# Contrasting climate velocity impacts in warm and cool locations show that effects of marine warming are worse in already warmer temperate waters

Philina A. English<sup>1,\*</sup>, Eric J. Ward<sup>4</sup>, Christopher N. Rooper<sup>1</sup>, Robyn E. Forrest<sup>1</sup>, Luke A. Rogers<sup>1</sup>, Karen L. Hunter<sup>1</sup>, Andrew M. Edwards<sup>1,3</sup>, Brendan M. Connors<sup>2</sup>, and Sean C. Anderson<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Pacific Biological Station, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Nanaimo, BC, Canada
 <sup>2</sup>Institute of Ocean Sciences, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Sidney, BC, Canada
 <sup>3</sup>Department of Biology, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada
 <sup>4</sup>Northwest Fisheries Science Centre, National Marine Fisheries Service, National Oceanographic and Atmospheric Administration, Seattle, WA, USA
 <sup>5</sup>Department of Mathematics, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada
 <sup>\*</sup>corresponding author: philina.english@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

Alternate titles:

Warming worse in already warmer temperate waters: a meta-analysis of the impact of climate trends and velocities on 38 species of demersal marine fishes

Impacts of climate velocity on demersal fish biomass depend on initial climate conditions: a metaanalysis across 38 species in the northeast Pacific

Running head: Contrasting climate velocity impacts

## Abstract

- 2 Species responses to climate change are often measured at broad spatiotemporal scales, which can miss fine-scale changes that are relevant to conservation and fisheries management. We develop
- <sup>4</sup> a scale-able geostatistical approach to assess how juvenile and adult fish distributions have been shaped by changes in bottom temperature and dissolved oxygen over a recent decade of warming
- 6 in the northeast Pacific. Across 38 demersal fishes, biomass trends were associated negatively with warming and positively with dissolved oxygen, but when trends in both biomass and climate were
- 8 converted to velocities—the speed and direction an organism would have to move to maintain consistent conditions—the effect of temperature change differed depending on local conditions. In
- the warmest locations, warming velocities were associated with negative biotic velocities for 19 of 69 species-maturity combinations, and yet were almost always associated with stable or posi-
- tive biotic velocities in the coolest locations (64 of 69). These spatially consistent biomass declines (negative biotic velocities) in the warmest locations and increases in cooler locations suggest a
- 14 redistribution of species with the potential for new ecological and fisheries interactions. After controlling for temperature, the more spatially consistent effects of dissolved oxygen were often
- 16 negative, suggesting a mechanism other than hypoxia avoidance—potentially changes in primary production. Our approach identifies the species and locations that are most sensitive to observed
- 18 changes in the environment at any scale, thus facilitating future vulnerability assessments.
- 20 Keywords: biotic velocity, climate change, context dependence, dissolved oxygen, groundfish, spatiotemporal distribution models

	$\mathbf{C}$		-+-
22	$\mathbf{COI}$	itei	ιts

	Abstract	2
24	Introduction	4
	Methods	7
26	Survey data	7
	Estimating spatiotemporal variation in maturity-specific biomass density $\ldots$	7
28	Climate trends and velocities	10
	Linking biotic changes with climate	12
30	Simulation study	13
	Life-history and ecological correlates of climate sensitivity	14
32	Results	15
	Climate trends and velocities	15
34	Linking biotic changes with climate	16
	Life-history and ecological correlates of climate sensitivity	17
36	Discussion	19
	Scale and context dependence	19
38	Limitations and implications	21
	Acknowledgements	23
40	Data Availability Statement	24
	Tables	34
42	Figures	35

## Introduction

- <sup>44</sup> Managing the impacts of a rapidly changing climate on ecological communities, particularly those that provide food for humans, is a critical challenge facing society (e.g., Doney *et al.* 2012). An in-
- 46 crease in atmospheric CO2 is not only causing increases in both mean ocean temperature and the frequency of extreme heat waves (Frölicher *et al.* 2018), but is also affecting patterns of circulation,
- <sup>48</sup> productivity, and marine chemistry (Pörtner *et al.* 2019). Combined, these environmental changes can impact the distribution and abundance of many ecologically and commercially important fish
- <sup>50</sup> species, leading to local loss of some species, colonizations, and changes in species interactions and bycatch composition (e.g., Pinsky and Fogarty 2012, García Molinos *et al.* 2016, Morley *et al.*
- <sup>52</sup> 2018). Furthermore, such changes can lead to temporary climate-induced hyperstability—catch rates that remain high despite population declines—if organisms move towards preferred habitat
- 54 during population decline, or if population density increases faster than range expansion during population growth (the basin model of density-dependent habitat selection theory; MacCall 1990,
- <sup>56</sup> Thorson *et al.* 2016b). Because traditional stock assessment methods, fisheries regulations, and choices regarding habitat protection generally assume stationary species distributions, new meth-
- <sup>58</sup> ods that anticipate and incorporate the effects of climate change on species distributions will be crucial for successful resource management in the future (Hare *et al.* 2010, Bell *et al.* 2020).
- 50 Species responses to climate change are often studied along range edges (Parmesan and Yohe 2003, Sunday *et al.* 2015, Fredston *et al.* 2020, Fredston-Hermann *et al.* 2020) or as aggregate in-
- dices (e.g., at species or region levels; Pinsky *et al.* 2013, Thorson *et al.* 2016a, Morley *et al.* 2018).
   The centre of gravity is perhaps the most commonly used measure of changes in distribution for
- marine fishes (e.g., Perry *et al.* 2005, Rindorf and Lewy 2006, Dulvy *et al.* 2008, Nye *et al.* 2009,
   Adams *et al.* 2018, Rooper *et al.* 2020). However, these approaches can overlook fine-scale spatial
- <sup>66</sup> variation that may be important for understanding species responses (Oldfather *et al.* 2020) and distribution shifts may take longer to manifest at aggregate scales. Aggregate measures such as
- 68 the centre of gravity are also challenging to interpret and apply in cases where both surveys and management actions are constrained by geographic or political boundaries that partition the dis-
- <sup>70</sup> tribution of a species. Indeed, laboratory experiments have demonstrated that thermal tolerances and optimums can differ sub-regionally (e.g., Pörtner *et al.* 2008) and there is evidence that warm
- 72 range edges have shifted further north than expected and cold range edges contracted southward

(in the northern hemisphere), which suggest roles for competition, depredation, and/or density

- 74 dependent habitat selection (Fredston *et al.* 2020). Despite this, projections of future species' distributions often assume that responses to climate variables are consistent across space and time
- 76 (e.g., Morley *et al.* 2018). While coarse-scale changes can be informative for long-term planning, changes in local abundances at finer spatial scales will likely occur more quickly due to the shorter
- 78 dispersal distances involved and may be more informative in steering local conservation actions. Local velocities are commonly used to quantify changes at finer spatial scales than captured
- 80 in population-wide indices (e.g., Brito-Morales *et al.* 2018). A local climate velocity represents the movement of an isocline—a boundary along which a climate metric is constant. More intuitively,
- 82 a climate velocity gives the speed and direction an individual must move to maintain a constant climate condition (e.g., temperature) (Loarie *et al.* 2009). Gradient-based estimates of climate ve-
- 84 locity are calculated as a trend in a climate metric through time (e.g., temperature trend), which can be positive or negative, divided by the local gradient in space comprised of a magnitude and
- 86 direction (see Methods Eq. 12; Table 1; Burrows *et al.* 2011). These velocities scale local climate trends to emphasize locations where climate is relatively consistent across a neighbourhood of
- cells. Alternatively, analog-based velocities are estimated using search algorithms that identify nearest climate matches within a user-defined threshold of change from the reference cell con-
- 90 ditions (Hamann et al. 2015). While analog-based velocity estimates can be more geographically precise, the choice of thresholds and other statistical properties (e.g., clumpiness) make them less
- 92 useful than gradient-based local velocities for meta-analysis (Ordonez and Williams 2013). Changes in abundance, density, or probability of species occurrence can also be expressed as
- velocities (e.g, Serra-Diaz *et al.* 2014, Comte and Grenouillet 2015, Alabia *et al.* 2018). When applied to species distribution models, these are referred to as biotic velocities and can be thought of as the
- 96 minimum distance one would have to move to maintain an equivalent degree of habitat suitability (Carroll *et al.* 2015, Comte and Grenouillet 2015). Similarly to climate velocity, a positive local
- 98 biotic velocity is associated with an increase in habitat suitability at the focal location and a negative value represents a decline in suitability. The magnitude of the velocity estimates the distance
- to the nearest location that is predicted to match the original probability of occurrence or abundance. Because changes in climate may cause shifts in fish population density before range shifts

- based on presence-absence are clearly detectable, abundance and biomass-based models of species distributions are potentially more sensitive to local change than simple occupancy estimates.
- Bottom-trawl fisheries tend to capture a taxonomically and ecologically diverse suite of fishes.
   For example, the groundfish bottom-trawl fishery in Canadian Pacific waters encounters >100
- species (Anderson *et al.* 2019), many of which are managed via an individual transferable quota system with 100% at-sea and dockside monitoring (Turris 2000, Wallace *et al.* 2015, DFO 2019).
- 108 Random depth-stratified fishery-independent bottom trawl surveys have been fitted with conductivity, temperature, depth (CTD), and dissolved oxygen (DO) sensors since 2008. In addition to
- estimates of biomass density for each species captured in the surveys, data on size distributions and reproductive maturity are collected for many species (Anderson *et al.* 2019). Collectively, these
- species occupy a large range of depths, especially along the shelf edge where short movements can result in large environmental changes, and vary in their potential for behavioural responses
- to climate. For example, some species are migratory or highly mobile (e.g., Sablefish, Anoplopoma fimbria, many flatfish species), while others are relatively sedentary (e.g., many species of rockfish,

116 Sebastes spp.).

Here, we explore the extent to which groundfish distributions in the northeast Pacific have been shaped by local temperature and DO trends and velocities over a decade spanning a rela-118 tively cool period through a recent marine heat wave (Okey et al. 2014, Frölicher and Laufkötter 2018). We do this by quantifying broad patterns and species-level relationships between climatic 120 and biotic change in order to answer the following questions: (1) Are local changes in bottom temperature or DO correlated with changes in local groundfish densities, and are these effects 122 stronger in already warm or low-oxygen regions? For example, has local warming had a larger effect in locations that are already at the warm-extreme of a species' local distribution? (2) How 124 do these relationships differ between the spatial contexts captured by gradient-based velocities vs. their component trends? (3) How do these effects vary between species and are they correlated 126 with life-history characteristics such as age and growth rate; or ecological traits such as depth range, latitude, trophic level, foraging zone, or sociality? We address these questions by using 128 spatiotemporal models applied to a decade of survey-derived climate and species density data,

130 and then assess relationships between velocities of biotic and climatic change for 38 commonly

encountered species using a geostatistically explicit hierarchical analysis that controls for change in both temperature and DO.

## Methods

#### 134 Survey data

We analyzed biomass density distributions and morphometric data for 38 species of groundfish that were regularly encountered by fisheries-independent bottom-trawl surveys and are widely distributed within Canadian Pacific waters (DFO 2020, Table S1). The surveys were stratified within

- 138 four regions, two of which were surveyed in odd years (Hecate Strait and Queen Charlotte Sound) and two in even years (West Coast Vancouver Island and West Coast Haida Gwaii) since at least
- 140 2005. Each region was sampled over the same month-long period between late May and early August in each survey year. Combined, these surveys covered most of the upper continental slope
- 142 and shelf in Pacific Canada and shared similar random depth-stratified designs, fishing gear, and fishing protocols (Sinclair *et al.* 2003). We only included tows of > 15 minutes duration and con-
- verted total biomass of each species to a biomass density based on the speed, distance covered, and net opening (e.g., Williams *et al.* 2018, Anderson *et al.* 2019). Biological sampling protocols
- 146 varied among species, depending on size of catch and commercial importance. In general, catches of between 10–50 fish were sexed, weighed and measured individually, while larger catches were
- 148 subsampled and, for commercially important species, maturity data and otoliths for aging were collected.

#### 150 Estimating spatiotemporal variation in maturity-specific biomass density

Because ontogenetic shifts in habitat, particularly depth, are well documented for groundfish species (e.g., Mindel *et al.* 2016, Barbeaux and Hollowed 2018, Li *et al.* 2019), we estimated biomass densities separately for mature and immature size classes whenever possible. Maturity was not

- assessed for certain Chondrichthyans, or when catches were particularly low. To do this, we first estimated length at 50% maturity as defined by gonadal development stages using ogives fit as
- 156 sex-specific logistic regressions to individual specimens (see Supplementary Methods). To split the estimated biomass density per tow into mature and immature components, we calculated the

- summed biomass of all measured fish that were above (for mature) or below (for immature) the length-at-50% maturity threshold, divided by the total biomass of all measured fish, and multiplied
- this ratio by the estimated biomass density for each tow. For each tow that resulted in too small a catch for detailed measurements to have been taken, we applied the mean ratio from all mea-
- sured tows to estimate mature biomass (applied to a median of 9% [range 1-40%] of each species' total sampled biomass). For species without any maturity data, we assumed that the total biomass
- estimate represented the mature population, because mature individuals are larger, and therefore likely to be numerically dominant (\* in Table S1). However, it is possible that biomass sampled
- in some areas was actually dominated by immature individuals, particularly for the skate species, whose mean lengths fall close to the sizes at maturity found in Love (2011).
- We modelled spatiotemporal biomass density separately for mature and immature fish of each species using spatial GLMMs. Environmental variables, such as temperature, may be included in
   these models explicitly and can be used to assess the vulnerability or tolerance of a given species
- that effects are constant through both time and space). Instead, we use a climate-agnostic version of a species distribution model with a spatiotemporal random effect structure to estimate

to change (Godefroid et al. 2019). However, such approaches require strong assumptions (e.g.,

- 174 local variability in biomass density change. Our models relied on spatial random effects to capture unmeasured components of habitat suitability and allow suitability to change through time
- 176 without making assumptions about the shape of species-specific responses to possible climatic and geographic covariates (e.g., Shelton *et al.* 2014, Ward *et al.* 2015, Thorson *et al.* 2015b, 2017). We
- 178 modelled each species and maturity class separately because, although these density patterns are correlated, explicitly modelling those relationships among groundfish species has not been shown
- 180 to dramatically improve precision of overall estimates (Thorson and Barnett 2017), and maintaining independent estimates should make identification of shared climate responses more conservative.
- We modelled biomass density with a Tweedie distribution and a log link because densities contain both zeros and positive continuous values (Tweedie 1984, Dunn and Smyth 2005, Anderson
   *et al.* 2019):

$$Y_{s,t} \sim \text{Tweedie}\left(\mu_{s,t}, p, \phi\right), \ 1$$

$$\mu_{s,t} = \exp\left(\alpha_t + \gamma_{1,t}D_{s,t} + \gamma_{2,t}D_{s,t}^2 + \omega_s + \epsilon_{s,t}\right),\tag{2}$$

$$\gamma_{1,t} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\gamma_{1,t-1}, \sigma_{\gamma_1}^2\right),$$
(3)

$$\gamma_{2,t} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\gamma_{2,t-1}, \sigma_{\gamma_2}^2\right),$$
(4)

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim \text{MVNormal}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\right),\tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim \text{MVNormal}\left(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\right),\tag{6}$$

- where  $Y_{s,t}$  represents the biomass density at point in space s and time t,  $\mu$  represents the mean biomass density, p represents the Tweedie power parameter, and  $\phi$  represents the Tweedie dis-
- persion parameter. The parameter  $\alpha_t$  represents the mean effect for each year, and  $\gamma_{1,t}$  and  $\gamma_{2,t}$ represent time-varying coefficients associated with depth (*D*) and depth-squared covariates (*D*<sup>2</sup>),
- respectively, which both follow a random walk constrained by  $\sigma_{\gamma_1}^2$  and  $\sigma_{\gamma_2}^2$ . The initial values  $\gamma_{1,t}$ and  $\gamma_{2,t}$  at t = 1 share an implied Uniform $(-\infty, \infty)$  prior. We considered alternative covariates not
- described here (Supporting Methods) The parameters  $\omega_s$  and  $\epsilon_{s,t}$  represent spatial and spatiotemporal random effects that were assumed drawn from Gaussian Markov random fields (e.g., Latimer
- *et al.* 2009, Cressie and Wikle 2011, Lindgren *et al.* 2011) with covariance matrices  $\Sigma_{\omega}$  and  $\Sigma_{\epsilon}$  that were constrained by Matérn covariance functions (Cressie and Wikle 2011). The covariance matri-
- 196 ces for a given maturity-species combination shared a common  $\kappa$  parameter that controls the rate of decay of spatial correlation with distance (Cressie and Wikle 2011).
- We modelled the spatial components as random fields using a triangulated mesh with vertices selected using a k-means algorithm (via the k-means function in R; e.g., Shelton *et al.* 2014) at
- a specified number of locations, known as knots, used to approximate the spatial variability in observations. We used 500 knots for mature density, 400 for immature density, and 300 for less
- 202 well-sampled species (Bocaccio *Sebastes paucispinis*, Shortraker *Sebastes borealis*, and immature Redstripe Rockfish *Sebastes proriger*). Based on estimated values of the spatial surface at these
- knot locations, we used bilinear interpolation to approximate a continuous spatial field (Rue *et al.* 2009, Lindgren *et al.* 2011).
- We fit our models in R version 3.6.1 (R Core Team 2019) with the R package sdmTMB (Anderson *et al.* 2019, 2020), which interfaces automatic differentiation and the Laplace approximation in the

- 208 TMB (Template Model Builder) R package (Kristensen *et al.* 2016) with the SPDE (Stochastic Partial Differential Equation) approximation to Gaussian Markov fields from the INLA (Integrated Nested
- 210 Laplace Approximation) R package (Rue *et al.* 2009) to find the value of the fixed effects that minimizes the the marginal negative log likelihood. We confirmed that the non-linear optimizer had
- 212 converged by checking that the Hessian matrix was positive definite and the maximum absolute gradient across fixed effects was < 0.005.

#### 214 Climate trends and velocities

Bottom temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) levels have been collected on most tows during the synoptic bottom trawl surveys since 2008, using Seabird Electronics SBE 19 profilers. From

these measurements, we predicted seafloor climate using an approach similar to the one described

218 above for biomass density except we used a Gaussian observation model, 800 knots, and allowed the spatiotemporal random fields to follow an autoregressive (AR1) process:

$$C_{s,t} \sim \text{Normal}\left(\mu_{s,t}, \sigma^2\right),$$
(7)

$$\mu_{s,t} = X_{s,t}\beta + \omega_s + x_{s,t},\tag{8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} \sim \text{MVNormal}\left(\mathbf{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\omega}}\right),\tag{9}$$

$$x_{t=1} \sim \text{MVNormal}(0, \Sigma_{\epsilon}),$$
 (10)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_{t>1} = \rho \boldsymbol{x}_{t-1} + \sqrt{1-\rho^2} \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t, \ \boldsymbol{\epsilon}_t \sim \text{MVNormal}\left(\boldsymbol{0}, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}\right). \tag{11}$$

Here C<sub>s,t</sub> represents the climate variable (bottom temperature or log DO) in space s and time t, μ represents the mean, and σ represents the observation error standard deviation. The symbol X<sub>s,t</sub>
represents a vector of predictors (described below) and β represents a vector of corresponding parameters. The spatial random effects ω<sub>s</sub> were defined as in Eq. 5 whereas the spatiotemporal
random effects were structured to follow a stationary AR1 process with first-order correlation ρ. Because DO is known to be influenced by both water temperature and seasonal biological
processes, we included a quadratic effect for temperature and a linear effect for day of year along with estimated means for each year. Although not shown above for simplicity, we again allowed
the quadratic depth covariates to follow a random walk through time as in Eq. 3. Our bottom temperature model fixed effects included only depth and estimated means for each year, because

- 230 including day of year did not improve model fit based on AIC (Akaike Information Criterion). Because bottom temperature data (but not DO) have been collected in synoptic surveys since 2003,
- we included these earlier data in the temperature model to provide more information for estimating the fixed spatial random field  $\omega_s$ .

For all climate and biomass models, we then projected the model predictions onto a 4 × 4 km grid (UTM 9 projection) representing the survey domain. We excluded all cells with predicted conditions outside the range of conditions observed during sampling (99% quantiles of 3.07 to 11.3 °C and 0.28 to 7.06 ml/L DO). We then calculated gradient-based velocities of change and constituent local trends and spatial gradients for each cell. Gradient velocities (*V*) were calculated as a ratio of the temporal trend (linear regression slope of each cell's climate time series) divided by gradient in space *g* of variable *A* 

$$V_s = \left(\Delta A_s / \Delta t\right) / g_s,\tag{12}$$

where *A* is any temporally varying feature of focal cell *s*. Depending on the portion of the survey grid considered, we calculated the trend through time for biennial time-steps between 2008 and 2018 (6 surveys across 10 years) or 2009 and 2017 (5 surveys across 8 years), but reported values for

all cells as a rate of change, or trend, per decade (Table 1). The spatial gradient  $g_s$  was calculated as the vector sum of the mean north-south and east-west gradients based on a 3x3 cell neighbourhood

246 (Burrows *et al.* 2011); however, the values of *A* from which a spatial gradient is calculated can be based on any particular subset of times *t*, or the mean of all  $\Delta t$ .

The magnitudes of gradients  $g_s$  strongly influence the distribution of velocities V. Most prior applications of gradient-based velocities have used  $g_s$  calculated from the mean cell conditions of

the entire period analyzed (e.g., Burrows *et al.* 2011, Molinos *et al.* 2019). Estimated velocities will tend to be larger (as  $g_s \rightarrow 0, V_s \rightarrow \infty$ ) when more estimates (in this case sample years) are averaged

for the cells included in the  $g_s$  calculation, because a larger sample reduces the variability between the mean values of adjacent cells. Furthermore, the gradients most relevant to the actual distance

- <sup>254</sup> an organism would need to travel are those present after changes have begun to occur. Given that samples were collected only once every two years and that there is variability among species in
- terms of when dispersal occurs and how long it takes, we used the last two sample periods in our

estimates of spatial gradients (2015–2018). This time period begins the first survey season following

- the onset of the 2014–2016 marine heat wave in the north-eastern Pacific (Peterson et al. 2015) and 258 is approximately the end point of the transition to warmer conditions in the Bering sea (Alabia
- et al. 2018). We calculated spatial gradients using the vocc R package (Brown and Schoeman 2020) 260 and collapsed extreme velocity estimates to their 0.005 and 0.995 quantiles to reduce the impact
- of outliers from the resulting heavy-tailed distributions. 262

#### Linking biotic changes with climate

- To explore the relationship between change in estimated local climate and percent changes in 264 estimated biomass densities for each  $4 \times 4$  km grid cell, we used similar spatial GLMMs to control
- for spatial autocorrelation among cells. Our models estimated the rate of change in biomass (Y) 266 of each maturity class of each species as a function of local climate change (temperature and DO)
- 268 and an interaction between the mean climate of each cell and its local rate of change:

$$\Delta Y_{k[s]} \sim \text{Normal}\left(\mu_{k[s]}, \sigma^2\right),\tag{13}$$

$$\mu_{k[s]} = \beta_{0,k[s]} + \beta_{1,k[s]}\overline{T}_s + \beta_{2,k[s]}\Delta T_s + \beta_{3,k[s]}\overline{T}_s\Delta T_s +$$
(14)

$$\beta_{4,k[s]}\overline{O}_s + \beta_{5,k[s]}\Delta O_s + \beta_{6,k[s]}\overline{O}_s\Delta O_s + \beta_{7,k[s]}\overline{Y}_{k[s]} + \omega_{k[s]},$$

$$\omega_k \sim \text{MVNormal}(\mathbf{0}, \Sigma_{\omega}), \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K,$$
(15)

$$\beta_{r,k} \sim \operatorname{Normal}\left(\eta_{r,k}, \sigma_{\beta_r}^2\right), \text{ for } k = 1, \dots, K \text{ and } r = 0, \dots, 7,$$
(16)

where  $\overline{T}_s$  and  $\Delta T_s$  represent the mean temperature and decadal trend in temperature for spatial location s. A row of data represents a given spatial grid cell s and species-maturity k combination. 270 The symbols  $\overline{O}_s$  and  $\Delta O_s$  represent mean dissolved oxygen and decadal trend in dissolved oxygen, and the symbol  $\overline{Y}_{k[s]}$  represents log biomass density for species-maturity k. Parameters  $\beta_0$  through 272  $\beta_7$  (indexed by r) represent coefficients that are allowed to vary as random effects across species with means  $\eta_{r,k}$  and variances  $\sigma_{\beta_r}^2$ . We accounted for spatial autocorrelation through the spatial 274 random effects  $\omega_{k[s]}$ , which follow a Matérn Gaussian Markov random field as described above.

276

We fit model configurations where both biomass and climate were calculated as either raw temporal trends or gradient-based velocities. The trend-based models assessed whether biomass changes were correlated with changes in climate at the  $4 \times 4$  km grid cell scale. The velocity-278

based models assessed whether changes in biomass, especially those with low local variability
in biomass, were correlated with the predicted speed of climate isoclines within the 12 × 12 km neighbourhood of cells. We did not include both trends and velocities in the same model because
both the units and spatial scales captured are different.

- For each maturity class of each species, we included all grid cells that encompassed 95% of
- the mean total biomass across all sample years and the mean log biomass density of each cell as a covariate to reduce the influence of changes occurring only at either the highest or lowest den-
- 286 sities for a particular species. These models used a 600 knot mesh, Gaussian observation errors when estimating trends, and Student-t observation errors (with a degrees of freedom fixed at 7)
- to account for heavy-tailed residuals when estimating velocities. We scaled all covariates by their standard deviations. We centered local average temperature, DO, and log biomass density by their
- 290 overall means, but kept temperature and DO trend variables uncentered to maintain interpretability. We tested additional covariates, including local changes in fishing intensity, but we have not
- 292 included them in the final models because they did not change our conclusions (see Supplementary Methods).

#### 294 Simulation study

We conducted a simulation study to assess: (1) the ability of the geostatistical models to cope with
the high levels of spatial covariance inherent in spatial grid-based climate and biomass estimates,
and (2) to what extent similarities in climate and biotic spatial gradients were responsible for the
observed patterns in the velocity-based models. We simulated biomass trends for each species
as random fields using the true variance and spatial correlation parameters estimated for each
species. Next, we assessed how well our trend-based model accounted for spatial autocorrelation
among grid-based estimates by re-fitting the trend-based model using four unique iterations of the

302 simulated data and contrasting the effect sizes and number of species that showed a significant relationship with climate trends in the observed vs. simulated models. If the spatial random effects

- were effective in preventing type I errors, the trend-based models using simulated data should not show a significant effect of climate more than expected by chance. In the case of velocities,
  we used the ratio of the simulated biomass trends to the observed spatial gradients in biomass
  - to simulate biotic velocities (henceforth, 'time-null' velocities). This approach maintains the rela-

- 308 tionships between spatial gradients in biomass and climate that are likely to occur because both species abundances and climate on the seafloor are correlated with depth. Rather than being a test
- 310 of spatial autocorrelation, these time-null velocities were used to test how important the gradient component was to the results of the fitted velocity model.
- 312 Null models based on simulated biotic trends and observed climate trends showed fewer sig-

nificant relationships at the species level than would be expected by chance (Figures S1, S2, and

- S314 S3), confirming that the spatial random effects in our models (e.g., Figure S4) successfully controlled for the spatial autocorrelation. In contrast, models predicting time-null biotic velocities did
- 316 produce more significant effects then would be expected by chance (Figures S5, S6, and S7 vs. S8). These associations were likely due to the simulated velocities being based on the observed spatial
- 318 gradients (Figure S9); however, comparisons between the velocity model and time-null models suggest that some patterns cannot be accounted for by similarities in the spatial gradients and can
- 320 be reliably attributed to variation in temporal trends (differences between areas encompassed in black vs. grey violins for interaction terms in Figure 2b). This is in contrast to the complete overlap
- in black and grey violins for DO velocity in Figure 2b, which indicates that any set of species with identical overall distributions and population variability, but completely random biomass trends,
- would be likely to show just as many significant species-specific effects. Taken together, these simulations suggest that the velocity model effectively combines both the temporal and spatial
- 326 dimensions of biotic and climate change, which provides support for our choice to focus on this approach.

#### 328 Life-history and ecological correlates of climate sensitivity

To assess potential ecological mechanisms and the extent to which the temporal and spatial scales 330 considered were appropriate for the different species, we used mixed-effect models to assess concordance between species' life-history traits and ecology and the estimated effect of climate ve-

- 332 locity. We first assessed the independent effects of mean population age (among immature populations only) and occupied depth (mean and range). We then tested for independent relation-
- 334 ships between climate sensitivity and ecological groupings (including range limits, foraging zones, trophic level, and sociality), while controlling for the depth total ranges occupied (see Supplemen-
- 336 tary Methods).

## Results

#### 338 Climate trends and velocities

We estimated bottom temperature and DO values biennially between late May and early August during 2008–2018 or 2007–2019, depending on the surveyed area. Seafloor temperature varied from 4.6 °C to 10.2 °C (95% quantile range) across 4 × 4 km grid cells that had a mean depth within

- the 99th quantile range of sampled depths (23 to 1112 m). For the same range of survey depths, DO ranged between 0.7 ml/L and 5.7 ml/L (95% quantiles). For both temperature and DO, the highest
- values were associated with the shallowest depths, while the lowest values were associated with the deepest locations (Figure 1b, c).
- Over this period, summer seafloor temperature increased by an average of 0.6 °C per decade across the entire region (95% quantile range of -0.2 to 1.8 °C per decade; Figure 1d). In contrast, the
- 348 direction of change in seafloor DO was more variable (95% quantiles: -2.8 to 0.6 ml/L per decade;Figure 1e). Warming tended to be most pronounced in the already warmer locations—mean of
- 350 1.3 °C/decade in cells shallower than 50 m (Figure S10b). Likewise, the greatest decreases in DO occurred in the shallowest locations (mean: -2 ml/L per decade); however, the highest variability
- in DO trend (95% quantiles: -1.7 to 0.6 ml/L per decade) occurred between 50 and 200 m depths (Figure S10e). There was a tendency for the shallowest depths to be occupied by groundfish species

that have narrower depth ranges (e.g., Southern Rock Sole, *Lepidopsetta billineta*, vs. Dover Sole, *Microstomus pacificus*; Figure S10g, Table S1).

- When these local climate trends were placed in their geographic context by converting to gradient-based velocity estimates (Eq. 12), they implied that an organism would have to move an average of 10.5 km/decade (mean of absolute values) to maintain its starting thermal environment
- and an average of 11 km/decade to maintain initial DO levels. Temperature velocities averaged pos-
- 360 itive, representing warming conditions (mean: 10.1; 95% interquantile range of -12 to 87; Figure 1f), while DO velocities averaged negative, representing declining DO levels (-6.26; -91 to 24; Figure 1g).
- 362 Most locations of high climate velocity occurred in patches throughout Queen Charlotte Sound and Hecate Strait (dark red patches in Figure 1f). The most negative DO velocities occurred in
- shallower portions of Hecate Strait (largest green patch in Figure 1g). The largest velocities tendedto be found across a broader range of depths than the largest climate trends (Figure S10).

#### 366 Linking biotic changes with climate

Geostatistical models linking climatic (Figure 1d-g) and biotic trends (Figures S12 and S13) or velocities (Figures S14 and S15) resolved different aspects of biotic change (Figures S4 and S8). The effect of temperature velocity on biotic velocity was weakly positive across species (β: 0.28 km/decade

with 95% CI -0.04 to 0.60; point range for "T change" shown in Figure 2b), despite a significant overall 0.55% decline in biomass (-0.87 to -0.22) per 1 SD increase in warming (0.8 °C per decade)

- based on local temperature trend only (point range for "T change" shown in Figures 2a). However, mean local temperature influenced the effect of temperature velocity on biotic velocity ( $\beta$ : -1.09,
- 374 -1.48 to -0.70; "T interaction" in Figure 2b), such that when temperature velocity was high in the warmest parts of a species' range, local biomass was more likely to decline and exhibit larger neg-

ative or smaller positive biotic velocities. When temperature velocity was high in the coolest parts of a species range, local biomass was more likely to increase, and to result in smaller negative or

378 larger positive biotic velocities.

- Interactions between mean climate and climate velocity for each maturity class of each species can be illustrated as the predicted relationships between climate and biotic velocities at different mean local conditions (e.g., in Figures 3c and S11a, the blue and red lines are the predicted relationships for locations at the 0.025 and 97.5 quantile of mean local temperatures, respectively). For
- Redbanded Rockfish (*Sebastes babcocki*) the horizontal blue line indicates stable biomass (small
  absolute biotic velocities), while the red line with a negative slope means that biomass was more
  likely to be declining across a local area where conditions were warmest on average and getting
  warmer across more of the surrounding area (Figure 3b). The slopes of all predicted relationships
  (e.g., as illustrated in Figure 3c and Figure S11a) are plotted for all species-maturity combinations
- 388 in Figure 4. Consistent with the overall interaction, the majority of species-maturity combinations with significant interactions between local mean temperature and temperature velocity had nega-

390 tive interactions (31 of 33 coloured dots and lines with red coefficients to the left of blue coefficients in Figure 4a). Over a third of these cases predicted a positive effect of increased temperature ve-

- <sup>392</sup> locities for both the warmest and coolest locations, but that the relationship was more strongly positive in the cooler locations (13 of 31 species-maturity combinations with negative interactions).
- 394 To assess whether these relationships predicted that specific species' biotic velocities were increasing or decreasing under different climate velocities, the lines displayed in Figures 3c and S11a

396 can be "sliced" at either the minimum temperature velocity experienced by each species (left end of lines) or at the maximum (right end of lines). The expected biotic velocity was near zero for most

398 species-maturity combinations in locations experiencing minimum climate velocity (Figure 5a) regardless of mean temperature. However, the expected biotic velocity was strongly negative for

a number of species-maturity combinations (19 of 69) in the warmest locations when experiencing maximum climate velocity and tended to be positive in cooler locations experiencing the same

402 high climate velocity (e.g., Pacific Halibut, *Hippoglossus stenolepis*; Figure 5b).

After controlling for temperature, the average effect of DO velocity on biotic velocity was negative across species ( $\beta$ : -0.48 km/decade, -0.82 to -0.15; point and range for "DO change" in Figure 2b) despite there being a positive effect of DO trend on biomass trend ( $\beta$ : 0.34 % increase in

406 biomass, 0.16 to 0.52; point and range for "DO change" in Figure 2a). Thus, while increasing DO was associated with increases in biomass at a local scale, DO velocity was not on average correlated

- with biotic velocities. However, unlike for temperature, DO velocity did not interact with mean DO availability consistently across species ( $\beta$ : 0.25, -0.05 to 0.55). Only two species (those with
- 410 green point ranges on the positive side of the x-axis in Figure 4b) showed the expected interaction where locations with lower mean DO levels experiencing positive DO velocities were associated
- 412 with increases in biotic velocity and/or negative DO velocities were associated with decreases in biotic velocity (e.g., immature Lingcod, *Ophiodon elongatus*; Figure 3). In contrast, several species
- 414 experienced declines in biotic velocity when DO velocity increased across the range of mean DO levels (black point ranges on negative side of x-axis in Figure 4b).

#### 416 Life-history and ecological correlates of climate sensitivity

We examined possible relationships between responses to climate velocities and each species' taxonomy, traits, and depth distributions. Relationships with biotic velocities that were negative at high temperatures or positive at low temperatures occurred in members of both the largest
families represented in our analysis, Sebastidae (rockfish) and Pleuronectidae (righteye flounders) suggesting no strong patterns of similarity among species belonging to the same genus or families
ily (Figures 4a and S8; see also non-significant family-level effects from hierarchical model, Figure S16). However, the effects of temperature velocities at high temperatures were most negative

for Chondrichthyan biotic velocities (-1.8 km/decade per SD in temperature velocity, same units

apply elsewhere) and rockfish species occupying shelf habitats (-1.3), neutral for continental slope

- 426 rockfish (0.1) and flatfish (-0.1), and most positive for sablefish (1.0; mean across red values in Figure 4a).
- 428

Life-history failed to explain substantial variation in climate sensitivity in the warmest locations, although more negative effects tended to be clustered in shallow depths and among younger

- 430 immature populations (Figure S17). However, the positive effects of temperature velocities on biotic velocities in the coolest locations were strongest in species occupying a larger range of depths
- 432 ( $\beta$ : 0.57, 0.21 to 0.92) and for immature populations with younger mean age ( $\beta$ : -1.0, -2.0 to 0). Ecological factors were somewhat better at accounting for negative effects in the warmest loca-
- 434 tions. The effects of temperature velocity at high mean temperatures differed significantly between species depending on diet (lower biotic velocities in zooplantivores than species at higher trophic
- 436 levels;  $\beta$ : -0.96, -1.44 to -0.48), and use of foraging zones (higher biotic velocities in demersal species relative to benthopelagic;  $\beta$ : 0.98, 0.47 to 1.49) after accounting for mean depth occupied
- 438 (Figure S18 top row). The strongest negative effects of warming temperature velocities (estimated for the warmest parts of a species distribution) were for species occurring at intermediate depths,
- 440 whereas most species with mean encounter depths deeper than 290 m appeared to increase in biotic velocity with more positive temperature velocities (Figure S19c).
- In contrast, DO velocities at low mean DO locations only showed a strong positive effect on Lingcod biotic velocities (0.7) and negative effects were strongest for both continental slope rock-
- fish (-1.1) and flatfish (-1.4; mean across green values in Figure 4b). These negative relationships represent declining biotic velocity with increasing DO, or visa versa, and tended to be stronger
- both for species occupying deeper locations on average ( $\beta$ : -0.43, -0.73 to -0.13; Figure S19d) and a larger range of depths ( $\beta$ : -0.30, -0.6 to o). It is notable, however, that the effect of trends in
- <sup>448</sup> DO on percent change in biomass were also negative at these depths despite being mostly positive at intermediate depths (Figure S19b). At these intermediate depths (the mean occupied depth for
- species in this analysis of about 175 m), the effects of DO velocity at low DO was also most negative for species foraging at higher trophic levels ( $\beta$ : -0.33, -0.81 to 0.16), in the demersal zone ( $\beta$ : -0.79,
- 452 -1.32 to -0.26), and with more solitary habits ( $\beta$ : -0.63, -1.21 to -0.06; Figure S18 bottom row).

### Discussion

- Using novel geostatistical models fit to bottom temperature, DO, and demersal fish biomass from scientific trawl surveys, we related trends and velocities between climatic and biotic variables
  across 38 species. Local declines in demersal fish biomass were, on average, associated with warming trends and decreases in DO. However, after converting trends to velocities, a clear interaction between temperature velocity and mean bottom temperature emerged. On average, and for
- roughly half the species-maturity combinations, temperature velocity had a more negative effect
   on biotic velocity in already warm locations than in relatively cool locations. Converting these interaction effects into expected values, approximately one quarter of species-maturity combina-
- 462 tions experienced declines (negative biotic velocities) in the warmest locations when experiencing maximum warming. In contrast, locations experiencing minimal warming or cool locations ex-
- 464 periencing maximum warming experienced stable or increasing biotic velocities. Characteristics such as trophic level, foraging zone, and sociality—as well as potentially confounding variables
- 466 such as commercial fishing effort or catch—explained little of the observed effects. Although DO velocity results were more equivocal, planktivores responded more positively to DO velocity un-
- der low DO conditions (Figure S18f) than species with more diverse or higher trophic-level diets. This suggests that the prevalence of strong negative relationships between DO and biotic veloci-
- ties (Figure 2b) might be explained by increases in primary production in benthic environments, causing decreases in DO due to increased rates of decomposition (Keister *et al.* 2020).

#### 472 Scale and context dependence

Our analysis is the first, to our knowledge, to explore how the interaction between climate veloc-

- <sup>474</sup> ities and local mean climate conditions affect fine-scale biotic velocities, and the first to contrast patterns between trend and velocity indices. Globally, the impact of temperature change in marine
- 476 environments appears to be highly dependent on local mean temperatures, whether measured in range shifts relative to temperature velocity (e.g., Lenoir *et al.* 2020) or species richness and abun-
- 478 dance in response to temperature trends (e.g., Antão *et al.* 2020). Specifically, this latter metaanalysis of patterns in marine taxa found that abundance was positively correlated with warming,
- 480 except in the warmest of locations (Antão et al. 2020). While our trend model indicated an overall

negative effect of rising temperatures on local fish density, the velocity model was consistent with

- 482 the global pattern in showing that most negative relationships occurred only in already warm locations (Figure 2). Large climate velocities reflect more spatially uniform environments—where
- <sup>484</sup> an organism would need to move greater distances to maintain constant climate—and likewise, small velocities reflect more spatially heterogeneous environments (Loarie *et al.* 2009). As a re-
- 486 sult, more spatially uniform regions have greater weight in the velocity model than in the trend model, and this stretching and compressing of trend values based on spatial heterogeneity likely

488 explains why the negative interactive effect of mean temperature was only detected for velocities. Overall, we focused primarily on the velocity results since they represent a more ecologically

490 meaningful measure than trends alone, given that they account for the local reality species face if tracking a constant environment (Brito-Morales *et al.* 2018) or prey that themselves track the



For many species, we do not know how far individuals travel on a daily or seasonal basis, so uncertainty remains as to the extent to which the modelled spatial resolution is appropriate for each of the species in this analysis. While the trend- and velocity-based models capture slightly

- different spatial scales ( $4 \times 4$  km focal cell vs.  $12 \times 12$  km encompassed when considering patterns among neighbouring cells as well), both resolutions are smaller than what is often used for
- analyses of climate change in the marine environment (Oestreich *et al.* 2020, Pinsky *et al.* 2020), substantial environmental changes can occur at even smaller scales, and these local-scale effects
- <sup>500</sup> may be especially important for species with high site fidelity (e.g., Yelloweye Rockfish *Sebastes ruberrimus*, Hannah and Rankin 2011). However, in order to detect the impact of climate change
- 502 on rockfish (many of which have generation times > 20 yrs), one would require either data in excess of 20 years, or to contrast patterns of change between age classes. Indeed, responses to
- <sup>504</sup> environmental change can be expected to differ between species, depending on the life history of species including physiological tolerances, lifespan, and dispersal patterns (Massiot-Granier *et al.*
- <sup>506</sup> 2018). Furthermore, reaching reproductive maturity frequently results in shifts in dispersal patterns, habitat selectivity, physiological tolerances (Laurel *et al.* 2007), and therefore represents a
- <sup>508</sup> potentially important break point for understanding the impacts of climate change. Given the relatively short timescale encompassed in our analysis (one decade), we expected to find stronger
- 510 patterns in shorter-lived/immature portions of populations and more pelagic species. Within im-

mature populations, those with a younger mean age were found to exhibit the most extreme re-

<sup>512</sup> sponses to temperature velocity (positive in coolest locations and negative in warmest locations;Figure S17c); however, immature populations did not have overall stronger responses than mature

<sup>514</sup> populations (Figure S22b). Ecological responses were somewhat complicated by interactions with depths occupied; but, contrary to expectation, more extreme responses tended to belong to dem-

ersal foraging and solitary species, rather than those with more pelagic or schooling behaviours (Figure S18c, g, h).

Aggregate metrics such as the centre of gravity have also demonstrated that demersal fishes use both shifts in latitude and depth to track changes in ocean temperatures (e.g., Perry *et al.* 2005,

- 520 Dulvy *et al.* 2008, Li *et al.* 2019), but evidence that range edges on the North American continental shelf have shifted further north than expected or even contracted southward, suggest roles for
- competition, climate-independent mortality and/or density-dependent habitat selection (Li *et al.* 2019, Fredston *et al.* 2020). Indeed, fishing pressure on the Atlantic shelf was found to be more
- <sup>524</sup> important than average bottom temperature for predicting centre of gravity for five groundfish species, despite temperature being more correlated with variance in biomass (Adams *et al.* 2018).
- <sup>526</sup> This latter result suggests that there was spatial variability in temperature, or responses to temperature, which were not fully captured by the centre of gravity (VanDerWal *et al.* 2013). Fine-scale
- <sup>528</sup> local effects may contribute to the relatively greater influence of temperature relative to fishing pressure in our analysis. Groundfish species in the eastern Bering Sea also do not show a strong
- 530 correlation even for local climate and biotic velocities, but no interaction with mean conditions was reported (Alabia *et al.* 2018). Another potential explanation for stronger negative effects on
- 532 Canadian Pacific groundfish is that species here are closer to the southern ends of their distributions and may therefore be closer to the warm end of their temperature tolerances, especially in
- the warmest locations. However, although we found the strongest negative biotic velocities in these warmer locations, species nearer to their northern range limit were not more likely to show
- a positive response to temperature.

#### Limitations and implications

<sup>538</sup> There are a number of limitations to our analysis. First, our analysis cannot separate fish movement in response to climate from a host of other possible explanations. For example, local changes in

- <sup>540</sup> biomass density can be a result of movement, local population growth, age cohorts beyond our two maturity categories, changes in average body size (Shackell *et al.* 2010, Laurel *et al.* 2007),
- or effects of fishing not captured by the metrics of total catch or hours fished. Indeed, some of the hypothesized effects of warming climate and lower DO on fishes include higher metabolism
- and ability to store fat, reduced productivity, and slower growth resulting in generally smaller fish(Klein *et al.* 2017, Madeira *et al.* 2017). Furthermore, changes in local density may be correlated
- <sup>546</sup> with climate, not because of groundfish thermal preference, but because groundfish seek prey or avoid predators that have themselves shifted their distribution in response to climate. Second,
- there are limitations to our data. The CTD climate data from Canadian Pacific trawl surveys are only available from 2008 onward, the surveys occur in one seasonal period (May to August) and
- 550 cover a given region only once every two years. Also, some of the species (e.g., shallower rockfish species) may be better sampled by longline gear than trawl gear. This spatial and temporal scope
- will miss overlap in major life-history events for some species (e.g., Sablefish; Beamish 2008), or seasonal movements (e.g., Pacific Halibut; Loher 2011). Importantly, the input data for our meta-
- analytic model are predictions from our first-stage geostatistical models. Third, it is possible that climate conditions may themselves affect survey catchability. For example, groundfish may flee
- 556 gear more slowly or aggregate to avoid low DO conditions (Craig 2012), thereby making fish more catchable and biasing observations.
- <sup>558</sup> This analysis suggests multiple future research directions. First, future efforts may aim to identify common spatial patterns across species using spatial dimension reduction tools such as spatial
- <sup>560</sup> factor analysis (Thorson *et al.* 2015a). Areas where species overlap in their response would represent important areas for conservation (Brito-Morales *et al.* 2018), but also areas where competition
- <sup>562</sup> may be expected to increase or new fisheries interactions may occur. Second, some rockfish are better sampled by longline survey gear and future analyses could use such survey data, or com-
- <sup>564</sup> bine survey data from multiple gear types (Webster *et al.* 2020), to develop a composite density estimate. Third, our analysis used CTD data, which was only available for spring or summer from
- <sup>566</sup> 2008 onwards and required a statistical model to extrapolate to the full region. An alternative would be a ROMS (Regional Ocean Modeling System) model (Peña *et al.* 2019), which could extend
- the temporal scope, allow for accounting of climate at other times of the year (e.g., temperature during spawning; Laurel and Rogers 2020), allow for inclusion of variables not typically measured

- <sup>570</sup> with survey data (e.g., primary production), and allow for forward projections. Preliminary investigations indicated a strong correlation between our CTD projections and recently updated ROMS
- <sup>572</sup> bottom temperatures. With the greater spatial and temporal extent that ROMS data will provide, calculation of more geographically precise analog-based climate velocities could be used to further
- refine the identification of areas important for conservation (Brito-Morales *et al.* 2018).
- Climate change is expected to have large impacts on fish stocks and their management, particularly with respect to changes in species distribution (e.g., Tommasi *et al.* 2017, Karp *et al.* 2019, Free *et al.* 2019). Legislation and policy in jurisdictions around the world (e.g., the US *Magnuson*-
- 578 Stevens Act, Canada's Fisheries Act, the European Marine Strategy Framework Directive) require that environmental conditions affecting fish stocks be accounted for in management decisions
- 580 such as setting sustainable catch limits and developing rebuilding plans. However, there is often a mismatch between scale of climate predictions, the scale at which species respond, and the
- <sup>582</sup> scale of management decisions (Stock *et al.* 2011, Maureaud *et al.* 2021). For example, the populations analyzed in this study are managed at the mesoscale, with catch limits often determined for
- <sup>584</sup> individual substocks (DFO 2019). The metrics presented in our paper represent fine-scale indicators of response to a changing environment, which are useful for assessing risk and conservation
- <sup>586</sup> planning (Brito-Morales *et al.* 2018). Shifts in distribution, such as those reported here, can have implications for calculation of indices of abundance, and estimates of stock size and stock status,
- which in turn may impact harvest recommendations (Szuwalski and Hollowed 2016, Karp *et al.*Analyses such as ours can be incorporated into frameworks for improving advice for the
- management of fisheries under climate change (e.g. Plagányi *et al.* 2011, Punt *et al.* 2014, Karp *et al.* 2019).

## 592 Acknowledgements

We thank Fisheries and Oceans Canada's Aquatic Climate Change Adaptation Services Program
(ACCASP) for funding that supported this work. We thank E.A. Keppel, G.D. Workman, and M.R.
Wyeth for helpful discussions and assistance with data extraction. We thank P.L. Thompson for
comments that substantially improved this manuscript. We thank the many individuals who have

contributed to collecting the synoptic survey data on which this manuscript is based.

## 598 Data Availability Statement

The biological data that used in this study are openly available through https://open.canada.ca

- at https://open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/a278d1af-d567-4964-a109-ae1e84cbd24a and associated environmental measurements are available from https://www.pac.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/stats/index-
- 602 eng.html. All of the code underlying this analysis is available at https://github.com/pbsassess/gfvelocities.

#### 604 **References**

Adams, C.F., Alade, L.A., Legault, C.M., O'Brien, L., Palmer, M.C., Sosebee, K.A. and Traver, M.L.

- (2018) Relative importance of population size, fishing pressure and temperature on the spatial distribution of nine Northwest Atlantic groundfish stocks. *PLOS ONE* 13, e0196583.
- Alabia, I.D., Molinos, J.G., Saitoh, S.I., Hirawake, T., Hirata, T. and Mueter, F.J. (2018) Distribution shifts of marine taxa in the Pacific Arctic under contemporary climate changes. *Diversity and Distributions* 24, 1583–1597.
- Distributions 24, 1583-1597.

Anderson, S.C., Keppel, E.A. and Edwards, A.M. (2019) A reproducible data synopsis for
 over 100 species of British Columbia groundfish. DFO Can. Sci. Advis. Sec. Res. Doc.
 2019/041. URL http://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/csas-sccs/Publications/ResDocs-DocRech/
 2019/2019\_041-eng.html.

014  $201372013_041$  eng. incline.

Anderson, S.C., Ward, E.J., English, P.A. and Barnett, L.A.K. (2020) sdmTMB: Spatiotemporal Species

- 616 Distribution GLMMs with 'TMB'. URL https://github.com/pbs-assess/sdmTMB. R package version 0.0.11.9000.
- Antão, L.H., Bates, A.E., Blowes, S.A., Waldock, C. *et al.* (2020) Temperature-related biodiversity change across temperate marine and terrestrial systems. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 4, 927–
  933.

Barbeaux, S.J. and Hollowed, A.B. (2018) Ontogeny matters: Climate variability and effects on fish
distribution in the eastern Bering Sea. *Fisheries Oceanography* 27, 1–15.

Beamish, R.J. (2008) Impacts of climate and climate change on the key species in the fisheries inthe North Pacific. *North Pacific Marine Science Organization Scientific Report* 35.

Bell, R.J., Odell, J., Kirchner, G. and Lomonico, S. (2020) Actions to promote and achieve climateready fisheries: summary of current practice. *Marine and Coastal Fisheries* **12**, 166–190.

Bizzarro, J., Yoklavich, M. and Wakefield, W. (2017) Diet composition and foraging ecology of U.S.

- 628 Pacific Coast groundfishes with applications for fisheries management. *Environmental Biology* of Fishes 100.
- 630 Brito-Morales, I., García Molinos, J., Schoeman, D.S., Burrows, M.T. et al. (2018) Climate Velocity Can Inform Conservation in a Warming World. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 33, 441–457.
- 632 Brown, C. and Schoeman, D. (2020) *vocc: Functions for Calculating the Velocity of Climate Change.* URL https://github.com/cbrown5/vocc. R package version 0.1.1.
- 634 Burrows, M.T., Schoeman, D.S., Buckley, L.B., Moore, P. *et al.* (2011) The pace of shifting climate in marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Science* 334, 652–655.
- 636 Carroll, C., Lawler, J.J., Roberts, D.R. and Hamann, A. (2015) Biotic and Climatic Velocity Identify Contrasting Areas of Vulnerability to Climate Change. *PLOS ONE* 10, e0140486.
- 638 Comte, L. and Grenouillet, G. (2015) Distribution shifts of freshwater fish under a variable climate:Comparing climatic, bioclimatic and biotic velocities. *Diversity and Distributions* 21, 1014–1026.
- 640 Craig, J.K. (2012) Aggregation on the edge: effects of hypoxia avoidance on the spatial distribution of brown shrimp and demersal fishes in the Northern Gulf of Mexico. *Marine Ecology Progress*

642 Series 445, 75-95.

644

626

Cressie, N.A.C. and Wikle, C.K. (2011) *Statistics for Spatio-Temporal Data*. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. Wiley, Hoboken, N.J.

DFO (2019) Pacific region integrated fisheries management plan, groundfish, effective february 21,
2019, version 1.1. .

DFO (2020) Groundfish Synoptic Bottom Trawl Surveys - Open Government Portal. URL https: //open.canada.ca/data/en/dataset/a278d1af-d567-4964-a109-ae1e84cbd24a. Doney, S.C., Ruckelshaus, M., Emmett Duffy, J., Barry, J.P. *et al.* (2012) Climate change impacts on marine ecosystems. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **4**, 11–37.

Dulvy, N.K., Rogers, S.I., Jennings, S., Stelzenmüller, V., Dye, S.R. and Skjoldal, H.R. (2008) Climate

- change and deepening of the North Sea fish assemblage: A biotic indicator of warming seas.*Journal of Applied Ecology* 45, 1029–1039.
- 654 Dunn, P.K. and Smyth, G.K. (2005) Series evaluation of Tweedie exponential dispersion model densities. *Statistics and Computing* 15, 267–280.
- Fredston, A., Pinsky, M., Selden, R., Szuwalski, C., Thorson, J., Halpern, B. and Gaines, S. (2020)
   Range edges of North American marine species are tracking temperature over decades. Preprint:

658 https://doi.org/10.22541/au.160331933.33155622/v1.

Fredston-Hermann, A., Selden, R., Pinsky, M., Gaines, S.D. and Halpern, B.S. (2020) Cold range

- edges of marine fishes track climate change better than warm edges. *Global Change Biology* 26, 2908–2922.
- 662 Free, C.M., Thorson, J.T., Pinsky, M.L., Oken, K.L., Wiedenmann, J. and Jensen, O.P. (2019) Impacts of historical warming on marine fisheries production. *Science* 363, 979–983.
- Frölicher, T.L., Fischer, E.M. and Gruber, N. (2018) Marine heatwaves under global warming. *Nature* 560, 360.
- 666 Frölicher, T.L. and Laufkötter, C. (2018) Emerging risks from marine heat waves. *Nature Communications* **9**, 650.
- 668 García Molinos, J., Halpern, B., Schoeman, D., Brown, C. *et al.* (2016) Climate velocity and the future global redistribution of marine biodiversity. *Nature Climate Change* **6**, 83–88.
- 670 Godefroid, M., Boldt, J.L., Thorson, J.T., Forrest, R. *et al.* (2019) Spatio-temporal models provide new insights on the biotic and abiotic drivers shaping Pacific Herring (*Clupea pallasi*) distribution.
- 672 Progress in Oceanography 178, 102198.

Hamann, A., Roberts, D.R., Barber, Q.E., Carroll, C. and Nielsen, S.E. (2015) Velocity of climate

<sup>674</sup> change algorithms for guiding conservation and management. *Global Change Biology* 21, 997–1004.

Hannah, R.W. and Rankin, P.S. (2011) Site fidelity and movement of eight species of Pacific rockfish 676 at a high-relief rocky reef on the Oregon coast. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 31, 483-494. 678

Hare, J.A., Alexander, M.A., Fogarty, M.J., Williams, E.H. and Scott, J.D. (2010) Forecasting the dynamics of a coastal fishery species using a coupled climate-population model. *Ecological* 680 *Applications* **20**, 452–464.

Karp, M.A., Peterson, J.O., Lynch, P.D., Griffis, R.B. et al. (2019) Accounting for shifting distributions 682 and changing productivity in the development of scientific advice for fishery management. ICES Journal of Marine Science 76, 1305-1315. 684

Keister, J.E., Winans, A.K. and Herrmann, B. (2020) Zooplankton Community Response to Seasonal Hypoxia: A Test of Three Hypotheses. Diversity 12, 21. 686

Klein, E.S., Smith, S.L. and Kritzer, J.P. (2017) Effects of climate change on four New England groundfish species. Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries 27, 317-338. 688

Kristensen, K., Nielsen, A., Berg, C.W., Skaug, H. and Bell, B.M. (2016) TMB: Automatic Differentiation and Laplace Approximation. J. Stat. Softw. 70, 1-21. 690

Latimer, A.M., Banerjee, S., Sang Jr, H., Mosher, E.S. and Silander Jr, J.A. (2009) Hierarchical mod-

- els facilitate spatial analysis of large data sets: a case study on invasive plant species in the 692 northeastern United States. Ecology Letters 12, 144-154.
- Laurel, B.J. and Rogers, L.A. (2020) Loss of spawning habitat and prerecruits of Pacific cod during 694 a Gulf of Alaska heatwave. Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 77, 644-650.
- Laurel, B.J., Stoner, A.W. and Hurst, T.P. (2007) Density-dependent habitat selection in marine 606 flatfish: the dynamic role of ontogeny and temperature. Marine Ecology Progress Series 338, 698 183-192.

Lenoir, J., Bertrand, R., Comte, L., Bourgeaud, L., Hattab, T., Murienne, J. and Grenouillet, G. (2020)

Species better track climate warming in the oceans than on land. *Nature Ecology and Evolution* 700 4, 1044-1059.

- 702 Li, L., Hollowed, A.B., Cokelet, E.D., Barbeaux, S.J. *et al.* (2019) Subregional differences in groundfish distributional responses to anomalous ocean bottom temperatures in the northeast Pacific.
- 704 Global Change Biology 25, 2560–2575.

Lindgren, F., Rue, H. and Lindström, J. (2011) An explicit link between Gaussian fields and Gaussian

- Markov random fields: The stochastic partial differential equation approach. J. R. Stat. Soc. Ser.
   B Stat. Methodol. 73, 423–498.
- 708 Loarie, S.R., Duffy, P.B., Hamilton, H., Asner, G.P., Field, C.B. and Ackerly, D.D. (2009) The velocity of climate change. *Nature* 462, 1052–1055.
- <sup>710</sup> Loher, T. (2011) Analysis of match-mismatch between commercial fishing periods and spawning ecology of Pacific halibut (*Hippoglossus Stenolepis*), based on winter surveys and behavioural
  <sup>712</sup> data from electronic archival tags. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 68, 2240–2251.
- Love, M.S. (2011) Certainly more than you want to know about the fishes of the Pacific Coast: a postmodern experience. Really Big Press.
- Love, M., Yoklavich, M. and Thorsteinson, L. (2002) *The Rockfishes of the Northeast Pacific*. University of California Press.

MacCall, A.D. (1990) *Dynamic geography of marine fish populations*. Washington Sea Grant Program Seattle, WA.

Madeira, C., Mendonça, V., Leal, M.C., Flores, A.A., Cabral, H.N., Diniz, M.S. and Vinagre, C. (2017)
 Thermal stress, thermal safety margins and acclimation capacity in tropical shallow waters—An experimental approach testing multiple end-points in two common fish. *Ecological Indicators* 81, 146 - 158.

Massiot-Granier, F., Lassalle, G., Almeida, P.R., Aprahamian, M. *et al.* (2018) A generic method to assess species exploratory potential under climate change. *Ecological Indicators* **90**, 615–623.

Masson, D. and Fine, I. (2012) Modeling seasonal to interannual ocean variability of coastal British Columbia. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans* 117. Maureaud, A.A., Frelat, R., Pécuchet, L., Shackell, N. et al. (2021) Are we ready to track climate-

- driven shifts in marine species across international boundaries? A global survey of scientific bottom trawl data. *Global Change Biology* 27, 220–236.
- Mindel, B.L., Webb, T.J., Neat, F.C. and Blanchard, J.L. (2016) A trait-based metric sheds new light on the nature of the body size-depth relationship in the deep sea. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 85, 427–436.

Molinos, J.G., Schoeman, D.S., Brown, C.J. and Burrows, M.T. (2019) VoCC: An R package for cal-

- culating the velocity of climate change and related climatic metrics. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 10, 2195–2202.
- 736 Morley, J.W., Selden, R.L., Latour, R.J., Frölicher, T.L., Seagraves, R.J. and Pinsky, M.L. (2018) Projecting shifts in thermal habitat for 686 species on the North American continental shelf. *PLOS*
- 738 ONE **13**, e0196127.

Nye, J.A., Link, J.S., Hare, J.A. and Overholtz, W.J. (2009) Changing spatial distribution of fish

- 740 stocks in relation to climate and population size on the Northeast United States continental shelf. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 393, 111–129.
- 742 Oestreich, W.K., Chapman, M.S. and Crowder, L.B. (2020) A comparative analysis of dynamic management in marine and terrestrial systems. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* **18**, 496–504.
- Okey, T.A., Alidina, H.M., Lo, V. and Jessen, S. (2014) Effects of climate change on Canada's Pacific marine ecosystems: a summary of scientific knowledge. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries* 24, 519-559.

Oldfather, M.F., Kling, M.M., Sheth, S.N., Emery, N.C. and Ackerly, D.D. (2020) Range edges in

- heterogeneous landscapes: Integrating geographic scale and climate complexity into range dy namics. *Global Change Biology* 26, 1055–1067.
- Ordonez, A. and Williams, J.W. (2013) Projected climate reshuffling based on multivariate climate-availability, climate-analog, and climate-velocity analyses: Implications for community disag gregation. *Climatic Change* 119, 659–675.
  - 29

Parmesan, C. and Yohe, G. (2003) A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts across natural systems. *Nature* **421**, 37–42.

Perry, A.L., Low, P.J., Ellis, J.R. and Reynolds, J.D. (2005) Climate change and distribution shifts in
marine fishes. *Science* 308, 1912–1915.

756

758

Peterson, W., Robert, M. and Bond, N. (2015) The warm blob-conditions in the northeastern Pacific Ocean. *PICES Press* **23**, 36.

Peña, M.A., Fine, I. and Callendar, W. (2019) Interannual variability in primary production and

- 760 shelf-offshore transport of nutrients along the northeast Pacific Ocean margin. Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 169-170, 104637.
- 762 Pinsky, M.L., Rogers, L.A., Morley, J.W. and Frölicher, T.L. (2020) Ocean planning for species on the move provides substantial benefits and requires few trade-offs. *Science Advances* 6, eabb8428.
- 764 Pinsky, M.L. and Fogarty, M. (2012) Lagged social-ecological responses to climate and range shifts in fisheries. *Climatic Change* 115, 883–891.
- 766 Pinsky, M.L., Worm, B., Fogarty, M.J., Sarmiento, J.L. and Levin, S.A. (2013) Marine taxa track local climate velocities. *Science* 341, 1239–1242.
- 768 Plagányi, É.E., Weeks, S.J., Skewes, T.D., Gibbs, M.T. et al. (2011) Assessing the adequacy of current fisheries management under changing climate: A southern synopsis. ICES Journal of Marine
- 770 Science 68, 1305–1317.

ocean and cryosphere in a changing climate. Cambridge University Press.

Punt, A.E., A'mar, T., Bond, N.A., Butterworth, D.S. et al. (2014) Fisheries management under cli-

- mate and environmental uncertainty: Control rules and performance simulation. *ICES Journal* of Marine Science 71, 2208–2220.
- Pörtner, H., Bock, C., Knust, R., Lannig, G., Lucassen, M., Mark, F. and Sartoris, F. (2008) Cod and climate in a latitudinal cline: physiological analyses of climate effects in marine fishes. *Clim.*

Pörtner, H.O., Roberts, D.C., Masson-Delmotte, V., Zhai, P. et al. (2019) IPCC special report on the

<sup>778</sup> Res. 37, 253–270.

R Core Team (2019) *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* R Foundation for
Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

Rindorf, A. and Lewy, P. (2006) Warm, windy winters drive cod north and homing of spawners keeps them there. *Journal of Applied Ecology* **43**, 445–453.

Rooper, C.N., Ortiz, I., Hermann, A.J., Laman, N., Cheng, W., Kearney, K. and Aydin, K. (2020)

- 784 Predicted shifts of groundfish distribution in the Eastern Bering Sea under climate change, with implications for fish populations and fisheries management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science*.
- 786 Royer, T.C. (1998) Coastal processes in the northern North Pacific. *The Global Coastal Ocean : Regional Studies and Synthesis* Publisher: John Wiley.
- Rue, H., Martino, S. and Chopin, N. (2009) Approximate Bayesian inference for latent Gaussian models by using integrated nested Laplace approximations. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society: Series B (Statistical Methodology)* 71, 319–392.

Serra-Diaz, J.M., Franklin, J., Ninyerola, M., Davis, F.W., Syphard, A.D., Regan, H.M. and Ikegami,

- 792 M. (2014) Bioclimatic velocity: The pace of species exposure to climate change. *Diversity and Distributions* 20, 169–180.
- 794 Shackell, N.L., Frank, K.T., Fisher, J.A.D., Petrie, B. and Leggett, W.C. (2010) Decline in top predator body size and changing climate alter trophic structure in an oceanic ecosystem. *Proceedings of*
- *the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **277**, 1353–1360.

Shelton, A.O., Thorson, J.T., Ward, E.J. and Feist, B.E. (2014) Spatial semiparametric models improve

- rg8 estimates of species abundance and distribution. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 71, 1655–1666.
- 800 Sinclair, A., Schnute, J., Haigh, R., Starr, P., Rick Stanley, Jeff Fargo and Workman, G. (2003) Feasibility of multispecies groundfish bottom trawl surveys on the BC coast. DFO Canadian Science
- 802 Advisory Secretariat (CSAS) Research Document 2003/049.

Stock, C.A., Alexander, M.A., Bond, N.A., Brander, K.M. et al. (2011) On the use of IPCC-class

models to assess the impact of climate on Living Marine Resources. *Progress in Oceanography* 88, 1–27.

- Sunday, J.M., Pecl, G.T., Frusher, S., Hobday, A.J. et al. (2015) Species traits and climate velocity explain geographic range shifts in an ocean-warming hotspot. *Ecology Letters* 18, 944–9530.
- 808 Szuwalski, C.S. and Hollowed, A.B. (2016) Climate change and non-stationary population processes in fisheries management. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 73, 1297–1305.
- 810 Thorson, J.T. and Barnett, L.A.K. (2017) Comparing estimates of abundance trends and distribution shifts using single- and multispecies models of fishes and biogenic habitat. ICES Journal of
- 812 *Marine Science* 74, 1311–1321.

Thorson, J.T., Fonner, R., Haltuch, M.A., Ono, K. and Winker, H. (2017) Accounting for spatiotem-

- poral variation and fisher targeting when estimating abundance from multispecies fishery data.
   *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 74, 1794–1807.
- 816 Thorson, J.T., Pinsky, M.L. and Ward, E.J. (2016a) Model-based inference for estimating shifts in species distribution, area occupied and centre of gravity. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 7, 818 990-1002.
- Thorson, J.T., Rindorf, A., Gao, J., Hanselman, D.H. and Winker, H. (2016b) Density-dependent
  changes in effective area occupied for sea-bottom-associated marine fishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 283, 20161853.
- 822 Thorson, J.T., Scheuerell, M.D., Shelton, A.O., See, K.E., Skaug, H.J. and Kristensen, K. (2015a) Spatial factor analysis: a new tool for estimating joint species distributions and correlations in
- species range. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **6**, 627–637.

Thorson, J.T., Shelton, A.O., Ward, E.J. and Skaug, H.J. (2015b) Geostatistical delta-generalized lin-

- ear mixed models improve precision for estimated abundance indices for West Coast ground-fishes. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 72, 1297–1310.
- 828 Tommasi, D., Stock, C.A., Hobday, A.J., Methot, R. *et al.* (2017) Managing living marine resources in a dynamic environment: The role of seasonal to decadal climate forecasts. *Progress in Oceanog-*
- 830 *raphy* **152**, 15–49.

Turris, B.R. (2000) A comparison of British Columbia's ITQ fisheries for groundfish trawl and

- sablefish: Similar results from programmes with differing objectives, designs and processes.
   FAO Fisheries Technical Paper, 254–261.
- Tweedie, M.C.K. (1984) An index which distinguishes between some important exponential fami lies. In: Statistics: Applications and New Directions. Proceedings of the Indian Statistical Institute
- *Golden Jubilee International Conference* (eds. J.K. Gosh and J. Roy). Indian Statistical Institute,
   Calcutta, pp. 579–604.
- VanDerWal, J., Murphy, H.T., Kutt, A.S., Perkins, G.C., Bateman, B.L., Perry, J.J. and Reside, A.E.
   (2013) Focus on poleward shifts in species' distribution underestimates the fingerprint of climate
- 840 change. *Nature Climate Change* **3**, 239–243.

Wallace, S., Turris, B., Driscoll, J., Bodtker, K., Mose, B. and Munro, G. (2015) Canada's Pacific

- 842 groundfish trawl habitat agreement: A global first in an ecosystem approach to bottom trawl impacts. *Marine Policy* 60, 240–248.
- Ward, E.J., Jannot, J.E., Lee, Y.W., Ono, K., Shelton, A.O. and Thorson, J.T. (2015) Using spatiotemporal species distribution models to identify temporally evolving hotspots of species cooccurrence. *Ecological Applications* 25, 2198–2209.

Webster, R.A., Soderlund, E., Dykstra, C.L. and Stewart, I.J. (2020) Monitoring change in a dynamic

- 848 environment: spatiotemporal modelling of calibrated data from different types of fisheries surveys of pacific halibut. *Canadian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences* 77, 1421–1432.
- 850 Williams, D.C., Nottingham, M.K., Olsen, N. and Wyeth, M.R. (2018) Summary of the Queen Charlotte Sound synoptic bottom trawl survey, July 6 – August 8, 2015. DFO Can. Manuscr. Rep. Fish.
- 852 Aquat. Sci. 3136, viii + 64 p.

854

Yang, M.S., Dodd, K., Hibpshman, R. and Whitehouse, A. (2006) Food habits of groundfishes in the Gulf of Alaska in 1999 and 2001. *NOAA Technical Memorandum*, 13.

## Tables

Table 1: Gradient-based velocity metrics and their definitions. Climatic variables are temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO); biotic variables are biomass density for the mature and immature components of a species. Climatic and biotic variables are represented generically by *A*. Our analysis treated gradient-based velocity as a scalar, using only the magnitude component of the velocity vector.

Term	Notation	Definition	Magnitude	Sign
Local trend	$m_s^A = \frac{\Delta A_s}{\Delta t}$	Change in local biotic or climatic scalar <i>A</i> per decade	Temporal rate of change in <i>A</i>	Increasing (+) or decreasing (–) local trend in <i>A</i>
Spatial gradient	$\vec{g}_s^A$	Vector sum (magnitude, angle) of mean north or south and east or west gradients of $A$ in a $3 \times 3$ cell spatial neighbourhood	Spatial rate of change in <i>A</i>	Vector magnitude and angle always positive (+)
Gradient-based velocity	$\vec{V}_s^A = \frac{m_s^A}{\vec{g}_s^A}$	Vector velocity (magnitude, angle) from local trend of $A$ divided by vector local spatial gradient of $A$	Speed of travel predicted to maintain initial A	Increasing $(+)$ or decreasing $(-)$ based on the local trend in $A$

# Figures



Figure 1: Maps of study area (a), predicted mean conditions (b, c), decadal trends (d, e), and decadal velocities (f, g) of bottom temperature and dissolved oxygen (DO) for 2008–2018 off the coast of British Columbia, Canada. Values are estimated using geostatistical spatiotemporal models of CTD sensor data collected during late-spring/early-summer groundfish trawl surveys. Bathymetry lines at every 100 m are overlaid in shades of grey that increase with depth.



Figure 2: Distribution of species-specific (random effect) coefficients from the model fitted to observed data (black violins) compared with coefficients from four simulated null models (gray violins). Each "violin" is based on a single model including all species (a: trend-based models where climate and biotic change variables are all local trends; b: velocity models where climate and biotic change variables are all velocities). Black points with ranges represent the observed-data global (fixed effect) coefficient estimates with 95% CIs. Null models used fitted covariate values, but simulated response data. Simulated time-null velocities used these same simulated trends divided by the real spatial gradients. The x-axes have been truncated slightly for interpretability.



Figure 3: Maps and interaction plots for two illustrative species representing the most frequent relationship with temperature (left column) and the expected response with DO (right column). Mature *Sebastes babcocki* had stable, near-zero, biotic velocities regardless of amount of warming in the coolest regions it occupied, and decreases in biomass when temperatures increased in warmer regions (a,c,e,g). Immature *Ophiodon elongatus* biotic velocities increased with positive DO velocities in low mean DO locations only (b,d,f,h). In panel c, a blue line represents predicted biotic velocity (*Y*, y-axis) for different temperature velocities (*x*, x-axis) in the coolest locations (0.025 quantile of those occupied by 95% of the estimated biomass of each species) and a red line represents the same for the warmest locations (0.975 quantile). Likewise, for predictions at different DO velocities, green represents the lower quantile of mean DO and yellow the higher (d). Both the colours and slopes illustrated correspond with those in Figure 4. The maps include biotic velocity estimates for all locations that cumulatively account for 95% of the estimated biomass of each species (a, b), and the same climate estimates as in Figure 1, but trimmed to include only the values for the same locations as the biotic velocities for each species predicted relationships.



Figure 4: Mean climate and climate change interact in predicting biotic velocities (km/decade) for 38 groundfish species. Coloured dot-whiskers indicate slopes and 95% CIs of the predicted biotic velocities with 1 SD of change in climate velocity for the low and high 95% quantiles of mean local climate (i.e., the slopes of lines in interaction plots like those in Figure 3c, d). Species are ordered by the difference between the slopes at the highest and lowest quantiles of mean climate such that the more intuitive results are at the top: increases in climate velocity have a more positive impact on biotic velocity when starting at a low mean temperature (a) or DO level (b). Open circles indicate patterns for immature fish and closed circles represent individuals large enough to have a 50% chance of having reached reproductive maturity, or belonging to species for which maturity data was not available. Black dot-whiskers represent the slopes for each maturity class when the interaction is not significant.



Figure 5: Estimates of biotic velocities (km/decade with 95% CI) for 38 groundfish species under different mean temperatures (blue and red represent low and high 95% quantiles) occupied and at (a) the minimum and (b) maximum temperature velocities experienced for each species. Open circles indicate patterns for immature fish and closed circles represent individuals large enough to have a 50% chance of being reproductively mature, or belonging to species for which maturity data were not available. Species are ordered by the minimum estimates at the maximum climate velocity experienced for each species. Therefore, species most likely to experience population declines with increasing temperatures are at the top.