1	
2	Large contribution of recent photosynthate to soil respiration in
3	Dipterocarpaceae-dominated tropical forest revealed by girdling
4	
5	Andrew T. Nottingham ^{1,2*} , Alexander W. Cheesman ^{3,4} , Terhi Riutta ^{5,6} , Christopher E.
6	Doughty ⁷ Elizabeth Telford ¹ , Walter Huaraca Huasco ⁵ , Noreen Majalap ⁸ , Yadvinder Malhi ⁵ ,
7	Patrick Meir ^{1,9} , Yit Arn Teh ¹⁰
8	
9	¹ School of Geosciences, University of Edinburgh, Crew Building, Kings Buildings, Edinburgh
10	EH9 3FF, UK
11	² School of Geography, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
12	³ College of Science & Engineering and Centre for Tropical Environmental and Sustainability
13	Science, James Cook University, Cairns, Queensland, PO Box 6811, Australia
14	⁴ College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
15	⁵ School of Geography and the Environment, Environmental Change Institute, University of
16	Oxford, Oxford, UK
17	⁶ Department of Life Sciences, Silwood Park Campus, Imperial College London, Ascot, UK
18	⁷ School of Informatics, Computing, and Cyber Systems, Northern Arizona University,
19	Flagstaff, AZ, USA
20	⁸ Sabah Forestry Department, Sabah Forest Research Centre, Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia
21	⁹ Research School of Biology, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 0200, Australia
22	¹⁰ School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Drummond Building,
23	Devonshire Terrace, Newcastle upon Tyne NE1 7RU, UK
24	
25	

26 ABSTRACT

Tropical forests are the most productive terrestrial ecosystem, fixing around 41 Pg of
 carbon from the atmosphere each year. A substantial portion of this carbon is allocated
 belowground to roots and root-associated microorganisms. However, there have been
 very few empirical studies on the dynamics of this transfer, especially in tropical forests
 where the response is mediated by high plant diversity.

- We used a large-scale girdling experiment to halt the belowground transfer of recent photosynthates in a lowland tropical forest in Borneo. By girdling 209 large trees in a 0.48 ha plot, we determined: i) the contribution of recent photosynthate to rootrhizosphere respiration and; ii) the relationships among the disruption of this belowground carbon supply, tree species composition and mortality.
- Soil CO₂ emissions declined markedly (36 ± 5%) over ~50 days following girdling in
 three of six monitored subplots. In the other three subplots there was either a marginal
 decline or no response of soil CO₂ emissions to girdling. The decrease in soil CO₂ efflux
 was higher in subplots with greater dominance of *Dipterocarpaceae*.
- Mortality of the 209 trees was 62% after 370 days, with large variation among species.
 There was particularly high mortality for *Dipterocarpaceae* species. Whilst species
 with functional traits associated with faster growth rates (including lower wood density)
 had a higher risk of mortality post-girdle treatment.
- Overall, our results indicate a strong coupling of belowground carbon allocation and
 root-rhizosphere respiration in this tropical forest but with high spatial variation driven
 by differences in plant community composition, with a closer above-belowground
 coupling in forest dominated by *Dipterocarpaceae*. Our findings highlight the
 implications of the diverse species composition of tropical forests in affecting the
 dynamics of belowground carbon transfer and its release to the atmosphere.

51 INTRODUCTION

52

53 Tropical forests dominate the terrestrial carbon (C) cycle, accounting for 34% of global gross primary production (GPP) (Beer et al., 2010). The total C stored in tropical forest 54 55 vegetation is determined by its net primary production (NPP): the sum of C-fixation by 56 photosynthesis (Gross Primary Production, GPP) minus C-release by above and belowground 57 components of plant respiration. There is increasing evidence from extra-tropical studies that 58 the belowground respiration component, arising from the activity of roots and rhizosphere 59 dwelling microorganisms ('root-rhizosphere respiration'), is driven by the supply of recent 60 photosynthate (Högberg et al., 2001, Irvine et al., 2005, Savage et al., 2013), which is in turn 61 related to plant species and/or community traits (Santiago et al., 2004, Wright et al., 2004). 62 Despite this, we have little understanding of the relationship between root-rhizosphere 63 respiration and the high species diversity and productivity in tropical forests.

64 Root-rhizosphere respiration is often assumed to make a large contribution to the CO₂ 65 efflux from tropical forests given their high productivity (Malhi, 2012) and because a lower 66 proportion of C from GPP is allocated to NPP in tropical forests compared to ecosystems at 67 higher latitudes (low carbon-use-efficiency; CUE) (Chambers et al., 2004, Metcalfe et al., 68 2010). A low CUE of tropical forests has been explained by a combination of factors, including 69 lower wood residence time due to conservative growth strategies, higher temperatures and 70 lower soil fertility, which may increase belowground C allocation to roots and root-associated 71 microorganisms (Doughty et al., 2018). However, our understanding of the CUE of tropical 72 forests is limited by a lack of empirical studies that estimate root-rhizosphere respiration, which 73 would allow for the partitioning of the autotrophic component of forest respiration. Of the 74 studies performed, root-rhizosphere respiration has ranged widely from 38 to 70% of total belowground respiration (Girardin et al., 2014, Li et al., 2004, Metcalfe et al., 2007, 75

Nottingham *et al.*, 2010, Sayer & Tanner, 2010), overlapping with estimates in global forests
(from 10 to 90%; Hanson and Gunderson (2009)). The large variation in these estimates
reflects not only the result of differences among study sites, but also differences in
methodology and associated bias (see below) and potentially higher spatial variation associated
with the high diversity of plant communities and plant-microbial associations in tropical forests
(LaManna *et al.*, 2017, Steidinger *et al.*, 2019).

82 Root-rhizosphere respiration in tropical forests may vary widely among diverse tree 83 species assemblages with different growth-strategies. For example, higher root-rhizosphere 84 respiration may be associated with faster growing trees with related traits (e.g. lower wood 85 density; Santiago et al. (2004)), due to higher belowground carbon allocation for nutrient 86 acquisition needed to support rapid growth. Spatial heterogeneity of root-rhizosphere 87 respiration may also increase with increased diversity of root-microbial associations that 88 influence belowground C allocation, such as mycorrhizal fungi. The magnitude of the 89 belowground C flux may vary widely with plant diversity and community composition 90 according to differences in root-microbial associations. For example, field studies in temperate 91 forest show that carbon allocation to mycorrhizal fungi can represent up to 35% of NPP (Allen 92 & Kitajima, 2014, Ouimette et al., 2020) and controlled pot experiments show that 7 to 30% 93 and 2 to 20% of NPP is allocated to ecto- and arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungal systems, 94 respectively (Leake et al., 2004). Although there is considerable variation in the extent of the 95 C allocation among different plant-mycorrhizal associations (Tedersoo & Bahram, 2019), and 96 despite the importance of high diversity of plants and plant-microbial interactions in the 97 functioning of tropical forests (Fujii et al., 2018, LaManna et al., 2017, Steidinger et al., 2019), 98 we know surprisingly little about the relationship between root-rhizosphere respiration and 99 plant functional communities.

100 There is large methodological uncertainty when quantifying the contribution to root-101 rhizosphere respiration from organisms using root-derived C including mycorrhizal fungi and 102 rhizosphere microbial communities (Hopkins et al., 2013, Kuzyakov & Gavrichkova, 2010). 103 The methods used to estimate root-rhizosphere respiration all have associated sources of bias. 104 including: i) indirect mass balance approaches where root-rhizosphere respiration is the 105 balance of total soil respiration minus litterfall inputs in ecosystems, assuming that soil C stocks are at steady state (Davidson et al., 2002) which may be incorrect at smaller scales and 106 107 under recent global change (Bond-Lamberty et al., 2018); ii) physical partitioning by root-108 trenching, which can result in under-estimation of root respiration because heterotrophic 109 respiration is increased as dead roots are decomposed (Savage et al., 2013, Sayer & Tanner, 110 2010); iii) physical partitioning by root exclusion, which can result in over-estimation due to 111 preferential ingrowth of roots into root-free soils (Girardin et al., 2014, Nottingham et al., 2010). The estimates for tropical forests are predominantly based on mass balance, root-112 113 trenching or root-exclusion methods, which result in different forms of physical disturbance of 114 root systems and root-soil microbial associations. iv) Isotopic methods circumvent these forms 115 of physical disturbance bias associated with physical partitioning, but are very difficult to 116 implement in large forest stands and are still subject to bias associated with variation in 117 fractionation effects and end-member uncertainty among tree species (Ogle & Pendall, 2015), 118 which may be especially difficult to interpret in species-rich tropical forest. One method that has proven to be more accurate (or, at least which possesses fewer artefacts) than these other 119 120 approaches for quantifying root-rhizosphere respiration is tree girdling, whereby the phloem is 121 removed thus stopping the transfer of C from above- to below-ground (Högberg et al., 2001). 122 One major drawback of this method is that it kills trees, and therefore has not be implemented 123 more frequently in tropical field experiments given the challenges in gaining approval from land managers for this kind of invasive activity; in addition to the ethical consequences ofkilling trees in intact tropical forest.

126 Here, we implement a whole-stand girdling experiment in tropical forest in Borneo to 127 estimate the magnitude of belowground C allocation and root-rhizosphere respiration and 128 investigate whether it is related to plant species/community traits. The opportunity to conduct 129 this experiment arose because the forest-stand under study was already designated for land 130 conversion by a private landholder. This paper, focussed on the relationship between tree 131 communities and C allocation to soil, is one of several studies to emerge from this whole-stand 132 girdling experiment (e.g. Doughty et al. (2020)). We tested two main hypotheses that: 1) soil 133 CO₂ efflux decreases following plot-scale girdling, where the magnitude and rate of decrease 134 indicates the contribution of roots to the CO₂ efflux and the speed of belowground C allocation, 135 respectively; 2) there is a relationship between the effect of girdling on tree communities and 136 on changes in soil CO₂ efflux, thereby supporting a link between belowground C allocation 137 and the community composition of plants and plant-microbial associations. The experiment is the first whole-stand girdling experiment performed in tropical forest that we are aware of and 138 139 provides a novel opportunity to address these hypotheses on above-belowground carbon 140 transfer for intact tropical forest at this scale.

141

142 MATERIALS AND METHODS

143

144 Methods

145 Site description

146 The study was conducted in the Malaysian state of Sabah in north-eastern Borneo, as part of 147 the long-term ecosystem monitoring at the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystem (SAFE) 148 Project. The SAFE landscape consists of a broad gradient of forest disturbance from unlogged

tropical lowland forest through to heavily logged forest and oil palm plantations (Ewers et al., 149 150 2011). The 1 ha forest plot under study here is situated close to the main SAFE research camp 151 (Lat. = 4.7163, Lon. = 117.6101, elevation ~800m) in the selectively logged area. The plot 152 itself has a history of four rounds of logging since 1970 (Riutta et al., 2018) and was destined 153 to be entirely cleared and converted to oil palm plantation immediately following this 154 experiment. Given the history of selective logging in the area and the removal of larger 155 individuals, we describe the study site as degraded tropical forest. The site has a mean annual 156 temperature of 26.7°C and an annual rainfall of 2,600–3,000 mm (Walsh & Newbery, 1999). 157 For further details on the SAFE study site, see Ewers et al. (2011).

158 The experimental girdling site consisted of one-half (0.48 ha) of the 1 ha forest plot 159 (SAF-05, intensive plot in the Global Ecosystems Monitoring network); for further details see 160 Riutta et al. (2018) and Marthews et al. (2015). The experimental plot was split into 12 subplots 161 each measuring 25 x 25 m. Of these twelve subplots, six were selected for the study of soil 162 respiration (subplots 14, 15, 21, 22, 24 and 25; Fig. S1). Across the entire site there were 209 large trees (>10 cm d.b.h.) representing 52 genera, drawn from 30 families (note: 10 stems 163 could not be reliably identified). The dominant tree families (by stem number) were 164 Dipterocarpaceae (59), Urticacae (24), Euphorbiaceae (18), Malvaceae (14), and 165 Sapindaceae (11). Total stem biomass carbon was estimated at 21.6 Mg C (i.e. 45.2 Mg C ha-166 ¹). The six subplots selected for measurement of soil CO_2 efflux were representative of the 167 168 twelve subplots overall (compare Fig. 1 and Fig. S2). However, the dominance of particular 169 groups varied within the six subplots. For example, Dipterocarpaceae in subplots 14 (30% of 170 biomass), 15 (56% of biomass) and 21 (36% of biomass) and increased dominance of other 171 families in other subplots including *Euphorbiaceae* (subplot 22; 8% of biomass), *Moraceae* 172 (subplot 14; 62% of biomass, although represented by just one very large individual),

173 *Urticaceae* (subplots 22 and 24; 9% and 6% of biomass, respectively). A full list of species,
174 properties and their mortality response to girdling are shown in Table S1.

175

176 Girdling experimental design

177

178 All trees in the study area with diameter-at-breast-height (d.b.h.) > 2 cm were girdled during 179 January/February 2016, where b.h. = 130 cm above ground level, and trees >10 cm d.b.h (n = 180 209) were then regularly monitored for up to one year post girdling. Girdling was performed 181 by removing a strip of bark (approximately 6 cm wide and 0.5 cm deep) including the cambium 182 and phloem from around the trunk (see Fig. S1). The process was performed at approximately 183 120 cm height. For very large trees with buttress roots, girdling was performed just above the 184 protruding buttress roots. All other vegetation was cut back and removed from the plot, 185 including herbaceous plants, grasses and saplings that were too small to be girdled. In addition, 186 to eliminate edge-effects of roots growing into the girdled plot, there was a 10 m boundary 187 around each plot in which vegetation was similarly girdled or cut-back. Given the large effort 188 and time required to girdle the subplots, they were girdled in three equal swathes every 4-days 189 between 28/1/2016 and 5/2/2016 (in subplot-pairs: 14 and 15; 21 and 22; 24 and 25). For the 190 year following girdling, any cambium regrowth and resprouts below the girdle were removed.

191

192 Measurements

The identity d.b.h. and height of all trees >10 cm d.b.h. within the twelve subplots were determined during the month prior to girdling. We also mapped the position of the stems and their horizonal crown projection (crown area) using the Field-Map technology (IFER, Ltd., Jílové u Prahy, Czech Republic; Hedl *et al.* (2009)). Following girdling, tree mortality was determined by the absence of a visible canopy and by carefully scratching a small section of

198 the outer bark of the defoliated trees to examine the cambium layer, both above and below the 199 girdle, assessed in 18 inventories distributed throughout the following year (376 days). Species 200 level functional traits including wood density was compiled by reference to the Global Wood 201 Density Database, complemented with local datasets (Table S1). Where available species level 202 information was used, however if not available then genus level averages from SE Asia were 203 substituted. In the case of trees that could not be identified beyond family (n = 5) or genus (n 204 = 19), then family or genus level averages from the rest of the research plot were used while 205 for five trees for which there was no definitive botanical identification then the plot average 206 (0.51 g cm^{-3}) was used.

207 Soil CO₂ efflux was measured four days prior to and during the first 65 days following 208 girdling in six subplots (in three swathes across subplot pairs 14 and 15; 21 and 22; 24 and 25) 209 within the girdled forest plot (Fig. S1). Each subplot had four systematically distributed soil 210 respiration measurement points, approximately 15 m apart. Continuous hourly measurements 211 for a 4-day period were collected in a subplot pair per swathe before rotating to the next subplot 212 pair. For example, following pre-girdle measurements for all subplots, all large stems were 213 girdled (within a 12-hour period) in subplot 14 and 15 and soil CO₂ efflux was continuously 214 measured for the following 4-days. After 4 days of measurements, subplots 21 and 22 were 215 girdled and measurements performed; and so forth for subplots 24 and 25. Thus, continuous 216 soil CO₂ efflux responses were measured in 4-day periods: pre-girdle ('phase 1', for 4 days, 1 217 week prior to the girdling treatment) and post-girdle days 0 to 4, days 12 to 16, days 24 to 28 218 and days 49 to 53 ('phases 2 to 5'). For subplots 24 and 25, due to logistical circumstances 219 phase 5 occurred earlier (days 36 to 39) and we therefore included an additional set of later 220 measurements (days 61 to 65). Because there was no change in soil CO_2 efflux between these 221 two measurement periods (days 36 to 39 and 61 to 65) (see subplots 24 and 25, Fig. 2), to 222 represent 'phase 5' for subplots 24 and 25 we included all measurements > 36 days.

The initial response of soil CO₂ emissions following girdling is the result of reduced 223 224 root-rhizosphere respiration, typically occurring within 7 to 60 days (Högberg et al., 2001). 225 Therefore, to estimate root-rhizosphere respiration we compared the average soil CO₂ efflux 226 during phase 1 (pre-treatment) and phase 5, assuming that the decrease in CO₂ efflux during 227 this period was attributable to decreased root-rhizosphere respiration because of halted supply 228 of recent photosynthates. However, as dead roots decompose soil CO₂ emissions increase and 229 can obscure the reduction in emissions due to halted root-rhizosphere respiration. We 230 addressed this in our study by focussing on the first 40 to 60 days, although we would expect 231 soil CO₂ emissions to increase over longer-time scales (i.e. >2 months) in subplots with high 232 mortality as dead roots decompose. For example, an experiment in old-growth forest in 233 Sarawak found about 20% mass loss during the first 4-5 months of root decomposition (Ohashi 234 et al., 2019), suggesting very minor root decomposition rates within 2 months in our study. 235 Soil CO₂ efflux was measured using an automated soil respiration system (LI-8150) connected 236 to eight soil chambers (8100-104C long-term chambers) and an infra-red gas analyser (IRGA 237 Li-8100; LI-COR Biosciences, Nebraska, USA). Soil volumetric moisture and temperature 238 were measured hourly at 0-10 cm soil depth using ECH2O EC-5 soil moisture probes and 239 LI-COR soil temperature thermistors, integrated with the soil respiration system.

240

241 **Root respiration gradients**

We performed a secondary experiment to investigate the influence of different tree species on soil CO₂ efflux. To do this we selected eleven large trees (d.b.h. > 50 cm) outside the experimental girdling area and determined soil CO₂ efflux twice a week for four weeks at 1, 2, 5, 10, 15 and 30 m distance from the stem. The sampling locations were along a linear transect from the stem, across relatively flat terrain and avoiding large trees. Tree species were selected to represent large individuals for the dominant species in the forest under study: *Duabanga*

moluccana, Dendrocnide cf. elliptica/stimulans, Cratoxylum cf. farmosum, Artocarpus sp., 248 249 Shorea cf. faguetiana, Brownlowia peltata, Parashorea malaanonan, Dryobalanops 250 *lanceolata, Nephelium ramboutan-ake.* To investigate the spatial pattern, we used the temporal mean (for n = 8 temporal measurements). The relationship between soil CO₂ efflux and 251 252 distance (over 30 m) was determined using linear models. For consistency we used linear 253 models to approximate the presence and strength of root respiration gradients for all trees, 254 although we acknowledge that there is also a theoretical basis for non-linear or exponential 255 relationships.

256

257 Calculations

Above-ground stem biomass was calculated using an allometric equation for moist tropical forests with d.b.h., height and wood density as inputs (Chave *et al.*, 2005) and converted into carbon stock by assuming a wood carbon content of 47.7% (Martin & Thomas, 2011).

261 To quantify the impact of girdling on soil CO_2 efflux, we used the slope parameter the 262 change in soil CO_2 efflux over time following girdling.

To quantify the impact of girdling on tree mortality ('girdling impact') for each soil respiration collar, we used an index of tree biomass weighted by mortality:

265

 $GI = \sum d.b.h_{.100} * M \tag{Eq. 1}$

where GI is girdling impact, d.b. h_{100} is the d.b.h. of stems within a 100 m² area of the soil collar and M is the percentage tree mortality in the subplot where the collar is located, determined one year following girdling.

269

270 Statistical approaches

Tree mortality: To investigate the role of tree functional traits in determining the effect of
girdling on tree mortality, we used non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests to determine whether

tree death in the first year (376 days) after girdling was associated with species identification 273 274 within the dominant tree families (i.e. Dipterocarpaceae, Urticaceae, Euphorbiaceae, 275 Euphorbiaceae, Malvaceae, Fagaceae, Sapindaceae), projected tree crown area, stem 276 diameter, previous year's growth in DBH (cm year⁻¹) or wood density. To further investigate 277 the impact of noted traits (i.e. wood density and either Fagaceae and Dipterocarpaceae identity, see results) we applied Cox proportional hazards regressions modelling in the R 278 279 packages "survival" (Therneau, 2020) and "survminer" (Kassambara et al., 2020). Initially, 280 looking at the impact of wood density and Dipterocarpaceae or Fagacea identity as univariate 281 factors and then in a multivariate analysis, to calculate hazard ratios associated with these 282 factors.

283 *Girdling effects on soil CO₂ efflux*: To investigate the effect of girdling on soil CO₂ efflux we used linear models (soil CO₂ efflux vs. time following girdling) for all subplots together 284 285 and for individual subplots. To test for responses across different spatial scales, we performed 286 the analyses using the mean soil CO₂ efflux per day by subplot and by individual sampling points (i.e. including within-subplot variation, four replicates). To further understand the 287 288 influence of other environmental factors (i.e. soil temperature and soil moisture) on soil CO₂ 289 efflux, we used mixed modelling with fixed effects (time following girdling, soil temperature 290 and soil moisture) and with space (subplot identity or position within the subplot) as a random 291 effect. We performed the mixed-model analyses for all subplots together (including subplot 292 identity as a random spatial effect) and for individual subplots (including soil collar location 293 as a random spatial effect). To further explore whether soil temperature and soil moisture 294 changed over time we used linear models.

Above-belowground linkages: To investigate the effect of aboveground composition and responses to the soil CO_2 efflux, the tree community properties were determined for a 10 x 10 m area around each individual soil collar. This approach resulted in 4 soil collars x 6 subplots

= 24 data points for analyses. To determine which aboveground properties best explained the 298 299 effect of girdling on soil CO₂ efflux (slope parameter of soil CO₂ efflux change over time), 300 linear mixed effects models were used (R; lme4). A random effect of 'space' was included 301 (where space = 24 spatial observations). Thirteen fixed terms were used in the initial model, including tree properties (d.b.h., wood density, total crown projection and biomass), tree 302 303 girdling responses (mortality after 1 year and a weighted mortality value of d.b.h.*mortality) 304 and tree community properties (crown projection for each dominant species grouped by family, 305 Dipterocarpaceae, Urticaceae, Fagaceae and Rubiaceae; and given their dominance a further 306 subset of Dipterocarpaceae grouped by genus: Dryobalanops, Shorea, Parashorea). We used 307 crown cover to represent tree families or species in the model, which approximately scales with 308 leaf area and C uptake (Doughty & Goulden, 2008, Fisher et al., 2007). All terms included in 309 models are known to affect belowground carbon allocation and therefore soil CO₂ efflux, and 310 therefore may determine the overall effect of girdling on soil CO₂ efflux.

311 *Root-respiration footprints*: To investigate the effect of large tree individuals on the soil 312 CO_2 efflux – i.e. to test whether trees had a root respiration 'footprint' – we used mixed effects 313 modelling for overall effects (with distance from tree as fixed effect and tree taxonomic identity 314 as random effect) and linear regression (soil CO_2 efflux vs. distance) to investigate responses 315 for individual trees.

Mixed effect modelling approaches: For mixed effects modelling, in all cases we began with full models and removed terms which improved the model fit. Akaikes Information Criterion (AIC) was used to guide model selection, where a lower AIC represented a better model fit to the data for the given number of included parameters, with full and reduced models (fitted by maximum likelihood) compared using AIC likelihood ratio tests to test the statistical significance of individual fixed effects (Zuur *et al.*, 2009). To avoid co-linearity, we used correlation matrices to identify pairs of correlated terms (greater than 0.6 or less than -0.6) and

removed the least significant of the correlated pair from the model. The final parsimonious 323 324 model was fitted by restricted maximum likelihood, validated for normal distribution of 325 residuals and homogeneity of variance, and summarised by values for conditional R² (variance explained by fixed + random factors) and marginal R^2 (variance explained by fixed effects 326 327 only) (Nakagawa & Schielzeth, 2013). To assess the relative contribution of each fixed effect 328 to the model, null models (excluding one fixed effect term in turn) were compared to the final 329 full model, to estimate % variance explained by each fixed effect term separately (by subtraction of marginal R² for full model - null model). This approach allowed identification 330 331 of the fixed effects which explained most of the observed variance in the data, and therefore 332 the relative importance of each parameter for describing effects. For all analyses, where 333 necessary we used log-transformed variables as model parameters. All statistical analyses were performed in R (version 4.0.2). 334

335

336 **RESULTS**

337

338 The effect of girdling on soil CO₂ efflux

339 In the two months following girdling the soil CO₂ efflux decreased (Fig. 2). Although there 340 was a decrease for all six of the measured subplots (negative coefficient soil CO₂ efflux change with time for all subplots; Table S1), there was large variation in the response and rate of 341 342 decrease among subplots. The decrease in soil CO₂ efflux following girdling was significant in half of the subplots (14, 15 and 21) but there were either no effects or only marginal effects in 343 344 the other half (no effect subplots 22 and 24; marginal effect subplot 25) (Fig. 2). See Table S1 for model outputs including subplot-average response by day (DF = 23) and including within-345 subplot spatial variation (DF = 98 - 118). 346

Based on the girdling effect on soil CO₂ efflux over 60 days and comparing the average soil CO₂ efflux during phase 1 (pre-girdling) and phase 5 (>40 days after girdling) (see Fig. 1), estimates of root-rhizosphere respiration varied by subplot: from a reduction of 28.8% of the pre-girdling value (P14; 5.69 to 4.09 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹, average fluxes during phase 1 and 5, respectively), 44.4% (P15; 5.61 to 3.14 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), 36.0% (P21; 5.68 to 3.63 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), 11% (P22; 2.52 to 2.24 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹), to negligible (P24, P25; e.g. P25, 4.83 to 4.82 μ mol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹).

354

355 The effect of other environmental factors on soil CO₂ efflux

356

Soil temperature and moisture varied during the experimental period (Fig. 3, Fig. S3), with changes over time likely reflecting the onset of the 2016 El Niño event (Doughty *et al.*, 2020). Soil temperature varied diurnally by approximately 2°C (Fig. 3) and mean values slightly increased during the 60-day measurement period by about 0.5-1°C (Fig. S3). Soil moisture did not vary diurnally (Fig. 3) but slightly decreased over time in subplots 21, 22, 25; increased in subplot 14 and was constant in subplots 15 and 25 (Fig. S3).

363 To assess whether the changes in soil temperature and moisture affected the soil CO₂ 364 efflux we used mixed-effects models. Across all subplots there was a large influence of the girdling treatment on the soil CO₂ efflux (negative effect of time following girdling and 365 366 decreased CO₂ efflux, P < 0.001), in addition to positive effects of temperature (P < 0.001) and soil moisture (P < 0.001), together suggesting temperature-stimulation of respiration and 367 368 moisture limitation of respiration (Table 1A). The relative importance of girdling, soil 369 temperature and moisture in explaining patterns in soil CO₂ efflux varied among subplots 370 (Table 1B). For subplot 15, girdling was the only effect (P < 0.001), suggesting a dominant influence of halted supply of photosynthates in influencing in soil CO₂ emissions for this 371

subplot, which over-rode any other environmental driver. For subplots 14, 21 and 25, there 372 were effects of girdling (negative effect, P < 0.001), temperature (negative effect, P < 0.001), 373 374 soil moisture (negative effect, P < 0.001) and space (soil collar location, P < 0.001). For subplot 22, soil moisture was the dominant effect (positive effect, P < 0.001), although there 375 376 were also significant effects of girdling (negative effect, P < 0.05) and temperature (positive 377 effect, P < 0.05). Similarly, climatic conditions were more important in explaining soil CO₂ 378 emissions for subplot 24 (temperature, moisture and space, P < 0.001), with a minor influence 379 of girdling (negative effect, P < 0.05).

Further analyses of the polynomial relationships with soil CO_2 efflux showed a negligible effect of temperature and a moderate positive effect of soil moisture with a parabolic increase to maximum at around ~0.3 m³ H₂O m⁻³ soil, and most soil moisture values below this optimum (Fig. S4).

384

385 The effect of girdling on tree mortality

Girdling resulted in substantial mortality within 1 year, although the effects appeared to vary 386 387 among different functional groups, with a disproportionate impact noted in *Dipterocarpaceae* 388 (the largest family represented in the plot), Fagaceae and fast-growing pioneer species. Of the 389 59 individuals within the Dipterocarpaceae, the dominant family across the twelve 390 experimental sub plots, 58 died in response to girdling, which represented over 99% of total 391 biomass (Fig. 1). Among the other abundant families, 82% mortality (by total biomass) was 392 experienced in the *Euphorbiaceae*, 100% mortality within the *Fagaceae* and 19% mortality 393 within the Malvaceae. For the subplots included in the soil CO₂ efflux study, there was 100% 394 mortality among the *Dipterocarpaceae*, 16% among the *Euphorbiaceae*, 96% mortality among 395 the Fagaceae and 64% mortality among the Malvaceae (Fig. S2). Interestingly, of the 209 girdled trees, a total of 79 (38%) continued to survive after 376 days. When using Kruskal-396

397 Wallis test to compare monitored trees that died and survived over 376 days of intensive 398 monitoring we found identity as either *Dipterocarpaceae* (Chi squared = 45.4, df = 1, P < 0.001) or *Fagaceae*, (Chi squared = 3.1, df =1, P = 0.078) was disproportionately associated with 399 400 mortality while we did not find any differences in the trees projected crown area (Chi squared 401 = 186, df = 185, P = 0.46), diameter at point of measurement (Chi squared = 124, df = 123, p =402 0.47), or previous year's growth, a metric for tree vitality (Chi squared =102, df=101, p=0.45). 403 However, there was a significant difference found in wood density (Chi squared = 121, df = 63, P < 0.001) with those that survived having on average a higher wood density (0.54 ± 0.10 404 405 g cm⁻³) than those that died (0.50 ± 0.12 g cm⁻³). Note that the average wood density for all 406 large experimental trees was $(0.51 \pm 0.12 \text{ g cm}^{-3})$.

407 Cox proportional hazards regression modelling, which provides an estimate of the 408 hazard ratio and its confidence interval when analysing time course survival data (Cox, 1972) 409 was used to further explore predictors of mortality after girdling. An initial univariate analysis 410 showed that while tree size (determined either by projected crown area or trunk diameter) had 411 no influence on the risk of mortality (Table 2) both wood density and whether a tree was 412 Dipterocarpaceae and Fagaceae (or not) had a significant impact (P < 0.001) on an 413 individual's hazard ratio (HR). Given the potential correlation between family identity and 414 wood density a further multivariate Cox regression was conducted examining the influence of 415 these two variables in concert, resulting in a highly significant model to predict tree survival 416 (Likelihood ratio test 84.16, 2 d.f., P < 0.001). Within the multivariate analysis we demonstrate 417 a significantly (P = 0.001) negative regression coefficient for wood density with a HR of 0.998, this means that for every increase of 1 mg cm⁻³ in wood density there was a reduction in the 418 419 hazard of mortality by a factor of 0.998 or 0.002% (note the model was applied using wood density in units of mg cm⁻³ to aid interpretation). Conversely while holding wood density 420 constant we found a significantly (P < 0.001) positive regression coefficient for being 421

Dipterocarpaceae or *Fagaceae* (i.e. an increased hazard or mortality) with a substantial increase in the Hazard Ratio of 7.99, or 799% increase in risk relative to other taxa (Fig. 4); this is exemplified when considering the modelled median survival probability of a *Dipterocarpaceae of Fagaceae* individual at just 200 days as compared to 372 days across all other families (when considering a plot average wood density; see Fig. S6. for wood density distribution among species).

428

429 Root respiration gradients

In this subsidiary study of root respiration gradients for 11 large trees, we found significant effects of distance from trees on soil CO₂ efflux (P < 0.001) and of tree species identity (P < 0.05; random factor in mixed-effect model). Focusing on the responses of individual trees, three out of eleven tree individuals significantly affected the soil CO₂ efflux, determined by the linear regression of soil CO₂ efflux by distance (to 30 m from stem) (Fig. S7). Thus, our results suggest large root respiration fluxes associated with these three species, by genera: *Dipterocarpaceae* (*Shorea, Dryobalanop*) and Urticaceae (Dendrocnide).

437

438 The effect of plant community structure on soil CO₂ efflux response following girdling

Plant community structure and properties had a large effect on the rate and magnitude of the transfer of carbon allocated belowground and released as soil CO₂ efflux, and explained a significant portion of the variation (39%) in the change in soil CO₂ efflux over 60 days following girdling (Table 2). The most significant variable was the index of girdling on aboveground biomass mortality (log of mortality x sum of d.b.h.), and there were significant effects related to two specific tree genera: the sum of crown cover for *Dipterocarpaceae* (*Dryobalanops* and *Shorea*); other tree families were not retained in the final model. There was 446 also a significant effect of space (soil collar location), pointing to large spatial variation in the 447 response of soil CO₂ efflux to girdling.

Given our above findings of: 1) high mortality among the Dipterocarpaceae; 2) 448 449 significant effects of the presence (within 10 m) of Dipterocarpaceae (Dryobalanops and 450 Shorea), in explaining the decrease in soil CO₂ efflux following girdling; and 3) measurement 451 of significant root-respiration gradients for Dipterocarpaceae species; we further explored 452 whether there was a direct relationship between root-rhizosphere respiration and the relative 453 abundance of *Dipterocarpaceae*. The relationship was significant, with higher root-rhizosphere 454 respiration (i.e. larger CO₂ efflux reduction following girdling) for plots with greater 455 dominance of *Dipterocarpaceae* (P = 0.018, $R^2 = 0.79$; Fig. 5).

456

457 DISCUSSION

- 458
- 459

The effect of girdling on soil CO₂ efflux

460 Considerable spatial variability was observed in the effect of halted supply of 461 photosynthate by girdling on soil respiration rates for this tropical forest in Borneo (Fig. 2), 462 which we believe was attributable to species-specific differences in photosynthate use by roots. 463 Root-rhizosphere respiration, defined by the decrease in respiration by 29 - 44% ($36 \pm 5\%$; 464 mean ± 1 standard error) in the three subplots strongly affected by girdling, overlaps the range 465 of estimates of root-rhizosphere respiration from different tropical forests (34 - 70%) (Girardin et al., 2014, Hanpattanakit et al., 2015, Li et al., 2004, Metcalfe et al., 2007, Nottingham et al., 466 467 2010, Sayer & Tanner, 2010), including a Dipterocarp dominated forest in Thailand $(34 \pm 4\%)$ 468 (Hanpattanakit et al., 2015). However, soil CO₂ efflux was largely unaffected by girdling in 469 half of the subplots, indicating a decoupling of photosynthesis and root-rhizosphere respiration. For these generally unresponsive subplots, root-respiration may have been maintained by large 470

471 belowground carbohydrate reserves (Aubrey & Teskey, 2018), which is consistent with the 472 lower observed tree mortality rates for theses subplots. Overall, the high spatial variability in 473 the effect of girdling on soil CO_2 efflux points towards diverse physiological responses to 474 girdling and allocation of C to roots and root-rhizosphere microorganisms by different tree 475 species. This result has important implications for the quantification and generalisation of 476 tropical forest CUE (Doughty *et al.*, 2018), pointing towards large spatial variation in CUE 477 where belowground C-transfer may differ with tree community composition.

478 The spatially heterogenous response of soil CO₂ efflux to girdling among our subplots 479 of varying tree community composition (ranging from negligible response to 44% reduction; 480 Fig. 2) contrasts with the lower spatial variation found in previous studies, performed across a 481 range of low-diversity or monodominant forests. In a boreal forest in Sweden, girdling led to 482 an broad reduction in soil CO₂ efflux, which was attributed to a reduced photosynthetic 483 contribution to root and EM fungal respiration (Högberg et al., 2001). The reduction in soil 484 CO₂ efflux for this boreal forest, dominated by a single species (*Pinus sylvestris L.*), was 54% 485 in two months and with only small spatial variation (Högberg et al., 2001); in comparison the 486 soil CO₂ efflux decrease in our study for the subplot with greatest dominance of EM-forming 487 Dipterocarpaceae was 44% (P15, where Dipterocarpaceae represented 56% of total biomass; 488 Fig. 1). In another high-latitude forest dominated by a single species (*Castanea sativa Mill.*), 489 girdling 104 tree stems reduced soil CO₂ efflux by an average of 22% over 20 days, with low 490 spatial variation (Frey et al., 2006). In both of these studies, girdling also resulted in reduced 491 root starch concentrations, supporting the conclusion that root respiration decreased in response 492 to reduced photosynthate supply (Frey et al., 2006, Högberg et al., 2001). Tropical tree girdling 493 experiments have only been performed in single species plantations, with no studies performed in hyper-diverse tropical forest. For subtropical plantations in China, girdling reduced soil CO2 494 495 efflux by 27% in Acacia crassicarpa and by only 14% in Eucalyptus urophylla, with the major 496 decline within the first two months following girdling (Chen et al., 2010). For a tropical stand 497 of Eucalyptus grandis x urophylla in Brazil, girdling reduced root respiration by 16-24% (after 498 three months), where the small effect was explained by large root non-structural carbohydrate 499 reserves, which kept roots alive and maintained root respiration (Binkley et al., 2006). 500 Similarly, in another study where potted tropical trees (*Pseudobombax septenata*) were girdled, 501 little change in root respiration was observed, explained by reallocation of carbohydrate 502 reserves from within large root systems (Nottingham et al., 2010). Indeed, the mobilisation of 503 stored root carbohydrates has been shown to maintain root respiration for up to 14 months 504 following girdling in a temperate pine forest (Aubrey & Teskey, 2018) - a likely mechanism 505 for the decoupling of photosynthesis and root-rhizosphere respiration for the non-responding 506 plots in our study (see plots P22, P24; Fig. 2). Thus, the high variation in girdling responses 507 among these studies of largely monodominant forest stands (Aubrey & Teskey, 2018, Binkley 508 et al., 2006, Chen et al., 2010, Högberg et al., 2001) is consistent with our overall finding: 509 girdling a diverse stand of tropical trees results in significant, but highly spatially variable, 510 decrease in soil CO₂ emissions.

511

512 Linking the response of soil CO₂ efflux to aboveground traits

513 By analysing the spatial variation of girdling effects on soil CO₂ efflux, we were able 514 to identify the tree community traits associated with the rate and magnitude of the coupling 515 between photosynthesis, belowground C allocation and soil CO₂ release. First, girdling had the 516 greatest impact on soil CO₂ efflux where tree mortality (weighted by biomass) was greatest 517 (Table 2; Fig. 5), indicating that the reduction in soil CO₂ efflux was the direct result of reduced 518 belowground C allocation and root-rhizosphere respiration. Subsequently, our finding that the 519 change in soil CO₂ was affected by the presence of *Dipterocarpaceae* (genera *Dryobalanops* 520 and Shorea; Table 2), which also had significant distance-to-trunk patterns in soil CO₂ 521 emissions (Fig. S7), indicated a large influence of the presence of *Dipterocarpaceae* on the 522 magnitude and rate of photosynthetic C allocation belowground. The relationship between 523 Dipterocarpaceae and change in soil CO₂ emissions was further confirmed by the high 524 mortality rates for Dipterocarpaceae following girdling; whether or not a tree was a 525 Dipterocarpaceae or Fagaceae, alongside lower wood density, was the major determinant for 526 tree mortality (Table 2). Indeed, the relative abundance of *Dipterocarpaceae* was strongly 527 correlated to the resulting estimation of root-rhizosphere respiration by girdling (Fig. 5). Unlike 528 the Dipterocarpaceae, the Fagaceae did not strongly influence the impact of girdling on in soil 529 CO₂ emissions but this may be due to the greater abundance and biomass of *Dipterocarpaceae* 530 relative to the *Fagaceae* in the study area (Fig. 1; Fig S2).

531 Why might the Dipterocarpaceae be associated with such large belowground C 532 allocation and release as soil CO₂ emission? We suggest that the relationship between the 533 abundance of *Dipterocarpaceae* and girdling effect on soil CO₂ emissions can be explained by 534 the strong associations Dipterocarpaceae (and Fagaceae) form with EM fungi (Maherali et al., 2016, McGuire et al., 2015, Smith et al., 2013, Tedersoo et al., 2010). Given these strong 535 536 associations, EM fungi are abundant in Dipterocarpaceae-dominant tropical forests (Smith et 537 al., 2013) and removal of *Dipterocarpaceae* results in a sharp decline in EM fungal biomass 538 (McGuire et al., 2015). Indeed, a study of soil microbial communities in areas of logged 539 Dipterocarpaceae forest found large decline in EM fungi (Kerfahi et al., 2014), including in 540 sites close to our experimental plots in Borneo following logging and conversion to oil palm 541 plantation (Robinson et al., 2020). Consistent results have been shown in boreal forests, where 542 halted belowground C supply by girdling or root exclusion reduced EM fungal abundance 543 (Lindahl et al., 2010, Yarwood et al., 2009). Ectomycorrhizal fungi have a hyphal network an 544 order of magnitude greater than arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi and are rich in recalcitrant 545 C compounds (Smith & Read, 1997, Tedersoo & Bahram, 2019). They are, therefore, a large

belowground sink for C and their dead biomass can significantly contribute to the accumulation 546 547 of soil organic matter (Averill et al., 2014, Clemmensen et al., 2013). The high mortality among 548 Dipterocarpaceae and Fagaceae may also reflect a lack of stored root-carbohydrate for these 549 species, shown to be important in maintaining root respiration following girdling elsewhere 550 (Aubrey & Teskey, 2018), which may be further related to high belowground carbon demand 551 for EM fungi. Considering this large C allocation to EM fungi, we predict as dead roots and 552 EM hyphal residues are decomposed in girdled plots, an increase in soil CO₂ efflux would 553 eventually occur (e.g. after 5 months under moist conditions, Ohashi et al. (2019)), but it is 554 very unlikely this process began during the first two months in our experiment where soils 555 were relatively dry (see below). Further evidence showing that EM fungi are also important in 556 facilitating C transfer between plants (Pickles *et al.*, 2017) is consistent with the high mortality 557 for all EM-forming Dipterocarpaceae and Fagaceae in this study (100% mortality within 10 months). Together, these observations point towards higher root-rhizosphere respiration for 558 559 EM fungal dominated forests, compared to forests more abundant in arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungal association. Moreover, they suggest that a large portion of the decline in soil CO₂ 560 efflux following girdling in our study was related to reduced respiration from 561 562 Dipterocarpaceae-associated EM fungi.

563

564 Linking the response of soil CO₂ efflux to other environmental factors

In addition to the effect of time following girdling on soil CO_2 emissions, there were effects of moisture and temperature, which can affect both heterotrophic and root-derived sources of respiration. This experiment was undertaken during the early phase of the 2016 El Niño event and the onset of these drought conditions – warming and moisture limitation (Fig. S2) – affected soil CO_2 emissions (Table 1). In addition, the drought event may have accelerated mortality (Doughty *et al.*, 2020) and, subsequently, accelerated the decrease in root
respiration following girdling.

572 Soil moisture followed the typical parabolic relationship with soil respiration (Rubio & 573 Detto, 2017) but moisture levels were relatively low and slightly below the indicated optimal value for respiration (~0.3 m³ m⁻³), suggesting some moisture limitation of heterotrophic 574 575 respiration (Fig. S4). Indeed, soil moisture had a positive effect on CO₂ emissions (Table 1) 576 and soil moisture explained soil CO₂ efflux variation for 5 of the 6 subplots (Table 1). Despite 577 the positive influence of moisture on soil CO₂ efflux, girdling was the dominant overall driver 578 (Table 1A). For example, decreased soil CO₂ efflux in subplot 15 was not related to soil 579 moisture (Table 1; girdling effect only), which did not change over time (Fig. S3). Soil drying 580 may have been alleviated by girdling reducing hydraulic conductance by roots, contributing to 581 little soil moisture change in following girdling for some plots, in spite of low rainfall (see 582 subplots 15, 24; Fig. S3).

583 Temperature is positively related to soil microbial respiration across ambient 584 temperature ranges (Bååth, 2018, Davidson & Janssens, 2006). The positive effect of 585 temperature on CO₂ emissions in our data (Table 1), would have predominantly resulted from 586 the large diurnal variation in temperature (by about 2-3°C; Fig. 3), rather than the smaller 587 increase in temperature over time, likely a consequence of the strengthening El Niño event (by 588 about 0.5-1°C; Fig. S3). We suggest that a large portion of the effect of temperature on soil 589 CO₂ emissions (Table 1) was the result of variation in root respiration, because the diurnal 590 signal in soil CO₂ emission diminished following girdling (Fig. 3: compare phase 1 and phase 591 5). The temperature sensitivity of respiration for roots has been shown to be higher than for 592 (microbial) heterotrophs (Boone et al., 1998), and greater temperature sensitivity of soil 593 respiration has been reported for soils with intact root systems compared to root-free soils (Li 594 et al., 2020). Thus, for these forest plots affected by girdling (dominated by Dipterocarpaceae:

595 *Dryobalanops* and *Shorea*), root respiration – and recently fixed photosynthetic C – may have 596 contributed a significant portion of the diurnal variation in soil CO₂ emissions.

597 Overall, the positive effects of soil moisture and temperature on soil CO_2 efflux do not 598 influence our conclusions based on the response following girdling, which remained a 599 dominant influence (Table 1). Furthermore, because these abiotic factors affected the soil CO_2 600 efflux positively, and girdling affected it negatively, the influence of moisture and temperature 601 on respiration may have offset its decrease following girdling, resulting in an underestimation 602 of the root-rhizosphere component.

603

604 Conclusion

605 Our study provides the first data from a large-scale girdling study in tropical forest, 606 showing the rate and magnitude of photosynthetic C transfer belowground and release as soil 607 CO₂. Furthermore, our results show high spatial variation in the rate and magnitude of this 608 transfer, which is explained by diverse physiological responses among tree species. This result 609 highlights the role of tree species composition in affecting belowground C transfer in tropical 610 forests, with important implications for the quantification and generalisation of the tropical 611 forest carbon balance (Anderson-Teixeira et al., 2016, Doughty et al., 2018). In particular, we 612 found a strong coupling between photosynthetic C supply belowground and soil CO₂ efflux for the Dipterocarpaceae, in addition to greater mortality for the Dipterocarpaceae and Fagaceae, 613 614 which we hypothesise can be explained by a decline in C allocation to EM fungal symbionts. 615 These results also have major implications for the impact of forest degradation on the global C 616 budget, by demonstrating that the logging of large dominant Dipterocarpaceae trees in natural 617 tropical rainforest is associated with a large and rapid decline in belowground C transfer to 618 roots and root-symbionts (e.g. the decrease in root-derived CO₂ emissions by 44%, Fig. 2; 619 equivalent to a decline of ~9 Mg C ha⁻¹ year⁻¹). Overall, our findings highlight the implications 620 of the diverse species composition of tropical forests in affecting the dynamics of belowground621 C transfer and its release to the atmosphere.

622

623 Acknowledgements:

624 This study is a product of the BALI consortium, part of the UK Natural Environment Research 625 Council (NERC) Human modified tropical forests program (http://bali.nerc-hmtf.info/) and 626 part of the Stability of Altered Forest Ecosystem (SAFE) Project, funded by the Sime Darby 627 Foundation. The study was led using support from grant number NE/K016377/1, with 628 additional support from a European Research Council Advanced Investigator Grant, GEM-629 TRAIT (321131), to YM. We would like to acknowledge Dr. Rob Ewers for his role in setting 630 up the SAFE experiment, Laura Kruitbos for logistical support, Elelia Nahun, Dg Ku Shamirah 631 binti Pg Bakar, Unding Jami, Ryan Gray, Rostin Jantan, Suhaini Patik and Rohid Kailoh and 632 the BALI and Lombok project research assistants for their contributions to the field campaign. 633 We are grateful to Martin Svátek, Yakub Kvasnika and the FieldMap team for providing the 634 tree maps. We thank Bernadus Bala Ola, MinSheng Khoo and Magat bin Japar for tree species 635 identification. Royal Society South East Asia Rainforest Research Partnership (SEARRP), 636 Sabah Foundation, Benta Wawasan, the State Secretary, Sabah Chief Minister's Departments, Sabah Forestry Department, Sabah Biodiversity Council, and the Economic Planning Unit are 637 638 acknowledged for their support and access to the sites.

639

640 <u>References</u>

Allen MF, Kitajima K (2014) Net primary production of ectomycorrhizas in a California forest. Fungal
 Ecology, 10, 81-90.

Anderson-Teixeira KJ, Wang MMH, Mcgarvey JC, Lebauer DS (2016) Carbon dynamics of mature and
 regrowth tropical forests derived from a pantropical database (TropForC-db). Global Change Biology,
 22, 1690-1709.

Aubrey DP, Teskey RO (2018) Stored root carbohydrates can maintain root respiration for extended periods.
 New Phytologist, 218, 142-152.

- Averill C, Turner BL, Finzi AC (2014) Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. Nature, 505, 543–545.
- Bååth E (2018) Temperature sensitivity of soil microbial activity modeled by the square root equation as a
 unifying model to differentiate between direct temperature effects and microbial community
 adaptation. Global Change Biology, 24, 2850-2861.
- 654 Beer C, Reichstein M, Tomelleri E *et al.* (2010) Terrestrial gross carbon dioxide uptake: Global distribution and 655 covariation with climate. Science, **329**, 834-838.
- Binkley D, Ernesto JLS, Takahashi N, Ryan MG (2006) Tree-girdling to separate root and heterotrophic
 respiration in two Eucalyptus stands in Brazil. Oecologia, 148, 447-454.
- Bond-Lamberty B, Bailey VL, Chen M, Gough CM, Vargas R (2018) Globally rising soil heterotrophic
 respiration over recent decades. Nature, 560, 80-83.
- Boone RD, Nadelhoffer KJ, Canary JD, Kaye JP (1998) Roots exert a strong influence on the temperature
 sensitivity of soil respiration. Nature, **396**, 570-572.
- 662 Chambers JQ, Tribuzy ES, Toledo LC *et al.* (2004) Respiration from a tropical forest ecosystem: Partitioning of
 663 sources and low carbon use efficiency. Ecological Applications, 14, S72-S88.
- 664 Chave J, Andalo C, Brown S *et al.* (2005) Tree allometry and improved estimation of carbon stocks and balance 665 in tropical forests. Oecologia, **145**, 87-99.
- 666 Chen DM, Zhang Y, Lin YB, Zhu WX, Fu SL (2010) Changes in belowground carbon in Acacia crassicarpa
 667 and Eucalyptus urophylla plantations after tree girdling. Plant and Soil, 326, 123-135.
- 668 Clemmensen KE, Bahr A, Ovaskainen O *et al.* (2013) Roots and Associated Fungi Drive Long-Term Carbon
 669 Sequestration in Boreal Forest. Science, **339**, 1615-1618.
- 670 Cox DR (1972) Regression Models and Life-Tables. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Statistical
 671 Methodology, 34, 187-+.
- Davidson EA, Janssens IA (2006) Temperature sensitivity of soil carbon decomposition and feedbacks to
 climate change. Nature, 440, 165-173.
- 674 Davidson EA, Savage K, Bolstad P *et al.* (2002) Belowground carbon allocation in forests estimated from
 675 litterfall and IRGA-based soil respiration measurements. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, 113, 39 676 51.
- 677 Doughty CE, Cheesman AW, Ruitta T *et al.* (2020) Predicting tropical tree mortality with leaf spectroscopy.
 678 Biotropica.
- boughty CE, Goldsmith GR, Raab N *et al.* (2018) What controls variation in carbon use efficiency among
 Amazonian tropical forests? Biotropica, **50**, 16-25.
- Doughty CE, Goulden ML (2008) Seasonal patterns of tropical forest leaf area index and CO2 exchange.
 Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 113.
- Ewers RM, Didham RK, Fahrig L *et al.* (2011) A large-scale forest fragmentation experiment: the Stability of
 Altered Forest Ecosystems Project. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological
 Sciences, 366, 3292-3302.
- Fisher RA, Williams M, Da Costa AL, Malhi Y, Da Costa RF, Almeida S, Meir P (2007) The response of an
 Eastern Amazonian rain forest to drought stress: results and modelling analyses from a throughfall
 exclusion experiment. Global Change Biology, 13, 2361-2378.
- Frey B, Hagedorn F, Giudici F (2006) Effect of girdling on soil respiration and root composition in a sweet
 chestnut forest. Forest Ecology and Management, 225, 271-277.
- Fujii K, Shibata M, Kitajima K, Ichie T, Kitayama K, Turner BL (2018) Plant-soil interactions maintain
 biodiversity and functions of tropical forest ecosystems. Ecological Research, 33, 149-160.
- 693 Girardin CaJ, Espejob JES, Doughty CE *et al.* (2014) Productivity and carbon allocation in a tropical montane 694 cloud forest in the Peruvian Andes. Plant Ecology & Diversity, **7**, 107-123.
- Hanpattanakit P, Leclerc MY, Mcmillan AMS *et al.* (2015) Multiple timescale variations and controls of soil
 respiration in a tropical dry dipterocarp forest, western Thailand. Plant and Soil, **390**, 167-181.
- Hanson PJ, Gunderson CA (2009) Root carbon flux: measurements versus mechanisms. New Phytologist, 184,
 4-6.
- Hedl R, Svatek M, Dancak M, Rodzay AW, Salleh ABM, Kamariah AS (2009) A new technique for inventory of permanent plots in tropical forests: a case study from lowland dipterocarp forest in Kuala Belalong, Brunei Darussalam. Blumea, 54, 124-130.
- Högberg P, Nordgren A, Buchmann N *et al.* (2001) Large-scale forest girdling shows that current
 photosynthesis drives soil respiration. Nature, 411, 789-792.
- Hopkins F, Gonzalez-Meler MA, Flower CE, Lynch DJ, Czimczik C, Tang JW, Subke JA (2013) Ecosystem level controls on root-rhizosphere respiration. New Phytologist, 199, 339-351.
- Irvine J, Law BE, Kurpius MR (2005) Coupling of canopy gas exchange with root and rhizosphere respiration
 in a semi-arid forest. Biogeochemistry, 73, 271-282.
- 708 Kassambara A, Kosinski M, Biecek P, Fabian S (2020) Drawing Survival Curves using 'ggplot2'. pp Page.

Kerfahi D, Tripathi BM, Lee J, Edwards DP, Adams JM (2014) The Impact of Selective-Logging and Forest
 Clearance for Oil Palm on Fungal Communities in Borneo. Plos One, 9.

Kuzyakov Y, Gavrichkova O (2010) Time lag between photosynthesis and carbon dioxide efflux from soil: a
 review of mechanisms and controls. Global Change Biology, 16, 3386-3406.

- Lamanna JA, Mangan SA, Alonso A *et al.* (2017) Plant diversity increases with the strength of negative density dependence at the global scale. Science, **356**, 1389-1392.
- Leake J, Johnson D, Donnelly D, Muckle G, Boddy L, Read D (2004) Networks of power and influence: the
 role of mycorrhizal mycelium in controlling plant communities and agroecosystem functioning.
 Canadian Journal of Botany, 82, 1016-1045.
- Li JQ, Pendall E, Dijkstra FA, Nie M (2020) Root effects on the temperature sensitivity of soil respiration
 depend on climatic condition and ecosystem type. Soil & Tillage Research, 199.
- Li Y, Xu M, Sun OJ, Cui W (2004) Effects of root and litter exclusion on soil CO2 efflux and microbial
 biomass in wet tropical forests. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36, 2111-2114.
- Lindahl BD, De Boer W, Finlay RD (2010) Disruption of root carbon transport into forest humus stimulates
 fungal opportunists at the expense of mycorrhizal fungi. Isme Journal, 4, 872-881.
- Maherali H, Oberle B, Stevens PF, Cornwell WK, Mcglinn DJ (2016) Mutualism Persistence and Abandonment
 during the Evolution of the Mycorrhizal Symbiosis. American Naturalist, 188, E113-E125.
- Malhi Y (2012) The productivity, metabolism and carbon cycle of tropical forest vegetation. Journal of Ecology,
 100, 65-75.
 Marthews T, Riutta T, Girardin C *et al.* (2015) Measuring tropical forest carbon allocation and cycling. In:
- Marthews T, Riutta T, Girardin C *et al.* (2015) Measuring tropical forest carbon allocation and cycling. In:
 Global Ecosystems Monitoring Network. pp Page, http:gem.tropicalforests.ox.ac.uk.
- 730 Martin AR, Thomas SC (2011) A Reassessment of Carbon Content in Tropical Trees. Plos One, 6.
- Mcguire KL, D'angelo H, Brearley FQ *et al.* (2015) Responses of Soil Fungi to Logging and Oil Palm
 Agriculture in Southeast Asian Tropical Forests. Microbial Ecology, 69, 733-747.
- Metcalfe DB, Meir P, Aragao LEOC *et al.* (2010) Shifts in plant respiration and carbon use efficiency at a large scale drought experiment in the eastern Amazon. New Phytologist, **187**, 608-621.
- Metcalfe DB, Meir P, Aragao LEOC *et al.* (2007) Factors controlling spatio-temporal variation in carbon
 dioxide efflux from surface litter, roots, and soil organic matter at four rain forest sites in the eastern
 Amazon. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 112.
- Nakagawa S, Schielzeth H (2013) A general and simple method for obtaining R2 from generalized linear
 mixed-effects models. Methods in Ecology and Evolution, 4, 133-142.
- Nottingham AT, Turner BL, Winter K, Van Der Heijden MGA, Tanner EVJ (2010) Arbuscular mycorrhizal
 mycelial respiration in a moist tropical forest. New Phytologist, **186**, 957-967.
- Ogle K, Pendall E (2015) Isotope partitioning of soil respiration: A Bayesian solution to accommodate multiple
 sources of variability. Journal of Geophysical Research-Biogeosciences, 120, 221-236.
- Ohashi M, Makita N, Katayama A *et al.* (2019) Characteristics of root decomposition based on in situ
 experiments in a tropical rainforest in Sarawak, Malaysia: impacts of root diameter and soil biota. Plant
 and Soil, 436, 439-448.
- Ouimette AP, Ollinger SV, Lepine LC *et al.* (2020) Accounting for Carbon Flux to Mycorrhizal Fungi May
 Resolve Discrepancies in Forest Carbon Budgets. Ecosystems, 23, 715-729.
- Pickles BJ, Wilhelm R, Asay AK, Hahn AS, Simard SW, Mohn WW (2017) Transfer of C-13 between paired
 Douglas-fir seedlings reveals plant kinship effects and uptake of exudates by ectomycorrhizas. New
 Phytologist, 214, 400-411.
- Riutta T, Malhi Y, Kho LK *et al.* (2018) Logging disturbance shifts net primary productivity and its allocation in Bornean tropical forests. Global Change Biology, 24, 2913-2928.
- Robinson SJB, Elias D, Johnson D *et al.* (2020) Soil Fungal Community Characteristics and Mycelial
 Production Across a Disturbance Gradient in Lowland Dipterocarp Rainforest in Borneo. Frontiers in
 Forests and Global Change, 3.
- Rubio VE, Detto M (2017) Spatiotemporal variability of soil respiration in a seasonal tropical forest. Ecology and Evolution, 7, 7104-7116.
- Santiago LS, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC, Fisher JB, Machado K, Woodruff D, Jones T (2004) Leaf
 photosynthetic traits scale with hydraulic conductivity and wood density in Panamanian forest canopy
 trees. Oecologia, 140, 543-550.
- Savage K, Davidson EA, Tang J (2013) Diel patterns of autotrophic and heterotrophic respiration among
 phenological stages. Global Change Biology, 19, 1151-1159.
- Sayer EJ, Tanner EVJ (2010) A new approach to trenching experiments for measuring root-rhizosphere
 respiration in a lowland tropical forest. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 42, 347-352.
- Smith ME, Henkel TW, Uehling JK, Fremier AK, Clarke HD, Vilgalys R (2013) The ectomycorrhizal fungal
 community in a neotropical forest dominated by the endemic dipterocarp *Pakaraimaea dipterocarpacea*. Plos One, 8, e55160.

- Smith SE, Read DJ (1997) Mycorrhizal Symbiosis, London, UK, Academic Press.
- 769 770 Steidinger BS, Crowther TW, Liang J et al. (2019) Climatic controls of decomposition drive the global 771 biogeography of forest-tree symbioses. Nature, 569, 404-+.
- 772 Tedersoo L, Bahram M (2019) Mycorrhizal types differ in ecophysiology and alter plant nutrition and soil 773 processes. Biological Reviews, 94, 1857-1880.
- 774 Tedersoo L, May TW, Smith ME (2010) Ectomycorrhizal lifestyle in fungi: global diversity, distribution, and 775 evolution of phylogenetic lineages. Mycorrhiza, 20, 217-263.
- 776 Therneau T (2020) A Package for Survival Analysis in R. pp Page.
- 777 Walsh RPD, Newbery DM (1999) The ecoclimatology of Danum, Sabah, in the context of the world's rainforest 778 regions, with particular reference to dry periods and their impact. Philosophical Transactions of the 779 Royal Society B-Biological Sciences, 354, 1869-1883.
- 780 Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M et al. (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature, 428, 821-827.
- 781 Yarwood SA, Myrold DD, Hogberg MN (2009) Termination of belowground C allocation by trees alters soil 782 783 fungal and bacterial communities in a boreal forest. Fems Microbiology Ecology, 70, 151-162.
- Zuur A, Ieno EN, Walker N, Saveliev AA, Smith GM (2009) Mixed effects models and extensions in ecology 784 with R, New York, USA, Springer Science & Business Media.
- 785

786

788 TABLE 1. The determinants of soil CO₂ efflux variation with time. The determinants of 789 soil CO₂ efflux include time following girdling, soil temperature and soil moisture. Mixedeffects models were fitted using maximum likelihood, by beginning with full model (4 790 791 variables, time following girdling, soil temperature, soil moisture as fixed effects and space as 792 a random effect) and step-wise parameter removal. The final model was determined by lowest 793 AIC value. The significance of fixed effects was determined by AIC likelihood ratio tests 794 comparing the full model against the model without the specified term. The analyses were 795 performed for all data (A, all subplots; where space = subplot identity, n = 6) and for individual 796 subplots (B, P14, 15, 21, 22, 24, 25; where space = within-subplot sampling location, n = 4).

A) All subplots	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value	
Fixed effects				
Time (relative day to girdling)	-4.517e-03	2.905e-04	< 2e-16 ***	
Soil temperature	6.153e-01	1.820e-01	0.000728 ***	
Soil moisture	1.819e-01	4.261e-02	1.99e-05 ***	
Random effects				
Space (subplot)	-3.695e-01	6.023e-01	0.539630	
AIC value			11854.46	
B) Individual subplots				
P14	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value	
Fixed effects				
Time (relative day to girdling)	-7.081e-03	4.199e-04	< 2e-16 ***	
Soil temperature	3.646	0.323	<2e-16 ***	
Soil moisture	-3.289e-01	8.249e-02	7.05e-05 ***	
Random effects				
Space (soil collar location)	-1.054e+01	1.088e+00	< 2e-16 ***	
AIC value			703.43	
P15	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value	

Fixed effects				
Time (relative day to girdling)	-8.091e-03	5.076e-04	<2e-16 ***	
Soil temperature	4.758e-01	3.535e-01	0.179	
Random effects				
Space (soil collar location)	-3.553e-01	1.145e+00	0.756	
AIC value			503.19	
P21	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value	
Fixed effects				
Time (relative day to girdling)	-6.723e-03	7.122e-04	< 2e-16 ***	
Soil temperature	2.011	0.2818	1.54e-12 ***	
Soil moisture	8.074e-01	9.529e-02	<2e-16 ***	
Random effects				
Space (soil collar location)	-3.898	0.9763	0.000104 ***	
AIC value			1533.418	
P22	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value	
Fixed effects				
Time (relative day to girdling)	-0.001870	0.000792	0.0184 *	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature	-0.001870 0.725352	0.000792 0.367786	0.0184 * 0.0489 *	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 ***	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i>	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 ***	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location)	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location) AIC value	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location) <u>AIC value</u> P24	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127 Parameter	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184 SE	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323 <i>P</i> -value	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location) AIC value P24 <i>Fixed effects</i>	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127 Parameter	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184 SE	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323 <i>P</i> -value	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location) AIC value P24 <i>Fixed effects</i> Time (relative day to girdling)	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127 Parameter -9.843e-04	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184 SE 5.014e-04	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323 <i>P</i> -value 0.0498 *	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture Random effects Space (soil collar location) AIC value P24 Fixed effects Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127 Parameter -9.843e-04 -1.940	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184 SE 5.014e-04 0.4002	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323 P-value 0.0498 * 1.36e-06 ***	
Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture <i>Random effects</i> Space (soil collar location) AIC value P24 <i>Fixed effects</i> Time (relative day to girdling) Soil temperature Soil moisture	-0.001870 0.725352 0.54594 -0.687127 Parameter -9.843e-04 -1.940 1.165	0.000792 0.367786 0.085829 1.194184 SE 5.014e-04 0.4002 8.627e-02	0.0184 * 0.0489 * 3.11e-10 *** 0.5652 803.3323 P-value 0.0498 * 1.36e-06 *** < 2e-16 ***	

Space (soil collar location)	9.170	1.298	2.31e-12 ***
AIC value			2711.232
P25	Parameter	SE	<i>P</i> -value
Fixed effects			
Time (relative day to girdling)	-2.143e-03	5.649e-04	0.000156 ***
Soil temperature	-1.215	3.603e-01	0.000773 ***
Soil moisture	-4.946e-01	8.475e-02	6.93e-09 ***
Random effects			
Space (soil collar location)	4.960	1.248	0.000114 ***
AIC value			1429.011

TABLE 2. The effect of tree community properties on the response of soil CO₂ efflux to girdling. The soil CO₂ efflux response to girdling was determined using the slope parameter of soil CO₂ efflux change over 50 days following girdling (see Table S1). To represent tree mortality in the model we used a 'tree mortality index' (Σ DBH*mortality), where Σ DBH was determined for all trees within a 10 x 10 m area around each soil CO₂ sampling point (soil collar, n = 24) and where mortality was the proportion of dead stems within each area one year after girding. Mixed-effects models were fitted using maximum likelihood, by beginning with full model (13 variables) and step-wise parameter removal. The final model was determined by lowest AIC value. The significance of fixed effects was determined by AIC likelihood ratio tests comparing the full model against the model without the specified term.

	Coefficient	SE	<i>P</i> -value
Fixed effects			
Tree mortality index	0.030983	0.008894	0.0019**
	0.010114	0.005064	0.01(00.*
Dipterocarpaceae Dryobalanops	-0.013114	0.005064	0.01608 *
Dintonoggungeogo Shoung	0.012262	0.004202	0 00492 **
Dipierocurpaceae Snorea	0.013302	0.004302	0.00482
Random effects			
Kanaom ejjeets			
Space (soil collar location)	-0.150684	0. 0.047454	0.00408 **
AIC value			-100.5726

Thirteen fixed terms were used in the initial model, including tree properties (d.b.h., biomass, wood density and total crown projection), tree girdling responses (mortality after 1 year and a weighted mortality value of d.b.h.*mortality) and tree community properties (crown projection for each dominant species grouped by family, *Dipterocarpaceae*, *Urticaceae*, *Fagaceae* and *Rubiaceae*. We used a random effect of space (soil collar location).

798

799

800

801

803 **TABLE 3**

804 Results of univariate Cox proportional hazards regression modelling of mortality in the

805 **first 376 days post-girdling event.** Univariate variables tested individually before examining

806 possible correlation via examination of multivariate influence of wood density (mg cm $^{-3}$) and

807 family identity as *Dipterocarpaceae or Fagaceae* on the risk of mortality.

808

Variable	Coefficient	Hazard Ratio (HR)		<i>P</i> -value
		exp(coef)	se(coef)	
Univariate				
Dipterocarpaceae (0,1)	1.73	5.628	0.199	< 0.001
Fagaceae (0,1)	1.1048	3.0185	0.4591	0.039
Wood Density (mg cm ⁻³)	-0.0035	0.997	0.0001	< 0.001
Projected Crown Area (m ²)	-0.0018	0.998	0.002	0.24
Diameter (cm)	0.0042	1.000	0.006	0.52
Multivariate				
Dipterocarpaceae or Fagacea	2.08	7.99	0.257	< 0.001
(0,1)				
Wood Density (mg cm ⁻³)	-0.0024	0.998	0.0010	0.0176

Tree community biomass and mortality following girdling. Tree species are grouped by family. Data are for all twelve subplots (total area of 0.48 ha): summed above-ground tree biomass (kg C) with the total number of individuals is listed at the end of each bar. Dark red shading represents the proportion of individuals that died within one year of girdling. See Fig. S5 for the same data for the six subplots for which soil CO_2 efflux was measured. Further information on properties and mortality of individual trees and their distribution among the subplots are in Table S1.



The average response of soil CO₂ efflux to girdling. Data points are daily averages for 12 diurnal measurements (soil CO₂ efflux measured every 2 hours for 24 hours) and for four spatial replicates for six subplots. Girdling occurred on day 0 (vertical stippled line) and measurements continued for up to 70 days following girdling. Subplot numbers are shown (14, 15, 21, 22, 24, 25), including relative dominance of *Dipterocarpaceae* per subplot (% of total biomass). Significant relationships between CO₂ efflux and time are shown for 3 of the 6 plots (marginal effect in subplot 25). Linear model outputs are presented in Table S1. The results are supported by linear mixed models in Table 1, showing a dominant effect of time-following girdling for subplots 14-21, with greater effects of other environmental factors (temperature and moisture) for subplots 22-25.



836 Soil CO₂ efflux, temperature and moisture over time following girdling. The figure shows 837 the change in total soil CO₂ emissions over five four-day periods before and after the girdling 838 treatment (top two rows); and diurnal variation in soil temperature (third row) and moisture 839 (bottom row) at 0-10cm depth. Points are coloured gold for measurements between 6:00 and 840 18:00 (day) and blue for between 18:00 and 6:00 (night). The mean trend line is shown in black 841 with error bars representing one standard error of the mean (n = 4 per subplot). Soil CO₂ data 842 are for subplots 14 and 15, soil temperature and moisture data for subplot 15 (see Fig. 2 for average responses, based on raw data for all plots). Time periods are phase 1 (pre-girdling; 843 844 relative days -11 to -7) followed by phase 2 (relative days 0-4), phase 3 (relative days 12-16), phase 4 (relative days 24-28) and phase 5 (relative days 49-53). Average soil CO₂ emission 845 before girdling (phase 1) was 5.69 and 5.61 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ for subplots 14 and 15, 846 respectively, which decreased by 29% and 44%, to 4.09 and 3.14 µmol CO₂ m⁻² s⁻¹ after 49 847 848 days (phase 5).



851 The probability of survival following girdling: for all tree species (A) and grouped by the 852 families Dipterocarpaceae and Fagacea versus others when assuming a plot average for wood 853 density (B). The probability of survival was determined across the 209 trees monitored for 376 854 days across 18 inventories using multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression modelling 855 (see Table 3), examining the impact of wood density and family identity. See methods for 856 further detail and information on how mortality was determined. We show that for every increase of 1 mg cm⁻³ in wood density there was a reduction in the hazard of mortality by a 857 858 factor of 0.998 or 0.002%, while being *Dipterocarpaceae* or *Fagaceae* resulted in a substantial 859 increase in the Hazard Ratio of 7.99, or 799%.



869 The relationship between root-rhizosphere respiration and the dominance of

870 *Dipterocarpaceae*. Root-rhizosphere respiration was calculated according to the difference

- 871 (reduction) in soil CO₂ efflux before girdling and 40-60 days following girdling, and is
- 872 expressed at % of total soil CO₂ efflux. Points represent subplots. (y = 0.84x+0.3; F = 27.3,
- 873 DF = 4, p = 0.006, $R^2 = 0.84$).

