1 **Title:** Phylogeny can Inform Animal Model Development for Both Inherited and

- 2 Induced Conditions: Duchenne's Muscular Dystrophy (DMD) and Fetal Alcohol
- 3 Spectrum Disorders (FASD).

4	Autho	ors: Mason B. Meers ^{*1} , Nora Demers ² , Audra Hewett ^{3,4} , Dakota Sorrelle ³
5	1.	Department of Biology, College of Natural & Health Sciences, The University of
6		Tampa, 401 Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33606-1490
7		• Phone: 813-257-3655
8		• Fax: 813-258-7881
9		• E-mail: <u>mmeers@ut.edu</u>
10	2.	Department of Biological Sciences, College of Arts & Sciences, Florida Gulf
11		Coast University, 10501 FGCU Blvd., Fort Myers, FL 33965
12		• Phone: 239-590-7211
13		• Fax: 239-590-7200
14		• E-mail: <u>ndemers@fgcu.edu</u>
15	3.	(Undergraduate Student) Department of Biology, College of Natural & Health
16		Sciences, The University of Tampa, 401 W. Kennedy Blvd., Tampa, FL 33606-
17		1490
18		• E-mail (Hewett): <u>drahewett292@gmail.com</u>
19		• E-mail (Sorrell): <u>Dakota.sorrelle@spartans.ut.edu</u>
20	4.	Present Address: - Next Generation Chiropractic, 8390 Champions Gate Blvd.,
21		Suite 108, Champions Gate, FL 33896-8311

22 * Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

1 Abstract

2 The use of animal models in research on human and veterinary diseases and disorders is 3 retracting, though it is likely to remain critical for decades. In light of increasing 4 regulation and expectations of judicious use of animal subjects, we examine the idea that 5 the use of animal models can be guided by phylogenetic relationships and modern 6 evolutionary and cladistic analyses. Given that inherited disorders, and indeed, even the 7 developmental and physiological responses to non-inherited conditions, are subject to 8 evolutionary forces, it follows that the observed differences in model organisms are the 9 products of evolutionary divergence. Understanding that divergence has the potential to 10 elucidate which taxa are most likely to exhibit any given symptom or manifest a reaction 11 in a broadly predictable fashion. We examine two case studies, one the inherited disorder 12 Duchenne's Muscular Dystrophy, and the other an entirely environmentally induced 13 problem, Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder, or Fetal Alcohol Syndrome. Both case 14 studies reveal symptoms are largely congruent with phylogeny, suggesting relatively 15 conservative evolution of developmental pathways. It follows that it is possible to 16 characterize the manifestation of symptoms or dysmorphologies to broad phylogenetic 17 groups. These data can then be used to inform research into possible treatments based on 18 molecular genetic techniques sourced from unaffected taxa or even provide an 19 evolutionary rationale for maximizing ethical decisions in the use and development of 20 animal models in biomedical research. We argue that the technique should become 21 standard practice in the development of animal models.

1 **Keywords:** phylogeny, model animals, evolutionary medicine, Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

2 (DMD), Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorders (FASD), Fetal Alcohol Syndrome (FAS)

3 Introduction

4 The utility of animal models in biomedical research has been questioned by 5 researchers across a broad range of fields (Johnson et al. 1999, Hegen et al. 2008, Wall & 6 Shani 2008, Nestler & Hyman 2010, Pound, 2018), based on the high failure rate of 7 animal models to successfully predict therapeutic results in target species (Wall & Shani 8 2008), or based on questions of validity more generally (Nestler & Hyman 2010, Pound 9 2018). By their very nature, animal models represent evolutionary lineages that have 10 been divergent from their target species (typically our own or those of veterinary 11 interests) for millions to hundreds of millions of years. Thus the premise that responses 12 might yield adequate predictions of the target species is predicated on the conservation of 13 not just genes, but of homologous processes including differential splicing, 14 developmental cascades, and more. Pound and Ritskes-Hoitinga (2018), for example, 15 conclude that failure in animal model development is predominantly due to species-level 16 differences between model organisms and their target species, though Willmann et al 17 (2009) are more optimistic about the value of existing models. 18 It remains unclear, however, if the predominance of failure is an intrinsic problem 19 or one that's due to the haphazard nature of developing animal models. Indeed, animal 20 models for diseases and genetic disorders have proliferated across taxa so broadly as to 21 seem nearly random. Duchenne's Muscular Dystrophy (DMD), for example, has no 22 fewer than 13 species used as model organisms due in part to such things as accidental 23 discoveries of putatively homologous conditions (e.g., in several breeds of domestic dog).

For Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD) numerous species have been used in
 experimental contexts to assess the effects of ethanol exposure during development,
 ranging from fruit flies to Macaques, and similar situations exist for many other diseases
 and conditions.

5

6 In recent years it has become increasingly clear that the complexity with which 7 developmental and biochemical pathways ultimately produce normal- and 8 dysmorphologies (physical or physiological) in the phenotype is simply unaccounted for 9 in typical model animal research (Richtsmeier et al. 2000), and may be the cause of many 10 failures during clinical trials (Wall & Shani 2008). Much research on dysmorphology is 11 framed in the context of clarifying the roles of individual genes or molecules, though as 12 Reeves and colleagues have argued in the case of Down Syndrome, the ultimate 13 phenotypic expression is likely the result of genetic, environmental, and stochastic 14 influences (Reeves, et al. 2001). More importantly, given that any one gene product may 15 interact with numerous other gene products in the development of phenotype, mutations 16 in one gene product may have wide ranging effects on phenotype (Richtsmeier, et al. 17 2000), particularly amongst taxa that have been divergent for millions of years, 18 accumulating autapomorphic mutations in interacting gene products. In other words, 19 while a gene of interest (e.g., for Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy) may be homologous 20 amongst model organisms, not only has this gene itself been subject to mutation and 21 divergence for tens of millions of years since its last common ancestor with a model, but 22 all of the genes with which it interacts have been as well. The result likely manifests

itself in the inconsistent phenotypic response to insults seen in animal model studies (see
 (Richtsmeier et al. 2000).

3

4 An ideal heuristic animal model for disease would need to not only possess the 5 causal factor for the disease (e.g., a mutation), but also homologous and unaltered genes 6 for every gene that interacts with that cause. As these conditions are unlikely to be met in 7 any model other than conspecifics, sister taxa logically make the next best possible model 8 organism, which in the case of humans is of course the chimpanzee (Lockwood et al. 9 2004, Uddin et al. 2004), so presenting numerous ethical concerns and difficulties 10 (Knight 2008). But the choice of appropriate animal model cannot rest upon which 11 organism is most likely to have the most conserved developmental pathways, as the 12 answer would always be the same for each target species, but rather should be one of 13 determining which of the available animal models conserves pathways that are of use in 14 solving particular problems. Willmann et al (2009) provide an exceptional review of 15 several DMD mammalian models that approaches this goal by providing a comparative 16 analysis of symptoms across models, though not explicitly in an evolutionary context. If 17 we can assess to what extent the manifestations found in model organisms are likely to be 18 homologous, then we may better predict which model organisms might make the best 19 positive or negative models for specific traits or symptoms.

In any disease process, the response of the body to the disease is a product of evolution, with the diversity of responses across taxa reflective of the time since the diversification of those taxa. Shared, homologous responses to disease were discussed by Charles Darwin (Darwin 1871) in the context of non-human primate responses to

1	medications originally developed for humans. In so far as medical treatments for humans
2	result in comparable responses in non-humans, successful treatment indicates that the
3	affected systems evolved their relevant functional structures or physiology before the two
4	taxa diverged. That is, the treatment has the same effect on the non-human system
5	because the system affected is primitive to both taxa. It follows then that divergence in
6	systems affected by disease should logically result in divergence of symptoms or
7	manifestations of the disease and possibly in responses to treatment, likely at least part of
8	the cause of failures in animal testing of medicines.
9	We explore the feasibility of using the Cladistic methodology known as character
10	optimization, or trait mapping, to examine the evolution of a genetic disorder, Duchenne
11	Muscular Dystrophy (DMD), as well as the non-inherited insult to development known as
12	Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD)
13	
14	Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy (DMD)
15	
16	Muscular dystrophy is a term that encompasses several hereditary diseases
17	characterized primarily by progressive weakness and degeneration of skeletal muscle.
18	The most common form, Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy has been known in humans
19	since the 19 th Century, and is known to be inherited as an X-linked recessive trait that
20	affects approximately one in every three thousand males worldwide (Ozawa et al. 1998).
21	In 1987, the gene's protein product was identified and termed dystrophin (
22	(Hoffman et al. 1987). Briefly, dystrophin provides structure, thus keeping muscle cells
23	intact. Without dystrophin, the membranes become unstable and permeable to substances

1	that would not ordinarily enter the cells (Ozawa et al. 1998). The onset of DMD is
2	characterized by progressive muscle wasting and increased fat and connective tissue
3	replacement of degenerated muscle fibers in humans. Symptoms typically begin to appear
4	between the ages of two and five, with most affected males confined to a wheelchair by
5	age twelve and rarely living past their twenties (Emery 1998, Willmann et al. 2009).
6	
7	
8	Fetal Alcohol Spectrum Disorder (FASD)
9	
10	FASD, an umbrella term commonly used to describe the effects of prenatal
11	ethanol exposure (including the historic term Fetal Alcohol Syndrome), results in an
12	alcohol induced dysmorphology characterized by growth deficiencies, CNS
13	abnormalities, and craniofacial changes, but has no known genetic component (Astley &
14	Clarren 2000). As a result, the specific insult to the developmental system is identical in
15	all model organisms (though variations in dosage have been the subject of many
16	experiments).
17	As potentially any animal could be used as a model for fetal alcohol exposure,
18	FASD represents a unique case of a common, medically relevant disorder caused solely
19	by an environmentally induced insult to the developmental program. As a result,
20	divergence in symptomatic traits among model organisms can be inferred to reflect
21	otherwise cryptic divergence in the developmental and regulatory genome. Placing
22	animal models into their proper phylogenetic context allows the opportunity for greater
23	understanding of the differential effects of alcohol as a product of the independent

used in studying FASD range from the common fruit fly (McClure et al. 2010) to rhesus
monkeys (Clarren 1999). Thus the only effects of alcohol on development in Drosophila
that may be relevant to humans are those that affect homologous genes and
developmental pathways that can be traced back nearly 600 million years to the
Cambrian explosion.
In order to examine the utility of phylogenetic data in providing insight into both
inherited genetic conditions and non-inherited insults during development, we place two
well-studied animal model systems in a phylogenetic context for the first time.
Consequently, we test the hypotheses that identified symptoms amongst animal models
and humans may be homologous at different levels within the phylogeny of animals that
may be inferred with graded levels of confidence using phylogenetic methods.
Methods
We conducted a comprehensive search of available databases for literature on
DMD and FASD (and related terms) in model animals, ultimately yielding experimental
or clinical papers published since 1973, describing the symptoms of these conditions in
humans and animal models. We found 47 papers that provide novel descriptions of
DMD symptoms in 13 model organisms. While for FASD, we found 42 publications that
describe phenotypic manifestations in twelve non-human animals. In addition, symptoms

of each condition in humans were identified in summary publications for DMD and for
 FASD.

3 The analysis of character distribution in phylogeny is based on the assumption that the characters are independent of one another (Shaffer 1986, Shaffer & Voss 1996), 4 5 though that is often not the case (Wilkinson 1995). The use of characters that represent 6 character complexes (also called functional complexes) in phylogenetic analysis has the 7 effect of over-representing what might otherwise be singular underlying causes. 8 Nonetheless, these can be used in phylogenetic analyses when they are treated as single 9 units (Kluge 1989, Lauder 1990). Character complexes may be identified a priori based 10 upon knowledge of the biology of the traits, or through analysis of character correlation 11 within a phylogenetic framework (Emerson & Hastings 1998, Wilkinson 1995). The 12 potential for over-representation of characters makes it important to identify possible 13 complexes in any study. In the present case, for example, FASD researchers have cited 14 "reduced intelligence" in humans and model organisms (Streissguth 1986, Meyer & Riley 15 1986), while others have noted "reduced neocortex size" in some of the same taxa 16 (Valenzuela, et al. 2012). As the former is almost undoubtedly a functional result of the 17 latter, these can reasonably be inferred to represent a biological character complex a 18 *priori*. Similarly, redundancies were eliminated based on descriptions of interpreted as 19 synonymous when they seemed to differ only qualitatively (e.g., cleft lip and cleft palate) 20 or in word choice (e.g., infra-nasal depression and philtrum in FASD). As a result, we 21 reduced the total characters found for DMD to 39 non-redundant symptomatic traits or 22 characters included in subsequent work, while 140 subtly unique descriptions of FASD 23 symptoms were reduced to 57 non-redundant characters. Given the sequence of

1 developmental events, we regard neurological characters of FASD as likely primary 2 causes of many non-neurological characters, though clear correlations were difficult to 3 substantiate in all cases. As a result, characters were divided into neurological and non-4 neurological traits and analyzed for biological correlation only within these groupings. 5 Character correlations across these groupings may remain unidentified in the analyses. 6 A character-taxon matrix was constructed for each test (DMD and FASD), 7 wherein the symptomatic traits were arbitrarily treated as derived character states, 8 wildtype conditions treated as primitive (unaffected), and characters with no data for any 9 given species were treated as unknowns. The amount of data available for each species 10 was not equal; absence of reported symptoms was not presumed to indicate evidence of 11 the absence of the symptoms, with that designation restricted to circumstances where 12 researchers offered specific affirmation that a symptom was absent in a particular taxon. 13 Traits found among derived taxa that could not possibly manifest in more primitive taxa 14 due to absent homologous structures (e.g., forebrain in arthropods, ptosis in fish, etc.) 15 were assigned a value of "absent" in taxa that could not possibly possess them. 16 17 Characters were optimized onto abbreviated cladograms of animals (Price et al. 18 2005, Peterson et al. 2008, Lockwood et al. 2004, Chiari et al. 2012), using Mesquite 19 (Maddison & Maddison 2011) which uses maximum parsimony in character inference for 20 taxa with unknown character states and the AccTran algorithm (favoring reversals over 21 convergences when the choices are equally parsimonious (Farris 1970, Wiley et al.

22 1991). Root node values were coded as unknown.

1	Characters absent in the analyses were inferred using maximum parsimony and
2	characterized following the terminology associated with the Extant Phylogenetic Bracket
3	(EPB) method of Witmer (Witmer 1995) for the inference of unpreserved attributes. The
4	use of maximum parsimony often results in all traits inferred to be primitive in studies
5	including taxa with unknown character states (Maddison & Maddison 2011), but fails to
6	make explicit the confidence with which those inferences can be made, a shortcoming
7	that can be corrected following EPB terminology. Consequently, a Level 1 inference is
8	one which is supported by phylogenetic information in bracketing sister taxa for any
9	taxon with an unknown character state (Level 1' in Witmer 1995). That is, traits can be
10	inferred with highest confidence when unambiguously affirmed by phylogeny. Level 2
11	inferences are those which are supported only by phylogenetic information provided by a
12	single sister taxon, and are thus ambiguous with regard to ancestral character states,
13	clearly a lower level of confidence (Witmer 1995). In the present study, symptomatic
14	traits were optimized to the lowest node where a Level 1 inference could be made.
15	Remaining traits could be inferred with Level 2 confidence, a more speculative inference,
16	in taxa falling outside of the clade where Level 1 inferences were made.
17	
18	Results and Discussion

Results and Discussion

20 Analyses of both DMD and FASD datasets resulted in similar findings, with a minority of symptoms definitively identified as present or absent in animal models for 21 22 both cases, but providing distributions that allow for Level 1 inferences (highest confidence based on unambiguous phylogeny) for the largest number of unknown 23

1 characters (37.9% and 36.2% respectively; see Table 1). This illustrates the fact that a

2 large number of model organisms have been incompletely surveyed for symptoms and

3 suggests they are not being maximally utilized.

4

5 **Table 1.** Summary of Documented and Inferred Symptomatic Traits for DMD and FASD.

6 In both cases, a majority of likely symptoms remain undocumented, but more than 30%

7 of unknown character states are strongly supported by phylogeny in both studies.

8

	DMD	FASD
Species	13	13
Symptoms	39	57
Total Character States	507	741
Characters Observed	125 (24.7%)	209 (28.2%)
Level 1 Inferences	161 (31.8%)	268 (36.2%)
Level 2 Inferences	221 (43.6%)	264 (35.6%)

- 9
- 10

11 DMD Results

13	Of the nearly 400 inferred character states in the analysis of DMD, 192 can be
14	inferred with the highest, Level 1, confidence based on the fact that the evidence from
15	phylogeny unambiguously suggests that these characters should be present in cases where
16	they remain undocumented. Most of these Level 1 inferred character states map to the
17	level of Mammalia (see Figure 1), suggesting that the underlying genetic programs for
18	these 23 symptoms are actually tied to Mammalian synapomorphies, or shared-derived
19	characters. Five symptoms seem to characterize Amniote, one is inferred for all

Vertebrates, and several are present in all animals. In addition, five may be unique to
 humans or are untested outside of our species (see Figure 1; Table 2).

3 Research into DMD has made unusually dense use of the dog as an animal model, 4 with at least ten breeds of dogs represented in the research. Interestingly, different breeds 5 of dog do not all present with identical symptoms, though certainly the breeds have not 6 been equally well studied. The Irish Terrier, for example, presents no evidence of fat 7 infiltration of muscle fibers while the other nine breeds all do (analysis not illustrated). 8 Indeed, the symptom appears to characterize all Amniotes, indicating that the absence of 9 fat infiltration in Irish Terriers is unique (autapomorphic), further suggesting that this 10 breed might present useful genetic data not found in other breeds of dogs, or indeed in 11 any other species.

12 Within the Mammalia, autosomal inheritance of DMD is unusual, only being 13 found in the mink (Hegreberg et al. 1975, Hegreberg et al. 1976) and hamster 14 (Homburger et al. 1966), while the condition is x-linked amongst other mammals. The 15 gene is also known to be autosomal in both turkeys (Schmitz & Harper 1975) and 16 chickens (Dominguez-Steglich et al. 1990) as well, suggesting that the primitive 17 condition for DMD is an autosomal pattern of inheritance, rather than x-linked, which has 18 profound implications for studies involving these taxa. Indeed, Becker Muscular 19 Dystrophy (BMD) is known to exhibit autosomal recessive inheritance (Ozawa et al. 20 1998), suggesting that either these animal models may be analogues to DMD, or that 21 DMD itself may have evolved from BMD.

Several other characters (weight gain, gingival overgrowth, ectopic calcifications,
and extended viral-induced papillomas) are only known in dogs and known to be absent

- 1 in humans. While these are likely of limited clinical interest, phylogenetic evidence for
- 2 them is equivocal in other model species that might be used for veterinary research.
- 3



Character Optimization of Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy

5 **Figure 1.** Character Optimization – DMD Symptoms. DMD symptoms are most

6 commonly attributed to Mammals, though there are symptoms which characterize each

- 7 node within the clade of animals studied. Open circles indicate possible loss of a
- 8 character.

Table 2-DMD. Documented and inferred character States for Symptomatic Traits
among DMD Model Animals. Blue line indicates phylogenetically lower level limits of
Level 1 inferences (highest confidence) or Documented symptoms. Key: D =
documented symptom; A = documented absent symptom; 1 = Level 1 inference; 2 =
Level 2 inference. Dogs are treated as a single case, though the condition has been
identified in ten breeds of dog which exhibit variation in some traits (data not shown).

7															
								Man	nmals						
s		de				Amr	iotes								
ode		Cla			Vert	ebrate	es								
Σ		Anim	nals (N	/letazo	oans)										
ma				•									\$		
DMD Ani	Trait		Nematode	Fruit Fly	Zebrafish	Turkey	Chicken	Sheep	Mink	Cat	Dogs	Hamster	Mouse (Dy/d	Mouse (Mdx)	Human
	Abnormal Gait		D	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D	1	1	1	D
	Dystrophin absent		2	D	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D	D
	Skeletal Muscle Fiber Degen.		2	D	1	D	1	1	D	D	D	D	1	D	D
	Cardiac Failure/ Cardiomyopathy		2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	1	1	D
	Arrhythmia		2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
	Calcium Staining on Muscle Fibers		2	2	D	1	1	1	1	D	D	1	1	D	D
	Recessive		2	2	2	D	1	1	D	1	D	1	1	1	D
	Muscle Fibrosus		2	2	2	D	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
	Increased Fat Infiltration of M. Fiber		2	2	2	D	1	1	D	1	D*	1	1	1	D
	Lesions on Muscle Fibers		2	2	2	D	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
	Cell Fiber Shape Variation		2	2	2	D	1	1	D	1	D	D	1	1	D
	Early Progressive Onset		2	2	2	2	2	D	D	D	D	D	1	1	D
	Cardiac Muscle Fiber Degen.		2	D	2	А	2	1	1	1	D	D	1	1	D
	Centrally located Nuclei in Skel Muscle Fibers		2	2	2	2	2	1	D	1	D	D	1	1	D

Presence of Phagocytic Cells	2	2	2	2	2	1	D	1	D	1	1	1	D
X-Linked (non- autosomal)	2	2	2	А	А	1	А	D	D	А	А	D	D
Skel Muscle Fiber Regeneration	2	2	2	А	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
Increased Serum Creatin Kinase	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	D	1	1	D	D
Decreased Respiratory Function	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	D	1	1	1	D
Non-Ambulatory	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	D	1	1	1	D
Decreased M. Movement in Neonates	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	D	1	1	1	D
Reduced Tongue Functionality	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	D	1	1	1	D
Weight Loss	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	1	1	1	D	D
Renal Failure	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	D	1	1	1	1	D
Decreased Serum Creatin Kinase w/ Age	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
Kyphosis and Pelvic Limbs Shift Forward	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
Late Progressive Onset	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
Cognitive Disability	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	D	D
Masticatory Abnormalities and Salivation	2	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D
Weight Gain	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	А
Gingival Overgrowth	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	А
Extended Vira- Induced Papillomas	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	A
Ectopic Calcifications	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	А
Decreased Diameter of Myofibers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	A
Mineralization of Muscle Fibers	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	А	2	2	2	D
Dilation of Sarcoplasmic Reticulum	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
Complex EMG Discharges	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
Retinal Abnormalities	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
Hearing Impairment	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D

3	Within the FASD dataset, 531 characters must be inferred, with 268 inferred with
4	Level 1 Confidence. Of these, the majority of symptoms of FASD also seem to
5	characterize Mammalia (24 of 41 characters that can be inferred at Level 1 Confidence).
6	One trait appears to be inherited at the level of Amniotes, while a single additional trait
7	characterizes Vertebrates. Five traits appear to characterize all animals, though they are
8	inferred in 37% of species studied. Finally, three FASD characters known in humans are
9	unknown in any other species (see figure 2; Table 3).
10	The available literature on FASD is strongly biased in favor of human medicine
11	and mouse models, with these organisms boasting the highest numbers of total
12	documented symptoms (47 and 42 respectively), with ferrets and dogs having the fewest
13	(2 each). A single undocumented trait can be inferred with Level 1 confidence for
14	humans, a reduction in cranial neural crest cells, a trait that cannot be readily studied
15	experimentally. Highest confidence inferences in other species range from none (Fruit
16	fly) to more than 30 previously undocumented symptomatic traits in a number of model
17	species (see Figures 2; Table 3).
18	Highly fundamental symptoms of FASD seem to characterize all or nearly all
19	animals, including microcephaly, reduced body size, and reduced viability. Vertebrates
20	appear to be characterized by a reduction in cranial neural crest cells (Cartwright &
21	Smith, 1995), musculoskeletal, reproductive, circulatory defects, as well as a large
22	number of facial dysmorphologies Mammals, by far the most thoroughly studied, are
23	characterized by a large number of neurological and non-neurological symptomatic traits,

with non-neurological symptomatic traits including many of the classic facial
dysmorphologies as well as non-cranial defects. Within Mammals, sheep, guinea pigs,
mice, macaques, and humans all present autapomorphic (unique) conditions not seen in
other taxa, while primates also present two synapomorphic (shared-derived) traits,
reduction in brain stem development and epicanthic folds (Lebel et al. 2011, Miller
2007), perhaps the most easily recognized phenotype of FASD in some human
populations.

8 A reduction in cranial neural crest cells correlates with a large number of facial 9 dysmorphologies (see Fig. 1 and 2), characterizing all vertebrates. Given the causative 10 role of neural crest cells in the development of numerous facial structures (Johnston 11 1966), it seems likely that these traits may ultimately prove to be a character complex, 12 with the effects on skeletal and soft-tissue structures solely the result of cranial neural 13 crest cell reduction. Indeed, the fact that shortened noses are known in chicken (Ahlgren 14 et al. 2002) and consequently appear to characterize amniotes, suggests that other 15 craniofacial dysmorphologies may simply be more readily identified in mammals but 16 actually characterize larger clades. Other vertebrate characters include primarily mesodermally derived structures, indicating that additional cell lines or developmental 17 18 pathways are affected beyond neural crest cells.

Autapomorphic traits among mammals include a dramatic craniofacial defects
such as aglossia (O'Leary-Moore et al. 2011) as well as comparatively minor defects
(decreased peripheral arterial resistance (Ramadoss et al. 2006, Parnell et al. 2007).
These traits suggest divergence in developmental programming that leaves some taxa
more vulnerable to alcohol insults than others. Note, however, that in the present study

- we did not control for dosage differences across animal model groups, leaving open the
 possibility that these symptoms could simply occur at different threshold levels than seen
 in other taxa, a feature that has been shown to affect embryo viability (Becker & Shibley
 1998, Carvan & Loucks 2004, Oxendine et al. 2006).
- 5







- 7 Figure 2. Character Optimization FASD Symptoms. FASD symptoms are most
- 8 commonly attributed to Mammals, though there are symptoms which characterize each
- 9 node within the clade of animals studied. Open circles indicate loss of a character.
- 10 Neurological characters are listed in blue.

1 **Table 3-FASD.** Documented and Inferred Character States for Symptomatic Traits Among

2 Model FASD Animals. Blue line indicates phylogenetically lower level limits of Level 1

3 inferences (highest confidence) or Documented symptoms. Key: D = documented

4 symptom; A = documented absent symptom; 1 = Level 1 inference; 2 = Level 2 inference.

							Mar	nmals	;						
lro)		de				Amr	niotes	i							
Neu		Cla		Vert	ebrat	es									
/isic			Anin	nals (I	Metaz	zoans)									
Subdiv (Neuro / N	Trait	Species	Fruit Fly	Zebra Fish	Medaka Fish	Chicken	Sheep	Pig	Ferret	Dog	Guinea Pig	Rat	Mouse	Macaque	Human
	Microencephaly		D	1	1	D	A	2	2	2	D	D	D	D	D
	Neuronal Cell Loss		D	1	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D	D
	Cranial Neural Crest Cell Reduction		A	D	1	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1
	Holoprosencephaly		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
	Ventriculomegaly		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
	Optic N. Hypoplasia		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	1	D
S	Optic N. Coloboma		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
rait	Forebrain Hypertrophy		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
atic T	Corpus Callosum Abnormalities		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
E O	Limbic System Dysgenesis		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	D	D	D	D	D
npt	Basal Ganglia Hypoplasia		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Syn	Pituitary Dysgenesis		А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
cal	Reduced Cerebellum		А	2	2	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
ogi	Neuron Dysgenesis		2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	1	D
lo	Glial Dysgenesis		2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	D
Ner	Reduced Astroglial Proliferation		2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	D
	Delayed Myelination		2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	D
	Glial Neuronal		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	А	А	D
	Heterotopia														
	Anencephaly		2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
	Exencephaly		A	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2
	Forebrain Hypoplasia		А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	А	D
	Reduced Brain Stem		А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	D
°Z '	Microcephaly		D	D	D	D	1	1	1	D	D	D	D	D	D

Reduced Body Size	D	D	D	D	А	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
Reduced Viability	D	D	1	D	1	D	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Shortened Palpebral Fissure Length	A	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Micrognathia	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Ear Deformities	А	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Microopthalmia	А	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D	D
Cyclopia	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Eye Deformities	2	D	1	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Impaired Visual Function	2	D	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	1	D	1	D
Heart Dysgenesis	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Axial Musculoskel Dysmorphisms	А	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	D
Spontaneous Abortion	2	2	D	2	1	D	1	1	А	2	D	1	D
Short Rostrum (Nose)	А	2	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Narrow Forehead	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Thin Upper Lip	А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Cleft Lip	А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Smooth Philtrum	А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	D	D
Maxillary Hypoplasia	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Vascular Dysgenesis	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Overly Expanded Pericardium	А	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Append. Musculoskel. Deformities	2	2	2	2	D	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Reduced Liver Size	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	1	D
Urogeneital Deformities	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	D	1	D
Scaphocephaly	А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2
Epicanthic Folds	А	А	А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	D
Ptosis	А	А	А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
Choanal Atresia	А	А	А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2
Aglossia	А	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2
Anopthalmia	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D	2	2
Decreased Peripheral Resistance	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Hypercapnia	2	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Pericardial Edema	А	D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Reduced Skeletal Ossification	A	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	D
Reduced Bone Strength	А	2	2	2	D	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2

1	Overall, the application of cladistic character optimization to the study of animal models
2	identifies phenomena that are characteristic of evolutionary studies more generally, features such
3	as evolutionary convergences, reversals, synapomorphic and autapomorphic characters, only in
4	the context of disease or inherited disorders. The utility of these data is largely unexplored,
5	though there is a long-standing discussion about the failure rate of therapies developed with
6	animal models that has been attributed to species-specific (i.e., evolutionary) differences between
7	model and target (Pound 2018). This research suggests that some symptoms manifest due to
8	homology in model animals, which may improve response to treatments.
9	Evolutionary reversals and convergences represent indications of evolutionary solutions
10	to symptoms in both DMD and FASD. For example, sheep fail to exhibit reduced brain size
11	(microencephaly) and reduced body size; (Ramadoss et al. 2006), otherwise both are
12	synapomoprhies for all animals, potentially providing the opportunity to develop negative animal
13	models for given symptoms (see Rand 2008). Similarly, guinea pigs apparently lack
14	spontaneous abortion (Gibson et al. 2000) otherwise demonstrated across Vertebrates (see Table
15	1, Fig. 2). Likewise, macaques exhibit conditions apparently homologous to the human reaction,
16	except that they do not experience forebrain hypoplasia (Lebel et al. 2011, Sulik et al. 1981), nor
17	do they exhibit glial neuronal heterotopia (Guerri et al. 2001, Stromland & Dolores 2002).
18	Assuming these reports are accurate, macaques then represent a natural negative model for at
19	least these symptoms of fetal alcohol exposure. A better understanding of the biology of these
20	reversals may prove beneficial to the development of therapeutic techniques.
21	Convergent evolution is also apparent among animal models examined to date.
22	Specifically, humans and mice may both exhibit forebrain hypoplasia in FASD (Lebel et al.
23	2011, Sulik et al. 1981), while humans and rats exhibit glial heterotopia (Guerri et al. 2001,

Stromland & Dolores 2002). Both conditions are documented as absent in macaques and other
 close mammalian relatives (see Table 1).

3 Autapomorphic responses in humans may not actually be unique. Descriptions of the 4 absence of characters in model animals are irregular at best, with few papers providing clear 5 affirmations of absence in either DMD or FASD. In DMD, for example, hearing impairment is 6 only known to characterize humans, but we found no evidence that this was examined in any 7 model species. Similarly, studies of FASD in model animals vary in both timing and dosage of 8 ethanol exposure, and resulting symptoms which may appear unique, may only be unique 9 because of specific experimental conditions carried out in those studies. Likewise, apparently 10 unique characters in humans may either be unique to our species or may be exposure to 11 additional non-alcoholic teratogens, such as illicit drugs and tobacco (Abel 1995, Becker & 12 Shibley 1998), which cannot be controlled in human studies, and suggests caution in confidence levels of these traits. 13

14 The use of parsimony in reconstruction of ancestral character states and infer 15 undocumented symptoms in model organisms is only one possible tool for phylogenetic 16 inference. Indeed, there is significant recent debate on whether parsimony is the most suitable 17 option for such inferences (Wright & Hillis 2014, Sansom et al. 2018) with though it seems that 18 parsimony is appropriate for morphological characters (Goloboff et al. 2018). In any case, a 19 similar analysis of molecular or other data from model organisms making use of Bayesian or 20 other probability-based models of character evolution could also be expected to provide similar 21 insights. The use of a phylogenetically rigorous comparative method has long been shown to 22 provide insights that a historical approaches to biology fail to identify (Harvey & Pagel, 1991) 23 and we recommend any approach to animal model evaluation that includes evolutionary history.

2 Conclusions

3

While excellent reviews of model animals exist for many biomedical issues, (Driscoll et al. 1990, Pound 2018, Collins & Morgan 2003, Willmann et al. 2009), none have previously examined symptoms or traits for phylogenetic correlations. Placing symptoms of diseases and disorders into their proper evolutionary (phylogenetic) context clarifies existing shortcomings in our understanding of the diversification of developmental systems affected. Understanding this diversification can elucidate both potential advantages and disadvantages among possible animal models and may provide insight into developmental pathways or disease progression.

11 The case studies used here were chosen to test the utility of studying an inherited disorder 12 (DMD) as well as the responses to a developmental insult, exposure to ethanol (FASD). The 13 data for DMD suggest that this method of analysis provides evidence of evolution of the 14 symptoms of DMD across a diverse array of species. We suggest that the symptoms may reflect 15 the large number of systems with which the dystrophin molecule interacts, and that the 16 differential symptoms are reflective of evolutionary diversification in those systems. The case of 17 fetal alcohol exposure was chosen because it represents an identical insult to development in all 18 species. As a result, deviations in the response to that insult are necessarily reflective of divergence in developmental pathways amongst animals studied. This may be quite similar to a 19 20 case where a mutation in one gene like dystrophin can have wide ranging effects because of the 21 numerous interactions of the dystrophin molecule. While it is unsurprising that the majority of 22 traits map to the common ancestor of mammals in both cases, it is nonetheless highly 23 informative to be able to infer unpreserved symptoms in species that have not been examined for

1	all traits. Indeed, this approach has identified hundreds of untested hypotheses within animal
2	models for both DMD and FASD and could be applied to numerous other diseases and
3	conditions. The result of such an application would be improved, systematic analysis of animal
4	models and the identification of innumerable untested hypotheses, which together may increase
5	the translation of model animal research. We look forward to improvements in systematic
6	collection of animal model symptom data that may confirm or refute the inferences articulated
7	above.
8	
9	Acknowledgments
10	
11	The authors thank D. B. Weishampel for discussions and comments on a previous draft of this
12	manuscript. We thank S. von Brueckwitz, A. Chinea, and V. Suslovitch for assistance with data
13	collection and preliminary analyses.
14	
15	References
16	Abel, E. 1995. An update on incidence of FAS: FAS is not an equal opportunity birth defect.
17	Neurotoxicology and Teratology 17: 437-443.
18	Abel, E.L. & J.H. Hannigan. 1995. Maternal Risk Factors in Fetal Alcohol Syndrome:
19	Provocative and Permissive Influences. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 17: 445-462.
20	Ahlgren, S., Thakur, V. and M. Bronner-Fraser. 2002. Sonic hedgehog rescues cranial neural
21	crest from cell death induced by ethanol exposure. Proceedings of the National Academy
22	of Sciences 99: 10476-10481.
23	Astley, S.J. 2011. Canadian palpebral fissure length growth charts reflect a good fit for two
24	school and FASD clinic-based US populations. Canadian Journal of Clinical
25	<i>Pharmacology</i> 18(2): e231-e241.

1	Astley, S.J., Bailey, D., Talbot, C. and S.K. Clarren. 2000. Fetal alcohol syndrome (FAS)
2	primary prevention through FAS diagnosis: II. A comprehensive profile of 80 birth
3	mothers of children with FAS. Alcohol and Alcoholism 35(5): 509-519.
4	Astley, S.J. and S. Clarren. 2000. Diagnosing the Full Spectrum of Fetal Alcohol-Exposed
5	Individuals: Introducing the 4-Digit Diagnostic Code. Alcohol and Alcoholism 35: 400-
6	410.
7	Becker, H.C., Diaz-Granados, J. and C.L. Randall. 1996. Teratogenic actions of ethanol in the
8	mouse: A minireview. Pharmacology Biochemistry and Behavior 55: 501-513.
9	Becker, S. and I. Shibley. 1998. Teratogenicity of ethanol in different chicken strains. Alcohol
10	and Alcoholism 33: 457-464.
11	Bilotta, J., Barnett, J.A., Hancock, L. and S. Saszik. 2004. Ethanol exposure alters zebrafish
12	development: a novel model of fetal alcohol syndrome. Neurotoxicology and Teratology
13	26(6): 737-743.
14	Cartwright, M.M. and S.M. Smith. 1995. Increased Cell Death and Reduced Neural Crest Cell
15	Numbers in Ethanol-Exposed Embryos: Partial Basis for the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome
16	Phenotype. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research 19(2): 378-386.
17	Carvan, M.J. and E. Loucks. 2004. Strain-Dependent Effects of Developmental Ethanol
18	Exposure in Zebrafish. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 26: 745-755.
19	Carvan, M.J., Loucks, E., Weber, D.N. and F.E. Williams. 2004. Ethanol effects on the
20	developing zebrafish: neurobehavior and skeletal morphogenesis. Neurotoxicology and
21	teratology 26(6): 757-768.
22	Chiari, Y., Cahais, V., Galtier, N., and F. Delsuc. 2012. Phylogenomic analyses support the
23	position of turtles as the sister group of birds and crocodiles (Archosauria). BMC Biology
24	10: 65 https://doi.org/10.1186.
25	Clarren, S.K., 1999. Fetal alcohol syndrome: changes in craniofacial form with age, cognition,
26	and timing of ethanol exposure in the macaque. <i>Teratology</i> 59: 163-172.

1	Collins, C.A. and J.E. Morgan. 2003. Duchenne's muscular dystrophy: animal models used to
2	investigate pathogenesis and develop therapeutic strategies. International Journal of
3	Experimental Pathology 84: 165-172.
4	Darwin, C.R. 1871. The Descent of Man, and Selection in Relation to Sex. John Murray, London,
5	United Kingdom.
6	Dominguez-Steglich, M., Meng, G., Bettecken, T., Müller, C.R., and M. Schmid. 1990. The
7	dystrophin gene is autosomally located on a microchromosome in chicken Genomics
8	8(3): 536-540.
9	Driscoll, C.D., Streissguth, A.P. and E.P. Riley. 1990. Prenatal Alcohol Exposure: Comparability
10	of Effects in Humans and Animal Models. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 12: 231-237.
11	Emerson, S.B. and P.A. Hastings. 1998. Morphological correlations in evolution: Consequences
12	for phylogenetic analysis. The Quarterly Review of Biology 73(2): 141-162.
13	Farris, J.S., 1970. Methods of computing Wagner trees. Systematic Zoology 19: 83-92.
14	Gibson, M.A.S., Butters, N.S., Reynolds, J.N. and J.F. Brien. 2000. Effects of chronic prenatal
15	ethanol exposure on locomotor activity, and hippocampal weight, neurons, and nitric
16	oxide synthase activity of the young postnatal guinea pig. Neurotoxicology and
17	<i>Teratology</i> 22(2): 183-192.
18	Gieseler, K., Bessou, C. and L. Ségalat. 1999. Dystrobrevin- and dystrophin-like mutants display
19	similar phenotypes in the nematode Caenorhabditis elegans. Neurogenetics 2: 87-90.
20	Gil-Mohapel, J., Boehme, F., Kainer, L. and B.R. Christie. 2010. Hippocampal cell loss and
21	neurogenesis after fetal alcohol exposure: insights from different rodent models. Brain
22	Research Reviews 64(2): 283-303.
23	Goloboff, P.A., Torres, A. and J.S. Arias. 2018. Weighted parsimony outperforms other methods
24	of phylogenetic inference under models appropriate for morphology. <i>Cladistics</i> 34(4):
25	407-437.
26	Guerri, C., Pascual, M. and J. Renau-Piqueras. 2001. Glia and Fetal Alcohol Syndrome.
27	Neurotoxicology 22: 593-599.

1	Harris, S., Wilce, P. and K. Bedi. 2000. Exposure of rats to a high but not low dose of ethanol
2	during early postnatal life increases the rate of loss of optic nerve axons and decreases the
3	rate of myelination. Journal of Anatomy 197: 477-485.
4	Harvey, P.H. and M.D. Pagel. 1991. The Comparative Method in Evolutionary Biology. Oxford
5	University Press, Oxford, United Kingdom.
6	Hegen, M., Keith Jr, J.C., Collins, M. and C.L. Nickerson-Nutter. 2008. Utility of animal models
7	for identification of potential. Annals of Rheumatic Diseases 67: 1505-1515.
8	Hegreberg, G.A., Norton, S.L. and J.R. Gorham. 1976. Animal model of human disease:
9	muscular dystrophy. American Journal of Pathology 85(1): 233-236.
10	Hegreberg, G.A., Padgett, G.A., Prieur, D.J. and M.I. Johnson. 1975. Genetic studies of a
11	muscular dystrophy of mink. Journal of Heredity 66: 63-66.
12	Hoffman, E.P., Brown, R.H. and L.M. Kunkel. 1987. Dystrophin: The protein product of the
13	duchenne muscular dystrophy locus. Cell 51(6): 919-928.
14	Homburger, F., Nixon, C.W., Eppenberger, M. and J.R. Baker. 1966. Hereditary myopathy in the
15	Syrian hamster: Studies on pathogenesis. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences
16	138: 14-27.
17	Johnson, G.J., Griggs, T.R. and L. Badimon. 1999. The Utility of Animal Models in the
18	Preclinical Study. Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis 81: 835-843.
19	Johnston, M.C., 1966. A radioautographic study of the migration and fate of cranial neural crest
20	cells in the chick embryo. The Anatomical Record, 156(2): 143-155.
21	Kluge, A.G., 1989. A concern for evidence and a phylogenetic hypothesis of relationships among
22	Epicrates (Boidae, Serpentes). Systematic Zoology 38: 7-25.
23	Knight, A., 2008. The beginning of the end for chimpanzee experiments?. Philosophy, Ethics,
24	and Humanities in Medicine 3: 16-29.
25	Kubotsu, S.L., Hu, J., Carnahan, K.G., DeAvila, J., Ott, T.L. and M.A. Mirando. 2003. The
26	effects of chronic ethanol consumption during early pregnancy on conceptus health and
27	uterine function in pigs. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 27(4): 712-
28	719.

1	Lauder, G.V. 1990. Functional morphology and systematics: studying functional patterns in an
2	historical context. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 21: 317-340.
3	Lebel, C., Roussotte, F. and E.R. Sowell. 2011. Imaging the Impact of Prenatal Alcohol
4	Exposure on the Strucure of the Developing Human Brain. Neuropsychology Review 21:
5	102-118.
6	Lockwood, C.A., Kimbel, W.H., Lynch, J.M. and D. Pilbeam. 2004. Morphometrics and
7	Hominoid Phylogeny: Support for a Chimpanzee-Human Clade and Differentiation
8	among Great Ape Subspecies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
9	United States of America 101(13): 4356-4360.
10	Maddison, W.P. 1997. Gene trees in species trees. Systematic Biology 46(3): 523-536.
11	Maddison, W.P. and D.R. Maddison. 2011. Mesquite: A modular system for evolutionary
12	analysis. Version 2.75 http://mesquiteproject.org.
13	May, P.A., Gossage, J.P., Kalberg, W.O., Robinson, L.K., Buckley, D., Manning, M., and
14	Hoyme, H.E. 2009. Prevalence and epidemiologic characteristics of FASD from various
15	research methods with an emphasis on recent in-school studies. Developmental
16	Disabilities Research Reviews 15: 176-192.
17	McClure, K., French, R. and U. Heberlein. 2010. A Drosophila model for fetal alcohol syndrome
18	disorders: role for the insulin pathway. Disease Models & Mechanisms 4: 335-346.
19	Medina, A.E., Krahe, T.E. and A.S. Ramoa. 2004. Early alcohol exposure induces persistent
20	alteration of cortical columnar organization and reduced orientation selectivity in the
21	visual cortex. Journal of Neurophysiology 93(3): 1317-1325.
22	Medina, A.E., Krahe, T.E. and A.S. Ramoa. 2006. Restoration of neuronal plasticity by a
23	phosphodiesterase Type I inhibitor in a model of fetal alcohol exposure. The Journal of
24	Neuroscience 26: 1057-1060.
25	Medina, A.E. and A.S. Ramoa. 2005. Early alcohol exposure impairs ocular dominance plasticity
26	throughout the critical period. Developmental Brain Research 157: 107-111.

1	Meyer, L.S. and E.P. Riley. 1986. Behavioral teratology of alcohol. Pages 101-140 in E.P. Riley
2	and C.V. Vorhees, editors. Handbook of Behavioral Teratology. Plenum Press, New
3	York, USA.
4	Miller, M.W., 2007. Exposure to ethanol during gastrulation alters somatosensory-motor cortices
5	and the underlying white matter in the macaque. Cerebral Cortex 17: 2961-2971.
6	Nestler, E.J. and S.E. Hyman. 2010. Animal models of neuropsychiatric disorders. Nature
7	Neuroscience 13(10): 1161-1169.
8	O'Leary-Moore, S.K., Parnell, S.E., Lipinski, R.J. and K.K. Sulik. 2011. Magnetic resonance-
9	based imaging in animal models of fetal alcohol spectrum disorder. Neuropsychology
10	<i>Review</i> 21(2): 167-185.
11	O'Shea, K.S. and M.H. Kaufman. 1979. The teratogenic effect of acetaldehyde: implications for
12	the study of the fetal alcohol syndrome. Journal of Anatomy 128: 65-76.
13	Odom, D.T., Dowell, R.D., Jacobsen, E.S., Gordon, W., Danford, T.W., MacIsaac, K.D., et al.
14	2007. Tissue-specific transcriptional regulation has diverged significantly between
15	human and mouse. Nature Genetics 39: 730-732.
16	Oxendine, S.L., Cowden, J., Hinton, D.E. and S. Padilla. 2006. Vulnerable windows for
17	developmental ethanol toxicity in the Japanese medaka fish (Oryzias latipes). Aquatic
18	<i>Toxicology</i> 80(4): 396-404.
19	Parnell, S.E., Ramadoss, J., Delp, M.D., Ramsey, M.W., Chen, WJ.A., West,, J.R., and Cudd,
20	T.A. 2007. Chronic ethanol increases fetal cerebral blood flow specific to the ethanol-
21	sensitive cerebellum under normoxaemic, hypercapnic and acidaemic conditions: ovine
22	model. Experimental Physiology 92(5): 933-943.
23	Peterson, K.J., Cotton, J.A., Gehling, J.G. and D. Pissni. 2008. The Ediacaran emergence of
24	bilaterians: congruence between the genetic and the geological fossil records.
25	Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, London, B Biological Sciences 363:
26	1435-1443.
27	Podlisny, M.B., Tolan, D.R. and D.J. Selkoe. 1991. Homology of the amyloid beta protein
28	precursor in monkey and human supports a primate model for beta amyloidosis in
29	Alzheimer's disease. American Journal of Pathology 138(6): 1423-1435.

1	Pound, P. and M. Ritskes-Hoitinga. 2018. Is it possible to overcome issues of external validity in
2	preclinical animal research? Why most animal models are bound to fail. Journal of
3	Translational Medicine 16: 304 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12967-018-1678-1.
4	Price, S.A., Bininda-Emonds, O.R.P. and J.L. Gittleman. 2005. A complete phylogeny of the
5	whales, dolphins and even-toed hoofed mammals (Cetartiodactyla). Biological Reviews
6	80: 445-473.
7	Ramadoss, J., Hogan, H.A., Given, J.C., West, J.R., and T.A. Cudd. 2006. Binge alcohol
8	exposure during all three trimesters alters bone strength and growth in fetal sheep.
9	Alcohol 38(3), 185-192.
10	Ramadoss, J., Lunde, E.R., Chen, WJ.A., West, J.R., and T.A. Cudd. 2007. Temporal
11	vulnerability of fetal cerebellar Purkinje cells to chronic binge alcohol exposure: ovine
12	model. Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research 31(10): 1738-1745.
13	Ramadoss, J., Lunde, E.R., Piña, K.B., Chen, WJ.A., and T.A. Cudd. 2007. All three trimester
14	binge alcohol exposure causes fetal cerebellar purkinje cell loss in the presence of
15	maternal hypercapnea, acidemia, and normoxemia: ovine model. Alcoholism: Clinical
16	and Experimental Research 31(7): 1252-1258.
17	Rand, M. S., 2008. Selection of biomedical animal models. Pages: 9-15 in P.M. Conn, editor
18	Sourcebook of Models for Biomedical Research. Humana Press Inc., Totowa, New
19	Jersey, USA.
20	Reeves, R.H., Baxter, L.L. and J.T. Richtsmeier. 2001. Too much of a good thing: mechanisms
21	of gene action in Down syndrome. TRENDS in Genetics 17(2): 83-88.
22	Reimers, M.J., Flockton, A.R. and R.L. Tanguay. 2004. Ethanol- and acetaldehyde-mediated
23	developmental toxicity in zebrafish. Neurotoxicology and Teratology 26: 769-781.
24	Richtsmeier, J., Baxter, L. and R. Reeves. 2000. Parallels of Craniofacial Maldevelopment in
25	Down Syndrome and Ts65Dn Mice. Developmental Dynamics 217(2): 137-145.
26	Rifas, L., Towler, D.A. and L.V. Avioli. 1997. Gestational Exposure to Ethanol Suppresses msx2
27	Expression in Developing Mouse Embryos. Proceedings of the National Academy of
28	Sciences, 94(14): 7549-7554.

1	Sansom, R.S., Choate, P.G., Keating, J.N. and E. Randle. 2018. Parsimony, not Bayesian
2	analysis, recovers more stratigraphically congruent phylogenetic trees. Biology Letters,
3	14(6): 20180263 http://doi.org/10.1098/rsbl.2018.0263.
4	Schmitz, J.A. and J.A. Harper. 1975. Histopathology of hereditary muscular dystrophy of the
5	domestic turkey (Meleagris gallopavo). Canadian Journal of Comparative Medicine,
6	39(4): 389-396.
7	Shaffer, H.B. 1986. Utility of quantitative genetic parameters in character weighting. Systematic
8	<i>Biology</i> 35(1): 124-134.
9	Shaffer, H.B. and S.R. Voss. 1996. Phylogenetic and mechanistic analysis of a developmentally
10	integrated character complex: alternative life history modes in ambysomatid salamanders.
11	American Zoologist 36: 24-35.
12	Streissguth, A.P., Landesman-Dwyer, S., Martin, J.C. and D.W. Smith. 1980. Teratogenic effects
13	of alcohol in humans and laboratory animals. Science, 209(4454): 353-361.
14	Streissguth, A.P. 1986. The behavioral teratology of alcohol: Performance, behavioral, and
15	intellectual deficits in prenatally exposed children. Pages 3-44 in J. R. West, editor.
16	Alcohol and Brain Development. Oxford University Press, New York, USA.
17	Stromland, K. and M. Dolores. 2002. Ophtalmic involvement in the Fetal Alcohol Syndrome:
18	Clinical and animal model studies. Alcohol and Alcoholism 37: 2-8.
19	Sulik, K., Johnston, M.C. and M.A. Webb. 1981. Fetal Alcohol Syndrome: Embryogenesis in a
20	mouse model. Science 214: 936-938.
21	Sulik, K.K. 2005. Genesis of alcohol-induced craniofacial dysmorphism. Experimental Biology
22	and Medicine 230: 366-375.
23	Uddin, M., Wildman, D.E., Liu, G., Xu, W., Johnson, R.M., Hof, P.R., et al., 2004. Sister
24	grouping of chimpanzees and humans as revealed by genome-wide phylogenetic analysis
25	of brain gene expression profiles. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the
26	United States of America 101(9): 2957–2962.
27	Valenzuela, C.F., Morton, R.A., Diaz, M.R. and L. Topper. 2012. Does moderate drinking harm
28	the fetal brain? Insights from animal models. Trends in Neuroscience, 35(5): 284-292.

1	Wall, R.J. and M. Shani. 2008. Are animal models as good as we think?. <i>Theriogenology</i> 69: 2-9.
2	Wiley, E.O., Siegel-Causey, D., Brooks, D.R. and V.A. Funk. 1991. The Compleat Cladist: A
3	Primer of Phylogenetic Procedures Special Publ. No. 19 ed. The University of Kansas
4	Museum of Natural History, Lawrence, Kansas, USA.
5	Wilkinson, M., 1995. A comparison of two methods of character construction. <i>Cladistics</i> 11(3):
6	297-308.
7	Willmann, K., Possekel, S., Dubach-Powell, J., T. Meier, T., and Ruegg, M.A. 2009.
8	Mammalian animal models for Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Neuromuscular
9	Disorders 19: 241-249.
10	Witmer, L.M., 1995. The extant phylogenetic bracket and the importance of reconstructing soft
11	tissues in fossils. Pages 19-33 in J. Thomason, editor. Functional Morphology in
12	Vertebrate Paleontology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
13	Wright, A.M. and D.M. Hillis. 2014. Bayesian analysis using a simple likelihood model
14	outperforms parsimony for estimation of phylogeny from discrete morphological data.
15	PLOS One, 9(10): e109210. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109210
16	Yang, Y., Roussotte, F., Kan, E., Sulik, K.K., Mattson, S.N., Riley, E.P. et al., 2012. Abnormal
17	cortical thickness alterations in fetal alcohol spectrum disorders and their relationships
18	with facial dysmorphology. Cerebral Cortex 22(5): 1170-1179.
19	https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhr193
20	