The role of hybrid seed inviability in angiosperm speciation J. M. Coughlan<sup>1</sup> 1) Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, CT Key words: reproductive isolation, endosperm, imprinting, Bateson Dobzhansky Muller Incompatibility, genetic distance, parental conflict, Endosperm Balance Number 

Abstract

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

Understanding which reproductive barriers contribute to speciation is essential to understanding the diversity of life on earth. Several contemporary examples of strong hybrid seed inviability (HSI) between recently diverged species suggest that HSI may play a fundamental role in plant speciation. Yet, a broader synthesis of HSI is needed to clarify its role in diversification. Here, I review the incidence and evolution of HSI. HSI is common and evolves rapidly, suggesting that it may play an important role early in speciation. The developmental mechanisms that underlie HSI involve similar developmental trajectories in endosperm, even between evolutionarily deeply diverged incidents of HSI. HSI is often accompanied by whole-scale gene misexpression in hybrid endosperm, including misexpression of imprinted genes which have a key role in endosperm development. I explore how an evolutionary perspective can clarify the repeated and rapid evolution of HSI. In particular, I evaluate the evidence for conflict between maternal and paternal interests in resource allocation to offspring (i.e. parental conflict). I highlight that parental conflict theory generates explicit predictions regarding the expected hybrid phenotypes and genes responsible for HSI. While much phenotypic evidence supports a role of parental conflict in the evolution of HSI, an understanding of the underlying molecular mechanisms of this barrier is essential to test parental conflict theory. Lastly, I explore what factors may influence the strength of parental conflict in natural plant populations as an explanation for why rates of HSI may differ between plant groups and the consequences of strong HSI in secondary contact.

64 65

6667

68 69

70

### 72 Introduction

Evaluating which reproductive barriers contribute to the origin and maintenance of species is foundational to speciation research. The exceptional floral diversity and prevalence of local adaptation in angiosperms has inspired plant biologists to argue for a primary role of extrinsic prezygotic barriers such as immigrant inviability or pollinator isolation (Ramsey et al., 2003; Lowry et al., 2008; Kay and Sargent, 2009; Sobel, 2014; Todesco et al., 2020; Wessinger, 2021; Christie et al., 2022). Undoubtedly, these barriers played a crucial role in the diversification of the ~300,000 extant angiosperms (Christenhusz and Byng, 2016). Yet, other angiosperm key innovations likely contributed to their diversity (Sanderson and Donoghue, 1994). Here, I argue that the evolution of the endosperm has contributed to angiosperm diversification via its contribution to a common, rapidly evolving, and strong intrinsic postzygotic reproductive barrier—hybrid seed inviability (HSI).

Endosperm is a nutritive tissue essential for embryo development unique to angiosperms (Baroux et al., 2002). Endosperm is initiated after fertilisation of the central cell and usually has a composition of 2:1 maternal:paternal genomes (though see (Williams and Friedman, 2002)). This 2:1 ratio is crucial for endosperm development, as many genes expressed therein are imprinted (i.e. their expression is parent of origin biased). The balance of maternally- and paternally-expressed genes (i.e. MEGs and PEGs) mediates typical endosperm development (Spillane et al., 2000; Köhler et al., 2003a; b, 2005; Tiwari et al., 2010; Batista et al., 2019). In interploidy crosses, an excess of maternal or paternal expression results in canonical parent of origin specific developmental abnormalities (Lin, 1984; Ehlenfeldt and Ortiz, 1995; Scott et al., 1998). Typical development can be restored by modifying imprinted gene expression (Erilova et al., 2009; Jullien and Berger, 2010; Kradolfer et al., 2013; Wolff et al., 2015), highlighting the importance of imprinting in endosperm development.

The endosperm's role as a nutritive conduit makes it a prime target for conflict between ovule- and pollen-parents over resource allocation to offspring (Haig and Westoby, 1989; Moore and Haig, 1991). Parental conflict arises in non-monogamous systems because pollen parents are not equally related to all of the offspring produced, thereby favouring the evolution of paternally-derived alleles that preferentially solicit maternal resources (Trivers, 1974; Charnov, 1979; Queller, 1983; Haig and Westoby, 1989). In response, maternally-derived alleles that restrict resource allocation to offspring can evolve, and a co-evolutionary arms race between paternally-excessive and maternally-repressive alleles can ensue. Within populations, ovule- and pollen-parents should be well matched, but populations can differentially experience this arms race (Haig, 1997; Wilkins and Haig, 2001; Van Cleve and Feldman, 2007; Brandvain, 2010; Brandvain and Haig, 2018). Crosses between populations with different histories of conflict can reveal such mismatches, resulting in parent of origin growth effects (Lloyd, 1968; House et al., 2010; Willi, 2013; Cailleau et al., 2018; Raunsgard et al., 2018). Mismatches can also lead to dysfunctional endosperm and inviability. Given this rapid evolution, HSI may play an important role early in speciation (Zeh and Zeh, 2000; Gutierrez-Marcos et al., 2003).

Differences in the extent of parental conflict between taxa have been conceptualised with endosperm balance numbers (i.e. effective ploidy; (Johnston and Hanneman, 1980, 1982; Lin, 1984)). Inspired by the observation that interploidy and interspecific HSI exhibit similar phenotypes, endosperm balance number is a relative ranking of taxa based on crossing outcomes when each taxon acts as an ovule- or pollen-parent, where higher effective ploidy taxa mimic higher ploidy taxa (Johnston and Hanneman, 1980, 1982; Lin, 1984; Städler et al., 2021). Despite phenotypic parallels, the underlying genetic basis of inviability likely differs between interploidy and interspecific HSI, as HSI is instantaneous with polyploidization and requires no allelic evolution (Scott et al., 1998). Conversely, interspecific HSI must be caused

by divergence of genes with parent of origin effects. Thus, while both types of HSI can elucidate if/how parental conflict manifests in seeds, interspecific HSI can reveal if/how parental conflict shapes allelic evolution. Yet, much less is known about the incidence, pace, and mechanisms underlying interspecific HSI.

Here I review HSI among diploid taxa and assess its commonality and evolutionary rate. I synthesise current knowledge of the genetic and developmental bases of interspecific HSI, and discuss whether they support a role of parental conflict. Lastly, I consider why HSI might evolve more readily in some plant groups, and its implications for secondary contact.

# HSI is a significant reproductive barrier

HSI arises when heterospecific pollen fertilises an ovule of another species, but the hybrid seed does not reach maturation, usually due to defective endosperm. Many incidences of HSI were described in both crops and natural systems in the twentieth century (Table 1). Often, reproductive isolation was complete in at least one cross direction. While these classic studies highlight the commonality of HSI, only more recently have we begun to understand its evolutionary pace.

At the most extreme end, incomplete HSI between *Clarkia xantiana* subspecies has evolved in 65KYA (Briscoe Runquist et al., 2014) and strong, asymmetric HSI between selfing and outcrossing populations of *A. lyrata* evolved in 35KYA (İltaş et al., 2021). In many cases, strong HSI evolves in hundreds of thousands of years to ~1-2MYA (Table 1). This is much faster than hybrid inviability in organisms like *Drosophila*, frogs, *Lepidoptera*, birds, and fish which often take >>1MYA (Coyne and Orr, 1997; Sasa et al., 1998; Presgraves, 2002; Price and Bouvier, 2002; Bolnick and Near, 2005). Similarly, in orchids, which lack endosperm, HSI evolves later than hybrid sterility or necrosis (Scopece et al., 2007, 2008). Despite these

intriguing patterns, formal comparisons between endosperm-bearing angiosperms and other lineages are needed.

Work in *Mimulus*, *Streptanthus*, and Solanaceae indicate that HSI can evolve rapidly, and in some cases faster than postmating-prezygotic isolation (Fig. 1; (Vickery, 1964; Jewell et al., 2012; Garner et al., 2016; Oneal et al., 2016; Kostyun and Moyle, 2017; Christie and Strauss, 2018; Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020; Sandstedt et al., 2020)). In Mimulus nearly complete HSI has been found between lineages of M. decorus, which diverged ~150KYA, and within the M. tilingii complex, which diverged ~400KYA (Sandstedt et al., 2020), but, no incidence of complete postmating-prezygotic isolation is reported for this group. In Streptanthus and Jaltomata, the earliest complete HSI is found at ~2.5MYA and 1.1MYA, respectively (Fig. 1). For Streptanthus, the earliest incidence of complete postmatingprezygotic isolation is similar to that of complete HSI, but HSI is generally a stronger barrier (mean fruit set RI=0.43, mean HSI RI=0.81 (Christie and Strauss, 2018)). Within the Solanaceae, seed set evolves more slowly than HSI (Jaltomata (Kostyun and Moyle, 2017), Nolana (Jewell et al., 2012), and three pairwise crosses within Solanum (Roth et al., 2018a)). However, other incidences of strong postmating-prezygotic isolation occur in *Solanum* (Baek et al., 2015, 2016)), and many species pairs within Jaltomata and Nolana are unable to form fruits due to pollen-pistil incompatibilities (Jewell et al., 2012; Kostyun and Moyle, 2017), suggesting that postmating-prezygotic barriers evolve rapidly in the Solanaceae. In total, HSI is common, evolves rapidly, and can confer significant reproductive isolation. Given that HSI manifests in the first generation of hybridization, it may serve as a formidable reproductive barrier.

167

168

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

HSI is borne in the endosperm

Like interploidy crosses, interspecific HSI is conferred by parent of origin specific endosperm defects (Ishikawa et al., 2011; Rebernig et al., 2015; Baek et al., 2016; Oneal et al., 2016; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017; Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020; İltaş et al., 2021; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022). A causal link between inappropriate endosperm development and inviability is evidenced by embryo rescue experiments, where dissected embryos are plated on a nutritive medium akin to a synthetic endosperm. Often, dissected embryos develop into healthy plants, suggesting that incompatibilities in the endosperm, rather than embryo, cause HSI (Sukno et al., 1999; Rodrangboon et al., 2002; Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017, 2018; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022).

Parent of origin specific endosperm defects are remarkably similar across incidences of HSI, even between highly diverged taxa with different endosperm developmental programs. In one direction of the cross seeds are larger, though flat or disc-like. In these crosses, endosperm fails to cellularize in taxa with nuclear-type endosperm (Ishikawa et al., 2011; Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017, 2018; İltaş et al., 2021), or cells become overly large and fail to divide in taxa with cellular-type endosperm (Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022). This delayed development results in diffuse endosperm, arrested embryos, and seed collapse, reminiscent of paternal-excess interploidy crosses. The reciprocal cross resembles maternal-excess interploidy crosses; seeds are smaller, narrower and/or shrivelled (Ishikawa et al., 2011; Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017, 2018; Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020; İltaş et al., 2021; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022). Endosperm proliferation is precocious; for taxa with nuclear-type endosperm, endosperm cellularizes prematurely (Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017). For taxa with cellular-type endosperm, development is accelerated (Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022). The resultant endosperm is smaller, with fewer cells than purespecies crosses in both cases. These parallel developmental phenotypes among divergent incidences of HSI and developmental systems hint at a shared evolutionary explanation, and the parent of origin effects that mimic excess paternal or maternal expression support parental conflict.

Finer scale patterns of endosperm dysregulation offer unique insights into the mechanistic causes and evolutionary drivers of HSI. Successful seed development requires coordination of endosperm, embryo, and maternal integuments, facilitated by a dynamic exchange of nutrients, hormones, and other signals (Doll and Ingram, 2022; Povilus and Gehring, 2022). Endosperm itself is a complex tissue, with many specialised components. Under parental conflict, tissues that mediate nutrient exchange should exhibit paternal-excess or maternal-repression phenotypes. In the endosperm, chalazal haustoria directly facilitate nutrient exchange between maternal tissues and embryos (Povilus and Gehring, 2022). The chalazal haustorium is also involved in regulating cellularization in taxa with nuclear-type endosperm via TERMINAL FLOWER 1 (TF1) expression (Zhang et al., 2020). Cellularization signals a transition from a phase of endosperm growth and sucrose deposition by the maternal plant to one of endosperm consumption by the embryo (Doll and Ingram, 2022). Lastly, the chalazal haustorium is a hotspot for imprinted gene expression (Walia et al., 2009; Picard et al., 2021), and genes that are uniquely expressed therein show elevated rates of adaptive evolution (Geist et al., 2019). Together, this makes it an ideal target for parental conflict. In *Mimulus*, chalazal haustoria persist later in development in paternal-excess hybrids than pure species or maternal-excess hybrids (Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022). Similar abnormalities have been described in other systems (Brink and Cooper, 1947). Although it's well established that HSI is borne in the endosperm, a mechanistic understanding of embryo-endosperm-maternal integument communication during development is required to understand how these relationships fail in HSI.

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

## 219 Genetic of HSI

Parental conflict predicts that lineages with different effective ploidies have diverged in imprinted loci involved in resource partitioning and subsequent mismatches of these MEGs and PEGs leads to parent of origin endosperm abnormalities and inviability. It follows that if parental conflict were driving the evolution of HSI 1) taxa with different effective ploidies should exhibit signals of adaptive divergence in imprinting, 2) hybrids should exhibit abnormal expression of loci that are imprinted in at least one parental species, and 3) the loci that cause HSI should correspond to a subset of the loci in 1 and 2. However, many particulars of this model remain unanswered, and an integration of expression surveys, population genetics, and genetic mapping is required.

Imprinting can evolve rapidly. Conservation of imprinted loci is generally low, suggesting rapid turnover (Zhang et al., 2011; Waters et al., 2013; Hatorangan et al., 2016; Klosinska et al., 2016; Chen et al., 2018; Flores-Vergara et al., 2020; Picard and Gehring, 2020). In *Capsella*, species generally exhibit more PEGs than MEGs, with the number of PEGs and the expression of MEGs scaling with effective ploidy, suggests that compensatory expression of MEGs may effectively balance PEG expression (Fig. 2; (Lafon-Placette et al., 2018)). In *Solanum*, species exhibit more MEGs than PEGs, and the number of each scales with effective ploidy (Florez-Rueda et al., 2016; Roth et al., 2018b, 2019). Lastly, imprinted genes, particularly PEGs, show some signs of adaptive evolution (Hatorangan et al., 2016; Tuteja et al., 2019).

Of the few studies that have quantified expression in hybrid endosperm, misexpression is rampant. In *Capsella*, hybrid endosperm misexpression resembles interploidy crosses for both paternal- and maternal-excess crosses (Rebernig et al., 2015). In *Solanum*, inviable hybrids show extensive expression differences from intraspecific crosses (Florez-Rueda et al., 2016, 2021a; Roth et al., 2019). While all hybrids exhibit maternally biassed expression

(Florez-Rueda et al., 2016), MEGs and PEGs tend to be overexpressed in maternal- and paternal-excess crosses, respectively (Roth et al., 2019). In both *Capsella* and *Solanum* paternal-excess crosses overexpress several PEGs, including AGAMOUS-LIKE Type1 MADS-box (AGL) genes whose overexpression is implicated in both interploidy and interspecific HSI in *Arabidopsis* (*Rebernig et al., 2015; Roth et al., 2019*). Hybrids also exhibit complex patterns of misexpression. In *Solanum*, reciprocal F1s show a deficit of sRNA expression, which contributes to greater gene expression in hybrid than intraspecific endosperm (Florez-Rueda et al., 2021a). In *Capsella*, paternal-excess hybrids show reduced chromatin condensation and methylation, which may cause dysregulated gene expression, particularly of *PHERES1* (*PHE1*) targets (Dziasek et al., 2021). *PHE1* is a master regulator of PEGs (Batista et al., 2019). How much of endosperm misexpression is a cause versus consequence of inviability remains unknown, but a fundamental role of overexpression of some MEGs and PEGs is evidenced by the fact that induced polyploidization of the lower effective ploidy taxa can restore seed viability (Lafon-Placette et al., 2017, 2018; Tonosaki et al., 2018; Sandstedt and Sweigart, 2022).

Although much remains unknown about the genetic basis of HSI, genetic mapping and inheritance patterns suggest that HSI is caused by many moderate-to-large, parent of origin effect loci ((Rebernig et al., 2015; Garner et al., 2016; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017; Dziasek et al., 2021); i.e. 18 QTL control HSI between *M. guttatus* and *M. tilingii*, each of which decreases viability by 20-60% (Garner et al., 2016)). Explicit links between imprinted genes and HSI have been made in *A. thaliana* and *A. arenosa*, wherein loss of function *PHE1*, *AGL90*, and *AGL62* mutants partially restores seed viability (Josefsson et al., 2006; Walia et al., 2009). Although not uniquely supportive of parental conflict, parental conflict does predict a polygenic basis of HSI. This is because paternal-excess alleles instigate the arms race, while the evolution of maternal-repressive alleles are compensatory. However, in most incidences of

asymmetric HSI, paternal-excess crosses are more lethal. Without multiple rounds of allelic replacement, paternal-excess hybrids have the same genotype as the genotype formed with the initial evolution of a paternal-excess allele (Fig. 2), presenting a similar evolutionary conundrum as is faced by single locus underdominance. Other aspects of the genetic basis of HSI remain unknown. For example, whether HSI is governed by derived-ancestral or derived-derived incompatibilities can elucidate the evolutionary pace of lower effective ploidy taxa (Fig. 2). Whether HSI is caused by recurrent evolution of new MEGs/ PEGs or compensatory MEG/PEG expression can inform how these arms races function at a molecular level.

Parental conflict and effective ploidy; WISO and beyond

Why should taxa differ in effective ploidy? Parental conflict suggests that variance in paternity creates competition among siblings for limited maternal resources, and thus paternally-derived resource acquiring alleles can evolve (Queller, 1983; Haig and Westoby, 1989). This observation has sparked the WISO (weaker-inbreeder/stronger-outbreeder) hypothesis, which predicts that parental conflict should be stronger in outbreeding taxa than selfing ones. Subsequently, HSI should be stronger between selfing and outcrossing lineages (Brandvain and Haig, 2005). Much evidence supports WISO, including an excess of HSI between selfers and outcrossers (Brandvain and Haig, 2005; Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette and Köhler, 2016; İltaş et al., 2021), as well as stronger HSI between mating systems than within mating systems for intraspecific crosses (i.e. (İltaş et al., 2021) versus (Willi, 2013; Hämälä et al., 2017)). However, recent work has also highlighted that some taxonomic groups rapidly evolve HSI with no corresponding mating system shift (Roth et al., 2018a; Coughlan et al., 2020). Differences in demography or life history may influence the variance in paternity by influencing levels of biparental inbreeding and relatedness within a fruit. Intuitively, these differences may result in similar dynamics as those seen between selfers and outcrossers (i.e.

species with high levels of biparental inbreeding may behave similarly to selfers). However, this hypothesis requires rigorous testing; including formal meta-analyses and theoretical explorations.

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

294

295

296

## Conclusions and implications

HSI may play a fundamental role in plant speciation. Yet, the importance of reproductive barriers is measured by their primary role in the origin of species and their secondary role in species maintenance. Despite the potentially potent role of HSI in species formation, its role in species maintenance remains largely unexplored. Recent work in Mimulus suggests that hybridization in the context of mixed paternity fruits (i.e. fruits containing both hybrids and conspecific seeds), can mediate growth costs for conspecific seeds that develop alongside hybrids with higher effective ploidy fathers (Coughlan, 2022), suggesting that HSI may confer indirect costs in secondary contact. How these growth costs translate into selection coefficients requires exploration. Secondly, much remains unknown about how introgression influences the fate of HSI alleles. Whether these incompatibilities resist introgression and maintain species boundaries, or whether one set of parental alleles will introgress into another (and if so, whether this is determined by effective ploidy) remains unanswered. Lastly, earlier work proposed that HSI may serve as a rare instance of reinforcement via a postzygotic barrier, particularly if it prevented significant maternal investment (Grant, 1954; Coyne, 1974), but this idea is largely untested. A growing appreciation for the generative role of HSI has revealed much about its developmental and genetic bases and evolutionary drivers. Yet, much remains unknown about this fruitful reproductive barrier.

316317

### Acknowledgements

- 318 I graciously thank the AJB Synthesis Award committee for this opportunity. I would also like
- 319 to thank the *Mimulus* community for their support and discussion of these ideas over the years.
- 320 Andrius Dagilis, Adam Stuckert, and Jonathan Rader provided useful feedback on this
- 321 manuscript.

### 322 References

- 323 Abberton, M. T. 2007. Interspecific hybridization in the genus *Trifolium*. *Plant Breeding* 126:
- 324 337–342.
- 325 Ahloowalia, B. S., and E. D. Garber. 1961. The Genus *Collinsia*. XIII. Cytogenetic Studies of
- 326 Interspecific Hybrids Involving Species with Pediceled Flowers. *Botanical Gazette* 122:
- 327 219–228.
- 328 Arisumi, T. 1973. Chromosome Numbers and Interspecific Hybrids Among New Guinea
- 329 *Impatiens* Species. *The Journal of heredity*.
- Arisumi, T. 1982. Endosperm balance numbers among New Guinea-Indonesian *Impatiens*
- species. *The Journal of Heredity* 73: 240–242.
- Baek, Y. S., P. A. Covey, J. J. Petersen, R. T. Chetelat, B. McClure, and P. A. Bedinger.
- 2015. Testing the SI × SC rule: Pollen-pistil interactions in interspecific crosses between
- members of the tomato clade (*Solanum* section Lycopersicon, Solanaceae). *American*
- 335 *Journal of Botany* 102: 302–311.
- Baek, Y. S., S. M. Royer, A. K. Broz, P. A. Covey, G. López-Casado, R. Nuñez, P. J. Kear,
- et al. 2016. Interspecific reproductive barriers between sympatric populations of wild
- tomato species (Solanum section Lycopersicon). American Journal of Botany 103: 1964–
- 339 1978.
- Baroux, C., C. Spillane, and U. Grossniklaus. 2002. Evolutionary origins of the endosperm in
- flowering plants. *Genome Biology* 3: 1–5.
- Batista, R. A., J. Moreno-Romero, Y. Qiu, J. van Boven, J. Santos-González, D. D.
- Figueiredo, and C. Köhler. 2019. The MADS-box transcription factor PHERES1
- controls imprinting in the endosperm by binding to domesticated transposons. *eLife* 8.
- Bell, S. L., and E. D. Garber. 1961. The Genus *Collinsia*. XII. Cytogenetic Studies of
- 346 Interspecific Hybrids Involving Species with Sessile Flowers. *Botanical Gazette* 122:
- 347 210–218.
- Bolnick, D. I., and T. J. Near. 2005. Tempo of hybrid inviability in centrarchid fishes
- 349 (Teleostei: Centrarchidae). *Evolution* 59: 1754–1767.

von Bothmer, R., and N. Jacobsen. 1986. Interspecific crosses in *Hordeum* (Poaceae). *Plant* 

- 351 Systematics and Evolution 153: 49–64.
- 352 Brandvain, Y. 2010. Matrisibs, Patrisibs, and the Evolution of Imprinting on Autosomes and
- 353 Sex Chromosomes. *The American Naturalist* 176: 511–521.
- Brandvain, Y., and D. Haig. 2005. Divergent mating systems and parental conflict as a barrier
- to hybridization in flowering plants. *The American Naturalist* 166: 330–338.
- 356 Brandvain, Y., and D. Haig. 2018. Outbreeders pull harder in a parental tug-of-war.
- 357 Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115: 11354–11356.
- 358 Brandvain, Y., A. M. Kenney, L. Flagel, G. Coop, and A. L. Sweigart. 2014. Speciation and
- Introgression between *Mimulus nasutus* and *Mimulus guttatus*. *PLoS genetics* 10(6): e1004410.

- Brassac, J., and F. R. Blattner. 2015. Species-Level Phylogeny and Polyploid Relationships
- in *Hordeum* (Poaceae) Inferred by Next-Generation Sequencing and in Silico Cloning of
- 362 Multiple Nuclear Loci. *Systematic Biology* 64: 792–808.
- Brink, R. A., and D. C. Cooper. 1947. The endosperm in seed development. *The Botanical Review* 13: 479–541.
- Briscoe Runquist, R. D., E. Chu, J. L. Iverson, J. C. Kopp, and D. A. Moeller. 2014. Rapid
- evolution of reproductive isolation between incipient outcrossing and selfing *Clarkia*
- 367 species. Evolution 68: 2885–2900.
- 368 Burkart-Waco, D., C. Josefsson, B. Dilkes, N. Kozloff, O. Torjek, R. Meyer, T. Altmann, and
- L. Comai. 2012. Hybrid incompatibility in *Arabidopsis* is determined by a multiple-locus
- genetic network. *Plant Physiology* 158: 801–812.
- Cailleau, A., D. Grimanelli, E. Blanchet, P. O. Cheptou, and T. Lenormand. 2018. Dividing a
- maternal pie among half-sibs: Genetic conflicts and the control of resource allocation to
- seeds in maize. *The American Naturalist* 192: 577–592.
- Charnov, E. L. 1979. Simultaneous hermaphroditism and sexual selection. *Proceedings of the*
- National Academy of Sciences 76: 2480–2484.
- Chen, C., T. Li, S. Zhu, Z. Liu, Z. Shi, X. Zheng, R. Chen, et al. 2018. Characterization of
- 377 Imprinted Genes in Rice Reveals Conservation of Regulation and Imprinting with Other
- 378 Plant Species. *Plant Physiology* 177: 1754–1771.
- Chou, M.-C., and P. B. Gibson. 1968. Cross- Compatibility of *Trifolium nigrescent* with
- Diploid and Tetraploid *Trifolium occidentale*. Crop Science 8: 266–267.
- Christenhusz, M. J. M., and J. W. Byng. 2016. The number of known plants species in the
- world and its annual increase. *Phytotaxa* 261: 201–217.
- 383 Christie, K., L. S. Fraser, and D. B. Lowry. 2022. The strength of reproductive isolating
- barriers in seed plants: Insights from studies quantifying premating and postmating
- reproductive barriers over the past 15 years. *Evolution*.
- 386 Christie, K., and S. Y. Strauss. 2018. Along the speciation continuum: Quantifying intrinsic
- and extrinsic isolating barriers across five million years of evolutionary divergence in
- California jewelflowers. *Evolution* 72: 1063–1079.
- Chung, G. H., and J. H. Kim. 1990. Production of interspecific hybrids between *Glycine max*
- and *G. tomentella* through embryo culture. *Euphytica* 48: 97–101.
- 391 Chu, Y.-E., and H.-I. Oka. 1970. INTROGRESSION ACROSS ISOLATING BARRIERS IN
- 392 WILD AND CULTIVATED ORYZA SPECIES. Evolution 24: 344–355.
- Clarkson, J. J., S. Dodsworth, and M. W. Chase. 2017. Time-calibrated phylogenetic trees
- establish a lag between polyploidisation and diversification in *Nicotiana* (Solanaceae).
- 395 Plant Systematics and Evolution 303: 1001–1012.
- Cooper, D. C., and R. A. Brink. 1940. Somatoplastic Sterility as a Cause of Seed Failure after
- 397 Interspecific Hybridization. *Genetics* 25: 593–617.

- Coughlan, J. M. 2022. Indirect effects of parental conflict on conspecific offspring development. *The American Naturalist*.
- Coughlan, J. M., M. W. Brown, and J. H. Willis. 2021. The genetic architecture and evolution
- of life-history divergence among perennials in the Mimulus guttatus species complex.
- 402 Proceedings. Of the Royal Society Biological Sciences 288: 20210077.
- 403 Coughlan, J. M., M. Wilson Brown, and J. H. Willis. 2020. Patterns of Hybrid Seed
- Inviability in the Mimulus guttatus sp. Complex Reveal a Potential Role of Parental
- 405 Conflict in Reproductive Isolation. *Current biology: CB* 30: 83–93.e5.
- 406 Coyne, J. A. 1974. The Evolutionary Origin of Hybrid Inviability. *Evolution* 28: 505.
- Coyne, J. A., and H. A. Orr. 1997. 'Patterns of Speciation in *Drosophila*' Revisited *Evolution* 51: 295–303.
- Davis, W. S. 1970. The systematics of clarkia bottae, *C. cylindrica*, and a related new species, *C. rostrata*. *Brittonia* 22: 270–284.
- Delgado-Salinas, A., R. Bibler, and M. Lavin. 2006. Phylogeny of the Genus *Phaseolus*
- 412 (Leguminosae): A Recent Diversification in an Ancient Landscape. Systematic Botany
- 413 31: 779–791.
- Dinu, I. I., R. J. Hayes, R. G. Kynast, R. L. Phillips, and C. A. Thill. 2005. Novel inter-series
- hybrids in Solanum section Petota. TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 110: 403–
- 416 415.
- Doll, N. M., and G. C. Ingram. 2022. Embryo–Endosperm Interactions. *Annual Review of Plant Biology* 73: 293–321.
- Dowrick, G. J., and S. N. Brandram. 1970. Abnormalities of endosperm development in *Lilium* hybrids. *Euphytica* 19: 433–442.
- Drake, D. W. 1975. Seed Abortion in Some Species and Interspecific Hybrids of *Eucalyptus*.
- 422 Australian Journal of Botany 23: 991–995.
- Dupin, J., and S. D. Smith. 2018. Phylogenetics of Datureae (Solanaceae), including
- description of the new genus Trompettia and re–circumscription of the tribe. *Taxon* 67:
- 425 359–375.
- 426 Dziasek, K., L. Simon, C. Lafon-Placette, B. Laenen, C. Wärdig, J. Santos-González, T.
- Slotte, and C. Köhler. 2021. Hybrid seed incompatibility in Capsella is connected to
- chromatin condensation defects in the endosperm. *PLoS genetics* 17: e1009370.
- 429 East, E. M. 1935. Genetic Reactions in *Nicotiana*. I. Compatibility. *Genetics* 20: 403–413.
- Egan, A. N., and J. Doyle. 2010. A comparison of global, gene-specific, and relaxed clock
- 431 methods in a comparative genomics framework: dating the polyploid history of soybean
- 432 (Glycine max). Systematic Biology 59: 534–547.
- Ehlenfeldt, M. K., and R. E. Hanneman Jr. 1992. Endosperm dosage relationships among
- 434 Lycopersicon species. TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 83: 367–372.

- Ehlenfeldt, M. K., and R. Ortiz. 1995. Evidence on the nature and origins of endosperm
- dosage requirements in *Solanum* and other angiosperm genera. *Sexual Plant*
- 437 *Reproduction* 8: 189–196.
- Ellison, N. W., A. Liston, J. J. Steiner, W. M. Williams, and N. L. Taylor. 2006. Molecular
- phylogenetics of the clover genus (*Trifolium*—Leguminosae). *Molecular Phylogenetics*
- 440 *and Evolution* 39: 688–705.
- Endl, J., E. G. Achigan-Dako, A. K. Pandey, A. J. Monforte, B. Pico, and H. Schaefer. 2018.
- Repeated domestication of melon (*Cucumis melo*) in Africa and Asia and a new close
- relative from India. *American Journal of Botany* 105: 1662–1671.
- 444 Erilova, A., L. Brownfield, V. Exner, M. Rosa, D. Twell, O. M. Scheid, L. Hennig, and C. Köhler.
- 2009. Imprinting of the Polycomb group gene MEDEA serves as a ploidy sensor in *Arabidopsis*.
- 446 *PLoS Genetics* 5(9): e1000663.
- 447 Flores-Vergara, M. A., E. Oneal, M. Costa, G. Villarino, C. Roberts, M. A. De Luis Balaguer,
- S. Coimbra, et al. 2020. Developmental Analysis of *Mimulus* Seed Transcriptomes
- Reveals Functional Gene Expression Clusters and Four Imprinted, Endosperm-
- Expressed Genes. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 11: 1–17.
- 451 Florez-Rueda, A. M., F. Fiscalini, M. Roth, U. Grossniklaus, and T. Städler. 2021a.
- Endosperm and seed transcriptomes reveal possible roles for small RNA pathways in
- wild tomato hybrid seed failure. *Genome Biology and Evolution* 13.
- 454 Florez-Rueda, A. M., M. Scharmann, and M. Roth. 2021b. Population Genomics of the
- 455 'Arcanum' Species Group in Wild Tomatoes: Evidence for Separate Origins of Two
- 456 Self-Compatible Lineages. *Frontiers in Plant Science*.
- 457 Florez-Rueda, Paris, Schmidt, Widmer, Grossniklaus, and Städler. 2016. Genomic imprinting
- in the endosperm is systematically perturbed in abortive hybrid tomato seeds. *Molecular*
- 459 *Biology and Evolution* 33: 2935–2946.
- 460 Fridriksson, S., and J. L. Bolton. 1963. Development of the embryo of *Medicago sativa* 1.
- After normal fertilization and after pollination by other species of *Medicago*. Canadian
- 462 *Journal of Botany* 41: 23–33.
- 463 Fumia, N. J. 2021. Exploring the Resilience and Optimizing the Uses of Potato Wild Relative
- Species (*Solanum* section *Petota*) in a Changing Climate. University of Hawai'i at
- 465 Manoa, United States.
- 466 Fu, Y.-B. 2018. Oat evolution revealed in the maternal lineages of 25 *Avena* species.
- 467 Scientific Reports 8: 4252.
- Gao, Y.-D., A. J. Harris, and X.-J. He. 2015. Morphological and ecological divergence of
- 469 *Lilium* and *Nomocharis* within the Hengduan Mountains and Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau
- may result from habitat specialization and hybridization. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 15:
- 471 147.
- Garner, A. G., A. M. Kenney, L. Fishman, and A. L. Sweigart. 2016. Genetic loci with
- parent- of- origin effects cause hybrid seed lethality in crosses between *Mimulus*
- species. *The New Phytologist* 211: 319–331.

- 475 Geerts, Toussaint, and Mergeai. 2002. Study of the early abortion in reciprocal crosses
- between *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and *Phaseolus polyanthus*. *Biotechnol*. *Agron*. *Soc*.
- 477 Environ 6:109-119.
- 478 Geist, K. S., J. E. Strassmann, and D. C. Queller. 2019. Family quarrels in seeds and rapid
- adaptive evolution in Arabidopsis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 480 116: 9463–9468.
- 481 Gill, B. S., and J. G. Waines. 1978. Paternal regulation of seed development in wheat hybrids.
- 482 *TAG. Theoretical and applied genetics* 51: 265–270.
- 483 Grant, V. 1954. Genetic and taxonomic studies in Gilia: IV. Gilia achilleaefolia. Aliso 3: 1-
- 484 18.
- 485 Grant, V., and A. Grant. 1954. Genetic and taxonomic studies in *Gilia*: VII. The woodland
- 486 *Gilias. Aliso* 3: 59–91.
- Guerrero, P. C., M. Rosas, M. T. K. Arroyo, and J. J. Wiens. 2013. Evolutionary lag times
- and recent origin of the biota of an ancient desert (Atacama–Sechura). *Proceedings of*
- the National Academy of Sciences 110: 11469–11474.
- 490 Gutierrez-Marcos, J. F., P. D. Pennington, L. M. Costa, and H. G. Dickinson. 2003.
- Imprinting in the endosperm: a possible role in preventing wide hybridization.
- 492 *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 358: 1105–1111.
- 493 Haig, D. 1997. Parental antagonism, relatedness asymmetries, and genomic imprinting.
- 494 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 264: 1657–1662.
- Haig, D., and M. Westoby. 1989. Parent-specific gene expression and the triploid endosperm.
- 496 The American Naturalist.
- Hämälä, T., T. M. Mattila, P. H. Leinonen, H. Kuittinen, and O. Savolainen. 2017. Role of
- seed germination in adaptation and reproductive isolation in *Arabidopsis lyrata*.
- 499 *Molecular Ecology* 26: 3484–3496.
- Hatorangan, M. R., B. Laenen, K. A. Steige, T. Slotte, and C. Köhler. 2016. Rapid Evolution
- of Genomic Imprinting in Two Species of the Brassicaceae. *The Plant Cell* 28: 1815–
- 502 1827.
- House, C., C. Roth, J. Hunt, and P. X. Kover. 2010. Paternal effects in *Arabidopsis* indicate
- that offspring can influence their own size. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B:*
- 505 *Biological Sciences* 277: 2885–2893.
- Huynh, S., T. Marcussen, F. Felber, and C. Parisod. 2019. Hybridization preceded radiation
- in diploid wheats. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 139: 106554.
- 508 İltaş, Ö., M. Svitok, A. Cornille, R. Schmickl, and C. Lafon Placette. 2021. Early evolution of
- reproductive isolation: A case of weak inbreeder/strong outbreeder leads to an
- intraspecific hybridization barrier in *Arabidopsis lyrata*. Evolution 75: 1466–1476.
- Inda, L. A., I. Sanmartín, S. Buerki, and P. Catalán. 2014. Mediterranean origin and Miocene-
- Holocene Old World diversification of meadow fescues and ryegrasses (Festuca subgenus
- Schedonorus and Lolium). *Journal of Biogeography* 41: 600–614.

- Ishikawa, R., T. Ohnishi, Y. Kinoshita, M. Eiguchi, N. Kurata, and T. Kinoshita. 2011. Rice
- interspecies hybrids show precocious or delayed developmental transitions in the
- endosperm without change to the rate of syncytial nuclear division. *The Plant Journal*
- 517 65: 798–806.
- Jenkin. 1954a. Interspecific and intergeneric hybrids in herbage grasses VIII: Lolium
- 519 loliaceum, Lolium remotum and Lolium temulentum, with reference to Lolium
- 520 canadense. Journal of Genetics.
- Jenkin. 1954b. Interspecific and intergeneric hybrids in herbage grasses. VI. Lolium italicum
- A. Br. intercrossed with other *Lolium* types. *Journal of Genetics*.
- Jenkin. 1954c. Interspecific and intergeneric hybrids in herbage grasses. V. Lolium rigidum
- sens. ampl. with other Lolium species. Journal of Genetics.
- Jenkin, T. J. 1935. Interspecific and intergeneric hybrids in herbage grasses. II. *Lolium*
- *perenne* x *L. temulentum. Journal of Genetics* 31: 379–412.
- Jewell, C., A. D. Papineau, R. Freyre, and L. C. Moyle. 2012. Patterns of Reproductive
- Isolation in *Nolana* (Chilean Bellflower). *Evolution* 66: 2628–2636.
- John R. Deakin, G. W. Bohn, and T. W. Whitaker. 1971. Interspecific Hybridization in
- 530 *Cucumis. Economic Botany* 25: 195–211.
- Johnston, S. A., and R. E. Hanneman. 1980. Support of the endosperm balance number
- hypothesis utilizing some tuber-bearing Solanum species. *American Potato Journal* 57:
- 533 7–14.
- Johnston, S. A., and R. E. Hanneman Jr. 1982. Manipulations of endosperm balance number
- overcome crossing barriers between diploid *Solanum* species. *Science* 217: 446–448.
- Josefsson, C., B. Dilkes, and L. Comai. 2006. Parent-dependent loss of gene silencing during
- interspecies hybridization. *Current biology* 16: 1322–1328.
- Jullien, P. E., and F. Berger. 2010. Parental genome dosage imbalance deregulates imprinting
- in Arabidopsis. PLoS genetics 6: e1000885.
- Kay, and Sargent. 2009. The role of animal pollination in plant speciation: integrating
- ecology, geography, and genetics. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 40: 637-
- 542 656
- Kinser, T. J., R. D. Smith, A. H. Lawrence, A. M. Cooley, M. Vallejo-Marín, G. D. Conradi
- Smith, and J. R. Puzey. 2022. Endosperm-based incompatibilities in hybrid
- monkeyflowers. *The Plant cell* 34: 1418–1419.
- Klosinska, M., C. L. Picard, and M. Gehring. 2016. Conserved imprinting associated with
- unique epigenetic signatures in the *Arabidopsis* genus. *Nature Plants* 2: 16145.
- Köhler, C., L. Hennig, R. Bouveret, J. Gheyselinck, U. Grossniklaus, and W. Gruissem.
- 549 2003a. *Arabidopsis* MSI1 is a component of the MEA/FIE Polycomb group complex and
- required for seed development. *The EMBO Journal* 22: 4804–4814.
- Köhler, C., L. Hennig, C. Spillane, S. Pien, W. Gruissem, and U. Grossniklaus. 2003b. The

- Polycomb-group protein MEDEA regulates seed development by controlling expression
- of the MADS-box gene PHERES1. Genes & Development 17: 1540–1553.
- Köhler, C., D. R. Page, V. Gagliardini, and U. Grossniklaus. 2005. The Arabidopsis thaliana
- MEDEA Polycomb group protein controls expression of PHERES1 by parental
- imprinting. *Nature Genetics* 37: 28–30.
- Kostyun, J. L., and L. C. Moyle. 2017. Multiple strong postmating and intrinsic postzygotic
- reproductive barriers isolate florally diverse species of *Jaltomata* (Solanaceae).
- *Evolution* 71: 1556–1571.
- Kradolfer, D., P. Wolff, H. Jiang, A. Siretskiy, and C. Köhler. 2013. An imprinted gene
- underlies postzygotic reproductive isolation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Developmental Cell
- 562 26: 525–535.
- Lafon-Placette, C., M. R. Hatorangan, K. A. Steige, A. Cornille, M. Lascoux, T. Slotte, and
- C. Köhler. 2018. Paternally expressed imprinted genes associate with hybridization
- barriers in *Capsella*. *Nature Plants* 4: 352–357.
- Lafon-Placette, C., I. M. Johannessen, K. S. Hornslien, M. F. Ali, K. N. Bjerkan, J.
- Bramsiepe, B. M. Glöckle, et al. 2017. Endosperm-based hybridization barriers explain
- the pattern of gene flow between Arabidopsis lyrata and Arabidopsis arenosa in Central
- Europe. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114: E1027–E1035.
- Lafon-Placette, C., and C. Köhler. 2016. Endosperm-based postzygotic hybridization
- barriers: developmental mechanisms and evolutionary drivers. *Molecular Ecology* 25:
- 572 2620–2629.
- Landis, J. B., C. D. Bell, M. Hernandez, R. Zenil-Ferguson, E. W. McCarthy, D. E. Soltis,
- and P. S. Soltis. 2018. Evolution of floral traits and impact of reproductive mode on
- diversification in the phlox family (Polemoniaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
- 576 Evolution 127: 878–890.
- Larcombe, M. J., B. Holland, D. A. Steane, R. C. Jones, D. Nicolle, R. E. Vaillancourt, and
- B. M. Potts. 2015. Patterns of Reproductive Isolation in *Eucalyptus*—A Phylogenetic
- Perspective. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 32: 1833–1846.
- Li, J., J. M. Cocker, J. Wright, M. A. Webster, M. McMullan, S. Dyer, D. Swarbreck, et al.
- 581 2016. Genetic architecture and evolution of the S locus supergene in *Primula vulgaris*.
- 582 *Nature Plants* 2: 1–7.
- Lin, B. Y. 1984. Ploidy barrier to endosperm development in maize. *Genetics* 107: 103–115.
- Li, P., S. Zhang, F. Li, S. Zhang, H. Zhang, X. Wang, R. Sun, et al. 2017. A Phylogenetic
- Analysis of Chloroplast Genomes Elucidates the Relationships of the Six Economically
- Important *Brassica* Species Comprising the Triangle of U. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 8:
- 587 1-13
- Liu, Q., L. Lin, X. Zhou, P. M. Peterson, and J. Wen. 2017. Corrigendum: Unraveling the
- evolutionary dynamics of ancient and recent polyploidization events in *Avena* (Poaceae).
- *Scientific Reports* 7: 44162.
- 591 Lloyd, D. G. 1968. PARTIAL UNILATERAL INCOMPATIBILITY IN *LEAVENWORTHIA*

- 592 (CRUCIFERAE). *Evolution* 22: 382–393.
- Lowry, D. B., J. L. Modliszewski, K. M. Wright, C. A. Wu, and J. H. Willis. 2008. The
- strength and genetic basis of reproductive isolating barriers in flowering plants.
- *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London* 363: 3009–3021.
- Mason, C. M. 2018. How Old Are Sunflowers? A Molecular Clock Analysis of Key
- 597 Divergences in the Origin and Diversification of *Helianthus* (Asteraceae). *International*
- *Journal of Plant Sciences* 179: 182–191.
- 599 McCoy, T. J., and L. Y. Smith. 1986. Interspecific hybridization of perennial *Medicago*
- species using ovule-embryo culture. TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 71: 772–
- 601 783.
- McCray, F. A. 1932. Compatibility of Certain *Nicotiana* Species. *Genetics* 17: 621–636.
- Moiloa, N. A., M. Mesbah, S. Nylinder, J. Manning, F. Forest, H. J. de Boer, C. D. Bacon,
- and B. Oxelman. 2021. Biogeographic origins of southern African Silene
- 605 (Carvophyllaceae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 162: 107199.
- Moore, T., and D. Haig. 1991. Genomic imprinting in mammalian development: a parental tug-of-war. *Trends in Genetics: TIG* 7: 45–49.
- Nishiyama, I., M. Sarashima, and Y. Matsuzawa. 1991. Critical discussion on abortive interspecific crosses in *Brassica*. *Plant Breeding* 107: 288–302.
- Nishiyama, I., and T. Yabuno. 1978. Causal relationships between the polar nuclei in double
- fertilization and interspecific cross-incompatibility in *Avena. Cytologia* 43: 453–466.
- Oldemeyer, R. K. 1956. Interspecific hybridization in *Medicago*. *Agronomy Journal* 48: 584–
- 613 585.
- Oneal, E., J. H. Willis, and R. G. Franks. 2016. Disruption of endosperm development is a
- major cause of hybrid seed inviability between *Mimulus guttatus* and *Mimulus nudatus*.
- 616 *The New Phytologist* 210: 1107–1120.
- Parrott, W. A., and R. R. Smith. 1986. Evidence for the existence of endosperm balance
- number in the true clovers (*Trifolium* spp.). Canadian Journal of Genetics and Cytology
- 619 28: 581–586.
- Pease, J. B., D. C. Haak, M. W. Hahn, and L. C. Moyle. 2016. Phylogenomics Reveals Three
- Sources of Adaptive Variation during a Rapid Radiation. *PLoS Biology* 14: 1–24.
- Picard, C. L., and M. Gehring. 2020. Identification and Comparison of Imprinted Genes
- Across Plant Species. *Methods in Molecular Biology* 2093: 173–201.
- Picard, C. L., R. A. Povilus, B. P. Williams, and M. Gehring. 2021. Transcriptional and
- 625 imprinting complexity in *Arabidopsis* seeds at single-nucleus resolution. *Nature Plants*
- 626 7: 730<del>-</del>738.
- Povilus, R. A., and M. Gehring. 2022. Maternal-filial transfer structures in endosperm: A
- nexus of nutritional dynamics and seed development. Current Opinion in Plant Biology
- 629 65: 102121.

- Prentice, H. C. 1978. Experimental taxonomy of *Silene* section Elisanthe (Caryophyllaceae):
- 631 crossing experiments. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society* 77: 203–216.
- Presgraves, D. C. 2002. Patterns of postzygotic isolation in *Lepidoptera*. Evolution 56: 1168–
- 633 1183.
- Price, T. D., and M. M. Bouvier. 2002. the Evolution of F1 Postzygotic Incompatibilities in
- 635 Birds. *Evolution* 56: 2083.
- Queller, D. C. 1983. Kin selection and conflict in seed maturation. *Journal of Theoretical*
- 637 Biology 100: 153–172.
- Ramsey, J., H. D. Bradshaw Jr, and D. W. Schemske. 2003. Components of reproductive
- isolation between the monkeyflowers *Mimulus lewisii* and *M. cardinalis* (Phrymaceae).
- 640 Evolution 57: 1520–1534.
- Raunsgard, A., Ø. H. Opedal, R. K. Ekrem, J. Wright, G. H. Bolstad, W. S. Armbruster, and
- 642 C. Pélabon. 2018. Intersexual conflict over seed size is stronger in more outcrossed
- populations of a mixed-mating plant. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
- 644 115: 11561–11566.
- Rebernig, C. A., C. Lafon-Placette, M. R. Hatorangan, T. Slotte, and C. Köhler. 2015. Non-
- reciprocal Interspecies Hybridization Barriers in the *Capsella* Genus Are Established in
- the Endosperm. *PLoS Genetics* 11: e1005295.
- Rick, C. M. 1963. Barriers to Interbreeding in *Lycopersicon peruvianum*. Evolution 17: 216–
- 649 232.
- Rodrangboon, Pongtongkam, and Suputtitada. 2002. Abnormal embryo development and
- efficient embryo rescue in interspecific hybrids, *Oryza sativa*× *O. minuta* and *O. sativa*×
- *O. officinalis. Breeding Science* 52:123-129.
- Roth, M., A. M. Florez-Rueda, S. Griesser, M. Paris, and T. Städler. 2018a. Incidence and
- developmental timing of endosperm failure in post-zygotic isolation between wild
- tomato lineages. *Annals of Botany* 121: 107–118.
- Roth, M., A. M. Florez-Rueda, M. Paris, and T. Städler. 2018b. Wild tomato endosperm
- transcriptomes reveal common roles of genomic imprinting in both nuclear and cellular
- endosperm. The Plant Journal 95: 1084–1101.
- Roth, M., A. M. Florez-Rueda, and T. Städler. 2019. Differences in effective ploidy drive
- genome-wide endosperm expression polarization and seed failure in wild tomato
- 661 hybrids. *Genetics* 212: 141–152.
- Sanderson, M. J., and M. J. Donoghue. 1994. Shifts in diversification rate with the origin of
- angiosperms. *Science* 264: 1590–1593.
- Sandstedt, G. D., and A. L. Sweigart. 2022. Developmental evidence for parental conflict in
- driving *Mimulus* species barriers. *New Phytologist*.
- Sandstedt, G. D., C. A. Wu, and A. L. Sweigart. 2020. Evolution of multiple postzygotic
- barriers between species of the *Mimulus tilingii* complex. *Evolution* 75: 600-613.

- Sansome, E. R., S. Satina, and A. F. Blakeslee. 1942. Disintegration of Ovules in Tetraploid-
- Diploid and in Incompatible Species Crosses in Datura. Bulletin of the Torrey Botanical
- 670 *Club* 69: 405–420.
- Särkinen, T., L. Bohs, R. G. Olmstead, and S. Knapp. 2013. A phylogenetic framework for
- evolutionary study of the nightshades (Solanaceae): a dated 1000-tip tree. *BMC*
- 673 Evolutionary Biology 13: 214.
- Sasa, M. M., P. T. Chippindale, and N. A. Johnson. 1998. Patterns of Postzygotic Isolation in
- 675 Frogs. *Evolution* 52: 1811.
- Satina, S., J. Rappaport, and A. F. Blakeslee. 1950. Ovular Tumors Connected with
- Incompatible Crosses in *Datura*. *American Journal of Botany* 37: 576–586.
- 678 Scopece, G., A. Musacchio, A. Widmer, and S. Cozzolino. 2007. Patterns of reproductive
- isolation in Mediterranean deceptive orchids. *Evolution* 61: 2623–2642.
- Scopece, G., A. Widmer, and S. Cozzolino. 2008. Evolution of postzygotic reproductive
- isolation in a guild of deceptive orchids. *The American Naturalist* 171: 315–326.
- 682 Scott, R. J., M. Spielman, J. Bailey, and H. G. Dickinson. 1998. Parent-of-origin effects on
- seed development in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* 125: 3329–3341.
- 684 Seiler, G. J., and L. H. Rieseberg. 2015. Systematics, origin, and germplasm resources of the
- wild and domesticated sunflower. Agronomy Monographs, 21–65. American Society of
- Agronomy, Crop Science Society of America, Soil Science Society of America,
- Madison, WI, USA.
- 688 Sherman-Broyles, S., A. Bombarely, A. F. Powell, J. L. Doyle, A. N. Egan, J. E. Coate, and
- J. J. Doyle. 2014. The wild side of a major crop: soybean's perennial cousins from Down
- 690 Under. American Journal of Botany 101: 1651–1665.
- 691 Shii, C. T., A. Rabakoarihanta, M. C. Mok, and D. W. Mok. 1982. Embryo development in
- reciprocal crosses of *Phaseolus vulgaris* L. and *P. coccineus* Lam. *TAG. Theoretical and*
- 693 *Applied Genetics*. 62: 59–64.
- 694 Singh, R. J., K. P. Kollipara, and T. Hymowitz. 1988. Further data on the genomic
- relationships among wild perennial species (2n = 40) of the genus *Glycine* Willd.
- 696 *Genome* 30: 166–176.
- Sobel, J. M. 2014. Ecogeographic isolation and speciation in the genus *Mimulus*. *The*
- 698 *American Naturalist* 184: 565–579.
- de Sousa, F., Y. J. K. Bertrand, and B. E. Pfeil. 2016. Patterns of phylogenetic incongruence
- in Medicago found among six loci. Plant Systematics and Evolution 302: 493–513.
- 701 Spillane, C., C. MacDougall, C. Stock, C. Köhler, J. P. Vielle-Calzada, S. M. Nunes, U.
- Grossniklaus, and J. Goodrich. 2000. Interaction of the *Arabidopsis* Polycomb group
- proteins FIE and MEA mediates their common phenotypes. Current Biology 10: 1535–
- 704 1538.
- Städler, T., A. M. Florez-Rueda, and M. Roth. 2021. A revival of effective ploidy: the
- asymmetry of parental roles in endosperm-based hybridization barriers. *Current Opinion*

- 707 in Plant Biology 61: 102015.
- Stein, J. C., Y. Yu, D. Copetti, D. J. Zwickl, L. Zhang, C. Zhang, K. Chougule, et al. 2018.
- Genomes of 13 domesticated and wild rice relatives highlight genetic conservation,
- turnover and innovation across the genus *Oryza*. *Nature Genetics* 50: 285–296.
- 711 Sukno, S., J. Ruso, C. C. Jan, J. M. Melero-Vara, and J. M. Fernández-martínez. 1999.
- 712 Interspecific hybridization between sunflower and wild perennial *Helianthus* species via
- 713 embryo rescue. *Euphytica* 106: 69–78.
- 714 Tang, D., Y. Jia, J. Zhang, H. Li, L. Cheng, P. Wang, Z. Bao, et al. 2022. Genome evolution
- and diversity of wild and cultivated potatoes. *Nature* 606: 535–541.
- 716 Tiwari, S., M. Spielman, R. Schulz, R. J. Oakey, G. Kelsey, A. Salazar, K. Zhang, et al. 2010.
- 717 Transcriptional profiles underlying parent-of-origin effects in seeds of *Arabidopsis*
- 718 thaliana. BMC Plant Biology 10.
- 719 Todesco, M., G. L. Owens, N. Bercovich, J.-S. Légaré, S. Soudi, D. O. Burge, K. Huang, et
- al. 2020. Massive haplotypes underlie ecotypic differentiation in sunflowers. *Nature* 584:
- 721 602–607.
- Tonosaki, K., D. Sekine, T. Ohnishi, A. Ono, H. Furuumi, N. Kurata, and T. Kinoshita. 2018.
- Overcoming the species hybridization barrier by ploidy manipulation in the genus *Oryza*.
- 724 *The Plant Journal* 93: 534–544.
- 725 Trivers, R. L. 1974. Parent-offspring conflict. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 14: 249–
- 726 264.
- 727 Tuteja, R., P. C. McKeown, P. Ryan, C. C. Morgan, M. T. A. Donoghue, T. Downing, M. J.
- O'Connell, and C. Spillane. 2019. Paternally Expressed Imprinted Genes under Positive
- 729 Darwinian Selection in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 36:
- 730 1239–1253.
- Valentine, D. H., and S. R. J. Woodell. 1960. Seed Incompatibility in *Primula*. *Nature* 185:
- 732 778–779.
- Valentine, D. H., and S. R. J. Woodell. 1963. Studies in British *Primulas*. X. Seed
- incompatibility in intraspecific and interspecific crosses at diploid and tetraploid levels.
- 735 *New Phytologist* 62: 125–143.
- Van Cleve, J., and M. W. Feldman. 2007. Sex-specific viability, sex linkage and dominance
- 737 in genomic imprinting. *Genetics* 176: 1101–1118.
- Van Tuyl, J. M., T. P. Straathof, R. J. Bino, and A. A. M. Kwakkenbos. 1988. Effect of three
- pollination methods on embryo development and seedset in intra- and interspecific
- crosses between seven *Lilium* species. *Sexual Plant Reproduction* 1: 119–123.
- Veronesi, F., A. Mariani, and E. T. Bingham. 1986. Unreduced gametes in diploid *Medicago*
- and their importance in alfalfa breeding. TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 72: 37–
- 743 41.
- Vickery, R. K., Jr. 1964. Barriers to gene exchange between members of the *Mimulus*
- 745 *guttatus* complex (Scrophulariaceae). *Evolution* 18: 52–69.

- Walia, H., C. Josefsson, B. Dilkes, R. Kirkbride, J. Harada, and L. Comai. 2009. Dosage-
- 747 Dependent Deregulation of an AGAMOUS-LIKE Gene Cluster Contributes to
- 748 Interspecific Incompatibility. *Current Biology* 19: 1128–1132.
- Wang, S., J. Gao, H. Chao, Z. Li, W. Pu, Y. Wang, and M. Chen. 2022. Comparative
- 750 Chloroplast Genomes of *Nicotiana* Species (Solanaceae): Insights into the Genetic
- Variation, Phylogenetic Relationship, and Polyploid Speciation. *Frontiers in Plant*
- 752 Science 13: 899252.
- Waters, A. J., P. Bilinski, S. R. Eichten, M. W. Vaughn, J. Ross-Ibarra, M. Gehring, and N.
- M. Springer. 2013. Comprehensive analysis of imprinted genes in maize reveals allelic
- variation for imprinting and limited conservation with other species. *Proceedings of the*
- 756 *National Academy of Sciences* 110: 19639–19644.
- Wessinger, C. A. 2021. From pollen dispersal to plant diversification: genetic consequences
- of pollination mode. *The New phytologist* 229: 3125–3132.
- Wilkins, J. F., and D. Haig. 2001. Genomic imprinting of two antagonistic loci. *Proceedings*
- of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 268: 1861–1867.
- Williams, E., and D. W. R. White. 1976. Early seed development after crossing of *Trifolium*
- ambiguum and T. repens. New Zealand Journal of Botany 14: 307–314.
- Williams, J. H., and W. E. Friedman. 2002. Identification of diploid endosperm in an early
- angiosperm lineage. *Nature* 415: 522–526.
- Willi, Y. 2013. The battle of the sexes over seed size: support for both kinship genomic
- imprinting and interlocus contest evolution. *The American Naturalist* 181: 787–798.
- Wolff, P., H. Jiang, G. Wang, J. Santos-González, and C. Köhler. 2015. Paternally expressed
- imprinted genes establish postzygotic hybridization barriers in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.
- 769 *eLife* 4: 1–14.
- Wu, M., J. L. Kostyun, M. W. Hahn, and L. C. Moyle. 2018. Dissecting the basis of novel
- trait evolution in a radiation with widespread phylogenetic discordance. *Molecular*
- 772 *Ecology* 27: 3301–3316.
- Yan, Z., L. Sang, Y. Ma, Y. He, J. Sun, L. Ma, S. Li, et al. 2022. A de novo assembled high-
- quality chromosome-scale *Trifolium pratense* genome and fine-scale phylogenetic
- analysis. *BMC Plant Biology* 22: 332.
- Zeh, D. W., and J. A. Zeh. 2000. Reproductive mode and speciation: The viviparity-driven
- conflict hypothesis. *BioEssays* 22: 938–946.
- 778 Zhang, B., C. Li, Y. Li, and H. Yu. 2020. Mobile TERMINAL FLOWER1 determines seed
- size in *Arabidopsis*. *Nature Plants* 6: 1146–1157.
- Zhang, F., and R. G. Palmer. 1990. The ms1 mutation in soybean: involvement of gametes in
- 781 crosses with tetraploid soybean. TAG. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 80: 172–176.
- 782 Zhang, M., H. Zhao, S. Xie, J. Chen, Y. Xu, K. Wang, H. Zhao, et al. 2011. Extensive,
- clustered parental imprinting of protein-coding and noncoding RNAs in developing
- maize endosperm. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108: 20042–20047.

## Figure Captions and Tables

Figure 1: Hybrid seed inviability can evolve rapidly. The extent of premating-postzygotic (PMPZ) isolation and hybrid seed inviability (HSI) as a function of divergence for three plant groups; the Mimulus guttatus species complex, three genera within the Solanaceae, and the genus Streptanthus. Data are fit with GLM with the following model: RI~Genetic Distance. Significant relationships are indicated with a black line, while non-significant relationships are indicated with grey. For Mimulus and the Solanaceae, PMPZ isolation is measured by seed set per cross, for Streptanthus PMPZ is based on the ability to make a fruit. Data are collated from: Mimulus crossing data: (Vickery, 1964; Garner et al., 2016; Oneal et al., 2016; Coughlan et al., 2020; Sandstedt et al., 2020); Mimulus genetic data: (Brandvain et al., 2014; Coughlan et al., 2020, 2021; Sandstedt et al., 2020), distances calculated using (Brandvain et al., 2014); Solanaceae crossing data: (Jewell et al., 2012; Kostyun and Moyle, 2017; Roth et al., 2018a), Solanaceae divergence data: (Guerrero et al., 2013; Pease et al., 2016; Wu et al., 2018); Streptanthus crossing and divergence data: (Christie and Strauss, 2018).

Figure 2: Genetic (A) and molecular models (B) underlying HSI highlight many unanswered questions: (A) is HSI governed predominantly by substitutions in one or both lineages (i.e. derived-ancestral vs derived-derived incompatibilities; top vs bottom panel respectively)? (B) Does HSI evolve via recurrent evolution of new imprinted genes or modified expression of pre-existing imprinted genes (or a combination)? The top panel represents the evolution of a newly imprinted gene: increased expression of a paternally derived allele (orange) selects for reduced maternal expression (blue) at a single locus, resulting in a bi-parentally expressed gene becoming imprinted. The bottom panel depicts a scenario where two genes are already imprinted. Increased expression of the PEG (orange) selects for increased expression of a compensatory MEG (blue). Green shapes represent the origin of new transcription factors or other molecular mechanisms of increasing gene expression. While the (C) genotypes displayed in the phenotypic outcomes depict only a single round of paternally-derived resource acquiring alleles and maternal-derived compensatory alleles, multiple rounds of allelic substitution (either within one species, or in both lineages) would have to occur for the Species 2 x Species 1 cross to be lethal, as the genotype shown in the Species 2 x Species 1 cross is the same genotype that arises with the origin of a paternally-derived, resource acquiring allele (denoted with a \*).

Table 1: incidences of HSI in agricultural and natural plant systems. Group= taxonomic group, Type= endosperm type (cellular or nuclear), Strength= qualitative assessment of HSI (many older papers provide only qualitative assessments), divergence= estimate of divergence times for the taxonomic group. In some cases, the actual species have been genotyped and estimates made, but for others the crown age of the taxonomic group is given with some description of the species crossed. Ploidy= interspecific among diploids ('IS'), interploidy ('IP'), or several crosses involving both intra-diploid and interspecific crosses ('both'). Ref= reference for HSI and divergence times ('div')

Group	Type	Strength	Divergence	Ploidy	Ref
Solanum sect. Petota	celluar	several instances; often complete or strongly asymmetric	crown age ~5.9- 8.5MY; incidences between species ~3- 4MY diverged	Both	(Johnston and Hanneman, 1980, 1982; Dinu et al., 2005); Div: (Särkinen et al., 2013; Fumia, 2021; Tang et al., 2022)
Solanum sect. Lycopersicon	celluar	several instances; often complete or strongly asymmetric	crown age ~1.2- 2.6MY, incidences in species pairs <1MY diverged	Both	(Rick, 1963; Ehlenfeldt and Hanneman, 1992; Ehlenfeldt and Ortiz, 1995; Baek et al., 2016; Roth et al., 2018a; Florez-Rueda et al., 2021b)Div:(Särkinen et al., 2013; Pease et al., 2016)
Brassica	nuclear	several instances;	earliest incidences between species ~2MY diverged	Both	(Nishiyama et al., 1991); Div: (Li et al., 2017)
Nicotiana	celluar	several instances; often complete or strongly asymmetric	crown age ~10- 13MYA; few crosses made between recently diverged species	Both	(McCray, 1932; East, 1935; Cooper and Brink, 1940); Div (Clarkson et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2022)
Triticum	nuclear	strong HSI between <i>T. boeoticum</i> and <i>T. urartu</i>	~1MY divergd	Both	(Gill and Waines, 1978); Div (Huynh et al., 2019)
Aegilops	nuclear	strong HSI between Ae. tauschii (Ae. squarrosa) and Ae. speltoides	~3-4MY diverged	IS	(Gill and Waines, 1978); Div (Huynh et al., 2019)
Avena	nuclear	several strong and/or asymmetric incidences	strong HSI between different clades ~7- 11MY diverged, younger pairs not tested	Both	(Nishiyama and Yabuno, 1978)Nishiyama and Yabuno 1978; Div (Liu et al., 2017; Fu, 2018)
Hordeum	nuclear	some incidences within sections, stronger incidences between sections	"sections" not monophyletic; crown age of group(s) with HSI is ~1-2MYA	Both	(von Bothmer and Jacobsen, 1986); Div (Brassac and Blattner, 2015)

Oryza	nuclear	several strong instances; strongly asymmetric	earliest incidence from species <500KY diverged	IS	(Chu and Oka, 1970; Ishikawa et al., 2011); Div (Stein et al., 2018)
Phaseolus	nuclear	two incidences, both strong and asymmetric	2-4 MY diverged	IS	(Shii et al., 1982; Geerts et al., 2002); Div (Delgado-Salinas et al., 2006)
Cucumis	nuclear	several incidences; some strong, few asymmetric	most incidences between <i>C. melo</i> and species in Sect. Sagittani, which split ~9.5MYA	Both	(John R. Deakin et al., 1971); Div (Endl et al., 2018)
Glycine	nuclear	multiple incidences, several strong/complete	multiple incidences between species ~2- 4MY diverged	Both	(Singh et al., 1988; Chung and Kim, 1990; Zhang and Palmer, 1990); Div (Egan and Doyle, 2010; Sherman-Broyles et al., 2014)
Medicago	nuclear	several incidences, often strong/complete	earliest incidences are between species ~2MY diverged; crown age is 6- 16MYA	Both	(Oldemeyer, 1956; Fridriksson and Bolton, 1963; McCoy and Smith, 1986; Veronesi et al., 1986); Div (de Sousa et al., 2016)
Trifolium	nuclear	multiple strong/complete incidences,	crown age for Trifolium is ~11.8- 16MYA, but many sister taxa exhibit HSI	Both	(Chou and Gibson, 1968; Williams and White, 1976; Parrott and Smith, 1986; Abberton, 2007); Div (Ellison et al., 2006; Yan et al., 2022)
Datura	celluar	several highly asymmetric incidences	crown age ~15MYA, few crosses between recently diverged species	Both	(Sansome et al., 1942; Satina et al., 1950); Div (Dupin and Smith, 2018)
Helianthus	nuclear	most annual- perennial crosses fail, but can be partially restored with embryo rescue	~1.5-3.3MY diverged	IS	(Seiler and Rieseberg, 2015); Div (Mason, 2018)
Impatiens	celluar	most stronger incidences are interploidy	-	Both	(Arisumi, 1973, 1982)
Primula	nuclear	multiple strong incidences	~2-3MYA	Both	(Valentine and Woodell, 1960, 1963); Div (Li et al., 2016)
Mimulus	celluar	several instances; sometimes complete, occasionally asymmetric	earliest incidence of complete HSI is ~150KYA	Both	(Vickery, 1964; Garner et al., 2016; Oneal et al., 2016; Coughlan et al., 2020; Sandstedt et al., 2020; Kinser e al., 2022); Fig.1
Gilia	nuclear	several instances; often complete, occasionally asymmetric	several instances in <2MYA	IS	(Grant, 1954; Grant and Grant, 1954); Div (Landis et al., 2018)
Clarkia	nuclear	modest HSI between C. xantiana subsp.	65KY diverged	IS	(Davis, 1970; Briscoe Runquist et al., 2014)
Collinsia	cellular	several instances; rarely complete, often asymmetric	strong HSI between species 900KY diverged	IS	(Ahloowalia and Garber, 1961; Bell and Garber, 1961); Div Randle (unpublished)

Capsella	nuclear	strong asymmetric HSI between <i>C.</i> grandiflora and <i>C.</i> rubella; complete between each and <i>C.</i> orientalis	earliest incidence ~100KYA, oldest incidence ~1MYA	IS	(Rebernig et al., 2015; Lafon-Placette et al., 2018)
Arabidopsis	nuclear	several incidences; complete between A. arenosa and both A. thaliana and A. lyrata; variable/asymmetric within A. lyrata	earliest incidence ~35KYA; latest is ~3.8-5.8MYA	Both	(Josefsson et al., 2006; Hämälä et al., 2017; Lafon-Placette et al., 2017; İltaş et al., 2021)
Lilium	nuclear	several instance (~3% of crosses yield viable seed)	some instances as early as ~500KYA	IS	(Dowrick and Brandram, 1970; Van Tuyl et al., 1988); Div (Gao et al., 2015)
Eucalyptus	nuclear	variable: up ~35% of crosses yield no viable seed	probability of producing a hybrid is <5% by 7MY	IS	(Drake, 1975; Larcombe et al., 2015)
Silene	nuclear	several strong incidences	crown age for section Elisanthe ~1.3MYA	IS	(Prentice, 1978); Div (Moiloa et al., 2021)
Streptanthus	nuclear	common and typically strong	earliest complete incidence ~2.5MYA	IS	(Christie and Strauss, 2018).
Jaltomata	cellular	several incidences, often strong, commonly asymmetric	earliest complete incidence ~1.1MYA	IS	(Kostyun and Moyle, 2017); Div (Wu et al., 2018)
Nolana	cellular	several moderate, asymmetric incidences	earliest complete incidence ~2.2MYA	IS	(Jewell et al., 2012); Div (Guerrero et al., 2013)
Lolium	nuclear	multiple, strong incidences	~4.5MY diverged	IS	(Jenkin, 1935, 1954a; b; c); Div (Inda et al., 2014)