1 Title: Studies of insect temporal trends must account for the complex sampling histories inherent

- 2 to many long-term monitoring efforts
- 3
- *This comment on* Crossley *et al.* 2020. No net insect abundance and diversity declines across US
- 6 Long Term Ecological Research sites. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* 4: 1368-1376. DOI:

7 10.1038/s41559-020-1269-4 has been published in Nature Ecology and Evolution as a Matters

- 8 Arising, where it can be cited as:
- 9

10 Welti, E.A.R., A. Joern, A.M. Ellison, D.C. Lightfoot, S. Record, N. Rodenhouse, E.H. Stanley,

- & M. Kaspari. 2021. Studies of insect temporal trends must account for the complex sampling
 histories inherent to many long-term monitoring efforts. Nature Ecology and Evolution. DOI:
- 12 Instories interent to many long-term monitoring errort 13 10.1038/s41559-021-01424-0
- 14
- 15 Link to published version:
- 16 https://www.nature.com/articles/s41559-021-01424-0
- 17
- 18 **Response by Crossley** *et al.*:
- 19 https://www.nature.com/articles/s41559-021-01429-9
- 20

21

22 Authors

- 23 Ellen A. R. Welti^{1*}, Anthony Joern², Aaron M. Ellison³, David C. Lightfoot⁴, Sydne Record⁵,
- 24 Nicholas Rodenhouse⁶, Emily H. Stanley⁷, Michael Kaspari⁸
- 25
- 26 Author Affliations
- ²⁷ ¹ Senckenberg Research Institute and Natural History Museum Frankfurt, Gelnhausen, Germany
- ² Division of Biology, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, USA
- ³ Harvard Forest, Harvard University, Petersham, MA, USA
- ⁴ Museum of Southwestern Biology, Biology Department, University of New Mexico,
- 31 Albuquerque, NM, USA
- ⁵ Department of Biology, Bryn Mawr College, Bryn Mawr, PA, USA
- ⁶ Biological Sciences, Wellesley College, Wellesley, MA, USA
- ⁷ Center for Limnology, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, WI, USA
- ⁸ Geographical Ecology Group, Department of Biology, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK,
- 36 USA
- ^{*}corresponding author; email: ellen.welti@senckenberg.de

38 Abstract

In a recently published study, Crossley et al. (2020, Nature Ecology & Evolution, "No net insect

40 abundance and diversity declines across US Long Term Ecological Research sites")¹ examine

41 patterns of change in insect abundance and diversity across US Long-Term Ecological Research

42 (LTER) sites, concluding "a lack of overall increase or decline". This is notable if true, given

43 mixed conclusions in the literature regarding the nature and ubiquity of insect declines across

regions and insect taxonomic groups²⁻⁶. The data analyzed, downloaded from and collected by US LTER sites, represent unique time series of arthropod abundances. These long-term datasets

45 often provide critical insights, capturing both steady changes and responses to sudden

47 unpredictable events. However, a number of the included datasets are not suitable for estimating

48 long-term observational trends because they come from experiments or have methodological

49 inconsistencies. Additionally, long-term ecological datasets are rarely uniform in sampling effort

across their full duration as a result of the changing goals and abilities of a research site to collect

51 data⁷. We suggest that Crossley et al.'s results rely upon a key, but flawed, assumption, that

52 sampling was collected "in a consistent way over time within each dataset". We document

problems with data use prior to statistical analyses from eight LTER sites due to datasets not

54 being suitable for long-term trend estimation and not accounting for sampling variation, using

the Konza Prairie (KNZ) grasshopper dataset (CGR022) as an example.

56

57 Unsuitable datasets to estimate long-term observational trends

58 Several of the LTER datasets included in Crossley et al. (2020) either document experiments

59 which have confounding treatment effects or they are too variable in sampling methods to allow

60 for comparison of samples across time. Additionally, in one case, Lepidopteran outbreak

61 dynamics with long intervals (10-13 years) at Hubbard Brook limit power to detect meaningful

trends without extremely long-term data⁸. Datasets from Cedar Creek include arthropods

63 collected in plots with nitrogen addition, herbivore exclosures, and manipulated plant diversity.

64 All three of the datasets from Harvard Forest included in Crossley at al.'s analysis have large

65 methodological inconsistencies over time and one dataset documents ants collected in a canopy

66 manipulation experiment, including one treatment where trees were girdled to simulate hemlock 67 woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) infestation of the hemlock trees years prior to the arrival of the

woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae*) infestation of the hemlock trees years prior to the arrival of the
 invasive insect to the area. One dataset from North Temperate Lakes documents the responses of

two crayfish species in a lake where one species was being experimentally removed. With a few

exceptions for partial components of these datasets (e.g. control plots in the arce153 Cedar Creek

70 exceptions for partial components of these datasets (e.g. control plots in the arecers) cedar cred 71 dataset), these data are inappropriate for estimation of long-term observational species trends.

72

73 Not accounting for sampling variation: Konza grasshoppers as a case in point

74 The KNZ CGR022 dataset documents grasshopper species abundances on 15 KNZ watersheds,

and spans 1982-present (up to 2015 included in Crossley et al. 2020). Crossley et al. analyze

time series of individual species from each dataset (the number of "Time trends" in their Table

1). However, regardless of variant sampling effort, they regularly sum all individuals within

The LTER datasets to yield a single value of abundance for a given species and year. This is the case

for KNZ grasshoppers, and most other included datasets (number of "Sites" in their Table 1).

80 Importantly, sampling effort at KNZ and other LTER sites was not constant. At KNZ, variation

occurred in the number of samples per watershed and the number of watersheds in which

82 grasshoppers were collected per year (Fig. 1). Most notably, 6 bison-grazed watersheds were

added to KNZ sampling in 2002. Changes in sample numbers over time are documented in the

- 84 online metadata (http://lter.konza.ksu.edu/content/cgr02-sweep-sampling-grasshoppers-konza-
- 85 prairie-lter-watersheds).
- 86



87 88

Figure 1. The complex history of sampling of the KNZ grasshopper dataset. The KNZ grasshopper dataset (CGR022) exhibits high variance both in number of watersheds sampled per 89 year (number of bars per year) and number of samples collected within each watershed each year 90 (depicted in color). Other complexities include the tragic loss of four years (1992-1995) of 91 92 sampling due to a freezer crash, changes in sampling month, changes in watershed burn

frequencies, and the reintroduction of bison in the 1990s to six of the later-sampled watersheds. 93 94

95 Accounting for sampling effort and data structure matters (see also Supplementary Information: Supplementary Fig. 1). At KNZ, bison-grazed watersheds support higher grasshopper 96

abundances and species richness^{9,10}. In a recent analysis using the CGR022 dataset, to account 97

98 for this change in sampling effort, data were combined only from watersheds collected in the same years (e.g. by splitting samples from grazed watersheds into a separate time series) and 99

- abundances within each watershed and year were divided by the number of samples. Analysis of 100
- the data structured in this way showed a >2% annual decline in grasshopper abundance, with 101
- only one common species increasing¹¹. Crossley et al., in contrast report most grasshopper 102

species increased in abundance from 1982-2015. The authors of Crossley et al. (2020) note the 103

- discrepancy with both this study¹¹ and another³, and suggest it is "driven by falling numbers of 104
- just two once-dominant species... whereas many other formerly rare species have become more 105
- abundant and both evenness and species richness have increased". However, we believe the 106 discrepancy arises because Crossley et al. did not account for variable sampling effort, including
- 107 KNZ's incorporation of additional, more diverse grazed habitats midway in the time series. 108
- Similar errors, where data structure was not accounted for, are evident in 17 of the 19 datasets 109
- which we examined and were included in Crossley et al. (2020)'s results. 110
- 111

Conclusion 112

We have thus far been able to confirm issues with data from 8 of the 13 LTER sites (comprising 113

- 60% of Table 1's "Time trends") included in Crossley et al. (2020). We note that this is not a 114
- comprehensive assessment, as we have only included errors from datasets of which either we 115

- ourselves are the PIs or we have been able to confirm with the corresponding LTER PIs and
- 117 information managers. The eight sites are: Baltimore, Cedar Creek, Central Arizona-Phoenix,
- 118 Harvard Forest, Hubbard Brook, Konza Prairie, North Temperate Lakes, and Sevilleta. We
- 119 provide details on dataset unsuitability, mistakes in not accounting for sampling effort, and
- several coding errors in the Supplementary Information.
- 121
- Given these mistakes, we urge skepticism regarding Crossley et al. (2020)'s general conclusion
- of no net decline in insect abundances at US LTER sites in recent decades. Although their goal is
- 124 laudable, both the use of unsuitable datasets and not taking sampling effort into account generate
- erroneous estimates of population change. Recently, a study reporting widespread collapse of
- rainforest insect populations at the LTER site Luquillo necessitated a similar correction⁵. We
 echo those authors, when they suggest that scientists can avoid errors by reading corresponding
- metadata. Contacting in advance (or even including as authors) the data providers/field biologists
- are additionally good practices that ensure appropriate use of the data. Like the ecology they
- document, it is important to take into account that long-term monitoring efforts by LTERs and
- similar institutions are themselves complex and full of history.
- 132

133 Author Contributions

- 134 E.A.R.W., S. R., A.J., and M.K. conceived the idea for the paper. E.A.R.W. wrote the first draft.
- A.M.E., D.C.L., S.R., N.R., and E.H.S. identified further errors in the Crossley et al. online data.
- 136 All authors significantly contributed to revisions.
- 137

138 Acknowledgements

- 139 Shannon LaDeau, Stevan Earl, Susan Barrott, Elizabeth Borer, and Steve Pennings aided in
- 140 identifying errors in Crossley et al.'s online data. Karl Roeder provided comments on an early
- 141 draft of this manuscript. Jeff Taylor aided in identifying changes in Konza watershed names. We
- thank all LTER information managers and PIs who help keep online metadata updated. We thank
- 143 Pam Montz, John Haarstad, Amanda Kuhl, Matthew Ayres, Richard Holmes, and the numerous
- others who did the hard work to generate these long-term datasets. NSF DEB-1556280 to MK
- and Konza Prairie LTER NSF DEB-1440484 supported this work.
- 146

147 Competing Interests

- 148 The authors declare no competing interests.
- 149

150 Data Availability

- 151 KNZ grasshopper abundance data are available from the Long-Term Ecological Research Data
- 152 Portal (https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/7b2259dcb0e499447e0e11dfb562dc2f). Citations for the
- additionally described LTER datasets are provided in the Supplementary Information.
- 154

155 **References**

- Crossley, M. S. *et al.* No net insect abundance and diversity declines across US Long Term
 Ecological Research sites. *Nature Ecology & Evolution* (2020) doi:10.1038/s41559-020-1269 4.
- 159 2. Hallmann, C. A. *et al.* More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect
- 160 biomass in protected areas. *PLoS One* **12**, e0185809 (2017).

- 3. van Klink, R. *et al.* Meta-analysis reveals declines in terrestrial but increases in freshwater
 insect abundances. *Science* 368, 417–420 (2020).
- 4. Lister, B. C. & Garcia, A. Climate-driven declines in arthropod abundance restructure a rainforest food web. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 115, E10397–E10406 (2018).
- 5. Willig, M. *et al.* Populations are not declining and food webs are not collapsing at the
 Luquillo Experimental Forest. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116, 12143–
 12144 (2019).
- 6. Pilotto, F. *et al.* Meta-analysis of multidecadal biodiversity trends in Europe. *Nature Communications* 11, 3486 (2020).
- 7. Didham, R. K. *et al.* Interpreting insect declines: seven challenges and a way forward. *Insect Conservation and Diversity* 13, 103–114 (2020).
- 8. Martinat, P. J. & Allen, D. C. Saddled prominent outbreaks in North America. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 5, 88–91 (1988).
- 9. Welti, E. A. R. *et al.* Fire, grazing and climate shape plant-grasshopper interactions in a tallgrass prairie. *Funct. Ecol.* 33, 735–745 (2019).
- 176 10. Bruckerhoff, L. A. *et al.* Harmony on the prairie? Grassland plant and animal community
 177 responses to variation in climate across land-use gradients. *Ecology* 101, e02986 (2020).
- 178 11. Welti, E. A. R., Roeder, K. A., de Beurs, K. M., Joern, A. & Kaspari, M. Nutrient dilution
- and climate cycles underlie declines in a dominant insect herbivore. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.*
- 180 *S. A.* **117**, 7271–7275 (2020).
- 181
- 182

183 Supplementary Information for Matters Arising:

184 Studies of insect temporal trends must account for the complex sampling histories inherent to 185 many long-term monitoring efforts

- 186
- 187 Authors: Ellen A. R. Welti, Anthony Joern, Aaron M. Ellison, David C. Lightfoot, Sydne
- 188 Record, Nicholas Rodenhouse, Emily H. Stanley, Michael Kaspari
- 189 190

191 LTER data use policies and the importance of metadata

192

193The National Science Foundation funded Long-Term Ecological Research Network (LTER)

network data access and user policies are available at: https://lternet.edu/data-access-policy/.

195 While the LTER network strives to make research data publicly available, LTER also urges users

of LTER datasets to contact the PIs of datasets with questions about methodology, and

encourages data users to collaborate with the data authors. We ask readers to read metadata and

communicate (or even collaborate) with the PIs of publically available datasets that you intend to

use for publication. Additionally, we acknowledge that data comprehension is a two-way streetand urge data providers to include comprehensive, clear, and updated metadata when publishing

their data. Following these guidelines improves our ability to conduct good solid science.

- 202
- 203 Description of data use errors in Crossley et al. (2020)¹
- 204

We have two major concerns regarding data use in Crossley et al. (2020). The first error is the use of datasets or parts of datasets not suitable for addressing the question of how arthropod species are changing over time.

208

The second error we have noted in Crossley et al. (2020) is the use raw annual sums of individuals for entire LTER datasets, which, combined with variation in sampling effort and location, produced unreliable estimates of arthropod temporal trends (Fig S1, summing

example). It is evident that Crossley et al. (2020)'s analysis did not account for samplingvariation because:

1) they state that they considered all included datasets to have invariant sampling effort,

215 2) complex datasets were considered one time series in their analyses, and

3) for many datasets, the sum of all species abundances in their online data

217 (https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.cc2fqz645) equals the total individuals

collected within entire LTER datasets suggesting no division by the sample number.

219

We note that Crossley et al. did consider quantifying sampling effort as they include a column in their online data to tabulate the number of observations (called "n.obs"). However, the corresponding author of Crossley et al. (2020), Dr. Michael Crossley, informed us that n.obs was never used in their analyses. We further note that even if abundances had been divided by n.obs, this may not appropriately account for changes in sampling effort/location because:

1) based on Crossley et al. (2020)'s R code, n.obs does not always capture sample observations

correctly (e.g. watershed is not included in the calculation of n.obs for the Konza grasshopper

dataset and n.obs is incorrectly listed as "1" for all rows of Crossley et al.'s online data for both

228 Central Arizona-Phoenix pitfall datasets, the Cedar Creek grasshopper dataset, the Hubbard

- 229 Brook White Mountains Region caterpillar dataset, the Sevilleta grasshopper dataset, and the
- 230 Sevilleta pitfall dataset), and
- 231 2) if changes in sampling location correspond to the gain/loss of subsites/sampling times (e.g.
- seasons) that support different species and abundances, and the subsites/ sampling times vary in
- 233 years sampled, it is not appropriate to average samples (Fig S1, averaging example).
- 234



235 Supplementary Figure 1. Example of how errors in trend estimation can arise when not 236 accounting for sampling effort and when combining datasets covering different temporal 237 **periods.** This example uses four sets of time series which can represent either different subsites, 238 239 different sampling times within the year, or different sampling methods. The slope of abundances over time for all sets = 0; however, abundances vary across sets with the two sets in 240 which sampling began only in 2010 having higher abundances than the two sets sampled across 241 the full sampling interval of 2000-2019 (A). Summing does not account for variation in sampling 242 effort over time (adding more sets) while both summing and averaging do not account for 243 combining datasets from different temporal periods. Both summing and averaging abundances 244 across the four sets creates bias prior to use of any statistical approach, in this example 245 246 resulting in artificially positive trends (B). Correct approaches include 1) estimating trends for each of the four sets separately, 2) combining only sets sampled for the same temporal periods, 247 or 3) excluding sets so that remaining, analyzed sets cover the same temporal periods (e.g. 248 excluding sets 3 & 4). 249 250

We document specific data use errors in Crossley et al. (2020) below. Where the 251 252 assumption of invariant sampling error occurred, we provide the raw numbers of individuals from each dataset to allow others to check our work. We include information only where either 253 we ourselves are the PIs of these datasets or we have been able to confirm errors with PIs and 254 255 information managers from corresponding LTERs. LTER sites are listed in alphabetical order and include Baltimore (pg. 3), Cedar Creek (pg. 4), Central Arizona-Phoenix (pg. 5), Harvard 256 Forest (pg. 6), Hubbard Brook (pg. 9), Konza Prairie (pg. 10), North Temperate Lakes (pg. 11), 257 258 and Sevilleta (pg. 13).

259	Baltimore
260	
261	1) Mosquito dataset (knb-lter-bes.3500.100) ²
262	https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/14f78bf8f3c87f0a56d5e0bbdfd25c6a
263	
264	A) No correction was made for variation in sampling effort (number of sampling weeks)
265	and changes in sampling locations across years. Crossley et al.'s calculation of
266	"n.obs" reflects the number of sampling weeks, but was not used in their analyses. To
267	allow others to check our work, we note that the sum of all individuals in the Crossley
268	et al. (2020) online time series data (32,831 individuals) is higher than the total
269	individuals collected from the entire knb-lter-bes.3500.100 dataset (32,329). This
270	discrepancy is at least in part due to data corrections by the LTER site occurring after
271	the data was downloaded by Crossley et al.; however, the high number of individuals
272	in Crossley's online data demonstrate no correction for sampling effort.

273	Cedar	Creek
274		
275	2)	Grasshopper dataset (ghe014) ³
276		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/239b3023d75d83e795a15b36fac702e2
277		
278		B) No correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling
279		locations which are documented in the metadata
280		(https://www.cedarcreek.umn.edu/research/data/methods?e014; see Table:
281		Supplemental Old Fields Grasshopper Sampling for description of missing months
282		and fields sampled within years). The sum of all individuals in the Crossley et al.
283		(2020) online data time series (52,116 individuals) is the same as the total individuals
284		collected from the entire ghe014 dataset, indicating no correction for sampling effort.
285		
286		C) This dataset is not correctly linked in Supplementary Table 1 and incorrectly
287		described as a nitrogen addition and fire experiment.
288		
289	3)	Arthropod "Sweep1" dataset (arce153) ⁴
290		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/a79b1120729dffc992897de58a2c5408
291		
292		A) This dataset is not appropriate to answer questions about general insect trends, since it
293		is an experiment including nitrogen addition treatments and herbivore exclosures.
294		While analyzing control plots alone would be appropriate, data from all experimental
295		plots was included in Crossley at al. (2020).
296		
297		B) This dataset is not correctly linked in Crossley et al. (2020)'s Supplementary Table 1.
298		
299	4)	Arthropod "Sweep2" dataset (aage120) ⁵
300		https://doi:10.6073/pasta/4c1795e6769bf78e3c947e92db75eef6
301		
302		A) No correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling
303		locations which are documented in the metadata
304		(https://www.cedarcreek.umn.edu/research/data/methods?e120). Samples collected
305		per year vary with sampling month and range from 1-3 samples. The sum of all
306		individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (151,227 individuals)
307		is the same as the total individuals collected from the entire dataset (after subtracting
308		the 44,027 unidentified "undet undet" individuals). While not used in analyses,
309		calculation of "n.obs" in Crossley et al. (2020)'s R code does not include plot
310		number, only month and year of observation.
311		
312		B) This dataset is not appropriate to answer questions about general insect trends, since it
313		is an experiment with treatments having different levels of plant diversity (ranging
314		from 1-16 seeded plant species).
315		() This detect is not competily linked and incompetite described in Creation (1)
316		C) This dataset is not correctly linked and incorrectly described in Crossley et al.
317		(2020)'s Supplementary Table 1.

318 Central Arizona-Phoenix

1) Arthropod sweep dataset (knb-lter-cap.652.2)⁶ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/0669ee6a71b24abb1ae3827f4ee77f6d

No correction was made for variation in sampling locations which are documented in the metadata (https://data.sustainability.asu.edu/cap-portal/metadataviewer?packageid=knblter-cap.652.2). The sum of all individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (34,316) is a similar number to the total individuals (34,323) in the entire dataset. There is 1 individual listed as unidentified but we cannot account for the discrepancy of the 6 remaining individuals. While not used in the analysis, the calculation of "n.obs" in Crossley et al. (2020)'s R code does not include subsite, only sample date.

2) Ground arthropod pitfall central Arizona-Phoenix dataset (knb-lter-cap.41.16)⁷ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/f8aef1bde862f13b48aaf4c3b104dabd

It is likely that no correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling locations which are documented in the metadata (https://data.sustainability.asu.edu/cap-portal/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-cap.41.16). While we cannot account for the discrepancy between the number of individuals in the full pitfall dataset (2,563,183 individuals) and the number in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (2,529,604 individuals, 98% of those in the full dataset), considering the high variability in subsite number and location per year in this dataset we remain concerned that sampling effort and location were not accounted for.

3) Ground arthropod pitfall McDowell dataset (knb-lter-cap.643.2)⁸ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/6ce5de2c3251607d5c939c66d9dccee0

347No correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling348locations which are documented in the metadata (https://data.sustainability.asu.edu/cap-349portal/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-cap.643.2). The sum of all individuals in the350Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (22,360 individuals) is the same as the total351individuals collected from the entire dataset from (after subtracting the 1 unidentified352"Unknown" individual).

353 Harvard Forest

354

355 356 357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

1) Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment Ant dataset (knb-lter-hfr.118.30)⁹ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/7a6b956fb0960d7fe8bb048b1fe26956

- A) Sampling effort differed among years for the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment (HF-HeRE) dataset analyzed by Crossley et al. (2020). These ants were collected within a long-term experiment in which there were four plot types: two controls (intact hemlock and intact mixed hardwood) and two canopy manipulations (hemlocks girdled and logged). While logged canopy manipulation is characteristic of the landscape, the girdled plots simulate the effects of hemlock woolly adelgid (HWA) on trees four years before any HWA was documented in the area.
- 365 **B**) In the Crossley et al. (2020) analysis this dataset is coded with Locales: "ants.pitfall", 366 "ants.bait", "ants.hand", and "ants.litter" that represent pitfall trapping, bait sampling 367 with cookies and tuna fish, hand collections, and sieved litter samples of ants from 368 369 2003-2015. Sampling effort differed among years for these different sampling methods coded as "Locales" in the Crossley et al. (2020) analysis. All four sampling 370 methods (i.e., "Locales" equal to "ants.pitfall", "ants.bait", "ants.litter", and 371 "ants.hand" were sampled in June, July, and August from 2003-2005, in July and 372 August in 2006, and in July only from 2007-2008. From 2009-2015, only pitfall traps 373 were set within the HF-HeRE. The number of pitfall traps (sample number) set from 374 2003-2012 was 25 traps total (situated in a 10 m \times 10 m array). In 2012, a deer and 375 moose exclosure was set up within the experimental plots of the HF-HeRE and an 376 additional 10 m \times 10 m array of 25 pitfall traps was set up within the exclosure (i.e., 377 378 pitfall trap sampling effort doubled from 2012-2015 relative to the number of pitfall traps from 2003-2011. We note that Crossley et al. (2020) do account for the different 379 sampling methods and that the baits, litter, and hand samples were only collected 380 from 2003-2008, but other differences in sampling effort were not accounted for. The 381 "n.obs" (number of observations) in Crossley et al. (2020)'s online data is set to one 382 for all rows in the dataset, so it does not account for differences in numbers of 383 samples per year. 384
 - **C)** For the Harvard Forest ant data, Crossley et al. (2020) treat the number of ants collected by pitfall, bait, and litter samples as raw abundances, which may overestimate abundance of ants if they happen to occur nearby colonies with actively foraging workers¹⁰.

390 391

385

386

387

388

389

392

393

2) Nantucket ant dataset (knb-lter-hfr.147.21)¹¹ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/3493424abf9fc36eac7b62b732e4ea55 (hf147-09-nantucket-sites-2004-09.csv)

This dataset contains ants sampled with pitfall traps in two bogs and surrounding forests in 2000 combined with ants sampled from upland habitats from 2004–2009 by a variety of methods and at different intensities and sites. It also includes "velvet ants", a group of ant-mimicking wasps, which were identified only to family (Mutillidae). These data were

- collected to assess relationships of ant diversity with habitat and management regime¹²
 and cannot be used to analyze temporal trends within a site. No correction was made for
 this variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling locations, all of which are
 documented in the metadata
 (https://portal.lternet.edu/nis/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-hfr.147.21). The sum
- of all individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (32,146 individuals)
 is the same as the total individuals collected from the entire dataset (after subtracting the
 9 individuals with year listed as "NA" and 2 individuals with species code listed as
 "NA"). While not used in analysis, the calculation of n.obs in Crossley et al. (2020)'s R
 code does not include subsite ("site") or collection method, only community type (habitat
 description), month, and year of observation.
- 410
- 411
- 412 413

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

434 435

436

437

3) Tick dataset (knb-lter-hfr.299.3)¹³ https://doi.10.6073/pasta/ b29a97941c11ddf45540ea30066fde35

- A) These data are collected with student time sheets for payroll to raise awareness of tick 414 bites for students in the Harvard Forest Summer Research Program in Ecology. The 415 tick survey is voluntary, has variable response rates each year depending on the group 416 of students, and generally shows a decline in collection intensity during the summer 417 418 as students increasingly fail to report weekly data. The summer of 2019 also had a much lower response rate because the program switched to using digital, rather than 419 paper, time sheets. While not used in analysis, the calculation of n.obs (for this 420 dataset coded as "n.y1") in Crossley et al. (2020)'s R code is the sum of hours 421 reported by the tick survey, which is the number of hours worked during the day 422 when the student found a tick on their body. This number does not represent the 423 response rate of the survey, which would need to be accounted for to address 424 differences in samples per year. 425
 - B) For the tick data, Crossley et al. (2020) analyze 30 separate time series based on the locations of collection, but it is not clear how those locations were delineated. Many of the "location.names" from this dataset have overlap as they are filled in with text by students in the survey form. For instance, the "location.names" of "Harvard Forest" in the survey overlaps with many possible locations listed by students (e.g., "greenhouse", "Prospect Hill", "Shaler Hall"). Thus, it is not appropriate to analyze these data as separate time series as they refer, in some instances, to the same general location.

4) Carnivorous plant prey dataset (knb-lter-hfr.111.16)¹⁴ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/cb95637eda0f96c3fdbd1a97e632c7b7

These data were from a global review of arthropod prey spectra of carnivorous plants¹⁵.
None of the data were collected at Harvard Forest (and most were collected on other continents), and for each carnivorous plant species, "year" indicates the year the data were published and no time-series (repeat collection) was observed or implied by the data or discussed in the review. Although these data were not included in the final analysis of Crossley et al. (2020), rows for these data are listed in Crossley et al. (2020)'s online data

(https://datadryad.org/stash/dataset/doi:10.5061/dryad.cc2fqz645; 445 446 External_Database_S1_PerSpecies_Abundance_LTER_annotated.csv) and all abundance values are listed as zero. The rows corresponding to this dataset (lines 28497 – 36898: 447 8401 records) were inaccurately included in Crossley et al. (2020)'s count of 82,777 448 observations (the number of rows in their online data: 449 External_Database_S1_PerSpecies_Abundance_LTER_annotated.csv), comprising >10% 450 of the stated number of observations. 451 452 5) We also note more generally that for the Harvard Forest datasets that the environmental 453 data are all for the Harvard Forest site in Petersham in central Massachusetts, but the 454 Nantucket dataset should report different environmental data as it was collected from an 455 island off eastern Massachusetts that has very different climate from central 456 Massachusetts. Furthermore, the locations in the tick dataset, which are each given a 457 different time series should also have location specific environmental data as locations of 458 data collections were variable (e.g., most in western MA at Harvard Forest, but some in 459 Connecticut; Cambridge, MA; etc.). 460

461 Hubbard Brook

462 463

464 465

466

467

468

469

 Lepidoptera datasets (knb-lter-hbr.82.8)¹⁶ https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/5d2a8c67c5a3278032b2b14d66c09a7f
 A) Sampling effort differed among plots and years for one of the two Hubbard Brook datasets and was not accounted for in the analysis by Crossley et al. (2020). The first dataset was coded as Locale: "Lepidoptera1" and represents visual counts of caterpillars on one plot at Hubbard Brook from 1986-2018, while the second dataset

- was coded as Locale: "Lepidoptera2", spans 1986-1995, and represents three different 470 plots in the White Mountains Region that are located outside of the Hubbard Brook 471 valley. Consistent sampling effort occurred throughout the "Lepidopteral" time 472 series; however, sampling effort for the "Lepidoptera2" dataset differed among years 473 and months within years (ranging from 1 - 10 counts per month). The sum of all 474 individuals in Crossley et al. (2020)'s online data (4,030 individuals) is the same as 475 the total individuals collected from the entire White Mountains Region caterpillar 476 477 ("Lepidoptera2") dataset, demonstrating no correction for sampling effort.
- 478 **B**) The caterpillar populations documented in these data exhibit outbreaks at long 479 intervals (e.g., 10-13 years apart¹⁷), limiting the ability of trend analysis to detect 480 meaningful trends with time series of shorter lengths (10-33 years for Hubbard Brook 481 data used in Crossley et al. [2020]). A sample of 10 years duration from a population 482 that experiences 10-13 vr pseudo-cycles is likely to provide a misleading indication of 483 long-term trend in abundance¹⁸ and such trend tests will have very low power due to 484 the small sample size and inflated variance 19,20 . 485 486
- C) The Hubbard Brook datasets are described in Crossley et al. (2020)'s Supplementary Table S1 in a confusing manner that does not make it clear there are two datasets. The "time operational" of 1986-1997 in Table S1 for these datasets does not correspond to either dataset, as the "Lepidoptera 1" dataset spans 1986-2018, while the "Lepidoptera 2" dataset spans 1986-1995.

Konza Prairie 492

493 494

495

496 A) No correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling 497 locations which are documented in the metadata 498 (http://lter.konza.ksu.edu/content/cgr02-sweep-sampling-grasshoppers-konza-prairie-499

https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/7b2259dcb0e499447e0e11dfb562dc2f

- lter-watersheds). The sum of all individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data 500 time series (121,229 individuals) is the same as the total individuals collected from 501 the entire CGR022 dataset from 1982-2015 (after subtracting the 459 unidentified 502 "unknown" individual grasshoppers), indicating no correction for invariant sampling. 503 While nobs was not used in analysis, the calculation of nobs in Crossley et al. 504 (2020)'s R code does not include watershed, only month, day, and the replicate code 505 within the watershed ("a" or "b"). Standardization to account for variation in 506 sampling effort should done by dividing by the number of samples (e.g. not by day, as 507 the number of samples varies with day of collection). 508
- 509 **B**) Three taxa (Tettigoniidae, Oecanthinae, and Gryllidae, the non-Acrididae Orthoptera) 510 511 included in Crossley et al. (2020)'s analysis for the full duration (1982-2015) were only recorded in the KNZ dataset starting in 2013. Prior to 2013, these taxa occurred 512 in samples, but no record was kept of their counts. Including these taxa which were 513 recorded only at the end years of the time series creates a bias toward a positive 514 community trend. 515

2) Gall insects (CGP01)²²

517 518

516

http://dx.doi.org/10.6073/pasta/b2ac9e918a66dbbb18c7a6b39dc1efab

1) Grasshopper dataset (CGR022)²¹

519 520

No correction was made for variation in sampling locations and plant species sampled which are documented in the metadata

521 (https://portal.edirepository.org/nis/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-knz.27.11). The 522 sum of all individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series (27,819 galled 523 stems is the same as the total galled stems in the entire CGP01 dataset. While not 524 included in the analysis, the calculation of n.obs (for this dataset coded as "n.y1") in 525

- Crossley et al. (2020)'s R code does not include watershed or account for the different 526
- plant species sampled and only accounts for the number of sampled stems. 527

528	North Temperate Lakes
-----	-----------------------

529		
530	1)	Benthic macroinvertebrate dataset (knb-lter-ntl.11.34) ²³
531		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/1bad728523ce4c39ade38fa666a59aee
532		
533		A) Likely due to program R being case sensitive, the time series for Sparkling Lake
534		which was coded both "SP" and "sp" was accidentally split into two time series with
535		"sp" having non-zero values only in 2016-2017. However, Crossley et al. (2020)
536		considered Locale "sp" a separate time series spanning 1981-2017. Based on the taxa
537		listed from "sp", this locale can only pertain to this dataset.
538		
539		B) No correction was made for variation in sampling effort and changes in sampling
540		locations. While we have not been able to identify why there is a discrepancy
541		between the number of individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time series
542		(126,041 individuals) and those in the full dataset (140,100 individuals), it is evident
543		that sampling effort changes were not accounted for because the full time series
544		(1981-2017) was included in Crossley et al. (2020)'s analysis, even though some
545		lakes did not have sampling in all years.
546		
547	2)	Pelagic macroinvertebrate dataset (knb-lter-ntl.13.32) ²⁴
548	_,	https:// doi:10.6073/pasta/50e2f7b297046aaf01b77b46a011b6da
549		
550		A) While listed in Crossley et al. (2020)'s Table 1 and Supplemental Table 1, these data
551		are not included in Crossley et al.'s online data. This dataset documents 5 taxa/ life
552		stages, coded as "BYTHOTREPHES", "CHAOBORUS LARVAE", "CHAOBORUS
553		PUPAE", "LEPTODORA", "MYSIS", none of which occur in Crossley et al.'s
554		online data with the exception of documentation of the genus <i>Chaoborus</i> , but coded
555		as "CHAOBORU" and originating from the North Temperate Lakes benthic
556		macroinvertebrate dataset (knb-lter-ntl.11.34).
557		
558		B) The link provided in Crossley et al. (2020)'s Table S1 links to a summary version of
559		these data (summary version:
560		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/2ebb7f5e89391d3caada53acd8c9a5d7) rather than the
561		raw data.
562		
563	3)	Crayfish dataset (knb-lter-ntl.3.28) ²⁵
564	0)	https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/61619e749daf99c71a289dcadafb795c
565		https://doitoi.g/1000070/pustu/010190/49/ddi9900/1020/dcuddi07900
566		While included in Crossley et al.'s online time series data
567		("External Database S1 PerSpecies Abundance LTER annotated"), all abundance
568		values are listed as zero. No entries from this dataset are listed in Crossley et al.'s online
569		trend data ("External Database S2 time trends arthropods relaxed"), thus these data do
509 570		not appear to be included in Crossley et al. (2020)'s final analysis.
570 571		not appear to be menuded in crossicy et al. (2020) 5 milli allarysis.
572	Δ	Crayfish dataset (knb-lter-ntl.217.9) ²⁶
572 573	-)	https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/4a22c4b3707f68ba5c03cc3ed70e98b6
2/2		1111p3,//101101g/10100/3/pasta/4a40403/0/1000a300300300700/007000

574		
575	A)	This dataset has an incorrect link listed in Crossley et al. (2020)'s Supplementary
576		Table 1. We were able to reconstruct which dataset was used by matching total sums
577		between North Temperate Lakes crayfish datasets and finding identical yearly sums
578		between the Crossley et al. (2020) online data and knb-lter-ntl.217.9 for 2001-2010
579		(both totaling 95,066 individuals for this duration).
580		
581	B)	Crossley et al. (2020) online data for this dataset contains data from 2011, when none
582		exists in the dataset.
583		
584	C)	This dataset is not appropriate to answer questions about general arthropod trends,
585		since it contains data on an experiment of crayfish removal. The dataset documents
586		two species of crayfish, one is an invasive species that was removed from the lake in
587		a whole-lake experiment designed overexploit this species. The second species was a
588		native species that likely experienced competitive release from the removal of the
589		invasive species.

590) Sevilleta			
591				
592	1)	Grasshopper dataset (sev-106) ²⁷		
593		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/c1d40e9d0ec610bb74d02741e9d22576		
594				
595		No correction was made for changes in sampling locations (termination of the pinyon-		
596		juniper [Goat Draw] vegetation type sampling site, and the initiation of a new [Blue		
597		Grama] sampling site at SEV in 2002) which are documented in the metadata		
598		(https://portal.edirepository.org/nis/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-		
599		sev.106.152976). The sum of all individuals in the Crossley et al. (2020) online data time		
600		series (36,634 individuals) is the same number as total individuals in the entire sev-106		
601		dataset. The added Blue Grama site had considerably higher numbers of grasshoppers		
602		than the old pinyon-juniper site, and this change in sampling location likely inflated the		
603		numbers of grasshoppers in Crossley et al. (2020)'s calculation starting in 2002.		
604				
605	2)	Ground arthropod dataset (sev-29) ²⁸		
606		https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/9e7e6dc9c9d8f72e9e0bca07a1e76ccd		
607				
608		No correction was made for changes in sampling locations (termination of the pinyon-		
609		juniper [Goat Draw] vegetation type sampling site, and the initiation of a new [Blue		
610		Grama] sampling site at SEV in 2002) which are documented in the metadata		
611		(https://portal.edirepository.org/nis/metadataviewer?packageid=knb-lter-sev.29.175390).		
612		Collection of ground arthropods for this dataset also varied in number of traps per		
613		collection period/subsite. Some traps in each sample set of 3 subsample traps were often		
614		omitted from data tabulation due to individual traps being disturbed by precipitation		
615		runoff, or vertebrate animals. Summing omitted subsample traps (missing values, not		
616		zeros) would have reduced the sum counts for a line of 3 traps. Crossley et al. (2020)'s		
617		online data contains 39,926 individuals while the full sev-29 dataset contains 52,188		
618		individuals identified to genus level. Crossley et al. note in their re-analysis that this		
619		discrepancy is due to removing the first three sampling years (1992-1994) to account for		
620		variation in trap number, and that this is noted in the R code. It would be advisable to		
621		note that data was omitted in additional locations besides R code, such as in Table S1.		
622		The added Blue Grama site had considerably higher numbers of ground arthropods than		
623		the old pinyon-juniper site, and this change in sampling location likely inflated the		
624		numbers of ground arthropods in Crossley et al. (2020)'s calculation starting in 2002.		

Supplementary Information References 625 626 627 Crossley, M. S. et al. No net insect abundance and diversity declines across US Long Term 1. Ecological Research sites. Nature Ecology & Evolution (2020) doi:10.1038/s41559-020-628 629 1269-4. 630 2. LaDeau, S. Mosquito ovitrap data from Baltimore city and county (2011-2015). (2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/14F78BF8F3C87F0A56D5E0BBDFD25C6A. 631 Knops, J. Core old field grasshopper sampling: Successional dynamics on a resampled 632 3. 633 chronosequence. (2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/239B3023D75D83E795A15B36FAC702E2. 634 4. Knops, J. Arthropod sweepnet sampling: Interactive effects of deer, fire and nitrogen. (2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/A79B1120729DFFC992897DE58A2C5408. 635 5. Tilman, D. Main plots all arthropod insect sweepnet sampling 1996-2000: Biodiversity II: 636 637 Effects of plant biodiversity on population and ecosystem processes. (2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/4C1795E6769BF78E3C947E92DB75EEF6. 638 6. Childers, D. et al. Ecological survey of central Arizona: a survey of key ecological 639 640 indicators in the greater Phoenix metropolitan area and surrounding Sonoran desert, ongoing since 1999. (2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/0669EE6A71B24ABB1AE3827F4EE77F6D. 641 7. Grimm, N. & Childers, D. Long-term monitoring of ground-dwelling arthropods in central 642 643 Arizona-Phoenix, ongoing since 1998. (2020) doi:10.6073/PASTA/F8AEF1BDE862F13B48AAF4C3B104DABD. 644

8. Earl, S., Grimm, N. & Childers, D. Long-term monitoring of ground-dwelling arthropods in
the McDowell Sonoran Preserve, Scottsdale, Arizona, ongoing since 2012. (2019)
doi:10.6073/PASTA/6CE5DE2C3251607D5C939C66D9DCCEE0.

648 9. Ellison, A. Ant assemblages in hemlock removal experiment at Harvard Forest since 2003.
(2018) doi:10.6073/PASTA/7A6B956FB0960D7FE8BB048B1FE26956.

- 650 10. Gotelli, N. J., Ellison, A. M., Dunn, R. R. & Sanders, N. J. Counting ants (Hymenoptera:
 651 Formicidae): biodiversity sampling and statistical analysis for myrmecologists.
 652 *Myrmecological News* 15, 13–19 (2011).
- Ellison, A. & Gotelli, N. Ant distribution and abundance in New England since 1990. (2018)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/3493424ABF9FC36EAC7B62B732E4EA55.
- Ellison, A. M. The ants of Nantucket: Unexpectedly high biodiversity in an anthropogenic
 landscape. *Northeastern Naturalist* 19, 43–66 (2012).
- Ellison, A. Incidence of ticks and tick bites at Harvard Forest since 2006. (2019)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/B29A97941C11DDF45540EA30066FDE35.
- Ellison, A. & Gotelli, N. Prey capture by carnivorous plants worldwide 1923-2007. (2018)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/CB95637EDA0F96C3FDBD1A97E632C7B7.

15. Ellison, A. M. & Gotelli, N. J. Energetics and the evolution of carnivorous plants—Darwin's
'most wonderful plants in the world'. *J Exp Bot* 60, 19–42 (2009).

- Ayres, M. & Holmes, R. T. Long-term trends in abundance of Lepidoptera larvae at
 Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest and three additional northern hardwood forest sites,
 1986-2018. (2020) doi:10.6073/PASTA/5D2A8C67C5A3278032B2B14D66C09A7F.
- Martinat, P. J. & Allen, D. C. Saddled prominent outbreaks in North America. *Northern Journal of Applied Forestry* 5, 88–91 (1988).
- Fournier, A. M. V., White, E. R. & Heard, S. B. Site-selection bias and apparent population
 declines in long-term studies. *Conservation Biology* (2019) doi:10.1111/cobi.13371.
- 670 19. White, E. R. Minimum time required to detect population trends: The need for long-term
 671 monitoring programs. *BioScience* 69, 40–46 (2019).
- Wauchope, H. S., Amano, T., Sutherland, W. J. & Johnston, A. When can we trust
 population trends? A method for quantifying the effects of sampling interval and duration. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 10, 2067–2078 (2019).
- 21. Joern, A. CGR02 Sweep sampling of grasshoppers on Konza Prairie LTER watersheds.
 (2020) doi:10.6073/PASTA/7B2259DCB0E499447E0E11DFB562DC2F.
- 477 22. Hartnett, D. CGP01 Gall-insect densities on selected plant species in watersheds with
 different fire frequencies. (2019)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/B2AC9E918A66DBBB18C7A6B39DC1EFAB.
- Magnuson, J., Carpenter, S. & Stanley, E. North Temperate Lakes LTER: Benthic
 macroinvertebrates 1981 current. (2020)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/1BAD728523CE4C39ADE38FA666A59AEE.
- Magnuson, J., Carpenter, S. & Stanley, E. North Temperate Lakes LTER: Pelagic
 macroinvertebrate abundance 1983 current. (2020)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/50E2F7B297046AAF01B77B46A011B6DA.
- Magnuson, J., Carpenter, S. & Stanley, E. North Temperate Lakes LTER: Crayfish
 abundance 1981 current. (2019)
 doi:10.6073/PASTA/61619E749DAF99C71A289DCADAFB795C.
- 689 26. Carpenter, S. *et al.* Biocomplexity at North Temperate Lakes LTER; Whole lake
- 690 manipulations: Exotic crayfish removal 2001 2010. (2013)
 691 doi:10.6073/PASTA/4A22C4B3707F68BA5C03CC3ED70E98B6.
- 692 27. Lightfoot, D. Long-term core site grasshopper dynamics for the Sevilleta National Wildlife
 693 Refuge, New Mexico (1992-2013). (2015)
 694 doi:10.6073/PASTA/C1D40E9D0EC610BB74D02741E9D22576.
- 28. Lightfoot, D. Ground arthropod community survey in grassland, shrubland, and woodland at the Sevilleta National Wildlife Refuge, New Mexico (1992-2004). (2013)
- 697 doi:10.6073/PASTA/9E7E6DC9C9D8F72E9E0BCA07A1E76CCD.

698