

1 *Article*

2 **Expanding the uptake of conservation technology: insights from efforts**
3 **to share conservation bioacoustics capacity in Indonesia and Malaysia**

4 WENDY M. ERB*¹ orcid.org/0000-0001-5714-9496, DASI ONG² orcid.org/0000-0002-9393-6996,
5 DENA J. CLINK¹ orcid.org/0000-0003-0363-5581, LARISSA SAYURI MOREIRA SUGAI¹ orcid.org/0000-0002-6248-291X, RAHAYU OKTAVIANI³ orcid.org/0000-0002-8272-5245, JASMINE A. SAVITRI³
6 orcid.org/0009-0008-9850-3170, LAUREL B. SYMES¹ orcid.org/0000-0001-6650-3813 and BEN
7 GOTTESMAN¹ orcid.org/0000-0002-8187-1398

9

10 Wendy M. Erb

11 Dasi Ong

12 Dena J. Clink

13 Rahayu Oktaviani

14 Jasmine A Savitri

15 Laurel B. Symes

16 Ben Gottesman

17 Larissa

18

19 *Corresponding author, erbivorous@gmail.com

20 ¹ K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell

21 University, Ithaca, NY, USA

22 ² Analytical Biochemistry Research Centre (ABrC), Universiti Sains Malaysia, Bayan Lepas,
23 Penang, Malaysia.

24

25 ³ Yayasan Konservasi Ekosistem Alam Nusantara (KIARA), Bogor, Indonesia

26

27 **Abstract** Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) of terrestrial habitats has grown exponentially over
28 the last three decades, given recent technological advances and the utility of this approach in
29 providing information on acoustically active animals, their habitats, and human activities across
30 large spatial and temporal scales. Yet, just 1% of PAM studies were conducted in Southeast Asia,
31 despite the region's global biodiversity importance. Both equipment availability and technical
32 expertise are major constraints limiting the development of PAM in the region. To address this gap,
33 we developed a capacity-sharing programme to support emerging practitioners in the use of PAM in

34 Indonesia and Malaysia through equipment, training, mentoring, and networking. This paper
35 describes the programme's design, development, implementation, and evaluation, and provides a
36 detailed roadmap of our approach as well as a repository of training and assessment materials we
37 developed across two cohorts of this year-long, in-depth programme. Utilizing quantitative and
38 qualitative approaches, we assess the programme's strengths and weaknesses as well as its impacts
39 on the knowledge, attitudes, and practices of its participants. Here, we provide an evidence base to
40 demonstrate the positive impact of pairing technology with extended engagement through training,
41 mentoring, and networking activities. We also provide practical resources for scientists and
42 practitioners across the globe to develop successful capacity-sharing programmes in emerging
43 conservation technology fields.

44

45 **Keywords** Capacity Building, Capacity Development, Collaboration, Conservation Technology,
46 Equity, Knowledge Transfer, Programme Evaluation, Training Impact

47 [The supplementary material for this article is available at <https://doi.org/xxxx>]

48 **Introduction**

49 In the last 50 years, scientists have documented a catastrophic 73% decline in the average
50 size of monitored wildlife populations, with the most significant drops in Latin America and the
51 Caribbean (95%), Africa (76%), and Asia and the Pacific (60%), reflecting widespread but uneven
52 impacts across regions and taxa (WWF, 2024). In light of the post-2020 Global Biodiversity
53 Framework and the Sustainable Development Goals, it is clear that the global community needs to
54 substantially improve our capacity to monitor wildlife and mitigate threats to biodiversity (Lahoz-
55 Monfort et al., 2019; Convention on Biological Diversity, 2020). Recent advances in conservation
56 technology have revolutionised scientists' ability to collect and analyse data at spatial and temporal
57 scales needed to achieve conservation goals (Gottschalk et al., 2005; Steenweg et al., 2017; Sugai et
58 al., 2019; Lahoz-Monfort et al., 2019; Tuia et al., 2022). However, most applications capable of
59 addressing such scales are concentrated in the Global North (Darras et al., 2025). This pattern raises
60 concerns about the potential for access to technologies and artificial intelligence to exacerbate
61 scientific inequalities in the Global South (Murray et al., 2025).

62 The need for improved technical capacities emerges as a cornerstone of effective
63 conservation strategies (Snaddon et al., 2013; Hahn et al., 2022). In a global analysis of protected
64 areas, increased capacity – measured as adequate resources for a sufficient number of trained staff
65 for effective management – was positively correlated with increases in animal abundance
66 (Geldmann et al., 2018). While there is consensus that an increased emphasis on strengthening
67 conservation technology capacity is essential to achieve a sustainable future (IPBES, 2024),
68 addressing the existing gaps requires a robust approach that enhances the abilities of individuals,
69 organisations, and communities to access, use, and share conservation technologies (Osei et al.,
70 2024). Crucially, effective capacity building requires a systems-based approach that considers the
71 broader context in which the programme operates (Porzecanski et al., 2022).

72 The uptake and use of emerging technologies have not been uniform (Sugai et al., 2019;
73 Mugerwa et al., 2024). From the user perspective, the main barriers to the uptake in conservation
74 technologies are upfront costs, necessary technical skills, and time to learn new technologies
75 (Speaker et al., 2022). Further, end users from developing [sic] countries are more likely to report
76 financial constraints when compared to developed [sic] ones, and female respondents more likely to
77 be constrained by technical skills than males. Altogether, evidence suggests that the high cost of
78 technologies along with a lack of expertise to process big datasets are major hurdles for
79 conservation practitioners and academic researchers to implement innovative technical solutions for
80 conservation problems (Hahn et al., 2022).

81 While the use of camera traps to monitor wildlife in protected areas has become ubiquitous
82 across the globe (Chen et al., 2022), passive acoustic monitoring has been more inconsistently
83 implemented, despite the strong potential of the technology and recent advances in efficiency and
84 affordability (Kahl et al., 2021; Stowell, 2022). Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) relies on
85 autonomous recording units to monitor acoustically active species, their habitats, and their threats,
86 providing information on temporal patterns of occurrence across national and regional scales
87 (Swider et al., 2022; Sethi et al., 2024; Wood et al., 2024). While availability and accessibility have
88 improved greatly in recent years, the use of PAM still requires field-specific expertise and training,
89 and significant resources to acquire the equipment and analyse and store the data, which is often
90 lacking in most parts of the globe, creating major obstacles for local implementation. For instance, a
91 review of seven decades of bioacoustics research in Africa found that the majority of authors (74%)
92 were non-African affiliates (Becker et al., 2022). Similarly, a global review of terrestrial PAM
93 research between 1992 and 2018 showed that only 25% of 460 studies were conducted in the tropics
94 – and just 5 in Southeast Asia – despite the high potential for PAM to address critical conservation
95 needs in these high-biodiversity areas (Sugai et al., 2019).

96 Capacity-building efforts in PAM, and bioacoustics more broadly, have taken a variety of
97 approaches. Some provide intensive engagement, such as the week-long Sound Analysis Workshop
98 in the K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics (formerly Bioacoustics Research
99 Program; hereafter, Yang Center) which, from 2007-2020, provided in-person training for 463
100 participants travelling from 43 countries. More recent efforts have included skill-building webinars
101 (e.g., Bioacoustics & AI 101, 2024), and equipment grant programmes (e.g., Wildlife Acoustics,
102 2025). Online communities such as WILDLABS (WILDLABS, 2025), the Bioacoustics Stack
103 Exchange (Bioacoustics Stack Exchange, 2025), and the African Bioacoustics Community (African
104 Bioacoustics Community, 2025) have created digital spaces where practitioners can interact, share
105 knowledge, and develop resources. Yet, to our knowledge, there have been few multi-year,
106 sustained initiatives focused on PAM. As a notable exception, the Elephant Listening Project at the
107 Yang Center has led a mentorship program in the Republic of the Congo since 2022, which has
108 helped local researchers to conduct their own PAM projects and lead regional training workshops.

109 Although most United Nations agencies have adopted the term “capacity development”
110 (Convention on Biological Diversity, 2020), this term does not clearly differentiate one-way
111 knowledge transfer from two-way exchanges of expertise within learning initiatives. Here, we adopt
112 the term “capacity sharing”, defined as the active collaboration between partners towards shared
113 learning and research objectives (Lezak, 2024). Our approach to capacity sharing acknowledges that
114 historical and contemporary forces have shaped global disparity in resource access and

115 environmental vulnerability, and emphasises the need for active exchange between practitioners
116 who hold a specific skill set and the communities with whom they work (Lezak, 2024). Capacity
117 sharing recognises that all participants hold their own wealth of knowledge and expertise and works
118 to shift power to local actors (Menashy & Zakharia, 2022).

119 Here, we describe the process for planning, implementing, and adapting a capacity-sharing
120 programme designed to advance the regional use of PAM for conservation in Indonesia and
121 Malaysia. The programme focused on addressing the gaps in access to innovative bioacoustics
122 technology and training while promoting and supporting a network of local practitioners. This
123 manuscript presents a thorough consideration of four main sections of the program: 1) an overview
124 of the planning and implementation processes; 2) evaluation and indicators of teams' performances;
125 3) critical assessment of lessons learned; and 4) future directions. As a collaborative effort from a
126 cross-section of coordinators and participants, we hope that this paper serves not only as an
127 inspiration to, but also as a useful resource for, scientists and practitioners interested in international
128 capacity sharing in emerging conservation technology fields.

129

130 **Bioacoustics Equipment and Training Program: Objectives and Overview**

131 The Bioacoustics Equipment and Training Program (BEAT) was designed to provide
132 equipment, training, mentorship, and networking support to teams from Indonesia and Malaysia
133 interested in implementing bioacoustics in conservation-oriented research projects (Fig. 1). Broadly,
134 this programme had three main objectives: 1) guide participants through the development of core
135 bioacoustics skills through training sessions that span the full pipeline of a PAM project; 2)
136 collaboratively plan and implement a pilot project by providing recording equipment and sustained
137 mentorship to achieve their project goals; and 3) foster a regional network of practitioners through
138 virtual sessions and in-person symposia, creating opportunities for knowledge exchange and
139 collaboration. Importantly, BEAT organisers did not define success for teams, but rather teams
140 themselves defined their goals and chose their metrics of success.

141

142 **Methods**

143 **Adaptive implementation of BEAT**

144 Building on WME's and DJC's long-term and strong research networks in Indonesia and
145 Malaysia, we readily identified in-country collaborators who had a strong interest in advancing
146 bioacoustics research (see Acknowledgements). With these collaborators, we collectively drafted
147 the request for proposals. The request for proposals for the first cohort (Jun 2022 - May 2023) was

148 announced in February 2022 during a webinar that featured researchers conducting bioacoustics
149 research in Indonesia and Malaysia. For both the first and second cohorts (Nov 2023 - Nov 2024),
150 the request for proposals was shared on social media (institutional and personal accounts for
151 organizers, partners, and alumni) and via WhatsApp groups.

152 Recognising the strong benefits of engaging in mutual learning and sharing between mentors
153 and mentees (Santy et al., 2022) and hoping to encourage cross-sectoral collaboration (e.g., across
154 academic, non-governmental, and governmental groups), the programme explicitly emphasised a
155 team-based approach. We aimed to support projects that were feasible in the one-year time frame,
156 and that demonstrated strong relevance to species and/or habitat conservation. Each team received
157 four SwiftOne recorders, two hard drives, eight SD cards, licenses for Raven Pro 1.6 (K. Lisa Yang
158 Center for Conservation Bioacoustics at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 2025), as well as a
159 sufficient stock of D-cell batteries.

160 Teams were selected following a proposal review process led by a selection committee
161 including local collaborators and Yang Center members using an evaluation rubric that considered
162 the feasibility of the project, conservation goals, the likelihood of bioacoustics to be adopted by
163 their organizations, and the representation of underrepresented groups. Proposals were anonymized,
164 scored, and ranked according to the rubric, and the ranking was discussed for consensus regarding
165 the final selection. In Cohort 1, we received 16 proposals and funded eight teams and in Cohort 2,
166 we received 21 and funded nine (Supplementary Table 1).

167 Throughout the programme year, monthly virtual trainings of 1.5 to 3 hours were scheduled
168 for the mornings or evenings in Indonesia and Malaysia (evenings or mornings New York time).
169 The training sessions, taught primarily in English, covered a series of topics from equipment
170 introduction, survey design, sound characterization, bioacoustic analyses and machine learning
171 tools. We set up a web-based learning management system in Canvas to host training materials
172 where we could also share announcements, centralize administration (e.g., syllabus, schedules, and
173 Zoom links), and encourage discussion and engagement across teams.

174 To ensure that teams had broader support for conducting their projects and implementing the
175 content learned during the training sessions, teams were matched with researchers from the Yang
176 Center, based on shared research interests and expertise. Teams met with mentors monthly on Zoom
177 to discuss their projects. The first mentorship meeting followed a structured template for mentors to
178 ensure that teams were able to operate all of the necessary tools, understood the logistics of the
179 programme, and that both mentors and mentees had a shared understanding of their commitments to
180 and expectations of each other. In the second meeting, mentors and mentees reviewed the team's
181 proposal to refine the research questions, prepare protocols, and outline appropriate timelines and

182 outputs. Subsequent meetings were loosely structured; BEAT organisers provided a checklist for
183 teams to complete and teams set the agenda based on where they most needed guidance and
184 support. To emphasise peer-to-peer learning in mentoring meetings, we invited members of Cohort
185 1 to join as mentors to the newly selected Cohort 2 teams, with each team having three mentors:
186 two from the Yang Center and one from their region.

187 For Cohort 2, we introduced a one-hour session to help participants revisit tools and
188 techniques from the previous training session. These sessions were crucial for making the methods
189 translatable to participants' individual projects. While they occasionally included structured
190 presentations, they were more often open sessions used to demo software, troubleshoot challenges,
191 and work through questions as participants applied new skills to their work. We integrated fun
192 challenges using Kahoot! (Kahoot!, 2025) as an engaging way to begin our training sessions and
193 reinforce important concepts.

194 To align communication practices with regional norms, we created a WhatsApp group for
195 each cohort as well as one for each team-mentor pair, where participants could more quickly share
196 updates and ask questions. Networking formed a central component of BEAT. Drawing inspiration
197 from the African Bioacoustics Community conference, we planned to organise an in-person
198 conference at the end of the programme. In November 2023, this vision materialised with the
199 inaugural Symposium for Indonesia–Malaysia BioAcoustics (SIMBA, Yogyakarta, Indonesia), co-
200 organised by the Yang Center and partners at Universitas Gadjah Mada and Universiti Malaysia
201 Terengganu (see acknowledgements). The symposium convened 105 participants from 44
202 organisations, including universities, research agencies, NGOs, and government bodies. The
203 majority of attendees were members of BEAT Cohorts 1 and 2. For Cohort 1, the symposium was a
204 capstone event, where representatives from all 8 teams delivered 13 presentations about their
205 bioacoustics research. For Cohort 2, it marked the beginning of their training program and provided
206 an opportunity to build connections with other teams.

207 Additional opportunities for engagement and networking emerged when monthly office
208 hours morphed into a social hour (*jam sosial*) where participants could informally share their
209 experiences and challenges using their native languages. In late 2023, WME, DJC, and BG began
210 organizing a special issue focused on PAM in Indonesia and Malaysia (in which this paper appears).
211 We invited members of Cohort 1 to contribute original research articles, participate in collaborative
212 papers on particular themes, or serve as editors.

213

214 **Programme evaluation framework**

215 We designed and implemented a diverse series of assessment and evaluation tools across
216 two cohorts. At the start of the program, we used quizzes to evaluate and compare participants'
217 knowledge of the material before and after training sessions. The quizzes tended to start the sessions
218 on a low note, and were quickly discontinued. We moved to a more interactive format to encourage
219 peer-to-peer exchange and more naturally surface areas of confusion among participants. We
220 opened breakout rooms and created digital whiteboards (Jamboards) with discussion prompts
221 asking participants to share something they learned and something they were still unclear about,
222 encouraging interaction across teams and creating a primary place for feedback and conversation,
223 which provided instructors with a deeper understanding of how participants were engaging with the
224 material and feeling about their experiences in the programme. During Cohort 1, we collected 10
225 Jamboards during breakout room discussions on Zoom, six of which are summarized in Table 2.

226 To assess the three primary objectives of BEAT to achieve 1) growth in participants'
227 knowledge and confidence across the full PAM pipeline; 2) progress toward project goals; and 3)
228 strength of community connection), we conducted anonymous pre- and post-programme surveys
229 administered in Qualtrics. The surveys also collected demographic information from respondents
230 (e.g., age, education level, prior bioacoustics experience) as well as a mix of open-ended, Likert
231 scale (1-5), and multiple-choice questions (Supplementary Material 1). The pre-programme
232 anonymous survey did not use a unique respondent identifier, so we could not track changes at the
233 individual level. The survey was administered to participants around the first training session in
234 July 2022 and included 18 questions related to confidence in different aspects of PAM, challenges
235 and opportunities in aligning PAM with their personal and organisational goals, and aspirations to
236 become a PAM mentor within their community. The post-programme anonymous survey was
237 administered immediately following SIMBA in November 2023 and included 28 questions,
238 including questions repeated from the pre-survey to allow for pre-post comparisons. An additional
239 self-assessment was applied to assess participants' improvement in areas such as contributing to a
240 PAM project, developing conservation strategies, increasing their professional network, seeking
241 guidance on PAM issues, mentoring others, and conducting research.

242 In October 2024, we gathered information about the continuation of Cohort 1's involvement
243 in bioacoustics-related projects, outreach activities, presentations, and mentorship efforts since the
244 start of their experience in BEAT. DO and BG prepared a structured Google Form comprising 13
245 questions to survey teams from Cohort 1 (Supplementary Material 1), which were distributed to
246 participants from Cohort 1 by email and WhatsApp.

247 We also gathered richer qualitative information about the participants' experiences in
248 September 2024, with co-authors RO and JAS who were participants in the first cohort of the
249 BEAT program, conducting interviews in Bahasa Indonesia with 14 participants from both cohorts
250 (Table 1). We endeavoured to collect at least one interview per team, focusing on team members
251 who were most active in the program across a range of activities (attended training and mentoring
252 meetings, SIMBA, etc.). The interviews were conducted online via Zoom, lasting between 30 to 45
253 minutes. We focused on participants' experiences during BEAT, covering topics such as motivation,
254 challenges, and key takeaways. Prior to the interview, participants were contacted via WhatsApp or
255 email to obtain their approval, and a consent form was sent for their review. Each session was
256 recorded in both video and audio formats, with Zoom's transcription feature used to generate a
257 transcript. The interview guide is provided in Supplementary Material 1. These interviews were
258 transcribed by the interviewers and the anonymised transcripts were shared with WME to help with
259 coding and analysis. We identified key quotes and coded interviews by nine categories
260 (motivations, hopes, challenges, highlights, future plans, biggest needs, key applications, key
261 parties, and suggestions for the future of BEAT).

262

263 **Results**

264 **Pre- and post-programme surveys**

265 At the start of the BEAT program, most participants in Cohort 1 (14 of 18) reported no prior
266 experience with PAM. However, they expressed strong confidence in their general scientific
267 research skills, with 94% indicating confidence or high confidence in 'interpreting scientific
268 manuscripts' and 72% in 'designing a study' (Fig. 2). In contrast, 33% reported neutral or low
269 confidence in 'interpreting manuscripts in English' and 'understanding spoken English'.

270 We expected that improvements in PAM skills, networking, and mentoring would be
271 reflected in shifts from low and neutral responses to higher ones between the pre- and post-surveys.
272 This pattern was observed across most items. For core PAM technical skills—such as field
273 deployment, data analysis, and general problem-solving—65% of participants reported feeling
274 confident or very confident by the end of the program. Overall, lower scores declined while higher
275 scores increased across nearly all PAM-related questions (Fig. 3). Post-survey self-assessments also
276 showed strong gains: most participants indicated that the program substantially improved their
277 'ability to contribute to a PAM project', 'inform conservation strategies', and 'seek guidance on
278 PAM-related issues' (Fig. 2-3). 'Motivation to use PAM' remained high throughout, with over 80%
279 of responses selecting the highest rating at both time points (Fig. 3).

280 Confidence gains were especially strong in questions related to the PAM community and
281 professional networks. The largest increases were seen in participants' sense of access to a PAM
282 support network, awareness of PAM applications in conservation, and feeling part of a PAM
283 community—each of which had over 75% of respondents selecting the highest confidence rating by
284 the end of the program (Fig. 3). A similar pattern was observed in post-survey questions about
285 professional networks and applying PAM to a range of conservation challenges (Fig. 2).

286 Conversely, confidence in 'writing a scientific paper' and 'communicating results in non-
287 written formats' declined at the "Very confident" level, with approximately one-third of participants
288 selecting this option in the pre-survey and none in the post-survey (Fig. 3). Post-survey responses
289 shifted toward both "Confident" and "Neutral". Similarly, self-assessed confidence in 'conducting
290 research and publishing' also moved modestly toward the middle of the scale (Fig. 2). These topics
291 were not explicitly covered in our program but are considered critical for participants to effectively
292 communicate their findings, and are therefore important topics to address in the future (see section
293 Lessons learned).

294 Participants reported increased preparedness to serve as mentors, with responses shifting
295 toward higher levels of agreement across multiple indicators. Interest in serving as a local mentor
296 was already high and increased further by the end of the program (Fig. 4). In self-assessments, no
297 participants agreed that they had 'adequate knowledge and skills' to be a mentor at the start, but
298 over one-third did so in the post-survey (Fig. 4). Participants also more strongly agreed that they
299 had the tools and resources to be a mentor, and about the benefits of mentoring for the conservation
300 community (Fig. 4). Additionally, questions about how PAM could benefit their peer community,
301 support conservation in local communities, and be valued by organizations remained consistently
302 high before and after the programme (Fig. 4).

303 Finally, post-survey questions related to the symposium illustrated its positive impact: 75%
304 of respondents selected the highest rating for 'improving connections to the regional bioacoustic
305 community' and increased 'knowledge of PAM applications'. Additionally, 87.5% indicated a high
306 likelihood of using PAM in future work, and 100% reported a strong likelihood of collaborating
307 with other symposium participants (Fig. 4).

308

309 **Survey of outputs from BEAT participants**

310 Six of eight teams responded to the follow-up survey of Cohort 1, and their responses
311 revealed strong engagement in presentations, publications, training, and community outreach
312 (Supplementary Material 1). The teams delivered 13 presentations about their BEAT projects at

313 events and conferences, including SIMBA, the Brazilian Primatological Society Congress, and
314 Cornell's Migration Celebration. They also led 7 bioacoustics workshops and other training
315 activities, engaging more than 70 participants including university students and staff from local
316 NGOs and national parks. At the time of the survey, one article had been published on research
317 conducted during BEAT (Ong & Shahrudin, 2022), another was in revision and has since been
318 published (Loo et al., 2025), while an additional 13 articles were in preparation, including several
319 submissions for this special issue. The participants also led their own mentorship efforts, guiding 15
320 individuals from outside the program. Across 22 outreach activities, they engaged approximately
321 325 participants from high school students to local community members, through diverse activities,
322 including a mindfulness session with a soundtrack of nature and gibbon songs.

323

324 **Semi-structured interviews**

325 *Motivations and hopes for joining BEAT*

326 Interview respondents represented a cross-section of participants across both cohorts, career
327 levels, sectors, and nationalities (Table 1). A summary of their coded responses about their
328 motivations, experiences, and future goals is reported in Table 3. The majority of respondents
329 (86%) indicated their motivation to join BEAT to learn about bioacoustics as a new field,
330 emphasising their excitement about mastering a new technology. Respondents told us that,

331 *“Bioacoustics is a new field in Indonesia, making participation in the BEAT programme an*
332 *exciting opportunity to learn about innovative, non-invasive methods for animal research.*
333 *Another expectation is that this advanced technology allows observers to collect data by*
334 *deploying the equipment in the field, where it can automatically gather data without*
335 *constant human presence.”*

336 *“Bioacoustics is relatively new, especially in Indonesia, and it is closely related to our*
337 *team's previous research fields, which focus on ecology, wildlife behaviour, and the use of*
338 *bioacoustic approaches. It is actually one of the breakthroughs that strengthened our*
339 *research. So, one of our goals in participating here is to enhance the research we have*
340 *conducted before, using cutting-edge technology.”*

341 All respondents identified research goals involving the identification of particular sounds to
342 confirm the presence of specific species and/or compile a list of the species at their site(s). Of the
343 respondents who had completed the program, half noted that their goals were fully realised in the

344 program, whereas a third noted only partial fulfilment (one emphasising ongoing analyses). One
345 respondent noted that their original goal was not met but that new opportunities emerged,

346 *“One of the ultimate goals was to identify each individual's voice. That has not been*
347 *achieved because...we haven't had the chance to learn that yet... However, new*
348 *opportunities emerged, such as passing on the knowledge by training students and sharing*
349 *data for their research. This means more Indonesian students get exposed to and learn this*
350 *new technology.”*

351 Experiences in the program

352 We asked respondents to share any challenges they had experienced during the programme
353 and in the execution of their projects. Most (64%) noted that they struggled to find the time for the
354 training sessions, three highlighting the challenges due to time differences between the U.S. and
355 Southeast Asia, and two others mentioning the long duration of each session. Other major barriers
356 included language barriers (43%) and internet bandwidth for joining sessions on Zoom (36%). Both
357 fieldwork and data analysis challenges were experienced by 57% of participants in the execution of
358 their projects. The following quotes highlight a range of participant experiences,

359 *“The lesson I learned from BEAT training is the need to commit to the time requirements*
360 *right from the start. I realised that, even with other work priorities, I needed to make*
361 *attending the BEAT training a priority on my schedule.”*

362 *“When it comes to analysing data, learning scripts, and using the software, it's definitely a*
363 *bit challenging for us to understand within a short time period. It's also not easy to fully*
364 *grasp the knowledge because of language barriers.”*

365 *“My team consists of fieldworkers and one advisor. The field team focuses solely on*
366 *technical tasks, while the advisor only receives reports. As a result, I lack resources for*
367 *knowledge-sharing and conducting data analysis”*

368 We also asked them to share the most important thing they learned, achieved, or developed
369 during BEAT. The majority (86%) pointed to the knowledge of bioacoustics and/or the importance
370 of PAM as a valuable tool. Half mentioned the opportunity to share knowledge, while 36% noted
371 the network of practitioners across the two countries, and 29% pointed out the large quantity of data
372 accumulated during their projects as highlights that can be used for more research and practice
373 beyond the program. One respondent highlighted the potential of using their sound recordings to
374 connect to the arts,

375 *“I can apply the bioacoustic results as a tool to bridge science to the public by*
376 *collaborating with artists through sounds to develop creative materials like song.”*

377 During the interviews, several respondents reflected spontaneously on aspects of the
378 programme that they had found particularly useful or supportive. They shared insights into the
379 materials and approaches they experienced in the program, including:

380 *“The learning environment built in the BEAT Program is supportive, which makes us*
381 *comfortable to ask, not only during training but also in mentoring sessions.”*

382 *“The module guides are easy to read and quite helpful. You can learn the guide first before*
383 *training/mentoring session”*

384 *“This programme has classes and regular practice to learn new technology. The trainer*
385 *really guided me through the topic. Not all funding/grants follow up with capacity sharing.”*

386 Future aspirations with bioacoustics

387 We asked respondents about their interest in using bioacoustics in future research and what
388 would help them succeed. All respondents told us they continue using bioacoustics for a range of
389 activities, including expanding to more species and sites (57%), training students and/or additional
390 team members (50%), using BirdNET to create detectors for sounds of interest (29%), as well as
391 conducting outreach and developing sound libraries (14% each). To succeed, they told us they
392 would most benefit from a forum where they could exchange ideas and knowledge about
393 bioacoustics (34%), a well-trained team (29%), collaboration and support for publishing their
394 research (25%), more training for analysis (8%), as well as more research equipment (4%). One
395 respondent highlighted this interest and need for a strong collaborative network going forward,

396 *“The question is, after we achieve our goals, what's next? Bioacoustics has opened our eyes*
397 *to the fact that it's more than just the data we initially aim to collect. There's a wealth of*
398 *additional data gathered simultaneously, which requires further analysis. That's why*
399 *creating a platform for collaboration between bioacousticians is essential to move*
400 *forward”.*

401 We additionally asked respondents to describe what is most needed to advance conservation
402 bioacoustics in their region or community. They told us that training (30%), collaboration (24%), a
403 discussion forum (20%), and funding opportunities (13%) would most help. Better technology and
404 rechargeable batteries for recorders (7%), as well as improvements in permits and policy (6%)
405 would also be helpful. The people we interviewed identified several key applications of

406 bioacoustics for conservation, including species recognition and/or species richness assessment
407 (56%), threat detection (17%), population density estimation (11%), machine learning (i.e.,
408 automated detector development) (11%), and breeding behaviour (5%). They identified key parties
409 who could make this information actionable, including local governments (27%), universities and
410 research centres (21%), ministries (17%), national parks (14%), local communities (14%), and the
411 private sector (7%). One respondent explained how bioacoustics could be used to support protected
412 area management,

413 *“Our project was located in the national park, and the outcomes we produced should*
414 *ideally translate into management recommendations for species and their habitats within*
415 *the area, as that aligns with the national park's main responsibilities. However, for areas*
416 *outside conservation zones, we could involve a broader range of stakeholders to address the*
417 *challenges and implement solutions collaboratively.”*

418 Shaping the future of BEAT

419 At the end of each interview, we asked respondents to share ideas for future training and
420 mentoring programmes to support conservation bioacousticians in the region. Notably, all
421 interviewees told us they were interested in being involved in BEAT going forward. Their
422 recommendations included continuing the annual year-long training programme (24%), peer
423 training to minimise the language barrier (24%), more intense mentorship (17%), advanced training
424 in machine learning (14%), the development of a discussion forum (14%), and communication
425 and/or publication (7%). Two respondents told us,

426 *“It would be good if the next BEAT program offered training with different levels. For*
427 *instance, there could be a more advanced track for those who want to delve deeper into*
428 *bioacoustics and a lighter, more introductory track for beginners.”*

429 *“If possible, in addition to training in communication, there is collaboration for*
430 *publications, particularly by involving mentors. This would certainly enhance the outcomes*
431 *of the analysis from the BEAT project we are working on.”*

432

433 **Discussion**

434 Here, we described the development and implementation of BEAT, a year-long capacity-
435 sharing programme focused on facilitating the application of PAM by practitioners in Indonesia and
436 Malaysia. Our analysis generated multiple insights into the effectiveness of the programme and the
437 way forward to pursue equipment, training, mentorship, and networking goals to promote a regional

438 community of conservation bioacoustics practitioners. The assessment of the programme based on
439 anonymous surveys indicated strong improvements in participants' self-assessment of their ability
440 to design and conduct a PAM study and to access the tools and resources needed to mentor others.
441 They also increased their access to a PAM support network and their awareness of the use of PAM
442 in conservation use as well as its potential benefits to their community. Interviews highlighted the
443 usefulness of training tools, supportive networks, and mentorship. Allowing teams to set their own
444 goals kept motivation high and enabled flexible project adaptation, leading to high satisfaction even
445 when some goals were not fully realized.

446 This collaborative model highlights our capacity-sharing approach that sought to advance
447 mutual learning, adaptive problem-solving, and shared growth across participants, organizers, and
448 mentors. Additionally, BEAT participants' field-based experiences and knowledge advanced and
449 improved tools and approaches for teaching and implementing PAM for a wider community –
450 making BEAT a test kitchen for the development and refinement of hardware and software at the
451 Yang Center. For instance, the development of a graphic user interface of BirdNET Analyzer (Kahl
452 et al., 2021) was fast-tracked to help BEAT teams develop custom detectors. Hardware challenges
453 reported by participants – such as water intrusion and damage – led to experimentation and
454 refinements to the SwiftOne recorders as well as guides for repairing and replacing damaged parts.
455 Deep engagement with this user-group also inspired upgrades to several applications that enabled
456 support of Malaysian and Indonesian languages (e.g., Swift Configuration Utility). BEAT
457 instructors and mentors benefited from participants' hands-on knowledge and insights into target
458 species and ecosystems, cultivating deeper understanding of the region's conservation challenges
459 and enhanced sensitivity to locally-tailored solutions. Although partners, organizers, and mentors
460 were not included in the initial evaluation design, our experiences highlight the importance of
461 including assessments of these multi-directional benefits for future initiatives.

462 Collectively, our experiences and assessments point to some emergent successes. BEAT
463 recruited motivated participants from mixed career stages and sectors within and among teams,
464 facilitated networking and support. Despite BEAT offering limited financial resources (e.g., few
465 recorders per team, and staff time or fieldwork costs were not provided), the level of engagement
466 and retention rate have been very high, and many teams cultivated opportunities to transfer
467 knowledge beyond BEAT through cross-cohort engagement. Cross-cohort engagement thrived,
468 with alumni mentoring new teams and developing and presenting training materials. The special
469 issue in which this article appears, "Shaping the future of PAM in Malaysia and Indonesia" is also a
470 visible demonstration of BEAT's success. A collaborative capacity-sharing activity itself – with

471 editors and authors spanning regions, sectors, disciplines, and career levels – the issue celebrates the
472 commitments, experiences, and discoveries of this programme.

473

474 **Challenges and areas for improvement**

475 BEAT was designed and delivered by a team of academics who collectively held years of
476 expertise in conducting and teaching bioacoustics research. At the same time, BEAT challenged
477 many of academia’s existing conventions and we learned – while doing – that the time required to
478 develop, plan, and execute such a programme appropriately and effectively was much higher than
479 we had anticipated. When BEAT was launched and the first assessments were made, we quickly
480 learned that the measurement of success would require a thoughtful and comprehensive strategy,
481 both for the participants’ projects and the programme as a whole. As a result, many of our
482 assessments were designed and adapted while the programme was underway.

483 Some areas for future attention became clearer based on our surveys. While confidence to
484 analyse PAM data was higher compared to the beginning of the program, many haven't reached the
485 highest levels of confidence. Participants’ engagement was also limited by technical barriers related
486 to hardware (e.g., computers that could not effectively run software or process large datasets), poor
487 internet connection that constrained participation on Zoom, and differences in time zones and
488 language – as well as competing demands from full-time jobs. Survey responses also showed that
489 BEAT did not improve participants’ confidence for writing and other forms of communication
490 about their findings.

491 Implementing the programme was also not without its challenges. For each cohort, the cost
492 was approximately \$25-30,000 USD, including equipment and symposium travel. The more
493 substantial cost was the investment of Cornell staff time, with 2-4 people spending 2-4 months of
494 effort across the course of the year (developing request for proposals, selecting teams, creating and
495 delivering training materials, planning and attending symposia, etc.). An additional 16 mentors (8
496 Yang Center postdocs and staff as well as 8 regional mentors from Cohort 1 and beyond) invested
497 20-80 hours/year in mentorship meetings and office hours. The programme also received substantial
498 administrative support, including for purchasing and shipping equipment and developing legal
499 documents. While some of these activities became more streamlined with time, these investments
500 represent essential and substantial components.

501 Language and cultural differences are baseline challenges often difficult to detect from a
502 heterogeneous group, with different levels of comfort with the language. The pre-programme
503 survey indicated that 33% of the participants had low confidence in understanding spoken English.

504 The use of translated closed captions (Zoom feature) and bilingual slides were later programme
505 additions to mitigate language barriers. Further, constructive feedback collected during
506 unmoderated breakout rooms or ‘social hours’ provided invaluable guidance on which concepts or
507 skills needed reinforcement and strategic adjustments.

508 **Lessons learned and the future of BEAT**

509 In a collaborative reflection, all authors identified the most important lessons we learned
510 through our engagement in and assessment of BEAT. Together, we recognized that addressing gaps
511 in PAM – and conservation technology uptake broadly – requires a sustained, collaborative, and
512 holistic capacity-sharing approach from a deeply dedicated team. Careful planning, strong
513 communication, patience, and flexibility are critical ingredients for successful collaboration across
514 all aspects of the programme; this is especially important when working across career stages,
515 sectors, nationalities, and languages. We believe that BEAT’s blend of structured study, peer
516 learning, and sustained mentorship were critical to participants’ growth and retention. Mentors’
517 flexibility as well as their cultural and language competency were key to participants feeling
518 comfortable to take risks, ask questions, and embrace leadership roles. Also central to BEAT’s
519 successes were our solid and committed local partners, who provided critical guidance and counsel,
520 and hosted key in-person events. The long-term commitments among all partners, coordinators, and
521 participants are absolutely essential to continued growth and success of the programme and PAM
522 across the region.

523 We initially conceived BEAT as a one-year programme during which participants would
524 develop all of the skills needed for the full PAM pipeline. As the programme unfolded, we
525 recognized the importance of sustained – even multi-year – engagement with these cohorts for
526 ongoing support and training in developing and advanced topics (e.g., training custom models using
527 machine learning). We also saw the huge potential for this community, which inspired efforts for
528 more direct collaboration with folks in the region to develop programmes and materials. For
529 instance, the Katharine B. Payne Fellows Program in Conservation Bioacoustics (Cornell
530 Chronicle, 2022) was established in 2022 to support scientists to spend six months at the Yang
531 Center to learn to teach and mentor others. The inaugural cohort included two fellows from
532 Indonesia: one BEAT alumnus and another who was introduced to bioacoustics during a training
533 workshop organized by another BEAT alumna.

534 Looking ahead, together with BEAT alumni and key partners, we are undertaking a co-
535 creation approach to the planning, development, and evaluation of the third BEAT cohort. Together,
536 we will define metrics of success for both instructors and participants and design aligned

537 assessment tools. With this next iteration, we hope to address many of the challenges and barriers,
538 and to expand opportunities for building the skills and networks that are needed for long-term
539 success. As leadership transitions to regional partners in the Global South, maintaining feedback
540 loops will be essential to ensure technological development is appropriately situated in the physical,
541 cultural, political, and economic contexts of this user base.

542

543 **Conclusion**

544 We have summarized the foundations, insights and lessons learned with the Bioacoustics
545 Equipment and Training programme for Indonesia and Malaysia. To achieve our goals, we created a
546 programme that i) provided training through virtual sessions that combined lectures and activities,
547 ii) equipped teams with recording units to gather acoustic data while providing personalized
548 mentoring to ensure teams could successfully design and execute their individual projects, and iii)
549 cultivated virtual spaces for teams to interact and collaborate and established the first regional
550 bioacoustics symposium that fostered networking across cohorts.

551 Collaboratively designed by academic partners at three universities in the United States,
552 Malaysia, and Indonesia, BEAT's flexible and iterative approach sought to center participants'
553 experiences and goals. Whereas feedback collected throughout the programme helped guide and
554 refine the format, content, and structure, pre- and post-programme surveys allowed us to assess
555 changes in participants' knowledge, skills, attitudes, and PAM-related opportunities. Semi-
556 structured interviews with a cross-section of participants drew out deeper insights into the strengths,
557 weaknesses, and future needs of this programme and the region's emerging networks.

558

559 **Author contributions** A brief statement that specifies the contributions of each author (specified
560 by their initials), in the following form: BEAT conceptualisation: WME, DJC, LBS; BEAT funding
561 acquisition: LBS, WME, DJC, BG, LSMS; BEAT project administration: BG, LBS, LSMS, WME,
562 DJC; study conceptualization and design: WME, DJC, BG, DO, RO, JAS; data collection: AO,
563 JAS, DO, BG, WME; data analysis: WME, RO, BG, DO, JAS, LSMS; writing and editing: WME,
564 DJC, BG, DO, RO, LBS, LSMS, JAS.

565

566 **Acknowledgements** We acknowledge the 2023-2023 and 2023-2025 Bioacoustics Equipment &
567 Training Program for Indonesia and Malaysia from K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation
568 Bioacoustics, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, in partnership with Universitas
569 Gadjah Mada, Universiti Sains Malaysia, and Universiti Malaysia Terengganu. This program is

570 made possible by generous support from the Goldie and David Blanksteen Foundation and Bruce
571 Kimel and Kimberly Ripley as well as an anonymous donor. The program would not have been
572 possible without the support of partners including Muhammad Ali Imron, Nadine Ruppert, Aini
573 Hasanah Abd Mutalib, and the broader staff of the Yang Center, with particular appreciation to
574 Janelle Hanson, Deborah Cipolla-Dennis, Ed Moore, Jessica Ryan-Soucy, Patrick Chaopricha,
575 Stefan Kahl and the BirdNET team, Ashakur Rahaman, and the Raven software team, as well as
576 René Heinrich for generous software development support. We are deeply grateful to the mentors in
577 the program including: Saliza Bono, Léa Bouffaut, Kristin Brunk, Ben Buckley, Rebecca Cohen,
578 Mei Yi Lee, Yen Yi Loo, Vijay Ramesh, Riri Retnaningtyas, Irina Tolkova, and Pramana Yuda.

579

580 **Conflicts of interest** ‘None’.

581

582 **Ethical standards** This study was conducted in accordance with ethical guidelines and received
583 approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB 0148971) under requirements set by Cornell
584 University. All participants provided informed consent prior to their involvement in the study, and
585 steps were taken to ensure confidentiality and minimize any potential risks.

586

587 **Data availability** All evidence supporting our findings are available in the Supplemental
588 Materials.

589

590 **References**

591 AFRICAN BIOACOUSTICS COMMUNITY (2025) African Bioacoustics Community. *African*
592 *Bioacoustics*. <https://www.africanbioacoustics.org> [accessed 22 March 2025].

593 BECKER, F.K., SHABANGU, F.W., GRIDLEY, T., WITTMER, H.U. & MARSLAND, S. (2022) Sounding
594 out a continent: seven decades of bioacoustics research in Africa. *Bioacoustics*, 31, 646–
595 667. Taylor & Francis.

596 BIOACOUSTICS & AI 101 (2024) Bioacoustics & AI 101 | Home. <https://aibioacoustics101.com/>
597 [accessed 15 April 2025].

598 BIOACOUSTICS STACK EXCHANGE (2025) Bioacoustics Stack Exchange. *Bioacoustics Stack*
599 *Exchange*. <https://bioacoustics.stackexchange.com/> [accessed 22 March 2025].

600 CHEN, C., BRODIE, J.F., KAYS, R., DAVIES, T.J., LIU, R., FISHER, J.T., ET AL. (2022) Global camera
601 trap synthesis highlights the importance of protected areas in maintaining mammal diversity.
602 *Conservation Letters*, 15, e12865.

603 CONVENTION ON BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY (2020) Long-term strategic framework for capacity
604 development to support implementation of the Post-2020 Global Biodiversity Framework.

- 605 <https://www.cbd.int/doc/c/e660/b9bf/9cb474bfb0e1ed9c4fa03cba/sbi-03-07-add1-en.pdf>.
- 606 CORNELL CHRONICLE (2022) Unique fellowship honors bioacoustics pioneer. *Cornell Chronicle*.
607 <https://news.cornell.edu/stories/2022/11/unique-fellowship-honors-bioacoustics-pioneer>.
- 608 DARRAS, K.F.A., ROUNTREE, R.A., VAN WILGENBURG, S.L., CORD, A.F., PITZ, F., CHEN, Y., ET AL.
609 (2025) Worldwide soundscapes: a synthesis of passive acoustic monitoring across realms.
610 *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 34. Wiley.
- 611 GELDMANN, J., COAD, L., BARNES, M.D., CRAIGIE, I.D., WOODLEY, S., BALMFORD, A., ET AL. (2018)
612 A global analysis of management capacity and ecological outcomes in terrestrial protected
613 areas. *Conservation Letters*, 11, e12434. Wiley Online Library.
- 614 GOTTSCHALK, T., HUETTMANN, F. & EHLERS, M. (2005) Thirty years of analysing and modelling
615 avian habitat relationships using satellite imagery data: a review. *International Journal of*
616 *Remote Sensing*, 26, 2631–2656. Taylor & Francis.
- 617 HAHN, NATHAN R, BOMBACI, S.P. & WITTEMYER, G. (2022) Identifying conservation technology
618 needs, barriers, and opportunities. *Scientific Reports*, 12, 4802. Nature Publishing Group UK
619 London.
- 620 HAHN, NATHAN R., BOMBACI, S.P. & WITTEMYER, G. (2022) Identifying conservation technology
621 needs, barriers, and opportunities. *Scientific Reports*, 12, 4802. Nature Publishing Group.
- 622 IPBES (2024) Summary for Policymakers of the Thematic Assessment Report on the Underlying
623 Causes of Biodiversity Loss and the Determinants of Transformative Change and Options
624 for Achieving the 2050 Vision for Biodiversity of the Intergovernmental Science-Policy
625 Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services. IPBES secretariat, Bonn, Germany.
- 626 K. LISA YANG CENTER FOR CONSERVATION BIOACOUSTICS AT THE CORNELL LAB OF ORNITHOLOGY
627 (2025) Raven Pro: interactive sound analysis software (Version 1.6.5). The Cornell Lab of
628 Ornithology, Ithaca, NY. Available from <https://www.ravensoundsoftware.com/>.
- 629 KAHL, S., WOOD, C.M., EIBL, M. & KLINCK, H. (2021) BirdNET: A deep learning solution for
630 avian diversity monitoring. *Ecological Informatics*, 61, 101236.
- 631 KAHOOT! (2025) Kahoot! | Learning games | Make learning awesome! *Kahoot!*.
632 <https://kahoot.com/> [accessed 15 April 2025].
- 633 LAHOZ-MONFORT, J.J., CHADÈS, I., DAVIES, A., FEGRAUS, E., GAME, E., GUILLERA-ARROITA, G., ET
634 AL. (2019) A call for international leadership and coordination to realize the potential of
635 conservation technology. *BioScience*, 69, 823–832.
- 636 LEZAK, S. (2024) From capacity building to capacity sharing. *Nature Sustainability*, 7, 1–3. Nature
637 Publishing Group.
- 638 LOO, Y.Y., LEE, M.Y., SHAHEED, S., MAUL, T. & CLINK, D.J. (2025) Temporal patterns in
639 Malaysian rainforest soundscapes demonstrated using acoustic indices and deep embeddings
640 trained on time-of-day estimation). *The Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, 157,
641 1–16.
- 642 MENASHY, F. & ZAKHARIA, Z. (2022) Guiding Principles for Promising Partnership Practices in

- 643 Education in Emergencies. Policy Brief, Dubai Cares.
- 644 MUGERWA, B., NIEBALLA, J., PLANILLO, A., SHEIL, D., KRAMER-SCHADT, S. & WILTING, A.
645 (2024) Global disparity of camera trap research allocation and defaunation risk of terrestrial
646 mammals. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 10, 121–136. Wiley Online
647 Library.
- 648 MURRAY, K.I., HOCKINGS, K.J. & HODGSON, D. (2025) The potential for AI to divide conservation:
649 Response to ‘The potential for AI to revolutionize conservation: a horizon scan’. *Trends in*
650 *Ecology & Evolution*, 40, 423.
- 651 ONG, D. & SHAHRUDIN, S. (2022) The diurnal advertisement and response call of *Pulchrana*
652 *glandulosa* (Anura: Ranidae) (Boulenger, 1882), from Langkawi Island, Kedah, Peninsular
653 Malaysia. *Amphibia-Reptilia*, 43, 457–462.
- 654 OSEI, K., MIKADO, S., AGYENIM, B.E. & ASANTE, M. (2024) Community natural resources
655 management and resilience. In *The Palgrave Encyclopedia of Sustainable Resources and*
656 *Ecosystem Resilience* pp. 1–6. Springer International Publishing, Cham.
- 657 PORZECANSKI, A.L., STERLING, E.J., COPSEY, J.A., APPLETON, M.R., BARBORAK, J.R., BRUYERE,
658 B.L., ET AL. (2022) A systems framework for planning and evaluating capacity development
659 in conservation: recommendations for practitioners. *Oryx*, 56, 671–680.
- 660 SANTY, A., LOFFELD, T.A.C., PATERSON, S., COPSEY, J.A., BAKARR, M.I., RAINER, H., ET AL. (2022)
661 Donor perspectives on strengthening capacity development for conservation. *Oryx*, 56, 740–
662 743.
- 663 SETHI, S.S., BICK, A., CHEN, M.-Y., CROUZEILLES, R., HILLIER, B.V., LAWSON, J., ET AL. (2024)
664 Large-scale avian vocalization detection delivers reliable global biodiversity insights.
665 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 121, e2315933121. National Academy of
666 Sciences.
- 667 SNADDON, J., PETROKOFISKY, G., JEPSON, P. & WILLIS, K.J. (2013) Biodiversity technologies: tools
668 as change agents. *Biology letters*, 9. The Royal Society.
- 669 SPEAKER, T., O’DONNELL, S., WITTEMYER, G., BRUYERE, B., LOUCKS, C., DANCER, A., ET AL.
670 (2022) A global community-sourced assessment of the state of conservation technology.
671 *Conservation Biology*, 36, e13871.
- 672 STEENWEG, R., HEBBLEWHITE, M., KAYS, R., AHUMADA, J., FISHER, J.T., BURTON, C., ET AL. (2017)
673 Scaling-up camera traps: Monitoring the planet’s biodiversity with networks of remote
674 sensors. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 15, 26–34. Wiley Online Library.
- 675 STOWELL, D. (2022) Computational bioacoustics with deep learning: a review and roadmap. *PeerJ*,
676 10, e13152. PeerJ Inc.
- 677 SUGAI, L.S.M., SILVA, T.S.F., RIBEIRO, J.W. & LLUSIA, D. (2019) Terrestrial Passive Acoustic
678 Monitoring: Review and Perspectives. *BioScience*, 69, 15–25.
- 679 SWIDER, C.R., GEMELLI, C.F., WREGE, P.H. & PARKS, S.E. (2022) Passive acoustic monitoring
680 reveals behavioural response of African forest elephants to gunfire events. *African Journal*
681 *of Ecology*, 60, 882–894. Wiley Online Library.

- 682 TUIA, D., KELLENBERGER, B., BEERY, S., COSTELLOE, B.R., ZUFFI, S., RISSE, B., ET AL. (2022)
683 Perspectives in machine learning for wildlife conservation. *Nature Communications*, 13,
684 792. Nature Publishing Group.
- 685 WILDLABS (2025) WILDLABS. <https://wildlabs.net/> [accessed 22 March 2025].
- 686 WILDLIFE ACOUSTICS (2025) Wildlife Acoustics Grant Program. *Wildlife Acoustics*.
687 <https://www.wildlifeacoustics.com/grant-program> [accessed 15 April 2025].
- 688 WOOD, C.M., SOCOLAR, J., KAHL, S., PEERY, M.Z., CHAON, P., KELLY, K., ET AL. (2024) A scalable
689 and transferable approach to combining emerging conservation technologies to identify
690 biodiversity change after large disturbances. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 61, 797–808.
691 Wiley Online Library.
- 692 WWF (2024) Living planet report 2024: a system in peril. WWF, Gland, Switzerland.

693 TABLE 1 Summary of the number and proportion of interview respondents by cohort, gender,
 694 education level, work sector, and years of experience

| | Cohort 1 | | Cohort 2 | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----|----------|----|
| | N | % | N | % |
| Country | | | | |
| Indonesia | 5 | 36 | 6 | 43 |
| Malaysia | 1 | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Man | 3 | 21 | 5 | 36 |
| Woman | 3 | 21 | 3 | 21 |
| Education | | | | |
| Bachelor | 3 | 21 | 4 | 29 |
| Master's | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
| Doctorate | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 |
| Sector | | | | |
| Non-profit | 5 | 36 | 3 | 21 |
| University | 1 | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| Private | - | - | 2 | 14 |
| Government | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Years experience in sector | | | | |
| ≤ 1 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
| 2-5 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 |
| 6-10 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 21 |
| > 10 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 6 | 43 | 8 | 57 |

695
 696

697 TABLE 2 Summary of Cohort 1 Jamboard discussions during Zoom training sessions.
698

| Session | Jamboard topic | Sample text |
|--|--|---|
| Introductions & program overview | Icebreaker: What is your favorite animal? What sound does it make? | “Javan gibbons! The female makes beautiful great call!” “The Helmeted hornbill - maniac laugh call” |
| | Share something you've learned from the presentations | “Sampling rate must be >2X the highest frequency you want to record!! Lesson learned!!” |
| Digital audio basics & getting started with SwiftOne | Share questions or tell us what is unclear | “We are excited to know how to differentiate between species and look at what gain/sample rate is suitable.” “In different areas (different noise level) should we set the settings differently? Is it OK to do so?” |
| | Share something you've learned from the session & experimental design | “Metadata is important” “Always bring spares” “Still confused about the white button, when should we use it?” |
| PAM fundamentals | Share something you've learned from the session | “Kahoot is fun. More questions please.” “Scavenger hunt is fun.” |
| | Share questions or tell us what is unclear | “How do I save selections in Raven?” “How can we visualise weeks of data?” |
| | What do you think could be improved in the training and mentorship sessions? | “step by step tutorial and more slowly” |
| | Are there topics that have not been covered that you want to know? | “data analysis for sharing purposes” |
| Closing session | How would you summarize your experience in this program? | “Excited about the training but cannot fully absorb the knowledge and could not follow the training 100%, struggling a bit probably due to language barrier.” |
| | How will you apply what you learned in your future work? | “Popularise bird songs to musicians for music in Malang” “Suggestion for the mentor to demonstrate the process first, then participants follow in the breakout” |
| | What is one piece of advice you would give to Year 2 | |


participants?

“Consider using rain protectors around your PAM equipment”

699 TABLE 3 Summary of coded responses to interview questions about program participants’
700 motivations, experiences, and future plans, disaggregated by cohort (14 total respondents)


| | Cohort 1 | Cohort 2 | % respondents |
|---|----------|----------|---------------|
| Motivation | | | |
| trial new technology | 4 | 8 | 86 |
| study behavior | 2 | 5 | 50 |
| develop new skill | 1 | 3 | 29 |
| connect with bioacousticians | 1 | 0 | 7 |
| Hopes | | | |
| identify particular species | 3 | 5 | 57 |
| confirm species presence | 3 | 4 | 50 |
| identify individual sounds | 2 | 4 | 43 |
| biodiversity survey | 1 | 2 | 21 |
| Challenges | | | |
| time | 3 | 6 | 64 |
| field techniques | 4 | 4 | 57 |
| machine learning | 3 | 5 | 57 |
| language barrier | 3 | 3 | 43 |
| poor internet bandwidth | 1 | 4 | 36 |
| lack of human resources | 2 | 3 | 29 |
| high data volume | 1 | 1 | 21 |
| Highlights | | | |
| bioacoustics knowledge | 3 | 8 | 79 |
| knowledge sharing | 3 | 4 | 50 |
| PAM as a valuable tool | 3 | 2 | 36 |
| Indo-Malay network | 4 | 1 | 36 |
| lots of data | 1 | 3 | 29 |
| Future plans | | | |
| apply bioacoustics to new projects | 4 | 4 | 57 |
| train others | 3 | 2 | 36 |
| apply BirdNET to datasets | 2 | 2 | 29 |
| combine bioacoustics with other methods | 0 | 3 | 21 |
| outreach | 1 | 1 | 14 |

701 FIG. 1 Schematic of primary components of the BEAT programme, indicating key elements for
702 each.



703
704


705 FIG. 2 Respondent scores from 1 to 5 (each rectangle represents one response) for unmatched
 706 questions applied in the pre- and post-programme surveys administered to participants of the first
 707 cohort of BEAT. a) Level of confidence at the start of BEAT related to interpreting manuscripts
 708 (native language and English), designing a scientific study and understanding spoken English, with
 709 scores representing 1 = Not confident, 2 = Slightly confident, 3 = Somewhat confident, 4 =
 710 Confident, to 5 = Very confident. Post-surveys self-assessments aimed at understanding. Level of
 711 improvement b) after BEAT related to aspects of conservation research and mentoring and c)
 712 following participation in the regional symposium, SIMBA, with scores ranging from 1 = no
 713 improvement at all and 5 = a lot of improvement.



714

715 FIG. 3 Responses to matched questions from pre- and post-programme surveys distributed to the
 716 first BEAT cohort aimed at assessing confidence in different aspects of PAM research, problem-
 717 solving skills, and being part of a community. Confidence scores (a–d, l–m): 1 = Not confident, 2 =
 718 Slightly confident, 3 = Somewhat confident, 4 = Confident, 5 = Very confident. Agreement levels
 719 (f–k): 1 = Strongly disagree, 2 = Somewhat disagree, 3 = Neither agree nor disagree, 4 = Somewhat
 720 agree, 5 = Strongly agree.

Confidence about PAM topics




| Scores | | Legend |
|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------|
| (a-d, k-l) | (f-l) | ● Pre-survey |
| 5 = Very confident | 5 = Strongly agree | ● Post-survey |
| 4 = Confident | 4 = Somewhat agree | |
| 3 = Somewhat confident | 3 = Neither agree nor disagree | |
| 2 = Slightly confident | 2 = Somewhat disagree | |
| 1 = Not confident | 1 = Strongly disagree | |

721

722 FIG. 4 Responses to matched questions from pre- and post-programme surveys distributed to the
 723 first BEAT cohort aimed at assessing their preparedness to mentor others in passive acoustic
 724 monitoring.

Preparedness to become a PAM mentor



725

Expanding the uptake of conservation technology: insights from efforts to share conservation bioacoustics capacity in Indonesia and Malaysia

WENDY M. ERB, DASI ONG, DENA J. CLINK, LARISSA SAYURI MOREIRA SUGAI, RAHAYU OKTAVIANI, JASMINE A. SAVITRI, LAUREL B. SYMES AND BEN GOTTESMAN

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL 1 This supplementary material includes the following materials: questions administered during 1) pre-program, 2) post-program, and 3) team output surveys as well as 4) the interview guide.

1. Pre-Program Survey

Q1 What is your name? (If you wish to remain anonymous, please create a fake name for yourself that you will use in this survey and all future surveys and write it here instead of your name.)

Q2 What is your team name?

Q3 In what country will you be working?

Q4 Which of the following best describes your current position (check all that apply):

- Undergraduate student
- Master's student
- PhD Student
- Professor or lecturer
- Researcher or program manager at NGO
- Field work technician
- Other

Q5 For how long have you been using passive acoustic monitoring in your work?

- No experience yet
- < 1 year
- 1–5 years
- 5–10 years
- > 10 years

Q6 This part of the assessment relates to your confidence in different topics related to passive acoustic monitoring. The scale below goes from 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest level and 5 the highest.

- Reading scientific papers in your first language
- Reading and understanding English
- Reading and understanding scientific papers written in English
- Designing a scientific study
- Designing a PAM study
- Deploying PAM recorders in the field
- Analyzing PAM data to answer a research question
- Writing a scientific paper
- Communicating to academics in a non-written format (podium, poster, etc.)
- Communicating findings of a study to relevant stakeholders in an informative way (i.e. local government, industry, park managers, community)

Q7 What are you most excited to learn about in this training program?

Q8 What other related or relevant trainings have you taken or are taking?

Q9 How does this training program fit into your organizational roles? Do you think this training and these new skills will be supported and recognized where you work?

Q10 Please evaluate the following statements:

1. Strongly disagree
2. Somewhat disagree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Somewhat agree
5. Strongly agree

- I feel confident solving problems and overcoming obstacles that happen during a PAM study
- I know where to find online resources to help me when I encounter challenges
- I have a network of PAM practitioners I can ask for advice, support and guidance
- I am motivated to use PAM in my research and conservation work
- I am aware of how PAM can be used to address different conservation challenges in my region
- I feel part of a community of PAM practitioners
- I value the “team” aspect of PAM work

Q11 How do you envision using passive acoustic monitoring in your work now or in the future?

Q12 What are the major hurdles you anticipate for using passive acoustic monitoring in your work?

Q13 What are the biggest barriers to your success with passive acoustic monitoring? Please mark a point on the line from 0–100 where 0 is not a barrier at all and 100 is the biggest barrier.

- Research design capacity
- Research funding opportunities
- Data storage options
- Data analysis skills or tools

Q14 This part of the survey relates to being a PAM mentor in your community:

1. Strongly disagree
2. Somewhat disagree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Somewhat agree
5. Strongly agree

- I am interested in becoming a mentor in PAM for others in my community
- I have the knowledge and skills to be a mentor
- I have the tools and resources to be a mentor
- Colleagues in my community can benefit from learning and implementing PAM techniques

- My community can benefit from implementing PAM techniques to address local conservation issues
- I am interested in continuing to do more PAM projects in the near future
- There are organizations around me that would value this work and my expertise in this topic

Q15 If you are interested in becoming a PAM mentor, what do you want / need to make that happen?

Q16 What kind of teaching, training, and mentoring activities would you be interested in leading or co-leading? Who would your target audience be for these activities?

Q17 Is there anything else you'd like to share?

Q18 Did you think this survey was too long?

- Yes
- No

2. Post-Program Survey

Q3 Unique Identifier Question 2: How many sisters do you have?

Q4 Unique Identifier Question 3: What day of the month you were born?

Q6 In what country are you working?

Q7 Age:

- Under 18
- 18-24
- 24-34
- 35-44
- 45-54
- 55-64
- 65 or older
- Prefer not to say

Q8 Gender:

- Female
- Male
- Prefer not to say
- Other

Q11 What is the highest level of education you have completed?

- Less than a high school diploma
- High school degree or equivalent

- Some college but no degree
- Associate degree
- Bachelor's degree
- Master's degree
- Professional degree
- Doctorate

Q12 Which of the following best describes your current position (check all that apply):

- Undergraduate student
- Master's student
- PhD Student
- Professor or lecturer
- Researcher or program manager at NGO
- Field work technician
- Other

Q13 Prior to the BEAT Program, for how long were you using passive acoustic monitoring in your work?

- No experience yet
- < 1 year
- 1-5 years
- 5-10 years
- > 10 years

Q15 These questions aim to assess the impact of the BEAT program. Please reflect on how much each of the following aspects has improved for you since the beginning of the program. Use a five-point scale where 1 represents no improvement at all and 5 represents a lot of improvement. To what degree did participation in the BEAT Program lead to improvement in each of these areas:

1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Moderately
4. Substantially
5. A lot

- Your ability to contribute to a PAM project (i.e. collect data, analyze data, design a study, interpret results)
- Your ability to contribute to an improved conservation strategy
- An increase in your professional network
- Seeking and receiving guidance on PAM-related issues
- Ability to teach and mentor others about PAM
- Ability to conduct research and publish

Q16 How many projects related to Passive Acoustic Monitoring (PAM) are you currently involved in? Please specify the number below:

Q36 Please briefly describe these projects below (i.e. monitoring lars gibbon population and distribution in Northern Sumatra):

Q17 In the past year, have you organized or taught any training activities (e.g. lectures, webinars, workshops, etc.) focused on bioacoustics? Please specify the number of workshops you've organized below.

Q37 Please provide a brief description of these training activities including the number of participants (i.e. Bioacoustics Webinar for 30 UGM Undergraduate Students in July, 2023).

Q27 How many individuals participated in your training activities over the past year? Please specify the total number below.

Q28 How many students or colleagues have you mentored in the field of bioacoustics over the past year (not including your BEAT team members)? Please specify the number below.

Q30 Could you specify the number of conferences or events, SIMBA included, where you have presented your research in bioacoustics?

Q14 This part of the assessment relates to your confidence in different topics related to passive acoustic monitoring. The scale below goes from 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest level and 5 the highest.

1. Not confident
2. Slightly confident
3. Somewhat confident
4. Confident
5. Very confident

- Designing a passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) study
- Deploying PAM recorders in the field
- Managing large quantities of acoustic data
- Analyzing PAM data to answer a research question
- Using automated methods like machine learning to analyze acoustic data
- Writing a scientific paper
- Communicating to academics in a non-written format (podium, poster, etc.)
- Communicating findings of a study to relevant stakeholders in an informative way (i.e. local government, industry, park managers, community)

Q18 Please evaluate the following statements:

1. Strongly disagree
2. Somewhat disagree
3. Neither agree nor disagree
4. Somewhat agree
5. Strongly agree

- I feel confident solving problems and overcoming obstacles that happen during a PAM study
- I know where to find online resources to help me when I encounter challenges
- I have a network of PAM practitioners I can ask for advice, support and guidance
- I am motivated to use PAM in my research and conservation work

I am aware of how PAM can be used to address different conservation challenges in my region I feel part of a community of PAM practitioners

I value the “team” aspect of PAM work

I am interested in continuing to do more PAM projects in the near future

Q31 Do you plan to do more bioacoustics research in the next year?

No

Yes, but it will not be a major focus

Yes, it will be one of my main priorities

Unsure

Q32 Do you intend to conduct bioacoustics training and mentorship opportunities in the next year?

1. No

2. Maybe

3. Definitely

4. Unsure

Outreach

Teaching

Mentoring

Q22 This part of the survey relates to being a PAM mentor in your community.

1. Strongly disagree

2. Somewhat disagree

3. Neither agree nor disagree

4. Somewhat agree

5. Strongly agree

I am interested in becoming a mentor in PAM for others in my community

I have the knowledge and skills to be a mentor

I have the tools and resources to be a mentor

Colleagues in my community can benefit from learning and implementing PAM techniques

My community can benefit from implementing PAM techniques to address local conservation issues

There are organizations around me that would value this work and my expertise in this topic

Q34 How would you rate your overall experience at SIMBA?

Very poor

Poor

Average

Good

Excellent

Q33 These next questions relate to SIMBA. How much did each of the following increase after attending SIMBA?

1. Not at all
2. A little
3. Moderately
4. Substantially
5. Very much

- Your connection to the bioacoustics community in Indonesia and Malaysia
- Your knowledge about the applications of PAM
- The likelihood of using PAM in your future work
- The likelihood of collaborating with people that you met at SIMBA

Q35 Do you have any suggestions for how we could improve future SIMBA events?

Q25 What were the parts of SIMBA that you found the most valuable?

3. BEAT team outputs survey (Cohort 1)

Thanks for answering the previous survey, here's a follow-up questions that we need

* Indicates required question

1. How many bioacoustics projects have you been involved with since the start of BEAT?

Please briefly describe these projects below (i.e. monitoring lars gibbon population and distribution in Northern Sumatra):

Berapa banyak proyek bioakustik yang telah Anda ikuti sejak dimulainya BEAT? Mohon deskripsikan secara singkat proyek-proyek tersebut di bawah ini (misalnya, pemantauan populasi dan distribusi owa lar di Sumatra Utara):

2. What are the research output/s had been produced since BEAT? *

Apa hasil-hasil penelitian yang telah dihasilkan sejak dimulainya BEAT?

Check all that apply.

- Presentation; Presentasi
- Paper publication; Publikasi makalah
- Training/Workshop; Pelatihan/Workshop
- Community Outreach; Program Pengabdian Masyarakat
- Others; Lain-lain

3. Presentations; Presentasi *

How many presentation were done?

Berapa banyak presentasi yang telah dilakukan?

Mark only one oval. (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or more)

4. Could you specify the number of conferences or events, SIMBA included, where you have presented your research in bioacoustics?

Dapatkah Anda menyebutkan jumlah konferensi atau acara, termasuk SIMBA, dimana Anda telah mempresentasikan penelitian Anda dalam bidang bioakustik?

5. Paper publications; Publikasi makalah *

How many paper publication were done and/or In progress?

Berapa banyak publikasi makalah yang telah dilakukan dan/atau sedang dalam proses?

Mark only one oval. (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or more)

6. Could you specify the title/s and the status of the publication?

Dapatkah Anda menyebutkan judul dan status publikasi tersebut?

7. Training/Workshop; Pelatihan/Workshop*

How many training/workshop were done?

Berapa banyak pelatihan/workshop yang telah dilakukan?

Mark only one oval. (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or more)

8. How many training activities related to bioacoustics have you organized and/or instructed?

Please provide a brief description of these training activities including the number of participants (i.e. Bioacoustics Webinar for 30 UGM undergraduate students in July, 2023).

Berapa banyak kegiatan pelatihan terkait bioakustik yang telah Anda organisasikan dan/atau ajarkan? Mohon berikan deskripsi singkat mengenai kegiatan pelatihan ini, termasuk jumlah peserta (misalnya, Webinar Bioakustik untuk 30 Mahasiswa S1 UGM pada Juli 2023).

9. How many individuals participated in your training activities over the past year? Please specify the total number below.

Berapa banyak individu yang berpartisipasi dalam kegiatan pelatihan Anda selama tahun lalu? Mohon sebutkan total jumlah di bawah ini.

10. How many students or colleagues have you mentored in the field of bioacoustics since the start of BEAT (not including your BEAT team members)? Please specify the number below.

Berapa banyak siswa atau rekan yang telah Anda bimbing di bidang bioakustik sejak dimulainya BEAT (tidak termasuk anggota tim BEAT Anda)? Mohon sebutkan jumlah di bawah ini.

11. Community Outreach; Pengabdian Masyarakat *

How many outreach were done?

Berapa banyak program pengabdian masyarakat yang telah dilakukan?

Mark only one oval. (0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or more)

12. Please specify the number of outreach, what it is about, number of participant, and general background of the participant (example: primary school students).

Silakan sebutkan jumlah program pengabdian masyarakat, apa yang dibahas, jumlah peserta, dan latar belakang umum peserta (contoh: siswa sekolah dasar).

13. Others; Lain-lain *

If yes, please specify the additional outputs.

Jika ya, mohon sebutkan hasil tambahan yang dihasilkan.

4. Interview guide

Review Consent and Privacy with Respondent

Advise the respondent that we will plan for 15-30 min conversation.

Ask if they have reviewed the consent form.

Ask if they would like you to read the consent form to them.

Ask if they would be okay with you recording the interview.

Ask if they have any questions about the study goals or methods.

Note: We will record the video (or audio only is fine) and use Zoom function to transcribe the interview. The video will be viewed only by the interviewer (Ayu or Jasmine) to confirm that the transcript is complete and correct. Once the transcript is confirmed, the video will be deleted from the owner's device. No copies will be retained. Transcripts will be removed of any identifiable information (name, team name, etc.) and will be labeled with only the following (this information may be collected during the interview or in WhatsApp after the interview):

- Country where work is conducted (Indonesia or Malaysia)
- Gender of respondent
- Highest education level completed
- Sector of work while participating in BEAT (e.g., academic, government, NGO, private, etc.)
- Number of years working in this sector and career/position level during BEAT (e.g., technician, supervisor, manager, director, etc.)

Transcripts will be reviewed by Jasmine, Ayu, and Wendy for the coding and analysis. The aggregated data summarizing these reflections will be included in a journal article about the BEAT program. We will share a copy of the published article with all participants in the BEAT program.

Ask if they understand there is no incentive or penalty for participation or non-participation. Remind them they can skip any questions or stop the interview at any time.

Semi-Structured Interview Questions (interviewer may expand as appropriate)

- What most motivated you to submit a proposal to BEAT?
- What were you hoping to get from BEAT and was this realized?
- What challenges did you experience during the program?
 - during training sessions
 - during fieldwork
 - during analysis or writing
 - anything else?
- Could you share the most important thing you learned, achieved, or developed during the BEAT program?
- Are you interested in continuing to use acoustics in your research? What would most help you succeed/excel on that path?
- What do you think is most needed to advance conservation bioacoustics in your region or community? Please specify the region or community your answer applies to.
- What do you think is the most urgently important information that bioacoustics could provide for you and your collaborators? What are the institutions, outputs, or pathways that make such information actionable for conservation decision-making?

Do you have ideas for what BEAT (or any model of training/mentoring that supports conservation bioacousticians in your region) should focus on going forward? For example, a 'train the trainer' approach, providing extended support for existing teams, or perhaps something else?

Do you want to be involved in planning the BEAT program going forward?

Could you share from whom/where you get the information about BEAT program?

Expanding the uptake of conservation technology: insights from efforts to share conservation bioacoustics capacity in Indonesia and Malaysia

WENDY M. ERB, DASI ONG, DENA J. CLINK, LARISSA SAYURI MOREIRA SUGAI, RAHAYU OKTAVIANI, JASMINE A. SAVITRI, LAUREL B. SYMES AND BEN GOTTESMAN

Supplementary material is published as supplied by the authors. It is not checked for accuracy, copyedited, typeset or proofread. The responsibility for scientific accuracy and file functionality remains with the authors.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES This supplementary material includes the following materials:
SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Description of 17 BEAT teams who were selected for each of two cohorts, indicating team name, study area, sector, and research target, **SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2** Summary of the number and proportion of interview respondents by cohort, gender, education level, work sector, and years of experience.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 1 Description of 17 BEAT teams who were selected for each of two cohorts, indicating team name, study area, sector, and research target.

| | Team Name | Country | Province or State | Sector | Research Target |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|---|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| Cohort 1 | Halimun-Salak Gibbons | Indonesia | West Java | NGO | Javan gibbons |
| | Indonesian Urban Birds | Indonesia | Aceh, West Java, Central Java, Yogyakarta | University, NGO | Birds |
| | Merbabu Owls | Indonesia | Central Java | NGO | Javan scops owl |
| | Penang Hill Soundscapes | Malaysia | Penang | University | Soundscapes |
| | Peninsular Malaysia Frogs | Malaysia | Kedah | University | Frogs |
| | Rungan Biodiversity | Indonesia | Central Kalimantan | University | Primates, Birds, Reptiles |
| | Sikundur Mammals | Indonesia | North Sumatra | NGO | Large mammals |
| | Sungai Utik Hornbills | Indonesia | West Kalimantan | NGO | Hornbills |
| | Babi and Lasia Endemics | Indonesia | Aceh | NGO | Endemic birds and mammals |
| | Gunung Gede Toads | Indonesia | West Java | University | Bleeding toad |
| Cohort 2 | Kinabalu Birds | Malaysia | Sabah | NGO | Birds |
| | Kamut Conservation | Malaysia | Sabah, Central Kalimantan | Company | Rare, threatened, endemic species |
| | KuKar Mining | Indonesia | East Kalimantan | Government | Soundscapes |
| | Pematang Gadung Apes | Indonesia | West Kalimantan | NGO | Orangutans and gibbons |
| | Penang Bats | Malaysia | Penang | University | Bats |
| | Rimba Raya Gibbons | Indonesia | Central Kalimantan | Company | White-bearded gibbons |
| | Sangihe Dugongs | Indonesia | North Sulawesi | NGO | Dugongs |

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLE 2 Summary of the number and proportion of interview respondents by cohort, gender, education level, work sector, and years of experience.

| | Cohort 1 | | Cohort 2 | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|----|----------|----|
| | N | % | N | % |
| Country | | | | |
| Indonesia | 5 | 36 | 6 | 43 |
| Malaysia | 1 | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| Gender | | | | |
| Man | 3 | 21 | 5 | 36 |
| Woman | 3 | 21 | 3 | 21 |
| Education | | | | |
| Bachelor | 3 | 21 | 4 | 29 |
| Master's | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
| Doctorate | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 |
| Sector | | | | |
| Non-profit | 5 | 36 | 3 | 21 |
| University | 1 | 7 | 2 | 14 |
| Private | - | - | 2 | 14 |
| Government | - | - | 1 | 7 |
| Years experience in sector | | | | |
| ≤ 1 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 7 |
| 2-5 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 21 |
| 6-10 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 21 |
| > 10 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 7 |
| Total | 6 | 43 | 8 | 57 |