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Abstract:

Connecting basic data about bats and other potential hosts of SARS-CoV-2 with their ecological context is critical for understanding the emergence and spread of COVID-19. However, when global lockdown started in March 2020, the world’s bat experts were locked out of their research laboratories, which, in turn, locked up large volumes of offline ecological and taxonomic data. Pandemic lockdowns have put a magnifying glass on the long-standing problem of biological ‘dark data’: data which are published, but disconnected from digital knowledge resources, and thus unavailable for high-throughput analysis. Knowledge of host-to-virus ecological interactions will be biased until this challenge is addressed. Here we outline two viable solutions: (i) how to interconnect published data about host organisms, viruses, and other pathogens in the short term; and (ii) how to shift the publishing paradigm beyond unstructured text (‘PDF prison’) to labeled networks of digital knowledge. Biological taxonomy is foundational to both solutions as the indexing system for biodiversity data. Building digitally connected ‘knowledge graphs’ of host-pathogen interactions will establish the needed agility for quickly identifying reservoir hosts of novel zoonoses, allow for more robust predictions of emergence, and thereby strengthen planetary health systems.

Main text:

An irony of COVID-19 likely originating from a bat-borne coronavirus (1) is that the global lockdown to quell the pandemic also locked up physical access to much-needed knowledge about bats. Basic data about bat diversity, ecology, and geography, as well as that of other potential mammal hosts (1,2), was suddenly critical for understanding SARS-CoV-2’s emergence and spread. However, with the world’s bat experts unable to access their research laboratories, any undigitized or offline data was also locked down. In a matter of days, lockdowns around the world had dramatically reduced the accessibility of scientific knowledge. Why, in this digitally-connected age, was basic knowledge about species and their ecological interactions not already digitized,
online, and openly accessible to all? What must be done to improve global access to public health-related biodiversity knowledge?

Understanding why biodiversity science was unprepared—and how to fix it before the next crisis—has been a hot topic, spawning multiple taskforces in the biodiversity research community since the pandemic began (e.g., (3–5)). Of key interest has been mending the chasm in knowledge transfer from the physical biocollections, which contain the preserved specimens, tissues, and associated material used to describe biodiversity, to biomedical scientists in health-related fields like infectious disease, epidemiology, and virology. Most biodiversity knowledge ever published remains effectively locked in textual, unstructured articles, and is thus isolated from efforts to synthesize global ecological interactions. These data are ‘known’ in publications but are digitally disconnected—revealing a striking ‘knowledge frontier’ that is preventing scientists from digitally discovering their existence. With human activities like land conversion hastening the emergence of zoonoses (6), it is increasingly urgent to build interconnected networks of digital knowledge.

**ILLUMINATING BIODIVERSITY DARK DATA**

Physicists accept that dark matter exists, but they have difficulty measuring it. In the same way, biodiversity scientists are aware of large quantities of ‘dark data’ in publications, but have difficulty synthesizing it, either because such data are old and rare (e.g., inside archival or gray literature) or new and locked (e.g., behind paywalls, in digitally unreadable formats, or unlinked to other data). Traditionally, a particular research project might manually synthesize information from hundreds or thousands of articles in disparate formats over the course of years, yielding a comprehensive ‘snapshot’ of written knowledge. Still today, gathering the widely scattered biodiversity data relevant to mammal host-virus interactions would take years instead of the needed weeks for responding to a crisis like the SARS-CoV-2 outbreak. Remarkably, new articles continue to worsen the dark data dilemma, since the ubiquitous ‘portable data format’ (PDF)
requires substantial efforts to make ecological phenomena like host-virus interactions extractable for re-use (hence the term ‘PDF prison’ (7)). To address deeply interconnected global problems like COVID-19, it is imperative to implement new solutions rooted in building expansive digital knowledge (Fig. 1).

For data to form digital knowledge, they must first be published in datasets that are open access and **FAIR** — Findable on the web, digitally Accessible, Interoperable among different computing systems, and thus Reusable for later analyses. Satisfying all of these criteria opens the door for creating highly useful ‘knowledge graphs’ (8,9), in which digital open data are meaningfully linked together on massive scales, forming knowledge that is collectively greater than its sum. As Tim Berners-Lee presciently wrote in 2006, “it is the unexpected re-use of information which is the value added by the web” (10). Illuminating the zoonotic origins of COVID-19 is exactly the kind of unexpected re-use of data that biodiversity science was ill-prepared to address at the start of the pandemic. Building a comprehensive host-virus knowledge graph will furthermore enable rapidly improving artificial intelligence algorithms (e.g., in the fields of natural language processing, NLP (11), and knowledge reasoning (12)) to flexibly learn from the structure of digital knowledge.

**Fig. 1. The evolution of biodiversity knowledge from analog to digital.** Extracting written knowledge from publications into databases is only the first step toward creating forms of digital, structured knowledge in which ecological interactions and evidence thereof are additionally annotated. Such ‘knowledge graphs’ include levels of confidence in each annotation as derived from evidence sources, which enable high-throughput integrative modeling of complex ecological dynamics like viral spillover. Much written knowledge is undigitized and digitally disconnected, forming ‘dark data’ from the perspective of synthetic knowledge graphs.
TAXONOMY AS THE KEY TO HOST-VIRUS KNOWLEDGE

Linking viruses to animal hosts, hosts to environments, and hosts to other hosts is the raw material needed to build a host-virus knowledge graph (Fig. 2A). However, meaningfully connecting host species, viral species, and their ecological traits requires mastery of a fundamental but undersold discipline: biological taxonomy. For at least three centuries, mainstream science has used the names of species—most often the ‘genus & species’ pair of Linnaean taxonomy—to index research findings. Virtually all observations about organismal behaviors and functions, habitats, genomics, and pathogens are linked to species names via sections of publications called ‘taxonomic treatments’, in which authors describe the boundaries of species (and other taxa) based on physical evidence. Because that evidence—especially from preserved specimens and derived data like DNA sequences—has improved along with the science of taxonomy through time, multiple names may have been used to refer to similar sets of organisms. Thus, making sense of biodiversity data requires keeping track of how the meaning of taxonomic names has changed historically (e.g., synonyms, varying name usages).

How species names have been used by different authors over time is the ‘taxonomic passkey’ for opening otherwise locked host-to-virus interactions in publications. By linking species names, evidence, and taxonomic treatments through time, it is possible to create ‘taxonomic intelligence’ services (13) that allow for flexible conversion of named species data across taxonomies. For example, SARS-like coronaviruses observed in horseshoe bats identified as *Rhinolophus sinicus* in 2013 (14) need to be resolved relative to the 2019 re-classification of portions of this species as *R. thomasi* and *R. rouxii* (15). However, updating the taxonomy of named data when taxonomic concepts have been split is not yet possible aside from manually on small scales. Existing taxonomic infrastructures like the [Catalogue of Life](https://www.catalogueoflife.org) have not prioritized building large scale solutions to this problem, primarily because taxonomic changes are often very rapid. Even in a relatively well known group like mammals, the global number of species recognized has changed...
by >40% in the last 25 years (16) over which time the number of described viruses has increased by a staggering 400% (17). Keeping track of mammal-to-virus interactions relative to that taxonomic flux has not been incentivized in proportion to its importance for understanding zoonotic emergence. Therefore, we must make efforts to prioritize the building of taxonomic intelligence services, which will then enable the extraction and meaningful linking of named host-to-virus interaction data on planetary scales.

Fig. 2. Connecting digital knowledge of host-virus interactions. (A) The sharing of viruses among humans and other mammals is remarkably common, yet the ecological circumstances under which spillover occurs are poorly understood. (B) Digitally liberating ecological knowledge from locked publications requires building taxonomic intelligence—i.e., how and why species names have been used through time—and then using that taxonomic ‘passkey’ to liberate and
connect ‘dark’ interaction data hidden in publications. Alternatively, data can be ‘born connected’ if new articles are published using computer-readable (semantic) tags for ecological interactions like ‘has host’ or ‘pathogen of.’ Both pathways will enable newly comprehensive knowledge graphs that connect host-virus interactions with underlying evidence.

TOWARD A HOST-VIRUS KNOWLEDGE GRAPH

Thankfully, two decades of work in the digital knowledge arena (e.g., (8,10,13,18,19)) has established foundations for a two-pronged approach to building host-virus knowledge (Fig. 2B). First, dark data needs to be liberated from existing publications. These efforts are being led by Plazi (18)—a pioneering platform for literature digitization, extraction, and linking—to create new flows of digital data from printed books, archives, and otherwise locked publications. For example, the Plazi services Synospecies and Ocellus have recently indexed taxonomic names and images, respectively, from taxonomic treatments spanning from Linnaeus’ initial 1758 publication Systema Naturae to the recent Handbook of the Mammals of the World series (20), making them available on the Biodiversity Literature Repository (21). Once digitally indexed, taxonomic data can be annotated and connected to biocollection-based evidence to formally align taxonomic names with their biological meanings. This liberated taxonomic knowledge allows for more robust literature searches and subsequent name translation of host-virus interaction data. Such efforts have already discovered reliable data on 1,146 host-virus interactions from selected publications (Coronavirus-Host Community on Zenodo). Second, new articles need to be published without creating more dark data. Exemplary in this area are efforts being led by Pensoft—publisher of biodiversity journals such as ZooKeys—to publish using computer-readable semantic annotations during the normal publishing process (22), allowing immediate indexing afterwards (23). For example, Pensoft responded to COVID-19 by beginning to index parts of speech such as ‘has host’ and ‘pathogen of’ to assist with mining biotic interactions from article texts and tables, which has netted over 2,000 biotic interactions now annotated as article metadata (24). Such digital enhancements greatly streamline the process of data extraction, because new articles already
contain digital text, linking terms, and thus a native form of digital knowledge. These data are 'born connected' relative to the post-processing steps needed with traditional PDF publishing.

To build a singular host-virus knowledge graph requires a central hub for discovering relevant data, resolving disparate taxonomies, and connecting the resulting insights. Promising progress by the Global Biotic Interactions database GloBI—an open-access ecological network across all of life (19)—has led to new pipelines for ecological data to flow from sources of both ‘old’ (20,21) and ‘new’ literature (24). From April to October 2020 alone, these pipelines resulted in adding >53,000 host-virus data points to GloBI (see dataset on Zenodo (25)). These associations involve 19% more valid species of mammals than were identified in a recent host-virus synthesis (897 vs. 754 species in (26)). Such a dramatic initial effort illustrates the potential for broad-scale data linking to yield new insights. Yet these are small steps relative to what could eventually comprise a comprehensive and taxonomically nimble graph of not only host-virus but host-pathogen and broader ecological knowledge. What interconnected phenomena might be illuminated when such knowledge is freely available to the world’s scientists and public health specialists?

BEYOND THE PDF: KNOWLEDGE THAT IS BORN CONNECTED

We have outlined ways to interconnect, and thus liberate, previously 'dark' host-pathogen interactions from publications. However, doing so is expensive and so is infeasible at scale if publishers continue to publish under the same paradigm. Therefore, we recommend three immediate policy changes: (i) major journals should switch to publishing formats that are not only open access and FAIR, but also semantically tagged with terms relevant to broad-scale ecological interactions (especially host-pathogen and host-host relationships); (ii) academic institutions should incentivize (e.g., via tenure evaluations, paying open-access fees) publishing in such ‘born connected’ journals; and (iii) investments in data generation should be balanced with infrastructure enhancements for data reuse, incentivizing the construction of increasingly
complete biodiversity knowledge graphs. Taxonomists, ecologists, data scientists, and policymakers have essential roles to play in this paradigm shift toward digital knowledge.

The value-added by digitally connected knowledge is tremendous, both for its potential to build nonlinear insights and to expand the capacity of biodiversity researchers around the world, especially in the Global South (27). Limitations to accessing biodiversity information in developing countries are diverse, including gaps in geographical knowledge; lack of data sharing among and between scientists and policymakers; inaccessible presentations of information; and limited financial resources. Efforts are hence needed not only to increase, as is often called for, biodiversity monitoring, but also to support the capacity of local scientific and citizen communities to mobilize the resulting data into digital knowledge infrastructures. Pandemics demonstrate that human societies are inextricably linked regardless of wealth, so that building a biodiversity knowledge commons will benefit all.

We cannot continue to waste resources to rediscover biodiversity a second time. Unprecedented reliability of knowledge about biological interactions is now required to address multiple socio-ecological challenges, from COVID-19 to biodiversity loss and runaway climate change, each of which exists on scales too massive and too detailed for any one individual to observe alone. The COVID-19 pandemic teaches us that siloed science does not serve society as well as its alternative. Multiple novel solutions, including vaccines and treatments, are beginning to free us from this pandemic. However, the solution for our limited access to ecological knowledge is already here. We already have much of the technology needed to liberate and connect biodiversity data across the entire tree of life—what is most lacking is the collective will to do so.
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