

**Beyond "bluespace" and "greenspace":
A narrative review of possible health benefits from exposure to other natural
landscapes**

Hansen Li¹, Matthew H. E. M. Browning^{2*}, Alessandro Rigolon³, Lincoln R. Larson⁴,
Derrick Taff⁵, S.M. Labib⁶, Jacob Benfield⁷, Shuai Yuan², Olivia McAnirlin², Nazanin
Hatami², Peter H. Kahn, Jr.^{8,9}

¹Institute of Sports Science, College of Physical Education, Southwest University, Chongqing
8400715, China

²Virtual Reality & Nature Lab, Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism Management,
Clemson University, Clemson, SC, USA

³Department of City and Metropolitan Planning, The University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT,
USA

⁴Department of Parks, Recreation and Tourism Management, North Carolina State University,
Raleigh, NC, USA

⁵Department of Recreation, Park, and Tourism Management, The Pennsylvania State
University, University Park, PA, USA

⁶Department of Human Geography and Spatial Planning, Faculty of Geosciences, Utrecht
University, 3584, CB, Utrecht, the Netherlands


⁷Department of Psychology, The Pennsylvania State University, Abington, PA, USA

⁸Department of Psychology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

⁹School of Environmental and Forest Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA.

*Corresponding author, mhb2@clermson.edu

Graphical Abstract



Abstract

Numerous studies have highlighted the physical and mental health benefits of contact with nature, typically in landscapes characterized by plants (i.e., “greenspace”) and water (i.e., “bluespace”). However, natural landscapes are not always green or blue, and the effects of other landscapes are worth attention. This narrative review attempts to overcome this limitation of past research.

Rather than focusing on colors, we propose that natural landscapes are composed of at least one of three components: (1) plants (e.g., trees, flowering plants, grasses, sedges, mosses, ferns, and algae), (2) water (e.g., rivers, canals, lakes, oceans), and/or (3) rocks and minerals, including soil. Landscapes not dominated by plants or liquid-state water include those with abundant solid-state water (e.g., polar spaces) and rocks or minerals (e.g., deserts, caves). Possible health benefits of solid-state water or rock/mineral dominated landscapes include both shorter-term (e.g., viewing images) and longer-term (e.g., living in these landscapes) exposure durations. Reported benefits span improved emotional and mental states and medical treatment resources for respiratory conditions and allergies. Restorative and instorative mechanisms underlying health benefits consist of commonly discussed theories in the "greenspace" and "bluespace" literature, as well as less discussed pathways in that literature (i.e., post-traumatic growth theory, supportive environment theory, and place attachment).

This is the first review to draw attention to the potential salutogenic value of natural landscapes beyond "greenspace" and "bluespace." It is also among the first to highlight the limitations and confusion that result from classifying natural landscapes using color. Since the extant literature on natural landscapes - beyond those with abundant plants or liquid-state water - is limited in regard to quantity and quality, additional research is needed to understand their restorative potential and therapeutic possibilities.

Keywords: nature therapy; tourism; nature; well-being; brown space; white space

1. Introduction

The health benefits of contact with nature are widely recognized across human cultures. For example, 天人合一 (the harmony between humanity and nature) is a core idea in Chinese culture (Lai et al., 2022). Shinrin-yoku, or forest bathing, is an increasingly popular form of nature therapy used to promote physiological and psychological health in many parts of the world (Hansen et al., 2017). American poet Ralph Waldo Emerson described "the lover of nature is he whose inward and outward senses are still truly adjusted to each other; who has retained the spirit of infancy even into the era of manhood" (Emerson, 1903, p. 9), inspiring a fascination with nature-based health promotion in Western countries (Larson & Hipp, 2022). Modern empirical research supports a strong link between nature and human health, but it also highlights potentially variable effects across diverse types of natural landscapes via different mechanisms (Hartig et al., 2014).

The health-promoting potential of nature exposure is often assumed to be driven by our genes (Kaplan & Kaplan, 1989; Wilson, 1986; Ulrich, 1983), a relationship reinforced by what some describe as human’s inherent biophilia (Kellert & Wilson, 1993). Most of human evolutionary history has occurred in natural surroundings (Joye & van den Berg, 2011; Moura

et al., 2017). Not until recent centuries, following the industrial revolution, have a majority of humans lived outside of and apart from nature-rich environments (Turner et al., 2004; Vlahov & Galea, 2002). Therefore, while human's direct connection with natural environments may be diminishing, our evolutionary-driven connections with natural landscapes and resulting health impacts are likely to remain viable today (Laland & Brown, 2006; Robinson & Breed, 2020).

Scholars have proposed the "therapeutic landscape" concept to explain why certain places contribute to treatment or healing. With roots in cultural ecology, structuralism, and humanism (Gesler, 1992; Williams, 1998), this concept spans multiple dimensions of wellbeing including material/physical, social, and spiritual (Bell et al., 2018). The physical environment of therapeutic landscapes includes natural and human-made environments (Gesler, 2018); natural landscapes characterized by plants and liquid water have received substantial attention (Bell et al., 2018; Bell et al., 2017). This focus is not surprising as these two elements are common components in natural landscapes. They also supply basic resources for survival (Reid et al., 2005). Water is a fundamental part of life, and plants provide many ecological services including the production of organic matter for food and oxygen for breathing (Carpenter et al., 2009). For these reasons, much research has been conducted on the health benefits of natural settings rich in these ecosystem services (Bratman et al., 2019; Zhang et al., 2020).

Studies on the health benefits of plant and liquid water-dominated landscapes often use color schemes to code landscapes with these components (Twohig-Bennet & Jones, 2018; White et al., 2020). In this context, "greenspace" refers to landscapes rich with plants (e.g., trees, flowering plants, grasses, sedges mosses, ferns, and algae). Meanwhile, "bluespace" refers to landscapes with open water (e.g., canals, rivers, lakes, oceans). However, nature is not limited to plants or open water, and this binary characterization omits key components of potentially therapeutic natural landscapes.

Emerging concepts such as "white space" (e.g., snow-covered landscapes; Brooke & Williams, 2021; Finlay, 2018; Korpela et al., 2014; Olwig, 2005; Yli-Panula et al., 2022), "brown space" (e.g., deserts; Nazif-Munoz et al., 2020; Olvera-Alvarez et al., 2021; Yin et al., 2022), and "red nature" (e.g., volcanos; Kotera et al., 2021) bring more types of landscapes into scholarly discourse. Human perceptions of landscapes are undoubtedly shaped by sight and visual attributes, such as color, that contribute to experiences related to place (Bell, 2012; Lengen, 2015; Zhang et al., 2022). Nevertheless, there are limitations to the use of color-coding to describe natural landscapes. Non-visual sensory perceptions such as sound may have a strong influence on the health benefits associated with nature (Buxton et al., 2021). Additionally, color may not clearly indicate landscapes that are alien to most people. For example, general readers may not associate "red nature" with volcanoes without extra description (Kotera et al., 2021). To add more complexity, plants and water change color with time, season, and place, which might limit the relevance of the classic "green" and "blue" coding (Zhou et al., 2022).

Some studies have revealed the psychological benefits of landscapes that have few plants or minimal liquid water, such as deserts (Yin et al., 2022). Scholarly examination of the shorter-term psychological and physiological responses to these landscapes, and the health outcomes associated with longer-term exposure of living in these settings, may challenge the

consensus that people prefer natural resource-rich environments (i.e., those with abundant plants and/or liquid water). These clues underscore the importance of expanding the definition of nature beyond “greenspace” and “bluespace.” These clues also emphasize the need to explore potential mechanisms driving the health benefits associated with exposure to natural landscapes that have been discussed in the nature-health literature less commonly.

The first objective of this narrative review is to develop a framework that categorizes the broad array of natural landscapes that isn’t based solely on color. The second objective is to review the available literature on the possible health benefits of exposure to natural landscapes that are not dominated by plants or liquid water. The third and final objective is to identify possible mechanisms that might explain how shorter-term and longer-term exposure to these landscapes can promote human health and well-being.

2. Material and methods

Given the novelty of the topic and the anticipated diversity of relevant papers, we employed a narrative approach to identify and summarize the literature. Narrative reviews are qualitative research syntheses that describe the results of other studies without a dominant focus on the statistical significance of the findings (Baumeister & Leary, 1997; Siddaway et al., 2019).

We first identified natural landscapes that were not dominated by plants or liquid water but were discussed as restorative (or therapeutic) landscapes. We studied the keywords in the nature archetypes identified by Scandinavian researchers Ottosson and Grahn (2021) and the nature interactions identified by North American researchers Kahn et al. (2012). Additionally, we referenced the findings of a scoping review on therapeutic landscapes (Taheri et al., 2021) and a book on the health benefits of exposure to eight types of natural landscapes (Loewe, 2022).

Keyword searches were then conducted in three databases: Scopus, Pubmed, and Web of Science. The keywords included “brown space*”, “brownspace*”, “desert*”, “arid”, “mountain*”, “ridge*”, “bedrock”, “boulder*”, “big rock*”, “rock formation*”, “rock outcrop*”, “gray space*”, “grayspace”, “grey space*”, “greyspace*”, “white space*”, “whitespace*”, “snow-cover*”, “artic”, “Antarctic”, “red space*”, and “redspace*”.¹ We screened titles and abstracts for empirical, peer-reviewed articles published in English that included some form of exposure, along with psychological responses, physiological responses, or health outcomes (i.e., incidence/prevalence of disease, illness, or mortality). Additional articles were retrieved by screening the author’s personal libraries and using ancestry-search methods (i.e., forward and backward searches) (Nørgaard et al., 2022).

All studies of natural landscapes aside from those dominated by plants or liquid water were considered. Specific components of nature (e.g., animals, aromatic essential oils) were excluded since our focus was on entire landscapes that existed in the physical world or were presented in simulations (i.e., pictures, movies, or virtual reality). We excluded environments usually unavailable to the public, such as outer space and deep underwater landscapes. All

¹ In keyword searches, the “*” symbol represents any group of characters following the initial string such as plural, adjective, and verb versions of a noun (i.e., “deserts” and “desertification” would be captured by “desert*”; “snow-covered” would be captured by “snow-cover*”).

authors discussed the classification and inclusion of potential non-green and non-blue natural landscapes. Disagreements were resolved by consensus.

Since the impacts of exposure could be regulated by the duration of exposure (Shanahan et al., 2016), we followed the approach of previous studies by collecting information from the included papers about any reported health benefits and presenting mechanisms by shorter-term vs. longer-term exposures. Shorter-term exposure refers to a singular experience that lasted from a few minutes to a few hours or a whole day (Barton and Pretty, 2010), such as was common in laboratory research or field experiments (Mason et al., 2022; Roberts et al., 2019). Meanwhile, we refer to longer-term exposures as referring to more than a day of exposure or years of living in a landscape.


3. Results and discussion

3.1. Types of natural landscapes and health benefits

We observed that most natural landscapes consist of one to three components: water, plants, and/or rocks and minerals (Figure 1). Water covers over 70% of the earth's surface, and plants occupy over 30% of the land (Congalton, 2021). Plants are largely limited to regions with favorable climatic conditions, water, or sunlight; otherwise, water, rock or minerals are dominant. Geologic processes including physical and chemical weathering causes rocks or minerals to appear as bedrock, outcroppings, formations, debris, sand, lava fields, or soil. Water can exist in three states: liquid, solid, and gas. Liquid water only exists in a limited temperature range, such that polar regions and landscapes at higher latitudes during winter can be covered with solid-state water (i.e., ice and snow). In these cases, landscapes are dominated not by a single component but by multiple components (i.e., snow-covered boreal forests). Due to the complexity of these layered landscapes and the scarcity of available studies, we focused our review on landscapes dominated by a single component and psychological or physiological responses resulting from their exposure.

3.1.1. Landscapes dominated by water in a solid state

Water-dominated landscapes usually refer to freshwater or marine landscapes, such as oceans, lakes, canals, or rivers (White et al., 2010). However, marine, freshwater, and even terrestrial areas can be covered with ice or snow, representing landscapes visually different from those shaped by liquid water (Figure 2). For example, ice and snow are the main components to which people entering the Arctic or Antarctic are exposed. Likewise, snow and ice may dominate other landscapes in winter, particularly in high altitude or latitude areas (e.g., frozen alpine lakes, snowy mountains, tundra, alpine meadows, and cold deserts) (Figure 2). These landscapes could be considered something other than “bluespace” given their colorful shades of white and gray. Only a few clues have indicated the health benefits of exposure to these landscapes.



Components of Natural Landscapes

Figure 1. Most natural landscapes are composed of water in its liquid or solid state, plants, rocks/minerals, or a combination of the three.



Figure 2. Examples of landscapes dominated by water in a liquid (1-3) or solid-state (3-9)

We found little evidence to support the beneficial effects of shorter-term exposure to landscapes dominated by solid water. However, ice and snow are regarded as a major tourism resource (Wang & Zhou, 2019). The beauty and fascination of frozen landscapes are well documented (Brooke & Williams, 2021; Duffy, 2013; Lengen, 2015), including in polar landscapes (Shah, 2015; Summerson & Lieser, 2018), which may explain why many tourists

visit polar spaces for sightseeing (Bauer, 2013). Thus, although not well studied, the aesthetic values of polar spaces may be associated with emotional benefits.

In addition to polar spaces, alpine landscapes in the European Alps, Pyrenees, Himalayans, Andes, and Rocky Mountains are often covered with ice or snow. Such landscapes attract many visitors and have motivated glacier tourism (Wang & Zhou, 2019). Some scholars have suggested that glacier tourism and outdoor recreation activities in snow-covered environments (e.g., hiking, climbing, mountaineering, skiing) promote fitness and generate emotional or social benefits (Burtscher et al., 2019; Finkenzeller et al., 2011; Müller et al., 2011). We found little empirical evidence of their health benefits, however.

Clues to the health benefits of longer exposures to landscapes dominated by solid water can be obtained from studies of expeditions and deployments. Zimmer et al. (2013) conducted a systematic review of Antarctic psychological research between 2000 and 2010. The review concluded that improved emotion, mood, and a decline in factors contributing to psychological functioning disturbances were possible benefits of Antarctic experiences. Later studies underlined personal growth as a positive outcome resulting from living in polar spaces. For instance, a study on Antarctic deployment reported personal strength was the highest perceived category of personal growth (Blight & Norris, 2018). Similar findings were obtained in the Arctic, where Kjærgaard et al. (2017) studied six two-man Danish military teams deployed in the Arctic and found increased personal strength after their time there. Another study compared 384 navy soldiers assigned to Antarctica with 2396 counterparts assigned elsewhere and found Antarctic soldiers showed gains in health and wellbeing over the winter season (Palinkas, 1991). Nevertheless, it should be noted that polar expeditions or deployments are not akin to tourism and have different risk levels. Expedition members or soldiers are selectively recruited and trained and may have higher adaptability to extreme environments (Otani et al., 2004). Possible self-selection bias in these past investigations (Heckman, 1990) might therefore have inflated the observed longer-term benefits of polar experiences.

3.1.2. Landscapes dominated by rocks and minerals

Rock and mineral-dominated landscapes are usually present in areas not covered by plants and water. According to ecosystem classifications (Keith et al., 2020), these landscapes mainly include deserts (terrestrial) and caves (subterranean) (Figure 3). Further, deserts can include cold and dry-heat deserts. The former is usually present in high altitude or latitude areas that are dominated by ice/snow in the winter and rocks/minerals in the summer. The latter is the one better known by most human populations and present in tropical and temperate areas. Based on our review of the extant literature, only the dry-heat deserts have been studied for their potential health benefits. Generally, these rock and mineral-dominated landscapes can include sediment (i.e., soil, sand, gravel), rock faces (i.e., slabs, cliffs, boulders), loose rocks (i.e., talus, scree, glacial moraine), and unique erosional forms (i.e., towers, domes, spires, blocks, rills, grikes, clints, and hoodoos) (Migoñ et al., 2017).




Figure 3. Examples of landscapes dominated by rocks and/or minerals

3.1.2.1. Deserts

Deserts may appear to hold little value, given their lack of plants or water and life in general. However, deserts are prominent types of natural environments on Earth and are home to more than 20% of the global human population (Tchakerian & Pease, 2015). Due to global climatic changes and resulting desertification, desert exposure is projected to increase in the coming decades (Huang et al., 2015). Deserts are also valuable tourism resources (Michopoulou et al., 2021).

Some simulation studies of deserts have also observed positive outcomes resulting from exposure. College students from the Eastern Province of Saudi Arabia exposed to a 1-min coastal desert video (an environment familiar to this population) showed better performance on a subsequent memory task than students showed videos of a temperate forest (a less familiar environment) (Pilotti et al., 2019). Nursing students from El Paso, Texas, U.S., who viewed a 10-min 360-degree video of a desert in virtual reality (VR) showed similar levels of stress recovery to those students who viewed a 360-degree video of a public park with trees and grass (Yin et al., 2022). U.S. adults also reported that exposure to a desert image was less depleting and stressful than exposure to a built-up urban center (Shalev, 2016). One study consisted of a field-based exposure. In a desert-based walking program in Israel, themes of physical and mental well-being (i.e., relaxation, peacefulness) were expressed across a diverse range of participants, including those who previously disliked deserts (Teff-Seker & Orenstein, 2019).

We found one experimental study of longer-term exposure to deserts. Participants' brain activity during a 4-day trip to Utah, U.S., found reduced posterior alpha power, suggesting an attentional restoration effect (Hopman et al., 2020). A study in Kenya revealed that living in that desert landscape supported physical and mental well-being by offering freedom of movement and a sense of peace (Dan et al., 2021). Similar findings were observed along the Israeli-Jordanian border (a desert area), where desert landscapes were described as contributing to stress relief and fueling nature affinity among local residents (Sagie et al., 2013). Spatial epidemiology studies have found mixed evidence for the health benefits of living in desert areas. For instance, in a study of students homes in El Paso, Texas, U.S., associations of residential non-built up impervious areas (i.e., bedrock and sand) with incidence of depression and diabetes were mixed (Nazif-Munoz et al., 2020; Olvera-Alvarez et al., 2021).

Some medical therapies have originated in desert landscapes. Uyghur sand therapy is a traditional Chinese medicine technique created by the Uygur ethnic group that uses sand heated by the sun to cure chronic osteoarthritis (Wang et al., 2018). Such therapy usually requires repeated treatments, so it can be considered a longer-term exposure. One study observed that participants felt the healing benefits of the haptic sensations (tactile and kinesthetic) of burning, heat, and swelling while touching the hot sand (Wang et al., 2018). Another study by Niyazi (2002) documented 13,115 cases of sand-based therapy in deserts in Xinjiang, China. Findings suggested that sand-based therapy may help with arthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, hyperosteoarthritis, sciatica, and lumbar disc protrusion. Efficacy for treating these symptoms related to these conditions was reported to be as high as 90% (Niyazi, 2002). Controlled animal trials revealed that Uyghur sand therapy might alleviate cartilage inflammation and enhance bone strength (Hu et al., 2015; Kahal et al., 2009), although the quality of evidence from human trials remains limited.

3.1.2.2. Caves

Caves are subterranean rock/mineral-dominated landscapes that lack plants due to insufficient sunlight. We observed very little discussion of caves in the literature discussing the health benefits of nature. Conversely, there was a body of literature discussing the medical applications resulting from repeated exposures to caves.

We found no evidence to suggest benefits of shorter-term exposure to caves. However, like deserts, caves have some aesthetic qualities that might be beneficial. For example, caves with such features as stalagmites and stalactites attract more than 70 million visitors every year (Chiarini et al., 2022). Given their widespread allure and appeal, caves may therefore promote some positive emotional responses from visitation.

Cave climates may bring a series of respiratory health benefits due to their unique air qualities, fueling multiple interventions, which have been called “speleotherapy” or “halotherapy”. Speleotherapy involves breathing the air in the unique climates of caves to treat respiratory conditions (Freidl et al., 2020) while halotherapy involves breathing air with micronized dry salt in an enclosed space that mimics salt caves (Rashleigh et al., 2014). Since such therapy has been developed for curing chronic respiratory issues and usually requires repeated and extended exposures, it is considered a longer-term exposure (Beamon et al., 2001). Numerous studies have underlined the potential of such therapies in treating allergic rhinitis, asthma, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Beamon et al., 2001; Eslaminejad et al., 2017; Freidl et al., 2020). Also, owing to the cave climates, mitigation of skin allergies is believed to be another benefit of cave therapy (Lăzărescu et al., 2014).

Other evidence suggests that longer-term exposure to caves may benefit mental and physical health. For example, a 19-day intervention revealed that speleotherapy might be conducive to reducing anxiety and increasing walking ability (Kendrová et al., 2016). Another 12-week study reported that speleotherapy helped athletes enhance their athletic performance, such as increasing boxers’ punch speed, jump, reaction, VO₂max (how efficiently someone uses oxygen during exercise), and balance (Söyler et al., 2021). Salt therapy, an extension of speleotherapy in caves dominated by salt crystals, has been claimed to provide relaxation, a calm mind and emotions, and feelings of revitalization and refreshment (Shah, 2019).

3.2. Mechanisms driving health benefits

The normative perspective within evolutionary psychology suggests people tend to prefer landscapes that are abundant in elements humans have utilized for survival, such as water for drinking or plants for food/shelter. The existence of health benefits provided by natural landscapes that are not rich in life-supporting resources may therefore seem counterintuitive. Nevertheless, multiple theories used to explain the health benefit of nature may extend to natural landscapes not dominated by plants or liquid-state water, while other theories and pathways may be more unique to the natural landscapes discussed in this review. According to a widely referenced theoretical framework developed by Markevych et al. (2017), three dimensions link nature exposure to human health: reducing harm, restoring capacity (i.e., restorative effects), and building capacity (i.e., “instorative” effects). Harm reduction refers to the functions of some natural landscapes (e.g., tree-rich areas) in mitigating noise, heat, air pollution, and other stressors (Wolf et al., 2020). Restoring capacity (or restorative effects) refers to recovery from negative states, impacts, or deficient conditions, such as attentional fatigue or stress. In contrast, building capacity (or instorative effects) refers to natural landscapes’ ability to promote health through behavior and psychological states absent of a deficient condition. Examples of natural landscapes’ building capacities include promoting social cohesion and physical activity (Kondo et al., 2018; Korpela & Ratcliffe, 2021).

Although the three-domain framework was developed for plant-rich landscapes (“greenspaces”), some parts (restoring and building capacities) can be adapted to describe the potential mechanisms for natural landscapes not dominated by plants or liquid-water. We found no evidence for the “reducing harm” dimension within the landscapes we studied, but retained this dimension in our framework to recognize that it may emerge in future research. To highlight the potential risks posed by these landscapes, we followed Marselle et al. (2021) and added risks (i.e., dangers) and deficiencies (i.e., situations or populations where health benefits are not observed) to illustrate possible adverse effects of exposure (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Hypothesized pathways linking exposure to natural landscapes, including those not dominated by plants (“greenspace”) or liquid-water (“bluespace”), to human health. Notes:

shorter-term vs. longer-term exposure denoted by * and ** respectively. Reducing harm is a common pathway in other nature-health research (Markevych et al., 2017) and was retained to recognize that this pathway may emerge in future research on natural landscapes not dominated by plants/liquid-water despite little evidence for its role to-date.

3.2.1. Restoring capacities

Attentional resources can be rapidly consumed in city living, and urban residents usually face attentional depletion (Sullivan & Li, 2021; White & Shah, 2019). Natural landscapes have been shown to help recover such resources. Attention restoration theory (ART) is a widely used theory for explaining the psychological benefits of shorter-term exposures to natural landscapes. ART describes how certain landscape characteristics can improve cognitive functioning through the restoration of involuntary attention (Kaplan, 1995). The four characteristics that facilitate attention recovery include being away (providing an escape from habitual activities), soft fascination (aspects of the environment that capture attention in a gentle and effortless manner), extent (richness and coherence of an environment to form the feeling of a complete and different world), and compatibility (the environment fits one's purposes) (Kaplan, 1995). ART does not assume restoration can be only facilitated by environments dominated by plants and liquid water, as these characteristics can exist in a wide range of environments. Polar and/or alpine landscapes, deserts, and caves may not be as fascinating as other natural landscapes due to the lack of desirable natural elements and a smaller number of colors and low-level features. Still, these landscapes can offer visitors with scenery that is different from their daily lives and more unique than plant or liquid-water-dominated landscapes, thus creating strong senses of being away from everyday routines and worries (Pilotti et al., 2019). Several studies have also underlined the beauty and physical appeal of some deserts (e.g., Gutberlet, 2019); and polar spaces (Cajiao et al., 2022; Powell et al., 2012; Summerson & Lieser, 2018). Aesthetics is also a key element of cave tourism (Kim et al., 2008). All of these landscapes may contain beautiful objects with low levels of movement, thereby attracting visitors' undirected or involuntary attention and in turn producing restorative directed or voluntary attention benefits.

Environmental stressors (e.g., crowding, noise, and air pollution) also dominate urban areas that house the majority of the world's population (Browning et al., 2022; Cohen et al., 2013). Stress reduction theory (SRT) describes how non-threatening natural environments in which humans evolved may activate the parasympathetic nervous system in ways that reduce stress and autonomic arousal (Ulrich, 1983; Ulrich et al., 1991). More specifically, SRT posits that human beings have rapid, biologically prepared emotional responses to natural environments. Such biologically prepared responses can facilitate subsequent approach-avoidance behaviors (e.g., escape, stay in, explore) and the induction or reduction of stress. Because a stress state is energy consuming, if a threat situation is resolved and the person enters a non-threatening natural environment, the adaptive response is reducing stress level quickly to restore energy to explore resources of the area. Since plant and water-rich environments are not the only landscapes where humans evolved or still live (Hägerhäll et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2021), it can be inferred that for deserts, caves, and solid water landscapes without the presence of immediate threats (e.g., poisonous insects, snakes, precipices), the adaptive responses would also be energy saving for subsequent exploration. Therefore,

urban residents may also experience mental health benefits when they are exposed to deserts, caves, and solid water landscapes.

Post-traumatic growth theory, which relates to recovery from a deficient state, may help explain the benefits of longer-term exposure to solid-water-dominated landscapes, caves, and deserts. This theory suggests that people who endure psychological struggles following adversity may see positive growth afterward. We placed this theory in the restoration dimension as it requires pre-induced psycho-physiological declines to generate compensation or “super-compensation” (recovering to higher levels than baseline). Landscapes without drinkable water and plants are generally harsh for animals, including humans. Unlike visitors who briefly visit these landscapes for recreation, residents/travelers who take longer stays may experience multiple environmental stressors. For instance, snow and ice may make outdoor physical activities very physically and mentally challenging (Chapman et al., 2019; Larsson & Chapman, 2020). Dust may also cause respiratory distress in deserts (Goudie, 2014). Such stressors threaten visitors even if modern technologies (i.e., protective clothing, climate-controlled shelter, regular provision of food and water) have partially addressed resource constraints. But these stressors also present unique opportunities for personal growth. Successfully overcoming the challenges of over-wintering have been reported as a reason for the mental improvement during long-term stays in the Antarctic (Jenkins & Palmer, 2003). Overcoming stressors also appears to be a common reason for the observed positive effects on health and wellbeing among polar expedition members (Palinkas & Suedfeld, 2008; Zimmer et al., 2013). Blight and Norris (2018) have already explained some of the positive consequences of polar space exposure with this theory. Although we found no scholars reporting post-traumatic growth in deserts, we posit that similar effects may exist since these landscapes are similar in their intensity of stressors and demands.

In addition to psychological aspects, some medical treatments for chronic respiratory and allergy symptoms are also based on landscapes’ physical features. Specifically, caves generate unique health promoting mechanisms due to their micro-climates. These climates may help to regulate the immune system and hormone secretion (Bilha & Simionca, 2013; Nagy et al., 2009). According to Freidl et al. (2020), the climates in caves include five therapeutic features. The first is the high relative humidity (Lunghi et al., 2017), which can benefit the respiratory system. The second is cave aerosols, which may contain some health-promoting ions (e.g., Ca^{2+}) that can treat infected areas in asthmatic lungs (Alföldy et al., 2002). The third is the absence of air pollutants resulting from caves’ unique structure (Kertész et al., 2002). The fourth is radiation and ionization. Certain radon levels (a radioactive noble gas of natural origin commonly present in caves) may help treat pain symptoms caused by chronic degenerative diseases (Maier et al., 2021). The last is the lack of ozone due to no sunlight (Korzhe, 2017).

In Uyghur sand therapy, desert sands can deliver heat to the human body effectively and safely under proper procedures (Lina et al., 2005). Such haptic sensations and corresponding physiological responses can increase blood flow in major arteries and decrease platelet deposition (Han et al., 2019). Some practitioners have also surmised that the elements in desert sands, such as silicon dioxide and magnet particles, are physiotherapeutic materials of Chinese medicine that positively affect physiological responses and promote physical health

(Niyazi, 2002). These collective healing properties make sand therapy another promising health intervention.

3.2.2. Building capacities

Supportive environment theory (SET) may help explain how novel natural landscapes can build health capacity among individuals exposed to them. Supportive environments refer to landscapes that are easy to understand and manage. SET suggests that people need such environments to maintain physical and mental health (Grahn & Stigsdotter, 2010). Although SET has been employed to explain the benefits of plant-dominated landscapes, it may also relate to the psychological benefits of deserts. One's perception of landscapes is not only bonded by genes but also fostered during the life course. For tourists who are unfamiliar with deserts, they may be places where feelings of excitement mask feelings of relaxation, thereby activating the innate responses of the sympathetic nervous system (Terzano & Gross, 2020). In contrast, the millions of people around the world who grew up in deserts may find these ecosystems commonplace and familiar. Thus, a desert could be a supportive environment for local residents. Nazif-Munoz et al. (2020) and Yin et al. (2022) argued that desert residents may be accustomed to the rocky natural elements and tend to feel safe within them. Indeed, many have written about the unique benefits afforded by prolonged exposure to nature in desert landscapes (Abbey, 1968).

It is unclear if SET applies to solid-water-dominated landscapes. One study revealed that some people who are accustomed to polar conditions might feel snow and ice are manageable parts of everyday life (Finlay, 2018). Such evidence comes from a study in Minnesota, U.S., which has only intermittent snow and ice, so it offers only indirect evidence to support the relevancy of SET.

Caves are special because there are very few cave residents in the world. China has villages that were settled in natural caves for long periods of history. It is reported that some people still living in these caves today are unwilling to move out because they are familiar with that environment (Zhou, 2019). We speculate that caves can also be supportive environments for these residents.

Relatedly, place attachment may explain some benefits acquired from familiar natural landscapes. Place attachment is composed of multiple dimensions, including person (personal meanings of place), process (the affective, cognitive, and behavioral psychological components of attachment), and place (i.e., the physical elements of a setting; Scannell & Gifford, 2010). Place attachment can occur in landscapes of varying significance, such as landscapes bonded with personal experiences, particularly in childhood (Riley, 1992). Attachment to place can also help to build resilience and transformative capacity within these systems (Larson et al., 2018; Masterson et al., 2017). In "greenspace" research, place attachment has been described as a functional attachment associated with various recreational activities in a place, which partially explains why people prefer plants in their neighborhood (Zhang et al., 2015). For local residents, landscapes with abundant solid-state water or rocks and minerals may also provide places for recreational activities to happen. These landscapes usually lack noise and other disturbances due to limited traffic or human activities. Youth, in particular, may have positive experiences that foster attachment to such places (Scannell et al., 2016). Place attachment has been empirically linked to health and wellbeing (Molcar,

2006; Rollero & De Piccoli, 2010), which can translate to positive health outcomes resulting from longer-term exposure to landscapes with which people have emotionally bonded.

Additionally, Yang et al. (2022) proposed that self-determination theory (SDT) may explain the enhanced psychological well-being of nature exposure - a relationship that may translate to the diversity of landscapes discussed here. Basic psychological needs theory, as a mini-theory of SDT, describes how autonomy (i.e., feeling capable of making one's own decisions and actions), competence (i.e., feeling capable of accomplishing one's desired outcomes), and relatedness (i.e., feeling connected to and accepted by others) are basic needs for achieving wellbeing and optimal function (Deci & Ryan, 1985; Jacobs & Jacobs, 2000; Ryan & Deci, 2017). SDT may relate to nature exposure in three ways. First, natural environments pose fewer social demands (e.g., everyday routines and social judgment) and thus satisfy individuals' need for autonomy by offering people more freedom to engage in self-directed activities in nature. Relatedly, natural environments may offer senses of control, and therefore competence, particularly in the landscapes discussed here through challenging recreational activities (e.g., rock climbing, cave exploration, and snow sports) that may help individuals demonstrate mastery and gain control over their lives (Crockett et al., 2020). Lastly, nature could be considered a special type of social entity. Enhancing connections between humans and nature could thereby satisfy the human need for relatedness (Cheng & Monroe, 2012; Kellert & Wilson, 1983). SDT has only been tested in an experiment with a shorter-term exposure to nature. However, Yang et al. (2022) underlined its benefits may extend to populations preferring a variety of types of natural landscapes due to cultural differences. Correspondingly, the benefits related to SDT may be particularly relevant to populations most accustomed to landscapes not dominated by plants or liquid-water and, hence, longer-term exposures to these landscapes.

Some longer-term health benefits could also be related to landscapes' physical features, especially if these features encourage physical activity. Similar to many landscapes dominated by plants or liquid-water, landscapes full of rocks or ice may offer places for physical activity and sports. Solid-water-dominated landscapes may also be necessary for activities like skating and skiing. These landscapes may motivate nature-based outdoor exercise among some newcomers and locals, particularly during milder weather (Wagner et al., 2019). In addition to cardiovascular benefits related to exercise, snow sports may be conducive to positive emotional arousal, social bonding, and feelings of accomplishment, particularly in the presence of others (Mirhie & Gibson, 2020). Desert landscapes are usually smooth and open, which may also provide some novel physical activity opportunities. Residents in Kenya reported that their desert landscape topography allowed freedom of movement and enhanced physical wellbeing (Dan et al., 2021). Such topographic elements are foundations of desert sports that benefit both locals and tourists (Abyar et al., 2014; Hashemi et al., 2020; Yan, 2014).

3.2.3. Risks and deficiencies

Despite the many possible health benefits of natural landscapes not dominated by plants and/or solid-water, there are also many deficiencies and potential risks like in all natural landscapes (Marselle et al., 2021). Some scholars refer to these negative aspects as ecosystem disservices (Oosterbroek et al., 2016). Snow and ice may limit mobility, evoke a sense of

boredom, fear, and isolation, and cause concerns related to safety and vulnerability (Finlay, 2018). Snow and ice might also aggregate depression and seasonal affective disorder and even increase suicide risk (Leppämäki et al., 2002; Rind, 1996). Activities in ice and snow-covered alpine landscapes are also prone to falling injuries (Selig et al., 2012), frostbite (Ströhle, Rauch, et al., 2018), mountain sickness (Imray et al., 2010), and even lightning strikes (Ströhle, Wallner, et al., 2018). Activity in deserts can place people at risk of dehydration, hyponatremia (electrolyte imbalance due to excessive water drinking), heat stroke, and even hypothermia (Elbaz et al., 2008; Krake et al., 2003; Shopes, 1997). Desert dust may also carry bacteria (Ruiz-Gil et al., 2020) and retreating lake beds may expose heavy metal depositions that threaten health (Han et al., 2004; Riches, 2019). In caves, excessive levels of radon may increase cancer risk (Maier et al., 2021). Many caves are inhabited by bats, and bats can be hosts for many diseases, which increases the risk of infections (Lottenberg et al., 1979; Willoughby et al., 2017). Such occurrences underline the necessity of risk management in these landscapes when planning health promotion environments.

Counterevidence to the potential health benefits of nature exposure in environments that are not dominated by plants or liquid-water also exists. For example, a study of Texas, U.S. college students reported that images of deserts were less restorative than images of other terrestrial biomes, including tundra and different types of forests (Han, 2007). Another study found U.S. adults reported decreased confidence in their ability to change negative habits and increased feelings of depletion and stress when shown a desert image compared to an image dominated by liquid water (Shalev, 2016). Such contradictory evidence may be related to human's inherent familiarity with resource-rich landscapes and the need to test responses among residents more accustomed to landscapes outside the conventional spectrum, such as deserts.

Several health-promoting factors that are present in environments with abundant plants or liquid water may also not extend to other natural landscapes. Biological factors such as environmental microorganisms and negative oxygen ions, as well as beneficial volatile biogenic compounds (VOCs) generated by plants (Roviello & Roviello, 2021; Stanhope et al., 2020), may be nearly absent in polar landscapes, caves, and deserts. Climate regulation, aerosols, and negative ions generated by water movement and water-related organisms can also be absent in landscapes without liquid water (White et al., 2020). More clarity is needed with regard to potential health-promoting factors, risks, and deficiencies in landscapes not dominated by plants and/or solid-water. Such knowledge would allow for risk-benefit calculations when developing nature-based therapies and specific health promotion interventions.

3.3. Limitations and future research directions

Due to the types of included studies, we chose to use a narrative review instead of a systematic review to offer insights into this topic. Narrative reviews are suitable for describing the current state of a focal area and surveying newer study areas not yet addressed (Ferrari, 2015). Narrative reviews also have some inherent limitations, such as selection and interpretation bias (Animasahun & Chapman, 2017). Even though we tried to use a systematic search method and complementary methods to identify studies, our search strategy may not have been comprehensive. Our selection of keywords from existing research on the broad

array of natural and possibly therapeutic landscapes may have missed terms used to describe these landscapes by some cultures (Loewe, 2022; Kahn et al., 2012; Ottosson & Grahn, 2021; Taheri et al., 2021). Furthermore, we could not assess the overall direction, strength, or robustness of the potential health effects resulting from exposure due to the diversity in research design, exposure assessments, analytical methods, data types, and health outcomes presented in the identified literature. Instead, we could only offer circumstantial evidence and tentative conclusions that warrant additional, rigorous investigation (Pae, 2015).

The available evidence for health benefits of exposure to natural landscapes not dominated by plants/liquid-water is largely drawn from observational research prone to residual confounding and self-selection bias. Similar challenges are present in research on health benefits linked to other types of natural landscapes. Further examinations should use more rigorous sampling techniques and study designs, such as following cohorts over time and conducting randomized clinical trials (Frumkin et al., 2017). Still, given the expense and challenges of these approaches, we recognize value in additional observational research given how few studies exist for each exposure-outcome pairing.

Consistent operationalizations of nature exposure are also needed to better compare findings across studies. We identified one attempt at quantifying natural rock/mineral cover (Nazif-Munoz et al., 2020; Olvera-Alvarez et al., 2021) and no attempts at quantifying snow or ice cover despite measures being available in remotely sensed datasets that are similar to what is used to quantify plant cover (Gao et al., 2010). We also identified no attempts at quantifying exposure to rocks/minerals or ice/snow cover using street view imagery. These measures would allow complementary or even improved exposure estimates given their ability to measure what can be seen at eye-level (Kang et al., 2020).

Future work is also needed to validate and expand the mechanisms linking exposure to health outcomes. The mechanisms we presented are largely adapted from studies and theories based on plant-rich landscapes (Markevych et al., 2017; Marselle et al., 2021). Some of the arguments in favor of these mechanisms are also based on indirect evidence. Given how understudied this body of research is relative to other environmental exposures (e.g., air pollution, water quality), we anticipate undiscovered or under-discussed mechanisms will emerge. For example, while we didn't identify evidence for these natural landscapes reducing harmful exposures, future research could investigate this possibility. The mechanisms we presented are also likely to be refined as the quantity and quality of this body of literature grows.

Nature and health scholars should embrace the complexity of natural landscapes, which often leads to co-occurring exposures to multiple components of nature for humans in these settings (i.e., plants, water, and/or rocks/minerals). Few attempts have been made to measure vegetative and rock/mineral cover simultaneously (Nazif-Munoz et al., 2020; Olvera-Alvarez et al., 2021). We are also unaware of attempts to incorporate these two components at the same time as water (in its solid or liquid state) in a way that would present exposure to all three components concurrently. Such efforts would fill several gaps and answer key questions related to the health benefits of nature exposure. For example, how much do the health benefits of different types of nature exposure overlap vs. diverge? Do natural landscapes with different components provide a multiplier effect whereby the total is greater than the sum of

the individual parts? Does the dosage required to achieve health benefits vary as people are exposed to more diverse natural elements?

Additionally, the changing of the seasons are likely to modify what components are present at different times of year and across the lifecourse. For example, a high-alpine mountain landscape in a temperate climate is dominated by rocks – and perhaps even plants – in the summer and by snow and ice during much of the winter, spring, and fall seasons. Diurnal fluctuations in light levels across various landscapes (e.g., light pollution at night) might also influence health outcomes (Davies et al., 2018). Apart from appearance changes, the health benefits these landscapes afford may also change. Although we did not find any studies on the seasonality of our reviewed landscapes, green space studies may offer some indications. For example, the attractiveness of plant-dominated landscapes can vary with season (Morckel, 2015; Xu et al., 2022). Trees and other forms of vegetation may also show heat buffering effects that vary by climate and season (Chun & Guldmann, 2018). By contrast, some rock/mineral-dominated landscapes may be perceived as less attractive or accommodating and increase heat stroke risks due to extreme temperatures in summer months (Varghese et al., 2005). These seasonal variations may be of importance for shorter-term exposures, because visitors may be less aware of the risks compared to residents when they are entering new landscapes (Skinner et al., 2001). Ultimately, seasonal variations could make some of the landscapes we reviewed less beneficial or even harmful (e.g., deserts during daylight hours in summer months). Therefore, we recommend accounting for seasonal and climatic factors in future studies exploring benefits associated with nature. Ongoing climate change effects should be considered carefully as they may dramatically modify some of the landscapes considered, such as landscapes dominated by solid water and deserts. Time-varying exposure data rather than cross-sectional data should also be used to account for the full range of experiences with natural settings and minimize exposure misclassification.

Finally, the utility of these landscapes for nature-based therapies and specific health promotion interventions warrants critical examination. Speleotherapy and Uyghur sand therapy are examples of existing interventions with insufficient data regarding their recommended dosage, efficacy, and risk management. In contrast, therapies like forest-bathing or horticultural therapy are more mature and have many empirical research studies (Rosa et al., 2021) and practitioner-oriented articles and books written on their safe administration (Li, 2019; Miyazaki, 2021; Schuh & Immich, 2022). Ultimately, the landscapes we discussed may pose greater risks and challenges than other natural landscapes. Risk-benefit calculations must be made before pursuing therapies in these landscapes at-scale and with the general population. The extent to which the possible health benefits of exposure outweigh the risks is perhaps the crux of future studies focused on these landscapes. We hope our contributions inspire research on the wide-ranging diversity of natural landscapes that exist, and how the health benefits linked to these landscapes might be maximized into the future.

4. Conclusions

Our narrative review introduced the potential benefits of exposure to natural landscapes that are rarely studied in the rapidly growing literature focused on the nexus of nature and health. In doing so, we present a new and more comprehensive classification for types of

health-promoting natural landscapes that moves beyond the limited color-coding approach (i.e., “greenspace” and “bluespace”) and focuses instead on natural components, including plants, water, and rocks/minerals. We also reviewed the extant literature on landscapes dominated by solid-state water (e.g., polar spaces) and rocks or minerals (e.g., deserts and caves) to reveal associations of shorter- and longer-term health effects with exposure. Despite some risks associated with visiting or living in these landscapes, we observed potential for beneficial psycho-physiological responses as well as physical, mental, and well-being outcomes, including resources for medical treatment. To connect the collected evidence to previous knowledge on plant-rich natural landscapes, we proposed restorative and instorative mechanisms for enhancing health capacity on the basis of two frameworks from previous “greenspace” research (Markevych et al., 2017; Marselle et al., 2021). Further research, including hypothesis-driven longitudinal studies, is needed to strengthen the literature focused on these landscapes, document exposure-outcome pairs, and validate mechanisms linking these landscapes with human health.

5. References

- Abbey, E. (1968). *Desert solitaire: a season in the wilderness*. New York :McGraw-Hill
- Abyar, N., Asadi, H., Farahani, A., & Zarchi, M. Z. (2014). Determination of effective factors on desert trekking development in iran province yazd with the emphasis on desert attractions tourism and desert sports. *Sport Science*, 7(2), 58-62.
- Alföldy, B., Török, S., Balashazy, I., Hofmann, W., & Winkler-Heil, R. (2002). EPMA and XRF characterization of therapeutic cave aerosol particles and their deposition in the respiratory system. *X-Ray Spectrometry: An International Journal*, 31(5), 363-367.
- Animasahun, V. J., & Chapman, H. J. (2017). Psychosocial health challenges of the elderly in Nigeria: a narrative review. *African Health Sciences*, 17(2), 575-583.
- Baker, A., & Genty, D. (1998). Environmental pressures on conserving cave speleothems: effects of changing surface land use and increased cave tourism. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 53(2), 165-175.
- Barton, J., & Pretty, J. (2010). What is the Best Dose of Nature and Green Exercise for Improving Mental Health? A Multi-Study Analysis. *Environmental Science & Technology*, 44 (10), 3947-3955. DOI: 10.1021/es903183r
- Bauer, T. (2013). *Tourism in the Antarctic: opportunities, constraints, and future prospects*. Routledge.
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1997). Writing narrative literature reviews. *Review of general psychology*, 1(3), 311-320.
- Beamon, S. P., Falkenbach, A., Fainburg, G., & Linde, K. (2001). Speleotherapy for asthma. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*(2).
- Bell, S. (2012). *Landscape: pattern, perception and process*. Routledge.
- Bell, S. L., Foley, R., Houghton, F., Maddrell, A., & Williams, A. M. (2018). From therapeutic landscapes to healthy spaces, places and practices: A scoping review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 196, 123-130.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.11.035>
- Bell, S. L., Wheeler, B. W., & Phoenix, C. (2017). Using Geonarratives to Explore the Diverse Temporalities of Therapeutic Landscapes: Perspectives from “Green” and

- “Blue” Settings. *Annals of the American Association of Geographers*, 107(1), 93-108.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2016.1218269>
- Bilha, N. C., & Simionca, I. (2013). General remodeling in the rehabilitation process through salt mine speleotherapy. In: Eur Respiratory Soc.
- Blight, S., & Norris, K. (2018). Positive psychological outcomes following Antarctic deployment. *The Polar Journal*, 8(2), 351-363.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2154896X.2018.1541552>
- Bratman, G. N., Anderson, C. B., Berman, M. G., Cochran, B., De Vries, S., Flanders, J., ... & Daily, G. C. (2019). Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective. *Science advances*, 5(7), eaax0903.
- Brooke, K., & Williams, A. (2021). Iceland as a therapeutic landscape: white wilderness spaces for well-being. *GeoJournal*, 86(3), 1275-1285.
- Browning, M. H. E. M., Rigolon, A., & McAnirlin, O. (2022). Where greenspace matters most: A systematic review of urbanicity, greenspace, and physical health. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 217, 104233.
- Burtscher, M., Federolf, P. A., Nachbauer, W., & Kopp, M. (2019). Potential health benefits from downhill skiing. *Frontiers in Physiology*, 1924.
- Buxton, R. T., Pearson, A. L., Allou, C., Fristrup, K., & Wittemyer, G. (2021). A synthesis of health benefits of natural sounds and their distribution in national parks. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 118(14), e2013097118.
- Cajiao, D., Leung, Y. F., Larson, L. R., Tejedo, P., & Benayas, J. (2022). Tourists’ motivations, learning, and trip satisfaction facilitate pro-environmental outcomes of the Antarctic tourist experience. *Journal of Outdoor Recreation and Tourism*, 37, 100454.
- Carpenter, S. R., Mooney, H. A., Agard, J., Capistrano, D., DeFries, R. S., Díaz, S., Dietz, T., Duraiappah, A. K., Oteng-Yeboah, A., & Pereira, H. M. (2009). Science for managing ecosystem services: Beyond the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(5), 1305-1312.
- Chapman, D., Nilsson, K. L., Rizzo, A., & Larsson, A. (2019). Winter city urbanism: Enabling all year connectivity for soft mobility. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 16(10), 1820.
- Cheng, J. C. H., & Monroe, M. C. (2012). Connection to nature: Children’s affective attitude toward nature. *Environment and behavior*, 44(1), 31-49.
- Chiarini, V., Duckeck, J. & Waele, J. D. (2022). A Global Perspective on Sustainable Show Cave Tourism. *Geoheritage*, 14(3), 82. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-022-00717-5>
- Chun, B. & Guldmann, J. M. (2018). Impact of greening on the urban heat island: Seasonal variations and mitigation strategies. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems* 71, 165–176 .
- Crockett, L. J., Murray, N. P., & Kime, D. B. (2020). Self-determination strategy in mountaineering: Collecting Colorado’s highest peaks. *Leisure Sciences*, 1-20.
- Cohen, S., Evans, G. W., Stokols, D., & Krantz, D. S. (2013). *Behavior, health, and environmental stress*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Congalton, R. G. (2021). Mapping and Monitoring Forest Cover. In (Vol. 12, pp. 1184): MDPI.
- Dan, M. E., Olaka, L. A., Mamo, M. B., Chalo, D. M., & Cuni-Sanchez, A. (2021). Desert

- landscape services: Insights from pastoralist communities in northern Kenya. *Ecosystem Services*, 48, 101243.
- Davies, T. W., & Smyth, T. (2018). Why artificial light at night should be a focus for global change research in the 21st century. *Global Change Biology*, 24(3), 872-882.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). The general causality orientations scale: Self-determination in personality - ScienceDirect. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 19(2), 109-134.
- Duffy, C. (2013). 'The region of beauty and delight': Reimagining the Polar Sublime. In C. Duffy (Ed.), *The Landscapes of the Sublime, 1700–1830: Classic Ground* (pp. 102-134). Palgrave Macmillan UK. https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137332189_4
- Elbaz, G., Etzion, O., Delgado, J., Porath, A., Talmor, D., & Novack, V. (2008). Hypothermia in a desert climate: severity score and mortality prediction. *The American journal of emergency medicine*, 26(6), 683-688.
- Emerson, R. W. (1903). *Nature; addresses and lectures* (Vol. 1, pp. 9). Houghton Mifflin.
- Eslaminejad, A., Taghavi, K., Zohal, M., Kialashaki, M., & Fakharian, A. (2017). Speleotherapy as an Effective Treatment of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease. *J Respir Med Lung Dis*, 2(5), 1029.
- Estoque, R. C., Murayama, Y., & Myint, S. W. (2017). Effects of landscape composition and pattern on land surface temperature: An urban heat island study in the megacities of Southeast Asia. *Science of The Total Environment*, 577, 349-359. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.10.195>
- Ferrari, R. (2015). Writing narrative style literature reviews. *Medical Writing*, 24(4), 230-235.
- Finkenzeller, T., Müller, E., Würth, S., & Amesberger, G. (2011). Does a skiing intervention influence the psycho-social characteristics of the elderly? *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, 21, 69-75.
- Finlay, J. M. (2018). 'Walk like a penguin': older Minnesotans' experiences of (non) therapeutic white space. *Social Science & Medicine*, 198, 77-84.
- Freidl, J., Huber, D., Braunschmid, H., Romodow, C., Pichler, C., Weisböck-Erdheim, R., Mayr, M., & Hartl, A. (2020). Winter Exercise and Speleotherapy for Allergy and Asthma: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *Journal of Clinical Medicine*, 9(10), 3311. <https://www.mdpi.com/2077-0383/9/10/3311>
- Frumkin, H., Bratman, G. N., Breslow, S. J., Cochran, B., Kahn Jr, P. H., Lawler, J. J., ... & Wood, S. A. (2017). Nature contact and human health: A research agenda. *Environmental health perspectives*, 125(7), 075001.
- Gao, Y., Xie, H., Lu, N., Yao, T., & Liang, T. (2010). Toward advanced daily cloud-free snow cover and snow water equivalent products from Terra–Aqua MODIS and Aqua AMSR-E measurements. *Journal of Hydrology*, 385(1-4), 23-35.
- Gascon, M., Triguero-Mas, M., Martínez, D., Dadvand, P., Forn, J., Plasència, A., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2015). Mental health benefits of long-term exposure to residential green and blue spaces: a systematic review. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 12(4), 4354-4379.
- Gesler, W. (2018). Therapeutic Landscapes. In *The International Encyclopedia of Anthropology* (pp. 1-9). <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118924396.wbiea1422>

- Gesler, W. M. (1992). Therapeutic landscapes: Medical issues in light of the new cultural geography. *Social Science & Medicine*, 34(7), 735-746.
[https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(92\)90360-3](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(92)90360-3)
- Goudie, A. S. (2014). Desert dust and human health disorders. *Environ Int*, 63, 101-113.
- Grahn, P., & Stigsdotter, U. K. (2010). The relation between perceived sensory dimensions of urban green space and stress restoration. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 94(3), 264-275. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2009.10.012>
- Gutberlet, M. (2019). Geopolitical imaginaries and Cultural Ecosystem Services (CES) in the desert. *Tourism Geographies*, 1-29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14616688.2018.1545250>
- Hägerhäll, C. M., Ode Sang, Å., Englund, J.-E., Ahlner, F., Rybka, K., Huber, J., & Burenhult, N. (2018). Do humans really prefer semi-open natural landscapes? A cross-cultural reappraisal. *Front Psychol*, 9, 822.
- Han, K.-T. (2007). Responses to Six Major Terrestrial Biomes in Terms of Scenic Beauty, Preference, and Restorativeness. *Environment and Behavior*, 39(4), 529-556.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0013916506292016>
- Han, X., Fu, R. Z., Lihong, , & Qiao, Y. (2019). Effects of Sand Therapy on Hemodynamics of Human Flexural Femoral Arteries. *Journal of Medical Biomechanics*, 34(4), 379-393.
- Han, Y., Holsen, T., Hopke, P., Cheong, J., Kim, H., & Yi, S. (2004). Identification of source locations for atmospheric dry deposition of heavy metals during yellow-sand events in Seoul, Korea in 1998 using hybrid receptor models. *Atmospheric Environment*, 38(31), 5353-5361.
- Hansen, M. M., Jones, R., & Tocchini, K. (2017). Shinrin-yoku (forest bathing) and nature therapy: A state-of-the-art review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 14(8), 851.
- Hartig, T., Mitchell, R., De Vries, S., & Frumkin, H. (2014). Nature and health. *Annual review of public health*, 35, 207-228.
- Hashemi, S. M., Alipour, A., Yousefi Feshki, M., & Mousavi, M. N. (2020). Identify and prioritize potential of tourism in desert areas and wilderness of Qom. *Human Geography Research*, 52(1), 17-37.
- Heckman, J. J. (1990). Selection bias and self-selection. In *Econometrics* (pp. 201-224). Springer.
- Hopman, R. J., LoTempio, S. B., Scott, E. E., McKinney, T. L., & Strayer, D. L. (2020). Resting-state posterior alpha power changes with prolonged exposure in a natural environment. *Cognitive Research: Principles and Implications*, 5(1), 1-13.
- Hu, X., Julaiti, M., Huang, S., Muhetare, K., Dilinaer, M., Zhang, C., Ablimit, M., Zhang, R., & Arxidun, A. (2015). Change of Volume and Mechanical Properties of Femoral Bone in Rabbits at Different Ages with Knee Osteoarthritis under Sand-therapy (in Chinese). *Journal of Biomedical Engineering*, 32(5), 6. <https://doi.org/10.7507/1001-5515.20150184>
- Huang, Jianping, Haipeng, Guan, Xiaodan, Wang, Guoyin, & Ruixia. (2015). Accelerated dryland expansion under climate change. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(2), 167-171.
- Imray, C., Wright, A., Subudhi, A., & Roach, R. (2010). Acute Mountain Sickness: Pathophysiology, Prevention, and Treatment. *Progress in Cardiovascular Diseases*,

52(6), 467-484.

- Jacobs, J. A., & Jacobs, J. A. (2000). Commentaries on "The 'What' and 'Why' of Goal Pursuits: Human Needs and the Self-Determination of Behavior". *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 269-318.
- Jenkins, D., & Palmer, S. (2003). A review of stress, coping and positive adjustment to the challenges of working in Antarctica. *International Journal of Health Promotion and Education*, 41(4), 117-131.
- Joye, Y., & van den Berg, A. (2011). Is love for green in our genes? A critical analysis of evolutionary assumptions in restorative environments research. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 10(4), 261-268.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2011.07.004>
- Kahal, K., Youbo, & Dilina, m. (2009). Mechanical properties of therapeutic sand upon femur and its finite element analysis (in Chinese). *National Medical Journal of China*, 89(41), 3. <https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0376-2491.2009.41.017>
- Kahn Jr, P. H., Ruckert, J. H., & Hasbach, P. (2012). *A Nature Language*. Ecopsychology: Science, Totems, and the Technological Species.
- Kang, Y., Zhang, F., Gao, S., Lin, H., & Liu, Y. (2020). A review of urban physical environment sensing using street view imagery in public health studies. *Annals of GIS*, 26(3), 261-275.
- Kaplan, R., & Kaplan, S. (1989). *The Experience of Nature* Cambridge University Press. Cambridge 340pp.
- Kaplan, S. (1995). The restorative benefits of nature: Toward an integrative framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 15(3), 169-182.
- Keith, D. A., Ferrer-Paris, J. R., Nicholson, E., & Kingsford, R. T. (2020). IUCN Global Ecosystem Typology 2.0. https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Javier-Loidi/publication/348922939_T32_Seasonally_dry_temperate_heaths_and_shrublands_In_Keith_et_alIUCN-GlobalEcosTypology_20_52/links/6016f65945851517ef2e83d3/T32-Seasonally-dry-temperate-heaths-and-shrublands-In-Keith-et-alIUCN-GlobalEcosTypology-20-52.pdf
- Kellert, S. R., & Wilson, E. O. (1993). *The biophilia hypothesis*. Island press.
- Kendrová, L., Takáč, P., Kubincová, A., Mikušáková, W., & Nechvátal, P. (2016). Effect of spa treatment and speleotherapy in the treatment of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease—a pilot study. *CSWHI*, 7(2), 7-15.
- Kertész, Z., Balásházy, I., Borbély-Kiss, I., Hofmann, W., Hunyadi, I., Salma, I., & Winkler-Heil, R. (2002). Composition, size distribution and lung deposition distribution of aerosols collected in the atmosphere of a speleotherapeutic cave situated below Budapest, Hungary. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 189(1-4), 221-226.
- Kim, S. S., Kim, M., Park, J., & Guo, Y. (2008). Cave tourism: Tourists' characteristics, motivations to visit, and the segmentation of their behavior. *Asia Pacific Journal of Tourism Research*, 13(3), 299-318.
- Kjærgaard, A., Leon, G. R., Venables, N. C., & Fink, B. A. (2017). Personality, Personal Values and Growth in Military Special Unit Patrol Teams Operating in a Polar

- Environment. *Military Psychology*, 25(1), 13-22. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0094753>
- Kondo, M. C., Fluehr, J. M., McKeon, T., & Branas, C. C. (2018). Urban green space and its impact on human health. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 15(3), 445.
- Korpela, K. M. & Ratcliffe, E. (2021). Which is primary: preference or perceived instoration? *J Environ Psychol* 101617. doi:10.1016/j.jenvp.2021.101617.
- Korpela, K., Borodulin, K., Neuvonen, M., Paronen, O., & Tyrväinen, L. (2014). Analyzing the mediators between nature-based outdoor recreation and emotional well-being. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 37, 1-7. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2013.11.003>
- Korzhe, V. (2017). Changing of the abiotic parameters of karst cave Belojacha in pannonian suburb (Slovenia). *Geologica Macedonica*, 31(2), 171-180.
- Kotabe, H. P., Kardan, O., & Berman, M. G. (2017). The nature-disorder paradox: A perceptual study on how nature is disorderly yet aesthetically preferred. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: General*, 146(8), 1126.
- Kotera, Y., Liu, H. T. G., & Chamberlain, K. J. (2021). Not Only Green and Blue: Defining the Potential of Red Nature to Improve Wellbeing. *Journal of Ecopsychology*, 1(5), 1-6. <https://joe.nationalwellbeingservice.com/volumes/volume-1-2021/volume-1-article-5/>
- Krake, A., McCullough, J., & King, B. (2003). Health hazards to park rangers from excessive heat at Grand Canyon National Park. *Applied occupational and environmental hygiene*, 18(5), 295-317.
- Lai, J., Liu, B., & Wang, H. (2022). Does" Tian-ren-he-yi" Belief System Promotes Corporate Environmental Performance? *Front Psychol*, 1909.
- Laland, K. N., & Brown, G. R. (2006). Niche construction, human behavior, and the adaptive-lag hypothesis. *Evolutionary Anthropology: Issues, News, and Reviews: Issues, News, and Reviews*, 15(3), 95-104.
- Larson, L. R., & Hipp, J. A. (2022). Nature-based pathways to health promotion: the value of parks and greenspace. *North Carolina Medical Journal*, 83(2), 99-102.
- Larsson, A., & Chapman, D. (2020). Perceived impact of meteorological conditions on the use of public space in winter settlements. *Int J Biometeorol*, 64(4), 631-642.
- Larson, L. R., Cooper, C. B., Stedman, R. C., Decker, D. J., & Gagnon, R. J. (2018). Place-based pathways to proenvironmental behavior: Empirical evidence for a conservation–recreation model. *Society & Natural Resources*, 31(8), 871-891.
- Lăzărescu, H., Simionca, I., Hoteteu, M., & Mirescu, L. (2014). Speleotherapy - modern biomedical perspectives. *J Med Life*, 7 Spec No. 2(Spec Iss 2), 76-79.
- Lengen, C. (2015). The effects of colours, shapes and boundaries of landscapes on perception, emotion and mentalising processes promoting health and well-being. *Health & Place*, 35, 166-177. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthplace.2015.05.016>
- Leppämäki, S., Partonen, T., & Lönnqvist, J. (2002). Bright-light exposure combined with physical exercise elevates mood. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 72(2), 139-144.
- Li, Q. (2019). *Into the forest: How trees can help you find health and happiness*. Penguin UK.
- Lina, M., Wei, R., Xinkalianka, L., Nikulayevna, & Zhang, X. (2005). A study of heating effects of therapeutic sand on skin as used in the Uyghur medicine (in Chinese).

- Chinese Journal of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation*, 27(7), 398-401.
- Loewe, E. (2022). *Return to Nature: The New Science of How Natural Landscapes Restore Us*. HarperCollinsPublishers.
- Lottenberg, r., Waldman, R., Ajello, L., Hoff, G., BIGLER, W., & Zellner, S. (1979). Pulmonary histoplasmosis associated with exploration of a bat cave. *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 110(2), 156-161.
- Lunghi, E., Manenti, R., & Ficetola, G. F. (2017). Cave features, seasonality and subterranean distribution of non-obligate cave dwellers. *PeerJ*, 5, e3169.
- Maier, A., Wiedemann, J., Rapp, F., Papenfuß, F., Rödel, F., Hehlhans, S., Gaipf, U. S., Kraft, G., Fournier, C., & Frey, B. (2021). Radon Exposure—Therapeutic Effect and Cancer Risk. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(1), 316.
<https://www.mdpi.com/1422-0067/22/1/316>
- Markevych, I., Schoierer, J., Hartig, T., Chudnovsky, A., Hystad, P., Dzhambov, A. M., De Vries, S., Triguero-Mas, M., Brauer, M., & Nieuwenhuijsen, M. J. (2017). Exploring pathways linking greenspace to health: Theoretical and methodological guidance. *Environmental Research*, 158, 301-317.
- Marselle, M. R., Hartig, T., Cox, D. T. C., de Bell, S., Knapp, S., Lindley, S., Triguero-Mas, M., Böhning-Gaese, K., Braubach, M., Cook, P. A., de Vries, S., Heintz-Buschart, A., Hofmann, M., Irvine, K. N., Kabisch, N., Kolek, F., Kraemer, R., Markevych, I., Martens, D., Müller, R., Nieuwenhuijsen, M., Potts, J. M., Stadler, J., Walton, S., Warber, S. L., & Bonn, A. (2021). Pathways linking biodiversity to human health: A conceptual framework. *Environ Int*, 150, 106420.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2021.106420>
- Mason, L., Ronconi, A., Scrimin, S., & Pazzaglia, F. (2022). Short-Term Exposure to Nature and Benefits for Students' Cognitive Performance: a Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(2), 609-647. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-021-09631-8>
- Masterson, V. A., Stedman, R. C., Enqvist, J., Tengö, M., Giusti, M., Wahl, D., & Svedin, U. (2017). The contribution of sense of place to social-ecological systems research: a review and research agenda. *Ecology and Society*, 22(1).
- Michopoulou, E., Al-Qasbi, I., & Melpignano, C. (2021). Co-creating Value in Desert Tourism Experiences. *Tourism Planning & Development*, 18(2), 245-265.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/21568316.2021.1873835>
- Migoń, P., Duszyński, F., & Goudie, A. (2017). Rock cities and ruiniform relief: Forms—processes—terminology. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 171, 78-104.
- Mirehie, M., & Gibson, H. J. (2020). Women's participation in snow-sports and sense of well-being: a positive psychology approach. *Journal of Leisure Research*, 51(4), 397-415.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00222216.2019.1702485>
- Miyazaki, Y. (2021). *Walking in the Woods: Go back to nature with the Japanese way of shinrin-yoku*. Hachette UK.
- Molcar, C. C. (2006). *The relationship of place attachment to spiritual well-being across the lifespan*. Seattle Pacific University.
- Morckel, V. (2015). Community gardens or vacant lots? Rethinking the attractiveness and seasonality of green land uses in distressed neighborhoods. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 14(3), 714-721. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2015.07.001>

- Moura, J. M. B., Junior, W. S. F., Silva, T. C., & Albuquerque, U. P. (2017). Landscapes preferences in the human species: Insights for ethnobiology from evolutionary psychology. *Ethnobiology and Conservation*, 6.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15451/ec2017-07-6.10-1-7>
- Müller, E., Gimpl, M., Pötzelsberger, B., Finkenzeller, T., & Scheiber, P. (2011). Salzburg Skiing for the Elderly Study: study design and intervention–health benefit of alpine skiing for elderly. *Scandinavian journal of medicine & science in sports*, 21, 1-8.
- Nagy, K., Berhész, I., Kovács, T., Kávási, N., Somlai, J., Kovacs, L., Barna, I., & Bender, T. (2009). Study on endocronological effects of radon speleotherapy on respiratory diseases. *International journal of radiation biology*, 85(3), 281-290.
- Nazif-Munoz, J. I., Cedeno Laurent, J. G., Browning, M., Spengler, J., & Olvera Álvarez, H. A. (2020). Green, brown, and gray: associations between different measurements of land patterns and depression among nursing students in El Paso, Texas. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 17(21), 8146.
- Niyazi, A. (2002). Study on the treatment of rheumatic diseases with sand therapy in Turpan, Xinjiang (in Chinese). *Journal of Medicine & Pharmacy of Chinese Minorities*, 8(1), 21-22. <https://doi.org/CNKI:SUN:ZMYZ.0.2002-01-019>
- Nørgaard, B., Draborg, E., Andreasen, J., Juhl, C. B., Yost, J., Brunnhuber, K., Robinson, K. A., & Lund, H. (2022). Systematic Reviews are Rarely Used to Inform Study Design—a Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 145, 1-33.
- Olvera-Alvarez, H. A., Browning, M. H. E. M., Neophytou, A. M., & Bratman, G. N. (2021). Associations of Residential Brownness and Greenness with Fasting Glucose in Young Healthy Adults Living in the Desert. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, 18(2), 520.
<https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/18/2/520>
- Olwig, K. R. (2005). Liminality, Seasonality and Landscape. *Landscape Research*, 30(2), 259-271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01426390500044473>
- Oosterbroek, B., de Kraker, J., Huynen, M. M., & Martens, P. (2016). Assessing ecosystem impacts on health: A tool review. *Ecosystem Services*, 17, 237-254.
- Otani, S., Ohno, G., Shimoeda, N., & Mikami, H. (2004). Morbidity and health survey of wintering members in Japanese Antarctic research expedition. *International Journal of Circumpolar Health*, 63(sup2), 165-168. <https://doi.org/10.3402/ijch.v63i0.17890>
- Ottosson, J., & Grahn, P. (2021). Nature archetypes—concepts related to objects and phenomena in natural environments. A Swedish case. *Front Psychol*, 3909.
- Pae, C. U. (2015). Why Systematic Review rather than Narrative Review? *Psychiatry Investig*, 12(3), 417-419. <https://doi.org/10.4306/pi.2015.12.3.417>
- Palinkas, L. A. (1991). Effects of physical and social environments on the health and well-being of Antarctic winter-over personnel. *Environment and Behavior*, 23(6), 782-799.
- Palinkas, L. A., & Suedfeld, P. (2008). Psychological effects of polar expeditions. *The Lancet*, 371(9607), 153-163.
- Pilotti, M. A., Abdulhadi, E. J. Y., Al Sabbagh, A., Aldabbag, K. H. M., & Al Kuhayli, H. A. (2019). The Beneficial Effects of a Familiar Environment and Verbatim Memory Habits on Human Memory. *Ecopsychology*, 11(4), 264-273.
- Powell, R. B., Brownlee, M. T., Kellert, S. R., & Ham, S. H. (2012). From awe to satisfaction: Immediate affective responses to the Antarctic tourism experience. *Polar Record*,

48(2), 145-156.

- Rashleigh, R., Smith, S. M., & Roberts, N. J. (2014). A review of halotherapy for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis*, 9, 239-246. <https://doi.org/10.2147/copd.s57511>
- Reid, W. V., Mooney, H. A., Cropper, A., Capistrano, D., Carpenter, S. R., Chopra, K., Dasgupta, P., Dietz, T., Duraiappah, A. K., & Hassan, R. (2005). *Ecosystems and human well-being-Synthesis: A report of the Millennium Ecosystem Assessment*. Island Press.
- Riches, N. O. (2019). *Multipollutant Profile of the Air of Salt Lake City and the Dried Lakebed Soil of the Great Salt Lake* [The University of Utah].
- Riley, R. B. (1992). Attachment to the ordinary landscape. In *Place attachment* (pp. 13-35). Springer.
- Rind, B. (1996). Effect of beliefs about weather conditions on tipping. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 26(2), 137-147.
- Roberts, H., van Lissa, C., Hagedoorn, P., Kellar, I., & Helbich, M. (2019). The effect of short-term exposure to the natural environment on depressive mood: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environmental Research*, 177, 108606. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2019.108606>
- Robinson, J. M., & Breed, M. F. (2020). The Lovebug Effect: Is the human biophilic drive influenced by interactions between the host, the environment, and the microbiome? *Science of The Total Environment*, 720, 137626. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137626>
- Rollero, C., & De Piccoli, N. (2010). Does place attachment affect social well-being? *European Review of Applied Psychology*, 60(4), 233-238.
- Rosa, C. D., Larson, L. R., Collado, S., & Profice, C. C. (2021). Forest therapy can prevent and treat depression: Evidence from meta-analyses. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 57, 126943.
- Roviello, V., & Roviello, G. N. (2021). Less COVID-19 deaths in southern and insular Italy explained by forest bathing, Mediterranean environment, and antiviral plant volatile organic compounds. *Environ Chem Lett*, 20(1), 7-17. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10311-021-01309-5>
- Ruiz-Gil, T., Acuña, J. J., Fujiyoshi, S., Tanaka, D., Noda, J., Maruyama, F., & Jorquera, M. A. (2020). Airborne bacterial communities of outdoor environments and their associated influencing factors. *Environ Int*, 145, 106156. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2020.106156>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-determination theory: Basic psychological needs in motivation, development, and wellness*. Guilford Publications.
- Sagie, H., Morris, A., Rofè, Y., Orenstein, D. E., & Groner, E. (2013). Cross-cultural perceptions of ecosystem services: A social inquiry on both sides of the Israeli–Jordanian border of the Southern Arava Valley Desert. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 97, 38-48. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaridenv.2013.05.007>
- Scannell, L., Cox, R. S., Fletcher, S., & Heykoop, C. (2016). “That was the last time I saw my house”: The importance of place attachment among children and youth in disaster contexts. *American journal of community psychology*, 58(1-2), 158-173.

- Scannell, L., & Gifford, R. (2010). Defining place attachment: A tripartite organizing framework. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 30*(1), 1-10.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2009.09.006>
- Schuh, A., & Immich, G. (2022). *Forest Therapy-The Potential of the Forest for Your Health*. Springer.
- Selig, H. F., Hüpfel, M., Trimmel, H., Voelckel, W. G., & Nagele, P. (2012). Pediatric Trauma in the Austrian Alps: The Epidemiology of Sport-Related Injuries in Helicopter Emergency Medical Service. *High Altitude Medicine & Biology, 13*(2), 112-117.
<https://doi.org/10.1089/ham.2011.1082>
- Shah, P. (2019). A Review of Salt Therapy as a Complementary Treatment for Enhancing Respiratory Wellness and Skin Ailment. *The Indian Practitioner, 72*(5), 28-32.
- Shah, R. M. (2015). Public Perceptions of Antarctic Values: Shaping Future Environmental Protection Policy. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 168*, 211-218.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.10.226>
- Shalev, I. (2016). Pictorial and mental arid landscape images reduce the motivation to change negative habits. *Journal of Environmental Psychology, 45*, 30-39.
- Shanahan, D. F., Bush, R., Gaston, K. J., Lin, B. B., Dean, J., Barber, E., & Fuller, R. A. (2016). Health benefits from nature experiences depend on dose. *Scientific reports, 6*(1), 1-10.
- Shopes, E. M. (1997). Drowning in the desert: exercise-induced hyponatremia at the Grand Canyon. *Journal of emergency nursing, 23*(6), 586-590.
- Siddaway, A. P., Wood, A. M., & Hedges, L. V. (2019). How to do a systematic review: a best practice guide for conducting and reporting narrative reviews, meta-analyses, and meta-syntheses. *Annual review of psychology, 70*, 747-770.
- Skinner, C. J., De Dear, R. J., Skinner, C., & de Dear, R. (2001). Climate and tourism—an Australian perspective. *on Climate, Tourism and Recreation, 239*.
- Söyler, M., Çinar, A., Deliceoğlu, G., & Koçbay, T. (2021). The Effect of High Intensity Resistance Training Performed in the Speleotherapy (Salt Cave) Environment in Olympic National Boxers on Body and Some Physical Parameter Abilities. *Health, 181*(61), 8-65.
- Stanhope, J., Breed, M. F., & Weinstein, P. (2020). Exposure to greenspaces could reduce the high global burden of pain. *Environ Res, 187*, 109641.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.109641>
- Ströhle, M., Rauch, S., Lastei, P., Brodmann Maeder, M., Brugger, H., & Paal, P. (2018). Frostbite Injuries in the Austrian Alps: A Retrospective 11-Year National Registry Study. *High Altitude Medicine & Biology, 19*(4), 316-320.
<https://doi.org/10.1089/ham.2018.0060>
- Ströhle, M., Wallner, B., Lanthaler, M., Rauch, S., Brugger, H., & Paal, P. (2018). Lightning accidents in the Austrian alps – a 10-year retrospective nationwide analysis. *Scandinavian Journal of Trauma, Resuscitation and Emergency Medicine, 26*(1), 74.
<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13049-018-0543-9>
- Sullivan, W. C. & Li, D. Nature and Psychology, Biological, Cognitive, Developmental, and Social Pathways to Well-being. *Nebr Sym Motiv 7–30* (2021) doi:10.1007/978-3-030-69020-5_2.

- Summerson, R., & Lieser, J. L. (2018). Opinion: wilderness and aesthetic values in the Antarctic sea-ice zone. *The Polar Journal*, 8(1), 16-28.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/2154896X.2018.1468628>
- Taheri, S., Sichani, M. G., & Shabani, A. (2021). Evaluating the literature of therapeutic landscapes with an emphasis on the search for the dimensions of health: A systematic review. *Social Science & Medicine*, 275, 113820.
- Tchakerian, V., & Pease, P. (2015). Chapter 14 - The Critical Zone in Desert Environments. In J. R. Giardino & C. Houser (Eds.), *Developments in Earth Surface Processes* (Vol. 19, pp. 449-472). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-63369-9.00014-8>
- Teff-Seker, Y., & Orenstein, D. E. (2019). The 'desert experience': Evaluating the cultural ecosystem services of drylands through walking and focusing. *People and Nature*, 1(2), 234-248.
- Terzano, K., & Gross, A. (2020). Is all nature equal? Evaluating the role of familiarity on emotional responses to nature. *Health*, 6(6).
- Turner, W. R., Nakamura, T., & Dinetti, M. (2004). Global urbanization and the separation of humans from nature. *Bioscience*, 54(6), 585-590.
- Twohig-Bennett, C., & Jones, A. (2018). The health benefits of the great outdoors: A systematic review and meta-analysis of greenspace exposure and health outcomes. *Environmental research*, 166, 628-637.
- Ulrich, R. S. (1983). Aesthetic and affective response to natural environment. In *Behavior and the natural environment* (pp. 85-125). Springer.
- Ulrich, R. S., Simons, R. F., Losito, B. D., Fiorito, E., Miles, M. A., & Zelson, M. (1991). Stress recovery during exposure to natural and urban environments. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 11(3), 201-230.
- Varghese, G., John, G., Thomas, K., Abraham, O., & Mathai, D. (2005). Predictors of multi-organ dysfunction in heatstroke. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 22(3), 185-187.
- Vlahov, D., & Galea, S. (2002). Urbanization, urbanicity, and health. *Journal of Urban Health*, 79(1), S1-S12. https://doi.org/10.1093/jurban/79.suppl_1.S1
- Wagner, A. L., Keusch, F., Yan, T. & Clarke, P. J. (2019). The impact of weather on summer and winter exercise behaviors. *Journal of Sport and Health Science*, 8(1), 39-45.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jshs.2016.07.007>
- Wang, K., Cui, Q., & Xu, H. (2018). Desert as therapeutic space: Cultural interpretation of embodied experience in sand therapy in Xinjiang, China. *Health & Place*, 53, 173-181.
- Wang, S.-J., & Zhou, L.-Y. (2019). Integrated impacts of climate change on glacier tourism. *Advances in Climate Change Research*, 10(2), 71-79.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.accre.2019.06.006>
- White, H. & Shah, P. Attention in urban and natural Environments. *Yale J Biology Medicine* 92, 115-120 (2019).
- White, M., Smith, A., Humphryes, K., Pahl, S., Snelling, D., & Depledge, M. (2010). Blue space: The importance of water for preference, affect, and restorativeness ratings of natural and built scenes. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 30(4), 482-493.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2010.04.004>

- White, M. P., Elliott, L. R., Gascon, M., Roberts, B., & Fleming, L. E. (2020). Blue space, health and well-being: A narrative overview and synthesis of potential benefits. *Environmental Research*, *191*, 110169. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2020.110169>
- Williams, A. (1998). Therapeutic landscapes in holistic medicine. *Social Science & Medicine*, *46*(9), 1193-1203. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536\(97\)10048-X](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0277-9536(97)10048-X)
- Willoughby, A. R., Phelps, K. L., Consortium, P., & Olival, K. J. (2017). A Comparative Analysis of Viral Richness and Viral Sharing in Cave-Roosting Bats. *Diversity*, *9*(3), 35. <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-2818/9/3/35>
- Wilson, E. O. (1986). *Biophilia*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wolf, K. L., Lam, S. T., McKeen, J. K., Richardson, G. R., van den Bosch, M., & Bardekjian, A. C. (2020). Urban trees and human health: A scoping review. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, *17*(12), 4371.
- Xu, W., Jiang, B., & Zhao, J. (2022). Effects of seasonality on visual aesthetic preference. *Landscape Research*, *47*(3), 388-399. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01426397.2022.2039110>
- Yan, X. (2014). Research on the international desert leisure sports in Xinjiang, China -- a case study of Kumutag cross-country hiking Rally (in Chinese). *Contemporary Sports Technology*, *4*(012), 153-154.
- Yang, Y., Cai, H., Yang, Z., Zhao, X., Li, M., & Han, R. (2022). Why does nature enhance psychological Well-being? A self-determination account. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, 101872. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101872>
- Yin, J., Bratman, G. N., Browning, M. H. E. M., Spengler, J. D., & Olvera-Alvarez, H. A. (2022). Stress recovery from virtual exposure to a brown (desert) environment versus a green environment. *Journal of Environmental Psychology*, *81*, 101775. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvp.2022.101775>
- Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., Matikainen, E., & Persson, C. (2022). Conserve My Village—Finnish, Norwegian and Swedish Students' Valued Landscapes and Well-Being. *Sustainability*, *14*(2), 671.
- Zhang, J., Yu, Z., Zhao, B., Sun, R., & Vejre, H. (2020). Links between green space and public health: a bibliometric review of global research trends and future prospects from 1901 to 2019. *Environmental Research Letters*, *15*(6), 063001.
- Zhang, L., Lu, P., Lau, R., Yan, L., Li, X., Yang, R., Leung, H. H., Chen, P., & Wang, X. (2021). Unique traditional villages on the Loess Plateau of China: historic evolution and challenges to sustainable development of silo-caves. *Heritage Science*, *9*(1), 1-19.
- Zhang, Y., Van Dijk, T., Tang, J., & Berg, A. E. v. d. (2015). Green Space Attachment and Health: A Comparative Study in Two Urban Neighborhoods. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*, *12*(11), 14342-14363. <https://www.mdpi.com/1660-4601/12/11/14342>
- Zhang, Y., Zhang, Y., Dijk, T. van & Yang, Y. (2022). Green place rather than green space as a health determinant: A 20-year scoping review. *Environmental Research*, 113812. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.113812>
- Zhou, H. (2019). Cave dwelling, a dream for five generations. *Beijing News*. <https://baijiahao.baidu.com/s?id=1654334731967298122&wfr=spider&for=pc>

- Zhou, Y., Yang, L., Yu, J., & Guo, S. (2022). Do seasons matter? Exploring the dynamic link between blue-green space and mental restoration. *Urban Forestry & Urban Greening*, 73, 127612. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ufug.2022.127612>
- Zimmer, M., Cabral, J. C. C. R., Borges, F. C., Côco, K. G., & Hameister, B. d. R. (2013). Psychological changes arising from an Antarctic stay: Systematic overview. *Estudos de Psicologia (Campinas)*, 30, 415-423.