

1 **Title:** Comment on “Information arms race explains plant-herbivore chemical communication in
2 ecological communities”

3 **Authors:** Ethan Bass^{1*}, Andre Kessler¹

4 **Affiliations:**

5 ¹ Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14850,
6 USA.

7 * Correspondence to: eb565@cornell.edu

8 **Keywords:** chemical information, chemical language, secondary metabolism, volatile organic
9 compounds, plant-herbivore coevolution, coevolutionary arms-race


10 **Abstract:**

11 Zu et al (*Science*, 19 Jun 2020, p. 1377) propose that an ‘information arms-race’ between
12 plants and herbivores explains plant-herbivore communication at the community level. However,
13 our analysis shows that key assumptions of the proposed model either a) conflict with standard
14 evolutionary theory or b) are not supported by the available evidence. We also show that the
15 presented statistical patterns can be explained more parsimoniously (e.g. through a null model)
16 without invoking an unlikely process of community selection.

17 **Main Text:**

18 Zu *et al* (*I*) propose that a (dis)information arms race between plants and herbivores leads
19 to the emergence of a “stable information structure” in ecological communities and that this
20 process explains the evolution of plant volatile organic compound (VOC) redundancy and insect
21 dietary specialization. In support of this hypothesis, the authors present a mathematical model of
22 plant-herbivore coevolution, where “fitness” is tied to conditional entropies derived from
23 information theory. Conditional entropies measure the uncertainty associated with a random
24 variable (e.g. herbivore identity), given knowledge of a second random variable (e.g. VOC
25 composition). Specifically, the authors propose that plant fitness can be related to $H(A|V)$ – the
26 average conditional entropy of herbivores with respect to the VOC profiles of their host plants.
27 $H(A|V)$ can thus be understood as a reflection of the average difficulty of host-finding by
28 herbivores. Herbivore fitness meanwhile is equated with $1 - H(V|A)$. As evidence for their
29 hypothesis, the authors compare the conditional entropies derived from a simulation with
30 empirical values estimated from their field data, finding that the two sets of values converge
31 quite closely. This result is not surprising however, since the model assumes that plant and
32 herbivore evolution is directed toward the optimization of precisely these indices ($H(A|V)$ and
33 $1-H(V|A)$ respectively). The use of these indices as “fitness” proxies is also problematic because
34 they are community-level averages and are thus identical for all plant and herbivore species at
35 any given time. The resulting model thus implies that all plant species in the community
36 somehow evolve cooperatively to minimize $H(A|V)$, a possibility which appears to conflict with
37 basic evolutionary principles.

38 Here we show that a null model parameterized by the observed frequency of links in the
 39 plant-herbivore and plant-volatile networks reproduces the “information structure” deduced from
 40 the field data equally as well as the proposed model while relying on fewer problematic
 41 assumptions (Fig. 1). The null model demonstrates that the proposed information theoretical
 42 indices can be explained solely on the basis of network connectedness, such that any model
 43 generating the observed connectedness values will produce similar entropies. In other words, any
 44 mechanism generating moderate VOC redundancy combined with insect dietary specialization
 45 would be sufficient to reproduce the patterns observed by the authors. Since an information arms
 46 race is not a unique explanation for the observed pattern, we must assess the hypothesis on its
 47 merits relative to other plausible explanations and on the validity of its core assumptions.



48
 49 **Fig. 1** Simulation of neutrally evolving plant-herbivore community, where the connectedness of
 50 the PV and AP matrices is parameterized using frequencies estimated from the field data. We
 51 estimate that plant-volatile links occur with a probability of 0.8 and plant-herbivore links occur
 52 with a probability of 0.1. Observed entropies plotted as horizontal lines are mean values from
 53 three years of data reported by Zu et al. (Compare with figure 3A in Zu et al 2020).

54 We take issue with several key assumptions of the proposed model: 1) that the proposed
 55 information theoretical metrics are a suitable proxy for fitness, 2) that plant VOC profiles are
 56 shaped primarily by "selection" on community conditional entropies, 3) that plants have no
 57 phylogenetic constraints on VOC production, and 4) that herbivores have no physiological
 58 constraints on diet (*I*). Together, these assumptions create a highly misleading picture,
 59 precluding the consideration of most plausible alternatives concerning the functions of chemical
 60 information. While the authors consider several alternative models, all proposed models assume
 61 the existence of a relationship between fitness and the conditional entropies $H(A|V)$ and $H(V|A)$,
 62 which represent the average uncertainty about the distribution of herbivores with respect to the

63 VOC composition of their host plants. Conceptually, the use of average conditional entropies as
64 fitness proxies is problematic, since it implies that plant VOC profiles are maintained primarily
65 by hierarchical selection at the community-level. This assumption contradicts most mainstream
66 evolutionary thinking, even by advocates of an extended synthesis (2). Moreover, a model based
67 on this assumption cannot explain the differential survival of individuals or species
68 (i.e. evolution by natural selection), since all plant species are assumed to have identical fitness.
69 Most importantly, it also assumes that plants somehow share a common interest in confusing all
70 herbivores in the community, ignoring the fact that plants compete with one another. While it is
71 plausible that plants may share a common interest in confusing shared herbivores, there is no
72 reason to think that plants will benefit from confusing herbivores that eat only their competitors.
73 In many cases it may instead be beneficial to advertise one's toxicity (chemical aposematism) or
74 to hide behind the information of a neighbor (associational resistance) (3, 4). Finally, it is
75 difficult to imagine how the validity of these assumptions could be tested empirically, since
76 "community fitness" cannot be measured for comparison with the proposed information
77 theoretical indices.

78 By uncoupling insect diet from metabolism, the model also neglects to consider the
79 obvious (and well-supported) hypothesis that plant VOCs may be directly repellent to
80 herbivores, either because they are toxic, or because they encode information about other
81 unsavory metabolites in the emitter (5). Consequently, the authors restrict themselves to the
82 assumption that plant insect-coevolution should lead to the homogenization of plant chemistry
83 rather than promoting diversification as is commonly assumed (6, 7) Empirical studies have
84 generally found that chemical similarity is associated with increased herbivory (8, 9), contrary to
85 the main prediction of the information arms race hypothesis. At the same time, the model fails to
86 explain why plants should produce VOCs at all, since they could presumably induce equal
87 confusion (at less metabolic cost) by abstaining from VOC production altogether.

88 VOC redundancy and herbivore specialization can both be explained without invoking an
89 implausible process of community selection. VOC redundancy for example can be explained as a
90 simple product of the shared evolutionary history between plant species, combined with
91 stabilizing selection for beneficial VOCs. While it seems plausible that chemical crypsis could
92 play a role in the evolution of VOC redundancy (10), there is currently no reason to believe that
93 this is a major function of chemical information transfer, much less the only function.
94 Meanwhile, the "information processing hypothesis" – that herbivore specialization can arise
95 from selection on insects to maximize host-finding efficiency – while plausible, is not original to
96 the present work, being one of several widely discussed explanations of herbivore specialization
97 (11–13).

98 In order to test the model's key assumption that herbivore and plant fitness are related to
99 the information provided by VOCs about host suitability, it is necessary to have species- or
100 individual- level indices of volatile information. If VOC redundancy benefits plants by reducing
101 their apparency (14), it follows that plants with more distinctive VOC profiles should be exposed
102 to greater damage from herbivores. Accordingly, the mutual information can be decomposed
103 into:

104
$$I(O; S) = \sum_o p(o) I(O = o; S) \quad 1$$


105 where the specific information, $I(O = o; S)$ is a measure of the information associated with a
106 particular outcome o of O (15, 16).

$$107 \quad I(O = o; S) = \sum_s p(s|o) \left[\log \frac{p(o|s)}{p(o)} \right] \quad 2$$

108 According to Bayes Theorem, the specific information can then be rewritten as:

$$109 \quad I(O = o; S) = \sum_s p(s|o) \left[\log \frac{p(s|o)}{p(s)} \right] \quad 3$$

110 We constructed a model substituting this specific conditional information (Equation 3)
111 for the average conditional information proposed by Zu *et al* (I) as a proxy for fitness.
112 Specifically, plant fitness was equated with $1 - I(A_p, V)$,¹⁷ and herbivore fitness with $I(V, A_j)$.
113 This alternative model demonstrates that the “fitness” of individual species does not always align
114 with the “fitness” of the community, leading to nonsensical results, such as the fixation of
115 mutations that increase the “fitness” of the community at the expense of the affected species
116 [Figs. 2A & B]. Thus, the concept of community fitness defined by Zu seems incongruous with
117 basic evolutionary principles. We also show that there is no relationship between $I(P_i, V)$ and the
118 number of herbivores associated with a particular plant species, suggesting that volatile
119 information may not be a major determinant of plant resistance to herbivory [Fig. 2C]. While it
120 would be better to regress $I(P_i, V)$ against actual herbivore damage levels, we use the number of
121 herbivores associated with a given plant as a loose proxy for fitness, since data on herbivore
122 damage was not available. While the general approach of integrating information theory with
123 ecological and evolutionary theory is exciting, we wish to emphasize that attempts to integrate
124 information theoretic indices with evolutionary theory must be rigorously tested to ensure that
125 the field moves forward on firm empirical footing.



126

127 **Fig. 2** (A) Relationship between the fitness of the mutated plant species, calculated as $1 -$
 128 $I(A_p, V)$ and the community conditional entropy $H(A|V)$, where $I(A_p, V)$ is calculated as the
 129 weighted average of the specific information $I(A = a, V)$ (Equation 3) for the set of herbivores
 130 that interact with plant p ($p = 0.81, R^2 \cong 0$). (B) Relationship between the “fitness” of the
 131 mutated herbivore species, calculated as $I(A_j, V)$, and the community conditional entropy
 132 $H(V|A)$, where $I(A = a_j, V)$ is the specific information of the mutated herbivore species with
 133 respect to VOCs ($p < 0.001, R^2 = 0.31$). (The shaded quadrants in A and B indicate areas
 134 where the “community fitness” and the species-level fitness are of opposite sign, indicating that
 135 a mutation would be selected in one model, where it would be eliminated in the other). (C)
 136 Relationship between the specific information $I(P_i|V)$ and the number of herbivores associated
 137 with each plant species ($p = 0.69, R^2 = 0.009$).

138

139

140 **Acknowledgments:**

141 We thank the authors of Zu et al. (1), Paul Glaum, Stephen P. Ellner, and the Kessler Lab
 142 group for helpful discussion and feedback on the manuscript. **Funding:** No funding was used to
 143 carry out this work. **Author contributions:** E.B. carried out the reported analyses and wrote the
 144 manuscript with input from A.K. **Competing interests:** The authors declare no competing
 145 interests. **Data and materials availability:** All data is included in (1). Code to reproduce these
 146 analyses is available on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.5523276>).

147

148 **References and Notes:**

- 149 1. P. Zu, K. Boege, E. del-Val, M. C. Schuman, P. C. Stevenson, A. Zaldivar-Riverón, S.
150 Saavedra, Information arms race explains plant-herbivore chemical communication in
151 ecological communities. *Science*. **368**, 1377–1381 (2020).
- 152 2. S. J. Gould, E. A. Lloyd, Individuality and adaptation across levels of selection: How shall
153 we name and generalize the unit of Darwinism? *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
154 *Sciences*. **96**, 11904–11909 (1999).
- 155 3. T. Eisner, R. P. Grant, Toxicity, Odor Aversion, and “Olfactory Aposematism.” *Science*.
156 **213**, 476–476 (1981).
- 157 4. P. Barbosa, J. Hines, I. Kaplan, H. Martinson, A. Szczepaniec, Z. Szendrei, Associational
158 Resistance and Associational Susceptibility: Having Right or Wrong Neighbors. *Annu. Rev.*
159 *Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **40**, 1–20 (2009).
- 160 5. A. Kessler, I. T. Baldwin, Defensive Function of Herbivore-Induced Plant Volatile
161 Emissions in Nature. *Science*. **291**, 2141–2144 (2001).
- 162 6. P. R. Ehrlich, P. H. Raven, Butterflies and Plants: A Study in Coevolution. *Evolution*. **18**,
163 586–608 (1964).
- 164 7. J. X. Becerra, The impact of herbivore–plant coevolution on plant community structure.
165 *PNAS*. **104**, 7483–7488 (2007).
- 166 8. D. Salazar, M. A. Jaramillo, R. J. Marquis, Chemical similarity and local community
167 assembly in the species rich tropical genus Piper. *Ecology*. **97**, 3176–3183 (2016).
- 168 9. T. J. Massad, M. M. de Moraes, C. Philbin, C. Oliveira, G. C. Torrejon, L. F. Yamaguchi,
169 C. S. Jeffrey, L. A. Dyer, L. A. Richards, M. J. Kato, Similarity in volatile communities
170 leads to increased herbivory and greater tropical forest diversity. *Ecology*. **98**, 1750–1756
171 (2017).
- 172 10. J. A. Endler, An overview of the relationships between mimicry and crypsis. *Biol J Linn*
173 *Soc.* **16**, 25–31 (1981).
- 174 11. R. Levins, R. MacArthur, An Hypothesis to Explain the Incidence of Monophagy. *Ecology*.
175 **50**, 910–911 (1969).
- 176 12. E. A. Bernays, W. T. Wcislo, Sensory Capabilities, Information Processing, and Resource
177 Specialization. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*. **69**, 187–204 (1994).
- 178 13. E. A. Bernays, Neural Limitations in Phytophagous Insects: Implications for Diet Breadth
179 and Evolution of Host Affiliation. *Annual Review of Entomology*. **46**, 703–727 (2001).

- 180 14. P. Feeny, in *Biochemical Interaction Between Plants and Insects* (Springer, Boston, MA,
181 1976; https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-1-4684-2646-5_1), *Recent Advances in*
182 *Phytochemistry*, pp. 1–40.
- 183 15. P. L. Williams, R. D. Beer, Nonnegative Decomposition of Multivariate Information.
184 *arXiv:1004.2515* (2010) (available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1004.2515>).
- 185 16. M. R. Deweese, M. Meister, How to measure the information gained from one symbol.
186 *Network: Computation in Neural Systems*. **10**, 325–340 (1999).
- 187 17. We define $I(A_p, V)$ as the weighted average of $I(A = a, V)$ taken over the set of herbivores
188 associated with a particular plant, p , such that $I(A_p, V) = \sum_i^{A_p} p(a_i)I(A = a_i; V)$, where A_p is
189 defined as the set of herbivores associated with plant p .

190

191