

Critical methodological flaws in Feurer et al. (2025) render its findings untrustworthy

Sini Savilaakso^a, Neal R. Haddaway^b, Matthew Grainger^c and Linda Errington^d

^a University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Sciences, P.O. Box 66, 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

^b Freelance, Bangor, United Kingdom

^c Norwegian Institute for Nature Research, P.O. Box 5685 Torgarden, 7485 Trondheim, Norway

^d Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, NE1 7RU, United Kingdom

Corresponding author:

Sini Savilaakso

Address: University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Sciences, P.O. Box 66, 00014 University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland

E-mail address: sini.savilaakso@helsinki.fi;

Declaration of competing interests

The authors have nothing to declare

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Conceptualization and writing: All authors; Analysis: SS and LE

Introduction

We appreciate the effort by Feurer et al. (2025) to synthesise literature on the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation globally. The stated aim of the review, to assess what are the proximate causes and underlying drivers of deforestation and forest degradation worldwide, is timely and relevant for ongoing policy efforts, for example zero-deforestation commitments and EU Deforestation Regulation (EUDR). However, the review has severe methodological and conceptual flaws that render the findings untrustworthy and unusable. We summarise our three main concerns below, show the findings from a risk of bias assessment, and suggest a tool for editors and peer-reviewers to evaluate review quality.

1. Mischaracterising the review as a systematic review and meta-analysis

Feurer et al. describe their synthesis as a “systematic review and meta-analysis”. However, they have not conducted meta-analysis (a powerful and vital quantitative synthesis method) by any accepted definition. Specifically, their analysis does not estimate and summarise effect sizes nor quantify differences in the magnitude of drivers. Instead, they simply report the frequency with which drivers are mentioned across included articles. Presenting frequency counts as an indication of relative importance risks implying causal weight that the data do not support and may further amplify publication bias, as frequently studied or reported drivers will appear disproportionately influential irrespective of their true effect.

The fallacy of this approach is easily demonstrated. In their analysis of deforestation caused by oil palm plantations, Lee et al. (2014) compared the magnitude of forest loss between smallholdings, private enterprises and state-owned oil palm plantations in Sumatra. Private enterprises were responsible for 88.3% of the total deforestation compared to 10.7% by smallholdings. Following the logic of Feurer et al. both commercial and smallholder agriculture would get one mention as deforestation drivers, but in truth, commercial agriculture is in this case nine times more impactful. Furthermore, as Bernhard et al. (2024) demonstrate, methodological approach and scale of analysis influence the quantity and type of drivers identified. Feurer et al. do not account for this at all. Without conducting a proper analysis, the magnitude of impact and what are the most impactful drivers is not known.

2. Misconduct in the review process

The authors state that they conducted the review according to the guidelines by the Collaboration for Environmental Evidence (CEE). This is not the case for reasons we outline here: The authors did not publish a *priori* protocol nor is their method section transparent and clear enough for the review to be replicated. Their search strategy has several flaws which mean the search is neither transparent nor replicable. Full line by line searches detailing use of search functionality within each database, such as controlled vocabulary, Boolean operators, phrase searching, and truncation, are missing. Also, the authors provide no evidence of validating the search string. Standard practice would involve evaluating the effectiveness of the search to retrieve records based on a set of clearly eligible benchmark studies. The failure to evaluate the effectiveness of the search has implications for the review as we demonstrate below.

Further, the authors do not list specific sources and year ranges covered. ‘Web of Science’ and ‘Ovid’ are listed as databases which is not correct, these are platforms which give access to many individual databases. When we run a topic search in the Web of Science Core Collection database limited to 1 Jan 1990 to 19 June 2023, we get 19,737 hits compared to their 4,207 hits. The goal of evidence synthesis is to gather all (in practice, a maximum number of) relevant evidence on a research question, while minimising biases in the process, to provide a

reliable answer. Comprehensive and well conducted searches are the foundation for the rest of the evidence synthesis. Failing to identify and include relevant articles can significantly affect the findings and cause bias in them (Konno & Pullin, 2020), which is the case here.

A previous review on deforestation drivers in the Amazon biome (Hänggli et al., 2023) identified 7401 unique articles in English published between 2000-2021. Through screening this was reduced to 150 included articles with 426 cases in the Amazon alone. In contrast, Feurer et al. included only 159 studies across the whole humid and semi-humid forests. The lack of comprehensiveness from Feurer et al. leads them to find that *“commercial agriculture also entails livestock and pastures, which were responsible for forest clearings in 34 articles but usually as a secondary cause”*. The more comprehensive and methodologically rigorous review from Hänggli et al. concludes: *“Pasture expansion was the primary proximate cause of deforestation in the Bolivian, Ecuadorian, Colombian and Brazilian Amazon across the entire period”*.

Another review by Bernhard et al. (2024) on tropical deforestation drivers included 231 articles. Of these only 31 articles were included in Feurer et al. even though both reviews deal with proximate and underlying drivers of deforestation (see Annex 1 for articles included by Bernhard but not by Feurer et al.). Without conducting a rigorous systematic review, it is impossible to know the extent of evidence base Feurer et al. missed and the bias contained in the findings. However, these examples demonstrate that clearly a significant amount of the evidence base is missing from Feurer et al. In the case of Bernhard et al. the number of articles not shared by the reviews is larger than the number of included articles by Feurer et al. Consequently, the number of missing articles is large enough to change the findings.

Additionally, excluding grey literature such as FAO or World Resource Institute’s reports, introduces publication bias. For example, a report by Goldman et al. (2020) identified the extent to which seven commodities—oil palm, soy, cattle, plantation wood fibre, cocoa, coffee, and plantation rubber— are replacing forests and mapped their role in causing deforestation. They conclude that cattle replaced the most forest by far (63% of the analysed commodities) in land deforested between 2001 and 2015. Their data comes from an article by Curtis et al. (2018) that looked at drivers of global forest loss using satellite imagery – an article that Feurer et al. seem to have missed with their search strategy. Both Curtis et al. and Hänggli et al. are spatially explicit analyses and further demonstrate the fallacy of equating study numbers with magnitude of effect.

The authors use the PICO (Population–Intervention–Comparator–Outcome) framework to define inclusion criteria. PICO is designed for questions looking at intervention effectiveness. In this review, no intervention is being evaluated. Instead, drivers are explanatory factors and there is no comparator. To make matters worse and highlighting the lack of conceptual and methodological understanding, the authors name deforestation as the outcome of interest when the true outcomes in this review are the underlying and proximate drivers. As a result, the review conflates explanatory factors with outcomes, obscuring the causal relationships it seeks to explain and limiting the usefulness of its findings. Further, the article selection and data extraction were conducted by a single reviewer without duplicate screening or inter-rater reliability checks. This increases the risk of selection and coding bias, particularly when driver categories require interpretive judgment. For example, Feurer et al. include a study by Agduma and Cao (2023). The stated aims of the study are *“to database true mangrove species in SBPS; map the extent of mangrove forests; and examine the potential threats to mangroves in SBPS”*. It provides a snapshot data of the current land use cover from 2022 rather than deforestation or forest degradation data. Furthermore, the authors explicitly state that they provide a preview of potential threats (i.e. things that might happen in the future). Inclusion of this article goes against the statement that Feurer et al.

removed studies that did not explicitly focus on deforestation or forest degradation. Inclusion of this article demonstrates the lack of conceptual understanding (confusing threats with drivers/causes) and the risk of selection and coding bias that has implications to the findings.

Also, Feurer et al. did not conduct critical appraisal of study validity, which is essential part of systematic review process to ensure that the review's results are reliable. For example, they included a study (Abugre & Sackey, 2022) that has a clearly biased study design and statistical analysis. In Abugre & Sackey (2022) all variables including "deforestation" are based solely on respondents' perceptions, which introduces strong "common-method bias" (the way that the data was collected creates artificial correlations between variables) and prevents any link to actual forest loss. The sampling strategy is non-random and mixes community respondents with forestry officers, making results unrepresentative and potentially skewed. Finally, the Partial Least Squares path model is applied to cross-sectional self-reported data, producing path coefficients that reflect shared beliefs rather than real causal relationships. As a result, the study cannot validly claim that any factor has a "direct" or "indirect" effect on deforestation.

Finally, the authors do not report the conduct of the review against reporting standards, such as ROSES (Haddaway et al., 2018). All these elements are clearly outlined in the CEE evidence synthesis guidelines (Collaboration for Environmental Evidence, 2022a), and all contribute to increase risk of bias in the review findings. A formal assessment of the risk of bias in the review conduct and reporting can be found in Table 1. It shows serious deficiencies in conduct and/or reporting in nine out of 16 criteria and deficiencies in the remaining giving an overall assessment of little to no confidence in the findings. We recognise that it may not be easy for editors and peer-reviewers to evaluate review quality and identify whether a review can be called a **systematic review**, which is a **recognised and clearly defined form of evidence synthesis**. This is not a new problem, but it is becoming a problem at a scale that threatens the validity of science within environmental decision-making. To aid editors and peer-reviewers in the evaluation, CEE has published a simple checklist that can be found here: <https://environmentalevidence.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/02/CEESAT-Checklist-for-editors.pdf>

3. Conceptual decisions and terminological mistakes that influence the findings

The review excludes studies addressing spatial and structural forest change, including fragmentation. This exclusion narrows the scope of considered forest change dynamics and shapes the types of drivers included. Fragmentation is a central process in forest degradation, forest cover changes, and land-use transitions (Ma et al., 2023). Logging roads and sites cause forest fragmentation and degradation and often precede deforestation (Matricardi et al., 2020). Furthermore, ecologically, it is not only the amount of forest that matters. It is also the quality and distribution of forest. Forest fragmentation leads to smaller forest patches, increased number of patches, and more forest edge, which have negative implications for forest species (Barlow et al., 2016; Pfeifer et al., 2017). Omitting fragmentation limits the completeness of the findings and causal mechanisms behind deforestation. Another conceptual decision Feurer et al. make is to include conversion of a natural forest to a planted forest as degradation rather than deforestation. This decision does not align with aims of many policies and initiatives to conserve natural forests for their biodiversity and carbon benefits. Nor does it make sense ecologically, especially when non-native tree species are used to replace natural forest. Eucalyptus plantation in place of natural forest is not a degraded natural forest, and it could not be restored to a natural forest state just by leaving it untouched. The restoration process would be akin to oil palm plantation or any other agricultural commodity and therefore, the decision to classify planted forests as degradation introduces bias to the findings as tree plantations themselves may be, and in practice also are, drivers of deforestation.

Finally, we have concerns of misclassification based on terminology. For example, Feurer et al. discuss the challenge of distinguishing between subsistence and commercial farming. They refer to terms such as ‘subsistence mixed farming’ and reference Yadeta et al. (2022). However, ‘subsistence mixed farming’ as used in Yadeta et al. refers to growing of crops and rearing animals rather than mixing subsistence and commercial farming. This example also serves to highlight once more why systematic reviews have steps to verify construct validity and understanding among authors rather than rely on single-person screening.

Conclusion

Here we have demonstrated that the review by Feurer et al. (2025) does not meet the methodological standards required of a systematic review with a meta-analysis and have given examples of bias in the findings. By mischaracterising the study design, employing an incomplete and non-transparent search strategy, conflating key concepts, omitting critical appraisal step, and relying on single-reviewer screening and data extraction, the authors introduce substantial risk of bias at every stage of the review process. The result is a synthesis that does not reliably represent the underlying evidence base and that generates conclusions at odds with more comprehensive and methodologically rigorous analyses. These shortcomings are not merely academic. They risk misdirecting policy and evidence-based decision making.

Table 1. Assessment of the risk of bias in the review conduct and/or reporting using the Collaboration for Environmental Evidence Synthesis Appraisal Tool (CEESAT) (Collaboration for Environmental Evidence, 2022b)

Question	Risk of bias	Explanatory notes
Are the elements of the review question clear?		PICOs wrongly defined
Is there a protocol?		No protocol and no clearly defined methods section.
Is the search clearly defined?		No information about the actual databases, just platforms.
Is the search comprehensive?		Few sources and few terms. No grey literature.
Are eligibility criteria clearly defined?		PICOs wrong and criteria not specific.
Is eligibility criteria consistently applied?		Only single author screening, no consistency checking
Are eligibility decisions transparently reported?		Reason for exclusion not reported for each article.
Was each study critically appraised?		No critical appraisal conducted
During critical appraisal was effort made to minimise subjectivity?		No critical appraisal conducted
Is the method of data extraction fully documented?		Not replicable based on information provided.
Are the extracted data reported for each study?		Data per study not reported
Were extracted data cross checked by more than one reviewer?		Only single person extracted the data. No cross-checking.

Is the choice of synthesis approach appropriate?		No. The authors call the analysis meta-analysis, but it is not.
Is a statistical estimate of pooled effect (or similar) provided together with measure of variance and heterogeneity among studies?		No statistical estimates provided.
Is variability in the study findings investigated and discussed?		Not investigated as this is a systematic map rather than systematic review with meta-analysis.
Have limitations of the synthesis been considered?		Limitations of the review conduct not considered.

Legend for the risk of bias ratings

	Meets the standards of conduct and/or reporting that reduce risk of bias as much as could reasonably be expected. Lowest risk of bias – high repeatability – highest reliability/confidence in findings.
	Acceptable standard of conduct/reporting that reduces risk of bias. Acceptable risk of bias – repeatable – acceptable reliability/confidence in findings.
	Deficiencies in conduct and/or reporting standards such that the risk of bias is increased (above green), alternatively risk of bias may be less easy to assess. Medium risk of bias – not fully repeatable – low reliability/confidence in findings
	Serious deficiencies in conduct and/or reporting such that risk of bias is high. High risk if bias – not repeatable – little to no confidence in findings

References

- Abugre, S., & Sackey, E. K. (2022). Diagnosis of perception of drivers of deforestation using the partial least squares path modeling approach. *Trees, Forests and People*, 8, 100246.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2022.100246>
- Agduma, A., & Cao, K.-F. (2023). Species richness, extent and potential threats to mangroves of Sarangani Bay Protected Seascape, Philippines. *Biodiversity Data Journal*, 11, e100050.
<https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.11.e100050>
- Barlow, J., Lennox, G. D., Ferreira, J., Berenguer, E., Lees, A. C., Nally, R. M., Thomson, J. R., Ferraz, S. F. de B., Louzada, J., Oliveira, V. H. F., Parry, L., Ribeiro de Castro Solar, R., Vieira, I. C. G., Aragão, L. E. O. C., Begotti, R. A., Braga, R. F., Cardoso, T. M., de Oliveira, R. C., Souza Jr, C. M., ... Gardner, T. A. (2016). Anthropogenic disturbance in tropical forests can double biodiversity loss from deforestation. *Nature*, 535(7610), 144–147. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature18326>

- Bernhard, K. P., Shapiro, A. C., & Hunt, C. A. (2024). Drivers of tropical deforestation: A global review of methodological approaches and analytical scales. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 33(1), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-023-02747-z>
- Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. (2022a). *Guidelines and Standards for Evidence synthesis in Environmental Management. Version 5.1* (AS Pullin, GK Frampton, B Livoreil & G Petrokofsky, Eds) (Version 5.1). www.environmentalevidence.org/information-for-authors
- Collaboration for Environmental Evidence. (2022b). *The Collaboration for Environmental Evidence Synthesis Appraisal Tool (CEESAT). Version 2.1*.
- Curtis, P. G., Slay, C. M., Harris, N. L., Tyukavina, A., & Hansen, M. C. (2018). Classifying drivers of global forest loss. *Science*, 361(6407), 1108–1111. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aau3445>
- Dow Goldman, E., Weisse, M., Harris, N., & Schneider, M. (2020). Estimating the Role of Seven Commodities in Agriculture-Linked Deforestation: Oil Palm, Soy, Cattle, Wood Fiber, Cocoa, Coffee, and Rubber. *World Resources Institute*. <https://doi.org/10.46830/writn.na.00001>
- Feurer, M., Markovic, J., Starke, M., Wilkes-Allemand, J., & Wolf, O. (2025). Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation between 1990 and 2023—A global meta-analysis. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 173, 104242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2025.104242>
- Haddaway, N. R., Macura, B., Whaley, P., & Pullin, A. S. (2018). ROSES RepOrting standards for Systematic Evidence Syntheses: Pro forma, flow-diagram and descriptive summary of the plan and conduct of environmental systematic reviews and systematic maps. *Environmental Evidence*, 7(1), 7. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-018-0121-7>
- Hänggli, A., Levy, S. A., Armenteras, D., Bovolo, C. I., Brandão, J., Rueda, X., & Garrett, R. D. (2023). A systematic comparison of deforestation drivers and policy effectiveness across the Amazon biome. *Environmental Research Letters*, 18(7), 073001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/acd408>
- Konno, K., & Pullin, A. S. (2020). Assessing the risk of bias in choice of search sources for environmental meta-analyses. *Research Synthesis Methods*, 11(5), 698–713. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jrsm.1433>

- Lee, J. S. H., Abood, S., Ghazoul, J., Barus, B., Obidzinski, K., & Koh, L. P. (2014). Environmental Impacts of Large-Scale Oil Palm Enterprises Exceed that of Smallholdings in Indonesia. *Conservation Letters*, 7(1), 25–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12039>
- Ma, J., Li, J., Wu, W., & Liu, J. (2023). Global forest fragmentation change from 2000 to 2020. *Nature Communications*, 14(1), 3752. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-39221-x>
- Matricardi, E. A. T., Skole, D. L., Costa, O. B., Pedlowski, M. A., Samek, J. H., & Miguel, E. P. (2020). Long-term forest degradation surpasses deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *Science*, 369(6509), 1378–1382. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abb3021>
- Pfeifer, M., Lefebvre, V., Peres, C. A., Banks-Leite, C., Wearn, O. R., Marsh, C. J., Butchart, S. H. M., Arroyo-Rodríguez, V., Barlow, J., Cerezo, A., Cisneros, L., D’Cruze, N., Faria, D., Hadley, A., Harris, S. M., Klingbeil, B. T., Kormann, U., Lens, L., Medina-Rangel, G. F., ... Ewers, R. M. (2017). Creation of forest edges has a global impact on forest vertebrates. *Nature*, 551(7679), 187–191. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature24457>
- Yadeta, T., Deribew, K. T., Getahun, K., Debesa, G., Abreha, G., & Hailu, S. (2022). Recent resettlement programs, as drivers for Afromontane forest loss in the Hawa-Galan district of Ethiopia. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 8(1), 2088462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2022.2088462>

Annex 1. Articles included by Bernhard et al. (2024) but not Feurer et al.

Acheampong, E., & Maryudi, A. (2020). Avoiding legality: Timber producers' strategies and motivations under FLEGT in Ghana and Indonesia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 111, 102047.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2019.102047>

Acheampong, E. O., Macgregor, C. J., Sloan, S., & Sayer, J. (2019). Deforestation is driven by agricultural expansion in Ghana's forest reserves. *Scientific African*, 5, e00146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2019.e00146>

Acheampong, E. O., Sayer, J., & Macgregor, C. J. (2018). Road improvement enhances smallholder productivity and reduces forest encroachment in Ghana. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 85, 64–71.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.04.001>

Acheampong, M., Yu, Q., Enomah, L. D., Anchang, J., & Eduful, M. (2018). Land use/cover change in Ghana's oil city: Assessing the impact of neoliberal economic policies and implications for sustainable development goal number one – A remote sensing and GIS approach. *Land Use Policy*, 73, 373–384.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2018.02.019>

Agariga, F., Abugre, S., & Appiah, M. (2021). Spatio-temporal changes in land use and forest cover in the Asutifi North District of Ahafo Region of Ghana, (1986–2020). *Environmental Challenges*, 5, 100209.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envc.2021.100209>

Andrieu, J., Barima, Y. S. S., Moreno, D., Vignal, M., & Zerbo, R. (2018). Retrospective modelling and remote sensing analysis of deforestation in the protected Haut-Sassandra Forest (Cote d'Ivoire) in a context of armed conflict (2001-2013). Translated from the French by Ly Lan Dill, *L'Espace géographique* 2018/3 (Volume 47) , p. 219-234.

Antonarakis, A. S., Pacca, L., & Antoniadis, A. (2022a). The effect of financial crises on deforestation: A global and regional panel data analysis. *Sustainability Science*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11625-021-01086-8>

Armenteras, D., Espelta, J. M., Rodríguez, N., & Retana, J. (2017). Deforestation dynamics and drivers in different forest types in Latin America: Three decades of studies (1980–2010). *Global Environmental Change*, 46, 139–147.

Armenteras, D., Rodríguez, N., Retana, J., & Morales, M. (2011). Understanding deforestation in montane and lowland forests of the Colombian Andes. *Regional Environmental Change*, 11(3), 693–705.

Ayanlade, A., & Howard, M. T. (2017). Understanding changes in a Tropical Delta: A multi-method narrative of landuse/landcover change in the Niger Delta. *Ecological Modelling*, 364, 53–65.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2017.09.012>

Bamba, I., Barima, Y. S. S., Sangne, Y. C., Andrieu, J., & Assi-Kaudjhis, J. P. (n.d.). *Partition du territoire et dynamique des végétations pendant la période de conflit en Côte d'Ivoire*. 14.

Bau, D. E. (2016). Deforestation and forest degradation in southern Burkina Faso: Understanding the drivers of change and options for revegetation. *Department of Forest Science*, 3–80.

- Benedek, Z., & Fertő, I. (2020). Does economic growth influence forestry trends? An environmental Kuznets curve approach based on a composite Forest Recovery Index. *Ecological Indicators*, 112, 106067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2020.106067>
- Besnard, S. (2015). *Pan-tropical analysis of deforestation drivers using global land cover products and the role of deforestation drivers in global gross carbon emissions for 1990-2000-2005 time period*. SI: sn.
- Bhowmik, A. K., Padmanaban, R., Cabral, P., & Romeiras, M. M. (2022). *Global Mangrove Deforestation and Its Interacting Social-Ecological Drivers: A Systematic Review and Synthesis*.
- Blackman, A. (2013). Evaluating forest conservation policies in developing countries using remote sensing data: An introduction and practical guide. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 34, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2013.04.006>
- Blom, B., Cummins, I., & Ashton, M. S. (2012). Large and intact forests: Drivers and inhibitors of deforestation and forest degradation. In *Managing Forest Carbon in a Changing Climate* (pp. 285–304). Springer.
- Bodart, C., Brink, A. B., Donnay, F., Lupi, A., Mayaux, P., & Achard, F. (2013). Continental estimates of forest cover and forest cover changes in the dry ecosystems of Africa between 1990 and 2000. *Journal of Biogeography*, 40(6), 1036–1047. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbi.12084>
- Bonilla-Moheno, M., & Aide, T. M. (2020). Beyond deforestation: Land cover transitions in Mexico. *Agricultural Systems*, 178, 102734.
- Boucher, D., Elias, P., Lininger, K., May-Tobin, C., Roquemore, S., & Saxon, E. (2011). *The root of the problem: What's driving tropical deforestation today?* JSTOR.
- Brancalion, P. H., Broadbent, E. N., De-Miguel, S., Cardil, A., Rosa, M. R., Almeida, C. T., Almeida, D. R., Chakravarty, S., Zhou, M., & Gamarra, J. G. (2020). Emerging threats linking tropical deforestation and the COVID-19 pandemic. *Perspectives in Ecology and Conservation*, 18(4), 243–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecon.2020.09.006>
- Brinkmann, K., Schumacher, J., Dittrich, A., Kadaore, I., & Buerkert, A. (2012). Analysis of landscape transformation processes in and around four West African cities over the last 50 years. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 105(1–2), 94–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2011.12.003>
- Bryan-Brown, D. N., Connolly, R. M., Richards, D. R., Adame, F., Friess, D. A., & Brown, C. J. (2020). Global trends in mangrove forest fragmentation. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1–8.
- Burgess, R., Costa, F., & Olken, B. A. (2016a). The power of the state: National borders and the deforestation of the Amazon. *London School of Economics*.
- Butsic, V., Baumann, M., Shortland, A., Walker, S., & Kuemmerle, T. (2015). Conservation and conflict in the Democratic Republic of Congo: The impacts of warfare, mining, and protected areas on deforestation. *Biological Conservation*, 191, 266–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.037>
- Byerlee, D., Stevenson, J., & Villoria, N. (2014). Does intensification slow crop land expansion or encourage deforestation? *Global Food Security*, 3(2), 92–98.

- Cardil, A., de-Miguel, S., Silva, C. A., Reich, P. B., Calkin, D., Brancalion, P. H. S., Vibrans, A. C., Gamarra, J. G. P., Zhou, M., Pijanowski, B. C., Hui, C., Crowther, T. W., Hérault, B., Piotto, D., Salas-Eljatib, C., Broadbent, E. N., Almeyda Zambrano, A. M., Picard, N., Aragão, L. E. O. C., ... Liang, J. (2020). Recent deforestation drove the spike in Amazonian fires. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(12), 121003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/abcac7>
- Carodenuto, S., Merger, E., Essomba, E., Panev, M., Pistorius, T., & Amougou, J. (2015). A methodological framework for assessing agents, proximate drivers and underlying causes of deforestation: Field test results from Southern Cameroon. *Forests*, 6(1), 203–224.
- Carriazo, F., Labarta, R., & Escobedo, F. J. (2020). Incentivizing sustainable rangeland practices and policies in Colombia's Orinoco region. *Land Use Policy*, 95, 104203. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2019.104203>
- Cerbaro, M., Morse, S., Murphy, R., Lynch, J., & Griffiths, G. (2020). Information from Earth Observation for the Management of Sustainable Land Use and Land Cover in Brazil: An Analysis of User Needs. *Sustainability*, 12(2), 489. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12020489>
- Chomitz, K. M., & Buys, P. (2007). *At loggerheads? : Overview: Agricultural expansion, poverty reduction, and environment in the tropical forests*. World Bank.
- Chowdhury, R. R. (2006). Driving forces of tropical deforestation: The role of remote sensing and spatial models. *Singapore Journal of Tropical Geography*, 27(1), 82–101.
- Costenbader, J., Broadhead, J., Yasmi, Y., & Durst, P. B. (n.d.). *Drivers Affecting Forest Change in the Greater Mekong Subregion (GMS): An Overview*. <https://climatefocus.com/sites/default/files/GMS-Drivers-PolicyBrief.pdf>
- Cuaresma, J. C., & Heger, M. (2019a). Deforestation and economic development: Evidence from national borders. *Land Use Policy*, 84, e347–e353.
- Curtis, P. G., Slay, C. M., Harris, N. L., Tyukavina, A., & Hansen, M. C. (2018). Classifying drivers of global forest loss. *Science*, 361(6407), 1108–1111.
- Dalla-Nora, E. L., Aguiar, A. P. D., Montenegro Lapola, D., & Woltjer, G. (2014). Modelling the interplay between global and regional drivers on Amazon deforestation. *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts*, 2014, GC51C-0427.
- Dalla-Nora, E. L., de Aguiar, A. P. D., Lapola, D. M., & Woltjer, G. (2014). Why have land use change models for the Amazon failed to capture the amount of deforestation over the last decade? *Land Use Policy*, 39, 403–411.
- Damette, O., & Delacote, P. (2012). On the economic factors of deforestation: What can we learn from quantile analysis? *Economic Modelling*, 29(6), 2427–2434.
- Damnyag, L., Saastamoinen, O., Appiah, M., & Pappinen, A. (2012). Role of tenure insecurity in deforestation in Ghana's high forest zone. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 14(1), 90–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2011.08.006>
- Dang, D. K., Patterson, A. C., & Carrasco, L. R. (2019). An analysis of the spatial association between deforestation and agricultural field sizes in the tropics and subtropics. *PloS One*, 14(1), e0209918.

- De Sy, V., Herold, M., Achard, F., Avitabile, V., Baccini, A., Carter, S., Clevers, J. G., Lindquist, E., Pereira, M., & Verchot, L. (2019). Tropical deforestation drivers and associated carbon emission factors derived from remote sensing data. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(9), 094022.
- De Sy, V., Herold, M., Achard, F., Beuchle, R., Clevers, J., Lindquist, E., & Verchot, L. (2015). Land use patterns and related carbon losses following deforestation in South America. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(12), 124004.
- DeFries, R., Herold, M., Verchot, L., Macedo, M. N., & Shimabukuro, Y. (2013). Export-oriented deforestation in Mato Grosso: Harbinger or exception for other tropical forests? *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1619), 20120173.
- DeFries, R. S., Rudel, T., Uriarte, M., & Hansen, M. (2010). Deforestation driven by urban population growth and agricultural trade in the twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience*, 3(3), 178–181.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo756>
- Delgado-Aguilar, Ma. J., Hinojosa, L., & Schmitt, C. B. (2019). Combining remote sensing techniques and participatory mapping to understand the relations between forest degradation and ecosystems services in a tropical rainforest. *Applied Geography*, 104, 65–74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2019.02.003>
- Dezécache, C., Salles, J.-M., Vieilledent, G., & Hérault, B. (2017). Moving forward socio-economically focused models of deforestation. *Global Change Biology*, 23(9), 3484–3500.
- Dimobe, K., Ouédraogo, A., Soma, S., Goetze, D., Porembski, S., & Thiombiano, A. (2015). Identification of driving factors of land degradation and deforestation in the Wildlife Reserve of Bontioli (Burkina Faso, West Africa). *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 4, 559–571. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gecco.2015.10.006>
- Dlamini, W. M. (2016). Analysis of deforestation patterns and drivers in Swaziland using efficient Bayesian multivariate classifiers. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 2(4), 1–14.
- Dwomoh, F. K., Wimberly, M. C., Cochrane, M. A., & Numata, I. (2019). Forest degradation promotes fire during drought in moist tropical forests of Ghana. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 440, 158–168.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2019.03.014>
- Eisner, R., Seabrook, L. M., & McAlpine, C. A. (2016b). Are changes in global oil production influencing the rate of deforestation and biodiversity loss? *Biological Conservation*, 196, 147–155.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.02.017>
- Ernst, C., Mayaux, P., Verhegghen, A., Bodart, C., Christophe, M., & Defourny, P. (2013). National forest cover change in Congo Basin: Deforestation, reforestation, degradation and regeneration for the years 1990, 2000 and 2005. *Global Change Biology*, 19(4), 1173–1187.
- Etter, A., McAlpine, C., Wilson, K., Phinn, S., & Possingham, H. (2006). Regional patterns of agricultural land use and deforestation in Colombia. *Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment*, 114(2–4), 369–386.
- Evans, M. C. (2016). Deforestation in Australia: Drivers, trends and policy responses. *Pacific Conservation Biology*, 22(2), 130–150.

- Fauzi, A. I., Sakti, A. D., Yayusman, L. F., Harto, A. B., Prasetyo, L. B., Irawan, B., & Wikantika, K. (2018). Evaluating mangrove forest deforestation causes in Southeast Asia by analyzing recent environment and socio-economic data products. *Proceedings Asian Conference on Remote Sensing 2018*, 2, 880–889.
- Ferrer Velasco, R., Köthke, M., Lippe, M., & Günter, S. (2020). Scale and context dependency of deforestation drivers: Insights from spatial econometrics in the tropics. *PloS One*, 15(1), e0226830.
- Fichet, L.-V., Sannier, C., Makaga, E. M., & Seyler, F. (2014). Assessing the Accuracy of Forest Cover Map for 1990, 2000 and 2010 at National Scale in Gabon. *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing*, 7(4), 1346–1356. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSTARS.2013.2271845>
- Figuerola, D., Galeana-Pizaña, J. M., Núñez, J. M., Gomez, C. A., Hernández-Castro, J. R., del Mar Sánchez-Ramírez, M., & Garduño, A. (2021). Assessing drivers and deterrents of deforestation in Mexico through a public policy tool. The adequacy of the index of economic pressure for deforestation. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 133, 102608.
- Finer, M., Novoa, S., Weisse, M. J., Petersen, R., Mascaro, J., Souto, T., Stearns, F., & Martinez, R. G. (2018). Combating deforestation: From satellite to intervention. *Science*, 360(6395), 1303–1305.
- Fischer, R., Giessen, L., & Günter, S. (2020). Governance effects on deforestation in the tropics: A review of the evidence. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 105, 84–101.
- Fisher, B. (2010). African exception to drivers of deforestation. *Nature Geoscience*, 3(6), 375–376.
- Ford, S. A., Jepsen, M. R., Kingston, N., Lewis, E., Brooks, T. M., MacSharry, B., & Mertz, O. (2020). Deforestation leakage undermines conservation value of tropical and subtropical forest protected areas. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 29(11), 2014–2024.
- Fosci, M. (2013). Balance sheet in the REDD+: Are global estimates measuring the wrong costs? *Ecological Economics*, 89, 196–200.
- Gaiser, T., Judex, M., Igué, A. M., Paeth, H., & Hiepe, C. (2011). Future productivity of fallow systems in Sub-Saharan Africa: Is the effect of demographic pressure and fallow reduction more significant than climate change? *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 151(8), 1120–1130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2011.03.015>
- Gandhi, S., & Jones, T. G. (2019). Identifying mangrove deforestation hotspots in South Asia, Southeast Asia and Asia-Pacific. *Remote Sensing*, 11(6), 728.
- Gao, Y., Skutsch, M., Masera, O., & Pacheco, P. (2011). *A global analysis of deforestation due to biofuel development*.
- Garcia, A. S., de FN Vilela, V. M., Rizzo, R., West, P., Gerber, J. S., Engstrom, P. M., & Ballester, M. V. R. (2019). Assessing land use/cover dynamics and exploring drivers in the Amazon's arc of deforestation through a hierarchical, multi-scale and multi-temporal classification approach. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, 15, 100233.

- Gardner, T. A., Barlow, J., Sodhi, N. S., & Peres, C. A. (2010). A multi-region assessment of tropical forest biodiversity in a human-modified world. *Biological Conservation*, 143(10), 2293–2300.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2010.05.017>
- Gasparri, N. I., Grau, H. R., & Angonese, J. G. (2013). Linkages between soybean and neotropical deforestation: Coupling and transient decoupling dynamics in a multi-decadal analysis. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(6), 1605–1614.
- Goers, L., Lawson, J., & Garen, E. (2012). Economic drivers of tropical deforestation for agriculture. *Managing Forest Carbon in a Changing Climate*, 305–320.
- González-González, A., Clerici, N., & Quesada, B. (2021). Growing mining contribution to Colombian deforestation. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(6), 064046.
- González-González, A., Villegas, J. C., Clerici, N., & Salazar, J. F. (2021). Spatial-temporal dynamics of deforestation and its drivers indicate need for locally-adapted environmental governance in Colombia. *Ecological Indicators*, 126, 107695.
- Graesser, J., Aide, T. M., Grau, H. R., & Ramankutty, N. (2015). Cropland/pastureland dynamics and the slowdown of deforestation in Latin America. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(3), 034017.
- Grau, H. R., Aide, T. M., & Gasparri, N. I. (2005). Globalization and soybean expansion into semiarid ecosystems of Argentina. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 34(3), 265–266.
- Green, J. M., Croft, S. A., Durán, A. P., Balmford, A. P., Burgess, N. D., Fick, S., Gardner, T. A., Godar, J., Suavet, C., & Virah-Sawmy, M. (2019). Linking global drivers of agricultural trade to on-the-ground impacts on biodiversity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 116(46), 23202–23208.
- Grogan, K., Pflugmacher, D., Hostert, P., Mertz, O., & Fensholt, R. (2019). Unravelling the link between global rubber price and tropical deforestation in Cambodia. *Nature Plants*, 5(1), 47–53.
- Guerra, C. A., Snow, R. W., & Hay, S. I. (2006). A global assessment of closed forests, deforestation and malaria risk. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology*, 100(3), 189.
- Gusti, M., Forsell, N., Havlik, P., Khabarov, N., Kraxner, F., & Obersteiner, M. (2019). The sensitivity of the costs of reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation (REDD) to future socioeconomic drivers and its implications for mitigation policy design. *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 24(6), 1123–1141.
- Gutiérrez-Vélez, V. H., DeFries, R., Pinedo-Vásquez, M., Uriarte, M., Padoch, C., Baethgen, W., Fernandes, K., & Lim, Y. (2011). High-yield oil palm expansion spares land at the expense of forests in the Peruvian Amazon. *Environmental Research Letters*, 6(4), 044029. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/6/4/044029>
- Hamunyela, E., Brandt, P., Shirima, D., Do, H. T. T., Herold, M., & Roman-Cuesta, R. M. (2020). Space-time detection of deforestation, forest degradation and regeneration in montane forests of Eastern Tanzania. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 88, 102063.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2020.102063>

Henders, S., & Ostwald, M. (2014). Accounting methods for international land-related leakage and distant deforestation drivers. *Ecological Economics*, 99, 21–28.

Henders, S., Ostwald, M., Verendel, V., & Ibsch, P. (2018). Do national strategies under the UN biodiversity and climate conventions address agricultural commodity consumption as deforestation driver? *Land Use Policy*, 70, 580–590.

Heß, S., Jaimovich, D., & Schündeln, M. (2021). Environmental effects of development programs: Experimental evidence from West African dryland forests. *Journal of Development Economics*, 153, 102737.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2021.102737>

Hidayat, T. A. (2019). *Spatial Analysis of Causes of Deforestation in Indonesia*.

Hoang, N. T., & Kanemoto, K. (2021). Mapping the deforestation footprint of nations reveals growing threat to tropical forests. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 5(6), 845–853.

Hoffmann, C., Márquez, J. R. G., & Krueger, T. (2018). A local perspective on drivers and measures to slow deforestation in the Andean-Amazonian foothills of Colombia. *Land Use Policy*, 77, 379–391.

Holbech, L. H., Annorbah, N. N. D., Phalan, B., & Arcilla, N. (2018). Uncontrolled hunting and habitat degradation decimate and extirpate forest hornbills in Ghana, West Africa. *Biological Conservation*, 223, 104–111.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.039>

Hosonuma, N., Herold, M., De Sy, V., De Fries, R. S., Brockhaus, M., Verchot, L., Angelsen, A., & Romijn, E. (2012). An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4), 044009.

Houghton, R. A. (2012). Carbon emissions and the drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in the tropics. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6), 597–603.

Ibarra-Bonilla, J. S., Villarreal-Guerrero, F., Prieto-Amparán, J. A., Santellano-Estrada, E., & Pinedo-Alvarez, A. (2021). Characterizing the impact of Land-Use/Land-Cover changes on a Temperate Forest using the Markov model. *Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*, 24(3), 1013–1022. Scopus.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2021.11.004>

Ingalls, M. L., Meyfroidt, P., To, P. X., Kenney-Lazar, M., & Epprecht, M. (2018). The transboundary displacement of deforestation under REDD+: Problematic intersections between the trade of forest-risk commodities and land grabbing in the Mekong region. *Global Environmental Change*, 50, 255–267.

Irvin, J., Sheng, H., Ramachandran, N., Johnson-Yu, S., Zhou, S., Story, K., Rustowicz, R., Elsworth, C., Austin, K., & Ng, A. Y. (2020). Forestnet: Classifying drivers of deforestation in indonesia using deep learning on satellite imagery. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2011.05479*.

Janssen, T. A. J., Ametsitsi, G. K. D., Collins, M., Adu-Bredu, S., Oliveras, I., Mitchard, E. T. A., & Veenendaal, E. M. (2018). Extending the baseline of tropical dry forest loss in Ghana (1984–2015) reveals drivers of major deforestation inside a protected area. *Biological Conservation*, 218, 163–172.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.12.004>

- Jardeleza, J. M., Gotangco, C. K., & Guzman, M. A. L. (2019). Simulating national-scale deforestation in the Philippines using land cover change models. *Philipp. J. Sci*, 148, 597–608.
- Kansanga, M. M., Dinko, D. H., Nyantakyi-Frimpong, H., Arku, G., & Luginaah, I. (2021). Scalar politics and black markets: The political ecology of illegal rosewood logging in Ghana. *Geoforum*, 119, 83–93.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2020.12.020>
- Karsenty, A., Romero, C., Cerutti, P. O., Doucet, J.-L., Putz, F. E., Bernard, C., Atyi, R. E., Douard, P., Claeys, F., Desbureaux, S., Blas, D. E. de, Fayolle, A., Fomété, T., Forni, E., Gond, V., Gourlet-Fleury, S., Kleinschroth, F., Mortier, F., Nasi, R., ... de Wasseige, C. (2017). Deforestation and timber production in Congo after implementation of sustainable management policy: A reaction to the article by J.S. Brandt, C. Nolte and A. Agrawal (Land Use Policy 52:15–22). *Land Use Policy*, 65, 62–65.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.02.032>
- Katembera Ciza, S., Mikwa, J.-F., Cirhuza Malekezi, A., Gond, V., & Boyemba Bosela, F. (2015). Identification Des Moteurs De Déforestation Dans La Région D'isangi, République Démocratique Du Congo. *Bois & Forêts Des Tropiques*, 324(324), 29. <https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2015.324.a31264>
- Kideghesho, J. R. (2015). Realities on deforestation in Tanzania—Trends, drivers, implications and the way forward. *Precious Forests-Precious Earth*, 21–47.
- Kissinger, G. (2020). Policy responses to direct and underlying drivers of deforestation: Examining rubber and coffee in the Central Highlands of Vietnam. *Forests*, 11(7), 733.
- Kissinger, G., Herold, M., & De Sy, V. (2012a). Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation. *A Synthesis Report for REDD+ Policymakers*, 48(10.1016).
- Koglo, Y. S., Gaiser, T., Agyare, W. A., Sogbedji, J. M., & Kouami, K. (2019). Implications of some major human-induced activities on forest cover using extended change matrix quantity and intensity analysis based on historical Landsat data from the Kloto District, Togo. *Ecological Indicators*, 96, 628–634.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.09.042>
- Kong, R., Diepart, J.-C., Castella, J.-C., Lestrelin, G., Tivet, F., Belmain, E., & Bégué, A. (2019). Understanding the drivers of deforestation and agricultural transformations in the Northwestern uplands of Cambodia. *Applied Geography*, 102, 84–98.
- Köthke, M., Leischner, B., & Elsasser, P. (2013). Uniform global deforestation patterns—An empirical analysis. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 28, 23–37.
- Kuschnig, N., Crespo Cuaresma, J., & Krisztin, T. (2019). *Unveiling Drivers of Deforestation: Evidence from the Brazilian Amazon*.
- Kuschnig, N., Cuaresma, J. C., Krisztin, T., & Giljum, S. (2021). Spatial spillover effects from agriculture drive deforestation in Mato Grosso, Brazil. *Scientific Reports*, 11(1). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-00861-y>
- Landholm, D. M., Pradhan, P., & Kropp, J. P. (2019). Diverging forest land use dynamics induced by armed conflict across the tropics. *Global Environmental Change*, 56, 86–94.

- Laurance, W. F., Sayer, J., & Cassman, K. G. (2014). Agricultural expansion and its impacts on tropical nature. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 29(2), 107–116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2013.12.001>
- Leblois, A., Damette, O., & Wolfersberger, J. (2017). What has Driven Deforestation in Developing Countries Since the 2000s? Evidence from New Remote-Sensing Data. *World Development*, 92, 82–102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2016.11.012>
- Leijten, F., Sim, S., King, H., & Verburg, P. H. (2020). Which forests could be protected by corporate zero deforestation commitments? A spatial assessment. *Environmental Research Letters*, 15(6), 064021.
- Lele, N., & Joshi, P. K. (2009). Analyzing deforestation rates, spatial forest cover changes and identifying critical areas of forest cover changes in North-East India during 1972–1999. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 156(1), 159–170.
- Lewis, S. L., Edwards, D. P., & Galbraith, D. (2015). Increasing human dominance of tropical forests. *Science*, 349(6250), 827–832. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa9932>
- Liu, Y. Y., van Dijk, A. I., McCabe, M. F., Evans, J. P., & de Jeu, R. A. (2013). Global vegetation biomass change (1988–2008) and attribution to environmental and human drivers. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 22(6), 692–705.
- Lohani, S., Dilts, T. E., Weisberg, P. J., Null, S. E., & Hogan, Z. S. (2020). Rapidly accelerating deforestation in Cambodia's Mekong River Basin: A comparative analysis of spatial patterns and drivers. *Water*, 12(8), 2191.
- López-Carr, D., & Burgdorfer, J. (2013). Deforestation drivers: Population, migration, and tropical land use. *Environment: Science and Policy for Sustainable Development*, 55(1), 3–11.
- López-Carr, D., Ryan, S. J., & Clark, M. L. (2022). Global Economic and Diet Transitions Drive Latin American and Caribbean Forest Change during the First Decade of the Century: A Multi-Scale Analysis of Socioeconomic, Demographic, and Environmental Drivers of Local Forest Cover Change. *Land*, 11(3), 326.
- Malhi, Y., Roberts, J. T., Betts, R. A., Killeen, T. J., Li, W., & Nobre, C. A. (2008). Climate change, deforestation, and the fate of the Amazon. *Science*, 319(5860), 169–172.
- Mammadova, A., Behagel, J., Masiero, M., & Pettenella, D. (2022). Deforestation As a Systemic Risk. The Case of Brazilian Bovine Leather. *Forests*, 13(2). Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.3390/f13020233>
- Mammadova, A., Masiero, M., & Pettenella, D. (2020). Embedded deforestation: The case study of the Brazilian–Italian bovine leather trade. *Forests*, 11(4), 472.
- Marques, A. (2021). Distant drivers of deforestation. *Nature Ecology & Evolution*, 5(6), 713–714.
- Mas, J. F., & Cuevas, G. (2015). Local Deforestation Patterns in Mexico. *Proceedings of the 1st International Conference on Geographical Information Systems Theory, Applications and Management*, 54–60.
- Mas, J.-F., & Cuevas, G. (2015). Identifying Local Deforestation Patterns Using Geographically Weighted Regression Models. *International Conference on Geographical Information Systems Theory, Applications and Management*, 36–49.

- Mather, A. S., Needle, C. L., & Fairbairn, J. (1998). The human drivers of global land cover change: The case of forests. *Hydrological Processes*, 12(13-14), 1983–1994.
- Mayer, A. L. (2019). Family forest owners and landscape-scale interactions: A review. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 188, 4–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2018.10.017>
- Medernach, K., & Burnod, P. (2013). Recompositions inattendues d'un système agricole malgache par l'agrobusiness. *Études rurales*, 191, 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.4000/etudesrurales.9777>
- Mena, C. F., Bilsborrow, R. E., & McClain, M. E. (2006). Socioeconomic drivers of deforestation in the Northern Ecuadorian Amazon. *Environmental Management*, 37(6), 802–815.
- Meyfroidt, P. (2016). Approaches and terminology for causal analysis in land systems science. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 11(5), 501–522. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1747423X.2015.1117530>
- Meyfroidt, P., & Lambin, E. F. (2011). Global forest transition: Prospects for an end to deforestation. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 36, 343–371.
- Miapia, L. M., Ariza-Mateos, D., Lacerda-Quartín, V., & Palacios-Rodríguez, G. (2021). Deforestation and Biomass Production in Miombo Forest in Huambo (Angola): A Balance between Local and Global Needs. *Forests*, 12(11), 1557.
- Mills Busa, J. H. (2013). Deforestation beyond borders: Addressing the disparity between production and consumption of global resources. *Conservation Letters*, 6(3), 192–199.
- Mitton, J., & Murray-Smith, R. (2021). Rotation Equivariant Deforestation Segmentation and Driver Classification. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:2110.13097*.
- Molinario, G., Hansen, M. C., & Potapov, P. V. (2015). Forest cover dynamics of shifting cultivation in the Democratic Republic of Congo: A remote sensing-based assessment for 2000–2010. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(9), 094009. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/10/9/094009>
- Molinario, G., Hansen, M., Potapov, P., Tyukavina, A., & Stehman, S. (2020). Contextualizing Landscape-Scale Forest Cover Loss in the Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) between 2000 and 2015. *Land*, 9(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.3390/land9010023>
- Moonen, P. C., Verbist, B., Schaepherders, J., Meyi, M. B., Van Rompaey, A., & Muys, B. (2016). Actor-based identification of deforestation drivers paves the road to effective REDD+ in DR Congo. *Land Use Policy*, 58, 123–132.
- Mosnier, A., Havlik, P., Obersteiner, M., Aoki, K., Schmid, E., Fritz, S., McCallum, I., & Leduc, S. (2014). Modeling impact of development trajectories and a global agreement on reducing emissions from deforestation on Congo Basin forests by 2030. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 57(4), 505–525.
- Müller, R., Pacheco, P., & Montero, J. C. (2014). *The context of deforestation and forest degradation in Bolivia: Drivers, agents and institutions* (Vol. 108). CIFOR.
- Müller, R., Pistorius, T., Rohde, S., Gerold, G., & Pacheco, P. (2013). Policy options to reduce deforestation based on a systematic analysis of drivers and agents in lowland Bolivia. *Land Use Policy*, 30(1), 895–907.

- Nansikombi, H., Fischer, R., Ferrer Velasco, R., Lippe, M., Kalaba, F. K., Kabwe, G., & Günter, S. (2020). Can de facto governance influence deforestation drivers in the Zambian Miombo? *Forest Policy and Economics*, 120, 102309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2020.102309>
- Nepstad, D. C., Stickler, C. M., Filho, B. S., & Merry, F. (2008). Interactions among Amazon land use, forests and climate: Prospects for a near-term forest tipping point. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 363(1498), 1737–1746. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2007.0036>
- Ngoma, H., Pelletier, J., Mulenga, B. P., & Subakanya, M. (2021). Climate-smart agriculture, cropland expansion and deforestation in Zambia: Linkages, processes and drivers. *Land Use Policy*, 107, 105482.
- Norris, K., Asase, A., Collen, B., Gockowksi, J., Mason, J., Phalan, B., & Wade, A. (2010). Biodiversity in a forest-agriculture mosaic – The changing face of West African rainforests. *Biological Conservation*, 143(10), 2341–2350. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2009.12.032>
- Oliveira, E., & Meyfroidt, P. (2021). Strategic land-use planning instruments in tropical regions: State of the art and future research. *Journal of Land Use Science*, 16(5–6), 479–497. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1747423X.2021.2015471>
- Ometto, J. P., Aguiar, A. P. D., & Martinelli, L. A. (2011). Amazon deforestation in Brazil: Effects, drivers and challenges. *Carbon Management*, 2(5), 575–585.
- Onojeghuo, A. O., & Blackburn, G. A. (2011). Forest transition in an ecologically important region: Patterns and causes for landscape dynamics in the Niger Delta. *Ecological Indicators*, 11(5), 1437–1446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.03.017>
- Ouattara, T., Kouamé, F., Zo-Bi, C., Vaudry, R., & Grinand, C. (2021). Changements d’occupation et d’usage des terres entre 2016 et 2019 dans le Sud-Est de la Côte d’Ivoire: Impact des cultures de rente sur la forêt. *BOIS & FORETS DES TROPIQUES*, 347, 91–106. <https://doi.org/10.19182/bft2021.347.a31868>
- Paim, M.-A. (2021). Zero deforestation in the Amazon: The Soy Moratorium and global forest governance. *Review of European, Comparative & International Environmental Law*, 30(2), 220–232.
- Pandey, S. S., Cockfield, G., & Maraseni, T. N. (2013). Major drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in developing countries and REDD+. *International Journal of Forest Usufructs Management*, 14(1), 99–107.
- Pendrill, F., Persson, U. M., Godar, J., & Kastner, T. (2019). Deforestation displaced: Trade in forest-risk commodities and the prospects for a global forest transition. *Environmental Research Letters*, 14(5), 055003. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/ab0d41>
- Pendrill, F., Persson, U. M., Godar, J., Kastner, T., Moran, D., Schmidt, S., & Wood, R. (2019). Agricultural and forestry trade drives large share of tropical deforestation emissions. *Global Environmental Change*, 56, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.03.002>
- Peres, C. A., & Schneider, M. (2012). Subsidized agricultural resettlements as drivers of tropical deforestation. *Biological Conservation*, 151(1), 65–68.

- Persson, M., Henders, S., & Kastner, T. (2014). Trading forests: Quantifying the contribution of global commodity markets to emissions from tropical deforestation. *Center for Global Development Working Paper*, 384.
- Pienkowski, T., Dickens, B. L., Sun, H., & Carrasco, L. R. (2018). Linking forests, deforestation, and nutritional outcomes: An observational study in nine African countries. *The Lancet Planetary Health*, 2, S4.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(18\)30089-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(18)30089-5)
- Poffenberger, M. (2009). Cambodia's forests and climate change: Mitigating drivers of deforestation. *Natural Resources Forum*, 33(4), 285–296.
- Potter, C. S. (1999). Terrestrial biomass and the effects of deforestation on the global carbon cycle: Results from a model of primary production using satellite observations. *BioScience*, 49(10), 769–778.
- Rahman, F., Haq, F., Tabassum, I., & Ullah, I. (2014). Socio-economic drivers of deforestation in Roghani Valley, Hindu-Raj mountains, northern Pakistan. *Journal of Mountain Science*, 11(1), 167–179.
- Rainforest UK. (2021). *Use it and lose it—Industrial logging and its role in deforestation in the Democratic Republic of Congo*. <https://www.rainforestfoundationuk.org/media.ashx/logging.pdf>
- Ravikumar, A., Sears, R. R., Cronkleton, P., Menton, M., & Pérez-Ojeda del Arco, M. (2017). Is small-scale agriculture really the main driver of deforestation in the Peruvian Amazon? Moving beyond the prevailing narrative: Tracing deforestation drivers in Peru. *Conservation Letters*, 10(2), 170–177.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/conl.12264>
- Reiche, J., Verhoeven, R., Verbesselt, J., Hamunyela, E., Wielaard, N., & Herold, M. (2018). Characterizing Tropical Forest Cover Loss Using Dense Sentinel-1 Data and Active Fire Alerts. *Remote Sensing*, 10(5), 777.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10050777>
- Rodrigues-Filho, S., Verburg, R., Bursztyn, M., Lindoso, D., Debortoli, N., & Vilhena, A. M. (2015). Election-driven weakening of deforestation control in the Brazilian Amazon. *Land Use Policy*, 43, 111–118.
- Rudel, T. K. (2007). Changing agents of deforestation: From state-initiated to enterprise driven processes, 1970–2000. *Land Use Policy*, 24(1), 35–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2005.11.004>
- Rudel, T. K. (2013). The national determinants of deforestation in sub-Saharan Africa. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 368(1625), 20120405.
- Rudel, T. K., Defries, R., Asner, G. P., & Laurance, W. F. (2009). Changing drivers of deforestation and new opportunities for conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 23(6), 1396–1405.
- Rueda, X. (2010). Understanding deforestation in the southern Yucatán: Insights from a sub-regional, multi-temporal analysis. *Regional Environmental Change*, 10(3), 175–189.
- Runyan, C. W., & Stehm, J. (2020). Deforestation: Drivers, Implications, and Policy Responses. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Environmental Science*.
- Sandker, M., Finegold, Y., D'annunzio, R., & Lindquist, E. (2017). Global deforestation patterns: Comparing recent and past forest loss processes through a spatially explicit analysis. *International Forestry Review*, 19(3), 350–368.

- Sanford, T. J., Boucher, D., Elias, P., Lininger, K., May-Tobin, C., Roquemore, S., Saxon, E., Martin, J., & Mulik, K. (2011). The drivers of tropical deforestation: A comprehensive review. *AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts, 2011*, GC51G-1087.
- Scales, I. R. (2014). The drivers of deforestation and the complexity of land use in Madagascar. In *Conservation and environmental management in Madagascar* (pp. 129–150). Routledge.
- Scrieciu, S. S. (2007). Can economic causes of tropical deforestation be identified at a global level? *Ecological Economics*, 62(3–4), 603–612.
- Shapiro, A. C., Bernhard, K. P., Zenobi, S., Müller, D., Aguilar-Amuchastegui, N., & d'Annunzio, R. (2021). Proximate Causes of Forest Degradation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo Vary in Space and Time. *Frontiers in Conservation Science*, 2, 690562. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcsc.2021.690562>
- Silva, R. D. O., Barioni, L. G., & Moran, D. (2021). Fire, deforestation, and livestock: When the smoke clears. *Land Use Policy*, 100, 104949.
- Soliev, I., Theesfeld, I., Abert, E., & Schramm, W. (2021). Benefit sharing and conflict transformation: Insights for and from REDD+ forest governance in sub-Saharan Africa. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 133, 102623. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2021.102623>
- Song, X.-P., Hansen, M. C., Stehman, S. V., Potapov, P. V., Tyukavina, A., Vermote, E. F., & Townshend, J. R. (2018). Global land change from 1982 to 2016. *Nature*, 560(7720), 639–643. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0411-9>
- Southworth, J., Marsik, M., Qiu, Y., Perz, S., Cumming, G., Stevens, F., Rocha, K., Duchelle, A., & Barnes, G. (2011). Roads as drivers of change: Trajectories across the trinational frontier in MAP, the southwestern Amazon. *Remote Sensing*, 3(5), 1047–1066.
- Sze, J. S., Carrasco, L. R., Childs, D., & Edwards, D. P. (2022). Reduced deforestation and degradation in Indigenous Lands pan-tropically. *Nature Sustainability*, 5(2), 123–130.
- Tabor, K., Hewson, J., Tien, H., González-Roglich, M., Hole, D., & Williams, J. W. (2018). Tropical protected areas under increasing threats from climate change and deforestation. *Land*, 7(3), 90.
- Temudo, M. P., Cabral, A. I. R., & Talhinas, P. (2020). Urban and rural household energy consumption and deforestation patterns in Zaire province, Northern Angola: A landscape approach. *Applied Geography*, 119, 102207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apgeog.2020.102207>
- Thomas, N., Lucas, R., Bunting, P., Hardy, A., Rosenqvist, A., & Simard, M. (2017). Distribution and drivers of global mangrove forest change, 1996–2010. *PloS One*, 12(6), e0179302.
- Thompson, I. D., Guariguata, M. R., Okabe, K., Bahamondez, C., Nasi, R., Heymell, V., & Sabogal, C. (2013). An Operational Framework for Defining and Monitoring Forest Degradation. *Ecology and Society*, 18(2), art20. <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-05443-180220>
- Trigueiro, W. R., Nabout, J. C., & Tassarolo, G. (2020). Uncovering the spatial variability of recent deforestation drivers in the Brazilian Cerrado. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 275, 111243.

- Tuffour-Mills, D., Antwi-Agyei, P., & Addo-Fordjour, P. (2020). Trends and drivers of land cover changes in a tropical urban forest in Ghana. *Trees, Forests and People*, 2, 100040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tfp.2020.100040>
- Twongyirwe, R., Bithell, M., & Richards, K. S. (2018). Revisiting the drivers of deforestation in the tropics: Insights from local and key informant perceptions in western Uganda. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 63, 105–119.
- Ullah, S. A., Tsuchiya, J., Asahiro, K., & Tani, M. (2022). Exploring the socioeconomic drivers of deforestation in Bangladesh: The case of Teknaf Wildlife Sanctuary and its surrounding community. *Trees, Forests and People*, 7, 100167.
- Valle, D., Silva, C. A., Longo, M., & Brando, P. (n.d.). The Latent Dirichlet Allocation model applied to airborne LiDAR data: A case study on mapping forest degradation associated with fragmentation and fire in the Amazon region. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Van Khuc, Q., Tran, B. Q., Meyfroidt, P., & Paschke, M. W. (2018). Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Vietnam: An exploratory analysis at the national level. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 90, 128–141.
- van Marle, M. J., Field, R. D., van der Werf, G. R., de Wagt, I. A. E., Houghton, R. A., Rizzo, L. V., Artaxo, P., & Tsigaridis, K. (2017). Fire and deforestation dynamics in Amazonia (1973–2014). *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 31(1), 24–38.
- Van Vliet, N., Mertz, O., Heinimann, A., Langanke, T., Pascual, U., Schmook, B., Adams, C., Schmidt-Vogt, D., Messerli, P., & Leisz, S. (2012). Trends, drivers and impacts of changes in swidden cultivation in tropical forest-agriculture frontiers: A global assessment. *Global Environmental Change*, 22(2), 418–429.
- Vieilledent, G., Grinand, C., Rakotomalala, F. A., Ranaivosoa, R., Rakotoarijaona, J.-R., Allnutt, T. F., & Achard, F. (2018). Combining global tree cover loss data with historical national forest cover maps to look at six decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. *Biological Conservation*, 222, 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.04.008>
- Vinya, R., Syampungani, S., Kasumu, E. C., Monde, C., & Kasubika, R. (2011). Preliminary study on the drivers of deforestation and potential for REDD+ in Zambia. *Lusaka, Zambia: FAO/Zambian Ministry of Lands and Natural Resources*.
- Voigt, M., Supriatna, J., Deere, N. J., Kastanya, A., Mitchell, S. L., Rosa, I. M., Santika, T., Siregar, R., Tasirin, J. S., & Widyanto, A. (2021). Emerging threats from deforestation and forest fragmentation in the Wallacea centre of endemism. *Environmental Research Letters*, 16(9), 094048.
- Wagner, M., Wentz, E. A., & Stuhlmacher, M. (2022). Quantifying oil palm expansion in Southeast Asia from 2000 to 2015: A data fusion approach. *Journal of Land Use Science*. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1747423X.2021.2020918>
- Waha, K., Zipf, B., Kurukulasuriya, P., & Hassan, R. M. (2016). An agricultural survey for more than 9,500 African households. *Scientific Data*, 3(1), 160020. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2016.20>
- Walker, W. S., Gorelik, S. R., Baccini, A., Aragon-Osejo, J. L., Josse, C., Meyer, C., Macedo, M. N., Augusto, C., Rios, S., Katan, T., de Souza, A. A., Cuellar, S., Llanos, A., Zager, I., Mirabal, G. D., Solvik, K. K., Farina, M. K., Moutinho, P., & Schwartzman, S. (2020). The role of forest conversion, degradation, and disturbance in the

carbon dynamics of Amazon indigenous territories and protected areas. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 117(6), 3015–3025. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1913321117>

Weatherley-Singh, J., & Gupta, A. (2015). Drivers of deforestation and REDD+ benefit-sharing: A meta-analysis of the (missing) link. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, 97–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2015.06.017>

Webb, E. L., Jachowski, N. R., Phelps, J., Friess, D. A., Than, M. M., & Ziegler, A. D. (2014). Deforestation in the Ayeyarwady Delta and the conservation implications of an internationally-engaged Myanmar. *Global Environmental Change*, 24, 321–333.

Wehkamp, J., Aquino, A., Fuss, S., & Reed, E. W. (2015). Analyzing the perception of deforestation drivers by African policy makers in light of possible REDD+ policy responses. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 59, 7–18. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol.2015.05.005>

Wijaya, A., Budiharto, R. S., Tosiani, A., Murdiyarso, D., & Verchot, L. V. (2015). Assessment of large scale land cover change classifications and drivers of deforestation in Indonesia. *The International Archives of Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, 40(7), 557.

Wijaya, A., Juliane, R., Firmansyah, R., Nirarta, T., & Hamzah, H. (2017). *Drivers of Deforestation in Indonesia, Inside and Outside Concessions Areas*.

Yesuf, G., Brown, K. A., & Walford, N. (2019). Assessing regional-scale variability in deforestation and forest degradation rates in a tropical biodiversity hotspot. *Remote Sensing in Ecology and Conservation*, 5(4), 346–359.

Yiran, G. A. B., Kusimi, J. M., & Kufogbe, S. K. (2012). A synthesis of remote sensing and local knowledge approaches in land degradation assessment in the Bawku East District, Ghana. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 14(1), 204–213. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2011.09.016>

Yoshikura, T., Amano, M., Supriyanto, B., & Wardhana, D. (2016). Identifying the agents and drivers of deforestation: An examination around Gunung Palung National Park, West Kalimantan, Indonesia. *International Journal of Agricultural Resources, Governance and Ecology*, 12(4), 327–343.

Zabala, A. (2018). Comparing Global Spatial Data on Deforestation for Institutional Analysis in Africa. In *Comprehensive Geographic Information Systems* (pp. 371–388). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-409548-9.09681-0>

Zambrano, A. M. A., Broadbent, E. N., Schmink, M., Perz, S. G., & Asner, G. P. (2010). Deforestation drivers in southwest Amazonia: Comparing smallholder farmers in Iñapari, Peru, and Assis Brasil, Brazil. *Conservation and Society*, 8(3), 157–170.

Zhang, Q., Li, Y., Yu, C., Qi, J., Yang, C., Cheng, B., & Liang, S. (2020). Global timber harvest footprints of nations and virtual timber trade flows. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 250, 119503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119503>

Zhang, Y., Zhang, L., Wang, H., Wang, Y., Ding, J., Shen, J., Wang, Z., Liu, Y., Liang, C., & Li, S. (2022). Reconstructing deforestation patterns in China from 2000 to 2019. *Ecological Modelling*, 465. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2022.109874>