

THE LITTLE REPTILIAN LION

A Literature Review and Field Perspective on the Behavioral Ecology of *Agama picticauda* (Peter's Rock Agama)

Sulenu Zagha

Independent Researcher, Port Harcourt, Nigeria

sulenuzagha@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Agama picticauda (Peter's Rock Agama) is one of West Africa's most abundant and visually striking lizards, yet remains profoundly understudied at the level of behavioral ecology. This review draws on published literature and extended personal field observation of wild populations in Port Harcourt, Nigeria, to document the species' behavioral complexity and argue for dedicated long-term research. The social system of *A. picticauda* is shown to parallel the lion pride in functional structure: a dominant male holds a harem-based territory, subordinate males are monitored at the periphery, and young males are preemptively evicted before reaching competitive maturity. Territorial takeovers are extended endurance-based contests whose outcomes are not reliably predicted by size alone. Deposed males transition into a furtive floater state characterized by sustained color suppression and behavioral shift from reproduction to survival, followed typically by disappearance or death. Several behavioral patterns are reported here for the first time, including a structured female rejection display contradicting existing accounts, a functionally distinct patrol or search mode combining darkened coloration with slow deliberate head-bobbing, a post-defeat denial phase in which displaced males attempt territorial reclamation before accepting floater status, and evidence consistent with individual human recognition. The neglect of this species in behavioral research is attributed to geographic bias in herpetological literature, taxonomic blurring within the *Agama agama* complex, and misplaced prestige hierarchies around urban-associated fauna. A targeted research agenda is proposed.

When I first crouched beside a sun-baked wall in West Africa and watched a brilliantly orange-headed lizard chase a rival across the concrete with the focused intensity of something twice its size, I did not immediately think of lions. That came later. It came after months of watching, after I had seen enough territorial takeovers, enough deposed males slinking into the shadows, enough females asserting their preferences with structured, deliberate body language, enough of the whole dramatic social machinery of this species operating in plain sight on walls and rocks and building facades that most people walk past without a second glance. Then I thought of lions. And once I thought it, I could not unthink it. The parallel is not superficial. It is not a casual metaphor reached for because the male happens to be orange. It is a functional, structural, behavioral parallel that cuts deep into how this animal organizes its social world, how it competes, how it communicates, and how it lives and dies as a social entity.

Agama picticauda, Peter's Rock Agama, is a miniature lion wearing scales instead of fur, and it is one of the most behaviorally rich lizards in Africa. The fact that it remains so poorly studied is, frankly, a scandal.

Let me be direct about something from the outset. This is a species that lives in close proximity to humans across a wide swath of West and Central Africa. It colonizes walls, fences, rocks, building foundations, and urban structures with tremendous success (Ofori et al. 2023). It is visible, abundant, and active during daylight hours. Unlike many of the reptiles that attract serious scientific attention, it does not require expedition-level fieldwork to observe. You can study it from a lawn chair. You can study it from a window. It is essentially offering itself to researchers, and yet the published behavioral literature on this species is thin to the point of embarrassment. The basic coloration is documented. The general social structure is acknowledged. And then the literature more or less trails off into generalities about the *Agama agama* complex, treating *picticauda* as a footnote to its more famous relatives rather than as the fascinatingly complex social organism it actually is. My goal in this review is to pull together what is known, lay it against what sustained field observation reveals, and make the case that this species deserves a dedicated, long-term behavioral study program with the same urgency and enthusiasm we would bring to any charismatic megafauna. Because that is exactly what *Agama picticauda* is. It is just small.

Taxonomy, Distribution, and the Problem of the *Agama agama* Shadow

Agama picticauda Peters, 1877 belongs to the family Agamidae, a diverse and widely distributed family of Old World lizards that includes over 400 species across Africa, Asia, and Australia. Within Africa, the genus *Agama* is particularly species-rich, encompassing dozens of ecologically and morphologically diverse forms. *Agama picticauda* is distributed primarily across West and Central Africa, where it thrives in savanna-woodland edges, rocky outcrops, and increasingly in urban and peri-urban environments where it has adapted spectacularly well to human-modified landscapes (Leache et al. 2016). Its common name, Peter's Rock Agama, honors Wilhelm Peters, the 19th-century German herpetologist who formally described the species, while its scientific epithet *picticauda* derives from the Latin for "painted tail," a direct reference to the stunning tricolored tail of the adult breeding male.

One of the persistent problems in studying this species is that it has long existed in the scientific shadow of *Agama agama*, the more widely distributed and somewhat better-studied Rainbow Agama of sub-Saharan Africa (Yeboah 1982; Chapman and Chapman 1964). Much of what is loosely attributed to agamas in West Africa in popular and semi-popular literature is a blend of observations from multiple species under the broad agama umbrella, with the result that species-specific behavioral data for *picticauda* is difficult to isolate and often unreliable (Leache

et al. 2016). This taxonomic blurring has real consequences for the behavioral literature because the social systems, coloration patterns, and ecological contexts of different agama species vary in ways that matter. When I try to find rigorous, species-confirmed behavioral data on *picticauda* specifically, I am routinely forced to work with sources that are ambiguous about which species they are actually describing. This is not good science. It is the kind of taxonomic laziness that would be unacceptable if we were talking about, say, different species of great apes, but somehow passes muster when the subject is a lizard that most people would not notice sitting three feet away from them on a wall.

The Painted Male: Coloration as a Social Broadcasting System

Let me start with what is visually obvious and work toward what is behaviorally profound. The adult dominant male *Agama picticauda* is one of the most visually striking lizards in its range. The head is a vivid orange to reddish-orange, almost flame-colored in peak display condition. The body is deep blue to blue-black, sometimes carrying a slight iridescent purple tint in strong light. And then there is the tail, the feature that gives the species its name: a tricolored structure with a bluish-white base, an orange mid-section, and a dark tip. In combination, this animal looks painted. It looks deliberate. It looks, frankly, like something that wants to be seen.

Contrast this with the females and subordinate males, which are drab brown, grayish-brown, or olive with darker mottling. They are camouflaged. They blend. The species also exhibits clear sexual size dimorphism, with females consistently smaller than males (van den Burg et al. 2024). This contrast is not merely aesthetic. It is the physical expression of a social system. The dominant male is the only individual in the group whose appearance is designed to broadcast rather than conceal, and this broadcasting serves multiple simultaneous functions: it signals territorial ownership, it advertises condition to females, it warns rivals, and it coordinates the group's awareness of the male's current social and physiological state.

What I find most remarkable, and what the literature barely touches on, is the speed and sophistication of the male's color modulation. A dominant male in full display is incandescent. But the same individual, when searching for intruders, adopts a dramatically different appearance: the colors darken significantly, the orange head dulls, and the whole animal becomes less conspicuous. This is not simply stress-darkening as described in the general literature. It is a functionally distinct behavioral state that I would characterize as patrol or search mode. It is accompanied by a specific motor pattern: a slow, deliberate head-bob that is completely different in tempo and character from the fast, energetic head-bobbing of normal territorial display. The animal in this state is neither hiding nor displaying. It is actively scanning, moving with purposeful stealth, using its coloration to reduce its own conspicuousness while it assesses its territory for intrusions. This is sophisticated. This is a behavioral mode that implies the animal has something like a tactical awareness of its own visibility, and it is almost entirely absent from the published literature on this species. When I see a dominant male drop into search mode, I think of a lion flattening itself in tall grass, reducing its visual profile while it assesses a situation before deciding whether to act. The functional parallel is exact.

When a predator threat materializes, the color system does something else entirely. In the presence of a perched or stalking bird of prey, a dominant male that was blazing orange and blue moments before will rapidly suppress his colors toward something much more neutral and camouflaged. This is not search mode. This is threat-concealment, and the speed of the transition is remarkable. The entire group tends to freeze simultaneously, and the male's color shift is the most dramatic individual change in the group, simply because he has the most color to suppress. Females and subordinates, already drab, have relatively little adjustment to make. When a raptor is simply flying over without apparent interest, the response is different: scatter rather than freeze, with individuals disappearing into crevices and behind rocks. The distinction between a perched stalking predator and a passing flyover predator is a sophisticated threat assessment that implies real-time evaluation of predator behavior and intent. I have watched this enough times to be certain it is a consistent, repeatable behavioral pattern rather than random variation.

The Lion Pride in Miniature: Social Structure and Territory

Now we arrive at the heart of what makes *Agama picticauda* so extraordinary, and what makes the lion comparison not just evocative but structurally accurate. The social system of this species is, in functional terms, a harem-based territorial system that parallels the lion pride with a fidelity that I find genuinely startling.

In a lion pride, one or several related dominant males control a territory containing a group of females and their offspring (Packer and Pusey 1983; Bertram 1975). Young males are tolerated to a point and then expelled as they reach maturity and begin representing a competitive threat. Deposed males become nomadic, wandering the landscape in reduced condition, rarely securing mating opportunities, and typically dying within a few years of losing their status (Packer and Pusey 1983). Takeovers are dramatic, violent, and can result in the death or permanent exile of the previous dominant. The pride females show territory loyalty, mating predominantly with whoever holds the dominant position rather than pursuing specific individuals across territories (Bertram 1975).

Now watch *Agama picticauda*. A dominant male holds a territory on a wall, a rock face, a building facade, or some combination of structures, and within that territory he maintains access to a group of females (Yeboah 1982). Subordinate males are present at the periphery, tolerated at low threat levels but monitored constantly. Young males are not simply ignored as they grow — they are actively expelled from the territory as soon as they reach a size that the dominant registers as a meaningful threat. This preemptive eviction is one of the most strategically interesting behaviors I have observed in this species, and it is almost entirely undescribed in the literature. The dominant does not wait for a young male to actually challenge him. He evicts the male before the challenge comes, at the first point where the male's size crosses some threshold of perceived threat. This is risk management. This is forward-thinking competitive behavior. Evolutionarily, the logic is impeccable: evicting a potential rival when the cost of eviction is low is far preferable to waiting until a full confrontation is necessary. Watching a

dominant male chase a juvenile/sub-adult male out of his territory with the same focused aggression he would bring to an adult challenger is one of the more striking things I have seen this species do, precisely because the young male poses no immediate threat and yet the dominant treats him as if he might.

The territorial takeover dynamic deserves extended discussion because it is where the lion comparison becomes most vivid. Takeovers in *Agama picticauda* are not quick affairs. They are extended, dramatic, endurance-based contests that can span hours and, in some cases, extend into a second day. The challenger enters the territory and the dominant responds. What follows is a prolonged contest of chasing, zig-zagging pursuit, tail-whipping, biting, and display that tests not just raw strength but stamina, agility, and persistence. I have watched takeover attempts where the challenger was noticeably smaller than the defending dominant and still won, because the smaller male's superior speed and agility allowed him to outlast a larger opponent whose size became a liability rather than an advantage once fatigue entered the equation. This is not how the literature tends to describe agamid contests, which are often framed primarily in terms of size-based dominance assessment with ritualized display and minimal actual combat (Yeboah 1982). In *picticauda*, real combat happens and real exhaustion happens, and the outcome is not always predictable from initial size comparison. Size confers real advantage — the longest-reigning dominants I have followed were invariably big, heavy animals, some holding their territories for three or even four years on the strength of sheer physical presence. But size is not destiny. I once watched a genuinely massive male lose a takeover contest to a noticeably smaller, younger challenger. The larger male had the bulk but had lost the speed and stamina that bulk requires to be effective. The younger animal was more explosive, more agile, more enduring across the long grinding contest. When fatigue entered the equation, mass became a liability. Youth and conditioning can dethrone raw size, and the outcome of any given contest is never as predictable as a simple size comparison suggests.

Territorial borders are never truly settled. Neighboring dominant males skirmish constantly over boundary lines, and a newly established king faces particular pressure in his early tenure. Adjacent dominants who have not yet calibrated to the new power arrangement will test him immediately, probing boundaries before his authority is established. Sometimes the new male holds. Sometimes he loses ground before the borders stabilize into an uneasy equilibrium. The throne, once won, must be continuously defended.

The aftermath of a successful takeover produces what I consider the most lion-like behavior in the entire species repertoire: the deposed male becomes a floater. He does not immediately leave the area entirely. He lingers, but transformed. The blazing orange head is gone, replaced by a suppressed, darkened coloration that makes him dramatically less conspicuous. He moves furtively, avoiding open perches, sticking to cover. His behavior shifts entirely toward foraging and survival. Mating attempts become rare. Confrontations with the new dominant are avoided. The animal that was, days before, the most visible and behaviorally active individual in the group has become a shadow. And then, typically within a relatively short period, he disappears entirely. He either dies, moves far enough away to be outside my observation area, or is eventually killed. The parallels to a deposed lion coalition are almost eerie: the loss of status,

the loss of conspicuousness, the shift from reproduction-focused to survival-focused behavior, and the rapid physical and behavioral deterioration (Packer and Pusey 1983; Bertram 1975).

Even among the dispossessed, hierarchy persists. I have watched a young subordinate and a recently dethroned adult encounter each other within a dominant's territory and immediately engage in combat, fighting purely for relative status with no territory actually at stake, out of what looks for all the world like competitive spite. And yet the moment the actual dominant appears, both animals bolt without hesitation. The social ranking system does not dissolve at the bottom. It simply continues on a smaller, sadder stage.

I want to dwell on one specific behavior within the takeover complex that I have not seen described anywhere in the literature: what I can only call the denial phase. A male that has been defeated in a takeover contest and displaced from his territory will often return the following day and attempt to reclaim the territory before finally accepting defeat. This is not simply persistence in a long contest. It is a genuine behavioral reset, as if the defeated male has slept and woken with his self-assessment recalibrated back to "dominant" before reality reasserts itself through another confrontation. The new dominant typically defeats him again, often more quickly than the initial contest, and after this second defeat the old male typically does not return again. He transitions into floater behavior. But that 24-hour recalibration period, that denial phase, is a fascinating behavioral window that tells us something interesting about how these animals process status change. It is not instantaneous. There is a lag.

Female Agency: More Than Passive Recipients

One of my persistent frustrations with the agamid behavioral literature is how it handles female behavior. Females are typically described in terms of their accessibility to dominant males, their role as the resource that territories exist to control, and their basic receptivity signals (Yeboah 1982; Chapman and Chapman 1964). They are treated, essentially, as the prize in a male-male competition rather than as behavioral agents in their own right. My observations of *Agama picticauda* females tell a very different story.

Female territory loyalty is one of the most interesting patterns I have observed. When a female is within the territory of the dominant male who normally has access to her, she will typically mate with him when he attempts copulation. But when the same female ventures outside that territory and encounters another male, she runs. This is not simply fear of an unfamiliar male. It is spatial loyalty to a territory rather than personal loyalty to a specific individual, which is a meaningful behavioral distinction. It suggests females are tracking territory quality or familiarity as a primary variable in their mating decisions, and that the dominant male benefits from female site fidelity as much as from any direct coercive ability. When the dominant male changes through a takeover, females typically remain in the territory and transfer their effective "loyalty" to the new dominant. Again: lion pride dynamics, almost exactly (Bertram 1975).

The female receptivity signal is reasonably well described in the literature, involving stillness and neck presentation that allows the male to grip during copulation (Chapman and Chapman 1964). But the female rejection signal is something I have never seen properly described, and it directly contradicts the implied picture in most sources, which treat female rejection as simply the absence of receptivity. What I have observed is a structured, active rejection display: the female arches her back, raises her tail, and then flaps the tail laterally in a rhythmic side-to-side motion that functions to actively repel the approaching male. This is not passive non-compliance. This is a dedicated rejection signal, a purposeful behavior that communicates "no" rather than simply failing to communicate "yes." The existence of a structured rejection display implies female agency in mate choice that goes well beyond what the literature acknowledges. It means females are not simply compliant or non-compliant but have a behavioral vocabulary for active refusal. That is important, and it needs to be properly documented.

I have also noted that females do the majority of active foraging in a group while dominant males tend to perch in prominent positions. This division of behavioral labor makes energetic sense given the male's commitment to vigilance and display, but it has direct implications for understanding sex differences in body condition, growth rates, and nutritional intake that the literature has not explored for this species.

Communication Beyond Color: The Chemical Layer

Visual communication in *Agama picticauda* gets most of the attention because it is the most immediately obvious system. Head-bobbing, color display, postural signals, these are what catch the eye and what the literature briefly describes (Yeboah 1982). But I have observed a chemical communication layer that sits underneath the visual system and that I think is considerably more structured than anyone has acknowledged.

Dominant males engage in a distinctive scent-marking behavior at important perches within their territory. The behavior involves a circle-turning or rubbing motion, with the male pressing parts of his body against the substrate in a way that is clearly not incidental. This is deliberate. It is repeated at specific locations. And it is strongly dominant-male-specific, I observe this marking behavior most intensely and consistently in dominant males, with subordinates showing it much more weakly or not at all. The perches that receive the most marking attention are the high-visibility display sites, suggesting that the chemical signal co-localizes with the visual signal to reinforce territorial communication through multiple sensory channels simultaneously.

Complementing this marking behavior is a tongue-licking, surface-sampling behavior that I interpret as chemosensory information gathering, the animal tasting scent marks from other individuals. Together, these behaviors describe a chemical communication system operating in parallel with the visual system, providing a temporal layer of territorial information that persists after the signaling individual has moved away. A male who has been absent from his territory can, in theory, read the chemical record of who has been present in the interim. Given the

floater dynamic I described earlier, with deposed males potentially testing territory boundaries while the dominant is elsewhere, this chemical surveillance system would have obvious adaptive value. But it has not been studied.

Visual submission has its own precise vocabulary. A subordinate male confronted by a dominant will flatten his body low and raise his tail at roughly a 45-degree angle, a clear, unambiguous yield signal that the dominant typically accepts without escalating further. It is economical communication. A posture held for a moment, a conflict resolved without combat. The signal works because both parties understand it, and that shared understanding is itself evidence of a structured social code operating beneath the more dramatic displays.

Cognitive Complexity: Individual Recognition and Threat Assessment

I want to discuss something that I find particularly striking and that, if rigorously documented, would represent a genuinely significant finding about cognitive complexity in this species. Over the course of my long-term observations, I documented a case in which a specific individual lizard learned to flee specifically from me while ignoring other members of my family who were present in the same environment. This individual showed consistent, repeatable flight responses to my approach that it did not show to other humans who approached from similar distances and angles. This is individual human recognition. It requires the animal to form a distinct representation of a specific human profile, associate that representation with a threat experience, retain that association across time, and apply it discriminatively in real-time encounters. This level of cognitive processing has been rigorously documented in corvids, certain primates, and a small number of other taxa (Marzluff et al. 2010). Its documentation in an agamid lizard would be remarkable.

I am not making an extraordinary claim without acknowledging that extraordinary claims require extraordinary evidence. What I am saying is that my long-term field observation, precisely because it involves consistent presence over extended time with the same population, gives this observation a credibility that a brief encounter study would not. The consistency and repeatability of the pattern, and the clear discrimination between me and other humans, is not easily explained by simpler mechanisms. It deserves systematic investigation. Marzluff et al. (2010) demonstrated that wild American crows learned to recognize and respond to specific individual human faces within days of a single threatening encounter, retaining that recognition for nearly three years. A comparable experimental protocol applied to *Agama picticauda* could determine whether the pattern I observed reflects the same underlying cognitive capacity.

More broadly, the threat assessment sophistication I described earlier, the ability to distinguish a stalking perched raptor from a passing flyover raptor and respond differently to each, implies real-time behavioral classification of predator intent. This is not simple stimulus-response reflexology. It is flexible, context-sensitive behavior that requires ongoing evaluation of a dynamic external situation.

The Neglect Problem: Why Is This Species So Understudied?

I keep returning to this question because it genuinely puzzles me. *Agama picticauda* is abundant, diurnal, accessible, visually striking, and behaviorally complex. It lives alongside humans in some of the most densely populated parts of West Africa. It is not cryptic. It is not rare. It is not dangerous. It does not require specialized equipment to observe. And yet the behavioral literature on this species is a thin scatter of general observations embedded in broader agamid reviews, with almost no species-specific, long-term behavioral studies to speak of.

Part of the answer is almost certainly the persistent bias in herpetological research toward species from regions with strong research infrastructure. North American, European, and Australian lizard species are dramatically overrepresented in the behavioral literature relative to African species, and within Africa, charismatic large fauna absorb the lion's share (if you will forgive the expression) of research attention and funding (Ellison et al. 2021). A lizard, however behaviorally extraordinary, competes poorly for research resources against elephants, primates, and the big cats that draw both scientific and popular attention.

Part of the answer is also the taxonomic blurring I mentioned earlier. When *picticauda* observations get absorbed into general *Agama agama* complex descriptions, the species loses its scientific identity and becomes invisible in the literature as a distinct behavioral entity (Leache et al. 2016). Researchers who might design studies specifically targeting *picticauda* are working with a literature that has pre-emptively diluted the species into a broader category.

And part of the answer, I suspect, is the urban association. *Agama picticauda* has colonized human settlements so successfully that it is perceived as a commensal, a wildlife camp-follower, something slightly less "wild" and therefore slightly less scientifically prestigious than a species that requires wilderness fieldwork to study. This is a completely irrational prejudice from a behavioral ecology standpoint. Urban populations of any species are not behaviorally impoverished relative to their wild counterparts, they face different selective pressures and often show remarkable behavioral flexibility precisely because urban environments are complex and demanding (Lowry et al. 2013; Lapiedra 2018). But the prestige gradient is real, and it shapes where researchers direct their attention.

The result is that we have, sitting on our walls and rocks across West and Central Africa, one of the most accessible and behaviorally rich lizard systems on the continent, and it is going essentially unstudied at the level of detail it deserves.

What Needs to Be Done: A Research Agenda

Given everything I have described, let me be specific about where focused research effort would yield the most return.

The floater behavioral complex needs dedicated study. The post-defeat transition from dominant to floater, the color suppression strategy, the behavioral shift from reproduction-focused to survival-focused activity, and the typical fate of floaters are all undescribed in the literature. A long-term population study with individually marked animals could document this in detail.

The female rejection display needs formal behavioral description and publication. It is a structured, repeatable signal that is currently absent from the literature, and its existence has significant implications for our understanding of female mate choice agency in this species.

Tenure length data needs systematic collection. The range I have observed suggests high variance in how long dominant males hold territories, and understanding the predictors of long versus short tenure (size, age, condition, territory quality) requires a proper longitudinal dataset.

The chemical communication system needs analytical attention. Identifying the glands involved, the compounds produced, and the behavioral responses elicited by scent marks would open up an entirely new dimension of the species' communication biology.

Individual recognition capacity, both among lizards and toward specific humans, deserves controlled experimental investigation. The cognitive implications of confirmed individual recognition in an agamid would extend well beyond this species (Marzluff et al. 2010).

And the predator discrimination capacity needs formal documentation. The behavioral distinction between responses to perched versus flying raptors is a clean, testable behavioral phenomenon that could be investigated experimentally with trained birds or appropriate models.

Conclusion: The Lion on the Wall

I began this review with a confession: I thought of lions. I end with a defense of that comparison. The lion analogy for *Agama picticauda* is not poetic excess. It is a functional description of a social system that operates on the same organizational principles as one of the most studied and celebrated mammalian social systems on Earth (Packer and Pusey 1983; Bertram 1975). Dominant male tenure, harem structure, preemptive eviction of young males, dramatic contested takeovers, the floater fate of deposed dominants, female territory loyalty, and multi-channel communication combining visual, postural, and chemical signals, these are not superficial similarities. They are deep structural parallels that reflect convergent evolutionary solutions to the same adaptive problems: controlling reproductive access in a resource-patchable environment with intense male-male competition.

The difference is that one of these animals weighs 180 kilograms and lives on the Serengeti, and the other weighs perhaps 60 grams and lives on your garden wall. The lion gets documentaries, research programs, conservation budgets, and global attention. The agama

gets walked past by people who have no idea they are ignoring something equally extraordinary in its own scale.

I have spent years watching *Agama picticauda*, and I can tell you that I have never once found it boring. Every territorial confrontation is a drama. Every female interaction is a negotiation. Every color change is a communication. Every dominant male perching on his highest point in full blazing orange and blue is a statement of existence and ownership that, scaled up, would stop traffic. Scaled down, it stops me every time.

This animal deserves proper science. It deserves long-term studies, individually marked populations, chemical analyses, cognitive experiments, and papers that treat it as the behaviorally sophisticated organism it is rather than as a colorful footnote in regional herpetology. The little reptilian lion is waiting on the wall. It is time we started paying proper attention.

*Author's Note: Where behavioral claims in this review are not accompanied by citations, they represent original field observations conducted by the author over multiple years of sustained observation of wild *Agama picticauda* populations in Port Harcourt, Nigeria. These observations include the floater behavioral complex, search mode coloration and head-bob pattern, the denial phase, the female rejection display, preemptive eviction of sub-adult males, and predator discrimination between perched and flying raptors.*

References

Bertram BCR. 1975. Social factors influencing reproduction in wild lions. *Journal of Zoology* 177: 463-482. DOI: 10.1111/j.1469-7998.1975.tb04947.x

Chapman BM, Chapman RF. 1964. Observations on the biology of the lizard *Agama agama* in Ghana. *Journal of Zoology* 143: 121-131.

Ellison G, Jones M, Cain B, Bettridge CM. 2021. Taxonomic and geographic bias in 50 years of research on the behaviour and ecology of galagids. *PLoS ONE* 16(12): e0261379. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0261379

Lapiedra O. 2018. Urban behavioral ecology: lessons from *Anolis* lizards. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 58(5): 939-947. DOI: 10.1093/icb/icy109

Leache AD, Grummer JA, Miller M, Krishnan S, Fujita MK, Bohme W, Schmitz A, Lebreton M, Ineich I, Chirio L, Ofori-Boateng C, Eniang EA, Greenbaum E, Rodel MO, Wagner P. 2016. Bayesian inference of species diffusion in the West African *Agama agama* species group (Reptilia, Agamidae). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 15(3): 192-203. DOI: 10.1080/14772000.2016.1238018

Lowry H, Lill A, Wong BBM. 2013. Behavioural responses of wildlife to urban environments. *Biological Reviews* 88: 537-549. DOI: 10.1111/brv.12012

Marzluff JM, Walls J, Cornell HN, Withey JC, Craig DP. 2010. Lasting recognition of threatening people by wild American crows. *Animal Behaviour* 79(3): 699-707. DOI: 10.1016/j.anbehav.2009.12.022

Ofori BK, Gbogbo F, Attuquayefio DK, Gbornu B. 2023. The costs of living in the city: influence of urbanization on the trophic niche and body condition of the African rainbow lizard *Agama picticauda*. *European Journal of Wildlife Research*. DOI: 10.1007/s10344-023-01743-6

Packer C, Pusey AE. 1983. Adaptations of female lions to infanticide by incoming males (*Panthera leo*). *American Naturalist* 121(5): 716-728. DOI: 10.1086/284097

van den Burg MP, Wasilewski JA, Thorpe RS, Debrot AO, Daltry JC, Angin B, Boman EM, Brannon L, Corbett EA, Horrocks JA, Mukhida F, Parantho N, Pascoe NK, Petrovic C, Verhoeven A, Yokoyama M. 2024. The threat of Peters's Rock Agama (*Agama picticauda*) to reptile diversity across the Lesser Antilles. *Animal Conservation* 27(1): 1-4. DOI: 10.1111/acv.12889

Yeboah S. 1982. Observations on territory of the rainbow lizard, *Agama agama*. *African Journal of Ecology* 20(3): 187-192. DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2028.1982.tb00289.x