Genomic evidence of a functional RH2 opsin in New Zealand parrots and implications
 for pest control

- Stefanie Grosser<sup>a</sup>, Ludovic Dutoit<sup>a</sup>, Yasmin Foster<sup>a</sup>, Fiona Robertson<sup>a</sup>, Andrew E. Fidler<sup>b</sup>,
  Denise Martini<sup>c</sup>, Michael Knapp<sup>c,d</sup> and Bruce C. Robertson<sup>a</sup>
- 5 <sup>a</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand; <sup>b</sup>Kea Conservation
- 6 Trust, Queenstown, New Zealand; <sup>c</sup>Department of Anatomy, University of Otago, Dunedin,
- 7 New Zealand; <sup>d</sup> Coastal People, Southern Skies Centre of Research Excellence, Department of
- 8 Anatomy, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- 9 Correspondence:
- 10 Stefanie Grosser, Email: stefanie.grosser[at]otago.ac.nz, Department of Zoology, University of
- 11 Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- 12 Bruce Robertson, Email: bruce.robertson[at]otago.ac.nz, Department of Zoology, University
- 13 of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- 14 Now published as:
- 15 Grosser S, Dutoit L, Foster Y, Robertson F, Fidler AE, Martini D, Knapp M, Robertson BC
- 16 (2022) Genomic evidence of a functional RH2 opsin in New Zealand parrots and implications
- 17 for pest control, New Zealand Journal of Zoology, DOI: 10.1080/03014223.2022.2053554
- 18
- 19 Keywords: bird vision, kea, New Zealand parrots, opsins, pest control, RH2
- 20 Running title: Kea RH2 opsin

# 21 ORCID IDs:

- 22 Stefanie Grosser: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1524-7255
- 23 Ludovic Dutoit: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0164-9878
- 24 Yasmin Foster: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0377-1244
- 25 Andrew Fidler: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2992-658X
- 26 Michael Knapp: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0937-5664
- 27 Denise Martini:https://orcid.org/0000-0001-8676-9328
- 28 Bruce Robertson: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5348-2731

### 30 Abstract

Recent genomic evidence suggest that kea (Nestor notabilis) have a non-functional RH2 opsin 31 32 gene potentially leading to impaired vision in the green region of the electromagnetic spectrum. In New Zealand, it is standard procedure to add green dye to aerial poison baits used in 33 34 mammalian predator control operations to deter native birds from eating toxic bait. A visual 35 deficiency could impact how kea perceive and interact with green-dyed baits and thus have 36 unforeseen consequences for kea conservation. Here, we sequenced the partial RH2 gene of 37 seven wild kea and re-analysed the kea genome raw sequencing data of the RH2 locus. We 38 demonstrate that the reported premature stop codon is most likely an assembly artefact. An 39 extended analysis of the published genomes of all three extant New Zealand parrots 40 (Superfamily: Strigopoidea) confirms that the RH2 gene is functional in this entire group.

## 41 Introduction

Birds are living in a visual world (Walls 1943). Birds rely heavily on their sense of vision for 42 43 a variety of activities, including foraging (Viitala et al. 1995; Tedore and Nilsson 2019), 44 reproduction (Bennett et al. 1996) and movement (Wagner and Sauer 2010; Muheim 2011). 45 The bird's retina, like that of other vertebrates, consists of two types of photoreceptors, rod and cone cells, which express different types of photopigments or opsins. Rod cells contain 46 47 rhodopsin (RH1), responsible for low-light vision. Cone cells express cone opsins, which underlie colour vision. In birds cone opsins can be divided into four subgroups corresponding 48 49 to their light absorption spectra: a medium-wavelength sensitive opsin (RH2), an opsin 50 sensitive to long wavelengths (LWS), and two types of short-wavelength sensitive opsins 51 (SWS1, SWS2). Thus most birds possess a tetrachromatic visual system (reviewed in Hart 52 2001 and Hart and Hunt 2007).

53 Recently, the Bird 10K (B10K) consortium investigated avian opsin genes in 363 species 54 across the bird phylogeny as part of a large comparative genomics project (Feng et al. 2020). 55 The consortium found that RH1 and RH2 were present in all birds but were incomplete or 56 pseudogenised in a small number of species (5 and 11 respectively). The remaining three genes 57 showed varied patterns of presence and absence (Feng et al. 2020). An earlier comparative 58 genomics study of avian opsins had revealed a pseudogenised RH2 gene in the barn owl (Tyto 59 alba) and a segmental deletion within RH2 in penguins, consistent with adaptation to a 60 nocturnal and an aquatic lifestyle in the owl and in penguins, respectively (Borges et al. 2015).

61 The kea (*Nestor notabilis*), a large and endangered parrot endemic to the South Island of New 62 Zealand (Higgins 1999) was one of the species reported in Feng et al. (2020) to have a 63 premature stop codon in RH2, potentially leading to impaired vision in the green region of the 64 electromagnetic spectrum ( $\lambda$ max = 499–506 nm; Hart and Hunt 2007). A deficiency in green 65 colour vision could have unforeseen consequences for kea conservation in New Zealand. 66 Introduced mammalian predators such as stoats, rats, and possums have been devastating New 67 Zealand's native biodiversity and one of the most effective eradication tools to protect the 68 unique flora and fauna are large scale aerial drops of sodium fluoroacetate, 1080 poison baits 69 (Towns et al. 2013; Russell and Broome 2016). As standard practice, green dye has been added 70 to aerial poison baits to deter native birds from eating toxic bait pellets (Caithness and Williams 71 1971), based on studies that have shown avoidance of green food items by several avian species 72 (Cowan and Crowell 2017). Aerial poison drops using green toxic bait are frequently preceded 73 by drops of undyed or green-dyed non-toxic pre-feed bait to increase subsequent consumption 74 of toxic baits by neophobic predators. The effects of using either undyed or green pre-feed bait 75 on non-target avian species remains unknown (Cowan and Crowell 2017; Brunton-Martin et 76 al. 2021).

77 Kea may be of particular by-kill risk from aerial drops as they are omnivorous ground-feeders, 78 intelligent and inquisitive birds (Diamond and Bond 1999), and readily explore novel food 79 objects (Kemp et al. 2019). They may directly feed on poison bait, especially if they have learnt 80 that non-toxic pre-feed bait is an acceptable food source (Orr-Walker and Roberts 2009). 81 Brunton-Martin et al. (2021) modelled the appearance of different predator control baits based 82 on "average parrot vision", to gauge the ability of kea to discern bait from different 83 backgrounds and between dyed and undyed bait. The study's findings suggest that kea are 84 likely able to distinguish between green-dyed and undyed baits in well-lit environments and 85 that green-dying baits likely had a camouflage effect (Brunton-Martin et al. 2021). The authors 86 highlighted the need to review the current practice of using undyed pre-feed and green toxic 87 baits in the light of their findings but they also acknowledged that their model based on average 88 parrot vision might not reflect the true visual capabilities of kea. In this context, it is essential 89 to further investigate the claim of a non-functional RH2 gene, and its potential implications for 90 green vision impairment in kea.

In this study, we sequenced the partial RH2 gene of seven wild kea and re-analysed the B10K kea genome raw sequencing data of the RH2 locus. We demonstrate that the reported premature stop codon is most likely an assembly artefact in the reference genome. Further, we extended our analyses to the published genomes of all three extant New Zealand parrots, kea, kākā (*Nestor meridionalis*), and kākāpō (*Strigops habroptilus*), (superfamily: Strigopoidea) and confirm that the RH2 gene is functional in this entire group.

#### 97 Material and Methods

### 98 Kea, kākā, and kākāpō reference assemblies

99 No information has been published on the location of the premature stop codon within the 100 coding sequence (CDS) of the RH2 locus in kea (Feng et al. 2020). For further examination, 101 we identified and retrieved the RH2 gene region from the kea reference genome assembly ASM69687v1 (GenBank accession: GCA 000696875.1) by running an NCBI blastn search 102 103 with the zebra finch (Taeniopygia guttata) RH2 mRNA as query (accession: 104 NM 001076696.1). We also retrieved the RH2 sequence of the two other New Zealand parrot 105 species, the kākāpō (accession: GCF 004027225.2; Dussex et al. 2021) and the kākā (Martini 106 et al. 2021) for comparison. A multiple sequence alignment of the RH2 CDS for kea, kākā, 107 kākāpō, and zebra finch was generated using the web application of MAFFT v. 7 (Katoh et al. 108 2019) with default parameters.

## 109 Reassembly of the RH2 locus

110 The RH2 genes in the kea and kākā reference assemblies contained some unresolved sequence 111 (N-stretches), therefore we performed a reassembly of the RH2 gene region for both species. Specifically, we mapped the kea raw sequencing reads (SRR959225 - 27) against the scaffold 112 of the reference genome containing the RH2 gene (NW 009924444.1; 24,924 bp) using the 113 114 bwa mem algorithm from BWA v. 0.7 (Li 2013). Similarly, the kākā raw reads (Martini et al. 115 2021) were mapped to scaffold ps chr26 (4.90 Mbp) of the kākā reference assembly. Using 116 Samtools view v1.13 (Li et al. 2009), we extracted all mapped reads (-F 4) from a 10 kb and a 100 kb region surrounding the RH2 locus on the kea and kākā scaffolds, respectively. We 117 converted the mapped reads from bam to fastq format with BamUtil's bam2FastQ v. 1.0.14 118 (Jun *et al.* 2015). Adapters and low quality bases (q < 10) were trimmed with TrimGalore v. 119

120 0.6.4 (Krueger *et al.* 2021). Reads shorter than 40 bp after trimming were discarded. Trimmed 121 reads were then assembled into scaffolds with ABySS v. 2.0.2 (Jackman *et al.* 2017) with k-122 mer size set to 64. We used Geneious Prime v. 2020.2.2 (Biomatters Ltd.) to visualise and 123 manually curate the resulting sequences. Scripts used for the reassembly are available on 124 Github (https://github.com/StefanieGrosser/Kea\_RH2opsin).

125 Primer design

We used the Primer3Plus web application (Untergasser et al. 2007) to design PCR primers 126 127 targeting the region containing the presumed premature stop codon. We designed the primers in sequence regions conserved between the three New Zealand parrot species to allow cross-128 129 amplification: the forward primer in exon 3 (Kea RH2 Exon3 578CDS F: 5'-130 CCCACAACCCTGACTATCACA-3') and reverse primer in exon 4 131 (Kea RH2 Exon4 840CDS R: 5'-TCCCTTGTTGGTGAAGATCC-3').

# 132 Kea DNA extraction, PCR, and Sanger sequencing

133 DNA was extracted from seven kea blood samples held at the Department of Zoology, 134 University of Otago for conservation related studies (Wildlife Act permit nr. 78375-DOA) using a standard phenol-chloroform extraction protocol (Sambrook and Russell 2001). PCR 135 reactions were set up in 25 µl volumes containing 1× PCR buffer, 1.5mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 200 µM of 136 137 each dNTP, 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (BioTaq, Bioline USA Inc.), and 0.5 µM of each 138 primer. The thermocycling conditions were an initial denaturation of 2 min at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 50°C for 40 sec and 72°C for 1 min; followed by a final 139 140 extension of 10 min at 72°C. PCR products were purified using Acroprep 96 filter plates (Pall 141 Corporation) following the manufacturer's protocol. Sanger sequencing of PCR products in both directions was performed on an ABI3730xl at the Genetic Analysis Services, OtagoUniversity, Dunedin New Zealand.

### 144 Sanger sequence analysis

Sanger sequences were edited in Geneious Prime. Primer sequences and low quality 5'-ends were manually trimmed from the sequences. Forward and reverse sequences were aligned using the pairwise Geneious Alignment option with default parameters and a consensus sequence was generated (no mismatches between forward and reverse sequences allowed). A multiple alignment including the full CDS of RH2 extracted from the kea reference genome and the partial CDSs extracted for the seven representative kea samples was generated using the web application of MAFFT v. 7 with default parameters.

## 152 **Results**

153 We extracted and aligned the full CDS of the RH2 opsin gene from the zebra finch, kea, kākā, 154 and kākāpō reference genomes (1,068 bp in length, 356 amino acid residues). We identified 155 the premature stop codon previously reported for kea at the beginning of exon 4 at residue 239 156 (a glutamic acid in all other sequences) caused by a single  $G \Rightarrow T$  nucleotide substitution at the 157 first codon position (Figure 1A &1B). Additionally, we observed an amino acid change from 158 alanine to glutamine (residue 233) caused by two nucleotide substitutions at the first and second 159 codon position. Moreover, the full gene sequence revealed that intron 3 of the kea RH2 gene 160 contained a stretch of unknown sequence (Ns) close to the start of exon 4. Because of the close 161 proximity of the 2 non-synonymous substitutions in exon 4 (causing a loss of function of the 162 gene), the N-stretch in intron 3, as well as the gene's position at the scaffold edge, we suspected 163 that the kea reference assembly might be of low quality in this region of the genome and the 164 premature stop might represent an assembly artefact rather than a true loss of function mutation.

165 We attempted to verify this hypothesis in two ways. We Sanger sequenced this particular gene 166 region in seven individual wild kea. We found that none of these samples had a premature stop 167 codon at residue 239, and instead all sequences contained a glutamic acid at this position 168 (Figure 1C). Similarly, at residue 233 none of the samples had the two nucleotide substitutions 169 causing the alanine to glutamine change in the reference assembly. Finally, Sanger sequencing 170 resolved the 31 bp N stretch at the 3'-end of intron 3 as two missing base pairs—an A and a C 171 —in the reference assembly. We also identified four polymorphic sites within the alignment of 172 the 7 wild kea (Supplementary Figure S1); three sites in exon 3 and one site in exon 4. 173 Interestingly, the polymorphism identified at position 674 of the CDS nucleotide alignment, a 174  $G \Rightarrow A$  transition at the 2nd codon position of residue 225, results in a non-conservative amino 175 acid replacement (arginine to histidine). This polymorphism was found in only one individual 176 wild kea, which was heterozygous at this site (the polymorphism was confirmed from the forward and the reverse sequencing read). 177

178 To further assess the possibility of an assembly artifact in the kea reference genome at the RH2 179 locus, we reassembled this genomic region from the raw reads. We extracted and reassembled 180 8,025 reads that mapped to scaffold NW 009924444.1 (corresponding to a mean scaffold 181 coverage of approx. 32X). This resulted in a new assembly of 37 scaffolds with an N50 of 182 3,046 bp (range: 72 - 5651 bp). We identified scaffolds containing the RH2 gene by using 183 NCBI blastn against the nr/nt database and a subsequent manual alignment of positive hits against the kea RH2 gene in Geneious Prime. Only seven scaffolds (range: 145 - 545 bp) 184 185 aligned to the RH2 gene (covering ~68% of the gene). The shortest scaffold of 145 bp aligned 186 within exon 4 and contained a glutamic acid at residue 239 (premature stop codon in the 187 reference). Residue 233 was not contained within this short scaffold (or any of the other scaffolds). Additionally, we examined the barn file (NW 009924444.1 with mapped raw reads) 188

using IGV v. 2.8.2 (Robinson *et al.* 2011). Residue 239 was covered by 11 reads of which nine
supported a G instead of a T.

191 Similar to the kea, we reassembled the RH2 locus for kākā by mapping raw reads against a 192 100kb scaffold region of the reference assembly. We assembled a 15,493 bp scaffold 193 containing the RH2 locus. While this scaffold also contained several N stretches within intronic 194 regions, we could successfully resolve the first 29 bp of the missing nucleotide sequence in 195 exon 2 (which is identical to the kea, Supplementary Figure S2). Overall, we observed 14 196 conservative and 2 non-conservative amino acid substitutions between the zebra finch and the 197 New Zealand parrots, and four conservative amino acid replacements between kākāpō and the 198 two Nestor species (for three of these changes kea and kākā showed the same amino acid 199 identity as the zebra finch).

#### 200 Discussion

In this study we examined if the kea RH2 opsin gene contains a premature stop codon as previously reported by Feng *et al.* (2020), which would be indicative of a non-functional green opsin gene. We Sanger sequenced several wild kea individuals at the RH2 gene region containing the presumed stop codon and reassembled the genomic region from raw sequencing data of the kea genome assembly. We show that kea have an intact RH2 gene and suggest that the published kea genome (GCA\_000696875.1) is likely misassembled at this locus.

The kea genome assembly was generated as part of a comparative avian genomics study which included the first 48 available bird genomes (Zhang *et al.* 2014). The genome is assembled from low coverage (32X) Illumina short-read data with two insert-size libraries and is highly fragmented. Missing, truncated, or incorrectly assembled genes are common in such short-read assemblies (Yin *et al.* 2019; Rhie *et al.* 2021). While the loss or pseudogenisation of RH2 has 212 been established in several avian (Borges et al. 2015; Le Duc et al. 2015; Wu et al. 2016) and other vertebrate lineages (Bowmaker 2008), our results show that the loss of function 213 214 previously reported for kea RH2 (Feng et al. 2020) is an artifact caused by low genome 215 assembly quality. Our reassembly of the RH2 locus from raw sequencing data resulted in many 216 short contigs and did not allow for the reconstruction of the entire gene, however, a short 217 fragment matching parts of exon 4 showed the expected glutamic acid at position 239 where 218 the kea reference assembly contains the premature stop codon. Our results may suggest that 219 functional loss of the RH2 (and other opsin) genes in other avian species reported in Feng et 220 al. (2020) could equally originate from assembly artefacts. More generally, evolutionary 221 inference based on comparative genomics studies that rely on highly fragmented or low-quality 222 genome assemblies warrants careful assessment.

Despite providing evidence for a functional RH2 gene, our analyses alone cannot determine the true visual capabilities of kea and the other two New Zealand parrots. The models employed by Brunton-Martin *et al.* 2021 based on "average parrot vision" seem to remain a reasonable proxy for kea vision. We concur with (Brunton-Martin *et al.* 2021) that more research is required to determine keas' behaviour towards green-dyed and undyed types of baits used in aerial predator control operations.

### 229 Acknowledgements

We thank Kerry Weston, Bruce McKinlay, and Jo Monks from the New Zealand Department of Conservation (DOC), and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu for their support with the kea work. We are also grateful to the iwi and hapū that are kaitiaki for kākā, Te Hau Kainga o Pureora and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu. Kea blood samples were collected by DOC and the Kea Conservation Trust with the required approvals, and held under Wildlife Act permission (Permit number: 78375-DOA). The authors wish to acknowledge the use of New Zealand eScience
Infrastructure (NeSI) high performance computing facilities, consulting support and/or training
services as part of this research. New Zealand's national facilities are provided by NeSI and
funded jointly by NeSI's collaborator institutions and through the Ministry of Business,
Innovation & Employment's Research Infrastructure programme.

### 240 Data availability statement

RH2 opsin sequences for wild kea have been deposited in NCBI GenBank under accessions
XXXXX (for individuals sharing identical sequences only one representative sequence has
been deposited). Access to kākā genome data is subject to iwi consultation. For details please
see Martini *et al.* 2021.

## 245 **Disclosure statement**

246 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

## 247 Authors contributions

- 248 BCR, AF, SG, YF, LD conceived the study. BCR provided samples, FR performed lab work.
- 249 SG and LD performed sequence analysis. MK and DM provided sequence data for kaka. SG,
- 250 with the help of LD, YF, and BCR wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the final
- 251 version of the manuscript.

## 252 References

- Bennett ATD, Cuthill IC, Partridge JC, Maier EJ (1996). Ultraviolet vision and mate choice in
   zebra finches. *Nature* 380, 433–435. doi:10.1038/380433a0
- Borges R, Khan I, Johnson WE, Gilbert MTP, Zhang G, Jarvis ED, O'Brien SJ, Antunes A
  (2015). Gene loss, adaptive evolution and the co-evolution of plumage coloration genes
  with opsins in birds. *BMC Genomics* 16, 751. doi:10.1186/s12864-015-1924-3

- Bowmaker JK (2008). Evolution of vertebrate visual pigments. Vision Research 48, 2022–
   2041. doi:10.1016/j.visres.2008.03.025
- Brunton-Martin A, Nichols M, Gaskett A (2021). Assessing kea perception of cereal baits using
   modelling of spectral reflectance. New Zealand Journal of Ecology.
   doi:10.20417/nzjecol.45.3
- Caithness TA, Williams GR (1971). Protecting birds from poison baits. New Zealand Journal
   of Agricultural Research 122, 38–43.
- Cowan P, Crowell M (2017). Visual and taste cues for minimising native bird interactions with
   toxic 1080 baits a review of current practices. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 41.
   doi:10.20417/nzjecol.41.19
- Diamond J, Bond AB (1999). 'Kea, bird of paradox: the evolution and behavior of a New
   Zealand parrot'. (University of California Press: Berkeley)
- 270 Dussex N, van der Valk T, Morales HE, Wheat CW, Díez-del-Molino D, von Seth J, Foster Y, 271 Kutschera VE, Guschanski K, Rhie A, Phillippy AM, Korlach J, Howe K, Chow W, 272 Pelan S, Mendes Damas JD, Lewin HA, Hastie AR, Formenti G, Fedrigo O, Guhlin J, 273 Harrop TWR, Le Lec MF, Dearden PK, Haggerty L, Martin FJ, Kodali V, Thibaud-Nissen F, Iorns D, Knapp M, Gemmell NJ, Robertson F, Moorhouse R, Digby A, Eason 274 D, Vercoe D, Howard J, Jarvis ED, Robertson BC, Dalén L (2021). Population 275 276 genomics of the critically endangered kākāpō. Cell Genomics 1, 100002. 277 doi:10.1016/j.xgen.2021.100002
- 278 Feng S, Stiller J, Deng Y, Armstrong J, Fang Q, Reeve AH, Xie D, Chen G, Guo C, Faircloth 279 BC, Petersen B, Wang Z, Zhou Q, Diekhans M, Chen W, Andreu-Sánchez S, Margaryan A, Howard JT, Parent C, Pacheco G, Sinding M-HS, Puetz L, Cavill E, 280 281 Ribeiro ÂM, Eckhart L, Fjeldså J, Hosner PA, Brumfield RT, Christidis L, Bertelsen MF, Sicheritz-Ponten T, Tietze DT, Robertson BC, Song G, Borgia G, Claramunt S, 282 Lovette IJ, Cowen SJ, Njoroge P, Dumbacher JP, Ryder OA, Fuchs J, Bunce M, Burt 283 284 DW, Cracraft J, Meng G, Hackett SJ, Rvan PG, Jønsson KA, Jamieson IG, da Fonseca 285 RR, Braun EL, Houde P, Mirarab S, Suh A, Hansson B, Ponnikas S, Sigeman H, 286 Stervander M, Frandsen PB, van der Zwan H, van der Sluis R, Visser C, Balakrishnan 287 CN, Clark AG, Fitzpatrick JW, Bowman R, Chen N, Cloutier A, Sackton TB, Edwards 288 SV, Foote DJ, Shakya SB, Sheldon FH, Vignal A, Soares AER, Shapiro B, González-289 Solís J, Ferrer-Obiol J, Rozas J, Riutort M, Tigano A, Friesen V, Dalén L, Urrutia AO, 290 Székely T, Liu Y, Campana MG, Corvelo A, Fleischer RC, Rutherford KM, Gemmell 291 NJ, Dussex N, Mouritsen H, Thiele N, Delmore K, Liedvogel M, Franke A, Hoeppner 292 MP, Krone O, Fudickar AM, Milá B, Ketterson ED, Fidler AE, Friis G, Parody-Merino 293 ÁM, Battley PF, Cox MP, Lima NCB, Prosdocimi F, Parchman TL, Schlinger BA, 294 Loiselle BA, Blake JG, Lim HC, Day LB, Fuxjager MJ, Baldwin MW, Braun MJ, 295 Wirthlin M, Dikow RB, Ryder TB, Camenisch G, Keller LF, DaCosta JM, Hauber ME, 296 Louder MIM, Witt CC, McGuire JA, Mudge J, Megna LC, Carling MD, Wang B, 297 Taylor SA, Del-Rio G, Aleixo A, Vasconcelos ATR, Mello CV, Weir JT, Haussler D, 298 Li Q, Yang H, Wang J, Lei F, Rahbek C, Gilbert MTP, Graves GR, Jarvis ED, Paten 299 B, Zhang G (2020). Dense sampling of bird diversity increases power of comparative 300 genomics. Nature 587, 252-257. doi:10.1038/s41586-020-2873-9

- Hart NS (2001). The visual ecology of avian photoreceptors. *Progress in Retinal and Eye Research* 20, 675–703. doi:10.1016/S1350-9462(01)00009-X
- Hart NS, Hunt DM (2007). Avian visual pigments: Characteristics, spectral tuning, and
   evolution. *The American Naturalist* 169, S7–S26. doi:10.1086/510141
- Higgins PJ (Ed.) (1999). 'Handbook of Australian, New Zealand & Antarctic birds'. (Oxford
   University Press: Melbourne)
- 307 Jackman SD, Vandervalk BP, Mohamadi H, Chu J, Yeo S, Hammond SA, Jahesh G, Khan H, 308 Coombe L, Warren RL, Birol I (2017). ABySS 2.0: resource-efficient assembly of large 309 genomes using а Bloom filter. Genome Research 27, 768-777. 310 doi:10.1101/gr.214346.116
- Jun G, Wing MK, Abecasis GR, Kang HM (2015). An efficient and scalable analysis
   framework for variant extraction and refinement from population-scale DNA sequence
   data. *Genome Research* 25, 918–925. doi:10.1101/gr.176552.114
- Katoh K, Rozewicki J, Yamada KD (2019). MAFFT online service: multiple sequence
   alignment, interactive sequence choice and visualization. *Briefings in Bioinformatics* 20, 1160–1166. doi:10.1093/bib/bbx108
- Kemp J, Mosen C, Elliott G, Hunter C, van Klink P (2019). Kea survival during aerial
  poisoning for rat and possum control. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 43.
  doi:10.20417/nzjecol.43.2
- Krueger F, James F, Ewels P, Afyounian E, Schuster-Boeckler B (2021). 'TrimGalore: v0.6.7'.
   (Zenodo) doi:10.5281/ZENODO.5127899
- Le Duc D, Renaud G, Krishnan A, Almén MS, Huynen L, Prohaska SJ, Ongyerth M, Bitarello
   BD, Schiöth HB, Hofreiter M, Stadler PF, Prüfer K, Lambert D, Kelso J, Schöneberg
   T (2015). Kiwi genome provides insights into evolution of a nocturnal lifestyle.
   *Genome Biology* 16, 147. doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0711-4
- Li H (2013). Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. *arXiv*, arXiv:1303.3997v2.
- 328 Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, 329 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup (2009). The Sequence 330 Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. **Bioinformatics** 25, 2078-2079. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 331
- Martini D, Dussex N, Robertson BC, Gemmell NJ, Knapp M (2021). Evolution of the "world's
   only alpine parrot": Genomic adaptation or phenotypic plasticity, behaviour and
   ecology? *Molecular Ecology*, mec.15978. doi:10.1111/mec.15978
- Muheim R (2011). Behavioural and physiological mechanisms of polarized light sensitivity in
   birds. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 366,
   763–771. doi:10.1098/rstb.2010.0196

- Orr-Walker T, Roberts L (2009). Population estimations of wold Kea (*Nestor notabilis*). Kea
   Conservation Trust.
- 340 Rhie A, McCarthy SA, Fedrigo O, Damas J, Formenti G, Koren S, Uliano-Silva M, Chow W, 341 Fungtammasan A, Kim J, Lee C, Ko BJ, Chaisson M, Gedman GL, Cantin LJ, Thibaud-342 Nissen F, Haggerty L, Bista I, Smith M, Haase B, Mountcastle J, Winkler S, Paez S, 343 Howard J, Vernes SC, Lama TM, Grutzner F, Warren WC, Balakrishnan CN, Burt D, George JM, Biegler MT, Iorns D, Digby A, Eason D, Robertson B, Edwards T, 344 345 Wilkinson M, Turner G, Meyer A, Kautt AF, Franchini P, Detrich HW, Svardal H, Wagner M, Naylor GJP, Pippel M, Malinsky M, Mooney M, Simbirsky M, Hannigan 346 347 BT, Pesout T, Houck M, Misuraca A, Kingan SB, Hall R, Kronenberg Z, Sović I, Dunn 348 C, Ning Z, Hastie A, Lee J, Selvaraj S, Green RE, Putnam NH, Gut I, Ghurye J, Garrison E, Sims Y, Collins J, Pelan S, Torrance J, Tracey A, Wood J, Dagnew RE, 349 350 Guan D, London SE, Clayton DF, Mello CV, Friedrich SR, Lovell PV, Osipova E, Al-351 Ajli FO, Secomandi S, Kim H, Theofanopoulou C, Hiller M, Zhou Y, Harris RS, 352 Makova KD, Medvedev P, Hoffman J, Masterson P, Clark K, Martin F, Howe K, Flicek P, Walenz BP, Kwak W, Clawson H, Diekhans M, Nassar L, Paten B, Kraus RHS, 353 354 Crawford AJ, Gilbert MTP, Zhang G, Venkatesh B, Murphy RW, Koepfli K-P, Shapiro B, Johnson WE, Di Palma F, Marques-Bonet T, Teeling EC, Warnow T, Graves JM, 355 Ryder OA, Haussler D, O'Brien SJ, Korlach J, Lewin HA, Howe K, Myers EW, Durbin 356 R, Phillippy AM, Jarvis ED (2021). Towards complete and error-free genome 357 assemblies of all vertebrate species. Nature 592, 737-746. doi:10.1038/s41586-021-358 359 03451-0
- Robinson JT, Thorvaldsdóttir H, Winckler W, Guttman M, Lander ES, Getz G, Mesirov JP
  (2011). Integrative genomics viewer. *Nature Biotechnology* 29, 24–26.
  doi:10.1038/nbt.1754
- Russell J, Broome K (2016). Fifty years of rodent eradications in New Zealand: another decade
   of advances. New Zealand Journal of Ecology 40, 197–204.
   doi:10.20417/nzjecol.40.22
- 366 Sambrook J, Russell DW (2001). 'Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual' 3rd ed. (Cold
   367 Spring Harbor Laboratory Press: Cold Spring Harbor, N.Y)
- Tedore C, Nilsson D-E (2019). Avian UV vision enhances leaf surface contrasts in forest
   environments. *Nature Communications* 10, 238. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-08142-5
- Towns DR, West CJ, Broome KG (2013). Purposes, outcomes and challenges of eradicating
   invasive mammals from New Zealand islands: an historical perspective. *Wildlife Research* 40, 94. doi:10.1071/WR12064
- Untergasser A, Nijveen H, Rao X, Bisseling T, Geurts R, Leunissen JAM (2007). Primer3Plus,
  an enhanced web interface to Primer3. *Nucleic Acids Research* 35, W71–W74.
  doi:10.1093/nar/gkm306
- Viitala J, Korplmäki E, Palokangas P, Koivula M (1995). Attraction of kestrels to vole scent
  marks visible in ultraviolet light. *Nature* 373, 425–427. doi:10.1038/373425a0

- Wagner HO, Sauer F (2010). Die Sternenorientierung nächtlich ziehender Grasmücken (*Sylvia atricapilla, borin* und *curruca*). Zeitschrift für Tierpsychologie 14, 29–70.
  doi:10.1111/j.1439-0310.1957.tb00525.x
- Walls GL (1943). 'The vertebrate eye and its adaptive radiation'. (The Cranbrook Press:
   Bloomfield Hills, Michigan, USA)
- Wu Y, Hadly EA, Teng W, Hao Y, Liang W, Liu Y, Wang H (2016). Retinal transcriptome
   sequencing sheds light on the adaptation to nocturnal and diurnal lifestyles in raptors.
   *Scientific Reports* 6, 33578. doi:10.1038/srep33578
- Yin Z-T, Zhu F, Lin F-B, Jia T, Wang Z, Sun D-T, Li G-S, Zhang C-L, Smith J, Yang N, Hou
   Z-C (2019). Revisiting avian 'missing' genes from de novo assembled transcripts. *BMC Genomics* 20, 4. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5407-1
- 389 Zhang G, Li C, Li Q, Li B, Larkin DM, Lee C, Storz JF, Antunes A, Greenwold MJ, Meredith RW, Ödeen A, Cui J, Zhou Q, Xu L, Pan H, Wang Z, Jin L, Zhang P, Hu H, Yang W, 390 Hu J, Xiao J, Yang Z, Liu Y, Xie Q, Yu H, Lian J, Wen P, Zhang F, Li H, Zeng Y, 391 Xiong Z, Liu S, Zhou L, Huang Z, An N, Wang J, Zheng Q, Xiong Y, Wang G, Wang 392 393 B, Wang J, Fan Y, da Fonseca RR, Alfaro-Núñez A, Schubert M, Orlando L, Mourier T, Howard JT, Ganapathy G, Pfenning A, Whitney O, Rivas MV, Hara E, Smith J, 394 395 Farré M, Narayan J, Slavov G, Romanov MN, Borges R, Machado JP, Khan I, Springer 396 MS, Gatesy J, Hoffmann FG, Opazo JC, Håstad O, Sawyer RH, Kim H, Kim K-W, 397 Kim HJ, Cho S, Li N, Huang Y, Bruford MW, Zhan X, Dixon A, Bertelsen MF, 398 Derryberry E, Warren W, Wilson RK, Li S, Ray DA, Green RE, O'Brien SJ, Griffin D, 399 Johnson WE, Haussler D, Ryder OA, Willerslev E, Graves GR, Alström P, Fjeldså J, Mindell DP, Edwards SV, Braun EL, Rahbek C, Burt DW, Houde P, Zhang Y, Yang 400 401 H, Wang J, Avian Genome Consortium, Jarvis ED, Gilbert MTP, Wang J, Ye C, Liang 402 S, Yan Z, Zepeda ML, Campos PF, Velazquez AMV, Samaniego JA, Avila-Arcos M, Martin MD, Barnett R, Ribeiro AM, Mello CV, Lovell PV, Almeida D, Maldonado E, 403 404 Pereira J, Sunagar K, Philip S, Dominguez-Bello MG, Bunce M, Lambert D, Brumfield 405 RT, Sheldon FH, Holmes EC, Gardner PP, Steeves TE, Stadler PF, Burge SW, Lyons E, Smith J, McCarthy F, Pitel F, Rhoads D, Froman DP (2014). Comparative genomics 406 407 reveals insights into avian genome evolution and adaptation. Science 346, 1311-1320. doi:10.1126/science.1251385 408





#### 411

Figure 1. Avian RH2 opsin gene. A Schematic of the zebra finch RH2 gene (GenBank 412 413 accession NC 045024: LOC751972) with exons depicted as arrows. The asterisk indicates the 414 position of the premature stop codon in the kea reference assembly. **B** Nucleotide sequences 415 and corresponding amino acid translations for the zebra finch, kākāpō, kākā, and kea shown 416 for the 5'-end of exon 4. Nucleotide substitutions in the New Zealand parrots compared to the 417 zebra finch are shown in light blue. C Nucleotide sequences and corresponding amino acid 418 translations for the kea reference assembly and seven kea samples ascertained with Sanger 419 sequencing for the 5'-end of exon 4. Nucleotide substitutions in the kea samples compared to 420 the reference assembly are shown in light blue.

## 422 Supplementary Figures



Figure S1. Partial nucleotide sequences alignment of the RH2 gene for the kea reference assembly and seven kea samples ascertained with Sanger sequencing (exon 3, intron 3 and partial exon 4). The yellow bar above the sequence indicates the start and stop position of the exons and intron. Coloured nucleotides and amino acids highlight polymorphisms between the different samples.

	Exon 1					
	1 10 20 ATGAACGGGACGGA <mark>G</mark> GG <mark>GA</mark> T	30 40			90 100	
T. gutatta	M N G T E G	N F Y V P M	S N K T G V V	R S P F E Y P	Q Y Y L A E	P W K Y R L V
S. habroptilus	M N G T E G V	N F Y V P M	S N K T G L V	R S P F E Y P	Q Y Y L A E	P W K Y R V V
N. meridionalis	ATGAACGGGACGGAAGGTGT M N G T E G V	CAACTTTTATGTGCCTATGT	CCAACAAGACAGGGGTGGTG S N K T G V V	CGAAGCCCCTTTGAGTACCC R S P F E Y P	CCAGTACTACCTAGCTGAGC Q Y Y L A E	CCTGGAAATACCGTGTCGTG P W K Y R V V
N. notabilis	ATGAACGGGACGGAAGGTGT	CAACTTTTATGTGCCTATGT	CCAACAAGACAGGGGTGGTG	CGAAGCCCCTTTGAGTACCC	CCAGTACTACCTAGCTGAGC	CCTGGAAATACCGTGTCGTG
	MNGIEGV	NFYVPM	SNKIGVV	RSPFEYP	QYYLAE	PWKYRVV
	130 140 TGCTGCTACATCTTCTTCCT			0 190 200 GTCACCTTCAAGCACAAGAA	0 210 220 GCTCCGGCAGCCCCCAACT	ACATCCTGGTCAACCTGGCG
T. gutatta	CCYIFFL	ISTG <b>F</b> P	INFLTLL	VTFKHKK	LRQPLN	Y I L V N L A
S. habroptilus	C C Y I F F L	I S T G L P		V T F K H K K	L R Q P L N	Y I L V N L A
N. meridionalis	TGTTGCTACATCTTCTTCCT C C Y I F F L	CATCTCCACCGGTTTGCCCA I S T G L P	TCAACCTGCTCACCCTTTG	GTCACCTTCAAGCACAAGAA V T F K H K K	GCTCCGGCAGCCACTCAACT L R Q P L N	ACATCTTGGTCAACTTGGCG Y I L V N L A
N. notabilis	TGTTGCTACATCTTCTTCCT	CATCTCCACCGGTTTGCCCA	TCAACCTGCTCACCCT	GTCACCTTCAAGCACAAGAA	GCTCCGGCAGCCACTCAACT	ACATCTTGGTCAACTTGGCG
	CCITFFL	131017		VIEKIKK	LKQFLN	TILVNLA
	250 260	270 280	290 300	310 32	0 330 340	350 360
T. gutatta		CTGCTTTGCTTTCACCGTCA		GGCTATTTCGTGTTCGGCCC	CATEGGETGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEGEG	GETTCTTT GCCACACTGGGA
S habroptilus	GTGGCTGATCTCTTCATGGC	CTGTTTTGGCTTCACAGTCA	CCTTCTACACGGCCTGGAAT	GGCTACTTCGTCTTCGGCCC	CATTGGCTGTGCTGTGGAAG	GCTTCTTCGCCACGCTGGGA
M. masidianatia	V A D L F M A	C F G F T V	T F Y T A W N	G Y F V F G P	I G C A V E	G F F A T L G
iv. mendionalis	VADLFMA	CFGFTV	TFYTAWN	GYFVFGP	IGCAVE	GFFATLG
N. notabilis	V A D L F M A	C F G F T V	T F Y T A W N	G Y F V F G P	I G C A V E	G F F A T L G
	Even 2					
	370 380	390 400	410 420	430 44	0 450 460	470 480
T. gutatta	GGCCAGGTCGCCCTGTGGTC G Q V A L W S	CCTGGT GTCCTGGCCATCG	AGCGCTACAT GTCATCTGC	AAGCCCATGGGCAACTTCCG K P M G N F R	F S A S H A	TGATGGGCATCGCTTTCACC
S. habroptilus	GCCAAGTGCCCTGTGGTC	CCTGGTCGTCCTGGCCATCG	AGCGCTACATCGTCGTCTGC			TGGTGGGCATCGCTTTTACC
N maridionalis	GGCCAAGTCGCCCTGTGGTC	CTTGGTCGTCCTGGCCATCG	AGCGCTACATCGTCGTCTGC	AAACCCATGGGAAACTTCCG	CTTCTCCGCGACCCACGCCA	TGATGGGCATCGCTTTTACC
N. menuionalis	G Q V A L W S	L V V L A I	E R Y I V V C	K P M G N F R	F S A T H A	M M G I A F T
N. notabilis	GQVALWS	LVVLAI	ERYIVVC	KPMGNFR	FSATHA	MMGIAFT
			Exon 3			
	490 500	510 520	530 540	550 56	0 570 580	590 600
T. gutatta	W V M A S C	A A P P L F	G W S R Y	E G M Q C S C	G P D Y Y T	H N P D F H N
S. habroptilus	TGGGTTATGGCCTTGTCCTG W V M A L S C	TGCTGCTCCACCCCTCTTCG A A P P L F	GCTGGTCCAGATACATGCCG G W S R Y M P	GAGGGGATGCAATGTTCCTG E G M Q C S C	CGGCCCCGACTACTATACCC G P D Y Y T	ACAACCCTGACTATCACAAC H N P D Y H N
N. meridionalis	TGGGTTATGGCCTTCTCCTG	TGCTGCTCCACCCCTCTTCG	GCTGGTCCAGATACATGCCG	GAGGGGATGCAGTGTTCCTG		
N. notabilis	TGGGTTATGGCCTTCTCCTG	TGCTGCTCCACCCCTCTTCG	GCTGGTCCAGATACATGCCG	GAGGGGATGCAGTGTTCCTG	CGGCCCCGACTACTACACCC	ACAACCCTGACTATCACAAC
14. 110(00)/15	WVMAFSC	AAPPLF	G W S R Y M P	EGMQCSC	GPDYYT	HNPDYHN
	610 620	630 640	650 660	670 68	Exo	n 4 710 720
T. gutatta		GTTCGTCATCCACTTCATCA				CTGCCCAGCAGCAGGAGTCG
S habrontilus	GAGTCCTAT	GTTCATCATCCATTTCATCA	TCCCAGTCGTGGTCATTTTC	TTCTCCTACGGGCGCCTCAT	TTGCAAAGTCCGAGAGGCAG	CTGCCCAGCAGCAGGAATCA
	E S Y V L Y M GAGTCCTACGTCCTCTACAT	F	I P V V V I F	F S Y G R L I	C K V R E A	A A Q Q Q E S CTGCCCAGCAGCAGGAATCA
N. meridionalis	E S Y V L Y M	FVIHFI	I P V V V I F	FSYGRLI	C K V R E A	A A Q Q Q E S
N. notabilis	E S Y V L Y M	F V I H F I	I P V V V I F	F S Y G R L I	C K V R E A	A A Q Q Q E S
T sudatta	730 740 GCCAC <mark>G</mark> ACCCAGAAGGC <mark>G</mark> GA	750 760 GAAGGAGGTGACGCGGATGG	770 780 TGATCCTCATGGTGCTGGG	790 800 TTCATGCTGGCCTGGACGCC	0 810 820 CTACGCCGTGGTGGCGTTCT	GGATCTTCACCAACAAGGG
T. gutatta	A T T Q K A E	K E V T R M	VILMVLG	F M L A W T P	Y A V V A F	W I F T N K G
S. habroptilus	A T T Q K A E	K E V T R M	V I L M V L G	F M L A W T P	Y A V V A F	W I F T N K G
N. meridionalis	GCCACAACCCAGAAGGCTGA A T T Q K A E	GAAGGAGGTGACGCGGATGG K E V T R M	TGATCCTCATGGTGCTGGGG V I L M V L G	F M L A W T P	CTACGCCGTGGTGGCGTTCT Y A V V A F	GGATCTTCACCAACAAGGGA W I F T N K G
N. notabilis	GCCACAACCCAGAAGGCTGA A T T Q K A E	GAAGGAGGTGACGCGGATGG K E V T R M	TGATCCTCATGGTGCTGGGG V I L M V L G	TTCATGCTGGCCTGGACGCC F M L A W T P	CTACGCCGTGGTGGCGTTCT Y A V V A F	GGATCTTCACCAACAAGGGA W I F T N K G
	-					- 5
	850 860	870 880	890 900	910 92	0 930 940	950 960
T. gutatta	GCCGACTTCACGGCCACGCT A D F T A T L	GATGGCAGTGCCTGCCTTCT M A V P A F	TCTCCAAGAGCTCCTCCTC F S K S S S L	TACAACCCCATCATCTACGT	GCTCATGAACAAACAGTTCC	GTAACTGCATGATCACCACA R N C M I T T
S. habroptilus						GTAATTGCATGATCACCACA
N. meridionalis	GCGGACTTCACCGCCACGCT	CATGTCAGTGCCTGCCTTCT	TCTCCAAGAGCTCCTCCCTC	TACAACCCCATCATCTATGT	CCTCATGAACAAGCAGTTCC	GTAATTGCATGATCACCACA
N setabil'-	A D F T A T L GCGGACTTCACCGCCACGCT	M S V P A F	⊢ S K S S S L TCTCCAAGAGCTCCTCCTC	Y N P I I Y V TACAACCCCATCATCTATGT	L M N K Q F	K N C M I T T GTAATTGCATGATCACCACA
in. hotadilis	ADFTATL	MSVPAF	FSKSSSL	YNPIIYV	LMNKQF	RNCMITT
Tautott	970 980 ATCTGCTGCGGCAAGAACCC	990 1,00 CTTTGGGGATGAAGAAACCT	0 1,010 1,02 CCTCCACCGTATCCCAGAGC	AAGACCGAGGTCTCCTCC	CTCCTCCAGCCAAGTATCAC	U 1,068 CCGCATAG
r. gutatta	I C C G K N P		S S T V S Q S	K T E V S S V	S S S Q V S	P A *
S. habroptilus	I C C G K N P	F G D E D T	S S A V S Q S	K T E V S S V	S S S Q V S	P A *
N. meridionalis	ATCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCC I C C G K N P	CTTTGGGGATGAAGACACCT F G D E D T	CTTCCGCTGTATCCCAGAGC S S A V S Q S	AAGACCGAGGTCTCCTCTGT K T E V S S V	CTCCTCCAGCCAAGTATCAC S S S Q V S	CTGCATAG P A *
N. notabilis	ATCTGCTGTGGCAAGAACCC	CTTTGGGGATGAAGACACCT F G D E D T	CTTCCGCTGTATCCCAGAGC S S A V S O S	AAGACCGAGGTCTCCTCTGT K T E V S S V	CTCCTCCAGCCAAGTATCAC S S S Q V S	CTGCATAG P A *

Figure S2. RH2 gene CDS nucleotide alignment (and amino acid translation) for zebra finch,
kākāpō, kākā, and kea (the later sequences have been corrected based on the results of this
study). The yellow bar above the sequence indicates the start and stop position of the exons.
Coloured nucleotides and amino acids highlight polymorphisms between the different species.