

# Deep learning-based automated detection reveals temporal patterns of calling in tarsiers in Sulawesi, Indonesia

Dena J. Clink<sup>1\*</sup> and Johny S. Tasirin<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics, Cornell Lab of Ornithology, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, USA.*

<sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Agriculture, Sam Ratulangi University, Manado, Indonesia*

Corresponding author email: dena.clink@cornell.edu

## **Abstract**

Tarsiers are small, haplorrhine primates that occur in Southeast Asia. Tarsiers on the island of Sulawesi range from Vulnerable to Critically Endangered, and many are data deficient, which means there is a great need for improved monitoring approaches. Sulawesi tarsiers are pair-living, territorial, and engage in duets within human hearing range, which makes them ideal candidates for passive acoustic monitoring (PAM), an approach that relies on autonomous acoustic recording units. Here, we provide a proof-of-concept workflow that combines PAM and automated detection to monitor Gursky's spectral tarsier (*Tarsius spectrumgurskyae*) in Tangkoko National Park, North Sulawesi, Indonesia. We used a custom trained BirdNET model deployed over ~520 hours of PAM data and manually verified all detections. Similar to previous work, we found that the majority of tarsier duet vocalizations occurred around sunrise, with a few vocalizations emitted in a non-duet context other times during the night. To our knowledge, this is one of the first applications of deep learning-based automated detection for the study of tarsiers. We advocate for future PAM studies across land use gradients, different forest types, and under different management regimes, to improve tarsier conservation efforts across Sulawesi. We provide the labeled training data and the trained model to facilitate future work.

**Keywords:** bioacoustics, transfer learning, autonomous recording units, nonhuman primates

## Introduction

Passive acoustic monitoring (PAM) is an approach that relies on autonomous acoustic recording units (ARUs). PAM can provide data on vocal animals across larger spatial and temporal scales (e.g. ecologically relevant scales) than relying on human observers alone. PAM can be used for many conservation-relevant applications, including monitoring of spatial and temporal distribution of animals (e.g. forest elephants, *Loxodonta cyclotis*, (Wrege et al., 2017)) and human activities (Katsis et al., 2022), multi-species occupancy modeling across entire biomes (Brunk et al., 2025), and understanding ecological impacts of restoration activities on biodiversity (Ramesh et al., 2023). The use of PAM in terrestrial, tropical environments has seen an increase in use in recent years (Sugai et al., 2019), due to the combined factors of reduced costs of the ARUs, improved battery life, and increased data storage capabilities. ARUs can be deployed to record continuously for long time periods, which leads to the accumulation of large amounts of acoustic data that are time- and cost-prohibitive to analyze manually.

Like other fields, the deep learning revolution has led to improved efficiency of automated detection and classification of acoustic signals from large acoustic datasets (Stowell, 2022). Many of the early advances focused on birds, for example BirdNET was initially trained on 984 North American and European bird species, but has since been extended to over 6000 species globally (Kahl et al., 2021). Perch 2.0 was trained on over 14,500 different avian, mammalian, insect, and amphibian species (van Merriënboer et al., 2025). Embeddings, or learned feature representations, from these models can be used as a feature extractor for signals not in the original training data, and these embeddings can be used to train a new classifier; this is an approach called transfer learning (Pan and Yang, 2009). BirdNET transfer learning was shown to be highly effective for training new classifiers for marine mammals, anurans, and bats (Ghani et al., 2023). BirdNET transfer learning has also been used successfully in two species of gibbons, the northern grey gibbon (*Hylobates funereus*) (Clink et al. 2025) and the southern yellow-cheeked crested gibbon (*Nomascus gabriellae*) (Clink et al. 2024, 2025).

Applications of PAM for studying sound-producing primates has seen increased interest in recent years. One of the foundational applications of PAM and automated detection in primates was used to model occupancy of diana monkeys (*Cercopithecus diana*), king colobus monkeys (*Colobus polykomos*), and chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*) (Kalan et al., 2015) in Tai National Park, Côte d'Ivoire. Since, PAM has been used to investigate ranging behavior (Kalan et al., 2016) of chimpanzees, along with vocal behavior of black and gold howler monkeys (*Alouatta caraya*) (Pérez-Granados and Schuchmann, 2021), northern grey gibbons (*Hylobates funereus*) (Clink et al. 2020), and black-and-white ruffed lemurs (*Varecia variegata*) (Batist et al., 2024). PAM has also been used for occupancy modeling in northern buffed-cheeked gibbons (*Nomascus annamensis*) (Vu et al., 2023) and Yucatán black howler monkeys (*Alouatta pigra*) (Wood et al., 2023).

Tarsiers are small haplorrhine primates that are found in Southeast Asia, specifically in the Philippines, and the islands of Borneo and Sulawesi. Out of the 14 recognized tarsier species, 12 of the species are found on Sulawesi and surrounding islands (Syahrullah et al., 2023). Tarsiers on Sulawesi are unique, as they engage in coordinated duets or choruses that are audible to the human ear. The most well-studied tarsier, Gursky's spectral tarsiers (*Tarsius spectrumgurskyae*) (Gursky, 2003, 2000a, 1998; MacKinnon and MacKinnon, 1980), is currently

classified as Vulnerable by the International Union for Conservation of Nature (UCN), whereas other species are considered Critically Endangered (e.g. Siau island tarsier; *T. tumpara*) Endangered (e.g. Sangihe tarsier, *T. sangirensis*) or Data Deficient (Lariang tarsier; *T. lariang*) (IUCN, 2025). PAM-based studies of tarsiers on Sulawesi have been limited and have generally focused on using PAM to study behavioral ecology. For example, PAM was used to investigate ultrasonic vocalizations in Gursky's spectral tarsiers (Gursky, 2015) and montane pygmy tarsiers (*T. pumilus*) (Grow, 2019). Two other studies used PAM to investigate vocal coordination (Clink et al. 2020) and acoustic tradeoffs (Comella et al., 2022) in Gursky's spectral tarsier duets.

In general, there have been a limited number of population surveys of mammals in central Indonesia, and a paucity of long-term population studies across the country (Ardiantiono et al., 2024). Importantly, there is a lack of clear understanding how land use change impacts tarsiers, and more data are needed to effectively assess the impact of human activities (Supriatna, 2022). Despite the potential of PAM to improve monitoring efforts and conservation interventions of tarsiers, there have been very few conservation-focused PAM studies of tarsiers on Sulawesi. Effective automated detectors can help process PAM data and translate data into conservation insights effectively.


Our goal is to provide a case study from Tangkoko National Park to show how PAM combined with automated detection can be used to monitor tarsiers on Sulawesi. To our knowledge, this is one of the first applications of deep-learning assisted automated detection of tarsier PAM data. We had two main goals: 1) evaluate the performance of BirdNET transfer learning for automated detection and classification of duets from longer PAM recordings; and 2) use manually verified true positive detections to explore the temporal patterns of tarsier vocal behavior. Due to the considerable conservation concern for many species of tarsier, and current lack of data across Sulawesi, we propose that PAM combined with automated detection can be an effective way to fill these data gaps.

## **Methods**

### *Acoustic data collection*

All data collection occurred in August 2018 in Tangkoko National Park, North Sulawesi, Indonesia and focused on Gursky's spectral tarsier (hereafter tarsier). This area has marked seasonality, with distinct wet and dry seasons (Gursky, 2000b), and many different forest types, including lowland forests, submontane forests, and mossy cloud forests (MacKinnon and MacKinnon, 1980). We used two different ARUs to collect acoustic data. For the training data, we used four SwiftOne units (Koch et al., 2016) that recorded at 48 kHz and 16 bits, and were placed next to known sleep trees of tarsiers, spaced between 100 m- 250 m apart. The evaluation dataset came from a three-unit ARBIMON array (Aide et al., 2013) deployed in a triangular configuration with ~50 m spacing between August 20-28, 2018, with the closest ARU to the training dataset being ~ 300 m. The rolling 3-ARU array was intentionally deployed with ~50 m spacing to increase the probability of detecting tarsier duets on multiple ARUs. The array was moved to a new location at least 100-m from the initial location each day over nine consecutive days (see Figure 1), with all units recording at 44.1 kHz and 16-bit resolution. We decided on the evaluation dataset array design based on an older estimate of ~1 ha home range size for these tarsier (MacKinnon and MacKinnon, 1980). A circular 1-ha home range would

correspond to a radius of ~56 m, suggesting that 50-m spacing would sample within a single group's territory, and 100-m spacing would sample in neighboring tarsier territories. However, later work using radio telemetry showed that tarsier home ranges are substantially larger (1.6 – 4.1 ha) (Gursky, 1998), meaning our within-array spacing was too small to be considered independent sites.




**Figure 1. A map of the rolling ARU locations used for evaluation dataset.** Three ARUs were deployed each day in a triangular shaped array, with approximately 50-m distance in between each unit, and units were moved each day from August 20-28, 2018. TPAM labels refer to individual autonomous recording units (ARUs). The inset shows the location of Tangkoko National Park in North Sulawesi, Indonesia. The map was made using QGIS v 3.34.1-Prizren (QGIS Geographic Information System. Open Source Geospatial Foundation Project. <http://qgis.org>).

### *Model training*

To create a training dataset, we used a band-limited energy detector implemented in the 'gibbonR' package v1.0.1 (Clink and Klinck 2019). We extracted sound events between 5 and 15 kHz from the training data ARU recordings; see Figure 2 for representative spectrograms. We set the noise quantile threshold to 0.75 and limited signal durations to between 6-s to 24-s. This function exports sound events to waveform audio files (.wav). We used the review tab in the BirdNET GUI v2.4.0 to manually assign sound events to one of two classes: 'tarsier' or 'noise'. This resulted in 30 tarsier clips and 217 noise clips for training. We then used the command line interface (CLI) to train a custom classifier using BirdNET v2.4,

setting  $f_{min} = 5000$  Hz,  $f_{max} = 15000$  Hz, and  $crop\_mode = 'segments'$ , which divides longer clips into 3-s clips for training (Kahl et al., 2021). All other settings were default.




**Figure 2. Long-term spectral average (LTSA) of 24-hrs of recording indicating the presence of a tarsier duet (top) and a representative spectrogram of a tarsier duet (bottom).** Both made using Raven Expedition v1.2 (K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics, 2025).

To evaluate the performance of BirdNET and determine the optimal confidence threshold, we deployed the model over the full evaluation dataset (~ 523 hours of data) and used the 'segments' function in BirdNET to export 100 segments randomly selected over a range of confidence scores (0.1 – 1.0). We then used the review tab in the BirdNET GUI to manually assign detections into two categories: true or false positive. The review tab also calculates a logistic regression, with the outcome variable being whether the detection was a true or false positive, and the predictor variable being BirdNET confidence score. Confidence scores that are output by models such as BirdNET are not probabilities, however the use of a logistic regression can convert the scores to probability that the detection is a true positive (Wood and Kahl, 2024). This approach can provide insight into the precision of the model and also help decide on an optimal confidence score to use. Based on the results of this analysis, we found that

precision at higher confidence scores was very high, we used a confidence score of 0.65 which translates to ~ 95% probability that the detection is a true positive (Figure 3).

To further estimate performance of the automated detection system, we manually annotated six hours of recordings taken between 05:00-06:00 WITA from six different ARU locations using RavenPro v1.6 (K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 2023). We annotated every instance of a tarsier duet bout. We then used the 'review' tab in the BirdNET GUI to calculate area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC-ROC; which summarizes the tradeoff between true positive and false positive rates across all confidence thresholds), precision (proportion of detected clips that were true positives), recall (proportion of true positive clips detected), and F1 score (harmonic mean of precision and recall). Precision, recall and F1 score all depend on a confidence score, so we used 0.65. These metrics were calculated at the 3-s clip level, as this version of BirdNET makes predictions on 3-s clips.



**Figure 3. Logistic regression with true or false positive as the outcome, and confidence score as the predictor.** The colored vertical lines indicate the confidence score (or threshold) associated with 0.85 (blue), 0.90 (purple), 0.95 (yellow), and 0.99 (green) probability that the detection is a true positive. Figure was created in the BirdNET GUI v2.4.0.


#### *Data and code availability*

All scripts needed to recreate the analyses are openly available on GitHub (<https://github.com/DenaJGibbon/tarsier-automated-detection>). Training data, manually verified true and false positive tarsier detections, and the trained BirdNET model are available on Zenodo (<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18483496>).

#### **Results**


Across the full evaluation dataset, we had 1825 tarsier detections (e.g. BirdNET predictions) that were above a confidence score of 0.65, of which 1809 were true positive and 16 were false positive, resulting in a precision of  $\sim 0.99$ . Based on six hours of manually annotated recordings, model performance at the 3-s clip level was satisfactory, with an AUC-ROC of 0.86, high precision (0.96), moderate recall (0.74), and a balanced F1 score of 0.81.

The majority of tarsier vocalizations occurred between 05:00-06:00 (Waktu Indonesia Tengah, WITA) time. Sunrise occurred at approximately 05:37 WITA during our study period. We also found some tarsier detections around 03:00 WITA and after sunset which occurred around 17:46 WITA (Figure 4). Visual inspection of spectrograms of these events that occurred outside of sunrise show that they were tarsier vocalizations but did not follow the typical duet structure.



**Figure 4. Density of manually verified true positive tarsier detections in Tangkoko National Park as a function of time of day (Waktu Indonesia Tengah, WITA).**

We also found that it was highly variable whether tarsiers were detected at the same date and time across the rolling 3-ARU arrays or not. In some cases, all three ARUs had tarsier detections at the same time, however in some cases only one ARU had detections. We found that at least one ARU had tarsier detections each day of deployment (see Figure 5).



**Figure 5. Density plots showing tarsier detections by date and time for each 3-unit rolling deployment array.** Colors of the density plots indicate each unique 3-unit array, which corresponds to a single deployment date. The dashed gold line indicates approximate sunrise time and the dashed blue line indicates approximate sunset time for each survey day.

### Discussion

Here, we illustrate how PAM can be combined with automated detection to provide insights into temporal and spatial patterns of calling in tarsiers. Similar to previous work (MacKinnon and MacKinnon, 1980), we found that the majority of tarsier vocalizations occurred around sunrise, however there were a few that occurred around 03:00 and 18:00 WITA. Our rolling 3-ARU arrays frequently detected the same tarsier vocalization events, with differences across detections and ARUs presumably due to tarsier calling location within the 3-ARU array. Given the data deficient status of many tarsier species across Sulawesi, and the effectiveness of this PAM and automated detection workflow, we advocate for an island-wide acoustic census of tarsiers on Sulawesi. Importantly, incorporating studies across habitat- and land use-types will provide insights into how human activities impact tarsier populations (Supriatna, 2022).

The performance of automated detectors depends on many different aspects including the amount of background noise, presence of other signals that are similar to the target signal, stereotypy of the target signal, quality and diversity of training data, and parameters of the underlying model (Kershenbaum et al., 2025). Performance also depends heavily on the evaluation or test dataset (Clink et al. 2024). Previous work in southern yellow-cheeked crested gibbons found that BirdNET performance stabilized when the number of positive training samples was > 25 (Clink et al. 2024). Here, we found that the BirdNET model trained on 30 high signal-to-noise ratio positive samples obtained using a band-limited energy detector led to

satisfactory performance, with very high precision over the evaluation dataset (~0.99). We also found that at the 3-s clip level recall was satisfactory.

When deploying an automated detector there is an inherent tradeoff between precision and recall, that is often mediated by the choice of a confidence score. We used a logistic regression to determine the confidence score (0.65) that was associated with a 0.99 probability that the detection was a true positive (Wood and Kahl, 2024). In our case, the full evaluation data set consisted of approximately 520 hours, and we only had sixteen false positive detections. For larger deployments it is possible that a higher confidence score would be desirable if deploying over many hundreds or thousands of hours of recordings resulted in a very large number of false positives. Importantly, the ideal confidence score will vary for deployments at different sites, or using different recording units, which would require confidence score tuning similar to our approach.

Sulawesi tarsiers are ideal candidates for future PAM studies for this approach as they are highly vocal and territorial, and we provide the following suggestions for future work. To determine the optimal number of ARUs to answer the question of interest, e.g. how does occupancy vary across landuse types, or to detect a change in populations over time due to shifts in management practices, we suggest simulation-based studies to determine optimal number of ARUs (Fuller et al., 2022; Wood et al., 2019). Regarding duration or number of surveys, this has varied substantially across different PAM studies, for example 5- to 7- night deployments were used for owl species in the Sierra Nevada, California, USA (Wood et al., 2019), whereas three consecutive mornings were used for occupancy of northern yellow-cheeked crested gibbon (*N. annamensis*) using human observers (Vu et al., 2020) and ARUs (Vu and Tran, 2019). One of the benefits of using PAM over human observers is the ability to collect data more easily across larger spatial and temporal scales, so we advocate for longer surveys, if possible, and empirical tests on the impact of survey length results. It is highly possible that detection probability will be lower during the rainy season, due to either behavioral modifications (Gursky, 2000b) and/or reduced performance of the automated detector under noisy conditions, so seasonal differences must be taken into account. It is also unclear if the diel patterns of vocalizations, with the majority occurring around sunrise, is generalizable across all species of Sulawesi tarsiers. Therefore, pilot studies investigating temporal patterns of vocal behavior will be needed for understudied species, but if vocalizations do occur mostly around sunrise, limiting acoustic data collection to a few hours around sunrise could help with data storage and processing limitations.

Given the data deficient status of many tarsier species on Sulawesi, a need for a better understanding of how human activity impacts tarsiers (Supriatna, 2022), and a general lack of long-term surveys across Indonesia (Ardiantiono et al., 2024), we propose that PAM and automated detection can be an effective way to fill some of these knowledge gaps. Advances in technology for automated detection of acoustic data, like BirdNET and the associated code-free GUI (Kahl et al., 2021), have made these approaches more accessible to conservation practitioners. Importantly, some barriers still remain to wide scale adoption of PAM in Sulawesi and beyond, including access to sufficient computing power to be able to process large amounts of acoustic data, and the need to build local capacity for using these tools (Speaker et

al., 2022). We hope that our work inspires practitioners to work towards the conservation of Sulawesi's tarsiers through coordinated, long-term, and locally led acoustic monitoring efforts.

### ***Acknowledgements***

We thank Holger Klinck for his unwavering support and never-ending enthusiasm for tarsiers. We thank Diana Parker for loaning us her ARBIMON recorders to use for this project. We thank Vandem Tundu for his assistance with field data collection. Funding for this project came from the Fulbright ASEAN Research Award for U.S. Scholars (no award number given). We also acknowledge Astrid Lim and other staff at the American Indonesian Exchange Foundation for their assistance in obtaining necessary permits and permissions.

### ***Compliance with Ethical Standards***

All data were collected noninvasively; however institutional approval was provided by the Cornell University (IACUC 2017-0098). Research was conducted under an Indonesian foreign research permit issued by the Ministry of Research, Technology, and Higher Education (permit no. 2881/FRP/E5/Dit.KI/VII/2018).

### ***References***

- Aide, T.M., Corrada-Bravo, C., Campos-Cerqueira, M., Milan, C., Vega, G., Alvarez, R., 2013. Real-time bioacoustics monitoring and automated species identification. *PeerJ* 1, e103. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.103>
- Ardiantiono, Pinondang, I.M.R., Chandradewi, D.S., Semiadi, G., Pattiselanno, F., Supriatna, J., Tasirin, J.S., Winarni, N.L., Voigt, M., Bull, J.W., Humle, T., Deere, N.J., Struebig, M.J., 2024. Insights from 20 years of mammal population research in Indonesia. *Oryx* 58, 485–492. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0030605323001539>
- Batist, C.H., Dufourq, E., Jeantet, L., Razafindraibe, M.N., Randriamanantena, F., Baden, A.L., 2024. An integrated passive acoustic monitoring and deep learning pipeline for black-and-white ruffed lemurs (*Varecia variegata*) in Ranomafana National Park, Madagascar. *American Journal of Primatology* 86, e23599.
- Brunk, K.M., Goldberg, J.F., Maxwell, C., Peery, M.Z., Jones, G.M., Gallagher, L.R., Kramer, H.A., Westerling, A.L., Keane, J.J., Kahl, S., Wood, C.M., 2025. Bioregional-scale acoustic monitoring can support fire-prone forest restoration planning. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment* n/a, e2843. <https://doi.org/10.1002/fee.2843>
- Clink, Dena Jane, Cross-Jaya, H., Kim, J., Ahmad, A.H., Hong, M., Sala, R., Birot, H., Agger, C., Vu, T.T., Thi, H.N., Chi, T.N., Klinck, H., 2024. Benchmarking for the automated detection and classification of southern yellow-cheeked crested gibbon calls from passive acoustic monitoring data. *bioRxiv*. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.17.608420>
- Clink, Dena J., Cross-Jaya, H., Kim, J., Ahmad, A.H., Hong, M., Sala, R., Birot, H., Agger, C., Vu, T.T., Thi, H.N., Chi, T.N., Klinck, H., 2024. Benchmarking automated detection and classification approaches for monitoring of endangered species: a case study on gibbons from Cambodia. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2024.08.17.608420>

- Clink, Dena J., Hamid Ahmad, A., Klinck, H., 2020. Gibbons aren't singing in the rain: presence and amount of rainfall influences ape calling behavior in Sabah, Malaysia. *Scientific Reports* 10, 1282. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-57976-x>
- Clink, D.J., Kim, J., Cross-Jaya, H., Ahmad, A.H., Hong, M., Sala, R., Birot, H., Agger, C., Vu, T.T., Thi, H.N., Chi, T.N., Klinck, H., 2025. Automated Detection of Gibbon Calls From Passive Acoustic Monitoring Data Using Convolutional Neural Networks in the "Torch for R" Ecosystem. *Ecology and Evolution* 15, e71678. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.71678>
- Clink, D.J., Klinck, H., 2019. GIBBONR: An R package for the detection and classification of acoustic signals using machine learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.02572*.
- Clink, Dena J, Tasirin, J.S., Klinck, H., 2020. Vocal individuality and rhythm in male and female duet contributions of a nonhuman primate. *Current zoology* 66, 173–186.
- Comella, I., Tasirin, J.S., Klinck, H., Johnson, L.M., Clink, D.J., 2022. Investigating note repertoires and acoustic tradeoffs in the duet contributions of a basal haplorrhine primate. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution* 10, 910121.
- Fuller, A.K., Augustine, B.C., Morin, D.J., Pigeon, K., Boulanger, J., Lee, D.C., Bisi, F., Garshelis, D.L., 2022. The occupancy-abundance relationship and sampling designs using occupancy to monitor populations of Asian bears. *Global Ecology and Conservation* 35, e02075. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2022.e02075>
- Ghani, B., Denton, T., Kahl, S., Klinck, H., 2023. Global birdsong embeddings enable superior transfer learning for bioacoustic classification. *Scientific Reports* 13, 22876.
- Grow, N.B., 2019. Cryptic Communication in a Montane Nocturnal Haplorhine, *Tarsius pumilus*. *Folia Primatologica* 90, 404–421. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000497427>
- Gursky, S., 2015. Ultrasonic vocalizations by the spectral Tarsier, *Tarsius spectrum*. *Folia Primatologica* 86, 153–163. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000371885>
- Gursky, S., 2003. The behavioral ecology of the spectral tarsier, *Tarsius spectrum*. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews* 11, 226–234. <https://doi.org/10.1002/evan.10035>
- Gursky, S., 2000a. Sociality in the spectral tarsier, *Tarsius spectrum*. *American Journal of Primatology* 51, 89–101. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2345\(200005\)51:1%253C89::AID-AJP7%253E3.0.CO;2-7](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2345(200005)51:1%253C89::AID-AJP7%253E3.0.CO;2-7)
- Gursky, S., 2000b. Effect of Seasonality on the Behavior of an Insectivorous Primate, *Tarsius spectrum*. *International Journal of Primatology* 21, 477–495. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1005444020059>
- Gursky, S., 1998. Conservation Status of the Spectral Tarsier *Tarsier spectrum*: Population Density and Home Range Size. *Folia Primatologica* 69, 191–203. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000052713>
- IUCN, 2025. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species.
- K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics, 2025. Raven Expedition: Interactive Sound Analysis Software.
- K. Lisa Yang Center for Conservation Bioacoustics at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology, 2023. Raven Pro: Interactive Sound Analysis Software (Version 1.6.4) [Computer software].
- Kahl, S., Wood, C.M., Eibl, M., Klinck, H., 2021. BirdNET: A deep learning solution for avian diversity monitoring. *Ecological Informatics* 61, 101236.

- Kalan, A.K., Mundry, R., Wagner, O.J.J., Heinicke, S., Boesch, C., Kühl, H.S., 2015. Towards the automated detection and occupancy estimation of primates using passive acoustic monitoring. *Ecological Indicators* 54, 217–226. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2015.02.023>
- Kalan, A.K., Piel, A.K., Mundry, R., Wittig, R.M., Boesch, C., Kühl, H.S., 2016. Passive acoustic monitoring reveals group ranging and territory use: a case study of wild chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes*). *Frontiers in Zoology* 13, 34. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12983-016-0167-8>
- Katsis, L.K.D., Hill, A.P., Piña-Covarrubias, E., Prince, P., Rogers, A., Patrick Doncaster, C., Snaddon, J.L., 2022. Automated detection of gunshots in tropical forests using convolutional neural networks. *Ecological Indicators* 141, 109128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2022.109128>
- Kershenbaum, A., Akçay, Ç., Babu-Saheer, L., Barnhill, A., Best, P., Cauzinille, J., Clink, D., Dassow, A., Dufourq, E., Growcott, J., Markham, A., Marti-Domken, B., Marxer, R., Muir, J., Reynolds, S., Root-Gutteridge, H., Sadhukhan, S., Schindler, L., Smith, B.R., Stowell, D., Wascher, C.A.F., Dunn, J.C., 2025. Automatic detection for bioacoustic research: a practical guide from and for biologists and computer scientists. *Biological Reviews* 100, 620–646. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.13155>
- Koch, R., Raymond, M., Wrege, P., Klinck, H., 2016. SWIFT: A small, low-cost acoustic recorder for terrestrial wildlife monitoring applications, in: North American Ornithological Conference. Washington, D.C., p. 619.
- MacKinnon, J., MacKinnon, K., 1980. The behavior of wild spectral tarsiers. *International Journal of Primatology* 1, 361–379. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02692280>
- Pan, S.J., Yang, Q., 2009. A survey on transfer learning. *IEEE Transactions on knowledge and data engineering* 22, 1345–1359.
- Pérez-Granados, C., Schuchmann, K.-L., 2021. Passive acoustic monitoring of the diel and annual vocal behavior of the Black and Gold Howler Monkey. *American Journal of Primatology* 83, e23241.
- Ramesh, V., Hariharan, P., Akshay, V., Choksi, P., Khanwilkar, S., DeFries, R., Robin, V., 2023. Using passive acoustic monitoring to examine the impacts of ecological restoration on faunal biodiversity in the Western Ghats. *Biological Conservation* 282, 110071.
- Speaker, T., O'Donnell, S., Wittemyer, G., Bruyere, B., Loucks, C., Dancer, A., Carter, M., Fegraus, E., Palmer, J., Warren, E., Solomon, J., 2022. A global community-sourced assessment of the state of conservation technology. *Conservation Biology* 36, e13871. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.13871>
- Stowell, D., 2022. Computational bioacoustics with deep learning: a review and roadmap. *PeerJ* 10, e13152. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.13152>
- Sugai, L.S.M., Silva, T.S.F., Ribeiro, J.W., Llusia, D., 2019. Terrestrial Passive Acoustic Monitoring: Review and Perspectives. *BioScience* 69, 15–25. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biy147>
- Supriatna, J., 2022. Tarsidae, in: *Field Guide to the Primates of Indonesia*. Springer Nature.
- Syahrullah, F.N., Maddus, U., Mustari, A.H., Gursky, S., Indrawan, M., 2023. Distribution and abundance of Peleng Tarsier (*Tarsius pelengensis*) in Banggai Island group, Indonesia. *Sci Rep* 13, 11445. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30049-5>

- van Merriënboer, B., Dumoulin, V., Hamer, J., Harrell, L., Burns, A., Denton, T., 2025. Perch 2.0: The bittern lesson for bioacoustics. arXiv preprint arXiv:2508.04665.
- Vu, T.T., Doherty, P.F., T. Nguyen, H., Clink, D.J., Nguyen, M.D., Dong, H.T., Cheyne, S.M., Giang, T.T., Phan, D.V., Ta, N.T., Tran, D.V., 2023. Passive acoustic monitoring using smartphones reveals an alarming gibbon decline in a protected area in the central Annamite Mountains, Vietnam. *American Journal of Primatology* 85, e23544. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajp.23544>
- Vu, T.T., Hoa Anh, N.Q., Rawson, B.M., Tran, D.V., Nguyen, H.T., Van, T.N., 2020. Monitoring occurrence, extinction, and colonization probabilities for gibbon populations. *American Journal of Primatology* 82, e23171.
- Vu, T.T., Tran, L.M., 2019. An Application of Autonomous Recorders for Gibbon Monitoring. *International Journal of Primatology* 40, 169–186. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10764-018-0073-3>
- Wood, C.M., Barceinas Cruz, A., Kahl, S., 2023. Pairing a user-friendly machine-learning animal sound detector with passive acoustic surveys for occupancy modeling of an endangered primate. *American Journal of Primatology* 85, e23507.
- Wood, C.M., Kahl, S., 2024. Guidelines for appropriate use of BirdNET scores and other detector outputs. *Journal of Ornithology* 1–6.
- Wood, C.M., Popescu, V.D., Klinck, H., Keane, J.J., Gutiérrez, R., Sawyer, S.C., Peery, M.Z., 2019. Detecting small changes in populations at landscape scales: A bioacoustic site-occupancy framework. *Ecological Indicators* 98, 492–507.
- Wrege, P.H., Rowland, E.D., Keen, S., Shiu, Y., 2017. Acoustic monitoring for conservation in tropical forests: examples from forest elephants. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 8, 1292–1301. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.12730>