988). Like the works of Camp, those of Vander Kloet  
255 often suffer from inadequate evidence-based conclusions. This applies particularly to assertions  
256 of natural hybridization and introgression but extends to species circumscription as well, because  
257 only rarely are herbarium specimens cited. The data from experimental crosses are too often  
258 presented anecdotally and backed neither by published data nor cited herbarium vouchers. For  
259 example, the published works often lack the data sets on which phenetic analyses are based.

260         The most prominent element of Vander Kloet’s revisionary work (see particularly Vander  
261 Kloet 1980) based on the above approaches was the conclusion that all *Cyanococcus* species  
262 more than one meter tall (“highbush”) form a “compilospecies,” i.e., a genetically aggressive  
263 species that acquires the heredities of closely related sympatric species through hybridization and  
264 introgression (Harlan and deWet 1963). Vander Kloet proposed that this compilospecies,  
265 *Vaccinium corymbosum*, originated from hybridization between *V. darrowii* Camp and *V.*  
266 *tenellum* Aiton, and that it subsequently acquired and is still acquiring characters from the other  
267 diploid “lowbush” blueberries in his treatment, i.e., *V. boreale* I.V. Hall & Aalders, *V. pallidum*  
268 Aiton, and *V. myrtilloides* Michx., through repeated crossing, introgression, and polyploidization  
269 where they contact each other. As such, *V. corymbosum*, now the sole “highbush” species in  
270 *Cyanococcus*, was considered a highly variable species both morphologically and genetically,  
271 arising through multiple origins and comprising diploids, tetraploids, and hexaploids.  
272 Consequently, 12 of Camp’s species were placed in synonymy (Table 1).

273         As evidence for justifying this concept of *Vaccinium corymbosum*, Vander Kloet cited  
274 the clustering of the “highbush” samples in his phenetic analysis relative to the “lowbush”

275 samples, as well as the general lack of internal resolution within the “highbush” cluster. Because  
276 the character matrix for this analysis was not provided in the publication, it is difficult to  
277 independently assess these conclusions. Vander Kloet considered the findings of significantly  
278 fewer stainable pollen tetrads in “highbush” tetraploids versus “lowbush” diploids, and progeny  
279 from seed collected in the field from a single open-pollinated shrub that only partially resembled  
280 the parent, further evidence of a hybrid origin of *V. corymbosum*. It is unfortunate that of the 56  
281 populations collected, Vander Kloet detailed only one example of the latter observation—a  
282 hybrid between plants corresponding to the diploids *V. atrococcum* A. Heller and *V. caesariense*  
283 Mack. sensu Camp (1945). The rest of the data are merely summarized by the statement “Similar  
284 results were obtained from the other seed collections acquired and treated in the same way as  
285 described above....” Vander Kloet’s discussion implies that tetraploid individuals and  
286 populations of *V. corymbosum* have been repeatedly produced from the “lowbush” diploids, and  
287 hexaploids from tetraploid  $\times$  diploid crosses, although the crossing data from hexaploids were  
288 sparse and therefore excluded from the study.

289       Based on observed habitat and phenological differences between *Vaccinium*  
290 *angustifolium* Aiton and *V. corymbosum*, Vander Kloet (1976) suggested that the other members  
291 of *Cyanococcus*, although still hybridizing in nature, are sufficiently isolated reproductively so as  
292 to be regarded as species. Thus, in at least one instance, Vander Kloet used ploidy to distinguish  
293 between morphologically similar species (diploid *V. boreale* versus tetraploid *V. angustifolium*;  
294 Vander Kloet 1977a). This may be one reason why, in contrast to Camp, Vander Kloet (1977b)  
295 asserted that “...the frequency of naturally occurring hybrids in areas of sympatry is much lower  
296 than expected from...experimental data. Indeed,...hybrids are rare,” which ironically seems to  
297 contradict his concept of *V. corymbosum* as a compilospecies formed through hybridization.

298 In addition to recircumscribing *Vaccinium corymbosum*, Vander Kloet modified the  
299 concepts of *V. angustifolium*, *V. pallidum*, and *V. myrsinites* sensu Camp (see Supplementary  
300 Data). *Vaccinium angustifolium* is generally equivalent to Camp's concept of *V. lamarckii* Camp  
301 as altered to become the sole species throughout the geographic range encompassing Camp's *V.*  
302 *brittonii* Porter ex E.P. Bicknell and *V. lamarckii* at the tetraploid level, whereas *V. boreale* is  
303 reserved for plants that are morphologically similar to *V. angustifolium* but diploid. Aalders and  
304 Hall (1963) showed that the diagnostic characters of *V. brittonii* (i.e., glaucous stems and leaves)  
305 are controlled by a single gene and exposure to sunlight (Aalders and Hall 1963), and Vander  
306 Kloet (1978a) demonstrated that the characters defining *V. brittonii* and *V. lamarckii* do not  
307 breed true and co-occur extensively without phenological differences (Vander Kloet 1978a).

308 As reviewed in Luby et al. (1991), Aalders and Hall (1962) documented a positive  
309 correlation between leaf stomatal size and ploidy in *Vaccinium angustifolium* and their recently  
310 described species *V. boreale*. They measured the lengths of stomata in 12 individuals each of  
311 diploids and tetraploids consistent with *V. angustifolium* in morphology, finding a positive  
312 correlation between stomatal length and ploidy. They then measured the (presumed) average  
313 stomatal length from the type specimen of *V. angustifolium*, finding that it occurred well outside  
314 the range of stomatal lengths of the diploids but within the range of the tetraploids (the statistical  
315 basis for this conclusion was not presented). Thus, tetraploidy was ascribed to the type of *V.*  
316 *angustifolium*, which justified the continued recognition of their new species *V. boreale* based on  
317 its diploid ploidy level and several morphological characters, i.e., corolla length (3.0–3.5 mm  
318 versus 5–7 mm), pollen tetrad size (35–40  $\mu\text{m}$  versus 40–43  $\mu\text{m}$ ), and a higher degree of  
319 branching (Hall and Aalders 1961). They stated that other vegetative characters could be found  
320 to distinguish these species with confidence.

321 Vander Kloet (1988) recognized *Vaccinium boreale* but, in contrast to Hall and Aalders  
322 (1961), he only used smaller plant height and smaller leaf blade size in his key to distinguish it  
323 from *V. angustifolium* with morphology; this is despite the clearly different corolla lengths  
324 presented in his descriptions (3–4 mm versus 4–6 mm, respectively). Vander Kloet (1977a)  
325 asserted that vegetative characters could be used to distinguish the two species but we consider  
326 the data presented too imprecise for properly assessing this claim. In particular, the extent to  
327 which the plants used for the morphological measurements were assessed for ploidy is unclear.  
328 This species sensu Vander Kloet can be considered equivalent to *V. angustifolium* sensu Camp  
329 except for a much more restricted geographic range, occurring only in far eastern Canada south  
330 through the northern border area of the northeastern United States (see Supplementary Data). In  
331 related research, Vander Kloet (1977a) cited the similarity of progeny to *V. angustifolium* in  
332 experimental crosses between *V. boreale* (2x) and *V. pallidum* (2x) in proposing that tetraploid  
333 *V. angustifolium* originated from hybridization between these two species, albeit the progeny of  
334 the crosses were all diploid.

335 Vander Kloet (1978b) presented convincing evidence from morphology and crossing  
336 experiments for placing *Vaccinium vacillans* Kalm ex Torr. into the synonymy of *V. pallidum*. In  
337 sampling the ploidy of individuals from several populations, he found that, out of 119 individuals  
338 sampled for chromosome number, 93% were diploid and 7% tetraploid. He thus confirmed the  
339 presence of tetraploid individuals in *V. pallidum*-like individuals as found by Camp (1945; no  
340 herbarium vouchers or images of chromosome squashes were cited). However, Vander Kloet  
341 chose to synonymize the tetraploids under *V. pallidum*, unlike Camp, who apparently recognized  
342 them as *V. altomontanum* Ashe (the application of the name *V. altomontanum* is unresolved  
343 because its type appears to be missing). Vander Kloet found no morphological characters to

344 distinguish the tetraploids from the diploids and no evidence to indicate that the tetraploids  
345 behave ecologically as a distinct species. Although Vander Kloet did not specify a hypothesized  
346 origin of the tetraploids, it appears that he agreed with Camp that they have been produced as  
347 polyphyletic autopoloids of the diploids.

348         The main difference in the concept of *Vaccinium myrsinites* from that of Camp is that  
349 both the diploid and tetraploid levels are cited, without explanation, in Vander Kloet (2009),  
350 although only the diploid level is cited in Vander Kloet (1983, 1988). Vander Kloet apparently  
351 considered the tetraploid level to have originated in the same way as did Camp, i.e., from a cross  
352 between *V. darrowii* and *V. tenellum*.

353         Vander Kloet's taxonomic view of *Cyanococcus* is currently considered the standard,  
354 having been adopted by the U.S. Department of Agriculture, plant breeders, and many local and  
355 regional floras, including the *Flora of North America* (Vander Kloet 2009). A key consequence  
356 is that the terms “highbush” and “lowbush” have become firmly established in distinguishing  
357 *Cyanococcus* species on the basis of plant height, i.e., generally more than one meter tall  
358 (*Vaccinium corymbosum* and any segregates) versus less than one meter tall (remaining species;  
359 e.g., Weakley 2020). Despite his assertion of widely occurring hybridization among the diploid  
360 “lowbush” members of *Cyanococcus* resulting in the polymorphic and polyphyletic *V.*  
361 *corymbosum*, Vander Kloet (1983, 1988) considered the diploids to have largely parapatric  
362 geographic distributions, with each of the species flanked by only one or two others.

363         **Key Treatments for U.S. States.** Just prior to and since the time of Vander Kloet's  
364 work, two researchers with field and herbarium experience in *Vaccinium* each produced a  
365 taxonomic treatment of *Cyanococcus* for a U.S. state as part of a more extensive treatment of



366 *Vaccinium*. Although constrained by geography, their studies often address more general  
367 taxonomic problems in *Cyanococcus* and thus achieve relevance here.

368         D.B. Ward of the University of Florida (Figure 3C) published a treatment of *Vaccinium*  
369 for the state of Florida (Ward 1974). Ward compared his work to that of Camp (1945) and others  
370 (Correll and Johnston 1970; Rehder 1940; Small 1933; Vander Kloet had not yet begun to  
371 publish on *Vaccinium*). Ward summarized the contrast between his conclusions and those of  
372 Camp with the statement that *Cyanococcus* is “...difficult but not in any way an irresolvable  
373 tangle of intergrading populations.” Nonetheless, Ward seems to have oversimplified Camp’s  
374 taxonomy by not addressing issues regarding potential variation in ploidy among  
375 morphologically similar plants. Ward recognized *V. amoenum* Aiton, *V. ashei*, *V. australe* Small,  
376 *V. darrowii*, *V. elliotii* Chapm., *V. fuscatum* Aiton, *V. myrsinites*, and *V. tenellum* for Florida.  
377 Differences from Camp’s treatment are noted below. Ward did not habitually indicate ploidy for  
378 the species entries; ploidy is thus indicated only when noted in the treatment.

379         Ward agreed with Camp in distinguishing *Vaccinium amoenum* (6x) from *V. virgatum*  
380 Aiton (4x; not occurring in Florida to Ward), differentiating the two by the larger habit, leaves,  
381 and flowers of *V. amoenum*, as well as inflorescences that are limited to no more than two or  
382 three successive axils per branchlet (versus small panicle clusters in the axils of many  
383 successive leaves per branchlet) and more widely separated from each other.

384         Ward considered *Vaccinium ashei* sensu Camp to be “...perhaps less of a taxonomic  
385 category than it is a philosophical concept,” yet Ward recognized it, apparently in deference to  
386 Camp. It was distinguished from *V. amoenum* by leaves with abaxial surfaces that are glabrous  
387 or pubescent only along the midvein and that are more sparsely stipitate glandular.

388           *Vaccinium arkansanum* Ashe and *V. atrococcum* were combined with *V. fuscatum*, with  
389 Ward stating that although ploidy differs within this group, on a practical basis (we suppose  
390 meaning morphologically) the species cannot be distinguished. Ward differentiated *V. fuscatum*  
391 from *V. australe* by pubescent leaves and branchlets and black non-glaucous fruit (versus  
392 glabrous leaves and branchlets and blue-glaucous fruit) without commenting on or distinguishing  
393 among ploidy levels. Apparently, Ward did not consider *V. fuscatum* to be a hybrid of *V.*  
394 *atrococcum* and *V. darrowii* (unlike Camp), because at the end of the entry for *V. fuscatum* he  
395 stated that “Hybrids of *V. fuscatum* with...*V. darrowii*[*i*] are rather frequent,” yet *V. atrococcum*  
396 was placed in synonymy with *V. fuscatum*.

397           There is essentially no difference in Ward’s concept of *Vaccinium tenellum* from that of  
398 Camp, although Ward and Lyrene (2007) provided evidence to show that *V. tenellum* does not  
399 occur in Florida. They stated that prior herbarium specimen documentation of the species from  
400 the state was based on misidentifications of *Gaylussacia dumosa* (Andrews) A. Gray.

401           L.J. Uttal of Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (Virginia Tech; Figure  
402 3D) published a treatment of *Vaccinium* for the state of Virginia. The treatment was produced  
403 partly to counter the concept of Vander Kloet’s circumscription of the single highly variable  
404 species of “highbush” blueberry *V. corymbosum* (Uttal 1987), although Uttal readily adopted  
405 other species of Vander Kloet and their circumscriptions. Uttal agreed with Ward (1974) in  
406 segregating *V. elliotii*, *V. virgatum* (although thought not to occur in Virginia), and other species  
407 recognized by Camp (1945) from *V. corymbosum*. Uttal’s work was based entirely on  
408 morphology and for the most part did not include quantitative data. Below we summarize and  
409 comment on the species of *Cyanococcus* in Virginia sensu Uttal.

410           There are essentially no differences between Uttal's and Camp's concepts of *Vaccinium*  
411 *caesariense* (2x), *V. elliotii* (2x), *V. myrtilloides* (2x), *V. simulatum* Small (4x), and *V. tenellum*  
412 (2x).

413           *Vaccinium angustifolium* (4x). Uttal adopted Vander Kloet's concept of this species.

414           *Vaccinium corymbosum* (4x). This species sensu Uttal best fits the concept of Camp  
415 except that *V. constablaei* (6x) is placed in synonymy. Uttal considered *V. constablaei* not to  
416 occur in Virginia, but considered it unreliably distinguishable from *V. corymbosum* with  
417 morphology.

418           *Vaccinium formosum* Andrews (4x). This species corresponds to Camp's concept of *V.*  
419 *australe*. We presume that Uttal considered *V. formosum* to be the earlier and thus correct name,  
420 although this is not clarified by Uttal.

421           *Vaccinium fuscatum* (2x, 4x). Uttal differed from Vander Kloet in recognizing this  
422 species as distinct from *V. corymbosum*. However, he also diverged from Camp (and apparently  
423 agreed with Ward [1974]) in adopting it as a species versus a hybrid between *V. atrococcum*  
424 (which he placed in the synonymy of *V. fuscatum*) and *V. darrowii*. He based this decision on the  
425 type material of *V. atrococcum*, which he asserted could not have been collected any farther  
426 south than South Carolina, whereas Camp's concept of *V. fuscatum* applies exclusively to plants  
427 from southern Georgia and Florida. According to Uttal, if the latter plants referred to by Camp  
428 merit recognition then they require a new name. Ironically, however, Uttal mentioned that the  
429 small leaves of the type of *V. holophyllum* (Small) Uphof suggest a hybrid between *V. fuscatum*  
430 and *V. darrowii*, which would in fact match Camp's concept of *V. fuscatum* as (an allotetraploid)  
431 hybrid between *V. atrococcum* and *V. darrowii*.

432           *Vaccinium* ×*marianum* P. Watson. Uttal employed a nothospecies name for this hybrid  
433 while leaving other recognized hybrids unnamed, apparently because morphological  
434 intermediates of *V. formosum* and *V. fuscatum* are the most common hybrids in the Virginia  
435 flora. Whereas Camp specified *V. marianum* as an allotetraploid of diploid *V. caesariense* (2x)  
436 and *V. atrococcum* (2x), Uttal clarified neither the ploidy level(s) at which hybridization  
437 occurred, nor the resulting ploidy level post-hybridization. Because Uttal considered *V. fuscatum*  
438 to comprise both 2x and 4x individuals, this aspect of *V. ×marianum* remains unresolved in his  
439 treatment.

440           *Vaccinium pallidum*. There is essentially no difference in the concept in this species from  
441 that of Vander Kloet, with both diploids and tetraploids included. *Vaccinium altomontanum*,  
442 apparently sensu Camp (1945; 4x), was placed in synonymy, as was *V. vacillans*.

443           **Other Treatments.** Taxonomic studies of *Cyanococcus* published after Uttal’s treatment  
444 provide additional insights but are limited in scope. Three principal regional treatments of  
445 *Cyanococcus* focusing on the southeastern U.S. largely reflect the concepts of one or more of the  
446 prior global or state treatments above. The treatment of Godfrey (1988) for northern Florida and  
447 adjacent Georgia and Alabama followed “with not a little reluctance” Vander Kloet’s (1988)  
448 treatment except that *Vaccinium elliottii* was considered distinct enough morphologically to  
449 warrant separate species recognition. The treatment of Luteyn et al. (1996) for the whole of the  
450 southeastern U.S. entirely reflects Vander Kloet’s treatment, undoubtedly because, according to  
451 the Acknowledgments section, Vander Kloet was responsible for the treatment of *Vaccinium* in  
452 that work. The treatment of Weakley (2020) for the southeastern U.S. comprises elements of  
453 Camp, Vander Kloet, and Uttal, while also according with Ward’s observation that hybrids,  
454 while indeed occurring, are not nearly as frequent as asserted by Camp and Vander Kloet.

455 Weakley's treatment recognizes 15 species, including *V. angustifolium*, *V. caesariense*, *V.*  
456 *corymbosum*, *V. darrowii*, *V. elliotii*, *V. fuscatum*, *V. formosum*, *V. hirsutum*, *V. myrsinites*, *V.*  
457 *myrtilloides*, *V. pallidum*, *V. tenellum*, and *V. virgatum*. The treatment deviates from prior  
458 treatments in also recognizing *V. altomontanum* and *V. simulatum*, while placing *V. constablaei*  
459 in synonymy with *V. corymbosum*. The differences among treatments generally in the latter  
460 regard, at least in part, likely result from the missing type of *V. altomontanum* together with  
461 misapplications of one or more of these names, but careful study will be needed to address this  
462 problem.

463 In other work, Luby et al. (1991) and Galleta and Ballington (1996) stated that sufficient  
464 morphological and ecological separation exists to recognize *Vaccinium elliotii*, and possibly *V.*  
465 *constablaei* and *V. simulatum*, all sensu Camp (1945), while leaving the rest of *V. corymbosum*  
466 sensu Vander Kloet (1983, 1988) intact. Luby et al. (1991) thought it best to consider the state of  
467 knowledge of the taxonomy of *Cyanococcus* (at the time) preliminary, urging further studies  
468 with multiple approaches. Various blueberry breeders have considered *V. fuscatum* (defined as  
469 diploid, in contrast to Camp 1945) and *V. ashei* (6x but "more properly denoted *V. virgatum*") to  
470 be sufficiently distinct from *V. corymbosum* sensu Vander Kloet to justify their recognition  
471 (Song and Hancock 2011).

472

#### 473 EVOLUTIONARY STUDIES ON *CYANOCOCCUS* AFTER VANDER KLOET

474 Various studies investigating the nature of polyploidy and species relationships in  
475 *Cyanococcus* have been conducted since the time of Vander Kloet. These are addressed in turn  
476 below.

477           **Ploidy.** The original observation of Camp and others that *Vaccinium* species of the same  
478 level of ploidy can generally be crossed successfully under artificial conditions has been  
479 corroborated (with some exceptions; see Ballington and Galletta [1978]). Because of the strong  
480 triploid and pentaploid block in *Cyanococcus*, crosses among species of differing ploidy are only  
481 rarely successful (Hancock 1998; Lyrene et al. 2003; Ortiz et al. 1999). Nonetheless, the rare  
482 exceptions, thought to result from the production of unreduced gametes at low frequency (Ortiz  
483 et al. 1992a, 1992b), are exploited in blueberry breeding in the development of new cultivars  
484 (Hancock 1998). This suggests a means by which ploidy might increase in natural populations.

485           Allozyme and random amplified polymorphic DNA studies of progeny from tetraploid  
486 *Cyanococcus* cultivars found a clear pattern of tetrasomic inheritance, which is expected in  
487 autopolyploids (Krebs and Hancock 1989; Qu and Hancock 1997; Qu et al. 1998). Based on  
488 reanalyzed data from Hall and Aalders (1963), Hokanson and Hancock (1993) suggested that  
489 *Vaccinium angustifolium* shows tetrasomic inheritance and is thus an autotetraploid, contrary to  
490 the view of Vander Kloet (1977a), who considered it an allopolyploid hybrid of the diploid  
491 parents *V. boreale* and *V. pallidum*. On the basis of the results from Hokanson and Hancock  
492 (1993) and other less definitive studies, all polyploidy in *Cyanococcus* has been proposed to be  
493 derived through autopolyploidization (Lyrene et al. 2003; Soltis et al. 2007).

494           We emphasize, however, that variation in the definition of auto- and allopolyploidy  
495 affects the interpretation of these conclusions. In a taxonomic or mode-of-origin definition,  
496 autopolyploids arise from within a single species, whereas allopolyploids arise from interspecific  
497 hybridization. Conversely, in a genetic or cytological definition, autopolyploids display  
498 multivalent nonpreferential pairing of chromosomes during meiosis and allopolyploids display  
499 bivalent pairing (Doyle and Egan 2010; Ramsey and Schemske 2002). These two definitions will

500 often lead to the same conclusion but sometimes a taxon may be classified as an autopolyploid  
501 under one definition and an allopolyploid under the other. In groups with highly conserved  
502 chromosome evolution, for example, hybridization between highly divergent taxa (i.e., clearly  
503 distinct species) might still result in the nonpreferential pairing of chromosomes. Conversely,  
504 divergence at individual loci could impair the ability to detect tetrasomic inheritance. Further  
505 confusing the issue is that there is no explicit criterion as to the threshold of genetic divergence  
506 above which two entities should be considered distinct species, versus populations of a single  
507 species, not to mention the related issues involving the application of various species concepts.  
508 Depending on the group under study and research goals, both definitions can nonetheless be  
509 useful. We advocate that studies explicitly state the definition used when deciding to label a  
510 taxon as an auto- or allopolyploid. Regardless, whether autopolyploidy is the sole or primary  
511 mode of polyploidization, versus allopolyploidy as proposed by earlier authors, has not yet been  
512 assessed in natural populations of *Cyanococcus*.

513         Hummer et al. (2015) used U.S. Department of Agriculture germplasm stock, including  
514 many of the original species in Vander Kloet's living collection and other living material, to  
515 measure ploidy levels with flow cytometry in samples of many *Vaccinium* species. The ploidy  
516 levels in the species of *Cyanococcus* largely matched those reported for the same species in prior  
517 work.

518         Poster et al. (2017) achieved a critical breakthrough in understanding the prevalence and  
519 significance of ploidal variation in natural populations of *Cyanococcus*. By carefully  
520 documenting diploid and tetraploid individuals of *Vaccinium corymbosum* (apparently sensu  
521 Vander Kloet) in sympatry in New Jersey with flow cytometry, they found ploidy to be  
522 significantly correlated with both flower size and phenology, with the tetraploids having larger

523 flowers and a peak flowering period ca. one week later than the diploids. The significant  
524 difference in flower phenology suggests an isolating mechanism between the diploids and  
525 tetraploids, although the overlap also indicates that individuals of different ploidy levels can  
526 potentially crossbreed. The strong triploid block in *Cyanococcus* would presumably result in  
527 hybrid infertility, but unreduced gametes in diploids could result in unidirectional gene flow into  
528 the tetraploid phase. Whether morphological characters beyond flower size correlate with the  
529 two ploidal segments of the population remained unaddressed in the study.

530       **Stomatal density and size.** Stomatal density and size on the abaxial surfaces of leaves  
531 have been correlated with ploidy in many plant groups (e.g., Beck et al. 2003; Padoan et al.  
532 2013; Sax and Sax 1937; Tan and Dunn 1973). When patterns are detected, stomatal size is most  
533 often positively correlated with ploidy, and stomatal density is negatively correlated. In some  
534 studies, these stomatal characters have consistently predicted the level of ploidy.

535       Darrow et al. (1944) were the first to suggest that stomatal size and/or density could be  
536 used to estimate ploidy in *Vaccinium*. They initially considered the method to show promise for  
537 determining ploidy in the genus, but later concluded that the method was likely confounded by  
538 the complex lines of descent common in the genus. Nonetheless, as noted earlier, Aalders and  
539 Hall (1962) used stomatal length to estimate ploidy for the nomenclatural type of *V.*  
540 *angustifolium*. Subsequently, Chavez and Lyrene (2009) and Dweikat and Lyrene (1991) found  
541 that stomatal guard cells (and pollen tetrad diameter) were significantly longer in colchicine-  
542 derived tetraploid leaf tissue of *V. elliottii* and *V. darrowii* than in the untreated diploids from the  
543 same genetic line.

544       **Species relationships.** Bruederle and Vorsa (1994) conducted an allozyme study with 25  
545 natural populations of diploid *Cyanococcus*. Based on phenetic analysis with genetic identity



546 values and the unrooted pair group method with arithmetic mean (UPGMA) algorithm combined  
547 with an assessment of morphological distinctness, they delimited seven diploid species, i.e.,  
548 *Vaccinium boreale*, *V. corymbosum*, *V. darrowii*, *V. elliotii*, *V. myrtilloides*, *V. pallidum*, and *V.*  
549 *tenellum*. They anecdotally mentioned that all of their samples of *V. pallidum* from Arkansas  
550 were found to be tetraploid and thus excluded from the study. Based on genetic similarity and  
551 (anecdotally presented) overlapping variation in fruit color and leaf vestiture within populations,  
552 they treated *V. atrococcum* and *V. caesariense* as conspecific with the caveat that only three  
553 populations were sampled. Both species were referred to the more inclusive but variable concept  
554 of *V. corymbosum* sensu Vander Kloet (1988) with *V. elliotii* excluded. *Vaccinium elliotii* was  
555 considered a species distinct from *V. corymbosum* by habit, leaf and branchlet morphology,  
556 flowering phenology, and ecological distribution (but again without presenting data). Based on  
557 their field observations of morphology, they anecdotally considered hybridization in  
558 *Cyanococcus* to be “widespread,” although we note that the allozyme data seem not to have  
559 uncovered instances of hybridization.

560 Rowland et al. (2022) conducted phenetic UPGMA and neighbor-joining analyses of 50  
561 mainly cultivated accessions of *Cyanococcus* with 249 expressed sequence tag-polymerase chain  
562 reaction markers. They found that tetraploid *Vaccinium corymbosum* grouped most closely with  
563 the diploids *V. caesariense* and *V. fuscatum*, followed by diploid *V. elliotii*. Tetraploid *V.*  
564 *angustifolium* grouped with the diploids *V. boreale* and *V. myrtilloides*, and hexaploid *V.*  
565 *virgatum* grouped most closely with *V. tenellum*. They considered the data to support the  
566 recognition of *V. elliotii* as a distinct species. The implications of the work for natural  
567 populations are limited by the lack of a clearly stated taxonomic framework and the use of  
568 cultivated material unspecified as to origin.

569

570

## CURRENT STATUS, NEW DATA, AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

571

572

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

We feel that progress in understanding the systematics and evolution of *Cyanococcus* over the past 80 years has been slower than might be expected, even after Luby et al. (1991) urged more comprehensive work on the group. Addressing the taxonomic problems in *Cyanococcus* from a primarily horticultural perspective has been a recurring motif. Given the tremendous economic potential of the group for blueberry breeding, we consider this understandable. However, such a perspective has often resulted in misleading extrapolation of studies based on cultivated material of unknown or imprecisely documented sources to natural variation and the limits of species. The problem is exacerbated by the inconsistent application of both scientific and common species names to cultivated samples and cultivars, the latter of which in any case may or may not correspond to species in nature. It is also compounded by the relative lack in many studies of samples vouchered with herbarium specimens, which limits the interpretation and impact of such studies. Over-reliance on crossing experiments to assess the origins of species and polyploids has also hindered the field. The morphological similarity of experimentally generated progeny to any particular species, which has been taken as de facto evidence of the origin of that species, may be mere coincidence and, in any case, has not been adequately documented with data in the instances proposed. Finally, assessment of ploidy has relied on far too few samples with which to base chromosome numbers, which often have been assumed too freely to define an entire species over its geographic range.

Our assessment of the current state of *Cyanococcus* systematics differs little from that of Luby et al. (1991), now over 20 years old. We feel that the treatment of Vander Kloet (2009), generally considered the current standard, to be flawed by an over-aggregation of species. Such

592 “lumping” risks masking taxonomically significant diversity, obscures the origins of the  
593 cultivated blueberries, and leads to confusion in the field and in breeding programs. Conversely,  
594 the treatment of Camp (1945) likely has over-divided the section, as seems clear, for example, in  
595 the case of *Vaccinium pallidum* versus *V. vacillans*.

596         In this context, we have undertaken a multi-year collaborative project to address the  
597 longstanding issues in the systematics and evolution of *Cyanococcus* with new perspectives and  
598 data sources. We are integrating field- and herbarium-based research on samples collected from  
599 natural populations across the geographic range of *Cyanococcus* with data from DNA  
600 sequencing for phylogenetic analysis and flow cytometry to estimate ploidy. The sequence data  
601 will be used to assemble phylogenomic datasets from target enrichment and whole-genome data  
602 to infer evolutionary relationships, and a data pipeline will be designed to test hypotheses  
603 regarding the origins and mode of polyploidization and identification of diploid progenitors.  
604 Based on the sum of data, a comprehensive taxonomic revision of *Cyanococcus* will be  
605 produced. We ask the following questions to address the systematics and evolution of  
606 *Cyanococcus*: How many species are there, and what are their morphological definitions,  
607 geographic boundaries, and habitat distinctions? How is diversity generated through  
608 polyploidization? How evolutionarily labile is polyploidy—does it arise sparingly or frequently  
609 from diploidy? What is the prevalence of autopolyploidy versus allopolyploidy and their relative  
610 roles, if any, in species formation? Can morphology be used to help assess species, and is it  
611 correlated with ploidy? How prevalent is mixed ploidy within a locality (“population”)? Is  
612 hybridization rampant in the group, as initially thought, and what is its role in the group’s  
613 evolution?

614           Although we have only recently initiated our project, already ca. 3000 herbarium  
615 specimens of *Cyanococcus* have been examined from the combined BRIT-SMU, -VDB, and -  
616 NLU herbaria, and 550 leaf samples have been collected from across much of the range of the  
617 section for DNA sequence and flow cytometry analysis. Herbarium vouchers and photographs of  
618 the living plants in the field have been taken for most of the molecular and flow cytometry  
619 samples. Sampling multiple individuals in sympatry from each locality is a key distinguishing  
620 feature of our strategy. The aim is to identify morphological characters within and among  
621 localities that correlate with ploidy and phylogenetic data to discern evolutionary units (i.e.,  
622 species). Although it is too early in the project to draw firm conclusions, particularly regarding  
623 our DNA sequence and flow cytometry data, we feel confident enough about the most salient of  
624 our observations on morphology in the field and herbarium specimen examination to report  
625 preliminarily on them here, accompanied by images of some of the species variation observed in  
626 the field and herbarium material (Figures 4–7). We caution that the names used below should be  
627 considered tentative, subject to change based on the critical examination of types and the results  
628 of further morphological examination of other herbarium material, ploidy assessment, and  
629 phylogenomics.

630           1. Consistent with the observations of Bruederle and Vorsa (1994), Camp (1945),  
631 Godfrey (1988), Luby et al. (1991), Uttal (1987), and Ward (1974), *Vaccinium elliotii* appears to  
632 be easily separated from the rest of Vander Kloet’s “highbush blueberry” concept (Figures 4F–H  
633 and 6D). In places where *V. amoenum*/*V. virgatum* and other “highbush” blueberries occur (see  
634 below), plants with the morphology of *V. elliotii* sensu Camp appear to be distinct. One  
635 character in particular appears to easily separate *V. elliotii* from the remaining species of  
636 *Cyanococcus*, i.e., a style that is included within the corolla (versus exserted; Figure 4F). It is

637 ironic and rather inexplicable that Vander Kloet (1998) noted the existence of this character in a  
638 paper re-emphasizing the supposed indistinctness of *V. elliotii* from other “highbush”  
639 blueberries. Lyrene (1994), apparently independently of Vander Kloet, observed it in five  
640 cultivated selections from the wild identified as *V. elliotii*. Whether the short style of *V. elliotii*  
641 is a consequence of selection for or against increased selfing, or related in some way to dicliny,  
642 has not been adequately tested. Other characters in combination that can serve to distinguish *V.*  
643 *elliotii* are relatively small leaves that are usually not stipitate-glandular abaxially and a fruit  
644 with a persistent calyx of relatively narrow width.

645         2. In contrast to Vander Kloet (1983, 1988) and consistent with Ward (1974) and Uttal  
646 (1987), the abaxially stipitate-glandular-leaved plants corresponding to the Coastal Plain  
647 *Vaccinium amoenum/V. virgatum* sensu Camp (1945) appear to be distinct from the rest of  
648 Vander Kloet’s (1983, 1988) “highbush blueberry” concept. These plants grow together with  
649 other typically non-stipitate-glandular species and are easily distinguished in the field by this  
650 character, along with a combination of usually serrate leaf blade margins and (when in flower)  
651 strongly exerted styles (Figures 5F, 5G and 6C). The correlation of their ploidy (*V. virgatum* [4x]  
652 and/or *V. amoenum* [6x]) with morphology and geography, as predicted by Camp (1945) and  
653 Ward (1974), has yet to be assessed. Also evident is that these plants can vary from less than to  
654 more than one meter tall and thus do not follow the “highbush” pattern that the treatment of  
655 Vander Kloet implies. Our preliminary findings suggest that variation in height is not categorical  
656 (which might otherwise imply a link with ploidy) but instead continuous.

657         3. A third species often growing with *Vaccinium amoenum/V. virgatum* and *V. elliotii* in  
658 areas where no other species of *Cyanococcus* are thought to occur (e.g., east Texas) corresponds  
659 to some version of *V. atrococcum* or *V. arkansanum* sensu Camp (1945), depending on ploidy, or

660 *V. fuscatum* sensu Uttal (1987; Figure 5A, 5B). When these plants occur together with those of  
661 *V. amoenum/V. virgatum* and *V. elliottii*, we find a dry to wet microhabitat trend, with *V.*  
662 *amoenum/V. virgatum* in the driest habitats and *V. atrococcum/V. arkansanum* in the wettest (but  
663 at most seepy), with *V. elliottii* found in intermediate habitats.

664 4. The stipitate glands on the abaxial leaf surfaces of *Vaccinium tenellum* and *V.*  
665 *myrsinites* differ from those of all other species of *Cyanococcus* in which such glands occur by  
666 having elongate versus subglobose heads (Figure 6). This is easily observed in herbarium  
667 material at 64× and is in fact depicted in Vander Kloet (1983: Figures 15–17), yet neither Vander  
668 Kloet nor others have apparently noted this distinction. The hypothesis in which *V. amoenum/V.*  
669 *virgatum* is derived from *V. tenellum* through autopoloidy, at least on the assumption of homology  
670 of these glands alone, should thus be questioned, although their shared presence could still  
671 indicate close relationship. Conversely, the morphological similarity of these glands in *V.*  
672 *tenellum* and *V. myrsinites* supports the allopoloid derivation of *V. myrsinites* from *V. darrowii* ×  
673 *V. tenellum* as first proposed by Camp (1945).

674 5. The synonymy of *Vaccinium vacillans* under *V. pallidum* (Figure 5D) as proposed by  
675 Vander Kloet (1978b, 1988) and supported by Uttal (1987) seems well justified on the basis of  
676 morphology, with the few ill-defined characters used by Camp such as margin serration  
677 appearing to be taxonomically trivial. Whether *V. altomontanum* can be considered a synonym as  
678 well, as in Vander Kloet (1988) and Uttal (1987), will depend on resolution of typology, ploidy  
679 assessment, and delimitation of its geographic range, i.e., whether it is an Appalachian endemic  
680 or, as Camp suggested, extending as far as Ohio and Arkansas.

681 6. The treatment of *Vaccinium lamarckii* and *V. brittonii* as synonyms of *V. angustifolium*  
682 (4x), as distinct from *V. boreale* (2x), appears to be well documented in the literature and

683 justified on morphology (Aalders and Hall 1962, 1963; Hall and Aalders 1961; Vander Kloet  
684 1977a, 1978a; Figure 4A–C). More study is needed to confirm the difference in corolla size  
685 between *V. angustifolium* and *V. boreale*.

686         7. Vander Kloet (1980) observed variation in the presence and quantity of fruit “bloom”  
687 (glaucescence) for the wild-collected F<sub>1</sub> progeny of two individuals of “highbush” blueberries  
688 corresponding most closely to *Vaccinium atrococcum* of Camp, and also for the wild-collected  
689 F<sub>1</sub> progeny of *V. angustifolium* (Vander Kloet 1978a). We have observed variation in this  
690 character within each of *V. atrococcum*, *V. elliottii*, and *V. amoenum/V. virgatum* in Arkansas  
691 and Louisiana (e.g., cf. Figure 4G, 4H). This character will require careful evaluation as to its  
692 taxonomic utility. We suspect that it has been overemphasized in taxonomic treatments because  
693 of the focus on fruit qualities in blueberry breeding programs. This is likely true also for fruit  
694 flavor and degree of sweetness.

695         8. Assertions of hybridization in *Cyanococcus* have invariably suffered from inadequate  
696 documentation in the literature. Claims are based either on anecdotal morphological  
697 observations, i.e., those without precise backing data, or vaguely defined similarity of natural  
698 variants or species to experimentally crossed hybrids. More rigorous documentation and analyses  
699 based on morphological and genetic data are required before the prevalence of natural  
700 hybridization in *Cyanococcus* can be assessed appropriately.

701         Nonetheless, we agree with others (Uttal 1987; Vander Kloet 1977b; Ward 1974;  
702 Weakley 2020) that the prevalence of hybridization and introgression in natural populations of  
703 *Cyanococcus*, at least as asserted by Camp (1945), appears to have been overstated. In our field  
704 work, we have thus far only infrequently encountered plants that exhibit some form of  
705 intermediacy between other species that occur in the same area. Moreover, in at least some of

706 these cases, we speculate that such intermediates represent simple infraspecific variation of  
707 morphological characters at one ploidy level having nothing to do with species reticulation.

708         One example worthy of further study is the presence or absence of subglobose-headed  
709 stipitate glands on the abaxial surface of leaves. Such glands clearly can occur in species (sensu  
710 Camp) other than those considered to be defined by the presence of this character (*Vaccinium*  
711 *amoenum*/*V. virgatum*, *V. myrsinites*, and *V. tenellum*). They are clearly present occasionally in  
712 specimens of *V. atrococcum* and *V. elliotii* (Figure 6A, 6D). In these cases, the stipes are usually  
713 longer than those seen in *V. amoenum*/*V. virgatum*; in *V. atrococcum* they occur most frequently  
714 on the leaves of sterile branchlets. Camp (1945) considered the presence of such glands in plants  
715 that are otherwise morphologically consistent with *V. elliotii* evidence of hybridization of *V.*  
716 *elliotii* and *V. tenellum*. However, we have only observed subglobose-headed glands in *V.*  
717 *elliotii*, not elongate-headed glands, which might be expected in at least some of the hybrids.  
718 Rather than the result of hybridization with a glandular species, the presence or absence of such  
719 glands likely represents mere variation within these species. The same variation in the presence  
720 or absence of stipitate glands on the leaves abaxially is evident in species of *Vaccinium* in other  
721 sections, e.g., *V. arboreum* Marshall in *V. sect. Batodendron* (Nutt.) A. Gray, and *V. stamineum*  
722 of *V. sect. Polycodium*; it would thus not be surprising if this type of variation occurs in species  
723 of *Cyanococcus* as well.

724         Another confounding factor potentially leading to an overestimation in the prevalence of  
725 natural hybridization in *Cyanococcus* may be the escape of cultivars from plantings into natural  
726 environments. Such escapes have already been anecdotally noted by Camp (1945) and  
727 Ballington et al. (1982) and have been postulated in northern Florida (P.M. Lyrene, pers.  
728 comm.). In a forest in Smith County, Texas, an area considered out of range for native



729 *Cyanococcus* species, we observed a single 2.4 m tall shrub with pale leaves and calyces and  
730 sparsely scattered stipitate glands on the leaves abaxially (*Fritsch 2259* [BRIT, DUKE]). In a  
731 clearing on the same property, we observed cultivated blueberry plants (*Fritsch 2260* [BRIT])  
732 with the same (vegetative only) characters as the plant in the forest, suggesting that the forest  
733 plant is progeny from the cultivated plants. In the Watson Native Plant Preserve in Tyler County,  
734 Texas, we observed a single plant with the same morphology as the plant above with larger fruits  
735 than those from the typical plants of *Vaccinium amoenum*/*V. virgatum* growing in the same  
736 preserve ( $6 \times 10$  mm versus  $4\text{--}5 \times 5\text{--}6$  mm in sicco) and of clearly different appearance (e.g.,  
737 glaucous versus green leaves and calyces; *Fritsch 2271* (BRIT, DUKE) and *Fritsch 2270* (BRIT,  
738 DUKE), respectively; Figure 5H). Blueberry farms are scattered throughout Tyler County.  
739 Another out-of-range plant similar in morphology to the Texas plants above has been collected in  
740 the Oconeechee Mountain State Natural Area in Orange County, North Carolina (*Manos &*  
741 *Crowl CY-321* [BRIT, DUKE]), and others with similar morphology have been collected in  
742 Liberty County, Florida (*Crowl CY-203*, *CY-204*, and *CY-206* [all BRIT]). Thus it seems clear  
743 that escapes from cultivation do occur at least sporadically, but the extent to which such plants  
744 have been conflated with naturally occurring hybridization has not been previously considered.  
745 We hypothesize that escape from cultivation into natural habitats of “rabbit-eye,” “northern  
746 highbush,” and other cultivars, which today are often complex hybrids developed through crop  
747 breeding programs (Song and Hancock 2011), at least in part explain Camp’s observations in  
748 wild *Cyanococcus*, e.g., those concerning *V. ashei* and *V. corymbosum* sensu Camp. Assessing  
749 the occurrence and prevalence of the intermixing of such escapes with natural populations will  
750 require the application of DNA sequence and flow cytometry data.

751           9. Despite the promise shown by studies for the use of stomatal density and size as an  
752 indicator of ploidy in *Cyanococcus* (Aalders and Hall 1962; Chavez and Lyrene 2009; Darrow et  
753 al. 1944; Dweikat and Lyrene 1991), apparently none since Aalders and Hall (1962) have  
754 assessed these characters as a proxy for estimating ploidy in natural populations of the group. In  
755 this regard, it may be fortuitous that in *Cyanococcus* the verrucose stems that define the section  
756 in *Vaccinium* result from raised stomata, where each stomate occurs atop a wart-like projection.  
757 Stem stomatal size and density can be roughly estimated with a stereomicroscope at 64×. We  
758 have used second-year stem morphology with other characters (e.g., stem color, corolla color and  
759 shape, and plant height) and flow cytometry to consistently distinguish individuals of *V.*  
760 *pallidum* (2x), *V. simulatum* (4x), and *V. constablaei* (6x; all sensu Camp 1945), all growing  
761 together in the Southern Appalachian Mountains, from each other (Figure 7). The relationship  
762 also appears to exist among *V. atrococcum* (2x), *V. corymbosum* (4x), and *V. formosum* (4x), all  
763 sensu Camp (Figure 7). This correlation, if substantiated on more rigorous investigation and  
764 particularly if the variation is found to be discontinuous and tied to ploidy, would greatly aid in  
765 the identification of *Cyanococcus* species in the Appalachians and elsewhere. Studies on this  
766 topic would be most impactful if pollen tetrad diameter were also included.

767           10. *Vaccinium simulatum*, endemic to the Southern and Central Appalachians, appears to  
768 be separable by the combination of height more than 1 m, broadly urceolate to campanulate  
769 corollas, and the stomate size and density associated with tetraploid plants (Figure 5E). Luby et  
770 al. (1991) also suggested distinct species status for *V. simulatum* but proposed more highly  
771 variable leaf morphology than indicated in Camp (1945). Separation of *V. simulatum* and *V.*  
772 *corymbosum* must be more carefully assessed (see below).

773           11. Once *Vaccinium amoenum*/*V. ashei*/*V. virgatum*, *V. elliotii*, and *V. simulatum* and are  
774 excluded from the concept of the “highbush” blueberry, the remaining variation in the group  
775 encompasses Camp’s *V. caesariense* and *V. atrococcum* (or *V. fuscatum*, depending on currently  
776 unresolved nomenclature) at the diploid level, and *V. arkansanum*, *V. corymbosum*, *V. formosum*  
777 (= *V. australe*), and *V. marianum* at the tetraploid level. We present the following working  
778 hypothesis to explain the morphological variation among the entities in this “residual highbush”  
779 group for testing with DNA sequence and flow cytometry data. (A similar hypothesis was  
780 proposed by Luby et al. [1991] in more abbreviated form.) We propose that diploid *V.*  
781 *atrococcum* and *V. caesariense* are two ends of a continuous spectrum of leaf pubescence  
782 (densely pubescent versus glabrous, respectively) where intermediates merely reflect  
783 morphological variation within a single diploid species. Similarly, tetraploid *V. arkansanum* and  
784 *V. formosum* comprise a single species with the same patterns of morphological variation (the  
785 named intermediate tetraploid *V. marianum* sensu Camp would be a synonym). This hypothesis  
786 would be consistent with the variation in progeny observed anecdotally by Vander Kloet (1980)  
787 and in the field by Ballington et al. (1980, 1982), the overall genetic similarity in diploid *V.*  
788 *atrococcum* and *V. caesariense* observed in the allozyme study of Bruederle and Vorsa (1994),  
789 and the study of Poster et al. (2017) documenting diploids and tetraploids in a natural population  
790 of *V. corymbosum* sensu Vander Kloet. The tetraploids could have originated from a diploid  
791 progenitor once or multiple times. The latter would render the tetraploids polyphyletic, and even  
792 then, they might cross among themselves locally or panmictically.

793           The remaining element of the “residual highbush” group to be considered is *Vaccinium*  
794 *corymbosum*, the name Camp applied to the widespread “highbush” blueberry in wetlands  
795 throughout the northeastern and north-central United States, and southeastern Canada. In origin

796 and evolution, this entity may be the most enigmatic of those in the entire *Cyanococcus* clade. It  
797 could stand by itself as a tetraploid of these northern forests (whether or not, as Camp asserted,  
798 its southern boundary corresponds to that of the maximal extent of the last ice sheet).  
799 Alternatively, as proposed by Camp (1945), it could intergrade with various other species such as  
800 *V. simulatum* if both are found to be consistently tetraploid, and possibly even with tetraploid *V.*  
801 *arkansanum* sensu Camp, in which case it would extend across the entire eastern United States.  
802 It may even have been derived polyphyletically from diploid ancestors, then resembling Vander  
803 Kloet's compilospecies concept but with a narrower set of ancestors and/or descendants.

804         We hope and anticipate that this and the other hypotheses put forward in the literature  
805 and here will be addressed in the coming years through estimates of ploidy from flow cytometry,  
806 phylogenetic relationships from high-throughput DNA sequence data, and intensive study of  
807 morphology, all densely sampled from throughout the geographic range of *Cyanococcus*. With  
808 these data in hand, we are optimistic that the complex evolution of this ecologically and  
809 economically important group of plants can finally be disentangled, with benefits for biodiversity  
810 studies, conservation, and crop breeding.

811

812         ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank the U.S. National Science Foundation for financial  
813 support of this work (DEB-2038213 to Duke and NCSU, and DEB-2038217 to BRIT), and two  
814 reviewers for helpful comments on the manuscript.

## 815 LITERATURE CITED

- 816 Aalders, L.E. and I.V. Hall. 1962. New evidence on the cytotaxonomy of *Vaccinium* species as  
817 revealed by stomatal measurements from herbarium specimens. *Nature* 196:694.
- 818 ——— and ———. 1963. The inheritance and taxonomic significance of the “nigrum” factor in  
819 the common lowbush blueberry, *Vaccinium angustifolium*. *Canadian Journal of Genetics*  
820 & *Cytology* 5:115–118.
- 821 Ballington, J.R. and G.J. Galleta. 1978. Comparative crossability of four diploid *Vaccinium*  
822 species. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 103:554–560.
- 823 ———, W.B. Kirkman, D.V. Barkley, and A.F. Huyler. 1980. *Vaccinium* Germplasm  
824 Collections, North Carolina and South Carolina 1978 and 1979. *Horticultural Crops*  
825 *Research Series No. 51*, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.
- 826 ———, ———, W.H. Gensel, Y.M. Isenberg, and C.A. Walker, Jr. 1982. *Vaccinium* Germplasm  
827 Collections, 1980–1982. *Horticultural Crops Research Series No. 60*, North Carolina  
828 State University, Raleigh, NC.
- 829 Beck, S.L., R.W. Dunlop, and A. Fossey. 2003. Stomatal length and frequency as a measure of  
830 ploidy level in black wattle, *Acacia mearnsii* (de Wild). *Botanical Journal of the Linnean*  
831 *Society* 141:177–181.
- 832 Britton, N.L. and A. Brown. 1913. *Illustrated Flora of the Northern United States, Canada and*  
833 *the British Possessions*, 2nd ed, vol. 3. Charles Scribner’s Sons, New York, NY.
- 834 Bruederle, L.P. and N. Vorsa. 1994. Genetic differentiation of diploid blueberry, *Vaccinium* sect.  
835 *Cyanococcus* (Ericaceae). *Systematic Botany* 19:337–349.
- 836 Camp, W.H. 1942. On the structure of populations in the genus *Vaccinium*. *Brittonia* 4:189–204.

- 837 ———. 1945. The North American blueberries with notes on other groups of Vacciniaceae.  
838 Brittonia 5:203–275.
- 839 ——— and C.L. Gilly. 1943. Structure and origin of species. Brittonia 4:324–385.
- 840 Chapman, A.W. 1897. Flora of the Southern United States, 3rd ed. Cambridge Botanical Supply  
841 Company, Cambridge, MA.
- 842 Chavez, D.J. and P.M. Lyrene. 2009. Production and identification of colchicine-derived  
843 tetraploid *Vaccinium darrowii* and its use in breeding. Journal of the American Society  
844 for Horticultural Science 134:356–363.
- 845 Correll, D.S. and M.C. Johnston. 1970. Manual of the Vascular Plants of Texas. University of  
846 Texas at Dallas, Dallas, TX.
- 847 Crowl, A.A., P.W. Fritsch, G.P. Tiley, N.P. Lynch, T.G. Ranney, H. Ashrafi, and P.S. Manos.  
848 2022. A first complete phylogenomic hypothesis for diploid blueberries (*Vaccinium*  
849 section *Cyanococcus*). American Journal of Botany 109:1596–1606.
- 850 Darrow, G.M. and W.H. Camp. 1945. *Vaccinium* hybrids and the development of new  
851 horticultural material. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 72:1–21.
- 852 ———, ———, H.E. Fischer, and H. Dermen. 1944. Chromosome numbers in *Vaccinium* and  
853 related groups. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club 71:498–506.
- 854 Doyle, J.J. and A.N. Egan. 2010. Dating the origins of polyploid events. New Phytologist  
855 186:73–85.
- 856 Dweikat, I.M. and P.M. Lyrene. 1991. Induced tetraploidy in a *Vaccinium elliotii* facilitates  
857 crossing with cultivated highbush blueberry. Journal of the American Society for  
858 Horticultural Science 116:1063–1066.

- 859 Galletta, G.J. and J.R. Ballington. 1996. Blueberries, cranberries and lingonberries, pp. 1–108.  
860 *In: Janick, J. and J.N. Moore, eds., Fruit Breeding, vol. 2, Vine and Small Fruits. John*  
861 *Wiley & Sons, New York, NY.*
- 862 Godfrey, R.K. 1988. Trees, shrubs, and woody vines of northern Florida and adjacent Georgia  
863 and Alabama. University of Georgia Press, Athens, GA.
- 864 Grant, V. 1981. Plant Speciation, 2nd ed. Columbia University Press, New York, NY.
- 865 Gray, A. 1848. *Chloris boreali-Americana*. *Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts &*  
866 *Sciences, n.s., 3:1–57.*
- 867 ———. 1859. Diagnostic characters of new species of phaenogamous plants collected in Japan  
868 by Charles Wright. *Memoirs of the American Academy of Arts & Sciences, n.s., 6:377–*  
869 *452.*
- 870 ———. 1860. Remarks on the botany of Japan, in its relations to that of North America and of  
871 other parts of the northern temperate zone. *Edinburg New Philosophical Journal, n.s.,*  
872 *11:159–163.*
- 873 ———. 1908. *Gray's New Manual of Botany, 7th ed.* American Book Company, New York,  
874 NY.
- 875 Hall, I.V. and L.E. Aalders. 1961. Cytotaxonomy of low-bush blueberries in eastern Canada.  
876 *American Journal of Botany 48:199–201.*
- 877 Hancock, J., 1998. Using southern blueberry species in northern highbush breeding, pp. 91–94.  
878 *In: Cline, W.O. and J.R. Ballington, eds., Proceedings of the 8th North American*  
879 *Blueberry Research and Extension Workers Conference, Wilmington, North Carolina.*  
880 *Published by North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.*
- 881 Harlan, J.R. and J.M.J. deWet. 1963. The compilospecies concept. *Evolution 17:497–501.*

- 882 Hokanson, K. and J. Hancock. 1993. The common lowbush blueberry, *Vaccinium angustifolium*  
883 Aiton, may be an autopolyploid. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 73:889–891.
- 884 Hummer, K.E., N.V. Bassil, H.P. Rodríguez Armenta, and J.W. Olmstead. 2015. *Vaccinium*  
885 species ploidy assessment. *Acta Horticulturae* 1101:199–204.
- 886 International Plant Names Index. 2021. The Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, Harvard University  
887 Herbaria, and Libraries and Australian National Botanic Gardens. Website  
888 (<http://www.ipni.org>). Accessed Dec 2021.
- 889 Kleinman, K. 2009. Biosystematics and the origin of species. Edgar Anderson, W. H. Camp, and  
890 the evolutionary synthesis, pp. 73–91. *In*: Cain, J. and M. Ruse, eds., *Descended from*  
891 *Darwin: Insights into the History of Evolutionary Studies 1900–1970*. American  
892 Philosophical Society, Philadelphia, PA.
- 893 Klotzsch, J.F. 1851. Studien über die natürliche klasse Bicornes L. *Linnaea* 24:1–88.
- 894 Krebs, S.L. and J.F. Hancock. 1989. Tetrasomic inheritance of isoenzyme markers in the  
895 highbush blueberry, *Vaccinium corymbosum*. *Heredity* 63:11–18.
- 896 Luby, J.J., J.R. Ballington, A.D. Draper, K. Pliska, and M.E. Austin. 1991. Blueberries and  
897 cranberries (*Vaccinium*), pp. 391–456. *In*: Moore, J.N. and J.R. Ballington, eds., *Genetic*  
898 *Resources of Temperate Fruit and Nut Crops*. International Society for Horticultural  
899 Science, Wageningen, Netherlands.
- 900 Luteyn, J., W.S. Judd, S.P. Vander Kloet, L.J. Dorr, G.D. Wallace, K.A. Kron, P.F. Stevens, and  
901 S.E. Clemants. 1996. Ericaceae of the southeastern United States. *Castanea* 61:101–144.
- 902 Lyrene, P.M. 1994. Variation within and among blueberry taxa in flower size and shape. *Journal*  
903 *of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 119:1039–1042.



- 904 ———, N. Vorsa, and J.R. Ballington. 2003. Polyploidy and sexual polyploidization in the  
905 genus *Vaccinium*. *Euphytica* 133:27–36.
- 906 Mason, A.S. and J.F. Wendel. 2020. Homoeologous exchanges, segmental allopolyploidy, and  
907 polyploid genome evolution. *Frontiers in Genetics* 11:1014.
- 908 Mayr, E. 1942. *Systematics and the Origin of Species*. Columbia University Press, New York,  
909 NY.
- 910 ———. 1963. *Animal Species and Evolution*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, MA.
- 911 Odell, A.E., S.P. Vander Kloet, and R.E. Newell. 1989. Stem anatomy of *Vaccinium* section  
912 *Cyanococcus* and related taxa. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 67:2328–2334.
- 913 Ortiz, R., L.P. Bruederle, T. Lavery, and N. Vorsa. 1992a. The origin of polyploids via  $2n$   
914 gametes in *Vaccinium* section *Cyanococcus*. *Euphytica* 61:241–246.
- 915 ———, N. Vorsa, L.P. Bruederle, and T. Lavery. 1992b. Occurrence of unreduced pollen in  
916 diploid blueberry species, *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. *Theoretical & Applied Genetics*  
917 85:55–60.
- 918 ———, ———, ———, and ———. 1999. Pollen viability in natural populations of three  
919 North American diploid species of blueberry (*Vaccinium* section *Cyanococcus*). *Scientia*  
920 *Horticulturae* 80:39–48.
- 921 Padoan, D., A. Mossad, B. Chiancone, M.A. Germana, and P.S.S.V. Khan. 2013. Ploidy levels in  
922 *Citrus clementine* affects leaf morphology, stomatal density and water content.  
923 *Theoretical & Experimental Plant Physiology* 25:283–290.
- 924 Poster, L.S., S.N. Handel, and P.E. Smouse. 2017. Corolla size and temporal displacement of  
925 flowering times among sympatric diploid and tetraploid highbush blueberry (*Vaccinium*  
926 *corymbosum*). *Botany* 95:395–404.

- 927 Powell, E.A. and K.A. Kron. 2002. Hawaiian blueberries and their relatives—a phylogenetic  
928 analysis of *Vaccinium* sections *Macropelma*, *Myrtilus*, and *Hemimyrtillus* (Ericaceae).  
929 *Systematic Botany* 27:768–779.
- 930 Qu, L. and J.F. Hancock. 1997. RAPD-based genetic linkage map of blueberry derived from an  
931 interspecific cross between diploid *Vaccinium darrowi* [sic] and tetraploid *V.*  
932 *corymbosum*. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 122:69–73.
- 933 ———, ———, and J.H. Waylon. 1998. Evolution in an autopolyploid group displaying  
934 predominantly bivalent pairing at meiosis: genomic similarity of diploid *Vaccinium*  
935 *darrowi* [sic] and autotetraploid *V. corymbosum* (Ericaceae). *American Journal of Botany*  
936 85:698–703.
- 937 Ramsey, J. and D.W. Schemske. 2002. Neopolyploidy in flowering plants. *Annual Review of*  
938 *Ecology & Systematics* 33:589–639.
- 939 Rehder, A. 1940. *Manual of Cultivated Trees and Shrubs*, 2nd ed. Macmillan Co., New York,  
940 NY.
- 941 Rowland, L.J., E.L. Ogden, and J.R. Ballington. 2022. Relationships among blueberry species  
942 within the section *Cyanococcus* of the *Vaccinium* genus based on EST-PCR markers.  
943 *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 102:744–748.
- 944 Rydberg, P.A. 1917. *Flora of the Rocky Mountains and Adjacent Plains*. Steinman & Foltz,  
945 Lancaster, PA.
- 946 Sax, H.J. and K. Sax. 1937. Stomata size and distribution in diploid and polyploid plants. *Journal*  
947 *of the Arnold Arboretum* 18:164–172.
- 948 Sleumer, H. 1941. *Vaccinioideen studien*. *Botanische Jahrbücher für Systematik,*  
949 *Pflanzengeschichte und Pflanzengeographie* 71:375–510.

- 950 Small, J.K. 1933. Manual of the Southeastern Flora. University of North Carolina Press, Chapel  
951 Hill, NC.
- 952 Sneath, P.H. and R.R. Sokal. 1973. Numerical Taxonomy. W.H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco,  
953 CA.
- 954 Soltis, D.E., P.S. Soltis, D.W. Schemske, J.F. Hancock, J.N. Thompson, B.C. Husband, and W.S.  
955 Judd. 2007. Autopolyploidy in angiosperms: have we grossly underestimated the number  
956 of species? *Taxon* 56:13–30.
- 957 Song, G.-Q. and J.F. Hancock. 2011. *Vaccinium*, pp. 197–221. In: Kole, C. ed., Wild Crop  
958 Relatives: Genomic and Breeding Resources, Temperate Fruits. Springer-Verlag, Berlin,  
959 Germany.
- 960 Spoelhof, J.P., P.S. Soltis, and D.E. Soltis. 2017. Pure polyploidy: closing the gaps in  
961 autopolyploid research. *Journal of Systematics & Evolution* 55:340–352.
- 962 Stebbins, G.L. 1950. Variation and Evolution in Plants. Columbia University Press, New York,  
963 NY.
- 964 Stevens, P.F. 2004. Ericaceae, pp. 145–194. In: Kubitzki, K., ed., The Families and Genera of  
965 Vascular Plants, vol. 6. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Germany.
- 966 Tan, G.-Y. and G.M. Dunn. 1973. Relationship of stomatal length and frequency and pollen-  
967 grain diameter to ploidy level in *Bromus inermis* Leyss 1. *Crop Science* 13:332–334.
- 968 Uttal, L.J. 1987. The genus *Vaccinium* L. (Ericaceae) in Virginia. *Castanea* 52:231–255.
- 969 Vander Kloet, S.P. 1976a. Nomenclature, taxonomy, and biosystematics of *Vaccinium* section  
970 *Cyanococcus* (the blueberries) in North America. I. Natural barriers to gene exchange  
971 between *Vaccinium angustifolium* Ait. and *Vaccinium corymbosum* L. *Rhodora* 78: 503–  
972 515.

- 973 ———. 1976b. A novel approach to sampling *Vaccinium* populations. Canadian Journal of  
974 Botany 54:669–671.
- 975 ———. 1977a. The taxonomic status of *Vaccinium boreale*. Canadian Journal of Botany  
976 55:281–288.
- 977 ———. 1977b. Potential and actual gene exchange among three sympatric species of *Vaccinium*  
978 § *Cyanococcus* in Highlands County, Florida. Canadian Journal of Botany 55:2668–  
979 2672.
- 980 ———. 1978a. Systematics, distribution, and nomenclature of the polymorphic *Vaccinium*  
981 *angustifolium*. Rhodora 80:358–376.
- 982 ———. 1978b. The taxonomic status of *Vaccinium pallidum*, the hillside blueberries including  
983 *Vaccinium vacillans*. Canadian Journal of Botany 56:1559–1574.
- 984 ———. 1980. The taxonomy of the highbush blueberry, *Vaccinium corymbosum*. Canadian  
985 Journal of Botany 58:1187–1201.
- 986 ———. 1983. The taxonomy of *Vaccinium* § *Cyanococcus*: a summation. Canadian Journal of  
987 Botany 61:256–266.
- 988 ———. 1988. The genus *Vaccinium* in North America. Research Branch Agriculture Canada  
989 Publ. 1828, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada.
- 990 ———. 1998. The taxonomic status of *Vaccinium elliotii*, once more with feeling!!, pp. 17–23.  
991 In: Cline W.O. and J.R. Ballington, eds., Proceedings of the 8th North American  
992 Blueberry Research and Extension Workers Conference, Wilmington, North Carolina.  
993 Published by North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC.

- 994 ———. 2009. *Vaccinium*, pp. 515–530. In: Flora of North America Editorial Committee, eds.,  
995 Flora of North America North of Mexico, vol. 8. Magnoliophyta: Paeoniaceae to  
996 Ericaceae. Oxford University Press, New York, NY.
- 997 ——— and T.A. Dickinson. 2009. A subgeneric classification of the genus *Vaccinium* and the  
998 metamorphosis of *V.* section *Bracteata* Nakai: more terrestrial and less epiphytic in habit,  
999 more continental and less insular in distribution. *Journal of Plant Research* 122:253–268.
- 1000 Ward, D.B. 1974. Contributions to the flora of Florida: 6. *Vaccinium*. *Castanea* 39:191–205.
- 1001 ——— and P.M. Lyrene. 2007. *Vaccinium tenellum* is not a native Florida blueberry. *Castanea*  
1002 72:45–46.
- 1003 Weakley, A.S. 2020. Flora of the southeastern United States. University of North Carolina  
1004 Herbarium, North Carolina Botanical Garden, Chapel Hill, NC.

1005 Table 1. Comparison of the two major taxonomic treatments of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*, that of Camp (1945) and Vander Kloet (2008), with their hypotheses for the  
 1006 origin of the tetraploids (4x) and hexaploids (6x) indicated. The terms “highbush” and “lowbush” are used in the sense of Vander Kloet as > 1 m tall versus < 1 m tall, respectively;  
 1007 auto = autopolyploid; allo = allopolyploid. See Supplementary Data for additional notes.

Camp (1945) species	Camp hypotheses	Vander Kloet (2008) species	Vander Kloet hypotheses
“Lowbush”			
<i>V. myrtilloides</i> Michx. (2x)	–	<i>V. myrtilloides</i> (2x)	
<i>V. angustifolium</i> Aiton (2x)	–	<i>V. angustifolium</i> (4x)	Allo of <i>V. boreale</i> and <i>V. pallidum</i>
<i>V. brittonii</i> Porter ex E. P. Bicknell (4x)	Auto of <i>V. angustifolium</i> or sister of <i>V. lamarckii</i>	<i>V. angustifolium</i> (4x)	”
<i>V. lamarckii</i> Camp (4x)	Auto of <i>V. angustifolium</i>	<i>V. angustifolium</i> (4x)	”
Not treated	–	<i>V. boreale</i> I.V.Hall & Aalders (2x)	–
<i>V. pallidum</i> Aiton (2x)	–	<i>V. pallidum</i> (2x)	–
<i>V. vacillans</i> Kalm ex Torr. (2x)	–	<i>V. pallidum</i> (2x)	–
<i>V. altomontanum</i> Ashe (4x)	Polyphyletic auto of <i>V. vacillans</i>	<i>V. pallidum</i> (4x)	No hypothesis indicated
<i>V. darrowii</i> Camp (2x)	–	<i>V. darrowii</i> (2x)	–
<i>V. myrsinities</i> Lam. (4x)	Segmental allo of <i>V. darrowii</i> × <i>V. tenellum</i>	<i>V. myrsinities</i> (2x, 4x)	4x is allo of <i>V. tenellum</i> × <i>V. darrowii</i>
<i>V. tenellum</i> Aiton (2x)	–	<i>V. tenellum</i> (2x)	

<i>V. hirsutum</i> Buckley (4x)	No hypothesis specified	<i>V. hirsutum</i> (4x)	No hypothesis specified
“Highbush”			
<i>V. elliotii</i> Chapm. (2x)	–	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	Complex allo of “lowbush” diploids; a “compilospecies”
<i>V. virgatum</i> Aiton (4x)	Auto of <i>V. tenellum</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. amoenum</i> Aiton (6x)	Auto of <i>V. tenellum</i> / <i>V. virgatum</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. ashei</i> J. M. Reade (6x)	Complex allo of five tetraploids	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. corymbosum</i> L. (4x)	Complex allo of six tetraploids	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. atrococcum</i> A. Heller (2x)	–	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. arkansanum</i> Ashe (“probably 4x”)	Theoretical auto of <i>V. atrococcum</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. caesariense</i> Mack. (2x)	–	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. australe</i> Small (= <i>V. formosum</i> Andrews; 4x)	Auto of <i>V. caesariense</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. constablaei</i> A. Gray (6x)	Allo of <i>V. altomontanum</i> × <i>V. simulatum</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. fuscatum</i> Aiton (“probably 4x”)	Theoretical allo of <i>V. atrococcum</i> × <i>V. darrowii</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. marianum</i> P. Watson (“probably 4x”)	Theoretical allo of <i>V. atrococcum</i> × <i>V. caesariense</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”
<i>V. simulatum</i> Small (4x)	Auto of <i>V. pallidum</i>	<i>V. corymbosum</i> (2x, 4x, 6x)	”

## 1009 Figure Legends

1010

1011 Figure 1. Geographic distribution of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*, endemic to North  
1012 America.

1013

1014 Figure 2. Some diagnostic morphological characters of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*.

1015 Species names are tentative, approximating those of Camp (1945). (A) Eruptive periderm on the  
1016 bark of a cultivated plant of *V.* sect. *Cyanococcus*. (B) Raised stomata on the second-year stems  
1017 of a cultivated plant of *V.* sect. *Cyanococcus*. (C) Dimorphic buds on a cultivated plant of *V.* sect.  
1018 *Cyanococcus*. The four larger more distal buds are reproductive buds and the smaller more  
1019 proximal buds are vegetative. (D) Flowering bud showing multiple overlapping bud scales. (E)  
1020 *V. tenellum*, showing multi-flowered inflorescences. (F) Infructescence of *V. amoenum/V.*  
1021 *virgatum* showing the articulation between the pedicel apex and hypanthium, and well-developed  
1022 calyx lobes. (G) Stamens of *V. constablaei*. Note the absence of dorsal anther spurs. *Manos CY-*  
1023 *442* (BRIT, DUKE). Scale bar = 2 mm. (H) Cross section of young fruit of *V. amoenum/V.*  
1024 *virgatum* with upper immature seeds removed showing architecture of pseudo-10-locular ovary.  
1025 The five septa alternate with five invaginations from the outer wall. *Fritsch 2282* (BRIT,  
1026 DUKE). Scale bar = 2 mm. Photos: A–C by Anna Becker, used with permission; D, derivative of  
1027 <https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/41521073> by Nathaniel Sharp licensed under CC BY-  
1028 NC 4.0; E by A.A. Crowl; F–H by P.W. Fritsch.

1029

1030 Figure 3. Authors of key taxonomic treatments of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. (A)

1031 W.H. Camp. (B) S.P. Vander Kloet. (C) D.B. Ward. (D) L.J. Uttal. A, courtesy of the Archives



1032 of the New York Botanical Garden; B, courtesy of Melanie Priesnitz, used by permission; C,  
 1033 courtesy of Gordon Ward, used by permission; D, courtesy of Jeannie Uttal Breeden, used by  
 1034 permission.

1035

1036 Figure 4. Field images of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. Species names are tentative,  
 1037 approximating those of Camp (1945). (A) Flowering plant of *V. angustifolium*. Cultivated,  
 1038 University of California Botanical Garden 78.0093 (origin: Nova Scotia, Canada). (B) Fruiting  
 1039 plant of *V. angustifolium*. *Fritsch 2371* (BRIT, DUKE). (C) Pallid-leaved form of *V.*  
 1040 *angustifolium*. *Fritsch 2373* (BRIT, DUKE). (D) Inflorescence of *V. constablaei*. *Manos CY-439*  
 1041 (BRIT, DUKE). (E) Fruiting plant of *V. darrowii*. *Fritsch 2310* (BRIT, DUKE). (F) Flowering  
 1042 branchlet of *V. elliotii*. Note lack of exserted style. *Crowl CY-368* (BRIT). (G) *V. elliotii* with  
 1043 glossy black fruits. *Fritsch 2295* (BRIT, DUKE). (H) *V. elliotii* with glaucous fruit. *Fritsch*  
 1044 *2333* (BRIT, DUKE). Photos: A–C, E, G, H by P.W. Fritsch; D by P.S. Manos; F by A.A. Crowl.

1045

1046 Figure 5. Field images of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. (A) Flowering branchlet of *V.*  
 1047 *atrococcum/V. fuscatum*. *Crowl CY-375* (BRIT). (B) Fruiting branchlet of *V. atroccum/V.*  
 1048 *fuscatum*. *Fritsch 2351* (BRIT, DUKE). (C) Fruiting branchlet of *V. myrtilloides*. *Fritsch 2241*  
 1049 (BRIT, DUKE). (D) Fruiting branchlets of *V. pallidum* (including *V. vacillans*). *Fritsch 2354*  
 1050 (BRIT, DUKE). (E) Inflorescence of *V. simulatum*. *Manos CY-397* (BRIT, DUKE). (F)  
 1051 Inflorescences of *V. amoenum/V. virgatum*. *Crowl. CY-374* (BRIT). (G) Fruiting branchlet of *V.*  
 1052 *amoenum/V. virgatum*. *Fritsch 2270* (BRIT, DUKE). (H) Likely “rabbit-eye” escape from  
 1053 cultivation. *Fritsch 2271* (BRIT, DUKE). Species names are tentative, approximating those of  
 1054 Camp (1945). Photos: A, F by A.A. Crowl; B–D, G, H, by P.W. Fritsch; E by P.S. Manos.

1055

1056           Figure 6. Stipitate-glandular trichomes on the abaxial surface of the leaf blades in  
1057 *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. Species names are tentative, approximating those of Camp  
1058 (1945). (A) *V. atrococcum/fuscatum*, secondary veins. *Whitehouse 3159* (BRIT). (B) *V.*  
1059 *myrsinites*. *Crowl 188* (BRIT), secondary veins and surface. Note the elongated heads relative to  
1060 the globose heads in the other subfigures. (C) *V. amoenum/virgatum*, tertiary veins and surface.  
1061 *Fritsch 2263* (BRIT, DUKE). (D) *V. elliotii*, base of midvein. *Fritsch 2280* (BRIT, DUKE).  
1062 Scale bars = 300  $\mu$ m.

1063

1064           Figure 7. Raised stomata on second-year stems of *Vaccinium* sect. *Cyanococcus*. The size  
1065 and density of the stomata are thought to be positively and negatively correlated with ploidy,  
1066 respectively. Species names approximate those sensu Camp (1945) except where noted. (A) *V.*  
1067 *atrococcum* (2x). *Manos CY-070* (BRIT). (B) *V. atrococcum* (2x). *Manos CY-326* (BRIT,  
1068 DUKE). (C) *V. pallidum* including *V. vacillans* (2x). *Manos CY-052* (BRIT, DUKE). (D) *V.*  
1069 *australe* (4x; = *V. formosum*). *Crowl CY-235* (BRIT). (E) *V. arkansanum* (4x). *Manos CY-155*  
1070 (BRIT). (F) *V. simulatum* (4x). *Manos CY-059* (BRIT, DUKE). (G) *V. corymbosum* (4x). *Shaw*  
1071 *CY-333* (BRIT, DUKE). (H) *V. constablaei* (6x). *Manos CY-028* (BRIT). Species names are  
1072 tentative, approximating those of Camp (1945). Scale bars = 2 mm.