¹ Cross-scale interaction of host tree size and climatic water deficit

² governs bark beetle-induced tree mortality

³ Michael J. Koontz^{1,2,3*}, Andrew M. Latimer^{1,2}, Leif A. Mortenson⁴, Christopher J. Fettig⁵, Malcolm P.

 $_4$ North^{1,2,6}

- ⁵ ¹Graduate Group in Ecology, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
- ⁶ ²Department of Plant Sciences, University of California, Davis, CA, USA
- ⁷ ³Earth Lab, University of Colorado-Boulder; Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁸ ⁴USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Placerville, CA, USA
- ⁹ ⁵USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Davis, CA, USA
- ¹⁰ ⁶USDA Forest Service, Pacific Southwest Research Station, Mammoth Lakes, CA, USA
- ¹¹ *Correspondence: michael.koontz@colorado.edu
- ¹² Keywords: Dendroctonus brevicomis, disturbance, drones, Pinus ponderosa, Sierra Nevada, structure from
- ¹³ motion, forest structure, climate change-type drought, macroecology, Gaussian process
- 14 Abstract word count: 387
- ¹⁵ Overall .docx word count: 13619
- ¹⁶ Main text word count: 4786 (Intro: 1566; Results: 456 (45+140+361); Discussion: 2764)
- ¹⁷ Methods word count: 3493 (734+642+1720+397)
- ¹⁸ Text boxes word count: 0
- ¹⁹ Date report generated: November 04, 2020

20 Abstract

The recent Californian hot drought (2012-2016) precipitated unprecedented ponderosa pine (Pinus ponderosa) 21 mortality, largely attributable to the western pine beetle (Dendroctonus brevicomis; WPB). Broad-scale 22 climate conditions can directly shape tree mortality patterns, but mortality rates respond non-linearly to 23 climate when local-scale forest characteristics influence the behavior of tree-killing bark beetles (e.g., WPB). 24 To test for these cross-scale interactions, we conduct aerial drone surveys at 32 sites along a gradient of 25 climatic water deficit (CWD) spanning 350 km of latitude and 1000 m of elevation in WPB-impacted Sierra Nevada forests. We map, measure, and classify over 450,000 trees within 9 km², validating measurements with 27 coincident field plots. We find greater size, proportion, and density of ponderosa pine (the WPB host) increase host mortality rates, as does greater CWD. Critically, we find a CWD/host size interaction such that larger 29

trees amplify host mortality rates in hot/dry sites. Management strategies for climate change adaptation should consider how bark beetle disturbances can depend on cross-scale interactions, which challenge our ability to predict and understand patterns of tree mortality.

33 Introduction

³⁴ Bark beetles dealt the final blow to many of the nearly 150 million trees killed in the California hot drought ³⁵ of 2012 to 2016 and its aftermath.¹ A harbinger of climate change effects to come, record high temperatures ³⁶ exacerbated the drought,^{2,3} which increased water stress in trees,^{4,5} making them more susceptible to ³⁷ colonization by bark beetles.^{6,7} Further, a century of fire suppression has enabled forests to grow into dense ³⁸ stands, which can also make them more vulnerable to bark beetles.^{6,8,9} This combination of environmental ³⁹ conditions and forest structural characteristics led to tree mortality events of unprecedented size across the ⁴⁰ state.^{10,11}

Tree mortality exhibited a strong latitudinal and elevational gradient^{4,11} that can only be partially explained 41 by coarse-scale measures of environmental conditions (i.e., historic climatic water deficit; CWD) and current 42 forest structure (i.e., current regional basal area).¹¹ Progressive loss of canopy water content offers additional 43 insight into tree stress and mortality risk, but cannot ultimately resolve which trees are actually killed by 44 bark beetles or elucidate factors driving bark beetle population dynamics and spread.⁵ Bark beetles respond 45 to local forest characteristics in positive feedbacks that non-linearly alter tree mortality dynamics against a 46 background of environmental conditions that stress trees.^{12,13} Thus, an explicit consideration of local forest 47 structure and composition^{14,15} as well as its cross-scale interaction with regional climate conditions¹⁶ can 48 refine our understanding of tree mortality patterns from California's recent hot drought. The challenge of simultaneously measuring the effects of both local-scale forest features (such as structure and composition) 50 and broad-scale environmental conditions (e.g., CWD) on forest insect disturbance leaves their interaction 51 effect relatively underexplored.^{14–17} 52

The ponderosa pine/mixed-conifer forests in California's Sierra Nevada region are characterized by regular bark 53 beetle disturbances, primarily by the influence of western pine beetle (*Dendroctonus brevicomis*; WPB) on its 54 host ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*).¹⁸ WPB is a primary bark beetle– its reproductive success is contingent 55 upon host tree mortality, which itself requires enough beetles to mass attack the host tree and overwhelm its 56 defenses.¹⁹ This Allee effect creates a strong coupling between beetle selection behavior of host trees and 57 host tree susceptibility to colonization.^{19–21} A key defense mechanism of conifers to bark beetle attack is to 58 flood beetle bore holes with resin, which physically expels colonizing beetles, can be toxic to the colonizers 59 and their fungi, and may interrupt beetle communication.^{22,23} Under normal conditions, weakened trees 60

with compromised defenses are the most susceptible to colonization and will be the main targets of primary 61 bark beetles like WPB.^{13,23,24} Under severe water stress however, many trees no longer have the resources 62 available to mount a defense.^{7,13} Drought,^{12,25–27} especially when paired with high temperatures,^{24,28–30} can 63 trigger increased bark beetle-induced tree mortality as average tree vigor declines. As the local population density of beetles increases due to successful reproduction within spatially-aggregated susceptible trees, mass 65 attacks grow in size and become capable of overwhelming formidable tree defenses. Even large healthy trees 66 may be susceptible to colonization and mortality when beetle population density is high.^{13,23,24} Thus, water 67 stress and beetle population density interact to influence whether individual trees are susceptible to bark 68 beetles. When extreme or prolonged drought increases host tree vulnerability, bark beetle population growth 69 rates increase, then become self-amplifying as greater beetle densities make additional host trees prone to 70 successful mass attack.^{12,13,15,24} 71

WPB activity is strongly influenced by forest structure- the spatial arrangement and size distribution of trees-72 and tree species composition. Taking forest structure alone, high-density forests are more prone to bark 73 beetle-induced tree mortality compared to thinned forests 6,9 which may arise as greater competition for water 74 resources amongst crowded trees lowers average tree resistance,³¹ or because smaller gaps between trees protect 75 pheromone plumes from dissipation by the wind and thus enhance intraspecific beetle communication.³² Tree 76 size is another aspect of forest structure that affects bark beetle host selection behavior with smaller trees 77 tending to have lower capacity for resisting attack, but larger trees being more desirable targets on account 78 of their thicker phloem providing greater nutritional content.^{13,33–35} Throughout an outbreak, some bark 79 beetle species will collectively "switch" the preferred size of tree to attack in order to navigate this trade-off 80 between host susceptibility and host quality.^{13,21,36–39} Taking forest composition alone, WPB activity in the 81 Sierra Nevada mountain range of California is necessarily tied to the regional distribution of its exclusive host, 82 ponderosa pine.¹⁸ Colonization by primary bark beetles can also depend on the local relative frequencies of 83 tree species in forest stands, reflecting the more general pattern that specialist insect herbivory tends to be 84 lower in taxonomically diverse forests compared to monocultures.^{40,41} 85

The interaction between forest structure and composition at both stand- and tree- scales also drives WPB activity. For instance, dense forest stands with high host availability may experience greater beetle-induced tree mortality because dispersal distances between potential host trees are shorter, which reduces predation of adults searching for hosts and facilitates higher rates of colonization.^{33,42,43} High host availability can also reduce the chance of individual beetles wasting their limited resources flying to and landing on a non-host tree.^{44,45} At a finer scale, a host tree's defensive capacity can depend on its canopy position, with reduced biochemical defenses in suppressed, crowded trees.⁴⁶ Coarse-scale measures of forest structure and composition can therefore only partially explain mechanisms affecting bark beetle disturbance. Finer-grain information is
 also needed that explicitly recognizes tree species, size, and local density, which better capture the ecological
 processes underlying insect-induced tree mortality.^{28,36,38,39}

The vast spatial extent of WPB-induced tree mortality in the 2012 to 2016 California hot drought challenges 96 our ability to simultaneously consider how broad-scale environmental conditions may interact with local 97 forest structure and composition to affect the dynamic between bark beetle selection and colonization of host 98 trees, and host tree susceptibility to attack.^{15,47} Measuring local forest structure generally requires expensive 99 instrumentation^{4,48} or labor-intensive field surveys,^{14,15,49} which constrains survey extent and frequency. 100 Small, unhumanned aerial systems (sUAS) enable relatively fast and cheap remote imaging over hundreds of 101 hectares of forest, which can be used to measure complex forest structure and composition at the individual 102 tree scale with Structure from Motion (SfM) photogrammetry.^{50,51} The ultra-high, centimeter-scale resolution 103 of sUAS-derived measurements as well as the ability to incorporate vegetation reflectance can help overcome 104 challenges in species classification and dead tree detection inherent in other remote sensing methods, such 105 as airborne LiDAR.⁵² Distributing such surveys across an environmental gradient can overcome the data 106 acquisition challenge inherent in investigating phenomena with both a strong local- and a strong broad-scale 107 component. 108

We used sUAS-derived remote sensing images over a network of 32 sites in Sierra Nevada ponderosa pine/mixedconifer forests spanning 1000 m of elevation and 350 km of latitude¹⁴ covering a total of 9 km², to investigate how broad-scale environmental conditions interacted with local forest structure and composition to shape patterns of tree mortality during the cumulative tree mortality event of 2012 to 2018. We asked:

- How does the proportion of the ponderosa pine host trees in a local area and average host tree size
 affect WPB-induced tree mortality?
- 115 2. How does the density of all trees (hereafter "overall density") affect WPB-induced tree mortality?
- How does the total basal area of all trees (hereafter "overall basal area") affect WPB-induced tree
 mortality?

4. How does environmentally-driven tree moisture stress affect WPB-induced tree mortality?

5. How do the effects of forest structure, forest composition, and environmental condition interact to
 influence WPB-induced tree mortality?

Here, we show that a greater local proportion of host trees (ponderosa pine) strongly increases the probability of host mortality, with greater host density amplifying this effect. We also show that larger host trees ¹²³ increase the probability of host mortality in accordance with well-known life history of WPB. Critically, ¹²⁴ we find a strong interaction between host size and CWD such that larger trees exacerbate host mortality ¹²⁵ rates in hot/dry sites. Our results demonstrate a cross-scale interaction in the response of WPB to local ¹²⁶ forest structure and composition across an environmental gradient, which helps reconcile differences between ¹²⁷ observed ecosystem-wide tree mortality patterns and predictions from models based on coarser-scale forest ¹²⁸ structure.

129 **Results**

¹³⁰ Tree detection algorithm performance

¹³¹ We found that the experimental lmfx algorithm⁵³ with parameter values of dist2d = 1 and ws = 2.5 ¹³² performed the best across 7 measures of forest structure as measured by Pearson's correlation with ground ¹³³ data (Table 1).

Table 1: Correlation and differences between the best performing tree detection algorithm (lmfx with dist2d = 1 and ws = 2.5) and the ground data. An asterisk next to the correlation or RMSE indicates that this value was within 5% of the value of the best-performing algorithm/parameter set. Ground mean represents the mean value of the forest metric across the 110 field plots that were visible from the sUAS-derived imagery. The median error is calculated as the median of the differences between the air and ground values for the 110 visible plots. Thus, a positive number indicates an overestimate by the sUAS workflow and a negative number indicates an underestimate.

| Forest structure metric | Ground mean | Correlation with ground | RMSE | Median error |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
| total tree count | 19 | 0.67^{*} | 8.68* | 2 |
| count of trees > 15 m | 9.9 | 0.43 | 7.38 | 0 |
| distance to 1st neighbor (m) | 2.8 | 0.55^{*} | 1.16^{*} | 0.26 |
| distance to 2nd neighbor (m) | 4.3 | 0.61^{*} | 1.70^{*} | 0.12 |
| height (m); 25^{th} percentile | 12 | 0.16 | 8.46 | -1.2 |
| height (m); mean | 18 | 0.29 | 7.81* | -2.3 |
| height (m); 75^{th} percentile | 25 | 0.35 | 10.33* | -4 |

¹³⁴ Classification accuracy for live/dead and host/non-host

The accuracy of live/dead classification on a withheld test dataset was 96.4%. The accuracy of species classification on a withheld testing dataset was 64.1%. The accuracy of WPB host/non-WPB-host (i.e.,

¹³⁷ ponderosa pine versus other tree species) on a withheld testing dataset was 71.8%.

¹³⁸ Site summary based on best tree detection algorithm and classification

Across all study sites, we detected, segmented, and classified 452,413 trees in 23,187, 20 x 20m pixels (with the area of each pixel being approximately equivalent to that of a field plot). Of these trees, we classified 118,879 as dead (26.3% mortality). Estimated site-level tree mortality ranged from 6.8% to 53.6%. See Supplementary Information for site summaries and comparisons to site-level mortality measured from field data.

¹⁴⁴ Effect of local structure and regional climate on tree mortality attributed to western pine ¹⁴⁵ beetle



Figure 1: Posterior distributions of effect size from zero-inflated binomial model predicting the probability of ponderosa pine mortality in a 20 x 20-m cell given forest structure characteristics and site-level climatic water deficit (CWD). The gray filled area for each model covariate represents the probability density of the posterior distribution, the point underneath each density curve represents the median of the estimate, the bold interval surrounding the point estimate represents the 66% credible interval, and the thin interval surrounding the point estimate represents the 95% credible interval.

Site-level CWD exerted a positive main effect on the probability of ponderosa mortality (effect size: 0.85; 95% CI: [0.70, 0.99]; Figure 1). We found a positive main effect of proportion of host trees per cell (effect size: 0.68; 95% CI: [0.62, 0.74]), with a greater proportion of host trees (i.e., ponderosa pine) in a cell increasing the probability of ponderosa pine mortality. We detected no effect of overall tree density nor overall basal area (i.e., including both ponderosa pine and non-host species; tree density effect size: -0.01; 95% CI: [-0.11, $151 \quad 0.08$; basal area effect size: -0.13; 95% CI: [-0.29, 0.03]).

We found a positive two-way interaction between the overall tree density per cell and the proportion of trees that were hosts, which is equivalent to a positive effect of the density of host trees (effect size: 0.06; 95% CI: [0.01, 0.12]; Figure 1).

We found a positive main effect of mean height of ponderosa pine on the probability of ponderosa mortality (effect size: 0.25; 95% CI: [0.14, 0.35]). Coupled with the strong correlation between proportion of dead host trees and basal area killed (See Supplementary Figure 15), these results suggest that WPB attacked larger trees, on average. Further, there was a strong positive interaction between CWD and ponderosa pine mean height, such that larger trees were especially likely to increase the local probability of ponderosa mortality in hotter, drier sites (effect size: 0.54; 95% CI: [0.37, 0.70]; Figure 2).

We found no effect of the site-level CWD interactions with the proportion of host trees (effect size: -0.08; 95% CI: [-0.18, 0.03]) nor of the interaction between CWD and total basal area (effect size: -0.04; 95% CI: [-0.23, 0.15]; Figure 1).

We found a negative effect of the CWD interaction with overall tree density (effect size: -0.19; 95% CI: [-0.31, -0.07]) as well as of the interaction between mean height of host trees and the overall basal area (effect size: -0.08; 95% CI: [-0.13, -0.03]; Figure 1).

¹⁶⁷ While we found no interaction between proportion of host trees and mean host tree height, we did find a ¹⁶⁸ 3-way interaction between these variables with CWD (effect size: 0.14; 95% CI: [0.04, 0.24]; Figure 1).

169 Discussion

This study uses drone-derived imagery to refine our understanding of the patterns of tree mortality following the 2012 to 2016 California hot drought and its aftermath. By simultaneously measuring the effects of local forest structure and composition across broad-scale environmental gradients, we were able to better characterize the influence of a tree-killing insect, the WPB, compared to using correlates of tree stress alone.

174 Strong positive main effect of CWD

¹⁷⁵ We found a strong positive effect of site-level CWD on ponderosa pine mortality rate. We did not measure ¹⁷⁶ tree water stress at an individual tree level as in other recent work,¹⁵ and instead treated CWD as a general ¹⁷⁷ indicator of tree stress following results of coarser-scale studies.¹¹ When measured at a fine scale, even if not ¹⁷⁸ at an individual tree level, progressive canopy water loss can be a good indicator of tree water stress and ¹⁷⁹ increased vulnerability to mortality from drought or bark beetles.⁵ Though our entire study area experienced



Figure 2: Line version of model results with 95% credible intervals showing primary influence of ponderosa pine structure on the probability of ponderosa pine mortality, and the interaction across climatic water deficit. The 'larger trees' line represents the mean height of ponderosa pine 0.7 standard deviations above the mean (approximately 24.1 m), and the 'smaller trees' line represents the mean height of ponderosa pine 0.7 standard deviations below the mean (approximately 12.1 m).

exceptional hot drought between 2012 and 2015,^{2,3} using a 30-year historic average of CWD as a site-level indicator of tree stress doesn't allow us to disentangle whether water availability was lower in an absolute sense during the drought or whether increasing tree vulnerability to bark beetles was driven by chronic water stress at these historically hotter/drier sites.⁵⁴

¹⁸⁴ Positive effect of host proportion and density

A number of mechanisms associated with the relative abundance of species in a local area might underlie the 185 strong effect of host proportion on the probability of host tree mortality. Frequency-dependent herbivory-186 whereby mixed-species forests experience less herbivory compared to monocultures (as an extreme example)-187 is common, especially for oligophagous insect species.⁴⁰ Nonhost volatiles reduce attraction of several species 188 of bark beetles to their aggregation pheromones,⁵⁵ including WPB.⁵⁶ Combinations of nonhost volatiles and 189 an antiaggregation pheromone have been used successfully to reduce levels of tree mortality attributed to 190 WPB in California.^{57,58} The positive relationship between host density and susceptibility to colonization by 191 bark beetles has been so well-documented at the experimental plot level^{43,59,60} that lowering stand densities 192 through selective harvest of hosts is commonly recommended for reducing future levels of tree mortality 193 attributed to bark beetles,⁶¹ including WPB.¹⁸ Greater host density shortens the flight distance required 194 for WPB to disperse to new hosts, which likely facilitates bark beetle spread, however we calibrated our 195 aerial tree detection to $\sim 400 \text{ m}^2$ areas rather than to individual tree locations, so our data are insufficient to 196 address these relationships. Increased density of ponderosa pine, specifically, may disproportionately increase 197 the competitive environment for host trees (and thus increase their susceptibility to WPB colonization) if 198 intraspecific competition amongst ponderosa pine trees is stronger than interspecific competition as would 199 be predicted with coexistence theory.⁶² Finally, greater host densities increase the frequency that searching 200 WPB land on hosts, rather than nonhosts, thus reducing the amount of energy expended during host finding 201 and selection as well as the time that searching WPB spend exposed to a variety of predators outside the 202 host tree. 203

²⁰⁴ No main effect of overall density, but interaction with CWD

We detected no relationship between overall tree density and ponderosa pine mortality, though work from the coincident ground plots showed a negative relationship.¹⁴ 28 also shows greater MPB infestation in lower-density sites in Montana However, 31 and 14 found that measures of overall tree density explained more variation in tree mortality than measures of host availability, though those conclusions were based on broader-scale analyses³¹ or a different response variable.¹⁴

Our greater sample size may have enabled us to more finely parse the role of multi-faceted forest structure 210 and composition, along with CWD and interactions, in driving ponderosa pine mortality rates. Indeed, we 211 did find a negative two-way interaction between site CWD and overall density, suggesting denser stands 212 experienced lower rates of ponderosa mortality in hotter, drier sites, which comports with 9 in results from 213 their unmanipulated gradient of overall density in the same region during the same hot drought. In the 214 absence of active management, forest structure is largely a product of climate and, with increasing importance 215 at finer spatial scales, topographic conditions.⁶³ Denser forest patches in our study may indicate greater local 216 water availability, more favorable conditions for tree growth and survivorship, and increased resistance to 217 beetle-induced tree mortality, especially when denser patches are found in hot, dry sites.^{9,63,64} 218

219 Effect of overall basal area

While overall tree density is likely an indicator of favorable microsites in fire-suppressed forests, overall 220 basal area is a better indicator of the local competitive environment especially in water-limited forests.^{63,64} 221 However, we found no main effect of overall basal area on the probability of ponderosa mortality, nor of its 222 interaction with site-level CWD. This contrasts to the results from 11, and from analyses of coincident field 223 plots.¹⁴ While the contrast to 11 might be explained by different scales of analyses (i.e., 3500 x 3500 m pixels 224 vs. 20 x 20 m pixels), the contrast with the coincident ground plots is more puzzling. One explanation is that 225 the drone sampling captured more area beyond the conditionally-sampled field plots (i.e., 10% ponderosa 226 pine basal area mortality was a criterion for plot selection) that reflected a different relationship between 227 local basal area and tree mortality. Perhaps more likely is that our measure of total basal area isn't precise 228 enough to represent the local competitive environment compared to field-derived basal area. For our study, 229 basal area was derived from species-specific and inherently noisy allometric relationships with tree height, 230 which itself was derived from the SfM processing of drone imagery. As remote sensing technology improves 231 to enable finer-scale information extraction (e.g., individual tree measurements), more dialogue between 232 ecologists of all $stripes^{65-67}$ is needed to fully imagine how to best measure natural phenomena remotely, 233 either by adopting wheels already invented or by innovating something brand new. 234

235 Positive main effect of host tree mean size

The positive main effect of host tree mean size on ponderosa mortality rates tracks the conventional wisdom on the dynamics of WPB in the Sierra Nevada, as well as other primary bark beetles.¹⁸ WPB exhibit a preference for trees 50.8 to 76.2 cm DBH,^{68,69} and a positive relationship between host tree size and levels of tree mortality attributed to WPB was reported by 14 in the coincident field plots as well as in other recent studies.^{9,15,70} Larger trees are more nutritious and are therefore ideal targets if local bark beetle density is

high enough to successfully initiate mass attack and overwhelm tree defenses, as can occur when many trees 241 are under severe water stress.^{7,13,24} In the recent hot drought, we expected that most trees would be under 242 severe water stress, setting the stage for increasing beetle density, successful mass attacks, and targeting of 243 larger trees. Given that our dead tree height calibration was conservative (accounting for underestimates of 244 drone-derived dead tree heights relative to field-measured trees), it is likely that the positive main effect of tree 245 height that we report represents a lower bounds of this effect. Additionally, 14 found no tree size/mortality 246 relationship for incense cedar or white fir in the coincident field plots. These species represent 22.3% of the 247 total tree mortality observed in their study, yet in our study all dead trees were classified as ponderosa pine 248 (see Methods) which could have further dampened the positive effect of tree size on tree mortality that we 249 identified. 250

²⁵¹ Cross-scale interaction of CWD and host tree size

In hotter, drier sites, a larger average host size increased the probability of host mortality. Notably, a similar 252 pattern was shown by 65 in a study confined to the southern Sierra Nevada (i.e., the hottest, driest portion of 253 the more spatially extensive results we present here) with a strong positive tree height/mortality relationship 254 in areas with the greatest vapor pressure deficit and no tree height/mortality relationship in areas with the 255 lowest vapor pressure deficit. Our work suggests that the WPB was cueing into different aspects of forest 256 structure across an environmental gradient in a spatial context in a parallel manner to the temporal context 257 noted by 65 and 70, who observed that mortality was increasingly driven by larger trees as the hot drought 258 proceeded and became more severe. A temporal signal of bark beetles attacking larger and larger host trees 259 reflects the positive feedback between forest structure and bark beetle population dynamics as the population 260 phase cycles from endemic to epidemic.¹³ This positive feedback leading to eruptive population dynamics 261 is well-documented as a temporal phenomenon, and here we show a similar pattern in a spatial context 262 mediated through site-level CWD. 263

A key difference from the endemic-to-epidemic positive feedback noted by 13 is that none of our study areas 264 were considered to be in an endemic population phase by typical measures of WPB dynamics.^{31,33} WPB 265 dynamics at all sites were considered epidemic, with >5 trees killed per ha (see Supplementary Information). 266 The cross-scale interaction between broad-scale CWD and local-scale host tree size, even amongst populations 267 all in an epidemic phase, highlights the dramatic implications of the positive feedback for landscape-scale 268 tree mortality. The massive tree mortality in hotter/drier Sierra Nevada forests^{4,11} during the 2012 to 2016 269 hot drought likely arose as a synergistic alignment of environmental conditions and local forest structure 270 that allowed WPB to successfully colonize large trees, rapidly increase in population size, and expand. The 271

²⁷² unexpectedly low mortality in cooler/wetter Sierra Nevada forests compared to model predictions based on ²⁷³ coarser-scale forest structure data¹¹ may result from a different WPB response to local forest structure due ²⁷⁴ to a lack of an alignment with favorable climate conditions and a weaker positive feedback.

275 Limitations and future directions

We have demonstrated that drones can be effective means of collecting forest data at multiple, vastly different spatial scales to investigate a single, multi-scale phenomenon– from meters in between trees, to hundreds of meters of elevation, to hundreds of thousands of meters of latitude. Some limitations remain, but can be overcome with further refinements in the use of this tool for forest ecology. Most of these limitations arise from classification and measurement of standing dead trees, making it imperative to work with field data for calibration and uncertainty reporting.

The greatest limitation in our study arising from classification uncertainty is in the assumption that all dead 282 trees were ponderosa pine, which we estimate from coincident field plots is true approximately 73.4% of the 283 time. Because the forest structure factors influencing the likelihood of individual tree mortality during the hot 284 drought depended on tree species,¹⁵ we cannot rule out that some of the ponderosa pine mortality relationships 285 to forest structure that we observed may be partially explained by those relationships in other species that 286 were misclassified as ponderosa pine using our methods. However, the overall community composition across 287 our study area was similar¹⁴ and we are able to reproduce similar forest structure/mortality patterns in 288 drone-derived data when restricting the scope of analysis to only trees detected in the footprints of the 289 coincident field plots (see Supplementary Information). Thus, we remain confident that the patterns we 290 observed were driven primarily by the dynamic between WPB and ponderosa pine. While spectral information 291 of foliage could help classify living trees to species, the species of standing dead trees were not spectrally 292 distinct. This challenge of classifying standing dead trees to species implies that a conifer forest systems with 293 less bark beetle and tree host diversity, such as mountain pine beetle outbreaks in relative monocultures of 294 naturally-occurring lodgepole pine forests in the Intermountain West, should be particularly amenable to the 295 methods presented here even with minimal further refinement because dead trees will almost certainly belong 296 to a single species and have succumbed to colonization by a single bark beetle species. For similar reasons, 297 these methods would also work particularly well if imagery were also captured prior to the mortality event. 298

Some uncertainty surrounded our ability to detect trees using the geometry of the dense point clouds derived with SfM. The horizontal accuracy (i.e., longitude/latitude position) of the tree detection was better than the vertical accuracy (i.e., height), which may result from a more significant error contribution by the field-based calculations of tree height compared to tree position relative to plot center (Table 1). Height measurements

were particularly challenging for standing dead trees, because SfM can fail to produce any points representing 303 narrow, needleless treetops in the resulting dense point cloud. Our conservative calibration of drone-measured 304 tree heights to field-measured heights strengthened the main effect of CWD on host mortality in our model 305 and reversed the effect of host tree height (see Supplementary Information). We report that larger host trees 306 increase the probability of host tree mortality, while models using uncalibrated tree heights show that larger 307 trees decrease host mortality rates (see Supplementary Information). While our live/dead classification was 308 fairly accurate (96.4% on a withheld dataset), our species classifier would likely benefit from better crown 309 segmentation because the pixel-level reflectance values within each crown are averaged to characterize the 310 "spectral signature" of each tree. With better delineation of each tree crown, the mean value of pixels within 311 each tree crown will likely be more representative of that tree's spectral signature. 312

Better tree detection, crown segmentation, and dead tree height measurement would likely improve with better SfM point clouds which can be enhanced with greater overlap between images⁷¹ or with oblique (i.e., off-nadir) imagery.⁷² 71 found that 95% overlap was preferable for generating dense point clouds in forested areas, and 72 reduced dense point cloud errors using imagery taken at 30 degrees off-nadir. We only achieved 91.6% overlap with the X3 RGB camera and 83.9% overlap with the multispectral camera, and all imagery was nadir-facing. We anticipate that computer vision and deep learning will also prove helpful in overcoming some of these detection and classification challenges.⁷³

Finally, we note our study is constrained by the uncertainty in measuring basal area from SfM processing of drone-derived imagery. This uncertainty makes it challenging to represent typical field-based measures of local competitive environment (e.g., total plot basal area) or ecosystem impact (e.g., proportion of dead basal area in a plot) in a statistical analysis. Instead, we opted to use the probability of ponderosa mortality as our key response variable, which is well-suited to understanding the dynamics between WPB colonization behavior and host tree susceptibility.

326 Conclusions

³²⁷ Climate change adaptation strategies emphasize management action that considers whole-ecosystem responses ³²⁸ to inevitable change,⁷⁴ which requires a macroecological understanding of how phenomena at multiple ³²⁹ scales can interact. Tree vulnerability to environmental stressors presents only a partial explanation for ³³⁰ tree mortality patterns during hot droughts, especially when bark beetles are present. We've shown that ³³¹ drones can be a valuable tool for investigating multi-scalar phenomena, such as how local forest structure ³³² combines with environmental conditions to shape forest insect disturbance. Understanding the conditions ³³³ that drive dry western U.S. forest responses to disturbances such as bark beetle outbreaks will be vital for predicting outcomes from increasing disturbance frequency and intensity exacerbated by climate change.⁷⁵
Our study suggests that outcomes will depend on interactions between local forest structure and broad-scale
environmental gradients, with the potential for cross-scale interactions to enhance our understanding of forest
insect dynamics.

338 Methods

339 Study system

We designed the aerial survey to coincide with 160 vegetation/forest insect monitoring plots at 32 sites 340 established between 2016 and 2017 by 14 (Figure 3). The study sites were chosen to reflect typical west-side 341 Sierra Nevada yellow pine/mixed-conifer forests and were dominated by ponderosa pine.¹⁴ Sites were placed 342 in WPB-attacked, yellow pine/mixed-conifer forests across the Eldorado, Stanislaus, Sierra and Sequoia 343 National Forests and were stratified by elevation (914-1219 m, 1219-1524 m, 1524-1829 m above sea level). In 344 the Sequoia National Forest, the southernmost National Forest in our study, sites were stratified with the 345 lowest elevation band of 1219-1524 m and extended to an upper elevation band of 1829-2134 m to capture a 346 more similar forest community composition as at the more northern National Forests. The sites have variable 347 forest structure and plot locations were selected in areas with >35% ponderosa pine basal area and >10%348 ponderosa pine mortality. At each site, five 0.041-ha circular plots were installed along transects with 80 349 to 200m between plots. In the field, 14 mapped all stem locations relative to the center of each plot using 350 azimuth/distance measurements. Tree identity to species, tree height, and diameter at breast height (DBH) 351 were recorded if DBH was greater than 6.35cm. Year of mortality was estimated based on needle color and 352 retention if it occurred prior to plot establishment, and was directly observed thereafter during annual site 353 visits. A small section of bark (approximately 625 cm^2) on both north and south aspects was removed from 354 dead trees to determine if bark beetle galleries were present. The shape, distribution, and orientation of 355 galleries are commonly used to distinguish among bark beetle species.¹⁸ In some cases, deceased bark beetles 356 were present beneath the bark to supplement identifications based on gallery formation. During the spring 357 and early summer of 2018, all field plots were revisited to assess whether dead trees had fallen.¹⁴ 358

In the typical life cycle of WPBs, females initiate host colonization by tunneling through the outer bark and into the phloem and outer xylem where they rupture resin canals. As a result, oleoresin exudes and collects on the bark surface, as is commonly observed with other bark beetle species. During the early stages of attack, females release an aggregation pheromone component which, in combination with host monoterpenes released from pitch tubes, is attractive to conspecifics.⁷⁶ An antiaggregation pheromone component is produced during latter stages of host colonization by several pathways, and is thought to reduce intraspecific competition



Figure 3: The network of field plots spanned a 350-km latitudinal gradient from the Eldorado National Forest in the north to the Sequoia National Forest in the south. Plots were stratified by three elevation bands in each forest, with the plots in the Sequoia National Forest (the southern-most National Forest) occupying elevation bands 305 m above the three bands in the other National Forests in order to capture a similar community composition.

³⁶⁵ by altering adult behavior to minimize overcrowding of developing brood within the host.⁷⁷ Volatiles from ³⁶⁶ several nonhosts sympatric with ponderosa pine have been demonstrated to inhibit attraction of WPB to ³⁶⁷ its aggregation pheromones.^{56,78} In California, WPB generally has 2-3 generations in a single year and can ³⁶⁸ often outcompete other primary bark beetles such as the mountain pine beetle in ponderosa pines, especially ³⁶⁹ in larger trees.³³ WPB population growth rates can, however, be reduced by competition with other beetle ³⁷⁰ species cohabitating in the same host tree, as well as by predation during dispersal to seek a host.³³

371 Aerial data collection and processing

Nadir-facing imagery was captured using a gimbal-stabilized DJI Zenmuse X3 broad-band red/green/blue 372 (RGB) camera⁷⁹ and a fixed-mounted Micasense Rededge3 multispectral camera with five narrow bands⁸⁰ on 373 a DJI Matrice 100 aircraft.⁸¹ Imagery was captured from both cameras along preprogrammed aerial transects 374 over ~ 40 ha surrounding each of the 32 sites (each of these containing five field plots) and was processed in a 375 series of steps to yield local forest structure and composition data suitable for our statistical analyses. All 376 images were captured in 2018 during a 3-month period between early April and early July, and thus our work 377 represents a postmortem investigation into the drivers of cumulative tree mortality. Following the call by 82, 378 we establish "data product levels" to reflect the image processing pipeline from raw imagery (Level 0) to 379 calibrated, fine-scale forest structure and composition information on regular grids (Level 4), with each new 380 data level derived from levels below it. Here, we outline the steps in the processing and calibration pipeline 381 visualized in Figure 4, and include additional details in the Supplementary Information. 382



Figure 4. Schematic of the data processing workflow for a single site with each new data product level derived 384 from data at lower levels. Level 0 represents raw data from the sensors. From left to right: RGB photo from 385 DJI Zenmuse X3, output images from Micasense Rededge3 (blue, green, red, near infrared, red edge). Level 1 386 represents basic outputs from the SfM workflow. From left to right: dense point cloud, RGB orthophoto, 387 digital surface model (DSM; ground elevation plus vegetation height). Level 2 represents radiometrically 388 or geometrically corrected Level 1 products. From left to right: radiometrically-corrected "red" surface 389 reflectance map, radiometrically-corrected "near infrared" surface reflectance map, digital terrain model 390 (DTM) derived by a geometric correction of the dense point cloud, canopy height model (CHM; DSM - DTM). 391 Level 3 represents domain-specific information extraction from Level 2 products and is divided into two 392 sub-levels. Level 3a products are derived using only spectral or only geometric data. From left to right: map 393 of Normalized Difference Vegetation Index,⁸³ map of detected trees derived from the CHM, detected trees 394 within red polygon, polygons representing segmented tree crowns within red polygon. Level 3b products are 395 derived using both spectral and geometric data. From left to right: trees classified as alive or dead based 396 on spectral reflectance within each segmented tree crown, trees classified as WPB host/non-host. Level 4 397 represents aggregations of Level 3 products to regular grids that better reflects the grain size of the validation 398 (e.g., to match area of validation field plots) or which provides neighborhood- rather than individual-scale 300 information (e.g., stand-level proportion of host trees). From left to right: grid representing fraction of dead 400 trees per cell, grid representing fraction of hosts per cell, grid representing mean host height per cell, tree 401 density per cell. All cells measure 20 x 20 m. 402

403 Level 0: Raw data from sensors

Raw data comprised approximately 1900 images per camera lens (one broad-band RGB lens and five narrowband multispectral lenses) for each of the 32 sites (Figure 4; Level 0). Prior to the aerial survey, two strips of bright orange drop cloth (~100 x 15 cm) were positioned as an "X" over the permanent monuments marking the center of the 5 field plots from 14 (see Supplementary Information).

We preprogrammed north-south aerial transects using Map Pilot for DJI on iOS flight software⁸⁴ at an altitude of 120 m above ground level (with "ground" defined using a 1-arc-second digital elevation model⁸⁵). The resulting ground sampling distance was approximately 5 cm/px for the Zenmuse X3 RGB camera and approximately 8 cm/px for the Rededge3 multispectral camera. We used 91.6% image overlap (both forward and side) at the ground for the Zenmuse X3 RGB camera and 83.9% overlap (forward and side) for the Rededge3 multispectral camera.

⁴¹⁴ Level 1: Basic outputs from photogrammetric processing

We used SfM photogrammetry implemented in Pix4Dmapper Cloud (www.pix4d.com) to generate dense point 415 clouds (Figure 4; Level 1, left), orthophotos (Figure 4; Level 1, center), and digital surface models (Figure 4; 416 Level 1, right) for each field site.⁷¹ For 29 sites, we processed the Rededge3 multispectral imagery alone to 417 generate these products. For three sites, we processed the RGB and the multispectral imagery together to 418 enhance the point density of the dense point cloud. All SfM projects resulted in a single processing "block," 419 indicating that all images in the project were optimized and processed together. The dense point cloud 420 represents x, y, and z coordinates as well as the color of millions of points per site. The orthophoto represents 421 a radiometrically uncalibrated, top-down view of the survey site that preserves the relative x-y positions of 422 objects in the scene. The digital surface model is a rasterized version of the dense point cloud that shows 423 the altitude above sea level for each pixel in the scene at the ground sampling distance of the camera that 424 generated the Level 0 data. 425

426 Level 2: Corrected outputs from photogrammetric processing

Radiometric corrections A radiometrically-corrected reflectance map (Figure 4; Level 2, left two figures; i.e., a corrected version of the Level 1 orthophoto) was generated using the Pix4D software by incorporating incoming light conditions for each narrow band of the Rededge3 camera (captured simultaneously with the Rededge3 camera using an integrated downwelling light sensor) as well as a pre-flight image of a calibration panel of known reflectance (see Supplementary Information for camera and calibration panel details).

Geometric corrections We implemented a geometric correction to the Level 1 dense point cloud and digital surface model by normalizing these data for the terrain underneath the vegetation. We generated the digital terrain model representing the ground underneath the vegetation at 1-m resolution (Figure 4; Level 2, third image) by classifying each survey area's dense point cloud into "ground" and "non-ground" points using a cloth simulation filter algorithm⁸⁶ implemented in the lidR⁵³ package and rasterizing the ground points using the raster package.⁸⁷ We generated a canopy height model (Figure 4; Level 2, fourth image) by subtracting the digital terrain model from the digital surface model.

439 Level 3: Domain-specific information extraction

Level 3a: Data derived from spectral or geometric Level 2 product Using just the spectral information from the radiometrically-corrected reflectance maps, we calculated several vegetation indices including the normalized difference vegetation index [NDVI; 83; Figure 4; Level 3a, first image], the normalized difference red edge,⁸⁸ the red-green index,⁸⁹ the red edge chlorophyll index,⁹⁰ and the green chlorophyll

| Algorithm | Parameter sets tested | Reference(s) |
|-------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| li2012 | 131 | 91; 92; 93 |
| lmfx | 30 | 94 |
| localMaxima | 6 | 53 |
| multichm | 1 | 95 |
| ptrees | 3 | 96 |
| vwf | 3 | 97 |
| watershed | 3 | 98 |

Table 2: Algorithm name, number of parameter sets tested for each algorithm, and references.

Using just the geometric information from the canopy height model or terrain-normalized dense point cloud, 445 we generated maps of detected trees (Figure 4; Level 3a, second and third images) by testing a total of 7 446 automatic tree detection algorithms and a total of 177 parameter sets (Table 2). We used the field plot data 447 to assess each tree detection algorithm/parameter set by converting the distance-from-center and azimuth 448 measurements of the trees in the field plots to x-y positions relative to the field plot centers distinguishable in 449 the Level 2 reflectance maps as the orange fabric X's that we laid out prior to each flight. In the reflectance 450 maps, we located 110 out of 160 field plot centers while some plot centers were obscured due to dense 451 interlocking tree crowns or because a plot center was located directly under a single tree crown. For each of 452 the 110 field plots with identifiable plot centers- the "validation field plots", we calculated 7 forest structure 453 metrics using the ground data collected by 14: total number of trees, number of trees greater than 15 m 454 in height, mean height of trees, 25th percentile tree height, 75th percentile tree height, mean distance to 455 nearest tree neighbor, and mean distance to second nearest neighbor. For each tree detection algorithm and 456 parameter set described above, we calculated the same set of 7 structure metrics within the footprint of the 457 validation field plots. We calculated the Pearson's correlation and root mean square error (RMSE) between 458 the ground data and the aerial data for each of the 7 structure metrics for each of the 177 automatic tree 459 detection algorithms/parameter sets. For each algorithm and parameter set, we calculated its performance 460 relative to other algorithms as whether its Pearson's correlation was within 5% of the highest Pearson's 461 correlation as well as whether its RMSE was within 5% of the lowest RMSE. We summed the number of 462 forest structure metrics for which it reached these 5% thresholds for each algorithm/parameter set. For 463 automatically detecting trees across the whole study, we selected the algorithm/parameter set that performed 464

⁴⁶⁵ well across the most forest metrics (see Results).

We delineated individual tree crowns (Figure 4; Level 3a, fourth image) with a marker controlled watershed 466 segmentation algorithm⁹⁹ implemented in the ForestTools package⁹⁷ using the detected treetops as markers. 467 If the automatic segmentation algorithm failed to generate a crown segment for a detected tree (e.g., often 468 snags with a very small crown footprint), a circular crown was generated with a radius of 0.5 m. If the 469 segmentation generated multiple polygons for a single detected tree, only the polygon containing the detected 470 tree was retained. Because image overlap decreases near the edges of the overall flight path and reduces the 471 quality of the SfM processing in those areas, we excluded segmented crowns within 35 m of the edge of the 472 survey area. Given the narrower field of view of the Rededge3 multispectral camera versus the X3 RGB 473 camera whose optical parameters were used to define the ~ 40 ha survey area around each site, as well as the 474 35 m additional buffering, the survey area at each site was $\sim 30 \text{ ha}$ (see Supplementary Information). 475

Level 3b: Data derived from spectral and geometric information We overlaid the segmented 476 crowns on the reflectance maps from 20 sites spanning the latitudinal and elevation gradient in the study. 477 Using QGIS (https://qgis.org/en/site/), we hand classified 564 trees as live/dead and as one of 5 dominant 478 species in the study area (ponderosa pine, Pinus lambertiana, Abies concolor, Calocedrus decurrens, or Quercus 479 kelloggi) using the mapped ground data as a guide. Each tree was further classified as "host" for ponderosa 480 pine or "non-host" for all other species.¹⁸ We extracted all the pixel values within each segmented crown 481 polygon from the five, Level 2 orthorectified reflectance maps (one per narrow band on the Rededge3 camera) 482 as well as from the five, Level 3a vegetation index maps using the velox package.¹⁰⁰ For each crown polygon, 483 we calculated the mean value of the extracted Level 2 and Level 3a pixels and used them as ten independent 484 variables in a five-fold cross validated boosted logistic regression model to predict whether the hand classified 485 trees were alive or dead. For just the living trees, we similarly used all 10 mean reflectance values per crown 486 polygon to predict tree species using a five-fold cross validated regularized discriminant analysis. The boosted 487 logistic regression and regularized discriminant analysis were implemented using the caret package in R.¹⁰¹ 488 We used these models to classify all tree crowns in the data set as alive or dead (Figure 4; Level 3b, first 489 image) as well as the species of living trees (Figure 4; Level 3b, second image). 490

Because the tops of dead, needle-less trees are narrow, they may not be well-represented in the point clouds produced using SfM photogrammetry, which biases their height estimates downward. Further, field measurements can overestimate the heights of live trees relative to aerial survey methods.¹⁰² To correct these measurement biases, we calibrated aerial tree height measurements to ground-based height measurements. Specifically, we identified the crowns of 451 field-measured trees in the drone-derived tree data, modeled the relationship between field- and drone-measured tree heights for both live and dead trees, and used the models to adjust the drone-measured tree heights (See Supplementary Methods). We applied a conservative height correction to live and dead trees based on trees measured by the drone to be greater than 20 m in height that increased dead tree height by an average of 2.8 m and reduced the heights of live trees by an average of 0.9 m (See Supplementary Methods). Finally, we estimated the basal area of each tree from their corrected drone-measured height using species-specific simple linear regressions of the relationship between height and DBH as measured in the coincident field plots from 14.

⁵⁰³ Level 4: Aggregations to regular grids

We rasterized the forest structure and composition data at a spatial resolution similar to that of the field 504 plots to better match the grain size at which we validated the automatic tree detection algorithms. In each 505 raster cell, we calculated: number of dead trees, number of ponderosa pine trees, total number of trees, and 506 mean height of ponderosa pine trees. The values of these variables in each grid cell and derivatives from 507 them were used for visualization and modeling. Here, we show the fraction of dead trees per cell (Figure 4; 508 Level 4, first image), the fraction of host trees per cell (Figure 4; Level 4, second image), the mean height of 509 ponderosa pine trees in each cell (Figure 4; Level 4, third image), and the total count of trees per cell (Figure 510 4; Level 4, fourth image). 511

512 Note on assumptions about dead trees

For the purposes of this study, we assumed that all dead trees were ponderosa pine and thus hosts colonized by WPB. This is a reasonably good assumption for our study area; for example, 14 found that 73.4% of dead trees in their coincident field plots were ponderosa pine. Mortality was concentrated in the larger-diameter classes and attributed primarily to WPB.¹⁴ The species contributing to the next highest proportion of dead trees was incense cedar which represented 18.72% of the dead trees in the field plots. While the detected mortality is most likely to be ponderosa pine killed by WPB, it is critical to interpret our results with these limitations in mind.

520 Environmental data

We used CWD¹⁰³ from the 1981-2010 mean value of the basin characterization model¹⁰⁴ as an integrated measure of historic temperature and moisture conditions for each of the 32 sites. Higher values of CWD correspond to historically hotter, drier conditions and lower values correspond to historically cooler, wetter conditions. CWD has been shown to correlate well with broad patterns of tree mortality in the Sierra Nevada¹¹ as well as bark beetle-induced tree mortality.¹⁰⁵ The forests along the entire CWD gradient used in this study experienced exceptional hot drought between 2012 to 2016 with a severity of at least a 1,200-year event, and perhaps more severe than a 10,000-year event.^{2,3} We converted the CWD value for each site into a z-score representing that site's deviation from the mean CWD across the climatic range of Sierra Nevada ponderosa pine as determined from 179 herbarium records described in 106. Thus, a CWD z-score of 1 would indicate that the CWD at that site is one standard deviation hotter/drier than the mean CWD across all geolocated herbarium records for ponderosa pine in the Sierra Nevada.

532 Statistical model

We used a generalized linear model with a zero-inflated binomial response and a logit link to predict the 533 probability of ponderosa pine mortality within each 20 x 20-m cell using the total number of ponderosa 534 pine trees in each cell as the number of trials, and the number of dead trees in each cell as the number of 535 "successes". As covariates, we used the proportion of trees that are WPB hosts (i.e., ponderosa pine) in each 536 cell, the mean height of ponderosa pine trees in each cell, the count of trees of all species (overall density) in 537 each cell, and the site-level CWD using Eq. 1. Note that the two-way interaction between the overall density 538 and the proportion of trees that are hosts is directly proportional to the number of ponderosa pine trees in 539 the cell. We centered and scaled all predictor values, and used weakly-regularizing default priors from the 540 brms package.¹⁰⁷ To measure and account for spatial autocorrelation underlying ponderosa pine mortality, 541 we subsampled the data at each site to a random selection of 200, 20 x 20-m cells representing approximately 542 27.5% of the surveyed area. Additionally with these subsampled data, we included a separate exact Gaussian 543 process term per site of the noncentered/nonscaled interaction between the x- and y-position of each cell 544 using the gp() function in the brms package.¹⁰⁷ The Gaussian process estimates the spatial covariance in the 545 response variable (log-odds of ponderosa pine mortality) jointly with the effects of the other covariates. 546

$$\begin{aligned} y_{i,j} \sim \begin{cases} 0, & p \\ Binom(n_i, \pi_i), & 1-p \end{cases} \\ logit(\pi_i) &= \beta_0 + \\ & \beta_1 X_{cwd,j} + \beta_2 X_{propHost,i} + \beta_3 X_{PipoHeight,i} + \\ & \beta_4 X_{overallDensity,i} + \beta_5 X_{overallBA,i} + \\ & \beta_6 X_{cwd,j} X_{PipoHeight,i} + \beta_7 X_{cwd,j} X_{propHost,i} + \\ & \beta_8 X_{cwd,j} X_{overallDensity,i} + \beta_9 X_{cwd,j} X_{overallBA,i} + \\ & \beta_{10} X_{propHost,i} X_{PipoHeight,i} + \beta_{11} X_{propHost,i} X_{overallDensity,i} + \\ & \beta_{12} X_{PipoHeight,i} X_{overallBA,i} + \\ & \beta_{13} X_{cwd,j} X_{propHost,i} X_{PipoHeight,i} + \\ & \mathcal{GP}_j(x_i, y_i) \end{aligned}$$

Where y_i is the number of dead trees in cell *i*, n_i is the sum of the dead trees (assumed to be ponderosa pine) 547 and live ponderosa pine trees in cell i, π_i is the probability of ponderosa pine tree mortality in cell i, p is the 548 probability of there being zero dead trees in a cell arising as a result of an independent, unmodeled process, 549 $X_{cwd,j}$ is the z-score of CWD for site j, $X_{propHost,i}$ is the scaled proportion of trees that are ponderosa pine 550 in cell $i, X_{PipoHeight,i}$ is the scaled mean height of ponderosa pine trees in cell $i, X_{overallDensity,i}$ is the scaled 551 density of all trees in cell i, $X_{overallBA,i}$ is the scaled basal area of all trees in cell i, x_i and y_i are the x- and 552 y- coordinates of the centroid of the cell in an EPSG3310 coordinate reference system, and GPj represents 553 the exact Gaussian process describing the spatial covariance between cells at site j. 554

We fit this model using the **brms** package¹⁰⁷ which implements the No U-Turn Sampler extension to the 555 Hamiltonian Monte Carlo algorithm¹⁰⁸ in the Stan programming language.¹⁰⁹ We used 4 chains with 5000 556 iterations each (2000 warmup, 3000 samples), and confirmed chain convergence by ensuring all Rhat values 557 were less than 1.1^{110} and that the bulk and tail effective sample sizes (ESS) for each estimated parameter 558 were greater than 100 times the number of chains (i.e., greater than 400 in our case). We used posterior 559 predictive checks to visually confirm model performance by overlaying the density curves of the predicted 560 number of dead trees per cell over the observed number.¹¹¹ For the posterior predictive checks, we used 50 561 random samples from the model fit to generate 50 density curves and ensured curves were centered on the 562 observed distribution, paying special attention to model performance at capturing counts of zero. 563

564 Data availability

All field and drone data processed for this study are available via the Open Science Framework at https: 565 //doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/3CWF9.¹¹² The administrative boundaries file for the USDA Forest Service 566 (S_USA.AdministrativeForest.shp) can be found at https://data.fs.usda.gov/geodata/edw/datasets.ph 567 p?dsetCategory=boundaries. The 2014 version of the 1981-2010 thirty-year historic average climatic water 568 deficit data (cwd1981 2010 ave HST 1550861123.tif) can be found on the California Climate Commons 569 at http://climate.calcommons.org/dataset/2014-CA-BCM. The dataset representing ponderosa pine 570 geolocations derived from herbaria records (California_Species_clean_All_epsg_3310.csv) can be found 571 at https://doi.org/10.6078/D16K5W.¹¹³ The vector file representing Jepson geographic subdivisions of 572 California and used to define the Sierra Nevada region can be requested at https://ucjeps.berkeley.edu/eflora 573 /geography.html. 574

575 Code availability

Statistical analyses were performed using the brms packages. With the exception of the SfM software
(Pix4Dmapper Cloud) and the GIS software QGIS, all data carpentry and analyses were performed using
R.¹¹⁴ All code used to generate the results from this study are available via GitHub at https://gith
ub.com/mikoontz/local-structure-wpb-severity and is mirrored on the Open Science Framework at
https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/WPK5Z.¹¹⁵

581 Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge funding from the USDA Forest Service Western Wildlands Environmental Threat Assessment Center (WWETAC) and the Pacific Southwest Research Station Climate Change Competitive Grant Program. We thank Connie Millar for comments and guidance during the development of this project, Victoria Scholl for helpful discussions regarding remotely-sensed data product levels, and Derek Young for helpful discussions while revising this manuscript. We also thank Meagan Oldfather for her role as visual observer during drone flights. We gratefully acknowledge Pix4D, which provided free cloud infrastructure for much of the Structure from Motion photogrammetry processing. Finally, we thank the Open Science Framework for facilitating the public access to our complete dataset.

590 Author contributions

Author contributions are defined using the Contributor Roles Taxonomy (CRediT; https://casrai.org/credit/). Conceptualization: MJK, AML, CJF, MPN, LAM; Data curation: MJK; Formal analysis: MJK; Funding

- ⁵⁹³ acquisition: MJK, MPN, CJF, AML; Investigation: MJK, LAM, CJF; Methodology: MJK, AML; Project
- ⁵⁹⁴ administration: MJK; Resources: MJK, MPN, AML; Software: MJK; Supervision: MJK, MPN, AML;
- ⁵⁹⁵ Validation: MJK; Visualization: MJK; Writing original draft: MJK; Writing review and editing: MJK,
- ⁵⁹⁶ AML, CJF, MPN, LAM

597 Competing interests

⁵⁹⁸ The authors declare no competing interests.

599 References

- 1. USDAFS. Press Release: Survey finds 18 million trees died in California in 2018. https://www.fs.usda.go
- ⁶⁰¹ v/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/FSEPRD609321.pdf (2019).
- Griffin, D. & Anchukaitis, K. J. How unusual is the 2012-2014 California drought? *Geophysical Research Letters* 41, 9017–9023 (2014).
- 3. Robeson, S. M. Revisiting the recent California drought as an extreme value. *Geophysical Research Letters*42, 6771–6779 (2015).
- 4. Asner, G. P. et al. Progressive forest canopy water loss during the 2012-2015 California drought. Proceedings
 of the National Academy of Sciences 113, E249–E255 (2016).
- 5. Brodrick, P. G. & Asner, G. P. Remotely sensed predictors of conifer tree mortality during severe drought.
 Environ. Res. Lett. 12, 115013 (2017).
- 6. Fettig, C. J. Chapter 2: Forest health and bark beetles. in *Managing Sierra Nevada Forests. PSW-GTR-237*(USDA Forest Service, 2012).
- ⁶¹² 7. Kolb, T. E. *et al.* Observed and anticipated impacts of drought on forest insects and diseases in the United
 ⁶¹³ States. *Forest Ecology and Management* **380**, 321–334 (2016).
- 8. Waring, R. H. & Pitman, G. B. Modifying lodgepole pine stands to change susceptibility to mountain pine
 beetle attack. *Ecology* 66, 889–897 (1985).
- 9. Restaino, C. *et al.* Forest structure and climate mediate drought-induced tree mortality in forests of the
 Sierra Nevada, USA. *Ecological Applications* **0**, e01902 (2019).
- ⁶¹⁸ 10. USDAFS. Press Release: Record 129 million dead trees in California. https://www.fs.usda.gov/Internet
 ⁶¹⁹ /FSE_DOCUMENTS/fseprd566303.pdf (2017).
- ⁶²⁰ 11. Young, D. J. N. *et al.* Long-term climate and competition explain forest mortality patterns under extreme
 ⁶²¹ drought. *Ecology Letters* **20**, 78–86 (2017).
- ⁶²² 12. Raffa, K. F. *et al.* Cross-scale drivers of natural disturbances prone to anthropogenic amplification: The
 ⁶²³ dynamics of bark beetle eruptions. *BioScience* 58, 501–517 (2008).
- Boone, C. K., Aukema, B. H., Bohlmann, J., Carroll, A. L. & Raffa, K. F. Efficacy of tree defense
 physiology varies with bark beetle population density: A basis for positive feedback in eruptive species. *Can. J. For. Res.* 41, 1174–1188 (2011).

- ⁶²⁷ 14. Fettig, C. J., Mortenson, L. A., Bulaon, B. M. & Foulk, P. B. Tree mortality following drought in the ⁶²⁸ central and southern Sierra Nevada, California, U.S. *Forest Ecology and Management* **432**, 164–178 (2019).
- ⁶²⁹ 15. Stephenson, N. L., Das, A. J., Ampersee, N. J. & Bulaon, B. M. Which trees die during drought? The
 ⁶³⁰ key role of insect host-tree selection. *Journal of Ecology* **75**, 2383–2401 (2019).
- ⁶³¹ 16. Senf, C., Campbell, E. M., Pflugmacher, D., Wulder, M. A. & Hostert, P. A multi-scale analysis of
 ⁶³² western spruce budworm outbreak dynamics. *Landscape Ecol* **32**, 501–514 (2017).
- ⁶³³ 17. Seidl, R. *et al.* Small beetle, large-scale drivers: How regional and landscape factors affect outbreaks of
 ⁶³⁴ the European spruce bark beetle. J Appl Ecol 53, 530–540 (2016).
- ⁶³⁵ 18. Fettig, C. J. Native bark beetles and wood borers in Mediterranean forests of California. in *Insects and* ⁶³⁶ diseases of Mediterranean Forest systems 499–528 (Springer International Publishing, 2016).
- ⁶³⁷ 19. Raffa, K. F. & Berryman, A. A. The role of host plant resistance in the colonization behavior and ecology
 ⁶³⁸ of bark beetles (Coleoptera: Scolytidae). *Ecological Monographs* 53, 27–49 (1983).
- ⁶³⁹ 20. Logan, J. A., White, P., Bentz, B. J. & Powell, J. A. Model analysis of spatial patterns in mountain pine
 ⁶⁴⁰ beetle outbreaks. *Theoretical Population Biology* 53, 236–255 (1998).
- ⁶⁴¹ 21. Wallin, K. F. & Raffa, K. F. Feedback between individual host selection behavior and population dynamics
 ⁶⁴² in an eruptive herbivore. *Ecological Monographs* **74**, 101–116 (2004).
- ⁶⁴³ 22. Franceschi, V. R., Krokene, P., Christiansen, E. & Krekling, T. Anatomical and chemical defenses of
 ⁶⁴⁴ conifer bark against bark beetles and other pests. *New Phytologist* 167, 353–376 (2005).
- ⁶⁴⁵ 23. Raffa, K. F., Grégoire, J.-C. & Staffan Lindgren, B. Natural history and ecology of bark beetles. in *Bark*⁶⁴⁶ *Beetles* 1–40 (Elsevier, 2015). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-417156-5.00001-0.
- ⁶⁴⁷ 24. Bentz, B. J. *et al.* Climate change and bark beetles of the western United States and Canada: Direct and
 ⁶⁴⁸ indirect effects. *BioScience* **60**, 602–613 (2010).
- ⁶⁴⁹ 25. DeRose, R. J. & Long, J. N. Drought-driven disturbance history characterizes a southern Rocky Mountain
 ⁶⁵⁰ subalpine forest. *Can. J. For. Res.* 42, 1649–1660 (2012).
- ⁶⁵¹ 26. Hart, S. J., Veblen, T. T., Schneider, D. & Molotch, N. P. Summer and winter drought drive the initiation
 ⁶⁵² and spread of spruce beetle outbreak. *Ecology* 98, 2698–2707 (2017).
- 653 27. Netherer, S., Panassiti, B., Pennerstorfer, J. & Matthews, B. Acute drought Is an important driver of
- bark beetle infestation in Austrian Norway spruce stands. Front. For. Glob. Change 2, (2019).

- ⁶⁵⁵ 28. Kaiser, K. E., McGlynn, B. L. & Emanuel, R. E. Ecohydrology of an outbreak: Mountain pine beetle
 ⁶⁵⁶ impacts trees in drier landscape positions first. *Ecohydrology* 6, 444–454 (2013).
- ⁶⁵⁷ 29. Marini, L. *et al.* Climate drivers of bark beetle outbreak dynamics in Norway spruce forests. *Ecography*⁶⁵⁸ **40**, 1426–1435 (2017).
- ⁶⁵⁹ 30. Sambaraju, K. R., Carroll, A. L. & Aukema, B. H. Multiyear weather anomalies associated with range
 ⁶⁶⁰ shifts by the mountain pine beetle preceding large epidemics. *Forest Ecology and Management* 438, 86–95
 ⁶⁶¹ (2019).
- ⁶⁶² 31. Hayes, C. J., Fettig, C. J. & Merrill, L. D. Evaluation of multiple funnel traps and stand characteristics
 ⁶⁶³ for estimating western pine beetle-caused tree mortality. *Journal of Economic Entomology* **102**, 2170–2182
 ⁶⁶⁴ (2009).
- ⁶⁶⁵ 32. Thistle, H. W. *et al.* Surrogate pheromone plumes in three forest trunk spaces: Composite statistics and ⁶⁶⁶ case studies. *Forest Science* **50**, (2004).
- 33. Miller, J. M. & Keen, F. P. Biology and control of the western pine beetle: A summary of the first fifty *years of research.* (US Department of Agriculture, 1960).
- ⁶⁶⁹ 34. Chubaty, A. M., Roitberg, B. D. & Li, C. A dynamic host selection model for mountain pine beetle,
 ⁶⁷⁰ Dendroctonus ponderosae Hopkins. Ecological Modelling 220, 1241–1250 (2009).
- ⁶⁷¹ 35. Graf, M., Reid, M. L., Aukema, B. H. & Lindgren, B. S. Association of tree diameter with body size and ⁶⁷² lipid content of mountain pine beetles. *The Canadian Entomologist* **144**, 467–477 (2012).
- ⁶⁷³ 36. Geiszler, D. R. & Gara, R. I. Mountain pine beetle attack dynamics in lodgepole pine. in *Theory and*
- ⁶⁷⁴ Practice of Mountain Pine Beetle Management in Lodgepole Pine Forests: Symposium Proceedings. A. A.
- 675 Berryman, G. D. Amman and R. W. Stark (Eds) (1978).
- ⁶⁷⁶ 37. Klein, W. H., Parker, D. L. & Jensen, C. E. Attack, emergence, and stand depletion trends of the ⁶⁷⁷ mountain pine beetle in a lodgepole pine stand during an outbreak. *Environ Entomol* **7**, 732–737 (1978).
- ⁶⁷⁸ 38. Mitchell, R. G. & Preisler, H. K. Analysis of spatial patterns of lodgepole pine attacked by outbreak ⁶⁷⁹ populations of the mountain pine beetle. *Forest Science* **37**, 1390–1408 (1991).
- 39. Preisler, H. K. Modelling spatial patterns of trees attacked by bark-beetles. Applied Statistics 42, 501
 (1993).
- 40. Jactel, H. & Brockerhoff, E. G. Tree diversity reduces herbivory by forest insects. *Ecology Letters* 10,
 835–848 (2007).

- ⁶⁸⁴ 41. Faccoli, M. & Bernardinelli, I. Composition and elevation of spruce forests affect susceptibility to bark
 ⁶⁸⁵ beetle attacks: Implications for forest management. *Forests* 5, 88–102 (2014).
- 42. Berryman, A. A. Population dynamics of bark beetles. in Bark Beetles in North American Conifers: A
 System for the Study of Evolutionary Biology 264–314 (1982).
- ⁶⁸⁸ 43. Fettig, C. J. *et al.* The effectiveness of vegetation management practices for prevention and control of
 ⁶⁸⁹ bark beetle infestations in coniferous forests of the western and southern United States. *Forest Ecology and* ⁶⁹⁰ Management 238, 24–53 (2007).
- ⁶⁹¹ 44. Moeck, H. A., Wood, D. L. & Lindahl, K. Q. Host selection behavior of bark beetles (Coleoptera: ⁶⁹² Scolytidae) attacking *Pinus ponderosa*, with special emphasis on the western pine beetle, *Dendroctonus*

⁶⁹³ brevicomis. Journal of Chemical Ecology 7, 49–83 (1981).

- ⁶⁹⁴ 45. Evenden, M. L., Whitehouse, C. M. & Sykes, J. Factors influencing flight capacity of the mountain pine
 ⁶⁹⁵ beetle (Coleoptera: Curculionidae: Scolytinae). *Environ Entomol* 43, 187–196 (2014).
- ⁶⁹⁶ 46. Raffa, K. F. & Berryman, A. A. Accumulation of monoterpenes and associated volatiles following
 ⁶⁹⁷ inoculation of grand fir with a fungus transmitted by the fir engraver, *Scolytus ventralis* (Coleoptera:
 ⁶⁹⁸ Scolytidae). *The Canadian Entomologist* **114**, 797–810 (1982).
- ⁶⁹⁹ 47. Anderegg, W. R. L. *et al.* Tree mortality from drought, insects, and their interactions in a changing
 ⁷⁰⁰ climate. New Phytologist **208**, 674–683 (2015).
- 48. Kane, V. R. *et al.* Assessing fire effects on forest spatial structure using a fusion of Landsat and airborne
 LiDAR data in Yosemite National Park. *Remote Sensing of Environment* 151, 89–101 (2014).
- ⁷⁰³ 49. Larson, A. J. & Churchill, D. Tree spatial patterns in fire-frequent forests of western North America,
 ⁷⁰⁴ including mechanisms of pattern formation and implications for designing fuel reduction and restoration
 ⁷⁰⁵ treatments. *Forest Ecology and Management* **267**, 74–92 (2012).
- ⁷⁰⁶ 50. Morris, J. L. *et al.* Managing bark beetle impacts on ecosystems and society: Priority questions to ⁷⁰⁷ motivate future research. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **54**, 750–760 (2017).
- ⁷⁰⁸ 51. Shiklomanov, A. N. *et al.* Enhancing global change experiments through integration of remote-sensing
 ⁷⁰⁹ techniques. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **0**, (2019).
- ⁷¹⁰ 52. Jeronimo, S. M. A. *et al.* Forest structure and pattern vary by climate and landform across active-fire
- ⁷¹¹ landscapes in the montane Sierra Nevada. *Forest Ecology and Management* **437**, 70–86 (2019).
- 53. Roussel, J.-R., Auty, D., De Boissieu, F. & Meador, A. S. lidR: Airborne LiDAR data manipulation and

- visualization for forestry applications. (2019).
- ⁷¹⁴ 54. McDowell, N. *et al.* Mechanisms of plant survival and mortality during drought: Why do some plants
 ⁷¹⁵ survive while others succumb to drought? *New Phytologist* **178**, 719–739 (2008).
- ⁷¹⁶ 55. Seybold, S. J. *et al.* Management of western North American bark beetles with semiochemicals. *Annual*⁷¹⁷ *Review of Entomology* **63**, 407–432 (2018).
- ⁷¹⁸ 56. Fettig, C. J., McKelvey, S. R. & Huber, D. P. W. Nonhost angiosperm volatiles and Verbenone disrupt
 ⁷¹⁹ response of western pine beetle, *Dendroctonus brevicomis* (Coleoptera: Scolytidae), to attractant-baited traps.
 ⁷²⁰ ecen 98, 2041–2048 (2005).
- ⁷²¹ 57. Fettig, C. J., Dabney, C. P., McKelvey, S. R. & Huber, D. P. W. Nonhost angiosperm volatiles and
 ⁷²² verbenone protect individual ponderosa pines from attack by western pine beetle and red turpentine beetle
 ⁷²³ (Coleoptera: Curculionidae, Scolytinae). west j appl for 23, 40–45 (2008).
- ⁷²⁴ 58. Fettig, C. J. *et al.* Efficacy of 'Verbenone Plus' for protecting ponderosa pine trees and stands from
 ⁷²⁵ Dendroctonus brevicomis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) attack in British Columbia and California. J Econ
 ⁷²⁶ Entomol 105, 1668–1680 (2012).
- ⁷²⁷ 59. Oliver, W. W. Is self-thinning in ponderosa pine ruled by *Dendroctonus* bark beetles? in *Forest health* ⁷²⁸ through silviculture: Proceedings of the 1995 National Silviculture Workshop 6 (1995).
- ⁷²⁹ 60. Fettig, C. & McKelvey, S. Resiliency of an Interior Ponderosa Pine Forest to Bark Beetle Infestations
 ⁷³⁰ Following Fuel-Reduction and Forest-Restoration Treatments. *Forests* 5, 153–176 (2014).
- ⁷³¹ 61. Fettig, C. J. & Hilszczański, J. Management strategies for bark beetles in conifer forests. in *Bark Beetles* ⁷³² 555–584 (Elsevier, 2015). doi:10.1016/B978-0-12-417156-5.00014-9.
- 62. Chesson, P. Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics
 31, 343–366 (2000).
- 63. Fricker, G. A. *et al.* More than climate? Predictors of tree canopy height vary with scale in complex
 terrain, Sierra Nevada, CA (USA). *Forest Ecology and Management* 434, 142–153 (2019).
- ⁷³⁷ 64. Ma, S., Concilio, A., Oakley, B., North, M. & Chen, J. Spatial variability in microclimate in a mixed-conifer
 ⁷³⁸ forest before and after thinning and burning treatments. *Forest Ecology and Management* 259, 904–915
 ⁷³⁹ (2010).
- ⁷⁴⁰ 65. Stovall, A. E. L., Shugart, H. & Yang, X. Tree height explains mortality risk during an intense drought.
 ⁷⁴¹ Nature Communications 10, 1–6 (2019).

- 66. Stephenson, N. L. & Das, A. J. Height-related changes in forest composition explain increasing tree 742 mortality with height during an extreme drought. Nature Communications 11, 3402 (2020). 743
- 67. Stovall, A. E. L., Shugart, H. H. & Yang, X. Reply to 'Height-related changes in forest composition 744 explain increasing tree mortality with height during an extreme drought'. Nature Communications 11, 3401 745 (2020).746
- 68. Person, H. L. Tree selection by the western pine beetle. *j for* **26**, 564–578 (1928). 747
- 69. Person, H. L. Theory in explanation of the selection of certain trees by the western pine beetle. *i for* **29**. 748 696-699 (1931). 749
- 70. Pile, L. S., Meyer, M. D., Rojas, R., Roe, O. & Smith, M. T. Drought impacts and compounding mortality 750 on forest trees in the southern Sierra Nevada. Forests 10, 237 (2019). 751
- 71. Frey, J., Kovach, K., Stemmler, S. & Koch, B. UAV photogrammetry of forests as a vulnerable process. 752
- A sensitivity analysis for a structure from motion RGB-image pipeline. *Remote Sensing* **10**, 912 (2018). 753
- 72. James, M. R. & Robson, S. Mitigating systematic error in topographic models derived from UAV and 754 ground-based image networks. Earth Surface Processes and Landforms 39, 1413–1420 (2014). 755
- 73. Gray, P. C. et al. A convolutional neural network for detecting sea turtles in drone imagery. Methods in 756 *Ecology and Evolution* **10**, 345–355 (2019). 757
- 74. Millar, C. I., Stephenson, N. L. & Stephens, S. L. Climate change and forests of the future: Managing in 758 the face of uncertainty. *Ecological Applications* 17, 2145–2151 (2007). 759
- 75. Vose, J. M. et al. Forests. In Impacts, Risks, and Adaptation in the United States: The Fourth National 760
- Climate Assessment, Volume II [Reidmiller, D. R., C. W. Avery, D. R. Easterling, K. E. Kunkel, K. L. M. 761
- Lewis, T. K. Maycock, and B. C. Stewart (eds.). 232–267 https://nca2018.globalchange.gov/chapter/6/ 762
- (2018) doi:10.7930/NCA4.2018.CH6. 763

767

- 76. Bedard, W. D. et al. Western pine beetle: Field response to its sex pheromone and a synergistic host 764 terpene, myrcene. Science 164, 1284–1285 (1969). 765
- 77. Byers, J. A. & Wood, D. L. Interspecific inhibition of the response of the bark beetles, *Dendroctonus* 766 brevicomis and Ips paraconfusus, to their pheromones in the field. J Chem Ecol 6, 149–164 (1980).
- 78. Shepherd, W. P., Huber, D. P. W., Seybold, S. J. & Fettig, C. J. Antennal responses of the western pine 768
- beetle, Dendroctonus brevicomis (Coleoptera: Curculionidae), to stem volatiles of its primary host, Pinus 769
- ponderosa, and nine sympatric nonhost angiosperms and conifers. Chemoecology 17, 209–221 (2007). 770

- 771 79. DJI. Zenmuse X3 Creativity Unleashed. DJI Official https://www.dji.com/zenmuse-x3/info (2015).
- 80. Micasense. MicaSense. https://support.micasense.com/hc/en-us/articles/215261448-RedEdge-UserManual-PDF-Download- (2015).
- 81. DJI. DJI The World Leader in Camera Drones/Quadcopters for Aerial Photography. DJI Official
 https://www.dji.com/matrice100/info (2015).
- 82. Wyngaard, J. *et al.* Emergent challenges for science sUAS data management: Fairness through community
 engagement and best practices development. *Remote Sensing* 11, 1797 (2019).
- 83. Rouse, W., Haas, R. H., Deering, W. & Schell, J. A. Monitoring the vernal advancement and retrogradation
 (green wave effect) of natural vegetation. (1973).
- ⁷⁸⁰ 84. DronesMadeEasy. Map Pilot for DJI on iOS. *App Store* https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/map-pilot-for⁷⁸¹ dji/id1014765000?mt=8 (2018).
- ⁷⁸² 85. Farr, T. G. et al. The shuttle radar topography mission. Reviews of Geophysics 45, (2007).
- ⁷⁸³ 86. Zhang, W. *et al.* An easy-to-use airborne LiDAR data filtering method based on cloth simulation. *Remote*⁷⁸⁴ Sensing 8, 501 (2016).
- ⁷⁸⁵ 87. Hijmans, R. J. et al. Raster: Geographic data analysis and modeling. (2019).
- ⁷⁸⁶ 88. Gitelson, A. & Merzlyak, M. N. Spectral reflectance changes associated with autumn senescence of
 ⁷⁸⁷ Aesculus hippocastanum L. And Acer platanoides L. Leaves. Spectral features and relation to chlorophyll
 ⁷⁸⁸ estimation. Journal of Plant Physiology 143, 286–292 (1994).
- ⁷⁸⁹ 89. Coops, N. C., Johnson, M., Wulder, M. A. & White, J. C. Assessment of QuickBird high spatial resolution
 ⁷⁹⁰ imagery to detect red attack damage due to mountain pine beetle infestation. *Remote Sensing of Environment*⁷⁹¹ 103, 67–80 (2006).
- ⁷⁹² 90. Clevers, J. G. P. W. & Gitelson, A. A. Remote estimation of crop and grass chlorophyll and nitrogen
 ⁷⁹³ content using red-edge bands on Sentinel-2 and -3. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*⁷⁹⁴ *Geoinformation* 23, 344–351 (2013).
- ⁷⁹⁵ 91. Li, W., Guo, Q., Jakubowski, M. K. & Kelly, M. A new method for segmenting individual trees from the
 ⁷⁹⁶ LiDAR point cloud. *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing* 78, 75–84 (2012).
- ⁷⁹⁷ 92. Jakubowski, M. K., Li, W., Guo, Q. & Kelly, M. Delineating individual trees from LiDAR data: A ⁷⁹⁸ comparison of vector- and raster-based segmentation approaches. *Remote Sensing* **5**, 4163–4186 (2013).

- ⁷⁹⁹ 93. Shin, P., Sankey, T., Moore, M. & Thode, A. Evaluating unmanned aerial vehicle images for estimating
 ⁸⁰⁰ forest canopy fuels in a ponderosa pine stand. *Remote Sensing* 10, 1266 (2018).
- ⁸⁰¹ 94. Roussel, J.-R. lidRplugins: Extra functions and algorithms for lidR package. (2019).
- ⁸⁰² 95. Eysn, L. *et al.* A benchmark of LiDAR-based single tree detection methods using heterogeneous forest
 ⁸⁰³ data from the alpine space. *Forests* 6, 1721–1747 (2015).
- 96. Vega, C. et al. PTrees: A point-based approach to forest tree extraction from LiDAR data. International
- Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation 33, 98–108 (2014).
- ⁸⁰⁶ 97. Plowright, A. ForestTools: Analyzing remotely sensed forest data. (2018).
- 98. Pau, G., Fuchs, F., Sklyar, O., Boutros, M. & Huber, W. EBImage: An R package for image processing
 with applications to cellular phenotypes. *Bioinformatics* 26, 979–981 (2010).
- ⁸⁰⁹ 99. Meyer, F. & Beucher, S. Morphological segmentation. Journal of Visual Communication and Image
 ⁸¹⁰ Representation 1, 21–46 (1990).
- ⁸¹¹ 100. Hunziker, P. Velox: Fast raster manipulation and extraction. (2017).
- ⁸¹² 101. Kuhn, M. Building predictive models in R using the caret package. *Journal of Statistical Software* **28**, ⁸¹³ 1–26 (2008).
- ⁸¹⁴ 102. Wang, Y. *et al.* Is field-measured tree height as reliable as believed A comparison study of tree height
 ⁸¹⁵ estimates from field measurement, airborne laser scanning and terrestrial laser scanning in a boreal forest.
 ⁸¹⁶ ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 147, 132–145 (2019).
- ⁸¹⁷ 103. Stephenson, N. Actual evapotranspiration and deficit: Biologically meaningful correlates of vegetation
 ⁸¹⁸ distribution across spatial scales. *Journal of Biogeography* 25, 855–870 (1998).
- ⁸¹⁹ 104. Flint, L. E., Flint, A. L., Thorne, J. H. & Boynton, R. Fine-scale hydrologic modeling for regional
 ⁸²⁰ landscape applications: The California Basin Characterization Model development and performance. *Ecological*⁸²¹ Processes 2, 25 (2013).
- ⁸²² 105. Millar, C. I. *et al.* Forest mortality in high-elevation whitebark pine (*Pinus albicaulis*) forests of eastern
 ⁸²³ California, USA: Influence of environmental context, bark beetles, climatic water deficit, and warming.
 ⁸²⁴ Canadian Journal of Forest Research 42, 749–765 (2012).
- ⁸²⁵ 106. Baldwin, B. G. *et al.* Species richness and endemism in the native flora of California. *American Journal* ⁸²⁶ of Botany **104**, 487–501 (2017).

- ⁸²⁷ 107. Bürkner, P.-C. brms: An *R* package for bayesian multilevel models using *Stan. Journal of Statistical*⁸²⁸ Software 80, 1–28 (2017).
- ⁸²⁹ 108. Hoffman, M. D. & Gelman, A. The No-U-Turn Sampler: Adaptively setting path lengths in Hamiltonian
 ⁸³⁰ Monte Carlo. Journal of Machine Learning Research 15, 31 (2014).
- ⁸³¹ 109. Carpenter, B. *et al.* Stan: A Probabilistic Programming Language. Journal of Statistical Software 76,
 ⁸³² 1–32 (2017).
- ⁸³³ 110. Brooks, S. P. & Gelman, A. General methods for monitoring convergence of iterative simulations.
 ⁸³⁴ Journal of Computational and Graphical Statistics 7, 434 (1998).
- ⁸³⁵ 111. Gabry, J., Simpson, D., Vehtari, A., Betancourt, M. & Gelman, A. Visualization in Bayesian workflow.
 ⁸³⁶ Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series A (Statistics in Society) 182, 389–402 (2019).
- ⁸³⁷ 112. Koontz, M. J., Latimer, A. M., Mortenson, L. A., Fettig, C. J. & North, M. P. Drone-derived data
 ⁸³⁸ supporting "Cross-scale interaction of host tree size and climatic water deficit governs bark beetle-induced
 ⁸³⁹ tree mortality". (2020) doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/3CWF9.
- ⁸⁴⁰ 113. Baldwin, B. G. *et al.* Master spatial file for native California vascular plants used by Baldwin et al.
 ⁸⁴¹ (2017 Amer. J. Bot.). *American Journal of Botany* 3 (2017).
- ⁸⁴² 114. R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. (R Foundation for Statistical
 ⁸⁴³ Computing, 2018).
- ⁸⁴⁴ 115. Koontz, M. J., Latimer, A. M., Mortenson, L. A., Fettig, C. J. & North, M. P. Local-structure-wpb⁸⁴⁵ severity. (2019) doi:10.17605/OSF.IO/WPK5Z.