

1 **Visual-based male mate preference for conspecific females in mutually ornamented fish: possible**  
2 **importance of species recognition hypothesis**

3

4 **Keisuke Atsumi<sup>1</sup>, Osamu Kishida<sup>2</sup> and Itsuro Koizumi<sup>1,3</sup>**

5

6 <sup>1</sup>Graduate School of Environmental Science, Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo, Hokkaido  
7 060-0810, Japan

8 <sup>2</sup>Tomakomai Experimental Forest, Field Science Center for Northern Biosphere, Hokkaido University,  
9 Takaoka, Tomakomai, Hokkaido 053-0035, Japan

10 <sup>3</sup>Faculty of Environmental Earth Science, Hokkaido University, N10W5 Sapporo, Hokkaido 060-0810,  
11 Japan

12

13 Corresponding author: K. Atsumi

14 Tel.: +81 11 706 2620; fax: +81 11 706 4864; email: [srk\\_fukafuka@eis.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:srk_fukafuka@eis.hokudai.ac.jp)

15 ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8206-4977>

16

17 E-mail address:

18 Keisuke Atsumi: [srk\\_fukafuka@eis.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:srk_fukafuka@eis.hokudai.ac.jp)

19 Osamu Kishida: [kishida@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:kishida@fsc.hokudai.ac.jp)

20 Itsuro Koizumi: [itsuro@ees.hokudai.ac.jp](mailto:itsuro@ees.hokudai.ac.jp)

21

22 Running head: Female nuptial coloration can reduce hybridization

23

24 Type of paper: original paper

25 Number of figures: 3 (1 in supplement)

26 Number of tables: 1 (2 in supplement)

27

28 **Abstract**

29 Because sexual selection typically acts on males, evolution of conspicuous ornamentation in females has  
30 been [understudied](#). Genetic correlation between sexes and sexual or social selection on females have  
31 been proposed to explain female ornamentation, but they cannot fully explain observed patterns in  
32 nature such as female ornamentation in non-territorial, promiscuous species. The species recognition  
33 hypothesis, which postulates that ornamentation is adaptive because it prevents maladaptive  
34 hybridization, might plausibly explain female ornamentation. We [examined the possibility of this](#)  
35 hypothesis in two sympatric, non-territorial, promiscuous fish species. Both sexes of *Tribolodon*  
36 *hakonensis* and *T. sachalinensis* display species-specific conspicuous coloration in the breeding season.  
37 We conducted visual-based mate choice experiments using *T. hakonensis* males and compared their  
38 association times between conspecific and heterospecific females. Males [spent more time](#) near  
39 conspecifics, indicating that *T. hakonensis* males used visual cues to recognize conspecifics. Because the  
40 females presented to the males did not differ in body size, shape and behavior between the two species,  
41 the male preference for conspecifics was probably based on the females' nuptial coloration. These results  
42 suggest that female ornamentation may evolve or be maintained by not only sexual or social selection  
43 within a species but also interspecies interactions (e.g. hybridization).

44

45

46 **Keywords** male mate choice · sexual monomorphism · sexual isolation · breeding coloration ·

47 Far Eastern daces

48

49 **Introduction**

50 Elaborate ornamentation has been well documented in male animals to have evolved through mate  
51 choice or intraspecific competition (i.e. sexual selection Darwin 1871; Andersson 1994). In many  
52 species across taxa, females also display elaborate ornamentation (Kraaijeveld et al. 2007). The  
53 emphasis on sexual selection in males has led to the notion that female ornamentation is non-adaptive;  
54 rather, it is merely a by-product of male ornamentation due to genetic correlations between the sexes  
55 (Lande 1980). This genetic correlation hypothesis requires male ornamentation, possibly evolved  
56 through sexual selection. However, a comparative study across >3,000 birds showed that the elaboration  
57 of female coloration positively correlates with male coloration, **but not** with male sexual selection **which**  
58 **was quantified by social polygyny, male-biased sexual size dimorphism and lack of paternal care. Rather,**  
59 **male sexual selection leads to sexual dichromatism with bright colored males and dull colored females**  
60 (Dale et al. 2015). Hence, male sexual selection **alone** may not explain the wide prevalence of female  
61 ornamentation. Alternatively, recent research on female ornamentation has stressed the importance of  
62 sexual selection in females (Kraaijeveld et al. 2007; Clutton-Brock 2007) as well as of badges of status,  
63 irrespective of sex, in reducing antagonistic social interactions (i.e. social selection Tobias et al. 2012).  
64 Both sexual selection and social selection, which are associated with intraspecific interactions, may be  
65 valid explanations for female ornamentation, but they cannot fully explain some patterns observed in the  
66 wild. For example, the comparative study above also showed that the elaboration of female coloration is  
67 weakly associated with female sexual and social selection (**cooperative breeding was used as an**  
68 **indicator of social selection:** Dale et al. 2015). In fishes, both males and females of non-territorial,  
69 promiscuous species often exhibit ornamentation (**Lachner 1952; Sakai 1995; Sabaj et al. 2000**), even  
70 though sexual selection and social interactions are expected to be weak in such non-territorial,  
71 promiscuous species. Thus, researchers should pay more attention to possible factors other than  
72 intraspecific interaction as an alternative driver of evolution of elaborate female ornamentation (or  
73 mutual ornamentation: both males and females have ornamentation).

74 More than 100 years ago, Wallace (Wallace 1889) proposed that conspicuous species-specific  
75 ornaments reduce harmful interspecific interactions such as hybridization and aggression by ensuring  
76 correct species recognition. This hypothesis has logical appeal for female/mutual ornamentation because

77 selection against hybridization can act on sexual signals not only in males but also in females (Takakura  
78 et al. 2015). In other words, selection against hybridization can favor male mate preference for  
79 conspecific females over heterospecifics based on female ornamentation. It has been widely recognized  
80 that male mate preference is weaker than females mate preference, because the sexual difference in  
81 investment on offspring usually leads to a male-biased sex ratio. This intensifies the male-male  
82 competition and reduces the opportunity for male mate choice (Darwin 1871; Edward and Chapman  
83 2011). Male mate preference in comparing conspecific females rarely evolves in response to indirect  
84 selection resulting from the increasing quality of offspring: the cost of intense male-male competition  
85 mitigates the indirect benefit of choosing better females (Härdling and Kokko 2005; Servedio and Lande  
86 2006). Still, the direct selection, which results from increasing reproductive success and/or survival of  
87 the focal males, is sometimes strong enough to drive the evolution of male mate preference in comparing  
88 conspecifics (Servedio and Lande 2006). For example, males frequently prefer high-fertility females in  
89 various taxa (fish, Sargent et al. 1986; birds, Monaghan et al. 1996; amphibians, Liao and Lu 2009). In  
90 mate choice comparing con- and heterospecific females, indirect selection may also be strong enough to  
91 shape male mate preference, because hybrid fitness is often considerably lower than that of pure crosses  
92 (Servedio 2007). Empirical studies have provided increasing support for the male species recognition  
93 (e.g. Seehausen et al. 1999; Svensson et al. 2007; Zoppoth et al. 2013; Moran et al. 2017; Roberts and  
94 Mendelson 2017). These studies, however, have focused mainly on non-ornamented species including  
95 species that rely on odors in mate choice (Roelofs and Comeau 1969; Shine et al. 2002), or  
96 male-ornamented species (Knight and Turner 1999; Wong et al. 2005; Espinedo et al. 2010; West and  
97 Kodric-Brown 2015; Moran et al. 2017; Roberts and Mendelson 2017). In limited studies on female or  
98 mutually ornamented species, chemical or tactile communications are not experimentally excluded  
99 (Wiernasz 1995; Seehausen et al. 1999; Deering and Scriber 2002; Jiggins et al. 2004; Zoppoth et al.  
100 2013), which is insufficient to show the importance of visual cues in male mate choice. Hence, the  
101 species recognition hypothesis (Wallace 1889) has rarely been formally tested in the context of female  
102 ornamentation (but see Svensson et al. 2007; Pierotti et al. 2008).

103 Far Eastern daces (genus *Tribolodon*, family Cyprinidae) are an excellent study system in which to  
104 examine whether the species recognition hypothesis can explain female ornamentation. The Far Eastern  
105 dace species *T. hakonensis* and *T. sachalinensis* (hereafter *Th* and *Ts*, respectively) are morphologically

106 similar, but both sexes exhibit species-specific conspicuous coloration only in their breeding season (i.e.  
107 mutual ornamentation). *Th* has three orange and two black horizontal bands on its head and along its  
108 flanks and orange fins, whereas *Ts* has red cheeks and fins (figure 1) (Nakamura 1969; Atsumi et al.  
109 2018). Further, both species are non-territorial and they spawn in schools (Ito 1975; Sakai 1995). These  
110 behaviors may preclude the current major hypotheses proposed to explain female ornamentation (i.e.  
111 social selection, sexual selection in females, and the genetic correlation between sexes combined with  
112 sexual selection in males). Instead, the breeding ecology of *Th* and *Ts* suggests that the species  
113 recognition hypothesis is more likely to explain female ornamentation in these species. Although the  
114 breeding season and habitat of these two species overlap (Gritsenko 1982; Sakai 1995) and hatching rate  
115 of F1 hybrids is high (Atsumi et al. 2018), hybrid swarms have rarely been observed in the wild  
116 (Hanzawa et al. 1984; Sakai and Hamada 1985; Sakai et al. 2007). These findings suggest that these  
117 species discriminate between conspecifics and heterospecifics and thereby avoid hybridization. The aim  
118 of this study was to investigate the role of female nuptial coloration in sexually isolating these two Far  
119 Eastern dace species. We examined whether (i) visible female traits enhanced *Th* male visual-based  
120 species recognition in a two-choice experiment, and (ii) visible female traits other than coloration (i.e.  
121 behavior and body size and shape) differ between the species. If *Th* males showed a preference for  
122 conspecifics based on visual cues and females did not differ behaviorally or morphologically between  
123 species, then female ornamentation (nuptial coloration) should be a key trait by which *Th* males  
124 discriminate between conspecific and heterospecific females.

125

## 126 **Methods**

### 127 **(a) Study species**

128 Far Eastern daces, *Th* (*Tribolodon hakonensis*) and *Ts* (*T. sachalinensis*) are deeply diverged (10–20  
129 Mya: Imoto et al. 2013) but hybridizing cyprinid fishes (Sakai and Hamada 1985; Sakai et al. 2004). The  
130 two species are sympatry in the Northern Japanese archipelago and Sakhalin: *Th* widely ranges from  
131 Kyushu Island (Southern Japan) to Sakhalin Island and around the Sea of Japan and; *Ts* distributes from  
132 Northern Honshu Island (Northern Japan) to Sakhalin (Sakai et al. 2004; Watanabe et al. 2018). They  
133 typically mature at age four and their lifespan is over 10 years (Sakai 1995, but see Atsumi and Koizumi

134 2017a). During the non-breeding season, the two species distribute across rivers and estuaries at a low  
135 density (Gritsenko 1982; Gavrenkov et al. 2004; Moriyama et al. 2008; Katano et al. 2010), and are  
136 hardly distinguishable in coloration (grey to silver color) and morphology (Nakamura 1969; Gavrenkov  
137 and Ivankov 1981; Ivankov et al. 2016). Throughout their life-history, they do not show territoriality and  
138 aggressiveness (Katano et al. 2010; Ogawa and Katano 2016).

139 From Spring to Early-Summer, matured fish aggregate to the middle reaches of rivers and form  
140 dense spawning schools (Ito 1975; Gritsenko 1982; Sakai 1995). Since two species are iteroparous and  
141 continue growing after maturation, spawning schools consist of individuals vary in size (10–30 cm in  
142 fork length, FL, in *Th* and 7–23 cm FL in *Ts*: Ito 1975; Sakai 1995). Importantly, these species often  
143 form mixed-species spawning schools because they greatly overlap in breeding season and habitat  
144 (Gritsenko 1982; Sakai 1995). Spatiotemporal overlap in spawning allows hybridization between the  
145 two species but hybridization is limited in the wild. Hybrids are rarely found in Southern Hokkaido  
146 island (Northern Japan) (F1 hybrids, 0–4.8 %; later generation hybrids, 0–1 %: Sakai and Hamada 1985)  
147 but never been found in Northern Honshu Island (Hanzawa et al. 1984; Sakai et al. 2007) and Sakhalin  
148 (Polyakova et al. 2015). **Prezygotic isolation between the two species may be maintained by temporal  
149 and chemical isolation:** two species somewhat differ in reproductive timing (*Th*, May to June; *Ts*, June to  
150 July: Ito 1975; Sakai 1995); males of *Ts*, at least, can discriminate species by male urine and odor of egg  
151 (Sakai and Yoshii 1990). Another candidate for the prezygotic isolation mechanism is that species  
152 recognition based on nuptial coloration. During their breeding season, matured males and females of Far  
153 Eastern daces show species-specific nuptial coloration without morphological change (Nakamura 1969;  
154 Gritsenko 1982; Sakai 1995). Their nuptial coloration differs in the presence/absence of orange and  
155 black horizontal band along their flanks (figure 1: Nakamura 1969; Sviridov et al. 2002, 2003). The  
156 color pattern does not differ across sexes and body size (Sviridov et al. 2002, 2003). The mechanism of  
157 postzygotic isolation is unknown. Given that the hatching rate of F1 hybrids is high (Atsumi et al. 2018),  
158 fitness reduction of hybrids may occur in later life stages or in reproduction.

159

160 **(b) Sample collection and rearing conditions**

161 Fish used in the mate choice experiment were collected from Kotoni-Hassamu River in central Hokkaido,  
162 northern Japan (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1). We collected daces by using throw  
163 nets during their breeding season, from 18 May to 23 June 2017.

164 The collected fish were separated according to sex and species and kept in segmented reaches of  
165 an artificial channel next to the Horonai River in the Tomakomai experimental forest of Hokkaido  
166 University (see electronic supplementary material, figure S1) at 13–16 °C, the temperature range at  
167 which they spawn (Gritsenko 1982), under natural lighting conditions. Sex was determined by squeezing  
168 the abdomen, forcing the release of gametes from the gonoduct, and species were identified by their  
169 nuptial coloration. Individuals were marked for identification by clipping their fins in unique  
170 combinations. For one to seven weeks before the experiment, all collected fish were fed on pellet food  
171 once a day. All fish kept breeding coloration during the study period.

172

### 173 (c) Mate choice experiments

174 We conducted the male mate choice experiment using *Th* males, not *Ts* males because of the small  
175 sample size of *Ts* males. An acrylic aquarium (250 cm × 100 cm × 100 cm deep, filled with fresh water  
176 to 30 cm depth) located in an outdoor facility was used as the experimental arena for the male mate  
177 choice experiment (figure 2). At each end of the arena, we placed a small glass aquarium (60 cm × 30  
178 cm × 36 cm deep, water depth 30 cm). The bottom surfaces of both the arena and the aquaria were  
179 covered by gravel. A two-choice experiment was conducted in which one *Th* male was placed in the  
180 arena (outside the small aquaria), a *Th* female was placed in one of the two small aquaria (i.e., stimulus  
181 fish compartment), and a *Ts* female was placed in the other. Con- and heterospecific females were  
182 alternately presented in the left and right aquarium. This experimental setting allowed the male fish to  
183 use visual and acoustic information, but not chemical cues, to recognize the species of the females. We  
184 note, as sound-producing organs (e.g. drumming muscle surrounding swim bladder and spines on the  
185 fins: Amorim 2006) are not found in Far Eastern daces (KA personal observation), acoustic  
186 communication is less likely to occur. To evaluate male choice, we defined three resident zones: one  
187 adjacent to each stimulus fish compartment (“association zones”, within 50 cm of each end of the arena)  
188 and a middle “neutral” zone (more than 50 cm from either end) (figure 2). The focal male and stimulus  
189 females were put into the aquaria and allowed to acclimate for 2 min before the trial was started. As

190 male behavior did not differ qualitatively between the early and late phase of the experiment (KA  
191 personal observations), we consider that acclimation time was enough. During each trial, we made video  
192 recordings of the behaviors of the male and females in the two association zones. Using the video  
193 recordings, we measured the male association time with each stimulus female for a total of 18 min. It  
194 was not possible to record data blind because our study involved focal animals. In 7 of 43 trials, the  
195 subject male did not visit either female during the 18-min experimental period. We considered that those  
196 individuals were not sexually active, or that they did not recognize either stimulus as female, and  
197 excluded those trials from the analysis.

198 Body size of subject males and stimulus females was measured as fork length (FL). Trials of 37  
199 males ( $215.7 \pm 34.3$  mm, FL  $\pm$  SD) were included in the analysis. Body size (FL) of the stimulus females  
200 (five *Th* and four *Ts*) used in these trials was not different between the species (*Th*,  $192.2 \pm 11.8$  mm; *Ts*,  
201  $183.4 \pm 7.3$  mm;  $P > 0.2$ , *t*-test). In each mate choice trial, the difference in body sizes between the  
202 stimulus females was within 7%. The size difference between female pairs, as well as male body size,  
203 were considered in the statistical analysis.

204 Trials were conducted from 12 July to 17 July 2017 during daytime hours (i.e. 10:00–18:10).  
205 Water temperature was  $16 \pm 1$  °C and light conditions were controlled by a roof over the outdoor facility  
206 (to reduce sunlight) and a 100 W fluorescent lamp. After each day's trials, the experimental arena and  
207 stimulus compartments were emptied, dried, and refilled with well water to reduce the potential effects  
208 of odors and chemicals from previous trials. Stimulus females were used multiple times and the possible  
209 effect of stimulus female pairs was accommodated for the analysis.

210

#### 211 **(d) Stimulus female behavior**

212 Neither of these Far Eastern dace species show characteristic mating behaviors such as dancing (Ito  
213 1975; Gritsenko 1982), nor did we observe such behaviors during our experiment (KA personal  
214 observation). Stimulus female behavior was evaluated from the video recordings by measuring  
215 horizontal position (0, far side from subject male; 1, middle; 2, near side), vertical position (0, bottom; 1,  
216 middle; 2, water surface), body angle with respect to the subject male (0, away; 1, vertical; 2, towards),  
217 and swimming activity (0, no swimming; 1, slowly swimming using fins only; 2, actively swimming by



218 using fins and body undulation ). Measurements were made at 1-min intervals during each 18-min mate  
219 choice trial. Thus, the four behavioral measurements were recorded 19 times in each trial.

220

#### 221 **(e) Stimulus female morphology**

222 The body shape of the stimulus females was analyzed by using a landmark-based geometric  
223 morphometrics analysis to quantify the overall shape of the body in lateral view. The shape was captured  
224 by 11 digitized landmarks generated using TpsDig 2.19 software (Rohlf 2013), selected to capture major  
225 body regions (figure 1C), from fish images obtained from the video clips. *As the landmarks were made  
226 based on moving specimens, landmarks were set on cranial morphology and fin insertions that are  
227 relatively stable while fish swims.*

228

#### 229 **(f) Statistical analysis: male mate preference**

230 As a measure of mate preference for conspecifics, we calculated the strength of the preference (SOP, e.g.  
231 (Tobler et al. 2008; Roberts and Mendelson 2017)) as follows:

$$232 \quad \text{SOP} = \frac{(\text{association time with conspecifics}) - (\text{association time with heterospecifics})}{(\text{association time with conspecifics}) + (\text{association time with heterospecifics})}$$

233 SOP is positive when the association time with conspecifics is longer, and is interpreted to indicate a  
234 preference for conspecifics.

235 To examine whether male Far Eastern daces prefer conspecific females on the basis of visual  
236 stimuli while controlling the effect of subject male body size and stimulus female pairs, a linear mixed  
237 model with normal errors (LMM) was fitted. The explanatory variables were (i) body size of subject  
238 males, (ii) body size difference between the stimulus females, represented as the ratio of conspecific  
239 body size to heterospecific body size, *(iii) position of conspecific females (i.e. side effect) and (iv) their*  
240 *interaction.* Stimulus female pair ID was included as a categorical random effect. All possible  
241 combinations of models using these predictor variables were compared using the BIC. In the best model,  
242 the statistical significance of each parameter was computed via the Satterthwaite approximation. We  
243 also evaluated the importance of those parameters by model averaging. All analyses were performed in

244 R 3.4.3 (R Development Core Team 2017). We used following R packages: the lme4 package to  
245 construct LMMs; the MuMIn package to select LMMs and model averaging; and the lmerTest package  
246 to evaluate the significance of parameters within LMM,  
247

#### 248 **(g) Statistical analysis: female behavior**

249 We conducted a PCA based on a correlation matrix to investigate variations in female behavior in the  
250 pooled data of the two species. The first two PCs successfully explained nearly 80% of total variance  
251 (PC1, 47.5%; PC2, 30.6%: table S1). We used the PC1 and PC2 values in the subsequent analysis.

252 To evaluate interspecies differences in behavior, we fitted an LMM to each behavioral PC value.  
253 The possible effect of female individuals and trials were accommodated as categorical random effects.  
254 The significance of each parameter was computed via the Satterthwaite approximation (Satterthwaite  
255 1946). We used the lme4 and the lmerTest packages of R.

256

#### 257 **(h) Statistical analysis: female morphology**

258 Landmark coordinates were aligned by a generalized Procrustes analysis, which centers, scales, and  
259 rotates landmark configurations to minimize the least-squares distance between shapes (Zelditch et al.  
260 2012). The interspecies difference in body shape was evaluated by Procrustes ANOVA which assesses  
261 shape variation and covariation for a set of landmark coordinates aligned by Procrustes analysis  
262 (Klingenberg and McIntyre 1998). The geometric morphometrics analyses were conducted with  
263 MorphoJ 1.06d software (Klingenberg 2011).

264

## 265 **Results**

266

267 The total association time varied across trials ( $62.8 \pm 31.1$  % of total trial time). Males of *TH* preferred  
268 conspecific females on the basis of the visual stimulus: in the best model, SOP was significantly positive  
269 ( $0.41$ ,  $P = 0.014$ , figure 3). The best model ranked by the BIC did not include the male body size, the  
270 female size difference, or their interaction ( $\Delta \text{BIC} > 3.8$ ; table 1). The averaged model also showed

271 no significant effect of the body size and gave similar estimates of SOP (0.40,  $P < 0.05$ ; appendix S1 and  
272 table S2 in electronic supplementary material). On average, regardless of stimuli female pairs,  
273 association time with conspecifics was  $11.7 \pm 23.0$  times ( $\pm SD$ ), and  $254.8 \pm 423.7$  s, longer during all  
274 18-min mate choice trials.

275 We found no interspecies differences in stimulus female behavior and morphology. The LMMs  
276 fitted to the PC1–2 axes of behavioral data showed no significant behavioral difference between species  
277 (PC1,  $P > 0.1$ ; PC2,  $P > 0.8$ : table S3 in electronic supplementary material). In addition, Procrustes  
278 ANOVA indicated no interspecies difference in body shape ( $F = 1.29$ ,  $P > 0.2$ ).

279

## 280 **Discussion**

281

282 Although the species recognition hypothesis (Wallace 1889) can plausibly explain the mechanism  
283 driving evolution or maintenance of ornamentation in females as well as males, it has rarely been  
284 demonstrated previously (Svensson et al. 2007; Pierotti et al. 2008). The results of our experiment,  
285 conducted with two Far Eastern dace species in which the females display nuptial ornamentation,  
286 provide the support of the ability of this hypothesis to explain female ornamentation. The mate choice  
287 trials allowed the males to use visual information, but not chemical information, to recognize females. In  
288 these trials, *Th* males stayed near conspecific females 11.7 times longer with than they stayed near  
289 heterospecific females, indicating that male *Th* can discriminate two Far Eastern dace species visually.  
290 Potential visual signals or cues for male choice include body size, morphology, coloration, and behavior,  
291 or combinations of these. By using females of similar size as stimuli in the experiments, we precluded a  
292 possible body size effect on mate choice. Accordingly, LMM model selection and averaging inferred no  
293 effect of female body size. Furthermore, the results of our behavioral and morphometry analyses  
294 indicated that female behavior and morphology, at least as captured by our metrics, did not differ  
295 between the species. Thus, our experimental results suggest that female nuptial coloration is a signal that  
296 enables males to discriminate conspecific females from heterospecific ones. The species recognition  
297 hypothesis (Wallace 1889), which was originally proposed to explain the function of male ornamentation  
298 as a barrier against hybridization, may therefore also be valid for explaining female ornamentation.

299 In species with pair mating, it is well known that male mate choice based on female traits is  
300 important in maintaining species boundaries (Roelofs and Comeau 1969; von Schilcher and Dow 1977;  
301 Espinedo et al. 2010). In this study, we found that male mate preference exists even in species with  
302 promiscuous mating behavior. Because of such a mating system in Far Eastern daces, male mate choice  
303 may not function during actual spawning activity. Rather, male mate choice may play a role before  
304 spawning begins. For reproduction, individuals of these two species first aggregate into spawning  
305 schools. Thus, individuals may use the species-specific nuptial coloration to ensure their aggregation  
306 with conspecifics. Although the spawning habitats of these two species overlap, their spawning schools  
307 are largely segregated by species (Ito 1975). [Visual cues may allow such fine-scale spatial segregation](#)  
308 [because they are fast and highly directional compared to chemical cues](#) (Partan and Marler 2005; Gillam  
309 2011). This spatial segregation means that individuals mate exclusively with conspecifics, even if their  
310 mate choices are random within the schools (Ito 1975). Thus, a visual preference for conspecifics might  
311 be a mechanism that prevents hybridization and allows these two Far Eastern dace species to coexist.  
312 [Intraspecific spawning aggregations are taxonomically widely observed, especially in fishes](#) (Domeier  
313 and Colin 1997; [Anderson et al. 2016](#)). It may be relatively common that mutual ornamentation ensures  
314 such aggregation and thereby reduces hybridization.

315 Our results may also partly explain the mechanism responsible for the observed geographic  
316 variation of nuptial coloration in *Th* across Japan. *Th* shows greater variation in nuptial coloration  
317 patterns in regions where it is allopatric with its hybridizing species (i.e. *Ts* and *T. brandtii*) than in  
318 regions where it is sympatric with those species (Atsumi and Koizumi 2017b). If the nuptial coloration  
319 of *Th* contributes to sexual isolation as suggested by the present study, selection against hybridization  
320 should favor species-specific color patterns in sympatric regions, thereby reducing the variation in  
321 nuptial coloration of *Th* in those regions. In allopatric regions, the absence of selection against  
322 hybridization might allow diversification of nuptial coloration. The geographic variation in coloration  
323 patterns might therefore reflect the absence or presence of interspecific interactions.

324 The evolution of female ornamentation has long been puzzling in evolutionary biology (Darwin  
325 1871; Kraaijeveld et al. 2007; Tobias et al. 2012), and several explanations focusing on sexual and social  
326 selection have been proposed (Kraaijeveld et al. 2007; Tobias et al. 2012). [Although the alternative](#)  
327 [hypotheses of the ornament function, i.e., sexual and social selection, were not experimentally excluded](#)

328 in this study, these processes may be weak in Far Eastern daces. Social selection should be precluded  
329 because Far Eastern daces are neither territorial nor aggressive throughout their life (Katano et al. 2010;  
330 Ogawa and Katano 2016). Generally, sexual selection can act in the dense species-specific spawning  
331 school through various processes such as alternative mating tactics (Suzuki et al. 2008), lek (Windle and  
332 Rose 2007) and scramble intrasexual competition (Byrne and Roberts 2004). However, sexual selection  
333 may be weak in Far Eastern daces because they are promiscuous and hardly aggressive (Ito 1975;  
334 Gritsenko 1982; Sakai 1995; Shirotori et al. 2006), which reduces opportunities for mate choice and  
335 intrasexual competition. Further, though the quantitative study is still awaited, the nuptial coloration  
336 seems not to follow the general patterns under sexual selection: the coloration does not vary with sexes  
337 and body size (Nakamura 1969; Sviridov et al. 2002, 2003). As only matured fish exhibit nuptial  
338 coloration (Nakamura 1969; Sakai 1995), nuptial coloration should show their maturity. Hence, species  
339 recognition, together with maturity recognition, may be an important function of the female nuptial  
340 coloration in these two Far Eastern dace species. Given that social interaction among Far Eastern daces  
341 is weak throughout their life-history (Katano et al. 2010; Ogawa and Katano 2016), the major benefit of  
342 species recognition is possibly to avoid hybridization, rather than to avoid harmful social competition. In  
343 general, distinguishing between conspecifics and heterospecifics benefits individuals, regardless of their  
344 sex, in a variety of taxa because it prevents harmful interspecific interactions (e.g., hybridization,  
345 interference competition, and predation) (Couzin and Krause 2003; Okamoto and Grether 2013).  
346 Therefore, species recognition where female ornamentation is used as a signal trait may be ubiquitous in  
347 nature.

348 The species recognition hypothesis, and sexual and social selection hypotheses are not mutually  
349 exclusive, because ornamentation can convey multiple signals, including about species, quality as a mate,  
350 and social status, for species recognition, sexual selection, and social selection, respectively (Hill 2015).  
351 Further, these mechanisms may act interactively. For example, a small difference between species  
352 initially selected to prevent hybridization (i.e., species recognition) might later be enhanced by sexual  
353 selection (Mendelson and Shaw 2012). Or mutual ornamentation resulting from sexual or social  
354 selection might also function as an effective barrier to interspecific hybridization. Both theories and  
355 empirical studies have supported that disruptive or divergent sexual selection diverges male sexual trait  
356 and female preference thereby causing sympatric or allopatric speciation (Higashi et al. 1999; Seehausen

357 et al. 1999; Maan and Seehausen 2011; Selz et al. 2016; Servedio 2016). Similarly, female  
358 ornamentation may diverge through disruptive/divergent sexual selection on females, or the combination  
359 of disruptive/divergent sexual selection on males and genetic correlation between sexes. Such interactive  
360 processes may drive the evolution of female ornament in Far Eastern daces because the selection against  
361 hybridization alone is probably not strong enough considering the high hatching rate of F1 hybrids  
362 (Atsumi et al. 2018). Considering the sexual or social selection and species recognition together, as well  
363 as genetic correlation, would further our understanding of the evolution and maintenance of female  
364 ornamentation.

365

366 **Ethics approval**

367 Formal approval for the experiment was granted by The Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of  
368 Hokkaido University (approval no. 28-2). Sampling was approved by the local government of Hokkaido  
369 Prefecture.

370

371 **Consent for publication**

372 Not applicable

373

374 **Availability of data and material**

375 All data used in this study and codes used in analyses are deposited in appendix.

376

377 **Competing interests**

378 The authors declare no competing interests.

379

380 **Funding**

381 This study was supported by a Sasakawa Scientific Research Grant from The Japan Science Society, by  
382 the Fujiwara Natural History Foundation, by a Grant-in-Aid for a Japan Society for the Promotion of  
383 Science (JSPS) Research Fellow (18J10096) to KA, and by a JSPS KAKENHI grant (17H03725) to OK.

384

385 **Authors' contributions**

386 KA designed the study and performed the experiments. OK contributed to the study design. KA, OK,  
387 and IK prepared the manuscript.

388

389 **Acknowledgments**

390 We are grateful to T. Ishii for tremendous help in setting up the experimental system, to M. Kudoh, K.  
391 Takahashi, T. Tsuruya, and K. Tomita for sampling assistance, and to all staff members of Tomakomai  
392 Experimental Forest of Hokkaido University for their support of this research. We thank three  
393 anonymous reviewers who provide constructive comments. We also thank M. Kikuchi and Salmons'

394 Hometown Chitose Aquarium for allowing us to conduct preliminary trials by computer animation, C.

395 Ayer for checking the English of the manuscript, and Y. Ohkubo for advice on the statistical analyses.

396



397 **References**

- 398 Amorim M (2006) Diversity of sound production in fish. In: Ladich F (ed) Communication in fishes.  
399 Science Publishers, Boca Raton, FL, pp 71–105
- 400 Anderson C, Werdenig A, Koblmüller S, Sefc KM (2016) Same school, different conduct: Rates of  
401 multiple paternity vary within a mixed-species breeding school of semi-pelagic cichlid fish  
402 (*Cyprichromis* spp.). *Ecol Evol* 6:37–45. doi: 10.1002/ece3.1856
- 403 Andersson MB (1994) Sexual Selection. Princeton University Press, Princeton
- 404 Atsumi K, Koizumi I (2017a) Early maturation of rosyface dace, *Tribolodon sachalinensis* (Cyprinidae,  
405 Cypriniformes), in a small isolated population. *Biogeography* 19:123–126
- 406 Atsumi K, Koizumi I (2017b) Web image search revealed large-scale variations in breeding season and  
407 nuptial coloration in a mutually ornamented fish, *Tribolodon hakonensis*. *Ecol Res* 32:567–578.  
408 doi: 10.1007/s11284-017-1466-z
- 409 Atsumi K, Nomoto K, Machida Y, et al (2018) No reduction of hatching rates among F1 hybrids of  
410 naturally hybridizing three Far Eastern daces, genus *Tribolodon* (Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae).  
411 *Ichthyol Res* 65:165–167. doi: 10.1007/s10228-017-0588-1
- 412 Byrne PG, Roberts JD (2004) Intrasexual selection and group spawning in quacking frogs (*Crinia*  
413 *georgiana*). *Behav Ecol* 15:872–882. doi: 10.1093/beheco/arh100
- 414 Clutton-Brock T (2007) Sexual selection in males and females. *Science* 318:1882–1885. doi:  
415 10.1126/science.1133311
- 416 Couzin ID, Krause J (2003) Self-organization and collective behavior in vertebrates. *Adv Study Behav*  
417 32:1–75. doi: 10.1016/S0065-3454(03)01001-5
- 418 Dale J, Dey CJ, Delhey K, et al (2015) The effects of life history and sexual selection on male and  
419 female plumage colouration. *Nature* 527:367–370. doi: 10.1038/nature15509
- 420 Darwin C (1871) The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex. John Murray, London

421 Deering MD, Scriber JM (2002) Field bioassays show heterospecific mating preference asymmetry  
422 between hybridizing north American *Papilio* butterfly species (Lepidoptera: Papilionidae). *J Ethol*  
423 20:25–33. doi: 10.1007/s10164-002-0050-2

424 Domeier ML, Colin PL (1997) Tropical reef fish spawning aggregations: Defined and reviewed. *Bull*  
425 *Mar Sci* 60:698–726. doi: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2007.00989.x

426 Edward DA, Chapman T (2011) The evolution and significance of male mate choice. *Trends Ecol Evol*  
427 26:647–654. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2011.07.012

428 Espinedo CM, Gabor CR, Aspbury AS (2010) Males, but not females, contribute to sexual isolation  
429 between two sympatric species of *Gambusia*. *Evol Ecol* 24:865–878. doi:  
430 10.1007/s10682-009-9343-z

431 Gavrenkov YI, Ivankov VN (1981) The taxonomic status and biology of the “Pacific Redfin” of the  
432 genus *Tribolodon* of the Southern Maritime Territory. *J Ichthyol* 19:47–56

433 Gavrenkov YI, Kolpakov N V., Kolpakov E V. (2004) Specific features of biology of Pacific Redfins of  
434 the genus *Tribolodon* (Cyprinidae) in waters off Northern Primorye. *J Ichthyol* 44:217–223

435 Gillam E (2011) An introduction to animal communication. *Nat Educ Knowl* 3:70. doi:  
436 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199677184.003.0001

437 Gritsenko OF (1982) Reproduction ecology of the Eastern redfins of genus *Tribolodon* (Cyprinidae). *J*  
438 *Ichthyol* 22:121–134

439 Hanzawa N, Taniguchi N, Shinzawa H (1984) Genetic markers of the artificial hybrids between  
440 *Tribolodon hakonensis* and *T. sp. (Ukekuchi-ugui)*. *Otsuchi Mar Res Cent Rep* 10:11–17

441 Härdling R, Kokko H (2005) The evolution of prudent choice. *Evol Ecol Res* 1:697–715

442 Higashi M, Takimoto G, Yamamura N (1999) Sympatric speciation by sexual selection. *Nature*  
443 402:523–526. doi: 10.1038/990087

444 Hill GE (2015) Sexiness, individual condition, and species identity: the information signaled by  
445 ornaments and assessed by choosing females. *Evol. Biol.* 42:251–259

446 Imoto JM, Saitoh K, Sasaki T, et al (2013) Phylogeny and biogeography of highly diverged freshwater  
447 fish species (Leuciscinae, Cyprinidae, Teleostei) inferred from mitochondrial genome analysis.  
448 *Gene* 514:112–124. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2012.10.019

449 Ito Y (1975) Notes on the spawning habits of three species of genus *Tribolodon* in Hokkaido. *Sci Rep*  
450 *Hokkaido Fish Hatch* 30:39–42

451 Ivankov VN, Kaplunenko VA, Borisovets EE (2016) Diagnostics of morphologically close species of  
452 Far Eastern Redfins, genus *Tribolodon* (Osteichthyes: Cyprinidae), by scale structure. *Russ J Mar*  
453 *Biol* 42:402–408. doi: 10.1134/S1063074016050035

454 Jiggins CD, Estrada C, Rodrigues A (2004) Mimicry and the evolution of premating isolation in  
455 *Heliconlus melpomene* Linnaeus. *J Evol Biol* 17:680–691. doi: 10.1111/j.1420-9101.2004.00675.x

456 Katano O, Nakamura T, Abe SI, Baba Y (2010) Population density, growth and migration via the sea to  
457 different streams of Japanese dace *Tribolodon hakonensis* in lower reaches of small streams.  
458 *Ichthyol Res* 57:1–9. doi: 10.1007/s10228-009-0114-1

459 Klingenberg CP (2011) MorphoJ: An integrated software package for geometric morphometrics. *Mol*  
460 *Ecol Resour* 11:353–357. doi: 10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02924.x

461 Klingenberg CP, McIntyre GS (1998) Geometric morphometrics of developmental instability:  
462 Analyzing patterns of fluctuating asymmetry with Procrustes methods. *Evolution* 52:1363–1375.  
463 doi: 10.2307/2411306

464 Knight ME, Turner GF (1999) Reproductive isolation among closely related Lake Malawi cichlids: can  
465 males recognize conspecific females by visual cues? *Anim Behav* 58:761–768. doi:  
466 10.1006/ANBE.1999.1206

467 Kraaijeveld K, Kraaijeveld-Smit FJL, Komdeur J (2007) The evolution of mutual ornamentation. *Anim*  
468 *Behav* 74:657–677. doi: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2006.12.027

469 Lachner EA (1952) Studies of the biology of the Cyprinid fishes of the chub genus *Nocomis* of  
470 Northeastern United States. *Am Midl Nat* 48:433–466. doi: 10.2307/2422260

471 Lande R (1980) Sexual dimorphism, sexual selection, and adaptation in polygenic characters. *Evolution*  
472 34:292–305. doi: 10.2307/2407393

473 Liao WB, Lu X (2009) Male mate choice in the Andrew’s toad *Bufo andrewsi*: a preference for larger  
474 females. *J Ethol* 27:413–417. doi: 10.1007/s10164-008-0135-7

475 Maan ME, Seehausen O (2011) Ecology, sexual selection and speciation. *Ecol Lett* 14:591–602. doi:  
476 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01606.x

477 Mendelson TC, Shaw KL (2012) The (mis)concept of species recognition. *Trends Ecol Evol* 27:421–427.  
478 doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2012.04.001

479 Monaghan P, Metcalfe NB, Houston DC (1996) Male finches selectively pair with fecund females. *Proc*  
480 *R Soc B* 263:1183–1186. doi: 10.1098/rspb.1996.0173

481 Moran RL, Zhou M, Catchen JM, Fuller RC (2017) Male and female contributions to behavioral  
482 isolation in darters as a function of genetic distance and color distance. *Evolution* 71:2428–2444.  
483 doi: 10.1111/evo.13321

484 Moriyama T, Fujisaku M, Mizutani M, Goto A (2008) Migration of Japanese Dace (*Ugui*) in water zone  
485 network formed by streams, canal and river utilized for irrigation and drainage. *Irrig Drain Rural*  
486 *Eng J* 10:85–93

487 Nakamura M (1969) Cyprinid fishes of Japan: Studies on the life history of cyprinid fishes of Japan.  
488 Research Institute of Natural Resources, Tokyo

489 Ogawa H, Katano O (2016) Effects of pale chub *Zacco platypus* and Japanese dace *Tribolodon*  
490 *hakonensis* on the growth of each other. *Nippon Suisan Gakkaishi* 82:128–130

491 Okamoto KW, Grether GF (2013) The evolution of species recognition in competitive and mating  
492 contexts: The relative efficacy of alternative mechanisms of character displacement. *Ecol Lett*  
493 16:670–678. doi: 10.1111/ele.12100

494 Partan SR, Marler P (2005) Issues in the classification of multimodal communication signals. *Am Nat*  
495 166:231–45. doi: 10.1086/431246

496 Pierotti MER, Knight ME, Immler S, et al (2008) Individual variation in male mating preferences for  
497 female coloration in a polymorphic cichlid fish. *Behav Ecol* 19:483–488. doi:  
498 10.1093/beheco/arm154

499 Polyakova NE, Semina A V., Brykov VA (2015) Analysis of mtDNA and nuclear markers points to  
500 homoploid hybrid origin of the new species of Far Eastern redfins of the genus *Tribolodon* (Pisces,  
501 Cyprinidae). *Russ J Genet* 51:1075–1087. doi: 10.1134/S1022795415110137

502 R Development Core Team (2017) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R  
503 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna

504 Roberts NS, Mendelson TC (2017) Male mate choice contributes to behavioural isolation in sexually  
505 dimorphic fish with traditional sex roles. *Anim Behav* 130:1–7. doi:  
506 10.1016/j.anbehav.2017.06.005

507 Roelofs WL, Comeau A (1969) Sex pheromone specificity: Taxonomic and evolutionary aspects in  
508 lepidoptera. *Science* 165:398–400. doi: 10.1126/science.165.3891.398

509 Rohlf F (2013) tpsDIG2: Digitize landmarks & outlines from image files, scanner, or video.  
510 <http://life.bio.sunysb.edu/morph/soft-dataacq.html/>. Accessed 12 Jun 2016

511 Sabaj MH, Maurakis EG, Woolcott WS (2000) Spawning behaviors in the Bluehead Chub, *Nocomis*  
512 *leptocephalus*, River Chub, *N. micropogon* and Central Stoneroller, *Campostoma anomalum*. *Am*  
513 *Midl Nat* 144:187–201. doi: 10.1674/0003-0031(2000)144[0187:sbitbc]2.0.co;2

514 Sakai H (1995) Life-histories and genetic divergence in three species of *Tribolodon* (Cyprinidae). Mem  
515 Fac Fish Hokkaido Univ 42:1–98

516 Sakai H, Goto A, Jeon S (2004) Speciation and dispersal of *Tribolodon* species (Pisces, Cyprinidae)  
517 around the Sea of Japan. Zool Sci 19:1291–1303. doi: 10.2108/zsj.19.1291

518 Sakai H, Hamada K (1985) Electrophoretic discrimination of *Tribolodon* species (Cyprinidae) and the  
519 occurrence of their hybrids. Japan J Ichthyol 32:216–224

520 Sakai H, Saitoh T, Takeuchi M, et al (2007) Cyprinid inter-generic hybridisation between *Tribolodon*  
521 *sachalinensis* and *Rhynchocypris lagowskii* in Tohoku District. J Nat Fish Univ 55:45–52

522 Sakai H, Yoshii K (1990) A possibility of species discrimination by olfaction in the cyprinid fish genus  
523 *Tribolodon*. Japanese J Ichthyol 37:194–197. doi: 10.1007/BF02905390

524 Sargent RC, Gross MR, Van Den Berghe EP (1986) Male mate choice in fishes. Anim Behav  
525 34:545–550. doi: 10.1016/S0003-3472(86)80123-3

526 Satterthwaite FE (1946) An approximate distribution of estimates of variance components. Biometrics  
527 Bull 2:110–114. doi: 10.2307/3002019

528 Seehausen O, Van Alphen JJM, Lande R (1999) Color polymorphism and sex ratio distortion in a cichlid  
529 fish as an incipient stage in sympatric speciation by sexual selection. Ecol Lett 2:367–378. doi:  
530 10.1046/j.1461-0248.1999.00098.x

531 Selz OM, Thommen R, Pierotti MER, et al (2016) Differences in male coloration are predicted by  
532 divergent sexual selection between populations of a cichlid fish. Proc R Soc B 283:. doi:  
533 10.1098/rspb.2016.0172

534 Servedio MR (2007) Male versus female mate choice: Sexual selection and the evolution of species  
535 recognition via reinforcement. Evolution 61:2772–2789. doi: 10.1111/j.1558-5646.2007.00247.x

536 Servedio MR (2016) Geography, assortative mating, and the effects of sexual selection on speciation  
537 with gene flow. Evol Appl 9:91–102. doi: 10.1111/eva.12296

538 Servedio MR, Lande R (2006) Population genetic models of male and mutual mate choice. *Evolution*  
539 60:674–85

540 Shine R, Reed RN, Shetty S, et al (2002) Reproductive isolating mechanisms between two sympatric  
541 sibling species of sea snakes. *Evolution* 56:1655–1662. doi: 10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb01477.x

542 Shirotori Y, Yamaguchi M, Ikuta K, et al (2006) Spawning habitat selection and suitability for Japanese  
543 dace, *Tribolodon hakonensis*. *J Ethol* 24:285–289. doi: 10.1007/s10164-006-0195-5

544 Suzuki S, Toguchi K, Makino Y, et al (2008) Group spawning results from the streaking of small males  
545 into a sneaking pair: Male alternative reproductive tactics in the threespot wrasse *Halichoeres*  
546 *trimaculatus*. *J Ethol* 26:397–404. doi: 10.1007/s10164-008-0102-3

547 Svensson EI, Karlsson K, Friberg M, Eroukhanoff F (2007) Gender differences in species recognition  
548 and the evolution of asymmetric sexual isolation. *Curr Biol* 17:1943–1947. doi:  
549 10.1016/j.cub.2007.09.038

550 Sviridov V V., Ivankov VN, Luk'yanov PE (2003) Variability of breeding coloration in the genus  
551 *Tribolodon*: II. *Tribolodon hakuensis*. *J Ichthyol* 43:106–109

552 Sviridov V V., Ivankov VN, Luk'yanov PE (2002) Variation of breeding dress of Eastern Redfins of the  
553 genus *Tribolodon*: I. *Tribolodon brandti* and *T. ezoe*. *J Ichthyol* 42:558–563

554 Takakura KI, Nishida T, Iwao K (2015) Conflicting intersexual mate choices maintain interspecific  
555 sexual interactions. *Popul Ecol* 57:261–271. doi: 10.1007/s10144-015-0492-3

556 Tobias JA, Montgomerie R, Lyon BE (2012) The evolution of female ornaments and weaponry: Social  
557 selection, sexual selection and ecological competition. *Philos Trans R Soc B* 367:2274–2293. doi:  
558 10.1098/rstb.2011.0280

559 Tobler M, Schlupp I, Plath M (2008) Does divergence in female mate choice affect male size  
560 distributions in two cave fish populations? *Biol Lett* 4:452–454. doi: 10.1098/rsbl.2008.0259

- 561 von Schilcher F, Dow M (1977) Courtship behaviour in *Drosophila*: Sexual isolation or sexual  
562 selection? *Z Tierpsychol* 43:304–310. doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0310.1977.tb00077.x
- 563 Wallace AR (1889) Darwinism: an exposition of the theory of natural selection with some of its  
564 applications. Macmillan, London
- 565 Watanabe K, Sakai H, Sanada T, Nishida M (2018) Comparative phylogeography of diadromous and  
566 freshwater daces of the genus *Tribolodon* (Cyprinidae). *Ichthyol Res* 65:383–397. doi:  
567 10.1007/s10228-018-0624-9
- 568 West RJD, Kodric-Brown A (2015) Mate choice by both sexes maintains reproductive isolation in a  
569 species flock of pupfish (*Cyprinodon* spp) in the Bahamas. *Ethology* 121:793–800. doi:  
570 10.1111/eth.12394
- 571 Wiernasz DC (1995) Male choice on the basis of female melanin pattern in *Pieris* butterflies. *Anim*  
572 *Behav* 49:45–51. doi: 10.1016/0003-3472(95)80152-9
- 573 Windle MJS, Rose GA (2007) Do cod form spawning leks? Evidence from a Newfoundland spawning  
574 ground. *Mar Biol* 150:671–680. doi: 10.1007/s00227-006-0385-2
- 575 Wong BBM, Fisher HS, Rosenthal GG (2005) Species recognition by male swordtails via chemical cues.  
576 *Behav Ecol* 16:818–822. doi: 10.1093/beheco/ari058
- 577 Zelditch ML, Swiderski DL, Sheets HD (2012) Geometric morphometrics for biologists: A primer,  
578 Second edi. Academic Press, New York
- 579 Zoppoth P, Koblmüller S, Sefc KM (2013) Male courtship preferences demonstrate discrimination  
580 against allopatric colour morphs in a cichlid fish. *J Evol Biol* 26:577–586. doi: 10.1111/jeb.12074
- 581
- 582
- 583 **Figure and table captions**
- 584



585 **Table 1** Results of model selection on LMMs examining possible effect of male body size and

586 female body size on preference for conspecific females

587

588 **Figure 1** Nuptial coloration in females of **A** *Tribolodon hakonensis* (*Th*) and **B** *T. sachalinensis* (*Ts*)

589 with color bars. **Arrows indicate the positions of red/orange bands.** **C** Positions of the 22 landmarks used

590 in the geometric morphometrics analysis

591

592 **Figure 2** Diagram of the mate choice experimental set-up

593

594 **Figure 3** Visual-based male mate choice experimental results for each stimulus female pair (circles)

595 and its overall mean estimated by the best linear mixed model determined by BIC model selection

596 (triangle). For the results of each female pair, the black circles on the left side show strength of

597 preference (SOP) of individual males. The bars indicate SD

598