Beneath the surface: community assembly and functions of the coral skeleton microbiome

Francesco Ricci¹, Vanessa Rossetto Marcelino², Linda L. Blackall¹, Michael Kühl^{3,4}, Monica Medina⁵, Heroen Verbruggen^{1#}

- 1 School of BioSciences, University of Melbourne, Parkville 3010, Australia
- 2 Marie Bashir Institute for Infectious Diseases and Biosecurity, Sydney Medical School, Westmead Clinical School, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
- 3 Marine Biological Section, University of Copenhagen, Strandpromenaden 5, DK-3000 Helsingør, Denmark
- 4 Climate Change Cluster, University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, NSW 2007, Australia
- 5 Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
- # corresponding author: <u>heroen@unimelb.edu.au</u>

Author email addresses: Francesco Ricci (<u>riccif@student.unimelb.edu.au</u>), Vanessa Rossetto Marcelino (<u>vanessa.marcelino@sydney.edu.au</u>), Linda Blackall (<u>linda.blackall@unimelb.edu.au</u>), Michael Kühl (<u>mkuhl@bio.ku.dk</u>), Monica Medina (<u>momedinamunoz@gmail.com</u>), Heroen Verbruggen (<u>heroen@unimelb.edu.au</u>)

Abstract

Coral microbial ecology is a burgeoning field, driven by the urgency of understanding coral health and slowing reef loss due to climate change. Coral resilience depends on its microbiota, and both the tissue and the underlying skeleton are home to a rich biodiversity of eukaryotic, bacterial and archaeal species that form an integral part of the coral holobiont. New techniques now enable detailed studies of the endolithic habitat, and our knowledge of the skeletal microbial community and its eco-physiology is increasing rapidly, with multiple lines of evidence for the importance of the skeletal microbiota in coral health and functioning. Here, we review the roles these organisms play in the holobiont, including nutritional exchanges with the coral host and decalcification of the host skeleton. Microbial metabolism causes steep physico-chemical gradients in the skeleton, creating micro-niches that, along with dispersal limitation and priority affects, define the fine-scale microbial community assembly. Coral bleaching causes drastic changes in the skeletal microbiome, which can mitigate bleaching effects and promote coral survival during stress periods, but may also have detrimental effects. Finally, we discuss the idea that the skeleton may function as a microbial reservoir that can promote recolonization of the tissue microbiome following dysbiosis and help the coral holobiont return to homeostasis.

Main text

The expanding field of coral skeleton microbial ecology

The coral holobiont comprises the coral polyps and a rich microbial community of prokaryotes, micro-eukaryotes and viruses (Fig. 1) [1, 2]. Anatomically, it consists of a thin film of mucus and tissue over a voluminous, porous calcium carbonate skeleton. The past decades have seen significant advances in our understanding of the diversity and roles of endosymbiotic algae (zooxanthellae) and other microbes inhabiting the coral tissue and mucus, while the microbiota residing in the skeleton have been side-lined. However, in the last few years, several studies have shed light on the ecology, biodiversity, physiology and metabolism of the skeletal microbiome, bringing its complexity into focus and raising hypotheses about its functions within the coral holobiont. Metabarcoding studies show a much higher biodiversity than anticipated, and a strong spatial structure of prokaryote distributions [3]. Ecological, physiological and more recently metagenomic studies are clarifying the functions of skeletal microbiota in the holobiont, including recycling of nutrients like nitrogen and sulfur [4, 5], providing alternative sources of energy [5, 6], decalcifying the skeletal matrix [7, 8] and shaping the physico-chemical properties of the skeleton [9, 10]. This review aims to integrate ideas in the rapidly expanding field of coral skeleton microbial ecology and puts the fragmented information into an ecological context.



Figure 1. Cross-section of a coral skeleton, showing the microbiome associated with the tissue and mucus (left inset) and the skeleton (right inset). In the tissue, zooxanthellae provide the coral with sugars through photosynthesis, and a rich prokaryotic microbiome is associated with mucus, ectodermal and gastrodermal tissue layers. In the skeleton, a remarkably species-rich microbiome including the green alga *Ostreobium*, fungi and prokaryotes is found.

Diversity and distribution of the skeletal microbiome

Eukaryotic and prokaryotic microorganisms are very diverse and abundant across the CaCO₃ skeleton (Figure 1) [2, 3, 11-26] and are called endoliths due to their rock-dwelling nature. Among the eukaryotes are different groups of algae, sponges, fungi and protists, some of which actively bore into limestone, while others inhabit skeletal pores or cavities made by other microorganisms [2, 12, 26-28]. Alpha- and Gammaproteobacteria are predominant bacterial classes [2, 29] and recent studies show that prokaryotes are even more diverse in the skeleton than in the coral tissue or mucus [30].

Eukaryotic green algae are abundant in the skeletons of live corals, exceeding the biomass of zooxanthellae in the tissue by 16 times [31]. The green alga *Ostreobium* is the most common genus [9, 26], with many surveys reporting it in the vast majority of coral samples [2, 11, 32]. Its simple morphology and the laborious nature of isolating and culturing endolithic green algae has limited our knowledge about the biodiversity of these organisms, but culture-independent sequencing approaches have recently shown a massive biodiversity of green algal endoliths in coral skeletons, including a lineage of about 80 different *Ostreobium* species and several other entirely unknown family-level lineages [11, 26], suggesting that distant-related green algal lineages may have gone under the name "*Ostreobium*" in previous studies.

Fungi are also often reported in coral skeletons [2, 14, 15, 17, 24, 27]. They can feed on endolithic algae and coral polyps [13] and are best known for their detrimental roles toward the coral, including black band disease [13-15, 33]. Fungal hyphae growing toward coral tissue can be impeded or stopped by the host by encapsulating them in aragonite cones, preventing tissue perforation [16]. But fungi are also very common in healthy corals, where they live in apparent harmony with the rest of the coral microbiome [13].

Recent work based on environmental sequencing provides more insight into the distribution of the microbial biodiversity in the skeleton. Endolithic algae are ubiquitously present in tropical coral reefs [26], but have also been recorded in corals from high-latitude areas such as the Chilean fjords [32]. They occur in shallow and deeper waters (>100m) as well as in cave-dwelling corals [31, 34, 35]. It is possible that different habitats are occupied by different cryptic *Ostreobium* lineages, as observed along a depth gradient [36]. At much smaller scales, there is strong patchiness in microbial distributions within individual colonies [3], where the prokaryotic community shows strong species turnover even at centimetre scales in the outer skeleton of individual colonies [3]. Endolithic algae, which actively tunnel their way through the skeletal matrix, show more homogeneous distributions in comparison to the prokaryotes [3, 28, 37]. It is likely that spatial differences also exist across the vertical axis of the coral colony, from its surface deeper down into the skeleton, as distinct green, grey and occasionally pink layers are visible to the naked eye.

Like certain coral tissue-associated bacteria [38, 39], some endolithic microbes are consistently found in association with specific coral species [11, 26]. For example, the endolithic community of massive *Porites* spp. was found to be distinct and more diverse when compared to the branching corals *Seriatopora hystrix* and *Pocillopora damicornis* [40], and a recent study found that the endolithic microbiome correlates with host phylogeny over a range of coral species [30]. Whether these correlations reflect species-specific interactions, phylosymbiosis, an effect of coral growth forms and skeletal microhabitats, or a combination of both, remains to be investigated in detail.

Micro-niches in the skeleton

Scleractinian corals have diverse ecological micro-niches shaped by physico-chemical gradients across the various tissue and skeleton compartments (Fig. 2) [9, 10, 41]. These gradients are affected by the environment surrounding the coral holobiont [42], the skeletal microstructure [43], and the physiology of holobiont members [9, 44]. Light is a crucial source of energy in this system, and the great majority of photosynthetically active radiation is absorbed by zooxanthellae in the coral tissue [45] with only a small fraction penetrating into deeper layers (Fig.2) [41, 46]. Inside the skeleton, mostly the far-red wavelengths (>700 nm) remain [10]. These low-energy photons can be harvested by phototrophic endoliths through a variety of mechanisms including specialised pigments (chlorophylls d and f, bacteriochlorophylls) and uphill energy transfer [47-49]. Inside the skeleton, O₂ production through photosynthesis is most pronounced in the green layer, creating a local O₂ maximum (Fig. 2) [9]. Oxygen diffuses through the porous skeletal matrix into shallower and deeper parts of the skeleton, where it is consumed, and 1-2 cm below the maximum, the skeleton is completely anoxic [9]. The autotrophic metabolism of photosynthetic endoliths is also responsible for increasing pH in the medium, this process having a stronger influence on skeletal pH than the outside environment [41].

The micro-environments within the skeleton are subjected to a pronounced day/night cycle, being dominated by photosynthesis during the day and respiration during the night [41]. In daylight, O_2 is produced and the environment becomes more alkaline due to CO_2 removal, with pH values exceeding 8.5 (Fig. 2) [41]. The dominance of heterotrophic metabolisms during the night leads to quick consumption of the produced O_2 (Fig. 2), shifting the environment to near-anoxia [9], and leads to a sharp drop of the pH by almost one pH unit [9, 41]. After a few hours of darkness, the produced O_2 is totally consumed in many skeletal zones. Anoxia promotes dinitrogen fixation by diazotrophic bacteria, although their activity in coral skeleton has been recorded even during the day [50].



Figure 2. Micro-environmental gradients through a vertical cross-section of the skeleton of a massive coral species dominated by an *Ostreobium* algal band. During the day, sunlight gets depleted in the coral tissue with only small amounts of mostly far-red light reaching the skeleton. Oxygen levels are high near the tissue and in the endolithic algal zone due to photosynthesis, and this is also reflected in elevated pH in those zones. During the night, oxygen gets depleted from the skeleton by respiration and pH levels drop.

Community assembly processes

The recent progress in coral skeleton microbial ecology allows us to form a working hypothesis about community assembly and functioning in the skeleton. We propose that the skeleton sustains a highly diverse but functionally redundant microbial community that is shaped by micro-niche partitioning, priority effects and evolutionary associations.

Niche partitioning over different spatial and temporal scales is a commonly observed process that supports biodiversity by preventing competition among species and allowing their co-existence [51]. The coral skeleton certainly has multiple physico-chemical micro-niches, spatially across depth layers, and temporally during day/night cycles, seasons and life stages. These micro-niches sustain microorganisms from a range of functional groups, including aerobic and anaerobic bacteria, phototrophs, diazotrophs, decomposers, and microorganisms producing signalling metabolites and antimicrobial compounds [2, 13, 40, 50]. Limited dispersal is another factor that may contribute to the high biodiversity of coral skeletons. Prokaryotes living at equivalent depths inside the skeleton show a remarkably strong species turnover at centimetre scales [3]. In *Porites* for example, a coral skeleton fragment of ~ 0.23 cm³ contains about 25% of the prokaryotic diversity observed in the outer skeleton of the entire colony, indicating that microbial distribution is patchy even within ecologically homogeneous depth layers [3]. Spatial and temporal heterogeneity of environmental conditions promotes functional redundancy [52] and favours ecosystem stability [53, 54], which we expect to be important traits of the skeletal microbiome. We propose that environmental gradients and microbial interactions (e.g. competition, mutualism) in the limestone substrate result in patchy assemblages of microorganisms at very small spatial scales, characterised by high species diversity and functional redundancy in the skeletal microbiome.

Priority-effects likely play a strong role in shaping the species composition of the endolithic community, especially in young corals. A recent study reported *Ostreobium* in skeletons of coral recruits within the first week of larval settlement, and the algal colonisation increased with colony age, leading to a complex network of filaments of endolithic eukaryotes throughout the entire skeleton (Fig. 1) [8]. One can hypothesise that the first *Ostreobium* species to colonize and drill into the coral skeleton can shape their endolithic niche and likely remain predominant in the green endolithic layer through the life of the coral. A similar mechanism has been proposed to explain the assembly of the first gut microbiome in infants and its long-lasting effects on human health [55]. Besides priority-effects, age-related changes in the endolithic community assembly are likely to occur. In fact, it has been shown that larger corals have a more diverse skeletal microbiome than smaller (and likely younger) conspecific colonies [18], and that prokaryotic species composition changes with the size of colonies [30]. These observations may reflect natural successional processes that occur over the course of coral development, and/or the ability of larger corals to sustain more diverse micro-niches. External factors may also play a role, including climate anomalies, physical disturbances (e.g. parrotfish grazing) and other factors that may transform community assembly patterns in the skeleton. The most extreme example is seen during coral bleaching and death, when photobionts come to dominate the endolithic community [56].

Phototrophs are likely to be keystone organisms in the endolithic community, given their disproportionally important role in community assembly. The anaerobic photoautotrophic *Prosthecochloris*, a dinitrogen-fixing green sulfur bacteria, is predominant in *Isopora* corals [12], while they are only present in low relative abundances in other corals dominated by *Ostreobium* [2, 40]. In contrast to an *Ostreobium*-dominated environment, the anoxygenic photosynthetic green sulfur bacteria only thrive in an oxygen-poor skeletal environment, and have strong effects on nitrogen and sulfur cycling via their metabolism, which has downstream effects on the assembly of the skeletal microbiome [4]. An active field of research is to determine whether the recently discovered key endolithic lineages (e.g. different *Ostreobium* species) have distinct eco-physiological traits – and hence may trigger distinct community assembly patterns – and which ones are functionally redundant.

Box 1. A skeletal biological record-keeper?

Because the rate and density of CaCO₃ deposition by corals varies seasonally, the skeleton has easily recognisable growth rings similar to those produced by trees on land [57]. Like in trees, these rings can be used to estimate colony age and growth rate, and thus serve as a record for historical climate as well as coral bleaching [58, 59]. Interestingly, older corals can also show multiple coloured bands of biological origin in their skeleton, with deeper greenish or greyish bands in addition to the green band just underneath the coral tissue (Fig. 3). At least in some corals, these coloured bands correspond to annual growth patterns [60]. The deeper bands are likely remnants of past blooms of endolithic algae, often consumed by fungi giving them a greyish colour [14] [60], while it is not always clear what deeper green bands represent. One could hypothesise that they are dead algae not yet consumed by fungi, but it is also possible that some of these bands harbour live phototrophic microorganisms specialised in different light environments. Green photosynthetic bacteria, for example, are known to thrive in extreme shade due to efficient light harvesting by their chlorosomes [61]. In any case, the skeleton is a complex structure, where niche differentiation along microenvironmental gradients appears to be superimposed upon a historical record of biological processes and environmental changes that spans decades, potentially even centuries in old colonies. Developing the appropriate methods to probe this record of biological processes and environmental conditions over time (e.g. by ancient DNA analysis, isotopic measurements, pigment analysis, and culturing microbiota from different bands) has the potential to shed light on the increasing impact of bleaching and human activities on coral holobionts.



Figure 3. Cross-section through the skeleton of a small *Porites lutea* coral head showing bands of biogenic origin at multiple depths. For larger colonies, such bands may provide a decadal (or longer) record of coral biology and climate if the information can be accessed.

Implications for the coral

1. Nutritional exchanges

Corals rely on nutritional interactions with their microbiome to succeed in oligotrophic environments. The endolithic community takes part in these processes, participating in the holobiont metabolism by providing and recycling substrates and nutrients. Organic compounds produced and excreted by photosynthetic organisms (and potentially other autotrophs) in the skeleton can fuel other members of the holobiont including fungi and other heterotrophic endoliths [15]. Importantly, carbohydrates produced by *Ostreobium* were shown to be translocated to and incorporated into the coral tissue [62], suggesting an established metabolic interaction between this endolithic alga and corals [63].

The skeleton has also been proposed as an important site of inorganic nutrient regeneration. It is well known that, in comparison to the surrounding environment, the skeletal pore water is enriched in labile nitrogen and phosphorus species [64, 65], and that active dinitrogen-fixing bacteria are present in the skeleton [50]. A recent metagenomic study revealed a wide range of genes involved in nitrogen and sulfur biochemical pathways in the endolithic microbiome, and it is likely that such metabolic functions can be carried out by many skeletal bacteria [4, 5, 12]. Oxygen availability is also important to the coral and its microbiota [66], and the dynamics of this element in the skeleton is largely determined by algal and cyanobacterial photosynthesis and microbial respiration [9]. The degree to which O₂ and other nutrients are recycled within the skeleton and exchanged with the coral remains to be determined, but studies showing that carbon and nitrogen get transferred toward to the coral tissue [5, 6, 62] suggest that the skeleton is a source of nutrients for the coral.

2. Skeletal decalcification

The structure of corals and the entire reef system depends on the balance of calcification and decalcification, both of which are strongly biologically mediated [43, 67]. Many endolithic organisms including cyanobacteria, algae and fungi contribute to bioerosion of the coral skeleton [67, 68], but the green alga *Ostreobium* is by far the most important agent of skeletal deterioration, responsible for 60-90% of microbial carbonate removal in coral skeleton [37]. Most quantitative information on bioerosion comes from experiments on dead coral skeleton [69], where microbial bioerosion rates are significant: up to 1.1 kg CaCO³ dissolution per m² per year [28], corresponding to about 20% of the annual CaCO₃ production on a coral reef [70]. Much less knowledge is available for live corals, although it is known that less skeletal burrowing takes place in living corals than in dead carbonate skeletons. Nonetheless, microborers are present throughout the skeleton in living corals from very early developmental stages [8], and in the more densely populated areas of mature skeletons, more than 25% of the skeletal volume is occupied

by microborers [28], implying substantial decalcification of live coral skeletons. Bioerosion is known to increase at higher temperatures and lower pH, and it has been estimated that by the year 2100, coral endoliths will dissolve ca. 70% of the yearly CaCO₃ production [71], suggesting that this process will contribute to accelerated reef deterioration (and possibly coral fragility) in future ocean conditions [7, 71].

3. Diversity-mediated coral health and resilience

During disease, the coral microbiome composition shifts from homeostasis to a state of dysbiosis [72-74]. This shift is often triggered by environmental stressors like high temperature, and from a microbial perspective is characterised by a reduced population of beneficial species and a higher abundance of potentially harmful ones, some of which reside in the skeleton. For example, endolithic green algae bloom during coral bleaching and coral white syndrome in response to deeper light penetration into the skeleton, and in some instances their abundance can harm the coral tissue [56, 75]. Increasing abundance of endolithic algae has also been associated with an increase of fungi that feed on them, which could threaten coral health [13, 14]. Moreover, data suggest the coral skeleton as a potential source of the cyanobacterium *Phormidium corallyictum* causing the deadly black band disease [76].

The roles of coral pathogens are, understandably, better characterised, but the coral skeleton may also contain beneficial microorganisms playing a role in coral resilience and recovery from disturbances – commonly known as the skeleton reservoir hypothesis [3, 40]. Many microorganisms that are regarded as functionally important in coral tissues (e.g. *Endozoicomonas* spp.) also occur in coral skeletons [2, 18, 25, 77]. A central tenet in ecology is that biodiversity begets stability [78, 79], and theoretically, the highly diverse skeletal ecosystem should be more resilient to stressors than other parts of the coral, thus serving as a safe-house for coral microbiota. In fact, it has been demonstrated that the microbial community present in coral skeletons is more resilient to high pCO_2 than the one in coral tissues [40]. Zooxanthellae play an important role in maintaining pH homeostasis in the coral tissue [80], and it is likely that the abundant endolithic algae contribute to the buffering capacity of the skeletal environment. Analogous to the human appendix, which serves as a refuge for gut microorganisms that re-populate the colon after illnesses [81], the skeleton likely plays an important role in assisting the recovery of the coral microbiome during and after diseases.

4. Bleaching of the coral, greening of the skeleton

Heat stress transforms the physico-chemical properties and biology of the coral holobiont and when a coral bleaches, the whole colony including its skeletal microbiota are affected [82]. During bleaching, the symbiosis between the coral and its zooxanthellae breaks down, and the latter are degraded or leave their host [83]. Without the zooxanthellae absorbing light and consuming CO_2 in the coral tissue, more of the solar irradiance and CO_2 reaches the skeleton, fuelling photosynthesis by the endolithic algae that bloom during bleaching events [6, 41, 56, 75].

It has been hypothesised that the endolithic community may protect the corals and help them overcome bleaching periods [6]. During bleaching, the increased light scattering from the skeleton affects any remaining zooxanthellae and can accelerate bleaching – a mechanism known as the optical feedback loop [84, 85]. By absorbing more light, blooming endolithic algae colonising the outer portions of the skeleton may reduce the light scattering from the skeleton, alleviating photic stress for the coral and the remaining zooxanthellae [86, 87]. Furthermore, photosynthates excreted by *Ostreobium* can be transferred to the coral animal. Such translocation appears to be enhanced during bleaching events, possibly aided by *Ostreobium* filaments growing towards the coral tissue, and may this alleviate energy limitation and promote recovery of the coral animal during a temporary loss of zooxanthellae [5, 6, 62].

Bleaching-induced stimulation of endolithic photosynthesis is likely to affect the physico-chemical conditions in the skeleton, with downstream effects on microbiota and the coral animal, but little is known about these processes. We hypothesise that the O₂ gradient (Fig. 2) will intensify due to increased photosynthesis, leading to stronger diurnal pH fluctuations. It also seems likely that carbohydrates produced by endolithic photosynthesis will become available to other members of the microbiome. We expect that the increased production of carbohydrates by endolithic algae will bring about pronounced changes in the community composition and function of other microbes, possibly also stimulating the development of pathogens. Metagenomic work on coral tissue has indeed shown that the microbiome of bleached corals is enriched in carbohydrate processing and there is an increase in virulence-associated genes [82, 88].

There is no doubt that bleaching has a severe influence on the coral holobiont and its microbiome constituents. While such stress-induced changes in the composition and functioning of microbiomes are starting to be understood for the coral tissue, many questions remain about what happens in the skeleton. The endolithic algal blooms can play a potentially important role in photoprotection and transfer of photosynthates to the coral animal during and after bleaching events, but the actual changes in physico-chemical microenvironments and skeletal microbiome structure have not been described, and these may have detrimental effects on the coral. Because of the importance of the skeletal microbiome, we consider this a very promising avenue for future research.

Conclusions

This review highlights that the coral skeleton is much more than the mere structural support of corals. It is a key compartment of the coral holobiont that harbors a diverse and highly structured microbial community that can affect the coral in various ways. While our knowledge about this aspect of the holobiont has grown substantially in the past few years, many open questions remain. Characterising the roles performed by the various endolithic microbial species and their relevance in the holobiont remains a difficult task given the challenges involved in studying rock-dwelling organisms, the vast number of microbial species involved and the sparse information available. How do skeletal micro-niches vary across coral species and with skeletal traits (e.g. coral morphology and density)? What changes in microbial community structure and function occur during the *Ostreobium* bloom that follows coral bleaching, and what is the net effect of beneficial and detrimental effects on the coral? What are the eco-physiological differences between cryptic endolithic algal lineages, and the ones that bloom during coral health and disease, and which skeletal microbes play key roles for the coral animal? Is there co-evolution between coral and endoliths, or do ecological processes suffice to explain the correlation between endolithic community composition and coral phylogeny? We hope that by providing an overview of the current knowledge on the coral skeleton, and pinpointing some crucial knowledge gaps, this paper will stimulate further research into this hidden, yet important microbial reef habitat.

Acknowledgements

We thank our lab members for engaging discussions and Ming Fen Eileen Lee for drawing the illustrations used in Figure 1.

References

- 1. Hernandez-Agreda A, Gates RD, Ainsworth TD: Defining the core microbiome in corals' microbial soup. Trends in Microbiology 2017, 25:125-140.
- 2. Marcelino VR, Verbruggen H: Multi-marker metabarcoding of coral skeletons reveals a rich microbiome and diverse evolutionary origins of endolithic algae. Scientific Reports 2016, 6:31508.
- 3. Marcelino VR, van Oppen MJ, Verbruggen H: Highly structured prokaryote communities exist within the skeleton of coral colonies. *The ISME Journal* 2018, **12**:300-303.
- 4. Yang S-H, Tandon K, Lu C-Y, Wada N, Shih C-J, Hsiao SS-Y, Jane W-N, Lee T-C, Yang C-M, Liu C-T, et al: Metagenomic, phylogenetic, and functional characterization of predominant endolithic green sulfur bacteria in the coral *Isopora palifera*. *Microbiome* 2019, **7**:3.
- Sangsawang L, Casareto BE, Ohba H, Vu HM, Meekaew A, Suzuki T, Yeemin T, Suzuki Y: 13C and 15N assimilation and organic matter translocation by the endolithic community in the massive coral Porites lutea. Royal Society Open Science 2017, 4:171201.
- 6. Fine M, Loya Y: Endolithic algae: an alternative source of photoassimilates during coral bleaching. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences 2002, 269:1205-1210.
- 7. Reyes-Nivia C, Diaz-Pulido G, Kline D, Guldberg OH, Dove S: **Ocean acidification and warming scenarios increase microbioerosion of coral skeletons.** *Global Change Biology* 2013, **19:**1919-1929.

- 8. Masse A, Domart-Coulon I, Golubic S, Duche D, Tribollet A: Early skeletal colonization of the coral holobiont by the microboring Ulvophyceae Ostreobium sp. Scientific Reports 2018, 8:2293.
- 9. Kühl M, Holst G, Larkum AW, Ralph PJ: **Imaging of oxygen dynamics within the endolithic algal community of the massive coral** *Porites lobata. Journal of Phycology* 2008, **44:**541-550.
- 10. Magnusson SH, Fine M, Kühl M: Light microclimate of endolithic phototrophs in the scleractinian corals Montipora monasteriata and Porites cylindrica. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2007, 332:119-128.
- 11. Marcelino VR, Verbruggen H: Reference datasets of tufA and UPA markers to identify algae in metabarcoding surveys. Data in Brief 2017, 11:273-276.
- 12. Yang SH, Lee S, Huang CR, Tseng CH, Chiang PW, Chen CP, Chen HJ, Tang SL: **Prevalence of potential nitrogen-fixing, green sulfur bacteria in the** skeleton of reef-building coral *Isopora palifera*. *Limnology and Oceanography* 2016, **61**:1078-1086.
- 13. Golubic S, Radtke G, Le Campion-Alsumard T: Endolithic fungi in marine ecosystems. *Trends in Microbiology* 2005, 13:229-235.
- 14. Priess K, Le Campion-Alsumard T, Golubic S, Gadel F, Thomassin B: Fungi in corals: black bands and density-banding of *Porites lutea* and *P. lobata* skeleton. *Marine Biology* 2000, 136:19-27.
- 15. Le Campion-Alsumard T, Golubic S, Priess K: Fungi in corals: symbiosis or disease? Interaction between polyps and fungi causes pearl-like skeleton biomineralization. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 1995, **117**:137-147.
- 16. Bentis CJ, Kaufman L, Golubic S: Endolithic fungi in reef-building corals (Order: Scleractinia) are common, cosmopolitan, and potentially pathogenic. The Biological Bulletin 2000, **198**:254-260.
- 17. Domart-Coulon I, Sinclair C, Hill R, Tambutté S, Puverel S, Ostrander G: A basidiomycete isolated from the skeleton of *Pocillopora damicornis* (Scleractinia) selectively stimulates short-term survival of coral skeletogenic cells. *Marine Biology* 2004, **144**:583-592.
- 18. Williams AD, Brown BE, Putchim L, Sweet MJ: Age-related shifts in bacterial diversity in a reef coral. *PLoS One* 2015, **10**:e0144902.
- 19. Li J, Chen Q, Long L-J, Dong J-D, Yang J, Zhang S: Bacterial dynamics within the mucus, tissue and skeleton of the coral *Porites lutea* during different seasons. *Scientific Reports* 2014, **4**:7320.
- 20. Rutzler K, Santavy DL, Antonius A: The black band disease of Atlantic reef corals, III: distribution, ecology, and development. Marine Ecology 1983, 4:329-358.
- 21. DiSalvo LH: Isolation of bacteria from the corallum of Porites lobata (Vaughn) and its possible significance. American Zoologist 1969, 9:735-740.
- 22. Wainwright SA: Skeletal organization in the coral, *Pocillopora damicornis*. Journal of Cell Science 1963, 3:169-183.
- 23. Kendrick B, Risk MJ, Michaelides J, Bergman K: Amphibious microborers: bioeroding fungi isolated from live corals. Bulletin of Marine Science 1982, 32:862-867.
- 24. Bak R, Laane R: Annual black bands in skeletons of reef corals (Scleractinia). Marine Ecology Progress Series 1987, 38:169-175.
- 25. Ainsworth TD, Krause L, Bridge T, Torda G, Raina JB, Zakrzewski M, Gates RD, Padilla-Gamino JL, Spalding HL, Smith C, et al: **The coral core microbiome** identifies rare bacterial taxa as ubiquitous endosymbionts. *The ISME Journal* 2015, **9**:2261-2274.
- 26. Del Campo J, Pombert JF, Slapeta J, Larkum A, Keeling PJ: **The 'other' coral symbiont:** *Ostreobium* diversity and distribution. *The ISME Journal* 2017, **11**:296-299.
- 27. Wegley L, Edwards R, Rodriguez-Brito B, Liu H, Rohwer F: Metagenomic analysis of the microbial community associated with the coral Porites astreoides. Environmental Microbiology 2007, 9:2707-2719.
- Le Campion-Alsumard T, Golubic S, Hutchings P: Microbial endoliths in skeletons of live and dead corals: Porites Iobata (Moorea, French Polynesia). Marine Ecology Progress Series 1995, 117:149-157.
- 29. Meron D, Rodolfo-Metalpa R, Cunning R, Baker AC, Fine M, Banin E: Changes in coral microbial communities in response to a natural pH gradient. *The ISME journal* 2012, 6:1775.
- 30. Pollock FJ, McMinds R, Smith S, Bourne DG, Willis BL, Medina M, Thurber RV, Zaneveld JR: Coral-associated bacteria demonstrate phylosymbiosis and cophylogeny. Nature Communications 2018, 9:4921.
- 31. Odum HT, Odum EP: Trophic structure and productivity of a windward coral reef community on Eniwetok Atoll. Ecological Monographs 1955, 25:291-320.
- 32. Försterra G, Häussermann V: Unusual symbiotic relationships between microendolithic phototrophic organisms and azooxanthellate cold-water corals from Chilean fjords. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2008, **370**:121-125.
- 33. Alker AP, Smith GW, Kim K: Characterization of Aspergillus sydowii (Thom et Church), a fungal pathogen of Caribbean sea fan corals. *Hydrobiologia* 2001, 460:105-111.
- Hoeksema BW: Forever in the dark: the cave-dwelling azooxanthellate reef coral Leptoseris troglodyta sp. n.(Scleractinia, Agariciidae). ZooKeys 2012, 228:21-37.
- 35. Kahng SE, Hochberg EJ, Apprill A, Wagner D, Luck DG, Perez D, Bidigare RR: Efficient light harvesting in deep-water zooxanthellate corals. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2012, 455:65-77.
- 36. Gutner-Hoch E, Fine M: Genotypic diversity and distribution of Ostreobium quekettii within scleractinian corals. Coral Reefs 2011, 30:643-650.
- 37. Grange JS, Rybarczyk H, Tribollet A: The three steps of the carbonate biogenic dissolution process by microborers in coral reefs (New Caledonia). Environmental Science and Pollution Research 2015, 22:13625-13637.
- 38. Rohwer F, Seguritan V, Azam F, Knowlton N: Diversity and distribution of coral-associated bacteria. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2002, 243:1-10.
- 39. Littman RA, Willis BL, Pfeffer C, Bourne DG: Diversities of coral-associated bacteria differ with location, but not species, for three acroporid corals on the Great Barrier Reef. FEMS Microbiology 2009, 68:152-163.
- 40. Marcelino VR, Morrow KM, van Oppen MJ, Bourne DG, Verbruggen H: Diversity and stability of coral endolithic microbial communities at a naturally high pCO2 reef. *Molecular Ecology* 2017, 26:5344-5357.
- 41. Shashar N, Stambler N: Endolithic algae within corals-life in an extreme environment. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 1992, 163:277-286.
- 42. Caroselli E, Ricci F, Brambilla V, Mattioli G, Levy O, Falini G, Dubinsky Z, Goffredo S: Relationships between growth, population dynamics, and environmental parameters in the solitary non-zooxanthellate scleractinian coral *Caryophyllia inornata* along a latitudinal gradient in the Mediterranean Sea. *Coral Reefs* 2016, **35**:507-519.
- 43. Falini G, Fermani S, Goffredo S: Coral biomineralization: a focus on intra-skeletal organic matrix and calcification. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 2015, 46:17-26.
- 44. Radecker N, Pogoreutz C, Voolstra CR, Wiedenmann J, Wild C: Nitrogen cycling in corals: the key to understanding holobiont functioning? *Trends in Microbiology* 2015, 23:490-497.
- 45. Salih A, Larkum A, Cox G, Kühl M, Hoegh-Guldberg O: Fluorescent pigments in corals are photoprotective. *Nature* 2000, 408:850.
- 46. Halldal P: Photosynthetic capacities and photosynthetic action spectra of endozoic algae of the massive coral Favia. *The Biological Bulletin* 1968, 134:411-424.
- 47. Wilhelm C, Jakob T: Uphill energy transfer from long-wavelength absorbing chlorophylls to PS II in Ostreobium sp. is functional in carbon assimilation. Photosynthesis Research 2006. 87:323.
- 48. Chen M, Schliep M, Willows RD, Cai Z-L, Neilan BA, Scheer H: A red-shifted chlorophyll. Science 2010, 329:1318-1319.
- 49. Behrendt L, Trampe E, Larkum A, Qvortrup K, Norman A, Chen M, Ralph P, Sørensen S, Kühl M: Endolithic chlorophyll d-containing cyanobacteria. *The ISME Journal* 2011, 5:1072-1076.
- 50. Shashar N, Cohen Y, Loya Y, Sar N: Nitrogen fixation (acetylene reduction) in stony corals: evidence for coral-bacteria interactions. Marine Ecology Progress Series 1994:259-264.

- 51. Albrecht M, Gotelli N: Spatial and temporal niche partitioning in grassland ants. Oecologia 2001, 126:134-141.
- 52. Louca S, Polz MF, Mazel F, Albright MB, Huber JA, O'Connor MI, Ackermann M, Hahn AS, Srivastava DS, Crowe SA: Function and functional redundancy in microbial systems. Nature Ecology & Evolution 2018:1.
- 53. Cordero OX, Datta MS: Microbial interactions and community assembly at microscales. *Current Opinion in Microbiology* 2016, **31:**227-234.
- 54. Loreau M, de Mazancourt C: Biodiversity and ecosystem stability: a synthesis of underlying mechanisms. *Ecology Letters* 2013, **16**:106-115.
- 55. Sprockett D, Fukami T, Relman DA: Role of priority effects in the early-life assembly of the gut microbiota. *Nature Reviews Gastroenterology & Hepatology* 2018, **15:**197.
- 56. McCook GD-PLJ: The fate of bleached corals: patterns and dynamics of algal recruitment. Marine Ecology Progress Series 2002, 232:115-128.

57. Lartaud F, Galli G, Raza A, Priori C, Benedetti M, Cau A, Santangelo G, Iannelli M, Solidoro C, Bramanti L: Growth patterns in long-lived coral species. In Marine Animal Forests: The Ecology of Benthic Biodiversity Hotspots. Springer; 2015: 1-32

- 58. Mollica NR, Cohen AL, Alpert AE, Barkley HC, Brainard RE, Carilli JE, DeCarlo TM, Drenkard EJ, Lohmann P, Mangubhai S: Skeletal records of bleaching reveal different thermal thresholds of Pacific coral reef assemblages. Coral Reefs 2019:1-15.
- 59. Cohen AL, Smith SR, McCartney MS, van Etten J: **How brain corals record climate: an integration of skeletal structure, growth and chemistry of** *Diploria labyrinthiformis* from Bermuda. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 2004, **271**:147-158.
- 60. Risk MJ, Pagani SE, Elias RJ: Another internal clock: preliminary estimates of growth rates based on cycles of algal boring activity. *Palaios* 1987:323-331.
- 61. Overmann J, Cypionka H, Pfennig N: An extremely low-light adapted phototrophic sulfur bacterium from the Black Sea. Limnology and Oceanography 1992, **37**:150-155.
- 62. Schlichter D, Zscharnack B, Krisch H: Transfer of photoassimilates from endolithic algae to coral tissue. Naturwissenschaften 1995, 82:561-564.
- 63. Schlichter D: Nutritional strategies of cnidarians: the absorption, translocation and utilization of dissolved nutrients by *Heteroxenia fuscescens*. American Zoologist 1982, **22:**659-669.
- 64. Risk MJ, Muller HR: **Porewater in coral heads: evidence for nutrient regeneration.** *Limnology and Oceanography* 1983, **28**:1004-1008.
- 65. Ferrer LM, Szmant AM: Nutrient regeneration by the endolithic community in coral skeletons. Proceedings of the 6th International Coral Reef Symposium 1988, 2:1-4.
- 66. Nelson HR, Altieri AH: Oxygen: the universal currency on coral reefs. Coral Reefs 2019:1-22.
- 67. Tribollet A, Golubic S: **Reef bioerosion: agents and processes.** In *Coral Reefs: An Ecosystem in Transition.* Edited by Dubinsky Z, Stambler N. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2011: 435-449
- 68. Glynn PW, Manzello DP: Bioerosion and coral reef growth: a dynamic balance. In Coral reefs in the Anthropocene. Springer; 2015: 67-97
- 69. Tribollet A: Dissolution of dead corals by euendolithic microorganisms across the northern Great Barrier Reef (Australia). Microbial Ecology 2008, 55:569-580.
- 70. Tribollet A, Chauvin A, Cuet P: Carbonate dissolution by reef microbial borers: a biogeological process producing alkalinity under different pCO2 conditions. Facies 2019, 65:9.
- 71. Tribollet A, Godinot C, Atkinson M, Langdon C: Effects of elevated pCO2 on dissolution of coral carbonates by microbial euendoliths. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 2009, 23.
- 72. Egan S, Gardiner M: Microbial dysbiosis: rethinking disease in marine ecosystems. Frontiers in Microbiology 2016, 7:991.

73. Peixoto RS, Rosado PM, Leite DC, Rosado AS, Bourne DG: Beneficial microorganisms for corals (BMC): proposed mechanisms for coral health and resilience. Frontiers in Microbiology 2017, 8:341.

- 74. Bourne DG, Morrow KM, Webster NS: Insights into the coral microbiome: underpinning the health and resilience of reef ecosystems. *Annual Review* of Microbiology 2016, **70:**317-340.
- 75. Fine M, Roff G, Ainsworth T, Hoegh-Guldberg O: **Phototrophic microendoliths bloom during coral "white syndrome"**. *Coral reefs* 2006, **25**:577-581.
- 76. Miller AW, Blackwelder P, Al-Sayegh H, Richardson LL: Fine-structural analysis of black band disease-infected coral reveals boring cyanobacteria and novel bacteria. Diseases of Aquatic Organisms 2011. 93:179-190.
- 77. Sweet M, Croquer A, Bythell J: Bacterial assemblages differ between compartments within the coral holobiont. Coral Reefs 2011, 30:39-52.
- 78. Tilman D, Downing JA: **Biodiversity and stability in grasslands.** *Nature* 1994, **367**:363.
- 79. Loreau M, Mouquet N, Gonzalez A: Biodiversity as spatial insurance in heterogeneous landscapes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 2003, 100:12765-12770.
- 80. Gibbin EM, Putnam HM, Davy SK, Gates RD: Intracellular pH and its response to CO2-driven seawater acidification in symbiotic versus non-symbiotic coral cells. *Journal of Experimental Biology* 2014, 217:1963-1969.
- 81. Bollinger RR, Barbas AS, Bush EL, Lin SS, Parker W: **Biofilms in the large bowel suggest an apparent function of the human vermiform appendix.** *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 2007, **249**:826-831.
- 82. Littman R, Willis BL, Bourne DG: Metagenomic analysis of the coral holobiont during a natural bleaching event on the Great Barrier Reef. Environmental Microbiology Reports 2011, 3:651-660.
- 83. van Oppen MJ, Lough JM: *Coral bleaching*. Springer: Springer; 2008.
- Swain TD, Lax S, Lake N, Grooms H, Backman V, Marcelino LA: Relating coral skeletal structures at different length scales to growth, light availability to Symbiodinium, and thermal bleaching. Frontiers in Marine Science 2018, 5:450.
- 85. Wangpraseurt D, Holm JB, Larkum AWD, Pernice M, Ralph PJ, Suggett DJ, Kühl M: In vivo microscale measurements of light and photosynthesis during coral bleaching: Evidence for the optical feedback loop? *Frontiers in Microbiology* 2017, 8.
- 86. Enríquez S, Méndez ER, Prieto RI: Multiple scattering on coral skeletons enhances light absorption by symbiotic algae. Limnology and Oceanography 2005, 50:1025-1032.
- Yamazaki SS, Nakamura T, Yamasaki H: Photoprotective role of endolithic algae colonized in coral skeleton for the host photosynthesis. In Photosynthesis Energy from the Sun. Springer; 2008: 1391-1395
- 88. Thurber RV, Willner-Hall D, Rodriguez-Mueller B, Desnues C, Edwards RA, Angly F, Dinsdale E, Kelly L, Rohwer F: Metagenomic analysis of stressed coral holobionts. Environmental Microbiology 2009, 11:2148-2163.