

1 **Title:** Evolutionary origins of the Mesoamerican-eastern United States floristic disjunction:
2 current status and future prospects

3
4 Gregory W. Stull¹

5
6 ¹Department of Botany, National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institution,
7 Washington, DC 20013, USA,

8
9 **Email:** stullg@si.edu.

10
11 **Abstract:** Biogeographic disjunction patterns, where multiple taxonomic groups are shared
12 between isolated geographic areas, represent excellent systems for investigating the historical
13 assembly of modern biotas as well as fundamental biological processes such as speciation,
14 diversification, niche evolution, and evolutionary responses to climate change. Studies on plant
15 genera disjunct across the Northern Hemisphere (NH), particularly between eastern North
16 America (ENA) and eastern Asia (EAS), have yielded tremendous insight on the geologic history
17 and evolutionary assembly of rich temperate floras. However, one of the most prevalent
18 disjunction patterns involving ENA forests has been largely overlooked: that of taxa disjunct
19 between the eastern US (EUS) and cloud forests of Mesoamerica (MAM), with examples
20 including sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*), dogwood (*Cornus florida*), sweetgum (*Liquidambar*
21 *styraciflua*), redbud (*Cercis Canadensis*), hop hornbeam (*Ostrya virginiana*), and beechdrops
22 (*Epifagus virginiana*). Despite the remarkable nature of this disjunction pattern, which has been
23 recognized for over 70 years, there have been surprisingly few recent efforts to empirically
24 examine its evolutionary and ecological origins. Here I synthesize previous systematic,
25 paleobotanical, and phylogenetic and phylogeographic studies to establish what is known, and
26 unknown, about this disjunction pattern to provide a roadmap for future research. I argue that
27 this disjunction pattern, and the evolutionary history and fossil record of the Mexican flora more
28 broadly, represents a key missing piece in the broader puzzle of Northern Hemisphere
29 biogeography. I also suggest that the EUS-MAM disjunction pattern represents an excellent
30 system for examining fundamental questions about how plant traits and life history strategies
31 mediate plant evolutionary responses to climate change, and for predicting how broadleaf
32 temperate forests will respond to the ongoing climatic pressures of the Anthropocene.

33
34 **Keywords:** biogeography, cloud forests, eastern United States, geographic disjuncts, Mexico,
35 Northern Hemisphere, phylogeography, temperate forests.

36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Nearly all plant species show disjunctions in their geographic range, but typically at a
48 relatively small spatial scale that reflects local or regional discontinuities in suitable habitat
49 (Heywood, 1991). When populations, sister species, or clades show disjunctions at a broader
50 geographic scale, this raises important questions about the underlying evolutionary history,
51 especially when particular disjunctions are repeated across numerous taxa (Wood, 1972). Such
52 shared disjunctions offer an unparalleled opportunity to reconstruct how geologic history has
53 shaped the assembly of modern plant communities. More broadly, they also represent excellent
54 systems for studying myriad fundamental processes in ecology and evolution, including
55 speciation and diversification (Xiang et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2018), niche evolution (Wasof et
56 al., 2015; Melton et al., 2022), biotic interactions (Quiroga et al., 2020), community assembly
57 (Donoghue and Smith, 2004; Donoghue, 2008; Manos and Meireles, 2015; Dick and Pennington,
58 2019; Jiang et al., 2019), and evolutionary responses to climate change over both small and
59 larger time scales (Qian & Ricklefs, 2004; Hamilton and Eckert, 2007; Kuhn et al., 2016).

60 One of the earliest-recognized and best-studied disjunction patterns concerns temperate
61 plant genera disjunct across continents of the Northern Hemisphere (Boufford and Spongberg,
62 1983), and particular attention has been paid to disjuncts between the rich temperate forests of
63 eastern North America (ENA) and eastern Asia (EAS; Wen, 1999). However, one of the most
64 prevalent disjunction patterns involving ENA forests has been largely overlooked: that of
65 populations and sister lineages disjunct between the eastern US (EUS) and cloud forests of
66 Mesoamerica (MAM, ranging approximately from central Mexico to northern Costa Rica; Fig 1).
67 This disjunction pattern has been recognized for over 70 years (e.g., Miranda and Sharp, 1950;
68 Braun, 1955), with botanists noting the remarkable presence of numerous mesic temperate taxa
69 of the EUS (e.g., *Carpinus caroliniana*, *Fagus grandifolia*, *Liquidambar styraciflua*) in isolated
70 cloud forests of MAM (Hernández et al., 1951). Despite the remarkable nature of this
71 disjunction, there have been surprisingly few recent efforts to empirically examine its
72 evolutionary and ecological origins. A handful of previous studies provide valuable preliminary
73 insight on the geologic time frame in which the disjunction was formed (e.g., Graham, 1999;
74 Manos and Meireles, 2015), and phylogeographic patterns have been documented for a few taxa
75 (Morris et al., 2008; Ruiz-Sanchez and Ornelas, 2014; Vargas-Rodriguez et al., 2015), but
76 otherwise the evolutionary history of this disjunction pattern remains largely obscure.

77 On the one hand, the taxonomic extent of this disjunction has not been fully enumerated;
78 most studies on this disjunction note around 10 to 30 examples (e.g., Dressler, 1954; Martin and
79 Harrell, 1957). Furthermore, the vast majority of these disjuncts have not been subjected to close
80 systematic study. Many disjunct populations currently treated as a single species should perhaps
81 be considered separate species; this would have profound conservation implications given the
82 vulnerability of Mesoamerican cloud forests and various EUS plant communities to climate
83 change and human disturbance (Ponce-Reyes et al., 2012; Hamilton et al., 2021). Beyond
84 determining the number of lineages showing this disjunction and clarifying their taxonomic
85 status, we have a poor understanding of precisely when these disjunctions were formed and
86 whether they show concordant temporal/spatial patterns representing shared evolutionary history
87 in response to particular geologic events (e.g., Miocene-Pliocene aridification or Pleistocene
88 glaciation). The extent to which various biological attributes and interactions (e.g., growth form,
89 dispersal mode, pollination mode) have mediated speciation or connectivity between EUS and
90 MAM populations remains essentially unknown. Therefore, collectively, we currently know
91 surprisingly little about the evolutionary history of one of the most prevalent disjunction patterns
92 involving North American forests.

93 In this review, I provide an overview of this important but understudied disjunction
94 pattern, including a preliminary assessment of the total number of plant taxa showing EUS-
95 MAM disjunctions. I then synthesize insights from relevant systematic, paleobotanical, and
96 molecular studies to establish what is known and unknown about the evolutionary history of
97 EUS-MAM disjuncts, and to suggest critical directions for future work. I argue that this
98 disjunction pattern, and the evolutionary history of the Mexican flora more broadly, represents a
99 key missing piece in the broader puzzle of Northern Hemisphere biogeography, and that the
100 EUS-MAM disjunction pattern in particular represents an excellent system for examining
101 fundamental questions about how plant traits and life history strategies mediate plant
102 evolutionary responses to climate change.

103

104 **BACKGROUND**

105 Miranda and Sharp (1950) were among the first to fully recognize the remarkable floristic
106 similarities between cloud forests of MAM and the mesic temperate forests of the EUS. They
107 highlighted 50 species shared between these regions representing various life histories and
108 lineages (Appendix 1). Mesic temperate forests of the EUS are relatively broad in extent, in
109 contrast to the cloud forests of MAM, which occur in isolated “islands” throughout the highlands
110 of Mexico and Central America, typically above 1000 meters, where precipitation levels are
111 suitable. Most of these MAM cloud forests rich in EUS temperate elements occur along the
112 Sierra Madre Orientale (SMOr), but they also occur in isolated fragments in the Sierra Madre
113 Occidental (SMOc), Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB), the Sierra Madres del Sur and de
114 Chiapas (SMdS, SMdC), and the Central American Highlands (CAH) (Fig. 2). MAM cloud
115 forests and EUS broadleaf forests are separated by a large arid expanse of grassland and desert
116 ranging across the central and southwestern US and northern Mexico. Since the landmark paper
117 by Miranda and Sharp (1950), there has been considerable interest in this biogeographic pattern,
118 and many have speculated about the evolutionary and geologic history underlying its formation
119 (e.g., Carlson, 1954; Dressler, 1954; Braun, 1955; Martin and Harrell, 1957; Graham, 1973,
120 1999; Lorenzo et al., 1983; Grellier, 1990), but there have been surprisingly few recent empirical
121 studies to explore the evolutionary history of EUS-MAM disjuncts.

122

123 **TAXONOMIC EXTENT OF THE EUS-MAM DISJUNCTION**

124 To my knowledge, there has been no published attempt to comprehensively review all plant taxa
125 with EUS-MAM disjunctions. Miranda & Sharp (1950) presented 50 spp. of vascular plants
126 present in both regions; however, some of the included species (e.g., *Chimaphila umbellata*,
127 *Equisetum laevigatum*) are broadly distributed, with ranges extending beyond these two defined
128 regions. Most other studies on this disjunction list the same major tree taxa (e.g., *Acer*
129 *saccharum*, *Carpinus caroliniana*, *Fagus grandifolia*, *Liquidambar styraciflua*). To fill this gap,
130 I generated a near-comprehensive database of flowering plants showing this disjunction
131 (Appendix 1). While the present list is limited to angiosperms, this disjunction has been
132 recognized in other groups of organisms (e.g., monilophytes, lycophytes, bryophytes, fungi,
133 vertebrates; Sharp 1941, 1948; Miranda and Sharp 1950; Martin and Harrell 1957), and
134 hopefully this work will inspire biologists to further examine this disjunction in other major
135 lineages across the tree of life. Among angiosperms, I have identified 97 examples of this
136 disjunction, including 73 species and 24 sister-lineages (either sister species or sister clades),
137 representing 45 families and all major growth forms (Appendix S1). Future work will
138 undoubtedly reveal additional examples.

139

140 **SYSTEMATICS OF DISJUNCT SPECIES COMPLEXES**

141 Although the majority (~75%) of EUS-MAM disjuncts represent populations currently treated as
142 a single species (see Appendix 1), very few of these disjunct species have been subjected to close
143 systematic and phylogeographic study across their geographic range—exceptions include *Acer*
144 *saccharum* s.l. (Vargas-Rodriguez et al. 2015), *Liquidambar styraciflua* (Morris et al. 2008;
145 Ruiz-Sanchez & Ornelas 2014), and *Tilia americana* s.l. (McCarthy & Mason-Gamer 2020).
146 Furthermore, the Flora of North America series does not include Mexico, and therefore disjunct
147 populations in MAM cloud forests were generally not factored into considerations of species
148 boundaries of EUS taxa. While some of these disjunct populations do indeed seem
149 morphologically identical (e.g., *Schisandra glabra*; Panero & Aranda 1998), others show
150 considerable, yet often complex, patterns of phenotypic variation across their geographic
151 range—e.g., *Carya spp.* (Manning 1949, 1962; Stone 1962), *Hamamelis spp.* (Bradford and
152 Marsh 1977; Leonard 2006), *Tilia americana* s.l. (McCarthy and Mason-Gamer 2020). Many of
153 the disjunct populations in MAM have also been treated in conflicting ways by different
154 botanists (e.g., Martínez 1940; Bailey et al. 1970; Furlow 1987), as distinct species, subspecies,
155 or varieties (e.g., *Acer skutchii* vs. *Acer saccharum* subsp. *skutchii*), and few of these have been
156 rigorously examined using extensive genomic data, currently available computational tools, and
157 more clearly defined species concepts.

158

159 **EVOLUTIONARY ORIGINS OF THE EUS-MAM DISJUNCTION**

160

161 **Geologic context and evolutionary scenarios**

162 After the Mid-Miocene Climatic Optimum (~15 Ma), there were significant global and regional
163 changes in temperature and precipitation, with general trends toward cooling and aridification,
164 that clearly had a large impact on the evolution and distribution of plants in the Americas
165 (Strömberg 2005; Graham 2010; Strömberg & McInerney 2011). Cooling temperatures after the
166 Miocene may have prompted southward migration of many warm temperate elements. With the
167 further expansion of arid habitats in the late Miocene (~5.3 mya) and at the end of the Pliocene
168 (~2.6 mya), migratory corridors between temperate broadleaf forests of EUS and MAM may
169 have been disrupted, with broadleaf temperate populations in MAM then being generally
170 confined to upland habitats with sufficient precipitation and cooler temperatures. Climatic
171 fluctuations during Pleistocene glacial cycles, which are known to have broadly impacted species
172 ranges (Davis 1983; Soltis et al. 2006), may also have created opportunities for many mesic
173 temperate lineages to establish in lowland areas of MAM during glacial episodes (Fig. 3),
174 followed by restriction to higher elevation MAM cloud forests during warmer interglacial phases
175 (Martin & Harrell 1957; Vargas-Rodriguez et al. 2015). At present, however, it is largely unclear
176 how different phases and aspects of climate change over the past 15 Ma shaped the formation of
177 EUS-MAM disjunctions. As noted above, Pleistocene glaciation might have also resulted in the
178 reconnection of previously disjunct EUS-MAM populations or the formation of complex patterns
179 of gene flow among intermittently connected MAM populations, or both (Fig. 3). Furthermore,
180 cloud forests of the TMVB or SMdS, for example, might show distinct genetic patterns given
181 their isolation from the SMOr and EUS. While much about EUS-MAM disjuncts remain
182 unknown, the fossil record and previous phylogeographic and phylogenetic studies provide
183 important insights, which I outline below.

184

185

186 **The fossil record**

187 The prevailing evidence from the fossil record (e.g., Graham 1999, 2010; Ramírez-Arriaga et al.
188 2014a, 2014b, 2017) suggests that this disjunction pattern formed after the mid-Miocene, with
189 northern temperate elements (with Paleogene fossil records in the EUS) appearing in MAM
190 palynofloras particularly after the mid-Pliocene. Graham (2010) generally favors a gradual
191 introduction (from north to south) of the temperate elements after the mid-Miocene, and
192 downplays the importance of Pleistocene glaciation in shaping this disjunction given the earlier
193 appearance of genera such as *Alnus*, *Fagus*, *Liquidambar*, and *Ulmus* in the MAM highlands.
194 This fossil evidence is critically important but also, by itself, insufficient for understanding the
195 history of this disjunction in greater detail. Notably, the pre-Pleistocene presence of temperate
196 genera in MAM does not mean that Pleistocene glaciation has not been important for this
197 disjunction pattern: recent range fluctuations in response to glaciation might have resulted in
198 intermittent gene flow between disjunct EUS and MAM populations (Fig. 3), resulting in
199 complex geographic patterns of generic variation across (Vargas-Rodriguez et al. 2015; Ruiz-
200 Sanchez & Ornelas 2014). Most of the relevant paleobotanical studies are also based on fossil
201 pollen, which is advantageous in that captures a regional view of standing vegetation, but
202 disadvantageous in that trees with wind-pollination tend to be over-represented and that pollen
203 often has relatively limited systematic resolution (although this latter issue is somewhat
204 methodologically dependent; Mander and Punyasena, 2014).

205 Only a small proportion of EUS-MAM disjuncts have useful fossil records and, as noted
206 above, the life history bias of the fossil record prevents us from using the fossil record alone to
207 understand how life history differences might manifest distinct patterns within the broader
208 context of EUS-MAM disjunctions. We might expect species with different life histories or traits
209 (e.g., woody vs. herbaceous, wind vs. animal pollination or dispersal) to show distinct patterns in
210 terms of their dispersibility, rates of phenotypic and niche evolution, and propensity for
211 speciation vs. population connectivity (e.g., Smith and Beaulieu 2009; Vasconcelos, et al. 2021).
212 Furthermore, sampling biases in the fossil record could further mislead inferences of
213 evolutionary history. The USA (as well as Europe and Asia) are better studied from a
214 paleobotanical perspective than Mexico and many other parts of the Neotropics (Xing et al.
215 2016). As a consequence, the oldest records of various lineages are perhaps more likely to be
216 known from the USA, and younger records from MAM might then be interpreted as evidence for
217 a north-to-south migration pattern. While it does indeed seem likely that north-to-south is the
218 prevailing directionality of migration in this biogeographic pattern, it is important to consider the
219 alternative and, if migration was bidirectional, whether northward vs. southward migrations
220 happened at different times. Also, as appears to be the case with *Liquidambar* (Ruiz-Sanchez &
221 Ornelas 2014), initial migrations from north to south might have been followed by more recent
222 migrations in the opposite direction as populations expanded out of glacial refugia.

223 It is also important to consider that the EUS-MAM disjunction pattern is part of the
224 broader, and more complex, history of Northern Hemisphere biogeography. Some species or
225 lineages disjunct between EUS-MAM also have sister species in East Asia or WNA (e.g.,
226 *Cartrema americana*, *Hamamelis* spp.). Some Mesoamerican endemics (e.g., *Ticodendron*) have
227 fossil records from the Cenozoic of WNA, Europe, or Asia (Manchester, 2011), and there are
228 multiple lineages currently disjunct between Mesoamerica (or the Neotropics more broadly) and
229 temperate-tropical Asia with rich fossil records in the Northern Hemisphere (e.g.,
230 *Engelhardioideae*, *Symplocos*, *Turpinia*; Herrera et al. 2014; Tiffney, 1979; Tiffney et al., 2018;

231 Huegele and Manchester, 2019). This highlights that Mesoamerica (somewhat like temperate-
232 tropical Asia) represents an important refuge for thermophilic elements of the Cenozoic
233 Boreotropical flora. More broadly, it is clear that the floras of North America and Mesoamerica
234 have been broadly shaped by the dynamic history of floristic exchange across the Northern
235 Hemisphere, as well as within North America and between North America and other parts of the
236 Neotropics (Manos and Meireles, 2015). The EUS-MAM disjunction therefore represents one
237 important, and understudied, component of this broader, complex history of Northern
238 Hemisphere biogeography.

239

240 **Molecular data**

241 Plant molecular studies relevant to the EUS-MAM geographic disjunction include a comparative
242 study on divergence times of Northern Hemisphere disjuncts (Manos and Meireles 2015) as well
243 as a few of phylogeographic studies of iconic examples of this disjunction pattern: *Acer*
244 *saccharum* (Vargas-Rodriguez et al., 2015), *Fagus grandifolia* (Morris et al. 2010), *Liquidambar*
245 *styraciflua* (Morris et al. 2008; Ruiz-Sanchez and Ornelas, 2014). The study by Manos and
246 Meireles (2015) included nine EUS-MAM disjuncts and showed disjunct divergence ranging
247 from 9 to 3 mya, a timeframe generally consistent with the fossil record, supporting the notion
248 that this disjunction pattern was largely formed after the mid-Miocene. It is difficult to draw
249 broad phylogeographic generalizations from the limited number of completed studies, but
250 available data suggests the presence of gene flow among at least some MAM and EUS
251 populations during the Pleistocene, as well as some degree of genetic isolation among different
252 mountainous regions of Mexico (SMOr vs. SMOcc vs. SMdS), consistent with a comparative
253 phylogeographic study on both plant and animal species distributed across MAM cloud forests
254 (Ornelas et al. 2013), which identified phylogeographic breaks also at the Isthmus of
255 Tehuantepec and the Chiapas Central Depression (Fig. 2). Perhaps the most critical take-away
256 from these studies is that many of these disjuncts will likely not show neat geographic patterns in
257 genetic diversity, or at least not strictly at the level of the EUS vs. MAM, and that dense,
258 comprehensive geographic sampling (across both EUS and MAM) is essential for better
259 understanding the dynamic history of plant migration and gene flow across these areas over the
260 past 15 Ma. While this might mean that the EUS-MAM disjunction is not as tidy as, say, the
261 ENA-EAS disjunction in terms of showing discrete geographic divergences, I argue this
262 complexity makes for a richer system to explore the dynamics of plant speciation and migration
263 in response to finer-scale changes in regional and global climate.

264

265 **CONSERVATION OF NORTH AMERICAN FORESTS**

266 The EUS-MAM disjunction pattern encompasses two biodiversity hotspots, Mesoamerica
267 (Myers et al. 2000) and the North American Coastal Plain (Noss 2015), both of which face
268 serious threats from both habitat loss and climate change (Ramírez-Marcial et al. 2000; Ponce-
269 Reyes et al. 2012; Hamilton et al. 2021). Research on the EUS-MAM disjunction pattern
270 therefore represents a critical framework for understanding the evolutionary history of these
271 biodiverse regions and informing conservation efforts. A better understand the species limits and
272 geographic patterns of genetic diversity of EUS-MAM disjuncts represents one important lens
273 for guiding conservation, which would be complemented by further investigations of spatial
274 phylogenetic patterns across North America (Sosa et al. 2018; Mishler et al. 2020). Despite
275 sharing many species in common, mesic forests of EUS and cloud forests of MAM likely show
276 distinct patterns of phylogenetic diversity and endemism given their differing regional species

277 pools (Dick & Pennington 2019). Yet understanding how biodiversity shared between these
278 regions contributes to their overall spatial phylogenetic patterns will greatly inform our
279 understanding of how these communities were historically assembled and help identify priority
280 regions for conservation (Thornhill et al. 2016; Spalink et al. 2018).

281

282 **FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

283 Improved phylogeographic and phylogenomic sampling of EUS-MAM disjuncts, across their
284 geographic breadth, will allow for the documentation of previously unrecognized biodiversity
285 and the temporal reconstruction of geographic divergences, aided by improved approaches for
286 divergence dating in phylogeographic and shallow phylogenomic contexts (e.g., Ogilvie et al.
287 2017; Oaks 2019). Paired with examinations of niche evolution and species distribution models,
288 such datasets will provide excellent insight on the evolutionary history of this disjunction pattern
289 in terms of when it was formed and in response to what climatic pressures. However, there are
290 also exciting opportunities for using EUS-MAM disjuncts as a comparative framework for
291 studying how different traits and life history attributes influence a lineage's ability to disperse
292 and evolve in response to climate change, given that EUS-MAM disjuncts represent a plethora
293 of taxonomic groups, growth forms, and life history strategies (Appendix 1). Another intriguing
294 aspect of this disjunction pattern is that it mirrors some distribution patterns in East and
295 Southeast Asia (Ohsawa, 1993; Osawa and Nitta, 2002), where a temperate flora shifts from the
296 lowlands at higher latitudes to the mountains at lower latitudes. This raises a number of
297 interesting questions: what eco-evolutionary processes and climatic pressures are driving this
298 parallel distribution pattern? Is it generally easier for temperate lineages to move than evolve
299 during episodes of climate change (Donoghue 2008)? Do these temperate lineages lack the traits
300 necessary to survive, or remain competitive, in lowland tropical forests? What biological or
301 ecological contexts have facilitated cases of greater *in situ* evolutionary shifts, or instances of
302 parallel phenotypic evolution in cases where lineages are able to independently shift between
303 climatic zones (Donoghue et al., 2022)?

304 It is also important to consider how EUS-MAM disjuncts fit into the broader picture of
305 Northern Hemisphere biogeography, given that this distribution pattern is nested within, or
306 intermingled with, other well-established NH disjunction patterns (e.g., ENA-EAS, ENA-WNA).
307 Broader analytical frameworks, including fossil data, will be important for reconstructing unique
308 histories and identifying universal themes concerning the biogeographic history of the NH and
309 beyond. While the fossil Cenozoic fossil records of the USA, Europe, and Asia are relatively
310 well-documented, the fossil record of Mexico and Central America (M/CAM) remains greatly
311 understudied in comparison, although the many completed studies on Mexican paleobotany
312 provide an excellent foundation to build upon (e.g., Cevallos-Ferriz et al., 2012). Further
313 research on the M/CAM fossil record should greatly improve our understanding of the evolution
314 of the remarkably diverse Mesoamerican flora as well as the role of M/CAM as a biogeographic
315 conduit between North and South America during the Cenozoic, which will contribute greatly
316 toward our broader understanding of Northern Hemisphere biogeography.

317

318 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

319 Discussions with Jun Wen and Lucas Majure provided an important basis for the ideas presented
320 here. I thank them both. I also thank Doug Soltis for bringing my attention to several examples
321 of this disjunction pattern, Steve Manchester for highlighting Mesoamerican endemics with
322 broader historical distributions in the Northern Hemisphere, and Stuart Davies for drawing my

323 attention to the presence of similar distribution patterns of temperate taxa in East and Southeast
324 Asia.

325

326 DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

327 The occurrence data used for Figure 1, obtained from iDigBio (<https://idigbio.org>), is available
328 here (fill in upon acceptance). The compiled list of EUS-MAM disjuncts is presented in
329 Appendix S1.

330

331 LITERATURE CITED

- 332 Bailey, V. L., S. B. Herlin, and H. E. Bailey. 1970. *Ptelea trifoliata* ssp. *trifoliata* (Rutaceae) in
333 deciduous forest regions of eastern North America. *Brittonia* 22: 346-358.
- 334 Baskin, J. M., C. C. Baskin. 2016. Origins and relationships of the mixed mesophytic forest of
335 Oregon-Idaho, China, and Kentucky: review and synthesis. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical*
336 *Garden* 101: 525-552.
- 337 Boufford, D. E., S. A. Spongberg. 1983. Eastern Asian–eastern North American
338 phytogeographical relationships—A history from the time of Linnaeus to the twentieth
339 century. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 70: 423-439.
- 340 Bradford J.L., Marsh D.L. 1977. Comparative Studies of the Witch Hazels *Hamamelis*
341 *virginiana* and *H. vernalis*. *Journal of the Arkansas Academy of Science* 31: 29-31.
- 342 Braun, E. L. 1955. The phytogeography of unglaciated eastern United States and its
343 interpretation. *Botanical Review* 21: 297-375.
- 344 Carlson, M. C. 1954. Floral elements of the forests of Montebello, Chiapas, Mexico. *Bulletin of*
345 *the Torrey Botanical Club* 81: 387-399.
- 346 Davis, M. B. 1983. Quaternary history of deciduous forests of eastern North America and
347 Europe. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 70: 550-563.
- 348 Dick, C. W., R. T. Pennington. 2019. History and geography of neotropical tree diversity.
349 *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 50: 279-301.
- 350 Donoghue, M. J. 2008. A phylogenetic perspective on the distribution of plant diversity.
351 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 105: 11549-11555.
- 352 Donoghue, M. J., S. A. Smith. 2004. Patterns in the assembly of the temperate forest around the
353 Northern Hemisphere. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London: Biology*
354 359: 1633.
- 355 Donoghue, M. J., D. A. R. Eaton, C. A. Maya-Lastra, et al. 2022. Replicated radiation of a plant
356 clade along a cloud forest archipelago. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 9: 1318-1329.
- 357 Dressler, R. L. 1954. Some floristic relationships between Mexico and the United States.
358 *Rhodora* 56: 81-96.
- 359 Furlow, J. J. 1987. The *Carpinus caroliniana* complex in North America. II. Systematics.
360 *Systematic Botany* 12: 416-434.
- 361 Graham, A. 1973. History of the arborescent temperate element in the northern Latin biota, pp.
362 301-314 in A. Graham (ed.), *Vegetation and vegetational history of northern Latin America*.
363 Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- 364 Graham, A. 1999. The Tertiary history of the northern temperate element in the northern Latin
365 American biota. *American Journal of Botany* 86: 32-38.
- 366 Graham, A. 2010. *Late Cretaceous and Cenozoic history of Latin American vegetation and*
367 *terrestrial environments*. St. Louis: Missouri Botanical Garden Press.

368 Grellier, A. M. 1990. Comparison of humid forest zones in eastern Mexico and southeastern
369 United States. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 117: 382-396.

370 Hamilton, J. A., C. G. Eckert. 2007. Population genetic consequences of geographic disjunction:
371 a prairie plant isolated on Great Lake alvars. *Molecular Ecology* 16: 1649-1660.

372 Hamilton, H., et al. 2021. Increasing taxonomic diversity and spatial resolution clarifies
373 opportunities for protecting US imperiled species. *Ecological Applications* 32: e2534.

374 Hernández, E., H. Crum, W. B. Fox, A. J. Sharp. 1951. A unique vegetational area in
375 Tamaulipas. *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 78: 458-463.

376 Herrera, F., Manchester, S.R., Koll, R. and Jaramillo, C., 2014. Fruits of *Oreomunnea*
377 (*Juglandaceae*) in the early Miocene of Panama. *Paleobotany and biogeography: A*
378 *Festschrift for Alan Graham in his 80th year: Monographs in systematic botany from the*
379 *Missouri Botanical Garden*, 128, pp.124-133.

380 Heywood, J. S. 1991. Spatial analysis of genetic variation in plant populations. *Annual Review of*
381 *Ecology and Systematics* 22: 335-355.

382 Hiegele, I. B., S. R. Manchester. 2019. Newly recognized diversity of fruits and seeds from the
383 late Paleogene flora of Trinity County, East Texas, USA. *International Journal of Plant*
384 *Sciences* 180: 681-708.

385 Jiang, D., S. Klaus, Y.-P. Zhang, D. M. Hillis, J-T. Li. 2019. Asymmetric biotic interchange
386 across the Bering land bridge between Eurasia and North America. *National Science Review*
387 6: 739-745.

388 Kuhn, E., J. Lenoir, C. Piedallu, J.-C. Gégout. 2016. Early signs of range disjunction of
389 submountainous plant species: an unexplored consequence of future and contemporary
390 climate changes. *Global Change Biology* 22: 2094-2105.

391 Laffan, S. W., D. F. Rosauer, G. Di Virgilio, et al. 2016. Range-weighted metrics of species and
392 phylogenetic turnover can better resolve biogeographic transition zones. *Methods in Ecology*
393 *and Evolution* 7: 580-588.

394 Leonard, S. 2006. A new species of witch-hazel (*Hamamelis*: *Hamamelidaceae*) apparently
395 endemic to Southern Mississippi. *SIDA, Contributions to Botany* 22:849–856.

396 Lorenzo, S. A., et al. 1983. Notas sobre la fitogeografía del Bosque mesófilo de Montaña en la
397 Sierra Madre del Sur, México. *Boletín de la Sociedad Botánica de México* 44: 97-102.

398 Manchester, S.R. 2011. Fruits of *Ticodendraceae* (*Fagales*) from the Eocene of Europe and North
399 America. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 172: 1179-1187.

400 Mander, L., S. W. Punyasena. 2014. On the taxonomic resolution of pollen and spore records of
401 earth's vegetation. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 175: 931-945.

402 Manos, P. S., J. E. Meireles. 2015. Biogeographic analysis of the woody plants of the Southern
403 Appalachians: implications for the origins of a regional flora. *American Journal of Botany*
404 102: 780-804.

405 Manning, W.E. 1949. The genus *Carya* in Mexico. *Journal of the Arnold Arboretum* 30: 425-
406 432.

407 Manning, W.E. 1962. Additional nodes on *Juglans* and *Carya* in Mexico and Central America.
408 *Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical Club* 89: 110-113.

409 Mastretta-Yanes, A., A. Moreno-Letelier, D. Piñero, et al. 2015. Biodiversity in the Mexican
410 highlands and the interaction of geology, geography and climate within the Trans-Mexican
411 Volcanic Belt. *Journal of Biogeography* 42: 1586-1600.

412 Martin, P. S., B. E. Harrell. 1957. The Pleistocene history of temperate biotas in Mexico and
413 eastern United States. *Ecology* 38: 468-480.

414 Martínez, M., 1940. Una nueva especie forestal (*Fagus mexicana* sp. nova). Anales del Instituto
415 de Biología, México 11, 85–89.

416 McCarthy, D. M., R. J. Mason-Gamer. 2020. Morphological variation in North American *Tilia*
417 and its value in species delimitation. *International Journal of Plant Sciences* 181: 175-195.

418 Melton, A. E., et al. 2022. Climatic niche comparisons of eastern North American and eastern
419 Asian disjunct plant genera. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 31: 1290-1302.

420 Mishler, B. D., R. G. Guralnick, P. S. Soltis, et al. 2020. Spatial phylogenetics of the North
421 American flora. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 58: 393-405.

422 Miranda, F., A. J. Sharp. 1950. Characteristics of the vegetation in certain temperate regions of
423 Eastern Mexico. *Ecology* 31: 313-333.

424 Morris, A. B., S. Ickert-Bond, D. B. Brunson, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis. 2008. Phylogeographical
425 structure and temporal complexity in American sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*;
426 Altingiaceae). *Molecular Ecology* 17: 3889-3900.

427 Morris, A. B., C. Graham, D. E. Soltis, P. S. Soltis. 2010. Reassessment of phylogeographical
428 structure in an eastern North American tree using Monmonier's algorithm and ecological
429 niche modeling. *Journal of Biogeography* 37: 1657-67.

430 Myers, N., Mittermeier, R.A., Mittermeier, C.G., Da Fonseca, G.A. and Kent, J., 2000.
431 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403: 853-858.

432 Nesom, G. L. 2012. Synopsis of American *Cartrema* (Oleaceae). *Phytoneuron* 96: 1-11.

433 Noss, R. F., W. J. Platt, B. A. Sorrie, et al. 2015. How global biodiversity hotspots may go
434 unrecognized: lessons from the North American Coastal Plain. *Diversity and Distributions*
435 21: 236-244.

436 Oaks, J.R. 2019. Full Bayesian comparative phylogeography from genomic data. *Systematic*
437 *Biology* 68: 371-395.

438 Ogilvie, H. A., R. R. Boucjaert, A. J. Drummond. 2017. StarBeast2 brings faster species tree
439 inference and accurate estimates of substitution rates. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 34:
440 2101-2114.

441 Ohsawa, M., 1993. Latitudinal pattern of mountain vegetation zonation in southern and eastern
442 Asia. *Journal of Vegetation Science* 4: 13-18.

443 Ohsawa, M., I. Nitta. 2002. Forest zonation and morphological tree-traits along latitudinal and
444 altitudinal environmental gradients in humid monsoon Asia. *Global Environmental*
445 *Research* (English Edition) 6: 41-52.

446 Panero, J. L. and P. D. Aranda. 1998. The family Schisandraceae: a new record for the flora of
447 Mexico. *Brittonia* 50: 87-90.

448 Ponce-Reyes, R., Reynoso-Rosales, V.H., Watson, J.E., VanDerWal, J., Fuller, R.A., Pressey,
449 R.L. and Possingham, H.P., 2012. Vulnerability of cloud forest reserves in Mexico to
450 climate change. *Nature Climate Change* 2: 448-452.

451 Qian, H., R. E. Ricklefs. 2004. Geographic distribution and ecological conservatism of disjunct
452 genera of vascular plants in eastern Asia and eastern North America. *Journal of Ecology* 92:
453 253-265.

454 Quiroga, R. E., A. C. Premoli, R. J. Fernández. 2020. Niche dynamics in amphitropical desert
455 disjunct plants: seeking for ecological and species-specific influences. *Global Ecology and*
456 *Biogeography* 30: 370-383.

457 R Core Team (2021). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for
458 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. <https://www.R-project.org>.

- 459 Ramírez-Arriaga, E., M. B. Prámparo, A. F. Nieto-Samaniego, et al. 2014. Palynological
460 evidence for middle Miocene vegetation in the Tehuacán Formation of Puebla, Mexico.
461 *Palynology* 38: 1-27.
- 462 Ramírez-Arriaga, E., M. B. Prámparo, E. Martínex-Hernández. 2014. Angiosperm pollen grains
463 from the Cuayuca Formation (Late Eocene to Early Oligocene), Puebla, Mexico.
464 *Palaeontologia Electronica* 18: 1-38.
- 465 Ramírez-Arriaga, E., M. B. Prámparo, A. F. Nieto-Samaniego, A. Valiente-Banuet. 2017.
466 Eocene Mequitongo Formation palynoflora from the intertropical Tehuacán-Cuicatlán
467 Valley, Mexico. *Review of Paleobotany and Palynology* 246: 14-31.
- 468 Ramírez-Barahona, S. and Eguiarte, L.E., 2013. The role of glacial cycles in promoting genetic
469 diversity in the Neotropics: the case of cloud forests during the Last Glacial
470 Maximum. *Ecology and Evolution* 3: 725-738.
- 471 Ramírez-Marcial, N., González-Espinosa, M. and Williams-Linera, G., 2001. Anthropogenic
472 disturbance and tree diversity in montane rain forests in Chiapas, Mexico. *Forest Ecology
473 and Management* 154: 311-326.
- 474 Ruiz-Sanchez, E., J. F. Ornelas. 2014. Phylogeography of *Liquidambar styraciflua*
475 (Altingiaceae) in Mesoamerica: Survivors of a Neogene widespread temperate forest (or
476 cloud forest) in North America? *Ecology and Evolution* 4: 311-328.
- 477 Sharp, A. J. 1941. Some historical factors and the distribution of southern Appalachian
478 bryophytes. *The Bryologist* 44: 16-18.
- 479 Sharp, A. J. 1948. Some fungi common to the highlands of Mexico and Guatemala and eastern
480 United States. *Mycology* 40: 499-502.
- 481 Smith, S. A., J. M. Beaulieu. 2009. Life history influences rates of climatic niche evolution in
482 flowering plants. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B* 276: 4345-4352.
- 483 Soltis, D. E., A. B. Morris, J. S. McLachlan, P. S. Manos, and P. S. Soltis. 2006. Comparative
484 phylogeography of unglaciated eastern North America. *Molecular Ecology* 15: 4261-4293.
- 485 Sosa, V., J. A. de Nova, M. Vásquez-Cruz. 2018. Evolutionary history of the flora of Mexico:
486 dry forest cradles and museums of endemism. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 56: 523-
487 536.
- 488 Spalink, D., R. Kriebel, P. Li, et al. 2018. Spatial phylogenetics reveals evolutionary constraints
489 on the assembly of a large regional flora. *American Journal of Botany* 105: 1938-1950.
- 490 Stone, D. E. 1962. Affinities of a Mexican endemic, *Carya palmeri*, with American and Asian
491 Hickories. *American Journal of Botany* 49: 199-212.
- 492 Strömberg, C. A. 2005. Decoupled taxonomic radiation and ecological expansion of open-habitat
493 grasses in the Cenozoic of North America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
494 USA* 102: 11980-11984.
- 495 Strömberg, C. A. E., F. A. McInerney. 2011. The Neogene transition from C3 to C4 grasslands
496 in North America: assemblage analysis of fossil phytoliths. *Paleobiology* 37: 50-71.
- 497 Thornhill, A. H., B. D. Mishler, N. J. Knerr, et al. 2016. Continental-scale spatial phylogenetics
498 of Australian angiosperms provides insights into ecology, evolution, conservation. *Journal
499 of Biogeography* 43: 2085-2098.
- 500 Tiffney BH 1979 Fruits and seeds of the Brandon Lignite. III. *Turpinia* (Staphyleaceae).
501 *Brittonia* 31: 39-51.
- 502 Tiffney BH, SR Manchester, PW Fritsch 2018 Two new species of *Symplocos* based on
503 endocarps from the early Miocene Brandon Lignite of Vermont, USA. *Acta Palaeobotanica*
504 58: 185-198.

- 505 Vargas-Rodriguez, Y. L., W. J. Platt, L. E. Urbatsch, and D. W. Folz. 2015. Large scale patterns
506 of genetic variation and differentiation in sugar maple from tropical Central America to
507 temperate North America. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 15: 257.
- 508 Vasconcelos, T., J. D. Boyko, J. M. Beaulieu. 2021. Linking mode of seed dispersal and climatic
509 niche evolution in flowering plants. *Journal of Biogeography*,
510 <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.14292>.
- 511 Wasof, S., J. Lenoir, P. A. Aarrestad, et al. 2015. Disjunct populations of European vascular
512 plant species keep the same climatic niches. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 24: 1401-
513 1412.
- 514 Wen, J. 1999. Evolution of eastern Asian and eastern North American disjunct distributions in
515 flowering plants. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 30: 421-455.
- 516 Wickham H (2016). *ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis*. Springer-Verlag New York.
517 ISBN 978-3-319-24277-4, <https://ggplot2.tidyverse.org>.
- 518 Wood, C. E. 1972. Morphology and phytogeography: The classical approach to the study of
519 disjunctions. *Annals of the Missouri Botanical Garden* 59: 107-124.
- 520 Xiang, Q.-Y., W. W.-H. Zhang, R. E. Ricklefs, et al. 2004. Regional differences in rates of plant
521 speciation and molecular evolution: A comparison between eastern Asia and eastern North
522 America. *Evolution* 58: 2175-2184.
- 523 Xing, Y. M. A. Gandolfo, R. E. Onstein, D. J. Cantrill, B. F. Jacobs, G. J. Jordan, D. E. Lee, S.
524 Popova, R. Srivastava, T. Su, S. V. Vikulin, A. Yabe, H. P. Linder. 2016. Testing biases in
525 the rich Cenozoic angiosperm macrofossil record. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*
526 177: 371-388.
- 527 Yang, Z., T.-T. Zhao, Q.-H. Ma, et al. 2018. Resolving the speciation patterns and evolutionary
528 history of the intercontinental disjunct genus *Corylus* (Betulaceae) using genome-wide
529 SNPs. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 9: 1386.

530

531 **APPENDIX S1.** List of lineages showing disjunctions between the eastern USA (in some cases
532 including Canada) and the Mesoamerican highlands. Species included in Miranda and Sharp
533 (1950) are highlighted with an asterisk. This compilation was generated by (1) examining lists
534 from papers on this disjunct pattern as well as general floristic lists from the relevant regions,
535 and (2) by surveying the distributions and sister-species relationships of the species comprising
536 the floras of Appalachia and southeastern North America.

537

538 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

539 **Figure 1.** Selected examples of species and sister species with disjunct distributions between the
540 eastern US (extending in Canada in a few cases) and Mesoamerica. Occurrence data was
541 obtained from iDigBio (<https://www.idigbio.org>) and errant occurrences (determine via
542 comparisons with published distribution maps) were manually removed. Maps were made using
543 ggplot2 (Wickham, 2016) in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2021).

544

545 **Figure 2.** Physical map of North America and Mesoamerica with major mountain ranges and
546 topographic features highlighted. SMOcc = Sierra Madre Occidental; SMOr = Sierra Madre
547 Oriental; TMVB = Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt; SMdS = Sierra Madre del Sur; SMdC = Sierra
548 Madre de Chiapas; CAH = Central American Highlands.

549

550 **Figure 3.** Schematic of elevational (a, b, c, d) and latitudinal (c, d) distribution shifts of mesic
551 temperate forests during interglacial and glacial phases. Orange and blue arrows show the
552 direction of forest migration during interglacial (upslope) and glacial (downslope) phases,
553 respectively. See Ramírez-Barahona and Eguiarte (2013) and Mastretta-Yanes et al. (2015) for
554 similar conceptual models.

555

556

557

558

559

560





